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We present an analytical derivation of the electromagnetic response of a spherical object coated by a conductive film, here exemplified by a graphene coating. Applying the framework of Mie-Lorenz theory augmented to account for a conductive boundary condition, we derive the multipole scattering coefficients, modified essentially through the inclusion of an additive correction in numerator and denominator. By reductionist means, starting from the retarded response, we offer simple results in the quasistatic regime by analyzing the multipolar polarizability and associated dispersion equation for the localized plasmons. We consider graphene coatings of both dielectric and conducting spheres, where the graphene coating in the former case introduces the plasmons and in the latter case modifies in interesting ways the existing ones. Finally, we discuss our analytical results in the context of extinction cross section and local density of states. Recent demonstrations of fabricated spherical graphene nanostructures make our study directly relevant to experiments.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of interaction between electromagnetic fields and graphene has seen a riveting development in recent years. In particular, efforts have centered on oscillation energies near the experimentally achievable Fermi level of graphene, typically in the sub-eV range, where the principal features are due to the excitation of either propagating or localized two-dimensional plasmons [1–3]. A large variety of structural configurations has been investigated, ranging from, e.g., (semi-)finite structures [4–7] to periodic arrays [8–10]. Of these studies, the overwhelming majority exhibit the common assumption of structural planarity. Recently, interest has emerged also in exploring the properties of plasmonic interaction in curved configurations, e.g., propagating modes in bent and corrugated sheets [11], in the context of cloaking [12,13], and in various coated nanowire systems [14–17].

In this paper, we examine the archetypal curved graphene structure: a sphere, highly amenable to analytics and exhibiting the key features necessary to gain clear physical insight in the role of curvature. The spherical geometry is also of experimental relevance, given recent fabricational demonstrations. Notably, demonstrations include reduced graphene-oxide hollow spheres [18], graphene encapsulation of hollow SnO2 spheres of radii down to ~50 nm [18], and porous multilayer graphene nanospheres supported by a polystyrene interior [19]. Though the graphene in these recent demonstrations exhibits several deviations from an idealized two-dimensional spherical coating, it underscores the relevance of the geometry beyond a theoretical perspective. At the opposite end of the size spectrum, the fullerences represent a tempting analogy. However, it is now well established that larger fullerences, such as C320 and beyond, prefer faceted, predominately icosahedral rather than spherical configurations [20,21]. Additionally, the use of semiclassical, local response functions in graphene is reasonable only for structures in excess of ~10^4 carbon atoms [22]. In this paper, we take a classical, local surface conductivity approach, and as such we expect our predictions to be reliable chiefly for radii larger than ~5 nm (a graphene sphere of N atoms has a radius of R ~ √N × 0.457 Å), significantly beyond the range of fullerences.

The paper is structured as follows: for graphene described by a local surface conductivity, we show in Sec. II A that its electromagnetic response can be understood through a modified Mie-Lorenz theory. From the asymptotic limit of these results to the quasistatic realm, we derive the multipolar polarizability in Sec. II B and identify the multipole plasmon conditions in the quasistatic regime, which are particularly transparent. Specifically, we show that an intuitive, effective momentum mapping connects the sphere resonances with those of an infinite plane. In Sec. III we present calculations for graphene-coated dielectric spheres, considering first the size dispersion of the multipole resonances. Next, comparing two observables, the extinction cross section and the local density of states (LDOS), we highlight the physical significance of the dipole and higher-order multipoles. In Sec. IV we study the interaction between a localized plasmon supported by a Drude sphere, e.g., a doped semiconductor, and the plasmon supported by the graphene coating. We close our treatment of coated Drude spheres by discussing a corollary of the formalism related to surficial damping in metal plasmonics. Finally, we summarize the results in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION

Within the local-response approximation (LRA), the electric field E(r,ω) in a homogeneous region V with dielectric constant εj(ω) satisfies the homogeneous Helmholtz wave equation:

$$\nabla^2 E(r,ω) - k_0^2 \varepsilon_j(ω) E(r,ω) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where k_0 = ω/c denotes the free-space wave number and where ε_j(ω) denotes the effective LRA dielectric constant, potentially exhibiting a frequency dependence.
A. Retarded solution by expansion in vector waves

We solve Eq. (1) by expansion in vector wave functions, as befitting for structures with curvilinear symmetries [23]. In particular, within the LRA the electric field is divergence free, or solenoidal, in which case the monochromatic solutions of the electric field in a homogeneous region $V_j$ can be expanded in the basis of the solenoidal vector wave functions $M^{(l)}_\nu(r)$ and $N^{(l)}_\nu(r)$ of that region:

$$E(r) = \sum_{\nu i} a^i_{\nu} M^{(l)}_{\nu}(r) + b^i_{\nu} N^{(l)}_{\nu}(r),$$

with $\nu$ denoting a geometry-dependent expansion index, while $i$ denotes expansion over in- and outgoing waves, and, finally, with $a^i_{\nu}$ and $b^i_{\nu}$ denoting associated expansion coefficients. The functions $M^{(l)}_{\nu}(r)$ and $N^{(l)}_{\nu}(r)$ describe the TE and TM parts, respectively, of the electric field, and describe the propagation of transverse modes (cf. their solenoidality).

