Mapping localised freshwater anomalies in the brackish paleo-lake sediments of the Machile–Zambezi Basin with transient electromagnetic sounding, geoelectrical imaging and induced polarisation

Chongo, Mkhuzo; Christiansen, Anders Vest; Fiandaca, Gianluca; Nyambe, Imasiku A.; Larsen, Flemming; Bauer-Gottwein, Peter

Published in:
Journal of Applied Geophysics

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.jappgeo.2015.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2015.10.002)

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Chongo, M., Christiansen, A. V., Fiandaca, G., Nyambe, I. A., Larsen, F., & Bauer-Gottwein, P. (2015). Mapping localised freshwater anomalies in the brackish paleo-lake sediments of the Machile–Zambezi Basin with transient electromagnetic sounding, geoelectrical imaging and induced polarisation. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 123, 81-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2015.10.002>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Mapping localized freshwater anomalies in the brackish Paleo-Lake sediments of the Machile-
2 Zambezi Basin with transient electromagnetic sounding, geoelectrical imaging and induced
3 polarization

4
5 Mkhuzo Chongo^{1,*}, Anders Vest Christiansen², Gianluca Fiandaca², Imasiku A Nyambe³,
6 Flemming Larsen⁴ and Peter Bauer-Gottwein¹

7
8 ¹Technical University of Denmark, Department of Environmental Engineering, Miljøvej, Building
9 113, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

10 ²Aarhus University, Department of Geoscience, C.F. Møllers Alle 4, 8000-Århus C, Denmark

11 ³University of Zambia, School of Mines, Department of Geology, Great East Road Campus, P.O
12 Box 32379, Lusaka, Zambia

13 ⁴Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS), Geochemistry, Øster Volgade 10, 1350
14 København K, Denmark

15

16 *Corresponding author email: mkhuc@env.dtu.dk

17

18 ABSTRACT

19 A recent airborne TEM survey in the Machile-Zambezi Basin of south western Zambia revealed
20 high electrical resistivity anomalies (around 100 Ω m) in a low electrical resistivity (below 13 Ω m)

background. The near surface (0-40 m depth range) electrical resistivity distribution of these anomalies appeared to be coincident with superficial features related to surface water such as alluvial fans and flood plains. This paper describes the application of transient electromagnetic soundings (TEM) and continuous vertical electrical sounding (CVES) using geo-electrics and time domain induced polarization to evaluate a freshwater lens across a flood plain on the northern bank of the Zambezi River at Kasaya in south western Zambia. Coincident TEM and CVES measurements were conducted across the Simalaha Plain from the edge of the Zambezi River up to 6.6 km inland. The resulting TEM, direct current and induced polarization data sets were inverted using a new mutually and laterally constrained joint inversion scheme. The resulting inverse model sections depict a freshwater lens sitting on top of a regional saline aquifer. The fresh water lens is about 60 m thick at the boundary with the Zambezi River and gradually thins out and deteriorates in water quality further inland. It is postulated that the freshwater lens originated as a result of interaction between the Zambezi River and the salty aquifer in a setting in which evapotranspiration is the net climatic stress. Similar high electrical resistivity bodies were also associated with other surface water features located in the airborne surveyed area.

36

Key words:

TEM; DCIP; Joint Inversion; surface water/ groundwater interaction; Zambezi River; Zambia

39

1 Introduction

The interaction between surface water and groundwater has been studied extensively around the world (Milosevic et al., 2012; Shanafield and Cook, 2014; Sophocleous, 2002; Westbrook et al.,

2005; Winter, 1999; Zarroca et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2014) using different approaches, and increasingly geophysical methods are being incorporated into such studies.

Specific examples of studies that have used geophysical data to investigate hydrogeological phenomenon include Bauer et al. (2006) who described the process of salt accumulation on islands within the Okavango Delta, related to the interaction between surface water and groundwater under evapo-concentration using a combination of electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) (which is the same as CVES with respect to geo-electrics) and hydrodynamic modeling; Sonkamble et al. (2014) who evaluated the extent of aquifer pollution from industrial effluent across the flood plain of the Palar River at Ambur Town (India) using 1D and 2D geo-electrics correlated with in-situ water quality data and ground penetrating radar; Shalem et al. (2014) who studied the interaction of the Alexander River with groundwater as it cuts its way across a mostly sandy Quaternary coastal aquifer on the eastern coast of the Mediterranean Sea; and Zarroca et al. (2014) who evaluated coastal discharge processes at the Peníscola marsh on the Spanish Mediterranean coast using electrical resistivity imaging and temperature, salinity and ^{224}Ra , ^{222}Rn tracer tests coupled with petrophysical analysis.

Thus geophysical techniques such as ERT are well suited for gathering data at high spatial resolution in comparison to for example point measurements of hydrogeological parameters at sparsely spaced boreholes (Zarroca et al., 2014). An overall assessment strategy using a combination of different geophysical methods and traditional hydrogeological methods can therefore be advantageous (Brodie et al., 2007; Rubin and Hubbard, 2006). In this regard, TEM (Danielsen et al., 2003; Harthill, 1976; Nabighian, 1991; Xue et al., 2012), direct current geo-electrics (DC) (Aizebeokhai, 2010; Dahlin, 2001; Loke, 1999; Loke et al., 2013) and induced

67 polarization (IP) (Bertin and Loeb, 1969; Dahlin et al., 2002; Fiandaca et al., 2012; Fiandaca et al.,
68 2013; Titov et al., 2002) techniques are quite suitable for environmental and hydro-geological
69 investigations particularly in sedimentary terrain.

70
71 Traditionally, TEM, DC and IP techniques have been deployed separately even for investigations at
72 the same study site (Bauer et al., 2006; Ezersky et al., 2011; Guerin et al., 2001; Nassir et al., 2000;
73 Vaudelet et al., 2011) although it is now common to have instrumentation that measures both DC
74 and IP in the same field setup (Aristodemou and Thomas-Betts, 2000; Marescot et al., 2008). As a
75 result, different types of datasets are quite often generated for the same physical or environmental
76 phenomenon by inverting each type of dataset individually. Nevertheless, major benefits can be
77 derived from joint inversion of different types of data that observe the same phenomenon and can
78 lead to more accurate interpretations. Thus many studies have successfully used one form of joint
79 inversion or another such as DC-TEM (Albouy et al., 2001; Christiansen et al., 2007; Danielsen et
80 al., 2007), and MRS-TEM (Behroozmand et al., 2012; Vilhelmsen et al., 2014). Examples of DCIP
81 joint inversions are scarce in the literature with the normal practice being to independently invert
82 the DC and IP data either as separate inversion jobs or in one inversion job but without any of the
83 datasets influencing the other during the inversion process. Furthermore, joint DCIP-TEM
84 inversions have not been reported in the literature before. This paper therefore presents a first case
85 study of joint inversion of DCIP-TEM data.

86
87 The focus of this paper is on local scale electrical resistivity anomalies derived from interpreting
88 regional scale airborne TEM data in terms of surface water/groundwater interaction in the Machile-
89 Zambezi Basin. The objectives were to describe the occurrence of high electrical resistivity
90 anomalies in the low electrical resistivity background environment of the Machile-Zambezi Basin;

91 conduct local scale TEM and direct current-induced polarization (DCIP) CVES measurements
92 along a transect cutting across an area exhibiting electrical resistivity anomalies; evaluate the
93 benefits of joint inversion of the local scale TEM and DCIP data in comparison to separate
94 inversions; and to evaluate the inverse resistivity section in terms of surface water groundwater
95 interaction taking place at the local site.

