Downloaded from orbit.dtu.dk on: Apr 25, 2024

DTU Library

Zero Carbon Latin America - A pathway for net decarbonisation of the regional economy by mid-century

Vision paper

Vergara, Walter; Fenhann, Jørgen Villy; Schletz, Marco Christian

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):
Vergara, W., Fenhann, J. V., & Schletz, M. C. (2015). *Zero Carbon Latin America - A pathway for net decarbonisation of the regional economy by mid-century: Vision paper*. UNEP DTU Partnership.

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

UNEP

UNEP DTU PARTNERSHIP

ZERO CARBON LATIN AMERICA

A PATHWAY FOR NET DECARBONISATION OF THE REGIONAL ECONOMY BY MID-CENTURY

VISION PAPER

AUTHORS:
Walter Vergara
Joergen V Fenhann
Marco C Schletz

ZERO CARBON LATIN AMERICA

A PATHWAY FOR NET DECARBONISATION
OF THE REGIONAL ECONOMY BY MID-CENTURY

VISION PAPER

AUTHORS:
Walter Vergara
Joergen V Fenhann
Marco C Schletz

NOVEMBER 2015

UNEP DTU PARTNERSHIP

Zero Carbon Latin America
A Pathway for Net Decarbonisation
of the Regional Economy by Mid-century
Vision Paper

Walter Vergara, Joergen V Fenhann, Marco C Schletz

UNEP DTU PARTNERSHIP
November 2015
ISBN 978-87-93130-68-5

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. UNEP DTU Partnership would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source. No use of this publication may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from the UNEP DTU Partnership.

Disclaimer
The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the UNEP DTU Partnership concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Moreover, the views expressed do not necessarily represent the decision or the stated policy of the UNEP DTU Partnership, nor does citing of trade names or commercial processes constitute endorsement.

Photo credits
Action Network (cover); Carl Berger (page 6);
Carla Wosniak (page ix); CIFOR (page xvi, 42, 47, 68 and cover);
Danielle Pereira (page 11); kris krüg (page 56); Lang (cover);
Stefan Schmidt (page 61); mariord059 (page 24);
Oscar Amaya (cover); spinster cardigan (page 62);
The Danish Wind Industry Association (page 17)

Graphic design and layout: Kowsky, nicoline@kowsky.dk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figures v
Tables vi
Abbreviations vii
Acknowledgements ix
Foreword x
Executive summary xii

1 BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE 1
1.1 *Background* 1
1.2 *Rationale* 2
1.3 *Data sources* 5

2 DECARBONISATION OF POWER GENERATION 7
2.1 *Current situation* 7
2.2 *Resource endowment* 10
2.3 *Market size for renewables is increasing* 12
2.4 *Evolution of regulatory frameworks* 12
2.5 *Renewable energy targets* 14
2.6 *Grid modernization and integration* 15
2.7 *Distributed power* 17
2.8 *Hydropower reservoirs as a regional storage facility* 18
2.9 *The economics of renewable energy generation* 18

3 MASS ELECTRIFICATION OF THE TRANSPORT SECTOR 25
3.1 *Current situation* 25
3.2 *Characterization of the road segment of the transport sector* 26
3.3 *The impact of transport operations on air quality* 35
3.4 *Mass electrification of transport* 35
3.5 *Levelized cost of electric transport* 38

4 LAND: FROM CARBON SOURCE TO CARBON SINK 43
4.1 *Current situation* 43
4.2 *Avoided deforestation* 43
4.3 *Reforestation and land restoration* 46
4.4 *Economic benefits of reforestation and restoration efforts* 50
4.5 *Low-carbon agriculture* 52
4.6 *Summary of potential measures in land use* 55

5 DECARBONISATION OF INDUSTRY 57
5.1 *Current situation* 57
5.2 *Pathway analysis to assess potential emissions reductions from industry* 58

6 A ROUTE TO ZERO-CARBON EMISSIONS 63
6.1 *The pathway to decarbonisation of the power sector* 63
6.2 *The route to electrification of the transport sector* 64
6.3 *Land use and land-use change from source to sink* 66
6.4 *Decarbonisation of industry* 66
6.5 *Projected rate of decarbonisation* 66

7 CONCLUSIONS 69

REFERENCES 72

ANNEXES 82
Annex I *Description of IIASA's BAU (GEA-BAU) and GEA-Mix Scenarios* 83
Annex II Hydropower plants in Latin America 85
Annex III *Description of the GACMO Model* 86
Annex I *Assumptions used in the estimate of transport costs* 87
Annex V *Estimate of N2O and CH4 abatement emissions from agriculture through nutrient management* 89
Annex VI *Industry pathway analysis* 90
Annex VII *Comparison of BAU, GEA MIX and Zero carbon pathway* 91

FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Likely relationship between cumulative CO2 emissions and temperature change relative to 1861-1880 1
Figure 1.2 Available carbon budget in order to remain within a 2°C temperature anomaly. 2
Figure 1.3 Per capita emissions in different regions and large countries. 3
Figure 2.1 Projected demand for electricity under IIASA's BAU and GEA mix scenarios. 7
Figure 2.2 Historical and projected GHG emissions from the power sector. 8
Figure 2.3 Historical total power generation by source from 1971 to 2014 (in PWh/year). 9
Figure 2.4 Estimated resource endowment from renewables in Latin America 10
Figure 2.5 Recent evolution of generating costs by renewable energy installations IRENA renewable energy database (in US$/kWh). 19
Figure 2.6 Estimated levelized costs of generation (LCOE) through renewables in LAC. 20
Figure 2.7 Estimated learning curves for renewables expressed in projected LCOEs. 21
Figure 3.1 Projected energy use in the transport sector. 25
Figure 3.2 Historical and projected emissions from the transport sector. 26
Figure 3.3 CO_2 emissions from the transport sector in LAC in 2010 (in MtCO2e). 27
Figure 3.4 Modal share of transport (in passenger trips) in urban areas. 28
Figure 3.5 Evolution of motorization rates in the region (vehicles per 1000 inhabitants) 29
Figure 3.6 Aggregate vehicle-miles travelled in the US under scenarios of future travel growth, 1946-2040 30
Figure 3.7 Modal composition of freight transport in selected countries in LAC. 32
Figure 3.8 The growth of railroad transport in the region 33
Figure 3.9 Type of fuels used in LAC transport sector in 2013. 34
Figure 3.10 Evolution and projected costs of lithium-ion batteries. 36
Figure 3.11 Current (2012) levelized cost of alternative transport drive options. 38
Figure 3.12 Projected learning curves for electric vehicle options. 39
Figure 3.13 Projected learning curves for electric vehicle options with credit for avoided cost of air pollution. 39
Figure 3.14 Estimated power demand by an electrified transport sector. 40
Figure 3.15 Energy savings from full transport electrification. 40
Figure 4.1 Historical and projected IIASA GHG land use, land-use change emissions and agricultural emissions 44
Figure 4.2 Historical deforestation in the Amazon region of Brazil. 44
Figure 4.3 Estimated 2012 GHG emissions from agriculture/forestry (in $GtCO_2e$/year). 52
Figure 5.1 Projected energy use by Industry. 57
Figure 5.2 Historical and projected industry emissions. 58
Figure 6.1 Decarbonization of the power sector. 64
Figure 6.2 Decarbonization of the transport sector. 65

TABLES

Table 1.1 Composition and recent evolution of LAC's carbon footprint. 4
Table 2.1 Carbon intensity of power sectors. 8
Table 2.2 Recent additions of non-hydro renewables in selected countries (in GW) 9
Table 2.3 Irradiance in selected solar hot spots. 11
Table 2.4 Summary of renewable energy policies in Latin America. 13
Table 2.5 Current status and established targets for entry of renewable sources for electricity generation. 15
Table 2.6 List of grid interconnection projects as of mid-2015. 16
Table 3.1 Estimated size and emissions from the domestic road fleet in Latin America. 27
Table 3.2 Motorization rates in selected cities in the region (cars per inhabitant). 31
Table 3.3 Cost effectiveness of different modes of mass transport in urban areas (example of Bogotá). 31
Table 3.4 Prospective transformation of transport fleet. 36
Table 4.1 The deforestation picture in the region (in Mha). 45
Table 4.2 Estimates of the cost of avoiding deforestation. 47
Table 4.3 Restoration opportunities in LAC. 47
Table 4.4 Carbon sinks in tropical forests (Amazon region) in Latin America. 48
Table 4.5 Some net carbon storage rates in land restoration systems (in tC/ha-year). 49
Table 4.6 Options that have been proposed to provide for net reductions in GHG emissions from agriculture through nutrient management. 53
Table 4.7 Some schemes for the abatement of methane in bovine livestock in Argentina. 54
Table 4.8 Potential carbon storage/abatement rates through reforestation and restoration and sustainable agriculture efforts. 54
Table 4.9 Summary of the economic potential of land-use change and GHG abatement measures in Latin America. 55
Table 5.1 Results of analysis to estimate GHG reductions in industry. 59
Table 5.2 Potential for industrial energy savings. 60
Table 6.1 Projected decarbonisation route for the power sector. 63
Table 6.2 Projected decarbonisation route for the transport sector. 65
Table 6.3 Synergies between technologies supportive of low carbon power and transport. 66
Table 6.4 Projected land use and land-use change carbon sink/abatement route for LAC in reference to IIASA-BAU. 67
Table 6.5 GHG emissions under BAU and projected decarbonisation pathway in 2050. 67
Table 7.1 Summary of measures reviewed as part of the pathway to net zero carbon in Latin America 70
Table 0.1 List of hydropower plants in LAC with a capacity >1000MW/1GW. 85
Table 0.2 Projected vehicle costs. 87
Table 0.3 Projected electricity costs for purposes of estimating electric vehicle operation costs. 87
Table 0.4 Estimated of avoided costs of air pollution through the operation of electric vehicles displacing gasoline and diesel vehicles. 88
Table 0.5 Estimate of N_2O and CH_4 abatement emissions from agriculture through nutrient management 89
Table 0.6 Comparison of BAU, GEA MIX and zero carbon pathway. 91

ABBREVIATIONS

AFOLU	Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use
AFS	Agro-forestry System
AFSP	Food security premiums
AP	Agricultural output
BAU	Business as Usual
BRT	Bus Rapid Transit System
C	Carbon
cm	Centimetre
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
CO_2e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
COP 21	21th Conference of the Parties, Paris 2015
CRF	Controlled Release Fertilizers
CSP	Concentrated Solar Power
EJ	Exajoule
ENSO	El Niño-Southern Oscillation
E&M	Establishment and Maintenance
EU	European Union
FITs	Feed-in tariffs
G7	Group of 7
GACMO	Greenhouse Gas Abatement Cost Model
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GtC	Giga-tons of Carbon
$GtCO_2e$	Giga-tons of Carbon dioxide equivalent
GW	Gigawatt
ha	Hectare
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
kWh	Kilowatt hour
LAC	Latin American and the Caribbean, Mexico
LCOEs	Levelized Costs of Electricity generated
LULUCF	Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry
mn	Million
MW	Megawatt
MWh	Megawatt hour
N_2O	Nitrous Oxide
NOx	Mono-nitrogen oxides
NPVs	Net Present Value
NWFP	Non-wood forest products
PMs	Particulate Matter
ppm	Parts per million
PPP	Purchase Power Parity
PV	Photovoltaic
PWh	Petawatt hour
RPS	Renewable portfolio standards
s	Second
solar PV	Solar photovoltaic
t	Ton
tCO_2e	Tons of Carbon dioxide equivalent
tpc	Tons per capita
TW	Terawatt
TWh	Terawatt hour
UN	United Nations
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
US	United States of America
US$	United States Dollar
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds
Wh	Watt-hour
WFP	Wood Forest Products
WRI	World Resources Institute

"Leaving an inhabitable planet to future generations is, first and foremost, up to us."

POPE FRANCIS IN ENCYCLICAL LETTER 'LAUDATO SI'

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the support and encouragement received from the UNEP DTU team in Copenhagen, led by its Director, John Christensen, Head of Programme, Miriam Hinostroza and Special Advisor, Communications and Outreach, Mette Annelie Rasmussen, during the preparation of the analysis and finalization of this report. Thanks are also due to Lester Brown, John C. Topping Jr., Matthew Roney, Harald Diaz-Bone, Kaisa Karttunen, Luis Miguel Galindo, Jose Luis Samaniego, Maria Franco and Daniel Bouille for reviewing an earlier draft. Special thanks are due to Keywan Riahi and Oliver Fricko from IIASA and Johannes Friedrich and Mengpin Ge from WRI for their help with information and access to the IIASA and CAIT databases.

FOREWORD

In its Fifth Assessment Report, issued last year, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change estimated the amount of additional carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases that could be released into the atmosphere and still keep the earth's temperature rise below the politically agreed 2 °C limit. This is called the carbon dioxide emissions budget. The IPCC also determined that, in line with this emissions budget, there is a need to reach global carbon neutrality sometime between 2055 and 2070, a term referred to as net decarbonisation.

This vision study examines the prospects for net decarbonisation in the Latin America and the Caribbean region. It presents a set of scenarios for actions that would need to be taken in energy, transport, land use and industry, as well as examining the combinations of policy, technology development and economic conditions that would result in net zero carbon emissions in the region by mid-century.

Latin America is an interesting choice for such an analysis because many of the countries in the region are becoming more engaged in climate and energy policy issues. Some of the changes presented in the study are in fact rooted in processes already under way in the region: installed capacity for non-hydro renewables has increased substantially in recent years, supported by a large endowment of renewable resources and an increasingly favorable policy environment. Other proposed actions would capitalize on technology innovations that are already being used in industrialized regions. One example is the development of new electrical transport options which – projected into the future – would greatly reduce and possibly even eliminate the demand for fossil fuels in the sector.

Many of the proposed changes will depend on strong political will, for example, the integration of power grids and the development of distributed-power systems, both of which face entrenched interests. In the area of land use change, the report highlights a number of opportunities for creating sizable carbon sinks to compensate for other areas where GHG reductions cannot be realized by mid-century.

The actions identified would not be easy to achieve. All would require substantial changes in policy and, for many, significant changes in behavior as well. However, the report presents evidence that a transition to zero net carbon is technically possible and if implemented in a coordinated manner would yield significant economic advantages and other co-benefits.

In the end, the case for a net zero regional economy in Latin America needs to be assessed not just in terms of the climate impact, but also on whether a zero carbon pathway will make it easier for countries to achieve the sustainable development goals and see benefits in terms of energy and food security, regional integration, air pollution abatement, and improvements in livelihoods, job creation and capital flows.

Ultimately, the success of this vision will be measured by the ability of its proponents to promote a dialogue about the advantages of a net zero carbon future for the region and conditions that would enable the changes that bring it about.

Achim Steiner
Executive Director, UNEP
November 2015

PROLOGUE

We have reached a point where a serious debate is needed, at a regional level, on the ability and consequences of efforts to eliminate the carbon footprint from our economies, to decarbonize our societies. We welcome this report which concludes that there is a pathway toward zero carbon emissions for the region that offers "more of an opportunity and less of a burden". This translates in some very good news: i.e. that countries embarking on a zero carbon strategy and using it as a platform for development can result in substantial co-benefits.