In spherical coordinates $r = (r, \theta, \phi)$ the index $\nu$ partitions into polar and azimuthal quantum numbers, $l \in [1, \infty[ \text{ and } m \in [-l,l]$, with associated vector waves (usually referred to as multipoles) [23]:

$$M^{(l)}_{\nu}(r) = \nabla \times r \psi^{(l)}_{\nu}(r),$$

$$N^{(l)}_{\nu}(r) = \frac{1}{k} \nabla \times \nabla \times r \psi^{(l)}_{\nu}(r),$$

defined in terms of the scalar generating functions $\psi^{(l)}_{\nu}(r, \theta, \phi) = z^{(l)}_{\nu}(kr) P^m(\cos \theta) \text{e}^{im\phi}$, where $z^{(l)}_{\nu}$ denotes spherical Bessel or Hankel functions (of the first kind), $j_l$ and $h_l^{(1)}$, for $i = 1$ and 2, respectively, corresponding to in- and outgoing waves. The wave number $k \equiv k_0 \sqrt{\epsilon_f}$ relates the dimensionless argument $kr$ with the material properties. An additional class of vector wave functions exists, denoted $L_{\nu}(r)$, which are rotational. These vector waves are needed, e.g., in the description of longitudinal modes arising in nonlocal response or in the presence of sources, but are irrelevant in homogeneous media described by the LRA [24–26].

Here we consider the specific case of a two-component spherically symmetric system, centered at origo, coated by a conductive film at the bulk-component interface at radius $R$, as indicated in Fig. 1. We assume that the system is illuminated from a source in the external region, denoted $V_2$, by the ingoing field $E_{\text{inc}}(r)$. The incident field excites an outgoing scattered field, $E_{\text{sca}}(r)$, in $V_2$, and an ingoing transmitted field, $E_{\text{tra}}(r)$, in the interior region, denoted $V_1$. Explicitly, the field inside and outside the sphere is expanded via

$$E_{\text{V}_1}(r) = \sum_{lm} a^{\text{inc}}_{lm} M^{(l)}_{lm}(r) + b^{\text{inc}}_{lm} N^{(l)}_{lm}(r), \quad r < R,$$

$$E_{\text{V}_2}(r) = \sum_{lm} a^{\text{asc}}_{lm} M^{(l)}_{lm}(r) + b^{\text{asc}}_{lm} N^{(l)}_{lm}(r) + \sum_{lm} a^{\text{asc}}_{lm} M^{(l)}_{lm}(r) + b^{\text{asc}}_{lm} N^{(l)}_{lm}(r), \quad r > R,$$

where regions $V_j$ are implicitly associated with wave numbers $k_j = k_0 \sqrt{\epsilon_j}$.

The transmitted and scattered amplitudes, $(a^{\text{asc}}_{lm}, b^{\text{asc}}_{lm})$, and $(a^{\text{inc}}_{lm}, b^{\text{inc}}_{lm})$, are linearly proportional to the incident amplitudes, $(a^{\text{inc}}_{lm}, b^{\text{inc}}_{lm})$. Their interrelation is dictated by the boundary conditions (BCs) at the domain interface at $r = R$. In the presence of a conductive surface at $r = R$ a finite surface current $K$ is introduced, in which case the BCs read as $\mathbf{n} \times (E_{\text{V}_2} - E_{\text{V}_1}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \times (E_{\text{V}_2} - H_{\text{V}_2}) = K$ at all surficial points. We take the induced surface current at a surficial point $r$, with associated normal $\mathbf{n}$, as linearly related to the tangential field $E_{\text{r}}(r)$, constructed such that $E_{\text{r}}(r) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, via an LRA surface conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$, such that $K(r) = \sigma(\omega) E_{\text{r}}(r)$.