96

97 2 Materials and methods

98 2.1 Study site

99 The study area is in the southern central low lying areas of the Machile-Zambezi Basin on the
100 northern banks of the Zambezi River. The area is drained by three main tributaries of the Zambezi
101 River namely Loanja, Machile (or Kasaya) and Ngwezi. The downstream reaches of the Machile
102 and Ngwezi streams respectively flow across seasonally flooded plains as single channels before
103 entering the Zambezi. However the Loanja stream terminates inland to form an inland delta or
104 alluvial fan. The Loanja alluvial fan and the Simalaha flood plain (bound by Kasaya River to the
105 west and the Zambezi River to the south) were the two local areas of interest for this study.
106 However, the combined TEM/CVES transect is reported only for the Simalaha flood plain (Figure
107 1).

Figure 1: The study area depicting Loanja alluvial fan, Simalaha flood plain, Kasaya Transect and TEM flight paths. Satellite image courtesy of ESRI (2014). Note that the Simalaha Profile is shorter than the Kasaya Transect since there is no more airborne electromagnetic data outside the flight lines, whereas the CVES and TEM data on the Kasaya Transect extend beyond the flight path where the Simalaha Profile ends up to the Zambezi River.

2.2 Data collection and pre-processing

Airborne data was conducted along 8 flight lines totalling 1000 line kilometres using the VTEM system (GEOTECH, 2011). Four of the flight lines were oriented southwest to northeast whereas the other 4 were oriented from northwest to southeast (Figure 1 in Section 2.1). Details about the airborne survey and about the processing, inversion and interpretation of the collected TEM data are

120 given in Chongo et al. (2015). Cross sections of the airborne TEM data along the Loanja and
 121 Simalaha profiles (Figure 1 in Section 2.1) are shown in Figure 2 (a.) and (b.) respectively. These
 122 depict superficial electrical resistivity anomalies in an otherwise low electrical resistivity
 123 background (saline environment) and were the basis of the detailed local scale study conducted on
 124 the Kasaya transect presented in this paper.

126 Figure 2: (a) Electrical resistivity cross section along Loanja Profile (Figure 1 in Section 2.1) from
 127 the airborne transient electromagnetic data. (b) Interpolated electrical resistivity cross section along
 128 Simalaha Profile (Figure 1 in Section 2.1) from the airborne TEM data. Note that Loanja and
 129 Simalaha profiles are not drawn to scale nor are they the same length since Loanja Profile (about
 130 106 km long) is along a flight line whereas Simalaha Profile (about 5.7 km long) cuts across flight
 131 lines and as a result has a more limited data extent.

132

133 The detailed local scale geophysical investigation conducted across the Simalaha Plain at Kasaya
 134 (Figure 1 in Section 2.1) comprised:

- i. 6.6 km of CVES (Loke, 1999; Loke et al., 2013; Nassir et al., 2000) measurements at 5 m electrode spacing using the gradient array (Dahlin and Zhou, 2006) with 25,003 data points. The Terrameter LS (ABEM(a), 2012) was used for the CVES to measure both direct current electrical resistivity (DC) (Loke et al., 2013) and time domain induced polarisation (IP) (Johnson, 1984) hence the term DCIP to denote the combination of DC and IP measurements in a roll-along setup (information on the transmitter and receiver characteristics of the Terrameter LS is given in Section 2.3 below); and
- ii. 64 single site TEM (Christiansen et al., 2006) soundings using the Aarhus University/ABEM WalkTEM system; and another set of 64 central loop TEM soundings using the Geonics ProTEM 47D instrument (Geonics, 2006) at the same positions as the WalkTEM soundings. Thus the total number of TEM soundings along the transect line was 128 spaced at approximately 100 m along the 6.6 km transect line per pair of WalkTEM/ProTEM 47D soundings (information on the transmitter and receiver characteristics of the WalkTEM is given in Section 2.3 below). However data from the ProTEM instrument was not used for this paper.

The DCIP data was pre-processed by removing all data points with negative electrical resistivity and data variations greater than 1.5 %. The data that was removed this way represented only 3.3 % of the original data –i.e. 851 filtered out measurements from a total of 25, 857 DCIP measurements. The data set was then imported into the Aarhus Workbench with the IP data gated into 10 channels. Data processing in the Workbench comprised semi-automatic removal of bad IP data by setting a maximum slope change for the IP decay curves followed by visual inspection of the DC and IP data points along the profile and consequent disabling of the outliers. The DCIP noise model was set to 1.03 uniform standard deviation (USTD) on DC and 1.15 USTD on IP whereas the threshold on

159 voltage was set to 2.0 mV. For the WalkTEM data we used data from 77.6 μ s to 2.84 ms, focusing
160 on the deep information only.

161

162 2.3 Instrumentation

163 As mentioned above, the geophysical equipment used for this paper comprised the Terrameter LS
164 for geo-electric measurements and the WalkTEM for transient electromagnetic measurements.
165 Waveform characteristics for the Terrameter LS (ABEM(a), 2012) and the WalkTEM (ABEM(b),
166 2014) are outlined below in sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 respectively.

167

168 2.3.1 Terrameter LS waveform characteristics

169 The transmitter waveform of the Terrameter LS was in the form of a square wave and comprised a
170 positive and a negative pulse as shown in Figure 3. The period of the transmitter waveform was
171 automatically determined by the Terrameter LS to be 6.15 s taking into account the power line
172 frequency of 50 Hz and DC delay and acquisition times of 0.4 s and 0.6 s respectively and the time
173 needed to perform the chargeability measurements. Thus the transmitter waveform was
174 characterized by a 1 s positive pulse, followed by an off time of 1.77 s and then a negative pulse
175 also of 1 s duration followed by an off time of 2.38 s. IP measurements were performed during
176 both off times. Self-potential measurements on the other hand were conducted only during the
177 second off time hence its longer duration. Each measurement comprised at least two cycles so that
178 measured voltages could be averaged in order to eliminate zero shift and linear drift during the
179 measurement cycle (ABEM(a), 2012). Furthermore, the shape of the transmitter waveform
180 prevented polarization from occurring at the electrodes in addition to removing any background
181 voltage or self-potential (Binley and Kemna, 2006).

182

183 Figure 3 (a) Terrameter LS transmitter current and voltage waveforms and input voltages from
 184 various input channels based on the 4 electrode configuration. (b) Induced polarization decay curves
 185 from the various channels of the Terrameter LS measured during transmitter current off time.

186

187 The Terrameter LS is a multichannel auto switching instrument which when compared to
 188 instruments with separate transmitter/ receiver units has low power, voltage and current ratings of
 189 not more than 250 W, 1 000 V and 3 Amperes respectively. This is in contrast to the 3 000 V/ 10 A
 190 reachable with separate transmitter/ receiver instruments. However, multichannel auto switching
 191 instruments allow for more freedom in the array selection for using arrays with small geometrical
 192 factor values (e.g. the gradient array) in comparison with instruments with separate transmitter/
 193 receiver units (i.e. the dipole-dipole configuration). The low geometrical factor values imply higher

194 IP voltages sampled by the instruments which partly compensates for the smaller injected current
 195 (Gazoty et al., 2013). In addition, processing of the full-decay IP data (as was the case for this
 196 paper) allows for the effective deletion of spurious decays such that in the end there is reliable data
 197 with multichannel auto-switching instruments also in addition to tomographic coverage.