Costa Rica, as well as, other countries in the region are already moving in this direction. We recently presented an ambitious INDC which sets out our long term goals towards Carbon Neutrality. It is our belief that such a direction will benefit not only our common future but also bring in benefits in energy and food security, improvements in terms of trade and reduce exposures to harmful pollutants. It also provides us with avenues to facilitate the achievement of the sustainable development goals, recently endorsed by the United Nations.

We know this is not an easy path, in particular as it will require addressing obsolete policies, financial obstacles and behaviors; but, it is the path that needs to be followed. It is our hope that this document will facilitate a healthy discussion around the concept and even more importantly, ambitious climate action in the coming decades by all countries of the world, large and small, developed and developing.

Dr. Edgar Gutierrez Espeleta
Minister of Environment and Energy
COSTA RICA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of the analysis presented in this report is to visualize a pathway for complete decarbonisation of the Latin American and the Caribbean (LAC) regional economy by mid-century. This is achieved through a review of specific sector-wide actions, within a foreseeable technology and economics context. The analysis is being conducted at a time of considerable momentum in addressing the climate challenge globally, and after a decade of remarkable socio-economic progress at the regional level. The report also comments on the key barriers that have to be addressed.

Aiming at full decarbonisation in economic activities is increasingly relevant as the consequences of climate change have become clearer and the prospect of exceeding the dangerous threshold of two degrees of warming now seems more likely. But, why should rapid reductions in carbon emissions take place in Latin America? And why should achieving zero carbon be the target?

In the aggregate, LAC is probably closer to zero emissions than many other regions in the world. In 2012 it accounted for about 10% of global emissions (4.6 $GtCO_2e$), which translates into 7.7 tCO_2e per capita (CAIT, 2015). Power generation in LAC is already largely driven by renewables, a key reason behind its very low carbon intensity (0.21 tCO_2e/MWh) (Brander et al., 2011). Secondly, the transport sector, despite rapid urbanization and motorization rates is still low-carbon intensive, with urban areas surpassing even their counterparts in northern Europe in the share of passenger journeys provided by public transport.

Most relevant, however, is the relationship between carbon emissions and land degradation. Recent improvements in the carbon intensity of economic activities, in the form of a 22% reduction per unit of GDP PPP between 2000 and 2012 (CAIT, 2015), can to a large extent be traced back to decreases in the rate of deforestation. In this context, avoided deforestation, vigorous reforestation and restoration efforts, as well as the adoption of sustainable practices in agriculture, have significant potential to change the GHG emissions picture in LAC. Finally, industrial activity has an untapped potential for modernization and improvements in energy efficiency. There are other sectors that contribute to the GHG footprint, but those listed here account for over 90% of all emissions (CAIT, 2015).

While there are no silver bullet solutions for a wholesale reduction of emissions, a series of sector-wide activities will be reviewed here for their potential to contribute to a net zero carbon regional economy. These activities include: a) complete decarbonisation of the power sector; b) mass electrification of the transport sector; c) large-scale land-use changes, including attainment of zero deforestation and the accumulation of carbon stocks in agriculture and forestry; and d) decarbonisation of industry. Improvements in the efficiency of energy use are considered to be part and parcel of all activities. Ultimately, these transformations will take place provided there is a supportive policy framework and depending on the economics of the changes that are sought.

Decarbonisation of the power sector. There is an expectation that the outlook for supportive policies, the prospects for grid modernization and integration, the substantial resource endowment, but above all the current and growing financial advantage of wind, solar and other technologies provide a sufficient basis on which a scenario involving the full decarbonisation of the rapidly growing power sector in the region can be visualized. Market conditions already enable new demand to be met largely through renewables. These resources, in the form of an increasing array of technologies will be able to displace fossil fuel plants on sound economic grounds, backed-up by the large hydropower capacity of the region. By 2025, displacement of fossil fuel sources is projected to reduce the costs of electricity generation by about US$1-3 cents per kWh through lower LCOEs, as well as contributing to energy security and assisting the decarbonisation of other economic sectors. The decarbonisation of power would displace 1.1 $GtCO_2e$/year from a business as usual scenario (IIASA's BAU) by 2050.

While this analysis finds that there is an increasingly supportive policy environment, there are some important actions that can facilitate the faster market entry of renewables in LAC, including: a) stronger political will to move forward the grid-integration process in the region, with proper attention to sensitive environmental and social concerns; b) the removal of existing

fossil fuel subsidies, in particular for coal and gas, which constitute an important obstacle to the market entry of alternatives; and c) wider adoption of rules to allow for distributed power systems, which could accelerate the deployment of household and commercial solar installations.

Mass electrification of the transport sector. On the basis of the projected gains in the efficiency and density of energy storage in vehicles; the estimated drop in electricity prices resulting from the wholesale entry of renewables; and, projections for substantial reductions over time in the cost of electric vehicles, this option is forecast to gain in competitiveness and to surpass the financial competitiveness of fossil-fuel alternatives well before 2050. The report echoes recent announcements on the potentially disruptive character of electric vehicle technologies within a few years, but it also emphasizes the customization required to meet the demand characteristics of the region, including the emphasis on mass transport vehicles. If allowances are made for the avoided costs of air pollution, the economic argument for the shift to electric propulsion is further strengthened. The relatively large avoided cost enables the electric versions to become competitive with the fossil fuel options by 2025. The shift to electric propulsion in the sector will also by itself result in very significant energy savings (about 11 EJ by 2050; see Figure 3.15), in the potential creation of whole industrial segments and in important impacts on urban air quality and economic integration. Decarbonisation of transport would result in the displacement of about 1.4 $GtCO_2e$ per year from the BAU scenario by 2050.

The technology and economic momentum for a shift to electric power in transport may ultimately provoke a major transformation of transport technologies globally. However, in the shorter term and in the context of Latin America, this shift faces significant barriers that need to be addressed. These include: a) fossil fuel subsidies (calculated at about 1% of GDP in 2013), which continue to promote their use and delay the adoption of alternative power sources in transport; b) the lack of internalization of health and other environmental benefits associated with the displacement of diesel and other fuels, which delays the adoption of cleaner options; and c) the capital value and associated jobs and enterprises linked to the refining and distribution of fossil fuels, which would be displaced by the electric vehicles.

Transforming land use from a carbon source to a carbon sink. Land use holds the key to ultimate and lasting decarbonisation of the regional economy. As a group, avoided deforestation, reforestation, land restoration and sustainable practices in agriculture and animal husbandry are central to securing land use-based carbon sinks. Avoiding deforestation (at 3.4 Mha per year in 2013) would provide the largest potential contribution to a zero carbon future. With large-scale efforts in reforestation, restoration and measures in agriculture and animal husbandry, the total could add up to about 3 $GtCO_2e$ abated per year by mid-century. After accounting for the remaining emissions, the sector could be contributing net sinks of the order of 1.1 $GtCO_2e$ per year by 2050. These efforts are also anticipated to result in significant financial benefits, increased food security and improvements in the quality of livelihoods in rural areas.

There are major barriers to securing these sinks. Deforestation continues unimpeded in many parts of the region, where the basic drivers of poverty and the inability to internalize the value of forests have not changed. The benefits in soil, water and biodiversity conservation are typically not monetized. Suppliers have yet to ensure entirely that supply chains are deforestation-free, while the demand for these commodities continues to increase globally.

Decarbonisation of industry. In LAC this sector is heterogeneous and difficult to generalize. Therefore, emissions by sub-sectors and their potential for reduced carbon footprints need to be analysed separately. The reduction potential has been calculated by means of a pathway analysis. The analysis is an illustration of how sub-sectors could decarbonise by 2050. The cumulative reductions, if the upper boundary of cost effectiveness per tCO_2e is US$30, would be of the order of 0.11 $GtCO_2e$ at a cost of about US$2 billion. This is about 21.5% of the emissions projected for the sector by 2050 under IIASA BAU. Industry can also play a role in the decarbonisation of the economy through the supply of new equipment and services. For all sectors the lack of a functioning carbon market and/or internalization of the costs of climate change impacts represent a major barrier.

Added value of a zero carbon economy. These measures have the potential to drive LAC toward a zero carbon economy, largely on the basis of sound economics. Decarbonising would add value in terms of energy se-

curity (through the control of domestic, inexhaustible renewable resources), food security and improved livelihoods (through land restoration), improvements in the terms of trade (energy, food, feed), improved air quality (through the electrification of transport), regional cooperation (in the context of joint enterprises to secure an integrated grid and means of transport) and access to international financial resources (vested in low carbon investments).

A zero carbon strategy would support the deployment of new means of production and better use of natural resources. It would encourage the creation of enterprises and jobs, attract investments, benefit from economies of scale and support improvements in the quality of life. It would not just signal a leadership role for the region in the climate arena.

Thus a zero carbon direction, backed up by the availability of technologies and shifting economics, it is argued, would present more of an opportunity and less of a burden for economic development and for regional integration and would contribute substantially to the attainment of the Sustainable Development Goals[1]. However, achieving the zero carbon goal will ultimately depend on the ability to navigate and address the substantial barriers built up over time by business-as-usual behaviours and policies. Still, from all perspectives, the region is in pole position when it comes to completing this journey.

GHG emissions under BAU and estimated zero carbon pathway in 2050.

Category	2012 $MtCO_2e$	2050 GEA-BAU $GtCO_2e$	2050 Decarbonisation pathway ($GtCO_2e$)	Driver
Total	**4623**	**5.3**	**-0.1**	
Power generation*	544	1.1	0	Solar, wind, geothermal, already competitive, increase their margin overtime; grid integration and distributed power aid the transition.
Industrial Processes, Manufacturing and Construction	494	0.5	0.4	Industry/manufacturing implements energy savings and technology improvement measures at a cost of US$30/$tCO_2e$ or less.
Transportation**	665	1.4	0.2	Rapidly evolving electric vehicle technologies and economics and air quality policies assist in transformation, gradually overtaking internal combustion options.
Land Use and Forestry, Agriculture and Waste	2574	1.9	-1.1***	Zero deforestation and reforestation are supported through fiscal and policy incentives. Restoration efforts are commercially implemented. NO_2 and CH_4 measures are implemented at net additional costs.
Other Sectors****	346	0.4	0.4	Fugitive emissions and other fuel consumption kept constant as per GEA-BAU

(*) In the IIASA database, electricity is combined with heat. However in LAC there is only marginal use of energy for heat outside of industry; (**) Transportation covers both domestic transportation and international bunkers.; (***) net between anticipated emissions by land use and land use change and net sinks of 3.0 GtCO2e/year; (****) Fugitive emissions and other fuel combustion. Source: emissions data from 2012 from (CAIT, 2015); GEA-BAU data is calculated from (IIASA, 2012; CAIT, 2015); please refer to main text for more details.

[1] See list of SDG and summary targets in http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/

1. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

The objective of this report is to visualize a pathway that would allow for the complete decarbonisation of the Latin American and the Caribbean's (LAC) regional economy by mid-century. This is achieved through a review of specific sector-wide actions within a foreseeable technology and economics context and an examination of the synergies between actions in these different sectors. The analysis is being conducted at a time of considerable momentum to address the climate challenge at a global level and after a decade of remarkable socio-economic progress at a regional level. The report also comments on the key barriers to be addressed.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The global concentration of carbon dioxide (CO_2) in the atmosphere has risen from approximately 280 parts per million (ppm) in the late eighteenth century to over 400 ppm of CO_2 in 2015 (NOAA, 2015). The concentration is increasing at a rate of about 2 ppm per year and as yet shows no signs of abatement. The IPCC has indicated that a CO_2 atmospheric concentration of 450 ppm is consistent with a 2°C increase in global temperature, relative to preindustrial levels (Figure 1.1) and that this should not be exceeded if dangerous climate change impacts globally are to be avoided (IPCC, 2007; Schellnhuber, 2009).

However, it seems that keeping to the 2 °C goal is becoming increasingly untenable. A preliminary analysis of the impacts of intentions proposed by parties to the UNFCCC convention indicates that "current policies in the G7 and EU are projected to only stabilize emissions through to 2030 at nearly present levels, whereas a rapid decline in emissions is needed" (Ecofys, 2015)[2].

Figure 1.1 – Likely relationship between cumulative CO_2 emissions and temperature change relative to 1861-1880.



Source: (IPCC, 2014)

[2] Climate Interactive (www.climateinteractive.org) has assessed that INDCs received by late 2015 would still result in a 3.5 °C anomaly. Climate Action Tracker (www.climateactiontracker.org) has in parallel made an estimate of a 2.7 °C anomaly.

The remaining allowance of carbon that can enter the atmosphere consistent with a 450 ppm concentration is shrinking fast (Figure 1.2). According to the IPCC Summary for Policy Makers, or IPCC SPM (2013), additional emissions that could enter the atmosphere before a 2 °C threshold is exceeded stand at about 2,900 giga-tons of carbon dioxide ($GtCO_2$), which at the current and projected rate of emissions will be attained by 2040 (Teske et al., 2015) . Thereafter, full decarbonisation of the global economy would be required. Stabilizing the temperature rise to no more than 2 °C would require considerable and immediate efforts globally to reduce emissions, which would require major changes in behaviour and resource use.

But, even if staying at less than 2 °C above preindustrial levels is achieved, climate impacts with sizable financial and ecological consequences will be experienced. For the LAC region, a warming of this magnitude will induce major changes, in large part because of the region's substantial but intrinsically fragile natural capital and vulnerable infrastructure.

One account (Vergara et al., 2013b) of the impending impacts on the region, even if it remains under the 2 °C threshold, includes the destabilization of the coral biome in the Caribbean, the disappearance of all tropical glaciers under 5000 m, the loss of infrastructure, coastal settlements and coastal ecosystems caused by a 1 m rise in sea level, losses in agricultural yields resulting from higher soil temperatures and lower soil moistures, loss of forest cover, and impacts on health, hydropower generation and other ecosystem services. The financial consequences of some of these impacts were estimated to be in the range of US$100 billion per year by 2050.

The analysis of a zero net decarbonisation route in LAC has been conducted in the context of what has been the remarkable performance of the region's economies during the last ten years or so. This recovery has been characterized by 1) declining inequality in many countries in Latin America and the Caribbean (moderate poverty fell from more than 40% in 2000 to less than 30% in 2010[3]); 2) increased mobility within generations (at least 40% of the region's households are estimated to have moved upward in "socioeconomic class" between 1995 and 2010); 3) drastic reductions in population growth (from 2% per year in 1990 to about 1.1% in 2013 (World Bank, 2014a)); and 4) a sustained increase in consumption (reflected partially in a healthy rate of GDP growth of 3% or higher during the last decade (World Bank, 2014a)).

Figure 1.2 – Available carbon budget in order to remain within a 2 °C temperature anomaly.



Source: (IPCC SPM 2013)

1.2 RATIONALE

Given the damage – ecological, social and economic – predicted for LAC due to climate change and the prospects for continuing growth in socio-economic indicators, it is appropriate to ask what contribution can the region make to a potentially rapid global decarbonisation and what benefits can be derived from it? Can zero carbon be targeted in LAC? Can this be done in support of the sustainable development goals of the region? This report looks at this alternative route and examines whether it can support the region's prospects for sustainable development.

The first consideration is that LAC is a modest GHG emitter, accounting in 2012 for only about 10% of global emissions (4.6 $GtCO_2e$), which translates into a per capita emission of 7.7 tCO_2e (CAIT, 2015). Per capita emissions in LAC are lower than those in the EU, the US, China or Japan (Figure 1.3).