Enforcing these BCs translates into local, linear relations between the scattered and incident amplitudes:

$$a^{\text{asc}}_{lm} = t^{\text{TE, inc}}_{lm} a^{\text{inc}}_{lm} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}, \quad b^{\text{asc}}_{lm} = t^{\text{TM, inc}}_{lm} b^{\text{inc}}_{lm} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'},$$

where the proportionality constants, often referred to as Mie-Lorenz scattering coefficients, are given by

\begin{align*}
&t^{\text{TE}}_{lm} = -j_l(x_1)j_l(x_2) + j_l(x_1)x_2 \sum_{m'} \frac{x_1^2 h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_2)}{h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_1)} - j_l(x_1)x_1 \frac{x_2^2 h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_2)}{h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_1)} + g(\omega)x_1^2 j_l(x_1) h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_2), \\
&t^{\text{TM}}_{lm} = -j_l(x_1)j_l(x_2) + j_l(x_1)x_2 \sum_{m'} \frac{x_1^2 h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_2)}{h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_1)} - j_l(x_1)x_1 \frac{x_2^2 h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_2)}{h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_1)} + g(\omega)x_1^2 j_l(x_1) h^{(1)}_{m'}(x_2),
\end{align*}
written in terms of the dimensionless argument \(x_j = k_j R\) for
\(j = \{0, 1, 2\}\), and where the influence of the conductive surface
is included via the dimensionless parameter:

\[
g(\omega) = \frac{i \epsilon(\omega)}{\epsilon_0 \omega R}\ 
\]

(7)

Naturally, for vanishing surface conductivity \(g(\omega) \to 0\),
whereby the solution reduces to the standard Mie-Lorenz
coefficients [27].

**B. The multipolar polarizability and the nonretarded plasmon dispersion**

The amplitudes in Eq. (6) give the fully retarded response.
However, as is well known, the quasistatic limit is excellent
in the context of plasmonic excitations in graphene when \(\hbar \omega / \epsilon \gg \alpha_i \approx 1/137\) [28]. For optical interactions in the
quasistatic regime, the multipolar polarizability, \(\alpha_i\), constitutes
the central object, and can be derived from the TM Mie-Lorenz
coefficients via [25]

\[
\alpha_l = -4\pi i \left(\frac{1}{l+1}\right)^2 \lim_{x_0 \to 0} \left[ \frac{q_{TM}}{k_2^{2+1}} \right],
\]

(8)

with \(!!\) denoting the double factorial. From this we can
derive (using the small-argument asymptotic expansions of the
spherical Bessel functions) the multipolar polarizability in
the quasistatic limit:

\[
\alpha_l = 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{l+1}\right)^2 \left[ \frac{e_1 - e_2 + (l+1)g(\omega)}{e_1 + (l+1)e_2 + (l+1)g(\omega)} \right].
\]

(9)

This expression is naturally highly reminiscent of the well-
known result for the polarizability of a two-component spherical
system [29,30], but extended via \(g(\omega)\) to account for
the presence of a conductive surface.

The plasmonic resonances of the system are obtained from
the poles of the Mie-Lorenz coefficients of Eq. (8), or, in
the quasistatic regime, from the poles of the polarizability of
Eq. (9). In the latter case, we can derive an uncomplicated resonance condition for the \(l\)-order multipolar plasmon at frequency \(\omega_l\), extending the Fröhlich condition to account for a conductive surface contribution:

\[
l e_1 + (l+1)e_2 + (l+1)g(\omega_l) = 0.
\]

(10)

Though usually—in the absence of a coating—the existence of a plasmon requires \(e_1 e_2 < 0\), it is evident that plasmons may exist here even when \(e_1, e_2 > 0\) provided that \(g(\omega_l)\) is sufficiently negative, achievable for a surface conductivity with \(\text{Im}(\sigma) < 0\).

For a uniform background, \(e_1 = e_2 = \epsilon\), the condition is particularly elucidating, reading

\[
\frac{2l e_2 \epsilon \omega_l}{\sigma(\omega_l)} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2l+1}\right) \frac{l}{R} \equiv q_{TM}^{\text{eff}}.
\]

(11)

Here we have cast the condition in the equivalent form as that of the infinite sheet plasmon condition [31], whereby we are able to identify an effective momentum \(q_{TM}^{\text{eff}}\), which, rather suggestively, approaches \(1/R\) asymptotically as \(l \to \infty\), as a consequence of the modes perceiving the curving surface as increasingly flat with higher \(l\) and concomitant shorter wavelengths [26,30]. For the optically important dipole resonance, we find \(q_{TM}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} k_0^{-1}\).