198

199 2.3.2 WalkTEM waveform characteristics

200 The WalkTEM instrument utilises a short duration (about 10 ms) current pulse to induce eddy
 201 currents into the subsurface which in turn generate secondary electromagnetic fields that can be
 202 detected by a receiver coil placed at the surface (ABEM(b), 2014; Christiansen et al., 2006;
 203 Nabighian, 1991). Characteristic waveforms and earth responses for a TEM sounding depicting the
 204 low moment and high moment curves are shown in Figure 4. The low moment is designed
 205 obtaining information about the conductivity structure of the shallow subsurface whereas the high
 206 moment provides information about the conductivity structure of the deeper subsurface.

207

208 Figure 4: (a) WalkTEM transmitter waveform; and (b) Typical earth response.

209

210 2.4 Inversion methodology

211 DC and TEM data were inverted separately using the 1D laterally constrained inversion (LCI)
212 (Auken et al., 2005) scheme. Subsequently, a joint inversion using the mutually and laterally
213 constrained inversion scheme of Christiansen et al. (2007) was conducted on the DC and TEM data
214 as a single inversion. This was then extended to include IP data using the Cole-Cole model setup
215 (Fiandaca et al., 2012; Gazoty et al., 2012b) so that the final inversion was a joint inversion of DCIP
216 and TEM data. Thus the DCIP and TEM model parameters being modelled comprised (intrinsic
217 chargeability (M_0), frequency dependence constant (c), time constant (τ), formation electrical
218 resistivity (ρ) and layer thicknesses). The inversion algorithm Aarhusinv (Auken et al., 2014) was
219 used for all inversions presented in this paper.

220

221 As mentioned in Section 2.2, the interval of TEM soundings along the Kasaya transect was
222 approximately every 100 m whereas the DCIP data was collected with 5 m gradient array electrode
223 spacing. The lateral constraints on the TEM models were setup such that each TEM model was
224 constrained only to the adjacent TEM model on either side along the transect line. Similarly, each
225 DCIP model was constrained only to the adjacent DCIP models. Treatment of mutual TEM/DCIP
226 constraints is explained below.

227

228 The reference lateral constraint on electrical resistivities was set to 0.3 and scaled according to:

$$229 \quad C_i = C_r * \sqrt{\frac{d}{d_r}} \quad (1)$$

230 where C_i = lateral constraint on resistivity [dimensionless fraction]; C_r is the reference constraint
231 [dimensionless fraction]; d is the distance between respective models [m]; and d_r is the reference
232 distance which was set to 10 m. Furthermore, the reference constraint on depths was set to 1 m and

233 scaled according to depth so that the deeper layers had relatively tighter constraints. The constraint
 234 values mentioned above can be considered as medium for the resistivity values and tight for the
 235 depths. These were used because they were found to give a reasonable inversion result using trial
 236 and error procedure.

237

238 Lastly, mutual constraints were applied between the TEM and DCIP models using depths of layers
 239 to set the constraint width and scaled according to the power law given above (equation 1). Thus,
 240 the deeper layers had wider and tighter constraints between TEM and DCIP models because the
 241 constraints were only applied if the distance between respective TEM and DCIP models was less
 242 than or equal to the layer depth. The reference constraint between TEM and DCIP models was set to
 243 0.1 but the reference depth was the same as for the lateral constraints (Christiansen et al., 2007).

244

245 2.5 Petro-physical considerations

246 The petro-physical relation for the Kasaya area was estimated using the following equations
 247 (Kirsch, 2009; Mualem and Friedman, 1991; Rhoades et al., 1989),

$$248 \quad \sigma_o = \frac{\sigma_w * \theta^u}{\phi} + \sigma_{sfc} \quad (2)$$

$$249 \quad \sigma_{sfc} = 1000 * (2.3 * C - 0.021) \quad (3)$$

250 Where σ_o = bulk conductivity or formation conductivity [$\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$]; σ_w = pore water conductivity
 251 [$\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$]; θ = volumetric water content [dimensionless]; u = exponent on volumetric water content
 252 (reported as 2.5 by Kirsch (2009)) ϕ = porosity [dimensionless]; σ_{sfc} = surface conductivity
 253 [$\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$]; and C = volumetric clay content [dimensionless] (Kirsch, 2009). The constant 1000 is a

254 unit conversion factor from mS/cm to $\mu\text{S/cm}$ whereas the constants 2.3 and 0.021 are empirical
255 factors as derived by Rhoades et al. (1989).

256

257 For fully saturated conditions applicable to groundwater, the volumetric water content was taken to
258 be the same as the porosity meaning that Equation 2 could be simplified as:

259
$$\sigma_o = \sigma_w \phi^v + \sigma_{sfc} \quad (4)$$

260 where the porosity exponent, $v = u - 1$ (5)

261 The various parameters of equation 2 and 3 (porosity, porosity exponent and clay content) were
262 adjusted in order to obtain the best curve fitting through the Kasaya pore water point and the
263 unsaturated formation resistivity point Figure 3 (a.). The Kasaya pore water point was defined by
264 the pore water conductivity measured from the borehole fluid by Banda et al. (2014) using an
265 electrical conductivity meter, and the formation electrical resistivity below the water table as
266 measured by the TEM method. The layered earth model derived from 1D inversion of the TEM
267 sounding at Kasaya was comparable to the induction borehole log of Banda et al. (2014) at the
268 Kasaya School borehole Figure 3 (b.). The TEM sounding was conducted using a 40 x 40 m central
269 loop configuration with the centre of the loop coincident with the borehole. The unsaturated
270 formation resistivity point was defined by a pore water electrical conductivity of 0 $\mu\text{S/cm}$, denoting
271 absence of pore water, and the formation electrical resistivity above the water table as measured by
272 the TEM method.

273

274

275

Figure 5: (a.) Illustration of the variation of the petro-physical relation (Equation 1) for different parameters (porosity (ϕ), volumetric water content exponent (u) and clay content (C). Kasaya curve

276 is the curve fitting the measured borehole fluid conductivity at Kasaya and the unsaturated
277 formation resistivity measured by TEM at the same location. (b.) Variation of electrical resistivity
278 with depth from an induction log and TEM sounding at Kasaya School in Machile-Zambezi Basin.

279
280 At present, a petro-physical relationship between IP parameters and hydrogeological parameters is
281 difficult to define. However, Pelton et al. (1978) observed that chargeability (Cole-Cole parameter
282 M_0) and time constant (Cole-Cole parameter τ) were directly proportional to fluid concentration and
283 that grain size was inversely proportional and directly proportional to M_0 and τ respectively. On the
284 other hand, Slater and Lesmes (2002) using an experimental laboratory freshwater intrusion into
285 salty water model observed that the chargeability was directly proportional to the fluid resistivity
286 –i.e. inversely proportional to the fluid conductivity in contrast to observations by Pelton et al.
287 (1978). Furthermore, Slater and Lesmes (2002) could not find any clear correlation between
288 chargeability and clay content for various mixtures of sand and bentonite clay. However, a
289 correlation was found to exist between clay content and the product of fluid conductivity and
290 chargeability.

291
292 Given the observations by Slater and Lesmes (2002), the petro-physical relation given by Equation
293 (2) is assumed to hold for this study, given that the electrical resistivity models produced from the
294 joint DCIP-TEM inversion are informed or constrained by the chargeability models. Further
295 research is required for better treatment of IP parameters with respect to hydrogeological
296 considerations (Gazoty et al., 2012a; Gazoty et al., 2012b; Weller et al., 2013).