Power generation in LAC already has a substantial fraction of renewables. In 2014, over 48% of all electricity generated was based on renewable resources, mostly hydro (Enerdata, 2015). Even more relevant, the resource

[3] This decline implies that fifty million Latin Americans escaped poverty over the decade (Ferreira et al., 2012).

Figure 1.3 – Per capita emissions in different regions and large countries.



Source: (CAIT, 2015)

base of the region, including non-hydro, would be able to sustain a much higher level of renewables-based generation. This opens up the possibility of shifting the production base toward electricity as an energy source with a low-carbon content.

Secondly, the region is highly urbanized. And in urban areas, most people move via public (read low carbon per passenger) transport. Therefore, the carbon signal from urban transport is much lower per capita than in industrial regions. Further, in countries with largely hydropower-driven power generation, demand for transport fuels constitutes their key dependence on fossil fuels. If transport is electrified, a major demand for fossil fuels would be addressed. Finally, while the motorization rate is rapidly increasing, smart, space-conscious transport systems (like Bus Rapid Transit Systems) are being rapidly deployed and have already shown their cost effectiveness and potential.

Thirdly, while power and transport account for a large fraction of fossil fuel use, in LAC it is land use and land use change that are responsible for a majority of GHG emissions (Table 1.1). This is contrary to most other regions in the world, where fossil fuels are the main sources of carbon emissions. Deforestation, while being addressed, is still a major source of such emissions. Stopping deforestation, reforesting and restoring land have the potential for significant emissions reductions and sizable carbon sinks.

Also, the required transformation has started. Courageous, sometimes bold political decisions have placed some nations on a path to very low carbon emissions. Some of these decisions are expressed in the form of targets for renewable energy entry, goals to reduce or eliminate deforestation, ambitions for land restoration, targets for energy efficiency and/or other expressions of political will.

The results are beginning to show. During the period 2000-2012, the carbon intensity of the region, measured in tCO_2e per Million US$ GDP PPP (including LULUCF), decreased by about 21.5% (CAIT, 2015). Most of the reduction can be linked to significant drops in rates of deforestation, most notably in Brazil. Improvements in energy efficiency have also contributed. This is not to say that decarbonisation under business as usual conditions is assured. On the contrary, many current drivers are likely to continue contributing to a higher carbon future, as can be seen in the summary presented in Table 1.1.

More importantly, and looking at the near term, the economics of low carbon technologies are shifting, fuelled by a quick pace in innovation This is shown in the improvements in competitiveness of renewable sources of energy; new appreciation of the advantages of a smart integrated grid and of developments in distributed power; reductions in the costs of energy storage and electric vehicles; improvements in soil restoration and assisted

Table 1.1 – Composition and recent evolution of LAC's carbon footprint.

Category	2000 [$MtCO_2e$]	2012 [$Mt-CO_2e$]	Change [%]	Driver
Total	**4104**	**4623**	**13**	
Power generation[a]	378	544	44	Carbonization of power generation, economic growth
Industrial Processes	86	135	57	Industrialization, economic growth
Manufacturing and Construction	297	359	21	Economic growth
Transportation[b]	447	665	49	Motorization, urbanization
Agriculture	764	901	18	Population growth, global food and demand for fibres
Land Use and Forestry	1647	1431	-13	Reduced deforestation, better land management, expansion of no-tillage practices
Waste	175	241	38	Population growth, changing consumer habits
Others[c]	309	346	12	

Source: (CAIT, 2015) and own estimates.

[a] In the IIASA database, electricity is combined with the category of heat. However, in Latin America there is only marginal use of energy for heat outside of industry.
[b] Transportation covers both domestic transportation and international bunkers.
[c] Includes other fuel combustion and fugitive emissions. These sources (amounting to about 8% of the total) are not reviewed in this report.

natural reforestation techniques; and innovations in agriculture and industrial processes.

Decarbonising the Latin American regional economy would add value in terms of energy security (through the control of its own inexhaustible renewable resources), food security (through land restoration) improvements in terms of trade (energy, food, feed), regional cooperation (in the context of enterprises to secure an integrated grid and means of transport) and access to international financial resources (vested in low carbon). It would not just signal a leadership role for the region in the climate arena.

Net decarbonisation, when it takes place, will be achieved on the shoulders of economic gains for the region, provided it can satisfy the growing demand for cost-effective quality services and products. A zero carbon strategy should support the deployment of new means of production and the better use of natural resources. It should create jobs and enterprises, attract investments, benefit from economies of scale and support improvements in the quality of life. Thus a change of direction towards zero carbon, it is argued, increasingly backed up by the availability of technologies and shifting economics, would present more of an opportunity and less of a burden for economic development and regional integration.

Furthermore, a zero carbon path for the region would be in accord with the UN Sustainable Development Goals (UN-SDGs), not only in the context of climate change, but also in the areas of energy, cities and land use, by supporting access to, and the rational use of, resources and products. It would be catalytic in securing improvements in the quality of life by exploiting its own renewable natural resources in a manner that is consistent with sustainable development.

Overall, it would be much more beneficial (and less costly) to take a zero carbon approach now, thus making use of the increasingly favourable technical and economic

conditions, rather than later, when the changes necessary may require more urgent action, while moving the policy framework towards the removal of barriers and securing the benefits of the associated socio-economic transformational effects.

Finally, it should be considered that reductions in the emissions of CO_2 in the atmosphere will have diminishing influence on the climate as its concentration increases. This means that drastic reductions today will have a higher impact than if the same reductions are achieved in the future.

1.3 DATA SOURCES

It is in this current and emerging context that the report examines the potential for full net decarbonisation of the region through specific sector-wide activities. While the report focuses on Latin America, it uses as background and context the global and regional projections of the IIASA (IIASA BAU). The following sections provide an assessment of a list of actions in the key economic sectors that are responsible for most of the carbon footprint of the region. An illustrative pathway is then constructed to reach zero net regional emissions by mid-century.

The analysis relies on existing information in the specialized literature. Historical data for the analysis came from a number of sources referenced in the report, with a particular emphasis on the database on emissions maintained by CAIT[4]. Energy data was obtained from ENERDATA[5] and sector sources. The IIASA-GEA[6] modelling results were used to establish the business as usual scenarios, using the latest snapshot from CAIT as a starting point. IIASA's database has one of the most comprehensive and consistent data sets for Latin America. FAOSTAT[7] was used for historical data and projections for the agriculture sector; the UNIDO, IRENA and REN 21 databases were also consulted.

The economic arguments for embarking on the pathway were examined using different methods, depending on information available in the literature. Levelized costs, derived from GACMO[8], were used to estimate the relative competitiveness of power generation and transport vis-à-vis business as usual options. Data available on financial viability were used to document the economic argument for restoration and reforestation efforts, while the net cost of measures to abate emissions was used to prioritize efforts in agriculture and industry.

[4] CAIT is the climate data explorer maintained by the World Resources Institute (http://cait.wri.org/)

[5] ENERDATA is an independent research & consulting firm on the global oil, gas, coal, power, renewable and carbon markets established in 1991 (http://www.enerdata.net/).

[6] IIASA-GEA is a database that aims at documenting the results and assumptions of the GEA transformation pathways (http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/ene/geadb/dsd?Action=htmlpage&page=welcome). The scenarios used include the GEA-BAU (where no intervention is considered to affect current trends) and the GEA-MIX (a mid-range combination of intervention measures in several sectors). More information on the projections and scenarios used can be consulted in Annex I.

[7] FAOSTAT is the statistical database on agriculture and forestry maintained by the FAO (http://faostat3.fao.org/download/G1/GT/E).

[8] GACMO was developed by Joergen Fenhann, UNEP DTU Partnership, to conduct an analysis of the GHG mitigation options for a country or region that have to be frequently used to develop a low carbon development strategy or an INDC. A standard version of GACMO is publicly available at: http://www.cdmpipeline.org/.

I belong to

2. DECARBONISATION OF POWER GENERATION

This section examines the conditions under which full decarbonisation of the power sector could be realized regionally. If power generation is decarbonized, many economic activities that depend on power become low carbon, and others that today use fossil fuels could consider a shift toward electricity as a mechanism for reducing their carbon content. A renewable energy power system would also strengthen energy security though the use of domestic resources, which in practical terms would be inexhaustible.

2.1 CURRENT SITUATION

Electricity production in Latin America in 2014 has been estimated at about 5.8 EJ (1.6 PWh), which represents an almost 60% increase since 2000 (Enerdata, 2015)[9] and reflects a strong pattern of continuous growth. The key drivers behind this vigorous demand include an expanding population, a robust increase in GDPs across the region and associated improvements in living standards. These drivers are still at work and are likely to influence future market conditions.

Using the IIASA-GEA database (IIASA, 2012), and allowing for a range of futures between the continuation of current trends (GEA-BAU) and a Mix scenario pathway (GEA-Mix)[10], demand for electricity can be projected to be in the range of 12-14 EJ by 2050 even after considering gains in efficiency (IIASA, 2012)[11] (Figure 2.1).

Even the lower range of this bracket will require major investments in power infrastructure, including generating capacity, transmission lines, regulation stations and other ancillaries, probably in the accumulated range of US$ 1-2 trillion by 2050 at current costs.

In 2013, demand in the region was being met through the operation of an installed power generation capacity estimated at 365 GW, representing 6.3% of global capacity (Enerdata, 2015). About 48% of the total comes from renewable sources, the overwhelming majority

Figure 2.1 – Projected demand for electricity under IIASA´s BAU and GEA-Mix scenarios.



Source: (IIASA, 2012), downloaded September 2015. The projected BAU is lower than the GEA-MIX, as IIASA projects some additional demand from the transport sector in this scenario.

[9] In the base year of 2012, electricity produced in LAC amounted to about 1.49 PWh, an increase of 52% since 2000 (EIA, 2015).

[10] See Annex I for a description of the BAU and other scenarios developed by IIASA.

[11] Based on IIASA BAU and GEA Mix Scenarios to 2075.

Figure 2.2 – Historical and projected GHG emissions from the power sector.



Source: Historic emission data from (CAIT, 2015), projections based on IIASA-GEA rates of growth of future emissions (IIASA, 2012).

Table 2.1 – Carbon intensity of power sectors.

Country	Carbon intensity of power sector (tCO_2e per MWh)
Africa	0.74
Asia (excl. China)	0.93
Central/Eastern Europe	0.82
China	0.97
Denmark	0.37
Germany	0.67
India	1.33
Japan	0.44
LAC	0.21
USA	0.55
World	0.63

Source: (Brander et al., 2011)

of which, 164 GW, being hydropower (Enerdata, 2015) (Annex II lists hydropower plants in the region with at least 1 GW nominal capacity). As a consequence, the carbon signal of the regional power matrix is remarkably low, standing at about 0.21 tCO_2 per MWh (Brander et al., 2011). Globally, it is by far the least carbon-intensive (Table 2.1) power sector. It also has the capacity to continue to be at least that low due to its large hydropower potential and, as discussed later in this section, its significant endowment of other than hydro renewable energy sources.

The strong role played by hydropower not only reduces the carbon footprint of the sector. If the grid can be integrated regionally, the large capacity of multi-annual reservoirs could also provide for a relatively stable[12] base-load capacity that could eventually be used as a power storage facility capable of dampening fluctuations between regional demand and supply.

The impact of the growing share of hydro-power on the carbon content of the power sector can also be appreciated in the historical emissions. While power production grew by 59% from 2000 to 2012 (Enerdata, 2015), the corresponding GHG emissions in the electricity/heat sector increased during the same period by 44% (CAIT, 2015), reflecting a significant reduction in carbon intensity. Still, the anticipated demand and projected rate of increase of the entry of natural gas under BAU conditions would contribute to substantial additional emissions in the future, estimated at about 1.1 $GtCO_2e$ by 2050 under IIASA BAU (Figure 2.2).

Beyond hydropower, other renewables are entering the power market in LAC. In 2014, 13.1 GW of other re-

[12] Climate impacts, however, have the potential to destabilize hydropower generation through the anticipated intensification of rainfall patterns, which would affect the firm capacity of reservoirs. For a full discussion of these impacts, see, inter alia (Ebinger & Vergara, 2011).

Table 2.2 – Recent additions of non-hydro renewables in selected countries (in GW).

Country	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Growth 2010 to 2014 (%)
Argentina	0.05	0.11	0.15	0.23	0.28	458
Brazil	0.93	1.48	2.52	3.46	6.01	546
Chile	0.17	0.22	0.31	0.34	1.04	511
Mexico	1.51	1.49	2.23	2.92	3.37	123
Uruguay	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.49	1109
Total LAC	**3.5**	**4.3**	**6.6**	**8.4**	**13.1**	**274**

Source: (Enerdata, 2015)

Figure 2.3 – Historical total power generation by source from 1971 to 2014 (in PWh/year).



Source: (Enerdata, 2015)

newables (Table 2.2), or about half of the new capacity requirements, entered into operation in continuation of what seems to be a lasting trend. An important factor behind this substantial increase (272% from 2010 to 2014) is the improved policy environment and gains in the cost effectiveness of renewables, most notably wind and solar, discussed later in the chapter. However, the capacity in operation of non-hydro is a small fraction (8%) of the total renewable energy capacity (Enerdata, 2015).

Despite the net increases in renewables, the gap between total power generation and renewables generation widened between 1970 and 2012 (Figure 2.3). Even after factoring in the record additions to the system, the gap in absolute terms has not shrank since 2010. Most of this gap has been met by new natural-gas plants, many of which are comparatively more carbon-efficient, combined-cycle units.

Figure 2.4 – Estimated resource endowment from renewables in Latin America.



Source: (Ecofys, 2009)

2.2 RESOURCE ENDOWMENT

A higher rate of market entry for renewables is required in order to address future demand for power, eliminate the current gap being met by natural gas, and displace future fossil-fuel use. Fortunately, LAC's renewable energy resource endowment is substantial. However, with the exception of hydropower, most of these resources have not yet been utilized in a manner consistent with their potential and relative competitiveness.

The region's endowment of renewables is estimated to be about 93 PWh annually (Figure 2.4; Ecofys, 2009), with a corresponding nominal peak capacity of 39 TW. For reference, global power demand stood at 19.7 PWh in 2012 (EIA, 2015). Literally, the LAC region's endowment could meet global demand several times over. Clearly, full utilization of this potential will never be achieved, but nonetheless the theoretical peak capacity illustrates the significant unrealized potential of renewable resources in the region[13].

While the endowment is significant and widespread, the region also has hot spots of resource intensity that are global in scale. For example, the Atacama Desert of northern Chile and southern Peru has an irradiance of about 275 W per m^2, the largest worldwide (average world irradiance is 198 W per m^2 (Nielsen, 2005)) (Table 2.3). With an area of about 14 million ha, the Atacama region is truly a solar resource of global relevance. The high solar radiation in Atacama invites the option of concentrated solar power (CSP) units with significant storage and a high on-line factor that could initially meet local industrial demand [14] and eventually, once the grid is extended to the south, also address demand in Chile's large urban areas.

Large-scale development of solar energy applications in Atacama makes a lot of economic and strategic sense and would be, all other factors being constant, the logical site to make a large-scale effort at regional-size solar energy generation. Other areas with significant solar radiation include north-east Brazil and north-west Mexico, among others. The solar energy potential in Latin America has been calculated at over 75 PWh[15] (Ecofys, 2009).