The identification of an effective momentum suggests a phenomenological approach to incorporate the effects of nonlocal response (momentum dispersion), by substituting \(\sigma(\omega) \to \sigma(q_{TM}^{\text{eff}}, \omega)\), with the latter expression obtainable, e.g., from the noninteracting polarizability [32,33]. However, though such a speculative approach certainly is alluring, it would constitute an overextension of the momentum analogy. Indeed, upon including nonlocal response through its hydrodynamic approximation one finds that the correct effective momentum takes a form \(q_{TM}^{\text{eff}, \ni} \equiv \sqrt{l(l+1)/R}\), clearly distinct from \(q_{TM}^{\text{eff}}\). For completeness we discuss the inclusion of hydrodynamic response in the conductive coating in the Appendix, whose contribution can be accounted for by a straightforward rescaling of the local-response conductivity.

**III. GRAPHENE COATING OF DIELECTRIC SPHERES**

The analysis so far is valid for any spectral dependence of \(\sigma(\omega)\) (or, indeed, of \(\epsilon_1\) or \(\epsilon_2\)). For a graphene-coated system, we take \(\sigma(\omega)\) as graphene’s bulk LRA conductivity, which for a Fermi level \(\epsilon_F\) at finite temperature \(T\) reads as \(\sigma(\omega) = \sigma_{\text{intra}}(\omega) + \sigma_{\text{inter}}(\omega) [34,35]:\)

\[
\frac{\sigma_{\text{intra}}(\omega)}{\sigma_0} = \frac{2 i k_B T}{\hbar \omega} \ln \left[ 2 \cosh \left( \frac{\epsilon_F}{2 k_B T} \right) \right], \quad (12a)
\]

\[
\frac{\sigma_{\text{inter}}(\omega)}{\sigma_0} = \frac{\pi}{4} H \left( \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \right) + i \hbar \omega \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \frac{H(\epsilon) - H(\epsilon/2)}{\hbar^2 \omega^2 - 4\epsilon^2}, \quad (12b)
\]

with definitions \(\tilde{\omega} \equiv \omega + i \gamma_0^\epsilon\) where \(\gamma_0^\epsilon\) denotes the optical loss rate of graphene [38,39], \(\sigma_0 \equiv e^2/\pi \hbar\) is the quantum of conductance, and \(H(\epsilon)\) is the population difference between energies \(\mp \epsilon:\)

\[
H(\epsilon) = \frac{\sinh(\epsilon/k_B T)}{\cosh(\epsilon/k_B T)}.
\]

(12c)

In the ensuing subsections we consider nondispersive bulk media, i.e., spectrally constant \(\epsilon_1\) and \(\epsilon_2\), that is, dielectrics. In this case, the existence of localized plasmons is strictly due to the graphene coating. In Sec. IV we explore a dispersive interior, concretized by a graphene-coated Drude sphere, with the accompanying plasmons emerging from the interaction of the plasmon branches of each bare subsystem.

**A. Size dispersion and modal profile**

In Fig. 2(a) we investigate the size dispersion of the plasmonic modes of graphene spheres in vacuum in the low-
temperature, low-loss limit, by solving Eq. (10) numerically.
It is evident that for large spheres and sufficiently low \(l\) the intraband, low-loss approximation, \(\sigma(\omega) \simeq \sigma_{\text{intra}}(\omega)\), is a good approximation, yielding the dispersion \(\hbar \omega_0 \simeq \left[ e^2 \epsilon_1 / \pi \epsilon_0 R \right]^{1/2}\) with \(\epsilon_0^2 \equiv \epsilon_1 / (l + 1) + \epsilon_2 / l\). For smaller spheres, and concomitantly larger resonance frequencies, the interband term redshifts the resonances significantly [40]. Furthermore, since \(\text{Im}(\sigma_{\text{intra}} + \sigma_{\text{inter}})\) changes sign from positive to negative at \(\omega_0 / \epsilon_1 \approx 1.6671\) the LRA predicts plasmon resonances restricted to the range below this
circumferential wavelength, displaying the plasmon modes also exhibit a monotonically decreasing polariton with increasing momentum. In line with this analogy, the increasing confinement experienced by a surface-plasmon to positive and negative, respectively.

of the conductivity, in dashed blue lines, while the region of negative imaginary part the intraband approximation (discussed in the text) is indicated Fermi level plot. Resonances are calculated for lossless graphene spheres with Fermi level $\epsilon_F = 0.4$ eV in vacuum ($\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 1$) at zero temperature. The intraband approximation (discussed in the text) is indicated in dashed blue lines, while the region of negative imaginary part of the conductivity, $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F \gtrsim 1.6671$, is indicated in gray shading. (b) Induced multipole modal profiles in the $xz$ plane, calculated for $R = 20$ nm and $m = 1$ at resonance. Indicated is $|\mathbf{E}|$ in contours (separated by factors of 2) and $\text{Re}(E_\theta)$ in blue and red, corresponding to positive and negative, respectively.