297

2.6 Depth of investigation

The depth of investigation (DOI) (Christiansen and Auken, 2012; Oldenburg and Li, 1999; Roy and Apparao, 1971; Spies, 1989) can be used as a way of evaluating the degree to which measured data and their associated uncertainty or noise level are able to resolve the parameters of an inverse layered earth model (Christiansen and Auken, 2012). In this paper, DOI estimation was based on recalculation of the Jacobian matrix of the final 1D inverse model, taking into account the full system transfer function, system geometry, the data and the noise level on the data (Christiansen and Auken, 2012), but not taking into account in the computation the model regularization. From the Jacobian matrix, cumulated sensitivities were computed from which the DOI was deduced based on an empirical cumulative sensitivity threshold value or global threshold (Christiansen and Auken, 2012). Two global threshold values were used in this paper: 0.75, for deeper estimation of DOI (or lower DOI) and 1.5, for shallower estimation of DOI (or upper DOI). It should be noted that different DOIs will result for inverse models from different data types based on the same global threshold because the sensitivities of the different data types do not behave in exactly the same way. For example, DC data have higher sensitivities for the shallower subsurface whereas TEM data are more sensitive to conductive layers at greater depth (Christiansen and Auken, 2012). The DOI is presented on the model cross sections in form of colour fading with the upper DOI having a slightly darker shade than the lower. Thus model parameters above the DOI can be said to be well resolved whereas those below it are not. In addition to DOI, data residuals are also typically used as a measure of the fit between the data and the model although the information contained in the data residuals is also implied in the DOI.

320 3 Results and discussion

321 As mentioned in Section 2.2, the airborne survey results show electrical resistivity variations
322 correlated with surface water features in both the Simalaha Plain and the Loanja Alluvial Fan
323 (Figure 1 and Figure 2). However, only the results of the Simalaha Profile survey are tackled in this
324 paper.

325

326 3.1 Separate inversions

327 LCI of DC (Figure 6 a.) data resulted in a detail of electrical resistivity variations and clearly
328 delineated a conductive layer about 5 m thick on top of a high electrical resistivity lens that thins
329 out from left to right –i.e. south to north– with respective lowering of electrical resistivity values.
330 The DOI could not go beyond the high resistivity lens especially where the lens was thick and the
331 resistivities relatively very high, but was able to penetrate comparatively deeper where the high
332 resistivity lens had thinned out. In addition, the DOI was very variable along the model cross
333 section and was typically very shallow at both ends, but mostly a few meters less or more than 40
334 m. The shallow DOI at both ends is a consequence of lack of data in the deeper parts as a result of
335 the four electrode configuration which has a shallower penetration depth for shorter electrode
336 spacing.

337

338 LCI of TEM (Figure 6 c.) data shows reduced detail of electrical resistivity variations but improved
339 resolution of electrical resistivity interfaces at depth. The TEM is able to look beyond the high
340 resistivity lens with a much deeper DOI, meaning that model parameters are resolved at larger
341 depths with the TEM data. In addition, the DOI varies more uniformly being deeper at the
342 beginning of the transect line (around 100 m) and shallower at the end (around 50 m). This
343 variation of DOI is indicative of the sensitivity of the TEM method to conductive layers at depth. At

the beginning of the transect line, the resistive lens is thicker and therefore the depth to the
 conductive layer is deeper than at the other end of the transect line, where the resistive lens is
 thinner and the depth to the conductive layer is shallower.

Figure 6: Inverse electrical resistivity cross sections and residual plots. (a.) – (b.), inverse electrical
 resistivity cross section and residual plot respectively for LCI of DC data; (c.) – (d.), inverse
 electrical resistivity cross section and residual plot respectively for LCI of TEM data; and (e.) – (f.),

inverse electrical resistivity cross section and residual plot respectively for MCI-LCI (i.e. joint inversion) of DC and TEM data. On the residual plots, blue lines are for DC residuals whereas green lines are for TEM residuals.

3.2 Joint TEM-DC inversions

Evaluation of the inversion result from joint inversion of DC and TEM data (Figure 6 (e.) in Section 3.1) shows that major benefits can be derived when TEM and DC data are incorporated into the same inversion job. Variation of DOI for the DC models became much more uniform although it remained at more or less the same level as with the DC only LCI. However, the determination of the depths of different electrical resistivity layers showed a marked improvement in the DC models, which indicates that the characteristic of TEM data to clearly determine layer depths migrated into the DC models during the inversion process. Furthermore the TEM inverse models from the joint inversion still showed a deeper DOI in addition to being in good agreement with the DC data in the upper parts of the section (Figure 6 (e.) in Section 3.1). Thus CVES data was able to improve the resolution of TEM data in the shallow subsurface, whereas TEM data was able to improve the determination of depths, resistivities and thicknesses in the DC data throughout the transect line.

3.3 Joint TEM DCIP inversions

The results of the Joint TEM-DCIP inversion are shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8, with respect to electrical resistivity and chargeability distributions along the Kasaya transect line. Other additional parameters (τ and c), with the potential of further characterising the aquifer and sediments at Kasaya, were also produced from the DCIP-TEM joint inversion. However these have not been presented or tackled in this paper as doing so would require substantial additional research and analysis beyond the scope of the current study.

375

376 Figure 7: (a.) Inverse electrical resistivity cross section, (b.) inverse chargeability cross section, and
 377 (c.) data residual plot from joint inversion of DCIP and TEM data. The green line is for TEM
 378 residuals whereas the blue and red lines are for DC and IP residuals respectively.

379

380 Figure 8: (a.) – (c.) zoom in inverse electrical resistivity cross section, inverse chargeability cross
 381 section, and data residual plot respectively from joint inversion of DCIP and TEM data for distance
 382 interval 0 – 1,000 m. (e.) – (f.) zoom in inverse electrical resistivity cross section, inverse

383 chargeability cross section, and data residual plot respectively from joint inversion of DCIP and
384 TEM data for distance interval 4,000 – 5,000 m.

385

386 Thus the model cross sections from joint DCIP-TEM inversion (Figure 7 and Figure 8) show:

- 387 i. a low electrical resistivity layer about 5 m thick with electrical resistivity values ranging
388 between 1 - 12.6 Ωm at the top;
- 389 ii. a middle high electrical resistivity lens which is about 60 m in the south (left hand side) and
390 thins out towards the north (right hand side) to about 22 m where an electrical resistivity
391 gradient of 200 to 30 Ωm is observed from south to north respectively.
- 392 iii. the high electrical resistivity lens underlain by a transition layer with thickness ranging
393 between about 5 to 10 m and electrical resistivity values in the range of about 15 Ωm . This
394 layer diminishes at about 4600 m from the beginning of the transect line.
- 395 iv. formation resistivity of around 3.6 Ωm below the high electrical resistivity and transition
396 layers.
- 397 v. an inverse chargeability model with three distinct layers in the first half of the section and a
398 heterogeneous mix of chargeability in the second half. Between 0 to about 3,300 m an
399 approximately 5 m thick 20–40 mV/V chargeability layer overlies a 90 – 120 mV/V
400 chargeability layer with variable thickness of about 20-40 m. This in turn is underlain by a
401 15-20 mV/V chargeability layer below which the chargeability values are about 7 mV/V.
402 After 3,300 m the chargeability section is more or less mixed or chequered and shows a
403 lesser degree of layering. Chargeability values below the chequered section are about 10
404 mV/V.