[13] This endowment estimate is for 2020. In the report, Ecofys (2009) states that "Current global final energy consumption (338.5 EJ/year according to IEA energy statistics) is less than 5% of the overall projected technical potential. The global wind onshore potential alone is able to cover current energy demand". Hence, the estimate used in this study is conservative.

[14] Abengoa is developing a 210 MW CSP-PV hydro in the Atacama Desert.

[15] This estimate is based on 6% of the area available for solar power generation (desert and semiarid regions) in Mexico and Central and South America.

Table 2.3 – Irradiance in selected solar hot spots.

Location	Desert Size [Million km^2]	Irradiance [W per m^2]
Takla Makan, China	0.27	210
Great Basin, USA	0.49	220
Sahara, Northern Africa	9.06	260
Great Sandy, Australia	0.39	265
Atacama, Peru and Chile	0.14	275

Source: (GENI, 2011)

Wind resources are also widespread and plentiful. Areas that experience winds with sustained speeds of 9 m/s or more include Guajaca in México, the Guajira desert in Colombia and Venezuela and southern Patagonia, among others. Wind developments are already taking place in all of these areas with relative success, but the locations could accommodate significantly more wind power capacity. Coastal areas around the continent and in the Caribbean region also exhibit reliable wind regimes. The wind energy potential for the region has been estimated to be in the range of 11 PWh (Ecofys, 2009; Vergara et al., 2013a; REN21, 2015).

Likewise, the regions cordillera is a natural focus of geothermal exploration. Geothermal energy programs are already active in Mexico, Guatemala and Costa Rica, and developments are being planned in Colombia, Peru, Chile and others. Geothermal energy could play a key role in Central America, where the resources are sizable. While the true size of geothermal resources is not yet known, countries in the Andean region have an estimated geothermal generation potential of at least 100 TWh (Ecofys, 2009; Vergara et al., 2013a), and possibly much more.

Marine energy is also a significant resource that is only now being examined for its potential use. Both wave and tidal power resources are significant in the South Pacific. While the technology has yet to be developed to a full commercial level, the horizon for deployment keeps shrinking, as shown by the large financial commitments made in South Korea and France, among others. The potential in Chile has been calculated to be of the order of 1 PWh (GOV.UK, 2012), comparable to other large endowment areas elsewhere.

Despite these favourable resource conditions, under business as usual scenarios there is an expectation that the power sector will gradually carbonize. The projections under the IIASAs BAU scenario anticipate that the share of coal in power generation will gradually disap-

pear, but in contrast natural gas is seen as increasing its participation. The net effect is an increase in the share of fossil fuels for power generation of about 110% from 2012 to 2050 (IIASA, 2012). The reasons for this projection, it is argued, include the relative ease of supply and the perceived financial competitiveness of natural gas. Combined-cycle natural gas-based power generation is indeed quite competitive under current conditions. Geopolitical reasons are also weighted in.

However, recent developments have produced an improved financial edge for renewables in the region and could form a basis for questioning these assumptions. For example, recent bids for power supply in the Brazilian market have overwhelmingly gone for wind (REN21, 2015), and market conditions in Uruguay, Mexico, Brazil and Nicaragua, among others, are seen as favouring wind over gas. The evidence indicates that wind and solar can compete and outperform gas under level-play conditions[16], that is, in situations where renewables are not penalized and/or fossil fuels are not subsidized.

Is it possible to visualize a scenario in which economic, policy and energy security considerations are all able to meet climate change concerns through the deployment at scale of renewable sources of energy? Is it the case that the foundations for this alternative future are already coming into play in the region?

This chapter challenges the projected carbonization of the sector under business as usual conditions by bringing to the foreground recent developments in the region. These developments include: a) recent and significant changes in the market share of renewables; b) evolution of the policy frameworks; c) political will manifested primarily in the establishment of renewable energy goals; d) the prospect of grid integration, with the advantages it would bring to the large-scale deployment of renewables; and, e) the pace of change in technologies, with resulting improvements in financial competitiveness.

[16] Depending on the calculation method used, REN21 (2015) estimates for the global cost of fossil fuel subsidies range from US$544 billion to US$1.9 trillion—several times higher than those for renewable energy.

2.3 MARKET SIZE FOR RENEWABLES IS INCREASING

Globally, investments in renewable energy resources over the last decade have been substantial. From 2004 to 2014, global annual investments in renewables grew by 500% from US$45 billion to US$270 billion (FS UNEP Centre, 2015). In Latin America, while still representing a small fraction of the total, capacity in renewables other than hydro and biomass (the traditional renewables) has also been rapidly growing (Table 2.2). Markets for wind and solar PV are already established in the region, and the role of CSP is becoming clearer, with two large-scale units under construction.

Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Peru have awarded contracts for over 13 GW of capacity through competitive bids since 2007, and Uruguay has used competitive bidding to go from 40 MW in 2012 to its target of 1 GW of wind capacity by 2015. Also, in Central America, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Panama have all issued bids for renewable energy in 2014 (REN21, 2015). Brazil has held tenders for wind power for several years, and for solar power since 2014. Chile held its first CSP tender in 2013 with strong government support, and Uruguay launched multiple solar power tenders throughout the year (REN21, 2015). In fact, LAC continues to be a leader in bids for non-hydro renewable energy.

In Latin America, from 2000 to 2014, installed power capacity of non-hydro renewables increased by 1300% from a small base to 13 GW (Enerdata, 2015). Can this trend continue? The answer to this question depends on the continuing evolutions in regulatory frameworks, policy commitments and economics. The current standing of each is briefly reviewed below.

2.4 EVOLUTION OF REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS

A full discussion of the evolving regulatory frameworks in the region is beyond the scope of this document. As a proxy, a quick summary of some key developments are presented below.

There has been remarkable progress in the evolution of the regulatory framework in support of renewable energy sources in the region. Table 2.4 summarizes the current state of play as summarized by REN21 (2015). According to the aforementioned analysis, most of the

Table 2.4 – Summary of renewable energy policies in Latin America.

	National Policy								Fiscal Incentives								Grid Access				
	Renewable Energy Target	Renewable Energy Law / Strategy	Solar Heating Law / Programme	Solar Power Law / Programme	Wind Power Law / Programme	Geothermal Law / Programme	Biomass Law / Programme	Biofuels Law / Programme	VAT Exemption	Fuel Tax Exemption	Income Tax Exemption	Import / Export Fiscal Benefit	National Exemption of Local Taxes	Carbon Tax	Accelerated Depreciation	Other Fiscal Benefits	Transmission Discount / Exemption	Priority / Dedicated Transmissions	Grid Access	Preferential Dispatch	Other Grid Benefits
Argentina	■	■			■			■	■	■	■	■	■		■	■					
Belize	■	■																			
Bolivia	■								▒			▒	▒								
Brazil	■				▒			■		■		■	■			■	■				
Chile	■	■	■	■		■	■			■				■		■	■		■		
Colombia	■	■							■	■	■	■			■	■					■
Costa Rica	■					■	■	■			■	■				■					
Ecuador	■					■	■	■			■	■							■	■	
El Salvador	■			■							■	■							■	■	
Guatemala	■						■		■		■	■				■	■		■		
Guyana	■								■			■									
Honduras	■	■						■			■	■				■		○	■		
Mexico	■	■	■			■	■	■					■	■	■		■	■	■		■
Nicaragua	■	■				■	■	■	■		■	■	■			■				■	■
Panama	■	■	■	■	■		■	■	■	■	■	■	■		■	■	■				■
Paraguay								■	■	▒		■				■			■		
Peru	■	■		■		■		■	■						■	■		■	■	■	■
Suriname	■																				
Uruguay	■	■	■				■	■	■	■	■					■	■			■	■
Venezuela	■	○							▒	▒						▒					
TOTAL (Active)	19	11	4	4	2	6	8	11	9	6	10	12	5	2	5	12	7	3	8	5	6

Source: (IRENA, 2015a)

■ Active ▒ Expired, superseeded or inactive ○ Under development

power market in the region now has renewable energy targets, supportive policies and to some extent financing systems in support of renewable sources of energy. Carbon taxes have been introduced in Mexico and Chile, with other countries considering their potential use as an instrument to promote investments in renewable energy.

In terms of supporting market entry, policies and regulations have been established in the region. For example, feed-in tariffs (FITs) and renewable portfolio standards (RPS) have driven the market to procure renewable electricity by setting the price or amount of energy. Auctions have also been held in which a specific capacity or energy is competitively procured. FIT rates are set administratively, while auctions typically have set rates through periodic competition (Vergara et al., 2013a).

In some cases, onsite or offsite self-supply regulations that enable and encourage consumers to generate their own electricity from renewables have been established. In the Caribbean, countries such as Barbados, Grenada, Jamaica and St. Lucia have introduced different versions of net metering and net billing policies that allow onsite generation to be credited against customer electricity bills at or below the retail electricity rate.

Other tools are being used to strengthen the enabling environment for renewable energy (e.g. streamlined permitting, property tax exemptions, import tax exemptions), provide market support (outreach, education, capacity-building, institutional strengthening) and enhance the contribution of NRTs to local development. While these policies have played an important role in promoting the market in the region and are still very much required, there is mounting evidence that the shift in relative competitiveness (discussed later in this chapter) is having an effect.

2.5 RENEWABLE ENERGY TARGETS

There is considerable movement in the setting of targets for renewables, including in: a) some of the economies with the most intensive power sectors in Latin America (Chile and Mexico); b) some of the countries with the most ambitious and successful renewable energy programs (Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Uruguay); and c) other countries with a significant share of renewables in their current power matrix (Brazil, Colombia, Peru).

Mexico and Chile, with some of the most carbon-intensive power systems in the region, have, among other things, established clear goals for renewable energy. In Mexico, its Climate Change Plan (Vision 10, 20, 40) (NCCS, 2013) has established a 50% renewables goal in power generation by around 2050. Chile has indicated in its energy plan an intention to be 20% renewable in power generation by 2025 (Del Campo, 2012) and recently announced a target of 70% by 2050 (EFE, 2015). Given the current make-up of their power respective matrixes, these goals amount to very substantial changes in fossil fuel use.

Brazil, while already a global leader on renewable energy for power generation, has recently established an additional and substantial goal that would increase the share of renewables from resources other than hydro to the level of 20% by 2030. Brazil's intentions were announced in the run up to COP 21, and when achieved would translate into an equivalent 24 GW of non-traditional renewables by 2030. Brazil's intentions, given their magnitude, also have the potential to contribute to the development of industrial supply chains in the region.

Uruguay, Nicaragua, Ecuador and Costa Rica are also notable examples of countries establishing ambitious and in some ways exemplary goals and carrying out early actions to arrive at them. For example, Uruguay has indicated its intention to go carbon-free in its power sector by 2020 and already has a share of wind energy in its power matrix that surpasses Denmark's! In 2015, Costa Rica managed to operate its power system with no fossil fuels for an extended period and has announced its intention to transform its entire economy so that it is carbon-neutral by 2020. Nicaragua, though facing many development needs, has still managed to move rapidly to shift its power matrix towards renewables. These smaller countries are in effect providing a practical example of how to move along a low-carbon pathway in power generation.

Paraguay is indicative of the potential nature of power generation in the region. The country is already 100% renewable in its power sector thanks to the large hydro endowment and multinational projects (Yacireta and Itaipu). One of its largest exports is hydropower. As a result, Paraguay should be a good testing ground for use of the power sector to influence energy consumption in other sectors of the economy.

Table 2.5 – Current status and established targets for the entry of renewable sources for electricity generation.

Country	Share in 2013	Target
Argentina		8% by 2016
Bolivia		160 MW new by 2025
Brazil	79% (2014)	20% capacity in other than hydro by 2030
Chile	8.6% (2014)	20% by 2025 ; 70% other than hydro by 2050
Colombia	72%	6.5% other than hydro by 2020
Costa Rica	90%	100% by 2021
Ecuador	48%	85% by 2017
Guatemala		80% by 2027
Mexico		25% by 2026
Nicaragua	51% (2014)	90% by 2027
Paraguay	100%	
Peru		60% by 2025
Uruguay	84%	92% by 2015
Venezuela	64% (2012)	500 MW additional wind by 2019

Source: (REN21, 2014, 2015; CleanTechnica, 2015)

Other nations have also indicated commitments to reduce fossil fuel use in their electricity systems. A partial list of targets recently announced is given in Table 2.5. Other countries can soon be expected to be added to the list. The establishment of goals in market share for renewables reflects a political consensus that this option should be favoured. Attainment of these goals will already bring the power sector much closer to zero emissions.

2.6 GRID MODERNIZATION AND INTEGRATION

Most countries in the region rely on a national transmission system that is relatively isolated from neighbouring grids. These national systems are under significant pressure, caused by the emergence of intermittent and seasonal sources of generation, the need to provide for energy storage and the projected expansion of distributed power. All of these factors have contributed to a lot of attention being placed on the possibility of integrating the different national grids into a regional Latin American system. They have also created uncertainty about the future of the traditional business model and operation of the grids.

Regional integration would permit variable generation to be balanced across international borders. Generally, regional grids can improve system reliability and create opportunities to capture greater economies of scale, complement resource diversity, allow operating reserves to be shared and coordinated, and support greater penetration of variable renewable generation, thus providing additional incentives to harness resource-rich areas such as the Southern Pacific coast (tidal and wave generation), the Guajira and Guajaca wind fields or the Atacama and Sonora Deserts (solar radiation).

Also, from the perspective of renewable energy resources, an integrated, smart grid would offer a number of advantages in the context of a regional market: a) it would enable the dispatch of intermittent sources with greater flexibility, thus increasing its actual firm capacity; b) it could increase system reliability and also conceivably use the accumulated hydropower capacity as a large storage reservoir able to dampen variations

in the deliveries from solar, marine and wind facilities; and c) it could also force gains in efficiency and cost effectiveness.

2.6.1 DISPATCH OF INTERMITTENT SOURCES

An integrated grid that encompasses all South American countries would enable the dispatch of solar energy, say from the Atacama areas of Peru and Chile, to meet demand in eastern regions of the continent after sunset, and also vice-versa, from Brazil's northeast to the west before sunrise, thus effectively increasing the firm capacity of these resources. Excess wind energy outputs from the northern coasts of Colombia and Venezuela would be able to meet demand beyond this area. Once developed, the substantial marine energy in the Pacific would be available beyond the borders of Chile.

These developments will depend, of course, not just on the availability of a physical grid, but also on the compatibility of energy policy frameworks, as well as the containment of losses during transmissions and the overall cost effectiveness of the system.

2.6.2 INCREASED SYSTEM RELIABILITY

An integrated grid, even at the sub-regional level, will have a better capability to handle variations in generation, not only due to increased share of intermittent sources or variations caused by weather and climate, but also through better use of information and communication technologies embedded in grid systems to improve flexibility and safety. Shared information and communication technologies could help prevent power outages and enable increased transparency during operation. Also, intermittent contributions can be absorbed better in a larger system.

A useful example of the costs and benefits of an integrated supranational grid is provided by the recent experience of Denmark. This country is now interconnected with other Scandinavian nations and with northern Europe and indirectly to the rest of the EU. According to a recent analysis (Franck, 2015), the simple interface with neighbouring countries has allowed the Danish system to communicate instantly the need for power or its availability and for producers and consumers to act. The regional power market automatically dispatches different resources across a wide area and utilizes the available flexibility. "It is never stormy, calm or overcast over the entire interconnected area (Franck, 2015)", ensuring that different resources are always available.