seen in Fig. 2(a), the resonance position is redshifted with increasing radius, leading to a size-dependent extinction cross section. This stands in contrast to the resonances of metallic nanospheres which, in the classic quasistatic picture, exhibit size-independent resonances (though the inclusion of nonlocal response introduces a size dependence [41]). The inclusion of a nonunity dielectric as the spherical substrate redshifts and lowers the overall response efficiency as seen from the calculations for coated polystyrene spheres ($\epsilon_1 = 2.4$). This is consistent with the redshift generally arising from a reduction frequency—though the inclusion of nonlocal response relaxes this restriction [32,33]. As seen from Fig. 2(b) the electric fields associated with each multipole plasmon are increasingly confined to the surface region with increasing $l$, in analogy with the increasing confinement experienced by a surface-plasmon polariton with increasing momentum. In line with this analogy, the plasmon modes also exhibit a monotonically decreasing circumferential wavelength, displaying $l$ nodal lines of $E_\theta$ in the $xz$ plane for the $l$th mode. In general, as shown in Table I, the induced charge exhibits exactly $l$ nodal lines, regardless of the value of $m$.

**B. Extinction and dipolar response**

For direct optical measurements the quantity of interest is typically the extinction cross section, which is obtainable from either the Mie-Lorenz coefficients or, approximately, from the dipolar polarizability via [27] $\sigma_{\text{ext}} = 2\pi k_0^2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (2l + 1) \text{Re}(t_l^{(1)}) \csc 2\theta \text{Im}(\alpha_1) + (6\pi)^{-1} k_0^2 |\alpha_1|^2$. As is evident from the quasistatic approximation of $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$ only the dipole plasmon influences the cross section in small spheres. In Fig. 3 we show the extinction cross-sectional efficiency of graphene-coated spheres of vacuum and polystyrene, surrounded externally by vacuum. Indeed, it is evident that the dipole approximation is excellent, even for graphene-coated spheres of several hundred nanometers. As already
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**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Extinction cross-sectional efficiency for graphene spheres in vacuum of varying radii $R = 5, 10, 20, 50$, and 200 nm (indicated in color), with Fermi level $\epsilon_F = 0.4$ eV, loss rate $\hbar\gamma_F = 20$ meV, and temperature $T = 300$ K. Top and bottom panels consider the interior spheres consisting of vacuum and polystyrene ($\epsilon_1 = 1$ and 2.4), respectively. The quasistatic dipole approximation as well as fully retarded calculations are presented, here shown in dashed black lines and colored areas, respectively.
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of the effective Coulomb interaction $1/\varepsilon_i^0 R$ (since $\varepsilon_i^0$ increases with $\varepsilon_2$).

Lastly, comment on the maximum values of the extinction cross section, which, for the nanoscopic spheres considered here, is chiefly due to absorption with only negligible scattering contributions. Though the achieved maximum cross section of the dipole is far below the single-channel limit of absorption (at $3\lambda^2/8\pi$), as relevant, e.g., in the pursuit of superscattering [42], a substantial resonant absorption is evident in Fig. 3. In particular, above- or near-unity cross-sectional efficiencies are achieved, constituting a noteworthy surpassing of the comparatively low $\sim 2.3\%$ absorptance of pristine graphene [3]. The size dependence of the maximum cross-sectional efficiency (attained at the dipole resonance), equaling approximately $\sigma_{\text{ext}}/\pi R^2 \approx 24 \varepsilon_i^0 \rho_{\text{LDOS}}^\epsilon$, in the low-loss regime, is strictly due to interband dispersion: upon neglecting interband terms and temperature dependence, the maximum attains the size-independent value of $\sigma_{\text{ext}}/\pi R^2 \approx \alpha^2/(2\pi\varepsilon)^2$, with $\alpha \approx 1/137$ denoting the fine-structure constant.

C. LDOS and multipolar response

Exploring the properties of plasmons beyond the dipole resonance is best facilitated by near-field measurements whose exciting fields (and sampling profile) are not plane waves [26]. Such nonplanar exciting fields are naturally associated with nearby dipole emitters, such as dyes. The interplay between emitter and plasmonic system, leading, e.g., to decay enhancement [28], is then governed by the electric LDOS [43]. As for the cross section, the LDOS enhancement, i.e., the LDOS near the nanosphere, $\rho_i^e$, relative to the LDOS in free space, $\rho_i^0$, can be obtained from the Mie-Lorenz coefficients—or, more simply, from the multipolar polarizability. In particular, in the quasistatic limit, the emitter-orientation-averaged LDOS enhancement reads as [26,44]

$$\frac{\langle \rho_i^e \rangle}{\rho_i^0} = 1 + \frac{1}{8\pi k^2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (l+1)(2l+1) \frac{\text{Im}(\alpha_l)}{(R+\delta)^{2l+2}}.$$  

evaluated at radial distance $\delta$ from the sphere surface.