405 The distribution of chargeability along the Kasaya Transect appears to be an indication of the
406 layering of the sediments along the transect line in addition to being correlated with the electrical

407 resistivity distribution in a manner similar to the experiment of Slater and Lesmes (2002). The
408 chargeability therefore had an added value of defining the stratification and zones where freshwater
409 possibly infiltrated and replaced salty groundwater. Furthermore it should be understood that the
410 main benefit of integrating DC, TEM and IP data all together is to obtain a unique model where the
411 geometry and parameter values are defined by all the available information. Thus the resulting
412 models are data driven in both the shallow part (due to DCIP data) and at depth (as a result of the
413 TEM data). Thus this is not a matter of DOI only and an attempt to give an augmented
414 interpretation in terms of changes in electrical resistivity and IP due to changes in fluid conductivity
415 with reference to the petro-physical/ textural considerations of the study area is given below.

416

417 In the experiment of Slater and Lesmes (2002), a sample of de-aired sand was first saturated with
418 pure water (electrical resistivity = 1000 Ωm) and then flushed with 33 pore volumes (25 l x
419 porosity) of NaCl (electrical resistivity = 4.54 Ωm) followed by gradual reintroduction of 37 pore
420 volumes (27 l x porosity) of pure water. Measurements of electrical resistivity and chargeability
421 were performed during the initial introduction of NaCl solution and the reintroduction of pure
422 water. From this, Slater and Lesmes (2002) observed that the bulk or formation electrical resistivity
423 reduced with the introduction of saline water and recovered with the reintroduction of pure water.
424 They also noticed that the chargeability increased with fluid electrical resistivity in such a way that
425 the chargeability curve was almost a mirror of the electrical resistivity curve. Thus, the fact that the
426 high chargeability distribution along the Kasaya transect appears to coincide more or less with the
427 high electrical resistivity distribution suggests that processes similar to those modelled by Slater and
428 Lesmes (2002) are at play in the Kasaya area. In other words, the high chargeability observed along
429 the Kasaya transect has to more to do with the infiltration of fresh water into a pre-existing saline
430 environment. The high chargeability section would therefore be an indicator of the physical extent

431 of where salty water has been replaced by recent fresh water. This concept of fresh water replacing
432 pre-existing saline groundwater water under through flow conditions is also supported by Banda et
433 al. (2015) through their sediment dilution experiment in which 20 g of drill core sediment samples
434 from the Machile-Zambezi Basin were placed in 50 ml centrifuge tubes and filled with deionised
435 water. They then placed the tubes in a mechanical shaker in order to dissolve mineral phases until
436 equilibrium was reached after which deionised water kept being replaced in the centrifuge tubes
437 until the electrical conductivity was almost zero, indicative of complete removal soluble salts.
438 Nevertheless this interpretation would benefit from borehole verification through measurements of
439 EC values and other hydrogeological data along the Kasaya Transect. However site conditions at
440 the time of the geophysical survey prevented the deployment of machinery or equipment needed for
441 the drilling of boreholes. Lack of access roads coupled with swampy conditions during the rainy
442 season meant that any form of drilling was nearly impossible.

443

444 3.4 Hydrogeological interpretation

445 Available borehole records for the Kasaya area (Kameyama (2003) and Banda et al. (2014))
446 indicate that the main aquifer material is composed of mixed and alternating sequences of sand and
447 clay. Nevertheless comparison of a coincident TEM sounding with the borehole record and
448 induction log at Kasaya (Figure 5 b) indicates that the alternating sequences of sand and clay below
449 the water table are seen as one layer with average resistivity of 3 Ωm . Above the water table the
450 formation resistivity of the unsaturated zone was determined as 44 Ωm (resistivity standard
451 deviation factor = 1.07, i.e. $\pm 3 \Omega\text{m}$) from the TEM inverse layered earth model. The interface
452 between the 44 Ωm layer and the 3 Ωm layer at 10.6 m (depth standard deviation factor = 1.01) was
453 taken to represent the water table although the water level reading in the borehole record at Kasaya
454 indicates the water table to be at 13.2 m. The difference in depth between the water table recorded

455 in the Kasaya borehole record and the one inferred from the TEM sounding could be as the result of
456 either systematic and random errors when the water table was measured or the effects of the
457 capillary fringe or a combination of both factors; it might also just be that the TEM method is not
458 very accurate at making quantitative estimates of the water table. Nevertheless the induction log
459 profile appears to be in agreement with the TEM sounding (Figure 5b) and given the low standard
460 deviation factors on both the electrical resistivity and the depth, the 1D electrical resistivity model
461 derived from the TEM sounding is considered to be very precise.

462

463 Rhoades et al. (1992) classified non saline water as having electrical conductivity (EC) of less than
464 700 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, with anything above this threshold falling into one of five other degrees of salinity with
465 the highest being the category of brines having EC greater than 45000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. Therefore based on
466 equation 2, freshwater aquifers in the Kasaya area can be considered to have a formation resistivity
467 of greater than or equal to 29.4 Ωm ; 5.6 – 29.4 Ωm for slightly to moderately saline groundwater;
468 and 5.6 Ωm or less for very saline groundwater (Zarroca et al., 2011). This classification of aquifer
469 salinity should be viewed as representing the order of magnitude, since the petro-physical relation is
470 bound to be site specific depending on the distribution of clay content and porosity. It can be seen
471 from the measured pore water conductivity at Lipumpu Village, which falls at its own unique
472 position different from the petro-physical considerations at Kasaya (Figure 5a). Therefore, the top 5
473 m layer with heterogeneous resistivity values ranging between 1 - 12.6 Ωm is probably a layer of
474 moist top soil with varying degrees of porosity, clay content and water content; the localised lower
475 electrical resistivity values being attributed to higher localised clay content compared to areas with
476 higher localised electrical resistivity. In addition, the high electrical resistivity lens, below the 5 m
477 top soil layer, shows resistivity values greater than the 44 Ωm threshold for non-conducting pore
478 water, within 1800 m from the edge of the Zambezi River. A possible explanation is that this region

479 is composed of coarser textured sediments (sand) whose bulk electrical resistivity is governed
 480 primarily by the pore water conductivity in comparison with clayey materials whose bulk electrical
 481 resistivity is also influenced by the salts retained on the surface of the clayey minerals (Zarroca et
 482 al., 2011). Therefore the surface conductivity component of equation 1 would be significantly
 483 reduced leading to a rise in formation conductivity above the 44 Ωm for non-conducting pore
 484 waters. Beyond 1800 m from the edge of the Zambezi River, the petro-physical relation appears to
 485 hold with electrical resistivity values around 30 Ωm indicative of freshwater. Below the fresh water
 486 lens, the petro-physical relation suggested by equation 1 also holds and with electrical resistivity
 487 values all below 3 Ωm ; this part of the aquifer is expected to have pore water conductivity above
 488 20,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. This distribution of electrical resistivity values along the Kasaya transect, into three
 489 distinct zones, indicates infiltration of fresh surface water into a pre-existing saline aquifer. The
 490 interaction of surface water and ground water as suggested by the geophysics is conceptualised in
 491 Figure 7, and is probably driven by evapotranspiration and recharge from the Zambezi River.

493 Figure 9: Conceptual model of surface water/ groundwater interaction in the Simalaha flood plain.
494 The major drivers are conceptualised as seasonally varying water table in the Zambezi River,
495 localised seasonal rainfall and flooding, overland flow and evapotranspiration.

496

497 In addition, the separation of the chargeability section (Figure 7b) mid-way into a well layered part
498 (0-3,300 m) and a chequered part (3,300.6,600 m) appears to correlate well with the extents of the
499 plain and forest areas. The layered chargeability section is in the plain whereas the chequered
500 chargeability section is in the forest. The reason for the high chargeability values and their
501 distribution is unknown.