Table 2.6 – List of grid interconnection projects as of mid-2015.

Project	Project Date of completion	Capacity [GW]	Cost [Million US$]
Peru – Brazil	2015	2.2	2,370
Bolivia – Brazil	2015	0.8	792
Columbia – Panama	2018	0.3	207
Bolivia – Chile	2014	0.2	30
Central America	2016	0.3	500
Argentina – Paraguay – Brazil	2016	2	610
Bolivia – Peru	2016	0.1	65
Total		**5.9**	**4,574**

Source: (CAF, 2012)

In this system, the dispatch of sources with very low operating costs also has a market advantage.

Grid integration across LAC is still in the future. However, some parties are already planning sub-regional integration. There are at the moment at least seven inter-connection projects with an estimated cost of US$4.6 billion (CAF, 2012) (see Table 2.6).

For example, there have been discussions between Colombia and Panama on a US$ 0.3 billion project linking both grids. This would eventually open up the possibility of an integrated system with Central America. Another project is under discussion between Colombia and Chile to modernize and set up an interconnected grid, with improvements of existing links in Ecuador and Peru. The interconnection between Brazil and Uruguay is key to plans in Uruguay to become 100% renewable in its power supply. The US$0.4 billion project has now been completed. Uruguay is already interconnected with Argentina. Mexico is also planning to strengthen its links with the Central America region. The successful completion of these projects in the short term will increase the likelihood of the large-scale entry of new renewable energy capacity and improved operation.

2.7 DISTRIBUTED POWER

Distributed power systems – essentially the aggregation of smaller consumer-producers of power, mostly at the household level, but also of medium and large-scale industrial and commercial users – are becoming a fixture in some countries in Europe and North America. These systems can improve reliability locally and contribute to a reduction in transmission losses. Distributed power is an advantage in areas of considerable solar and wind energy endowment where the costs of transmission may be comparatively lower.

Brazil and Mexico have started net metering schemes to enable the development of residential, industrial and commercial distributed PV installations. Chile has issued a law and regulations to promote distributive power (Law 20571 of 2012)[17]. This is similar to systems already operating in Germany and in some states in the US. Specifically, in both Mexico and Chile, there is no extra cost or special fee for installing distributed systems; the surplus power is allowed into the grid to offset any electricity drawn from it. However, net surpluses are not paid for, though a net balance can be kept for a period of time (one year in Mexico and three years in Brazil).

Net metering does not involve any investment on the part of utilities or additional transmission infrastructure by the government. On the contrary, the emergence of distributed systems contributing to power generation should reduce the pressure for new centralized units once these systems reach a sufficient scale. For exam-

[17] The text of the law can be consulted in http://www.minenergia.cl/ley20571/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Ley20.571.pdf.

ple, Mexico now has about 5 MW of distributed power, and there seems to be significant potential for rapid expansion.

A combination of a centralized grid that creates economies of scale from large central installations with the local advantages of distributed systems, with everything being integrated by advanced communications, would result in a hybrid system. This hybridization would take advantage of advances in grid technology and has the potential to reduce the overall costs of grid integration and transmission through optimization at a local level of demand and supply balances. The success of the systems already in place in Mexico and Brazil are likely to be replicated in the near term[18].

2.8 HYDROPOWER RESERVOIRS AS A REGIONAL STORAGE FACILITY

Latin America's power sector is driven by hydro-power. There are at least 38 multi-annual reservoirs in the region with a nominal capacity of 1 GW or more, totalling 164 GW in 2013 (Enerdata, 2015). The stored potential energy at any given point of time is enormous. Also, the largest reservoirs are located throughout the Americas, which in effect means that they operate under different hydrology and weather patterns.

Grid integration would make the use of these reservoirs possible, as regional energy-storage facilities, available to accumulate seasonal resources and address the potential impacts of severe weather events, such as prolonged droughts and intense rainfall, in the overall power system. It is possible to visualize times – for example, under ENSO conditions – of low precipitation in the southern Pacific countries, as opportunities for solar or wind systems to accumulate excess production using the reservoirs in the countries affected or to meet instant demands. As a result, an integrated regional power system, or at least sub-regions sharing grid systems, would benefit from an increase in the overall firm capacity in generation.

Reservoirs also have the potential, still largely untapped, to complement intermittent sources of energy such as wind and solar. For example, in northern South America (Colombia, Venezuela), wind regimes complement rainfall patterns. Bundling wind and hydro power in the region may increase the firm capacity of both (Vergara et al., 2010).

On the other hand, hydropower generation depends directly on the availability of water resources, and therefore on variations in the hydrological cycle. A reliable supply would be affected by more frequent, prolonged and/or severe drought resulting from climate change and the resulting precariousness of depending so heavily on hydro-power.

Such changes in hydrology will directly affect the output of existing and future hydro-electric facilities. For example, a reduction in hydropower potential is anticipated in areas where river flows are expected to decline, such as in the Rio Lempa basin and the Simú-Caribbean basin of Central America (Maurer et al., 2008; Noreña et al., 2009). An analysis made of annual stream flows in some rivers in South America under scenario A1B (Nakaegawa & Vergara, 2010; Vergara & Scholz, 2011) found reductions in the stability of stream flows and consequent impacts on firm capacities regarding dependent hydropower facilities. A full treatment of these impacts can be consulted in Ebinger & Vergara (2011).

2.9 THE ECONOMICS OF RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION

Wind, solar (both PV and CSP) and geothermal have all recently experienced significant technological improvements, resulting in better economies of scale, higher efficiencies and better operational factors. Marine energy technologies, while not yet fully developed, have also been the subject of considerable investments in technology.

As a result, the economics of renewables are rapidly shifting, with generating costs becoming much more competitive[19]. For example, for utility-size crystalline systems with tracking, installed costs in the US market went from US$8 per installed peak W in 2007-2009 to US$3 in 2013, and they are now projected to fall to US$1.5 by 2025 and possibly reach less than US$1 by 2050 (Feldman et al., 2014). Recent tenders in Latin America confirm the reduction in contract prices for solar PV. For example, an average bid price of less than

[18] The Dominican Republic and Peru have recently started distributed power projects (Renewable Energy World, 2013).

[19] See, for example, IRENA (2014).

Figure 2.5 – Recent evolution of generating costs by renewable energy installations according to IRENA renewable energy database (in US$/kWh).



Source: (IRENA, 2015b)

US$0.1 per kWh has been used to award about 1 GW of capacity in Brazil and about 0.2 GW in Panama.

CSP systems are currently more expensive than PVs on an installed watt basis. But, when consideration is given to its ability to store power, their cost competitiveness improves. Current installed cost, based on a limited number of examples, is US$4.6 per W without storage and between US$9 and US$10.5 with fifteen hours of storage (IRENA, 2012). While experience with this technology is limited (just two plants are under construction in LAC, in Mexico and Chile), benefits can be expected in capital and operations costs in the future, similar to those of other, more mature technologies.

Similarly, the installation costs of wind energy systems have fallen, and a number of installations in Latin America are competing and outperforming natural gas. New installations in Brazil, Mexico, Uruguay, Chile and Nicaragua, among others, are now in operation. Most projections indicate that wind energy will continue to see its generating costs decrease as the market continues to develop (Lantz et al., 2012).

For geothermal installations, once the fields are proven, the economics are straightforward, and specific projects can be competitive, as illustrated by recent investments in Costa Rica and Mexico. Marine energy, both tidal and wave, are at much earlier stages of development. Nonetheless, falls in capital costs are also anticipated as the new plants gain in operational experience. A summary of the evolution of costs, compiled by IRENA (2015) is reproduced here (Figure 2.5). The data confirm the continuous improvement in cost effectiveness vis-à-vis fossil sources.

The database also shows that, while there has been a significant improvement in the competitive position of non-hydro renewables, fiscal and regulatory incentives (carbon taxes, targets, accelerated depreciation, other

Figure 2.6 – Comparison of estimated levelized costs of generation (LCOE) through renewables in LAC with natural gas.



Source: author's estimates and (Kost et al., 2013)

incentives) are still required today. Their use would accelerate the transition to renewables and contribute to locking-in a low carbon future.

2.9.1 LEVELIZED COST OF ELECTRICITY GENERATION USING RENEWABLES

To analyse the degree of competitiveness, compared with other alternatives, the costs of power generation in the region have been estimated using the Levelized Costs of Electricity generated (LCOE). These costs have been estimated using recent data on installed capital costs in the region, involve a discount rate of 7%, and were calculated using GACMO (Fenhann, 2015).

LCOEs were estimated for utility-size PV systems, CSP with and without storage, wind energy on and offshore, geothermal, and marine tidal and wave, as if these systems were installed today. The results are shown in Figure 2.6, compared with the current LCOE for combined-cycle natural-gas and diesel power plants, with diesel at current prices and at a US$5/gallon price, to capture the costs in isolated areas. As the analysis is made on a regional basis, the results are only indicative and could vary depending on specific locations.

The results indicate that, as expected, hydro, wind and geothermal installations are very cost-competitive in today's market. If the resources are available, the rational choice is for these options to be deployed vis-à-vis natural gas and coal. Moreover, given the relatively large construction periods for hydro and the associated environmental and social aspects of large reservoirs, all other factors being equal, wind and geothermal could address increases in demand with shorter lead times.

The results also indicate that solar PV is within striking distance of parity with gas. These estimates closely fit the average numbers in the IRENA database. However, as technology is advancing rapidly, the study also uses a consensus projection of future capital costs to estimate anticipated LCOEs in 2025 and 2050[20] prepared by the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems (Kost et al., 2013).

[20] The projection is based on capital cost factors from Kost et al. (2013). The initial capital costs were based on the installed costs of recent installations in the region, when available. Most industry analysts project falls in technology costs by factors of the order of 0.9 to 0.7 of current prices (Kost et al., 2013).

Figure 2.7 – Estimated learning curves for renewables expressed in projected LCOEs.



Source: author's estimates.

2.9.2 PROJECTED EVOLUTION OF LCOE (LEARNING CURVES)

The projected learning curves are presented in Figure 2.7 and indicate that, compared with natural-gas combined-cycle, hydro, wind and solar are anticipated to further improve their competitiveness over time, putting themselves in a strong position to address future demand. It is also expected that the continuation of trends in capital costs will soon make utility PV installations very competitive, especially in areas of high solar irradiance (Chile's and Peru's Atacama region, Colombia and Venezuela's Guajira, Brazil's north-east and Mexico's Sonora are some examples). There is an expectation that the learning curve will continue and will push prices even lower. Industry analysis indicates additional cost reductions potentials of 40% for PV installations by 2017 (Deutsche Bank, 2015).

Wind facilities are also estimated to be already at grid parity and expected to continue to improve their competitiveness over time. Under these conditions, one could expect a wholesale displacement of natural gas

installations as a prospective supplier of power in the region. The analysis also indicates that PV and wind installations will even compete with hydro installations in the short term (Figure 2.7).

The estimates also indicate the likelihood of CSP competing in the medium term with future natural-gas or coal installations as an option of choice. However, as shown in the case of Chile, CSP installations with storage capacity can already address local demands in areas of high irradiance. Marine resources are still more expensive for the main grid applications. Still, in situations where the option is diesel-powered generation in isolated coastal communities, or the costs of transporting fuels are high, there are scenarios under which marine resources could be an option of choice.

On the basis of these estimates, there is an expectation that the improved outlook for supportive policies and incentives; the prospects for grid modernization and integration; but above all the shifting financial advantage of wind and solar right now and of other technologies in the future, provide a sufficient basis on which a scenario of full decarbonisation of the power sector can be built. This scenario, presented later in the report, is predicated on the assumption that market conditions already enable new demand to be met through renewables and that renewables, in the form of an increasing array of technologies, will be able to displace existing fossil-fuel capacity and dominate the market for new installations.

Finally, from a job generation perspective, the renewable energy industry is much more labour-intensive than highly mechanized and capital-intensive thermal power plants like coal- or gas-powered units and would thus result in significantly more job creation. This will result in additional benefits for the regional economy. For example, it has been estimated that in the US, the introduction of a 25% renewable energy standard by 2025 would create more than three times as many jobs as producing an equivalent amount of electricity from fossil fuels (UCS, 2009).

This is not to say that the supporting policy, regulatory and incentive framework is not required. On the contrary, even if economic conditions are expected increasingly to favour renewables over time, there is all the more reason to promote today the foundation and acceleration of this transition.

2.9.3 SOME BARRIERS TO THE DECARBONISATION OF THE POWER SECTOR

While this analysis finds a generally supportive policy environment, there are some important barriers whose removal can facilitate the more rapid market entry of renewables in Latin America. These include:

a) The political will to advance in the grid-integration process in the region. The costs and technical issues of grid integration are not judged to be a major obstacle, as technologies and options are also available to reduce environmental and social concerns. Instead, a decision by neighbouring countries to integrate – a political decision – is what is required in most cases. Reliability and intermittency will be much less of a concern with the widespread availability of hydropower as back-up and storage, once intra-country integration has been reached.

b) Fossil-fuel subsidies, in particular for coal and gas, are an important obstacle to market entry. The estimated 1% of GDP in fossil-fuel subsidies in the region (about US$200 billion per year) (Di Bella et al., 2015) have no rationale for continuing, especially as these subsidies delay the elimination of negative externalities on climate. The removal of these subsidies will affect the coal and gas industries in the region.

c) The failure to internalize the climate costs of fossil fuel-based generation is a major barrier. In the absence of a well-structured carbon market, some countries, like Mexico and Chile, have enacted carbon taxes, partly in an effort to account for these costs. However, most nations in the region lack this mechanism, and those that are available may still be at the lower range[21] of the costs of climate impacts.

d) The adoption of rules to allow for distributed power systems, including net metering throughout, the region would promote the deployment of household and commercial solar installations, which may become an important element of the regional power matrix. Widespread use of distributed systems will affect transmission utilities by reducing their margins. The adoption

[21] For example, the current value of the carbon taxes considered in Chile is about US$5 per ton CO_2e. However, price projections commonly assumed in carbon market studies under conservative scenarios are much higher, of the order of US$20/t$CO_2$ for the period 2020-2040 (for example, see Luckow et al. (2015)). Also, the estimated financial consequence of CO_2 emissions into the atmosphere as calculated by Stern is US$100/ton of CO_2 (Stern, 2006).

of a set of consistent rules throughout the region would greatly assist in the deployment of distributed systems.

Some may ask, however, why nations in the region would invest in renewables today if there is an expectation of even higher levels of relative competitiveness down the road? Early movers and companies that find and fill market niches ahead of the competition do have an edge in the long term and are better positioned to take advantage of market developments. Moving early in this direction will send a signal to the market to develop the necessary systems, components, value-chains, and institutional and engineering capabilities, thus preparing the economic production systems and ensuring value-added gains in other sectors. Also, shifting now to a renewable power base would lock in a long-term low price that is often lower than traditional energy sources and provides hedges against future fossil-fuel price hikes and volatility.