In Fig. 4 we consider the spectral dependence of the orientation averaged LDOS at varying distances from the spherical coating. At large surface-to-probe separations the LDOS is dominated by the dipolar plasmon, whilst at increasingly shorter separations the high-order multipoles appear as significant features. The LDOS evaluated at just 2 nm above the surface reveals a broad multiple multipole feature. This broad feature is comprised of several spectrally overlapping multipole plasmons, that are increasingly congested as the energies approach $\approx 1.6671\varepsilon_F$. Once more, this effect has a close analog in metallic nanospheres where local response incurs a pile up of multipole modes near the flat-interface surface-plasmon resonance at $\omega_p/\sqrt{2}$, with $\omega_p$ denoting the metallic plasma frequency [26,30].

IV. GRAPHENE COATING OF DRUDE SPHERES

Proceeding from the study of a nondispersive interior, we consider next a graphene-coated Drude sphere, wherein we assign the interior dielectric function a Drude form:

$$\varepsilon_i(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma_0)},$$  

where $\varepsilon_\infty$ gives the residual high-frequency response of the material, and $\gamma_0$ gives the optical loss rate of the Drude material. The Drude dispersion is traditionally applied to metals, but also reliably describes strongly doped semiconductors much larger in extent than the Fermi wavelength [45,46]. The case of doped semiconductor spheres is significantly more interesting from the perspective of mode hybridization, as the range of plasma frequencies of doped semiconductors overlaps the realizable Fermi energies of graphene.

In this case where the interior is dispersive and well described by Eq. (14) the resonances of the coated system then follow directly from Eq. (10). If we include only the low-temperature intraband response of graphene, via $\sigma_{\text{intr}}(\omega) = i\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_F/\pi \hbar^2(\omega + i\gamma_0)$, the dipole resonance condition is particularly simple, reading as

$$\frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma_0)} + \frac{\omega_{gR}^2}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma_0)} = \varepsilon_\infty + 2\varepsilon_2,$$  

where

$$\omega_{gR}^2 \equiv \frac{2\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_F}{\varepsilon_0^2\pi \hbar^2 R}$$  

plays the role of an effective plasma frequency of the graphene coating. To first order in the loss rates ($\gamma_0$, $\gamma_g$) we find a single positive resonance frequency $\omega \equiv \omega_h - i\omega_0$ with [47]

$$\omega_h \simeq \left[ \omega_p^2 + \omega_g^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad \omega_0 \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_p^2 + \omega_g^2 \right) \gamma_0 + \omega_g \gamma_0.$$  

FIG. 4. (Color online) LDOS enhancement for graphene spheres in vacuum of varying radii $R = 20$ and $50$ nm, with setup otherwise as in Fig. 3. The surface-to-observation distance $\delta$ is indicated in each panel. In the top panel, the $l \to \infty$ multipole asymptote at $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F \approx 1.6671$ is indicated by the dashed line. For each panel, the $y$ axis ranges from unity and upwards.

$\text{FIG. 4. (Color online) LDOS enhancement for graphene spheres in vacuum of varying radii} R = 20$ and $50$ nm, with setup otherwise as in Fig. 3. The surface-to-observation distance $\delta$ is indicated in each panel. In the top panel, the $l \to \infty$ multipole asymptote at $\hbar\omega/\epsilon_F \approx 1.6671$ is indicated by the dashed line. For each panel, the y axis ranges from unity and upwards.
The result bears a high resemblance with the standard quasistatic dipole resonance of a Drude sphere, but here lucidly adapted to account for the graphene coating through $c_R^2$. Considering $\omega_0$ it is interesting to note that for $\gamma_0 \neq \gamma_g$ it is possible to achieve an effectively reduced plasmon decay rate compared, e.g., to the uncoated Drude sphere by appropriate scaling of $c_R^2$ relative to $\omega_p$: specifically, if $c_R^2/\omega_p^2 \equiv a$ then $\omega_l \simeq 1/(\omega + 1)(\alpha \gamma_g + \gamma_0)$, illustrating that the decay can be tuned from predominately graphene- to Drude-like by varying the ratio $a$. Evidently, the comparative importance of graphene’s response relative to the bulk Drude material’s is indicated by the $a$, with the former dominant when $a > 1$.