502

503 3.5 Regional scale perspectives

504 The landscape of the Machile-Zambezi Basin comprises a southern central low lying area (elevation
505 between 900 – 950 m amsl) surrounded by moderate relief hilly areas from southeast to southwest
506 in a clockwise direction. The drainage network is such that all streams flow from the hilly areas into
507 the low lying area and either terminate into alluvial fans or eventually end up into the Zambezi
508 River. It is therefore likely that the groundwater regime in the upper reaches of the stream network
509 is dominated by local flow systems with influent streams (Sophocleous, 2002). From the transition
510 between the hilly areas and the low lying area up to the Zambezi River the topography exhibits very
511 low gradient. Consequently the groundwater flow is probably dominated by intermediate and
512 regional flow systems. These interact with a seasonal flood cycle whereby the river system is
513 influent during flooding and effluent during the dry season (Main et al., 2008; Sophocleous, 2002).
514 Thus surface water / groundwater interaction in the Machile-Zambezi Basin can be said to be driven
515 by recharge in the high elevation areas and a mix of seasonally alternating exfiltration and
516 infiltration in the moderate to low relief areas.

517 An evaluation of a satellite image encompassing the lower reaches of the Loanja River and the
518 Kasaya area (Figure 10 a) shows the main channel of the Loanja River emerging from the high
519 relief belt and broadening into an alluvial fan in the low relief region. Overlying the satellite image
520 with a mean horizontal electrical resistivity map for depth interval 0-20 m from the airborne TEM
521 (Figure 10 b.) shows that the alluvial fan is coincident with the higher electrical resistivity values. A
522 similar observation can also be made about the Simalaha Floodplain (Chongo et al., 2015). The lack
523 of borehole records along the Kasaya Transect makes it extremely difficult to constrain the
524 geophysical result to geomorphological and hydro-chemical features. However Chongo et al. (2015)
525 do give an interpretation of the regional electrical resistivity distribution based on textural and pore
526 fluid considerations that in general associate high electrical resistivity values with coarser sediments
527 and low groundwater salinity; and low electrical resistivity values with intercalations of finer and
528 coarser sediments and high groundwater salinity as illustrated in Table 1 below.

529

UTM 35S

Figure 10: (a) Satellite imagery (ESRI worldly 2D) showing termination of Loanja River into an alluvial fan in the Sesheke area, south-western Zambia. (b) Superimposition of horizontal mean resistivity map for depth interval 0-20 m onto an ESRI worldly 2D Imagery.

Table 1: Correlation of formation electrical resistivity, pore water conductivity and lithology for available complete borehole records in the Machile-Zambezi Basin (Chongo et al., 2015).

Borehole	Location [UTM 35S]		Pore Water Conductivity [$\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$]	Formation Resistivity [Ωm]	Lithology	Category
	Northing [m]	Easting [m]				
RV_31	8098183.40	237931.96	372.24	179.48	Sand	Freshwater
RV_08	8099759.76	262479.77	577.80	99.31	Clayey Sand and sandstone	Freshwater
RV_29	8076339.01	211449.36	459.53	32.75	Sandstone/ Basalt	Freshwater
RV_12_02	8137425.81	299048.19	466.58	32.52	Sand/ Sandstone	Freshwater
RV_36	8068825.60	288165.00	636.02	24.19	Sand/ Sandstone	Feshwater
RV_01	8070051.34	231262.64	2220.91	8.74	Sandy Clay	Salty water
RV_26	8096066.40	289747.90	4659.35	5.66	Clayey sand	Salty water

542

543

544 4 Conclusion

545 A combination of TEM and DCIP measurements processed under joint inversion provided insight
546 into the nature of surface water / groundwater interaction on the northern bank of the Zambezi River
547 at Kasaya in southern Zambia. To our knowledge, this is the first time that joint inversion of TEM
548 and DCIP data has been conducted. The joint inversion showed a fresh water lens about 6.6 km in
549 length from the edge of the Zambezi River. This was found to be about 60 m thick at the interface
550 with the river and slowly thinned out further away from the river until it reached a thickness of
551 about 22 m at the end of the transect line. The fresh water lens is postulated to have had been
552 produced by a combination of river interaction with the aquifer and influenced by
553 evapotranspiration. On a sub-regional scale, the hilly and higher elevation areas of the Machile
554 Zambezi Basin act as recharge areas with influent streams, whereas the low lying areas interact with
555 a seasonal flood cycle whereby the river system is influent during flooding and effluent during the
556 dry season.

557 Finally, the combination of DCIP and TEM data in a joint inversion produced better inverse models
558 with well resolved model parameters based on DOI considerations. The TEM method was better at
559 resolving electrical resistivities and thicknesses for the deeper layers whereas the DC LCI produced
560 inverse models with well resolved electrical resistivities and layer thicknesses in the shallow sub
561 surface but could not resolve these parameters at well enough at depth. However the DC method
562 provided more data density. Joint inversion of DCIP and TEM data thus produced a result with the
563 benefits of both high spatial density and good determination of electrical resistivities and layer
564 thicknesses both in the shallow subsurface and the deeper subsurface. Including IP data in the
565 inversion had the added value of indicating the stratification and zones where fresh surface water
566 has probably infiltrated into the sub surface and replaced salty groundwater.

567

568 Acknowledgements

569 We are grateful to the Governments of the Republic of Zambia and the Kingdom of Denmark for
570 sponsoring this research under the capacity building initiative for the Zambian water sector through
571 the University of Zambia. Special thanks also go to Dr. Chisengu Mdala, Lecturer in Geophysics at
572 the University of Zambia and proprietor of Azurite Water Resources (Ltd) for allowing his field
573 technician James Zulu to accompany us in the field to collect the CVES and TEM data and to Ingrid
574 Mugamya at the UNZA IWRM Centre for logistical support. Furthermore we are grateful to Erik
575 Lange from DTU for facilitating the meeting with Kurt Sørensen of SkyTEM that enabled us to
576 borrow their WalkTEM instrument for our fieldwork. We would also like to thank Kebby Kapika
577 (District Water Officer –Sesheke, Western Province, Zambia), Mweemba Sinkombo (District Water
578 Officer -Nalolo, Western Province, Zambia) and the community at Kasaya for moral and physical
579 support during the field measurements. Finally we would like to thank the Hydro-geophysics group
580 at Aarhus University for assistance during processing and inversion of the TEM and CVES datasets.