550 LIGEIRAO
Entrada

3. MASS ELECTRIFICATION OF THE TRANSPORT SECTOR

If power supply can be decarbonized, does it make sense to think of a simultaneous mass shift to electric drives in the transport sector in Latin America over time? A shift to electricity in transport would reduce overall energy use in the region while maintaining the same amount of work delivered by fossil fuels. It would also have an impact on energy security, reducing the need for imports and/or avoiding the expense of an expansion of refinery capacity to accommodate future demand. It might also help the bottom line of power generators. Finally, it would make a major difference to air quality in urban areas and may also promote regional integration.

This section of the document examines the current situation and near-term trends of the sector, as well as of the technologies and economic conditions that would need to be in place to make transport-sector decarbonisation happen. There are a number of other measures that could be envisaged to reduce the carbon footprint of transport, though these go beyond the scope of the current analysis. The report focuses exclusively on the electrification of transport concurrent with a decarbonisation of the power matrix and on the net difference it would make in the overall carbon footprint of the region. It also discusses the co-benefits linked to improvements in air quality, energy efficiency and security.

3.1 CURRENT SITUATION

Transport was responsible for 27% of energy use in the region in 2010 (IIASA, 2012), and given the composition of the fuels used (see Figure 3.9), domestic transport and international bunkers accounted for 14.5% of GHG emissions in 2012 (CAIT, 2015), corresponding to about 0.7 $GtCO_2e$ per year (Vergara et al., 2013b). From 2000 to 2012, transport-related GHG emissions grew by nearly 49% (CAIT, 2015), the second highest sectorial rate of growth (Table 1.1).

Under business as usual conditions, this trend is expected to continue, driven by a combination of a fast rate of urbanization and very high motorization rates, amongst the highest worldwide, which are discussed later in this section. The upward trend in emissions has also been aided by a rapid expansion of freight transport, as the demand for minerals, metals and food from the region has risen[22] and domestic and international commerce has increased.

Using the IIASA-GEA database (IIASA, 2012) and a range of likely future scenarios[23] (Figure 3.1), the energy demand of transport activities has been projected to the end of the century. Under these conditions (GEA-BAU

Figure 3.1 – Projected energy use in the transport sector.



Source: (IIASA, 2012).

[22] The demand for raw materials from Latin America has grown constantly since 2000 but has suffered a recent reduction as a result of the slowdown in the pace of growth of importing economies such as China.

[23] A full description of the scenarios used is included in Annex I.

Figure 3.2 – Historical and projected (BAU) emissions from the transport sector.



Source: Historical emission data from (CAIT, 2015), projections based on IIASA-GEA rates of growth of future emissions (IIASA, 2012). Differences between Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.2 derive from a significant increase in electricity used in transport under BAU (from 0.6 to 1.6 from 2050 to 2060), which results in a slight overall increase in total energy consumption, while the energy generated from liquids decreased from 17.988 to 17.689 EJ (IIASA, 2012).

and GEA-MIX), the energy demand of transport is expected to be in the range of 14 to 19 EJ by 2050. The wide range of futures reflect uncertainties regarding future developments in the rates and types of urbanization, transport technologies, motorization rates and modal shifts, as reflected in the GEA-BAU and GEA-MIX scenarios. A net increase in energy requirements, of the magnitude associated with BAU conditions, if these continued to be met through the use of fossil fuels, will place significant pressure on regional refining capacity or drive major additions to fuel imports.

According to the GEA-BAU, the transport-related contribution to GHG will be 1.4 $GtCO_2e$ per year by 2050 (Figure 3.2)[24]. This reflects a future in which current trends continue unabated. Under the scenario, emissions are expected to plateau and then fall significantly after 2040, when electric and fuel-efficiency technologies will have been widely deployed.

[24] The IIASA projection also assumes that after 2075 gains in transport electrification will stabilize the sector's emissions.

3.2 CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ROAD SEGMENT OF THE TRANSPORT SECTOR

Most of the fuel used by the sector and the associated GHG emissions are linked to road transport for both passengers and freight. Of these, the majority are related to urban areas. Emissions from international aviation and marine bunkers are responsible for 12% of emissions[25], domestic marine and fluvial transport accounts for about 8%, and aviation and railroad 6% and 1% respectively (Figure 3.3)[26]. The total emissions from transport in LAC are estimated at about 0.67 $GtCO_2e$ per year.

An estimate of the carbon footprint associated with domestic road transport in the region, by fleet, is summarized in Table 3.1. The data include the road passenger and freight segments of the sector in terms of number of vehicles and associated emissions. The carbon foot-

[25] International bunkers are treated differently from domestic emissions as they are difficult to attribute to individual countries and are estimated based on the location of refuelling (CAIT, 2015).

[26] The emissions for marine and aviation have been calculated from total oil consumption for domestic aviation/local marine bunkers.

Table 3.1 – Estimated size and emissions from the domestic road fleet in Latin America.

Mode	No Vehicles (millions)	Km per year (thousands)	Fuel efficiency (km per l)	MT CO_2e
Private autos	59.4	12	11	150
Taxis	2.2	60	11	27
Motorcycles	10.7	12		5
Standard buses	0.6	40	3.8	12
Articulated buses	0.02	60	3.8	1
Minibuses	1.0	40	2.8	33
Light trucks	5	13	3.2	47
Medium duty trucks	5.4	22	2.7	77
Heavy duty trucks	2.5	50	2.5	134
Total	**86.8**			**486**

Sources: (CAF, 2010) and (CEPROEC, 2015) for urban transport, and (Barbero, 2014) and (CEPROEC, 2015) for freight transport. Emission factors from EPA (2015).

Figure 3.3 – CO_2 emissions from the transport sector in LAC in 2010 (in $MtCO_2e$).



Source: Road passengers and cargo from Table 3.1, domestic bunker fuels for marine transport from (CAIT, 2015), aviation fuels excluding Mexico from (IEA, 2015), diesel consumption in railroads from (ANTF, 2011) and international bunker fuels from (CAIT, 2015).

print is divided roughly equally between passengers and freight. However, in the passenger segment, 47% of the carbon intensity is linked to the private passenger fleet. In the cargo area, most of the emissions are linked to a relatively small number of heavy-duty trucks and tractor trailers. The concentration of GHG emissions from the transport sector in urban areas warrants a closer examination.

The car fleet in the region is responsible for an estimated 37% of total transport emissions. Public transport (essentially buses) only accounts for less than 10%. However, public transport is responsible for most of the passenger journeys in urban areas (Figure 3.4). From a modal share perspective, and despite the large fraction of passenger cars in the overall fleet, urban transport in the region still sits closer to the public transport mobility of northern European cities than to the car intensity model of the US or Canada. It in fact exceeds the share of passenger trips carried by public transport of either. The maintenance of a high share of passenger trips in public

Figure 3.4 – Modal share of transport (in passenger trips) in urban areas.



City	Public Transport	Private Transport	Walk	Cycle	Taxi	Other
Berlin	26%	31%	30%	13%		
Bogota	44.7%	17.5%	27.5%	3.8%	5.1%	1.5%
Copenhagen	28%	29%	7%	36%		
Curitiba	45%	28%	21%	5%		1%
USA	5.2%	79.8%	2.9%	0.6%	1.3%	Carpool: 10.1%

Sources: (Berlin, 2013), (Bogotá, 2013), (Curitiba, 2011), (Copenhagen, 2013), (USA, 2012) recalculated

Figure 3.5 – Evolution of motorization rates in the region (vehicles per 1000 inhabitants).



Source: (Barbero, 2014)

transport is key to maintaining the energy efficiency of transport in the region.

The comparative reliance on public transport and the extent of urbanization in the region combine to produce relatively low per-capita transport emissions in Latin America (0.95 t per capita in 2013 (World Bank, 2015)), not too dissimilar to emission factors in northern Europe. Developments that optimize and expand this segment of urban transport will result in better use of public space and energy and, based on currently-used technologies, a reduced carbon footprint both per passenger and in total.

The truck fleet makes the second largest contribution to the carbon signal. In particular, heavy-duty and medium-size trucks, though a relatively small fleet, account for about 53% of domestic emissions. Improvements in efficiency and the eventual electrification of this segment would have a significant effect on emissions.

3.2.1 MOTORIZATION RATES

Despite the positive impact of public transport systems in some of the largest urban areas in the region, there has been an overall tendency towards a more energy- and GHG-intensive transport system. This can be interpreted as the result of rapid urbanization and increases in motorization rates. The region is now the most urbanized worldwide (79% of the population lives in cities (World Bank, 2014a)). It is also going through a period of rapid motorization, with the highest motorization rate, worldwide, of about 4.5% per year. It is estimated that since 2000 the number of automobiles in the region has increased from 100 to 170 per 1000 inhabitants (Figure 3.5), and the trend is continuing.

Combined with population growth, the effects of the rise in motorization rates have been particularly remarkable in major urban areas, contributing not only to an increase in fuel use, but also to increases in the emissions of GHG, airborne pollutants as well as in road congestion. Part of the increase in motorization in cities is associated with a modal shift from buses to light ve-

Figure 3.6 – Aggregate vehicle miles travelled in the US under scenarios of future travel growth, 1946-2040.



Source : (Dutzik & Baxandall, 2013)

hicles, but the most important factor is the increase in passenger vehicles per inhabitant in large urban areas in the region, akin to what has been seen in cities in the United States and Asia.

Table 3.2 illustrates the point. Mexico City, São Paulo, Bogotá and Santiago are among the cities that have experienced a significant increase in motorization since the beginning of the century. It is difficult to visualize the continuation of these trends at the expense of public space (congestion), exposure to airborne pollutants, productivity and quality of life. It is also difficult to envisage large urban areas with double or triple the number of vehicles in their current fleets in the second half of this century. Clearly, this is not the way to go.

Still, it could happen. Today's mobility patterns clearly show that many countries and cities in the LAC region are still in a stage prior to mass-motorization (Figure 3.4, Figure 3.5). As a disruptive change in mobility behaviour, mass motorization is expected to occur at a certain level of income. Due to the relatively high rates of urbanization in LAC, this process of mass motorization can be assumed to arrive at levels somewhere between those of Europe (600 cars per 1000 inhabitants) and North America (more than 800 cars per 1000 inhabitants). It seems likely that, under current trends, a number of these countries could reach their point of mass motorization by mid-century (due to strong and continuous economic growth (some but not all sub-regions in Mexico, Brazil, Chile and Argentina have already reached this status).

But here again, changes in behaviour and developments in technology could alter this trend. Driving patterns are changing in the USA and Europe, with reductions

in miles travelled per car and reduced sales of new cars (Figure 3.6; Dutzik & Baxandall, 2013). U.S. Department of Transportation forecasts still continue to assume steady increases in driving, despite the experience of the past decade, but these might soon be revised to indicate that the era of continuing increases in driving is over, to be replaced by a preference for public transport and non-motorized options.

With the large urbanization rates in Latin America, the promise of convenient and functional BRTs (see below) and more intensive use of non-motorized alternatives, the above forecasts of large motorization rates might not materialize, being replaced by the maintenance, increase and improvements in public transport systems supported by non-motorized transport and an improved allocation of public space. For this to happen, comfortable, safe, efficient and cost-effective public transport alternatives need to deploy at a sufficiently large scale.

3.2.2 BUS RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEMS (BRTS)

A development in the direction of energy efficiency and transport rationalization is the emergence of Bus Rapid Transit Systems (BRTs) in the region. In essence, BRTs give preferential allocation of public space to buses as a form of public transportation. These systems have proved to be very cost-effective, enabling mobility as intended at levels comparable to metro and rail systems, but at a fraction of the cost and with relatively faster deployment and reduced needs of infrastructure. Table 3.3 compares the different estimates for alternative public transport systems in Bogotá, where all three modes are being actively considered. Both the metro and light rail options are electric.

BRTs are already a key mode of transport throughout the region, and in cities like Bogotá and Curitiba they are central to the urban transport system. In other cities like Quito, Lima and Mexico City, BRTs have also captured a significant and growing share of passenger journeys. There are now 62 BRTs in operation in the region, the most worldwide, moving about 20 million passenger-km per day on a system that is 1700 km in length (BRTdata, 2015). BRTs are not only being implemented in several other large cities in Latin America, but also in Asia, Africa and even in the United States and Canada. From a GHG emissions perspective, fossil fuel-based BRTs are remarkably efficient. Compared to other non-electric transport modes, BRTs are less carbon-intensive. Still, today BRTs only comprise a small segment of passenger transport in urban areas. A major expansion of these systems would be required for BRTs to play their full potential.

Looking forward, the organizational and management changes brought about by the deployment of BRTs could be used as a platform to initiate the electrification of transport. The technology exists both for rolling stock and for charging stations. The economic competitiveness of the electrical option is continually increasing, and electric BRTs can be expected to be part of the near future. With marginal adjustments in infrastructure, the electrification of transport could be initiated through the BRT segment.

Table 3.2 – Motorization rates in selected cities in the region (cars per inhabitant).

Region	Motorization rate (2000)	Motorization rate (2013)
LAC	0.10	0.17
City of Mexico [a)]	0.39	0.54
Nuevo Leon [a)]	0.25	0.49
Bogotá [b)]	0.31 (1995)	0.54
São Paulo [c)]	0.29 (1997)	0.59
Santiago [d)]	0.15 (2001)	0.19 (2009)

Source: [a)] in (INEGI, 2012); [b)] (Gélvez & Obando, 2014); [c)] (CAF, 2011; Moreira & Dourado, 2014); [d)] (Justen et al., 2012)

Table 3.3 – Cost-effectiveness of different modes of mass transport in urban areas (example of Bogotá).

Mode	US$ Million per km	Passengers per hour
BRTs	2-10	40,000
Metro systems	200+	60,000
Urban rail	5-20	20,000

Source: BRTdata (2015) for costs of BRT infrastructure in Bogotá; reported estimates for complete metro and rail systems from current projects for the metro system, route 1, and for the light rail along Boyaca Avenue.

Figure 3.7 – Modal composition of freight transport in selected countries in LAC.



Source: (Barbero, 2014)

3.2.3 FREIGHT TRANSPORT

Domestic freight in the region is overwhelmingly transported by road and uses light to heavy trucks, mostly powered by diesel fuel. Inter-country freight movement, on the other hand, has a large marine component, most of it using bunker or marine fuel oil. The total annual movement of freight has been estimated at 4.5 billion tons per year, of which roughly two thirds are domestic (Barbero, 2014).

Freight transport by road in Latin America is not well documented. The fleet is very heterogeneous, with little standardization in domestic markets and much less so in international shipping. Also, the average fleet is old (Guerrero & Abad, 2013), contributing to relatively low standards of fuel efficiency and emissions. The modal composition of freight in some countries in the region is illustrated in Figure 3.7. Road transport accounts for 58 to 92% of the freight in ton-km or tons in the countries shown.

The prospects for modernization of the truck fleet are hampered by the atomization of its ownership, which includes a large number of owner-operators with one or two units and a smaller number of medium and large-scale operators. The capacity of the fleet also varies, with a range that goes from light trucks to double trailers. Heavy trucks make up a disproportionate frac-

Figure 3.8 – The growth of railroad transport in the region.



Source: (BID, 2015)

tion of the transport carbon footprint in the region and elsewhere[27]. It is estimated that heavy trucks, though only 2.5% of the total fleet, emit 28% of road-related domestic transport emissions (Table 3.1).