In Fig. 5 we explore the response of a graphene-coated Drude sphere, conceptually representative of a doped semiconductor, by considering the extinction cross-sectional efficiency, for several fixed values of the radius $(a)$ as indicated. The Drude material is characterized by its plasma frequency $\omega_0 = 0.8$ eV and loss rate $\gamma_0 = 0.1$ eV, while graphene parameters are evaluated with $\omega_g = 20$ meV at $T = 0$ K. The color coded response indicates the extinction efficiency, $\sigma_{ext}/\pi R^2$, calculated from the fully retarded expression. The green and red lines indicate the retarded bare resonance positions of the Drude sphere and graphene-coated vacuum sphere, respectively. In blue is given the quasistatic width via $\gamma_0$.

The intraband approximation in Eq. (16) plainly provides an excellent account of both the position and width of the dipole resonance in the region $\hbar \omega < 2\epsilon_F$, i.e., outside the region of interband Landau damping, that is, for sufficiently large spheres at sufficiently high graphene Fermi energies. Notably, the hybridization between the bare resonances of the Drude sphere and a graphene-coated vacuum sphere leads to just a single hybridized mode, rather than the familiar construction of a red- and blueshifted bonding and antibonding mode. In the joint Drude-graphene case, due to the absence of spatial separation between the induced charge regions in the two materials—both residing at the sphere surface—just a single hybridized plasmon is formed. As is evident from Eq. (16) the hybridized resonance is blueshifted compared to the bare resonances. Significant tunability is achievable by varying either the sphere radius or, for dynamical purposes, graphene’s doping level. The latter scenario could be achieved, e.g., by application of an external gating field, with significant retention of tunability expected [48], even in the presence of a fixed substrate charge-transfer contribution [49].

A. Relation to surface scattering

At this point we digress briefly from considerations of graphene coatings, to consider an interesting corollary of Eq. (10) in the dipole case related to surface scattering and Kreibig’s size-dependent damping model. Specifically, suppose that a metallic particle, well described by Eq. (14), is on the order of unity [50]—with $A \rightarrow 1$ for any $\delta\gamma/\omega_p \ll 1$ for any $\delta\gamma/\omega_p$. Indeed, it was established by Apell and Penn, using density functional theory, that the primary contributor to $A$ is on the order of unity [51]—with this in mind, we recognize that surface scattering due to a spatial dependence of $\gamma$ is only a minor contributor to the experimentally measured $A$, since $\delta\gamma/\omega_p \ll 1$ for any reasonable imagined $\delta\gamma$. Indeed, it was established by Apell and Penn, using density functional theory, that the primary contributor to $A$ arises from density inhomogeneity in the surface region [51].

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have examined the electromagnetic response—and, as a key element, the plasmonic properties—of a two-component spherical structure, coated at the interface by a conductive film, exemplified here by a graphene coating.
Within the naturally suited framework of vector waves we derived a corresponding set of generalized Mie-Lorenz scattering coefficients. Restricting our considerations to the quasistatic regime, we derived mathematically uncomplicated dispersion equations for the multipole plasmons. Considering the modest number of established analytical dispersion equations in graphene plasmonics, we believe that the additional member introduced here offers a complementing view, particularly in the emerging subfield of plasmonic interaction in nonplanar two-dimensional structures. Finally, as useful applications of the theory developed herein, we considered two distinct types of spherical substrates for the coating: specifically, dielectric and Drude substrates. In the former case, this allowed us to explore the localized plasmons arising strictly from the charge carriers in the graphene coating, while, in the latter case, we explored the interplay between plasmons supported by the bulk and the coating.
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APPENDIX: INCLUDING HYDRODYNAMIC NONLOCALITY IN GRAPHENE’S RESPONSE IN SPHERICAL GEOMETRIES

We here discuss how to appropriately account for nonlocal response acting in the graphene coating through a hydrodynamic model. For simplicity—and because it is justified in the size regime relevant for nonlocal response in finite structures—we work in the quasistatic regime.

Hydrodynamic response is included by a modest generalization of the usual Ohm’s law \( \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma(\omega) \mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{r}) \) by appending to the left-hand side a term \( \frac{\beta^2}{\omega^2} \nabla^2 \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) \), which in turn, combined with the continuity equation, enforces a relationship between the induced charge density \( \rho \) and the potential \( \phi \) [40,52]:

\[
\rho(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\beta^2}{\omega^2} \nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{i \sigma(\omega)}{\omega} \nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}),
\]