581 References

- 582 ABEM(a), 2012. Terrameter LS User's Guide. ABEM Geophysics, Stockholm, p. 42.
- 583 ABEM(b), 2014. WalkTEM User's Guide. ABEM Geophysics, Stockholm, p. 42.
- 584 Aizebeokhai, A.P., 2010. 2D and 3D geoelectrical resistivity imaging: Theory and field design.
- 585 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND ESSAYS 5, 3592-3605.
- 586 Albouy, Y., Andrieux, P., Rakotondraso, G., Ritz, M., Descloitres, M., Join, J.L., Rasolomanana,
- 587 E., 2001. Mapping coastal aquifers by joint inversion of DC and TEM soundings - Three case
- 588 histories. *Ground Water* 39, 87-97.
- 589 Aristodemou, E., Thomas-Betts, A., 2000. DC resistivity and induced polarisation investigations at
- 590 a waste disposal site and its environments. *J. Appl. Geophys.* 44, 275-302.
- 591 Auken, E., Christiansen, A.V., Jacobsen, B.H., Foged, N., Sorensen, K.I., 2005. Piecewise 1D
- 592 laterally constrained inversion of resistivity data. *Geophysical Prospecting* 53, 497-506.
- 593 Auken, E., Christiansen, A.V., Kirkegaard, C., Fiandaca, G., Schamper, C., Behroozmand, A.A.,
- 594 Binley, A., Nielsen, E., Efferso, F., Christensen, N.B.i., Sorensen, K., Foged, N., Vignoli, G., 2014.
- 595 An overview of a highly versatile forward and stable inverse algorithm for airborne, ground-based
- 596 and borehole electromagnetic and electric data. *Exploration Geophysics*.
- 597 Banda, K.E., Jakobsen, R., Gottwein, P.B.-., Nyambe, I., Laier, T., Larsen, F., 2015. Identification
- 598 and evaluation of hydro-geochemical processes in the groundwater environment of Machile Basin,
- 599 western Zambia Unpublished results. Technical University of Denmark, 2500kgs-Lynby, Denmark,
- 600 Lynby, Denmark, p. 50.
- 601 Banda, K.E., Jakobsen, R., Gottwein, P.B.-., Murrayd, A.S., Nyambe, I., Larsen, F., 2014. The
- 602 Lake Palaeo-Makgadikgadi in western Zambia: its formation and role in producing recent saline
- 603 groundwater, Unpublished results. Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland, p. 47.

604 Bauer, P., Supper, R., Zimmermann, S., Kinzelbach, W., 2006. Geoelectrical Imaging of
 605 Groundwater Salinisation in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. *J. Appl. Geophys.* 60, 126-141.
 606 Behroozmand, A.A., Auken, E., Fiandaca, G., Christiansen, A.V., 2012. Improvement in MRS
 607 parameter estimation by joint and laterally constrained inversion of MRS and TEM data.
 608 *Geophysics* 77.
 609 Bertin, J., Loeb, J., 1969. Transients and field behaviour in induced polarization. *Geophysical*
 610 *Prospecting* 17, 488-510.
 611 Binley, A., Kemna, A., 2006. DC Resistivity and Induced Polarization Methods, in: Rubin, Y.,
 612 Hubbard, S.S. (Eds.), *Hydrogeophysics*. Springer.
 613 Brodie, R., Sundaram, B., Tottenham, R., Hostetler, S., Ransley, T., 2007. An Overview of Tools
 614 for Assessing Groundwater-Surface Water Connectivity, in: Forestry, D.o.A.i.a. (Ed.). Bureau of
 615 Rural Sciences, Canberra. .
 616 Chongo, M., Christiansen, A.V., Tembo, A., Banda, K.E., Nyambe, I.A., Larsen, F., Bauer-
 617 Gottwein, P., 2015. Airborne and Ground based Transient Electromagnetic Mapping of
 618 Groundwater Salinity in the Machile-Zambezi Basin, South-western Zambia. . *Near Surface*
 619 *Geophysics* 13, 383 - 395.
 620 Christiansen, A.V., Auken, E., 2012. A global measure for depth of investigation. *Geophysics* 77.
 621 Christiansen, A.V., Auken, E., Foged, N., Sorensen, K.I., 2007. Mutually and laterally constrained
 622 inversion of CVES and TEM data: a case study. *Near Surface Geophysics* 5, 115-123.
 623 Christiansen, A.V., Esben, A., Sørensen, K., 2006. The Transient Electromagnetic Method, in:
 624 Kirsch, R. (Ed.), *Groundwater Geophysics, A Tool for Hydrogeology*. Springer, Flintbek, Germany.
 625 Dahlin, T., 2001. The development of DC resistivity imaging techniques. *Computers and*
 626 *Geosciences* 27, 1019-1029.

627 Dahlin, T., Leroux, V., Nissen, J., 2002. Measuring techniques in induced polarisation imaging. J.
628 Appl. Geophys. 50, 279-298.

629 Dahlin, T., Zhou, B., 2006. Multiple-gradient array measurements for multichannel 2D resistivity
630 imaging. Near Surface Geophysics 4, 113-123.

631 Danielsen, J.E., Auken, E., Jørgensen, F., Søndergaard, V., Sørensen, K.I., 2003. The application of
632 the transient electromagnetic method in hydrogeophysical surveys. J. Appl. Geophys. 53, 181-198.

633 Danielsen, J.E., Dahlin, T., Owen, R., Mangeya, P., Auken, E., 2007. Geophysical and
634 Hydrogeologic Investigation of Groundwater in the Karoo Stratigraphic Sequence at Sawmills in
635 Northern Matebeleland, Zimbabwe: A Case History. Hydrogeology Journal 15, 945-960.

636 ESRI, 2014. World Imagery.

637 Ezersky, M., Legchenko, A., Al-Zoubi, A., Levi, E., Akkawi, E., Chalikakis, K., 2011. TEM study
638 of the geoelectrical structure and groundwater salinity of the Nahal Hever sinkhole site, Dead Sea
639 shore, Israel. J. Appl. Geophys. 75, 99-112.

640 Fiandaca, G., Auken, E., Christiansen, A.V., Gazoty, A., 2012. Time-domain-induced polarization:
641 Full-decay forward modeling and 1D laterally constrained inversion of Cole-Cole parameters.
642 Geophysics 77, E213-E225.

643 Fiandaca, G., Ramm, J., Binley, A., Gazoty, A., Christiansen, A.V., Auken, E., 2013. Resolving
644 spectral information from time domain induced polarization data through 2-D inversion.
645 GEOPHYSICAL JOURNAL INTERNATIONAL 192, 631-646.

646 Gazoty, A., Fiandaca, G., Pedersen, J., Auken, E., Christiansen, A.V., 2012a. Mapping of landfills
647 using time-domain spectral induced polarization data: the Eskelund case study. Near Surface
648 Geophysics 10, 575-586.

649 Gazoty, A., Fiandaca, G., Pedersen, J., Auken, E., Christiansen, A.V., 2013. Data repeatability and
650 acquisition techniques for time-domain spectral induced polarization. *Near Surface Geophysics* 11,
651 391-406.

652 Gazoty, A., Fiandaca, G., Pedersen, J., Auken, E., Christiansen, A.V., Pedersen, J.K., 2012b.
653 Application of time domain induced polarization to the mapping of lithotypes in a landfill site.
654 *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 16, 1793-1804.

655 Geonics, 2006. PROTEM 47D Operating Manual for 20/30 Gate Model. Geonics Limited, Ontario,
656 Canada.

657 GEOTECH, 2011. Survey and logistics report on a helicopter borne versatile time domain
658 electromagnetic survey on the Zambezi River Basin Kazungula Zambia for Ministry of Energy and
659 Water Development (Republic of Zambia). GEOTECH AIRBORNE LIMITED, West Indies, p. 20.

660 Guerin, R., Descloitres, M., Coudrain, A., Talbi, A., Gallaire, R., 2001. Geophysical surveys for
661 identifying saline groundwater in the semi-arid region of the central Altiplano, Bolivia. *Hydrol.*
662 *Process.* 15, 3287-3301.

663 Harthill, N., 1976. Time-Domain Electromagnetic Sounding. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience*
664 *Electronics* 14, 256-260.

665 Johnson, I.M., 1984. Spectral induced polarization parameters as determined through time-domain
666 measurements. *Geophysics* 49.

667 Kameyama, N., 2003. Completion Report: JICA borehole drilling project-Sesheke. Ministry of
668 Energy and Water Development, Lusaka.