3.2.4 RAILROADS

From a relatively small base, the movement of freight by rail has been growing faster than GDP or the consumption of power in the region (Figure 3.8). Most of this growth has been induced by the movement of minerals, metals, cereals, coal and other fossil fuels destined for export markets. Close to 90% of all freight by rail is concentrated in just three countries: Brazil, Mexico and Colombia.

There are now 38 railroad operators in the region, 32 of which are private. The ten largest companies are located in Brazil (6), Mexico (3) and Colombia (1). These companies transport about 92% of all ton-km (Barbero, 2014). Also, most of the transport is concentrated on mining products (62%), with cereals and other food staples coming a distant second (13%). The majority of the lines use diesel-driven units.

The participation of rail in transport in the region is relatively small. However, from an energy-efficiency perspective, railroads are amongst the best alternatives for the movement of both passengers and cargo. Railroads are consequently very carbon efficient, contributing less than 1% of the CO_2 emissions of the transport sector, and expansion of their participation in freight movements in the region would contribute to displacing emissions from road transport. Also, electrification of railroads is well understood, and the costs of the change in infrastructure are well known.

[27] The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Department of Transportation proposed rules in 2015 to improve the mileage of heavy-duty trucks by 24 percent while reducing their greenhouse gases emissions by a similar amount by 2027 (http://www.theicct.org/blogs/staff/cleaning-big-trucks-deliver-cleaner-future). The total oil savings under the program are nearly equal to the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with energy use by all U.S. residences in one year.

3.2.5 MARITIME TRANSPORT

Maritime transport is the backbone of the world's globalized economy. It is a significant contributor to global CO_2 emissions (UNCTAD, 2012), yet it is one of the least carbon-intensive modes per ton-km. Globally, maritime transport is expected to increase its share of energy use in the transport sector as a result of the growth in international trade. UNCTAD (2012) forecasts a near doubling of its share of emissions relative to all global transport by 2050.

However, there are no reliable data on maritime transport operations in the region. Domestic maritime[28] is a minor mode of regional transport with an estimated contribution of between 1 and 5% in some of the largest economies in the region (Barbero, 2014). The exception is Brazil, where maritime transport is a significant player.

There is significant potential to reduce shipping emissions. The reduction potential, as assessed by DNV (2010), is roughly 50%, half of which can be achieved without net costs. The DNV (2010) assessment concludes that by 2030 CO_2 emissions can be reduced by 30% below the baseline in a cost-effective way, and by almost 60% if all the identified measures are included. Speed reductions, waste heat recovery, and propeller measures are amongst the most effective. Hybrid (diesel-electric) engines are already being demonstrated in ferry passenger and cargo transport in northern Europe with significant energy savings (Scandlines, 2015). A Maritime Transport Task Force has been established by the European Union to investigate further options to reduce emissions.

3.2.6 AVIATION

Domestic aviation is responsible for a growing share of transport emissions, estimated in at 42 $MtCO_2e$ in 2010 (Figure 3.3). It has the largest carbon footprint per passenger-km of all modes of transport. It is also a sector in which solutions to GHG abatement are currently more difficult to visualize. Efforts have been made to produce aviation fuels with lower carbon contents, including proposals for biofuels, by, for example, the European Biofuels Technology Platform, as well as for recycled fuels (waste gases from steel manufacture). However, in the aggregate very little has been done to control emissions. For the purposes of this analysis, the abatement of GHG emissions from aviation is not considered part of the pathway. It is assumed that there will be no change to the anticipated emissions under BAU conditions by 2050.

Figure 3.9 – Type of fuels used in LAC transport sector in 2013.



Source: (Enerdata, 2015)

3.2.7 ALTERNATIVE FUELS IN TRANSPORT

Another feature of the transport sector in the region is the use of non-fossil fuels. Ethanol and to some extend esterified vegetable oils ("bio-diesel") have been in use in Brazil since the 1970s. Today several other countries also include biofuels in their mix. Figure 3.9 shows current transport fuel use by type, region-wide. About 6% of total fuel use is from biofuels. There is, however, considerable discussion in the literature about the net contribution of biofuels to reduce net GHG emissions. Some studies (Searchinger et al., 2008) have concluded that biofuels may end up increasing emissions[29]. Other analysis point to a net reduction under specific circumstances (Farrell et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2015). Natural gas use in transport also makes a significant contribution, mostly in urban areas and in light vehicles, but it may be a net contributor if fugitive emissions (leaks) are significant. Electric power is also used in transport, mostly in metro and light rail systems in urban areas.

[28] International bunker fuels for maritime and aviation transport account for a total of an additional 78 $MtCO_2e$ (Figure 3.3). It is not possible to allocate these 78 $MtCO_2e$ fully between maritime transport and aviation.

[29] Searchinger et al. (2008), using a worldwide agricultural model to estimate emissions from land-use change, found that corn-based ethanol, instead of producing a 20% savings, nearly doubles greenhouse emissions over thirty years and increases greenhouse gases for 167 years.

3.3 THE IMPACT OF TRANSPORT OPERATIONS ON AIR QUALITY

The majority of air toxics and other airborne pollutants in urban air-sheds can be traced back to mobile sources. For example, a transport system that relies on diesel may result in substantial emissions of particulates unless these are strictly controlled in vehicle exhaust systems. Particulates are responsible for increases in morbidity and mortality in urban areas and for losses in productivity. NO_x and VOCs contribute to chemical smog and have been also linked to various health impacts. Black carbon, emitted as part of particulate matter, has a link to climate and may result in substantial increases in albedo in areas surrounding large cities (Vergara et al., 2013b). Other emissions from the transport sector, including NO_x, CO and VOCs, have also been shown to affect human health[30]. However, the hazards associated with ozone and $PM_{2.5}$ are the most important ones in terms of their impacts on human health.

Reducing air pollution in urban areas will have significant co-benefits in air quality and human health that can be monetized and can thus influence economic choices. Reductions in airborne pollutants are expected to lead to significant economic benefits in terms of improved health, including:

i. reduced costs of illness (COI);
ii. reduced losses in productivity;
iii. the avoided costs of reduced acute and chronic morbidity effects;
iv. the avoided costs of reduced acute and chronic mortality effects.

A number of studies have been conducted to assess the impact of airborne pollutants from mobile sources on health, but also to monetize these impacts. A study of Mexico City concluded that compliance with WHO standards for air quality would result in avoided costs equivalent to 2% of the city's GDP (World Bank, 2002). Many other assessments have been made on various aspects of the issue (for example, Mena-Carrasco et al., 2012; Istamto et al., 2014; Falcocchio & Levinson, 2015).

A recent analysis (OECD, 2014) has examined the costs of air pollution from road transport in the OECD and other large countries. It concludes that the cost of the health impact of air pollution in OECD countries (including deaths and illness) was about US$1.7 trillion in 2010. It also indicates that the available evidence suggests that road transport accounts for about 50% of this cost in the OECD, or close to US$1 trillion. The study concludes that in Mexico the total costs of air pollution in 2010 were about US$39 billion, with 50% of air pollution costs being linked to road transport. Further, it is estimated that, from an air quality perspective, most of the airborne pollutants of concern are associated with diesel (91% of $PM_{2.5}$ and 95% of NO_2 were attributable to diesel vehicles in London (Moore & Newey, 2012)). On this basis, an estimate has been made of the economic consequences of air pollution per km travelled by diesel and gasoline vehicles. The results are shown in Figure 3.13, and the calculations are included in Annex IV.

3.4 MASS ELECTRIFICATION OF TRANSPORT

The relatively low carbon intensity of the power system; the expectation of a further lowering of its carbon signal as more renewable energy comes on stream; and, the potential avoided costs for human health from air pollution provide arguments for the electric transport option to be examined. If it were feasible, electrification of the entire sector would have a significant impact on the region's carbon footprint. It would also bring about gains in environmental quality in urban areas and in energy efficiency and security. It may also result in improvements in the financial performance of the power sector, provided the transport-derived load is complementary with the current demand patterns for electricity. On the other hand, it would result in the displacement of infrastructure assets linked to the refining, transport and distribution of liquid distillates and the associated labour and business activity. Today, electrification is a technological option for road, marine and railroad systems.

The potential mass electrification of transport in the near future is also aided by the combined effects of a number of evolving technologies that may end up accelerating the entry of electric vehicles.

Thus, technological advances aided by improvements in cost competitiveness are driving the potential for the mass electrification of transport. Cost-competitive electric cars are already becoming available in industrialized markets, and it is anticipated that these improvements will also translate into other modes of transport.

[30] For a detailed treatment of the link between air pollution and transport, see, for example (Gorham, 2002) and (OECD, 2014).

Table 3.4 – Prospective transformation of transport fleet.

Fleet segment	Technology availability	Cost competitiveness	Impact on carbon footprint	Comments
Passenger cars and light trucks	Immediate	Short term (less than five years)	Large (over 100 Mt CO_2e per year)	Electric vehicles already competitive in large markets. Large manufacturers vested in the production and sale of electric vehicles.
Buses	Short term	Medium term (less than 10 years)	Medium (between 10 and 100 MtCO_2e per year)	Electric vehicles already produced for pilot markets in China, Scandinavia. In Latin America, vehicles being tested/introduced in Bogotá, Sao Paulo, México City.
Medium and heavy duty trucks	Medium term	Long term (more than 10 years)	Large	Technology under development using same systems as articulated buses. Hybrid systems likely to be deployed first.
Railroads	Immediate	Immediate	Small	Already commercially available and deployed. The economics of grid coverage of railroad routes is a key obstacle.
Marine Vessels	Medium term	Long term	Medium	Hybrid technology already deployed in commercial routes in Scandinavia

Source: author's elaboration.

Figure 3.10 – Evolution and projected costs of lithium-ion batteries.



Source: (Bloomberg, 2011; RMI, 2014; Nykvist & Nilsson, 2015)

Table 3.4 summarizes the expectation of a transformation of different fleet segments within the sector. However, technological and economic challenges remain that may prevent full decarbonisation of the sector. In this section, the factors that may allow mass electrification in transport in Latin America are reviewed and the perceived barriers summarized.

3.4.1 STORAGE OF POWER FOR TRANSPORT VEHICLES

Storage of electricity is a critical technology for the decarbonisation of transport. The cost of batteries dictates the economics of electric transport, as these account for about half the total vehicle costs today. The cost of energy storage in batteries for light passenger vehicles has seen a dramatic decrease, while the energy density of these devices has correspondingly increased. The recent trend of these parameters can be found in a report by the Rocky Mountain Institute (RMI, 2015).

Another recent study (Nykvist & Nilsson, 2015) suggests that the cost of electric car battery cells fell at an average rate of 14% per year from 2007 to 2014 and may be at a tipping point for mass production. The report concludes that this "reduction in costs and improvements in energy density [has] significant implications for the assumptions used when modelling future energy and transport systems and permits an optimistic outlook for electric vehicles contributing to low-carbon transport" (Nykvist & Nilsson, 2015).

Figure 3.10 presents the projection for storage costs, using lithium-ion batteries, made by the Rocky Mountain Institute. It also includes the actual storage costs of the Tesla system launched in 2015. The costs reached were seven years ahead of projections. Industry analysts now predict that storage costs for vehicles may be as low as US$150 per kWh in less than ten years. It could even be lower by 2030. The speed of change is opening the door for electric system applications in many sectors. Electric transport, in a region with a very low carbon footprint in its electricity generation, may be one the best global applications from a climate perspective. This study uses a projection of storage costs of US$150 per kWh by 2025 and US$75 by 2050.

Recent improvements in storage costs and energy densities will have a substantial impact on the total cost of vehicles. Industry sources and independent analysts (see, for example, (Nykvist & Nilsson, 2015)) are also projecting a substantial decrease in the capital cost of electric vehicles, independent of the reduction in battery costs and improvements in the energy density of these devices. The reductions are predicated on the simplification of the vehicle (fewer moving parts) and the improved efficiency of manufacture. Tesla, for example (Sparks, 2015), has indicated its expectation of a reduction of 50% in the cost of electric cars between 2013 and 2017. Other manufacturers are increasing the electric vehicle range while maintaining the selling price.

Also, mass electrification of transport in urban areas would have a major impact on the concentration of airborne pollutants and air toxics in urban areas and therefore on human exposure to them. The electrification of transport would eliminate mobile sources of criteria pollutants in urban areas and therefore result in significant economic benefits.

Furthermore, from an energy-efficiency perspective, mass vehicle electrification would result in substantial sector-wide savings. Typical fractions of energy that end up as work in internal combustion engines are 25% for gasoline and 30% for diesel, but may be lower in older fleets, typical of some Latin America nations. Current expectation is for these fractions to increase marginally over time, given thermodynamic limits. On the other hand, the use of an electric engine increases the work delivered to energy ratio to about 90%. Electrification of vehicles thus represents a major energy savings option.

In addition, reductions in vehicle weight, drag coefficients, transmission losses and others are expected to increase fuel economies by 15% by 2025 (Nylund, 2013). This study uses a 30% gain by 2050. Hybrid and plug-in vehicles are capable of increasing fuel economies by 15% to 25% (Fueleconomy, 2015).

Thus, technological advances aided by improvements in cost competitiveness are driving the potential for the mass electrification of transport. Cost-competitive electric cars are already becoming available in industrialized markets, and it is anticipated that these improvements will also translate into other modes of transport. Table 3.4 summarizes the expectations for a transformation of different fleet segments within the sector.

Figure 3.11 – Current (2012) levelized cost of alternative transport drive options.



Source: author's estimates; the top area in the bars reflects the estimated avoided cost of air pollution when gasoline and diesel vehicles are replaced by electric options.

3.5 LEVELIZED COST OF ELECTRIC TRANSPORT

The levelized cost of transport for diesel, gasoline and electric vehicles under current conditions is presented in Figure 3.11. These costs have been estimated on the basis of the current cost of vehicles and the estimated O&M costs. The cost of the electric option is always higher under current conditions. However, when a credit for the avoided costs of air pollution is included, based on the estimates presented in section 3.3, the difference between the options is reduced. Most of the impact of a credit for avoided air quality costs is on diesel vehicles, which are responsible for most of the economic costs of air pollution.

A comparison has also been made of the projected levelized costs of transport of electric versus traditional vehicles, on the basis of the projected gains in the efficiency and density of energy storage in vehicles; the estimated drop in electricity prices resulting from the wholesale introduction of renewables; and, projections for substantial reductions over time in the costs of electric vehicles. The assumptions made on future capital, operational and maintenance costs are summarized in Annex IV. The resulting estimates are summarized in Figure 3.12[31].

Electric vehicles, in all segments of the fleet under consideration (cars, buses, trucks), will gain in competitiveness and in some cases will surpass the fossil-fuel option well before 2050. In particular, electric cars should be able to compete with and displace the fossil-fuel option in the short term.

These developments assume that new technology (EV and charging systems) will need to emerge for all relevant sub-sectors (i.e. passenger cars and buses, trucks, ships) during this period.

Many companies provide some indications that transport electrification might be feasible by 2030/50, yet not all technology-related questions seem to be answered at the moment. While some technology companies have opened their patents to the public, it would still be necessary to ensure a smooth transfer of these technologies into the LAC region, for example, through the UNFCCC Technology Mechanism.

If allowances are made to take into account the avoided costs of air pollution in the learning curves, the economic argument for the shift to electric drives is strengthened (Figure 3.13). This is in particular the case for diesel buses and trucks. The relatively large avoided cost enables the electric versions to become competitive with the fossil-fuel options by 2025.