(A1)

with plasma velocity \( \beta \) proportional to the Fermi velocity \( v_F \), interrelated approximately [52] by \( \beta^2 = \frac{1}{3} v_F^2 \) in graphene. For the potential, we expand it in the exterior and interior regions, \( \mathcal{V}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{V}_1 \), by making use of axial symmetry to freely choose the azimuthal \( m = 0 \) component of a complete set of bounded, nonconstant solutions of the Laplace equation [53]:

\[
\phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_l^{inc} r^l}{r} P_l(\cos \theta), \quad r < R, \tag{A2a}
\]

\[
\phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left[ c_l^{inc} r^l + c_l^{sca} r^{-(l+1)} \right] P_l(\cos \theta), \quad r > R, \tag{A2b}
\]

with associated incident, scattered, and transmitted multipole coefficients \( c_l^{inc}, c_l^{sca}, \) and \( c_l^{tra} \), respectively. Due to spherical symmetry, the coefficients can be matched multipole by multipole, i.e., separately for each \( l \). The matching is governed by the BCs \( \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{E}_i - \mathbf{E}_f) = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{D}_i - \mathbf{D}_f) = \rho \), which translate into BCs for the potential \( \phi \), reading as \( \partial_\mathbf{r} \phi_{\nu l} = \partial_\mathbf{r} \phi_{\nu l} \) and \( \varepsilon_1 \partial_\mathbf{r} \phi_{\nu l} - \varepsilon_2 \partial_\mathbf{r} \phi_{\nu l} = \rho \) at all surficial points. The induced charge density associated with a potential \( \phi_{\nu l} \) of multipole order \( l \) is denoted \( \rho_l \) and is obtained by solving Eq. (A1) subject to Eq. (A2a) for fixed \( l \) yielding

\[
\rho_l = -c_l^{inc} \frac{i \sigma_l^{inc}(\omega)}{\omega} r^{l-2}(l+1) P_l(\cos \theta), \tag{A3a}
\]

expressed via a hydrodynamically corrected conductivity:

\[
\sigma_l^{inc}(\omega) = \frac{\sigma(\omega)}{1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\omega^2} \left( l(l+1) \right) / R^2}. \tag{A3b}
\]

Applying the BCs to Eqs. (A2) and (A3a) then yields a direct relation between the scattered and incident multipole coefficients:

\[
c_l^{sca} = -(4\pi)^{-1} \alpha_l^{inc} q_l^{inc}, \tag{A4}
\]

expressed in terms of a hydrodynamic multipole polarizability \( \alpha_l^{inc} \). Importantly, as is evident from Eq. (A3a), the inclusion of hydrodynamic response acts only to introduce an effective conductivity \( \sigma_l^{inc} \). As such, the hydrodynamic multipole polarizability \( \alpha_l^{inc} \) differs only from its LRA counterpart \( \alpha_l \) of Eq. (9) by the substitution \( \sigma \rightarrow \sigma_l^{inc} \).

Interestingly, in momentum space the hydrodynamic conductivity of a planar sheet takes the form \( \sigma(q, \omega) = \sigma(\omega) \left[ 1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\omega^2} q^2 \right]^{-1} \). Clearly, a mapping between the planar case and Eq. (A3b) can be achieved by introducing an effective momentum \( q_l^{eff} \equiv \sqrt{l(l+1)} / R \). Notably, this differs from the optically relevant effective momentum \( q_l^{eff} \) at order \( O(l^{-1}) \).

Concluding our considerations of hydrodynamics, we comment that the effective nonlocal interaction range \( \beta / \omega \sim 1 \) nm for graphene (for a resonance, e.g., at \( h\omega = 0.5 \) eV), which is relatively large compared to noble metals [26], e.g., \( \beta / \omega \sim 3 \) Å in Ag at relevant plasmonic frequencies. As such, the onset of significant hydrodynamic perturbations to the LRA predictions in graphene can be expected to occur comparatively earlier when decreasing the characteristic feature length. In particular, we should expect notable nonlocal corrections throughout the few-nanometer domain.

[9] Z. Fang, S. Thongrattanasiri, A. Schlather, Z. Liu, L. Ma, Y. Wang, P. M. Ajayan, P. Nordlander, N. J. Halas, and F. J. García de Abajo, ACS Nano 7, 2388 (2013).
[33] Here we assume that the conductive response of the spherical geometry is well approximated by its planar equivalent. However, as is well known from carbon nanotubes [36], zone folding quantizes the allowable electronic momenta and so modifies the linear energy dispersion of planar graphene. Nevertheless, these perturbations incur only negligible changes to the conductive response provided the inverse circumference remains small relative to the Fermi momentum $k_F$, i.e., provided $k_FR \gg 1$. Lastly, the influence of curvature and strain on the effective hopping amplitude [37] is similarly neglected in this approximation.