669 Kirsch, R., 2009. Groundwater geophysics : a tool for hydrogeology.

670 Loke, M.H., 1999. *Electrical Imaging Surveys for Environmental and Engineering Studies*, A
671 *Practical Guide to 2-D and 3-D Surveys*, Malaysia.

672 Loke, M.H., Chambers, J.E., Rucker, D.F., Kuras, O., Wilkinson, P.B., 2013. Recent developments
 673 in the direct-current geoelectrical imaging method. *J. Appl. Geophys.* 95, 135-156.

674 Main, M.P.L., Moore, A.E., Williams, H.B., Cotterill, F.P.D., 2008. The Zambezi River. Large
 675 Rivers: Geomorphology and Management, 311-332.

676 Marescot, L., Monnet, R., Chapellier, D., 2008. Resistivity and induced polarization surveys for
 677 slope instability studies in the Swiss Alps. *ENGINEERING GEOLOGY* 98, 18-28.

678 Milosevic, N., Thomsen, N.I., Juhler, R.K., Albrechtsen, H.J., Bjerg, P.L., 2012. Identification of
 679 discharge zones and quantification of contaminant mass discharges into a local stream from a
 680 landfill in a heterogeneous geologic setting. *Journal of Hydrology* 446, 13-23.

681 Mualem, Y., Friedman, S.P., 1991. THEORETICAL PREDICTION OF ELECTRICAL-
 682 CONDUCTIVITY IN SATURATED AND UNSATURATED SOIL. *Water Resources Research*
 683 27, 2771-2777.

684 Nabighian, M.N., 1991. *Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics Vol. 2, Electromagnetic*
 685 *Methods in Applied Geophysics Vol. 2.*

686 Nassir, S.S.A., Loke, M.H., Lee, C.Y., Nawawi, M.N.M., 2000. Salt-water intrusion mapping by
 687 geoelectrical imaging surveys. *Geophysical Prospecting* 48, 647-661.

688 Oldenburg, D.W., Li, Y.G., 1999. Estimating depth of investigation in dc resistivity and IP surveys.
 689 *Geophysics* 64, 403-416.

690 Pelton, W.H., Ward, S.H., Hallof, P.G., Sill, W.R., Nelson, P.H., 1978. Mineral discrimination and
 691 removal of inductive coupling with multifrequency IP. *Geophysics* 43, 588-609.

692 Rhoades, J.D., Kandiah, A., Mashali, A.M., 1992. The use of saline waters for crop production -
 693 *FAO irrigation and drainage paper 48. FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE*
 694 *UNITED NATIONS, Rome.*

695 Rhoades, J.D., Manteghi, N.A., Shouse, P.J., Alves, W.J., 1989. SOIL ELECTRICAL-
 696 CONDUCTIVITY AND SOIL-SALINITY - NEW FORMULATIONS AND CALIBRATIONS.
 697 SOIL SCIENCE SOCIETY OF AMERICA JOURNAL 53, 433-439.

698 Roy, A., Apparao, A., 1971. DEPTH OF INVESTIGATION IN DIRECT CURRENT METHODS.
 699 Geophysics 36, 943-959.

700 Rubin, Y., Hubbard, S.S., 2006. Hydrogeophysics. Springer.

701 Shalem, Y., Weinstein, Y., Levi, E., Herut, B., Goldman, M., Yechieli, Y., 2014. The extent of
 702 aquifer salinization next to an estuarine river, an example from the eastern mediterranean.
 703 Hydrogeology Journal.

704 Shanafield, M., Cook, P.G., 2014. Transmission losses, infiltration and groundwater recharge
 705 through ephemeral and intermittent streambeds: A review of applied methods. Journal of Hydrology
 706 511, 518-529.

707 Slater, L.D., Lesmes, D., 2002. IP interpretation in environmental investigations. Geophysics 67,
 708 77-88.

709 Sonkamble, S., Kumar, V.S., Amarender, B., Dhunde, P.M., Sethurama, S., Kumar, K.R., 2014.
 710 Delineation of fresh aquifers in tannery belt for sustainable development - A case study from
 711 southern India. J. Geol. Soc. India 83, 279-289.

712 Sophocleous, M., 2002. Interactions between groundwater and surface water: the state of the
 713 science. Hydrogeology Journal 10, 52-67.

714 Spies, B.R., 1989. Depth of investigation in electromagnetic sounding methods. Geophysics 54,
 715 872-888.

716 Titov, K., Komarov, V., Tarasov, V., Levitski, A., 2002. Theoretical and experimental study of time
 717 domain-induced polarization in water-saturated sands. J. Appl. Geophys. 50, 417-433.

718 Vaudelet, P., Schmutz, M., Pessel, M., Franceschi, M., Guerin, R., Atteia, O., Blondel, A.,
 719 Ngomseu, C., Galaup, S., Rejiba, F., Begassat, P., 2011. Mapping of contaminant plumes with
 720 geoelectrical methods. A case study in urban context. *J. Appl. Geophys.* 75, 738-751.

721 Vilhelmsen, T.N., Behroozmand, A.A., Christensen, S., Nielsen, T.H., 2014. Joint inversion of
 722 aquifer test, MRS, and TEM data. *Water Resources Research* 50, 3956-3975.

723 Weller, A., Slater, L., Nordsiek, S., 2013. On the relationship between induced polarization and
 724 surface conductivity: Implications for petrophysical interpretation of electrical measurements.
 725 *Geophysics* 78, D315-D325.

726 Westbrook, S.J., Rayner, J.L., Davis, G.B., Clement, T.P., Bjerg, P.L., Fisher, S.T., 2005.
 727 Interaction between shallow groundwater, saline surface water and contaminant discharge at a
 728 seasonally and tidally forced estuarine boundary. *Journal of Hydrology* 302, 255-269.

729 Winter, T.C., 1999. Relation of streams, lakes, and wetlands to groundwater flow systems.
 730 *Hydrogeology Journal* 7, 28-45.

731 Xue, G.-q., Bai, C.-y., Yan, S., Greenhalgh, S., Li, M.-f., Zhou, N.-n., 2012. Deep sounding TEM
 732 investigation method based on a modified fixed central-loop system. *J. Appl. Geophys.* 76, 23-32.

733 Zarroca, M., Bach, J., Linares, R., Pellicer, X.M., 2011. Electrical methods (VES and ERT) for
 734 identifying, mapping and monitoring different saline domains in a coastal plain region (Alt
 735 Emporda, Northern Spain). *Journal of Hydrology* 409, 407-422.

736 Zarroca, M., Linares, R., Rodellas, V., Garcia-Orellana, J., Roqué, C., Bach, J., Masqué, P., 2014.
 737 Delineating coastal groundwater discharge processes in a wetland area by means of electrical
 738 resistivity imaging, 224Ra and 222Rn. *Hydrol. Process.* 28, 2382-2395.

739 Zarroca, M., Linares, R., Velásquez-López, P.C., Roqué, C., Rodríguez, R., 2015. Application of
 740 electrical resistivity imaging (ERI) to a tailings dam project for artisanal and small-scale gold
 741 mining in Zaruma-Portovelo, Ecuador. *J. Appl. Geophys.* 113, 103-113.

742 Zhou, S., Yuan, X., Peng, S., Yue, J., Wang, X., Liu, H., Williams, D.D., 2014. Groundwater-
743 surface water interactions in the hyporheic zone under climate change scenarios. *Environmental*
744 *Science and Pollution Research*.

745

746