[31] For the anticipated cost reductions of light duty electric vehicles, this analysis used 25% by 2030, and about 50% by 2050, from current costs.

Figure 3.12 – Projected learning curves for electric vehicle options.



Source: Author´s estimates

Figure 3.13 – Projected learning curves for electric vehicle options with credit for avoided cost of air pollution.



Source: Author´s estimates

Figure 3.14 – Estimated power demand by an electrified transport sector.



Source: Author's estimates, based on (IIASA, 2012) BAU scenario.

Figure 3.15 – Energy savings from full transport electrification.



Source: Author's estimates. (IIASA, 2012)

3.5.1 NET EFFECT OF THE MASS ELECTRIFICATION OF THE TRANSPORT SECTOR ON POWER DEMAND

The net effect on power demand by an electrified fleet was also calculated and is presented in Figure 3.14. The additional power demand is substantial and would require a corresponding increase in generation capacity of approximately 1.26 PWh (4.5 EJ) by 2050.

For electric cars, however, the option of distributed power at the household level could play a role, as it could in respect of fleets of light trucks at charging sites. If the load from transport is managed, it could be shifted to periods when power demand is comparatively low (late night-early morning). The net effect would be a smoothing of the variation in the daily electric load, and this could have a salutary effect on the financials of generators.

The replacement of internal combustion engines by electric motors will also have a significant impact on the overall primary energy use of the sector. Significant energy savings will be associated with the electrification of the sector, derived from the inherently low thermodynamic efficiency of the Otto and diesel cycles being replaced. The gains in efficiency translate into significant avoided emissions.

Figure 3.15 shows an estimate of the savings, in EJ per year, resulting from the conversion of the transport fleet. The net savings are estimated at 4 PWh per year by 2050, probably one of the largest energy efficiencies and energy-savings measures available in the region.

3.5.2 SOME BARRIERS TO THE MASS ELECTRIFICATION OF TRANSPORT

The technological and economic momentum for a shift to electric power drives in transport is building and ultimately may provoke a major disruption in transport technologies globally. However, in the shorter term and in the context of Latin America, this shift faces significant barriers that need to be addressed. These include the following:

a) Fossil-fuel subsidies continue to promote the use of fuels from an advantageous position and delay the adoption of alternative power sources in transport. Fossil-fuel subsidies in the LAC region have been estimated at about 1% of GDP in 2011–13 and about 2% of GDP, during the same period if negative externalities are accounted for (Di Bella et al., 2015). Removing the subsidies would also have a salutary effect on fiscal revenues.

b) Generally, no provisions are made to account for health and other environmental benefits associated with the displacement of diesel, fuel oil and gasoline by electricity in transport. These benefits accrue to society and represent avoided costs that should be credited to promote the adoption of electric systems.

c) Actual consumption patterns suggest that, as incomes increase, there is a reduction in the share of expenses and an increase in the expenditures for "private transport". This pattern indicates that new low- and middle-income groups are not satisfied with existing public transport and are switching to private cars if they are able to do so. Substantial improvements in the quality of private transport are thus required to avoid erosion in the use of public transport systems.

d) Wider use needs to be made of policies and incentives that encourage the efficient use of public space in urban areas, as, for example, in the preferential allocation of transit lanes to public transport systems such as BRTs and support for non-motorized transport.

e) The capital value of and associated jobs and enterprises linked to petroleum refining and the distribution of liquid fuels would be displaced by the introduction of electric vehicles. A natural resistance is to be expected. On the other hand, the electrification of transport can be viewed as growth opportunity, as the new transport systems will need engineers, transport economists, operators and logistics experts.

f) In addition, the discussion of the electrification of the transport sector has not evolved on a par with that in the power sector. As a consequence, there is a need to develop a framework of regulations for those aspects (vehicle weight, charging stations, disposal of batteries) that are intrinsic to the electric option. Some cities (Bogotá, for example) have initiated the development of a policy environment supportive of the electrification of the BRTs, but much more discussion and work is required at the regional level.

4. LAND: FROM CARBON SOURCE TO CARBON SINK

The bulk of GHG emissions in Latin America are generated from agriculture, forestry and other land uses (e.g. waste) (AFOLU). Of the estimated 4.6 $GtCO_2e$ emitted in LAC in 2012, about half were associated with AFOLU (Table 1.1). Therefore, land-use change is a key pillar of any decarbonisation pathway: any large-scale effort to reduce LAC's carbon intensity would need to be heavily tilted toward this option.

This section of the document examines the prospects for major reductions in the carbon emissions from land use and the possibility of net accumulations of carbon stocks. A review of the potential for avoided deforestation and for major increases in carbon sinks through reforestation and land restoration is made. Also measures to reduce emissions from agricultural activities, including animal husbandry are identified. Finally, the section looks at the associated economic benefits and costs.

4.1 CURRENT SITUATION

LAC's aggregate output of agricultural and forest production is estimated to have surpassed 5% of total regional GDP in 2012 (World Bank, 2015), driven largely by increases in the value of agricultural commodities, but also by productivity gains and increases in the area under production. It represents the key economic activity in rural and small urban communities across Latin America and is likely to remain as such for the foreseeable future.

Globally, LAC is expected to play an increasingly important role in food security as a leading producer and exporter of agricultural commodities. Agricultural exports now account for 23% of the region's total exports and contributed about 11% to the global trade in food feed and fibre in 2013 (Vergara et al., 2014), both indices being driven by increases in global demand. In addition, agriculture and forestry are important for the livelihoods of millions of people in the region. The share of agriculture and forestry in total household income reaches over 50% among poor rural households in several countries[32]. These activities employed about 15% of total labour during 2008-2011, reaching levels of employment above 50% outside large urban centres (World Bank, 2014b).

However, the dominance of land use-related emissions within the regional profile is changing. Evidence points to significant declines in the regional rate of deforestation: 67% in the Brazilian Amazon in 2004-2010 and one-third in Central America since the mid-1990s (Kaimowitz, 2008; INPE, 2010; Hecht, 2014). These achievements, if maintained, bode well for a substantial and lasting reduction in forestry-related emissions. On the other hand, data show that emissions from agriculture increased 18% from 2000 to 2012 (CAIT, 2015).

These developments have been used as a basis to project significant reductions in emissions from forestry under GEA-BAU and GEA-MIX scenarios. Still, under business as usual conditions, even after accounting for a robust reduction in deforestation rates, total regional emissions are anticipated to reach almost 5.3 $GtCO_2e$ by 2050 (Table 6.5), with an AFOLU contribution by then of about 1.9 $GtCO_2e$ or 38% (Figure 4.1). As discussed in Chapter 6, for the region to reach zero emissions by mid-century, net carbon sinks in the land sector would be required.

4.2 AVOIDED DEFORESTATION

From a climate perspective, and of all land-use activities, arresting deforestation and reducing forest degradation are the very first actions that should be considered. Reducing deforestation would prevent CO_2 emissions but would also prevent changes in rainfall patterns in local and regional areas of influence and climate destabilization[33]. Arresting deforestation would slow down these impacts. In addition, there is a net climate benefit from the reduction of forest fires and consequently from the reduction in emissions of black carbon, which contribute to radiative forcing in the atmosphere (Hurteau &

[32] Including, among others, Nicaragua (IFAD, 2010), Brazil (Valdes & Mistiaen, 2003) and El Salvador (World Bank, 1998).

[33] Several assessments have described the links between deforestation, climate and rainfall (da Silva Dias, 2008; Vergara & Scholz, 2011) and concluded that there is a substantial risk of climate affecting the standing biomass in tropical rainforests and likewise of deforestation affecting rainfall and local climate patterns.

Figure 4.1 – Historical and projected IIASA GHG land use, land-use change and agricultural emissions.



Source: Historic emissions data from (CAIT, 2015), projections based on IIASA-GEA rates of growth of future emissions (IIASA, 2012) combined with FAOSTAT projection for agricultural emissions (FAOSTAT, 2015).

Figure 4.2 – Historical deforestation in the Amazon region of Brazil.



Source: (Nepstad et al., 2014)

Brooks, 2011). Deforestation should also be credited with the avoided costs of habitat destruction, including its impacts on biodiversity, soil and water conservation, and in many cases ancestral heritage. Eliminating deforestation should thus be an important part of immediate efforts to reduce the carbon footprint of the region.

This will not be easy. Table 4.1 summarizes the current deforestation rates in some countries in the region. In some instances, the deforestation process shows no abatement, as forests are frequently seen by actors on the ground not as an asset but as a nuisance. In 2013, an estimated 3.4 million ha of forests were eliminated in the region (Hansen et al., 2015). Brazil, Mexico, Peru, Argentina and Paraguay accounted for over 60% of the deforested area, and it is in these countries where efforts to arrest deforestation would have the largest impact.

Major policy, regulatory and incentive efforts are required to arrest the rates of deforestation. In Brazil, for example, a recent analysis (Tollefson, 2015) has identified a number of measures that have been used to slow down deforestation, including direct incentives to farmers, work with supply chains, a territorial approach to

Table 4.1 – The deforestation picture in the region (in Mha).

Country	Tree cover in 2000	Area deforested in 2013	Tree Cover Loss (2001 – 2013)	Tree Cover Gain (2001 – 2013)
Mexico	53	0.19	2.41	0.63
Argentina	39	0.35	4.68	0.64
Belize	2	0.02	0.14	0.01
Bolivia	65	0.17	3.16	0.17
Brazil	519	1.72	35.76	7.59
Chile	19	0.10	1.31	1.46
Colombia	82	0.12	0.27	0.55
Costa Rica	4	0.01	0.17	0.04
Cuba	4	0.01	0.17	0.23
Dominican Republic	3	0.01	0.2	0.04
Ecuador	19	0.04	0.56	0.1
El Salvador	1	0	0.06	0.01
Guatemala	8	0.05	0.93	0.11
Guyana	19	0.01	0.10	0.01
Haiti	0.9	0	0.03	0
Honduras	8	0.04	0.52	0.06
Jamaica	0.8	0	0.03	0.01
Nicaragua	8	0.04	0.85	0.07
Panama	6	0.01	0.28	0.03
Paraguay	24	0.3	4.13	0.05
Peru	78	0.19	1.74	0.19
Suriname	14	0.01	0.08	0.01
Trinidad & Tobago	0.4	0	0.02	0
Uruguay	2	0.02	0.24	0.5
Venezuela	57	0.05	1.28	0.19
TOTAL	**1036**	**3.4**	**59.1**	**12.7**

Source: (Hansen et al., 2015)

addressing deforestation, a strengthening of natural and protected areas, improved governance and others.

In addition, zero-deforestation cattle agreements signed by major meatpacking companies in the Brazilian Amazon state of Pará show that purchasing from properties with deforestation is being avoided, which was not the case prior to the agreements (Gibbs et al., 2015)[34]. The recent record of these efforts illustrate the impact of reducing incentives for deforestation; of the adoption of land-tenure policies; and, of changing the subsidies and fiscal incentives that promote deforestation.

Notwithstanding the notable reduction in the pace of the destruction of tropical forests, an estimated 580,000 ha of primary forests in the Amazon region were destroyed during 2013 (Nepstad et al., 2014). This is still a very large area and would be responsible for emissions equivalent to 0.5 $GtCO_2e$[35]. Figure 4.2 shows how agrarian reform; strict protection measures; the organization and protection of indigenous territories; and, sustainable land use have all gone hand in hand with reductions in the rate of deforestation in Brazil. However, there is still much work to be done to eliminate deforestation completely.

There have been several assessments of the costs of halting deforestation. Typically these estimates include the

[34] Supplying ranchers registered their properties in a public environmental registry nearly two years before surrounding non-supplying properties, and 85% of ranchers surveyed indicated that the agreements were the driving force (Gibbs et al., 2015).

[35] Assuming an average carbon content of 260 tC per ha.

value of the economic activity per hectare that leads to deforestation (Grieg-Gran, 2008), normally, agriculture as well as administrative, monitoring and enforcement costs for the government, and an incentive element to undertake this effectively.

Of the existing LAC regional estimates, the McKinsey Report (Enkvist et al., 2007) estimated that the average costs of a 75% reduction in deforestation emissions would be US$50/tCO$_2$e. Local bottom-up estimates for LAC are much lower. Olsen & Bishop (2009), for example, estimate the opportunity costs for avoiding deforestation in the Amazon to be around US$5/tCO$_2$e of avoided carbon emissions.

All of these estimates, however, do not typically credit the avoided costs linked to the loss of natural capital and to the numerous environmental services provided by forests (Table 4.2).While the costs of avoiding deforestation are not negligible, the benefits can be significantly higher. These benefits include soil protection and formation, the maintenance of surface hydrology and rainfall, carbon storage, biodiversity protection, genetic resources and cultural assets. Rainforests also regulate climate and air quality.

Many of these services are difficult to monetize, and therefore attempts to structure economic arguments for forest conservation have limited success. An assessment of the value of non-timber products in Malaysia found a value of between $45/ha year to up to $2,455/ha year (Svarrer & Olsen, 2005) in tropical forests. Heubach et al. (2011) report that non-timber forest products could be as high as 39% of rural income. If one takes, for example, a cost of US$5/tCO$_2$e, this would approximately be equivalent to the value of carbon stocks in the voluntary market. The expectation however is that future carbon markets, whether domestic or regional, should exceed this value. If this happens, efforts in avoided deforestation would become neutral in cost terms even before counting the many associated benefits[36].

[36] For purposes of the current study, a conservative market value of US$5/tCO$_2$ is used. This value corresponds to a price level anticipated in the absence of a fully functioning global or regional carbon market (Peters-Stanley & Gonzalez, 2014), therefore reflecting the average price under voluntary conditions. However, the usually assumed price projections in carbon market studies under conservative scenarios are much higher, of the order of US$20/tCO$_2$ for the period 2020-2040 (for example, see Luckow et al. (2015)). Also, the estimated financial consequences of CO$_2$ emissions into the atmosphere as calculated by Stern are US$100/ton of CO$_2$ (Stern, 2006). In any case, the likely increase in the value of carbon within the future period of analysis would only strengthen the case for restoration.

4.3 REFORESTATION AND LAND RESTORATION

According to current estimates (Potapov et al., 2011), the LAC region has the potential to restore about 650 million ha of degraded and deforested lands (Table 4.3). Over two-thirds (450 million ha) of the possibilities for restoration are found in degraded forest landscapes that have been converted into woodlands, savannahs and other degraded agricultural lands in ecosystems with social milieus that lend themselves to mosaic restoration techniques (World Bank, 2014a).

Restoration approaches include silvopastures and agroforestry, along with more 'passive' schemes, including the assisted regeneration of natural forests. This section of the analysis reviews the potential for carbon sinks through reforestation and land restoration.

4.3.1 REFORESTATION

The IPCC defines "reforestation as the establishment of forest on land that had recent tree cover, whereas afforestation refers to land that has been without forest for much longer" (IPCC, 2007). For the purposes of this document, no differentiation is made between them. Under reforestation, all activities resulting in the recovery of forest cover, inclusive of natural, assisted and planted reforestation, are considered.

Natural and assisted reforestation consists of allowing denuded or partially reforested land to recover its forest cover naturally. Under assisted reforestation, efforts are made to protect and preserve natural tree seedlings in forested areas. In addition to protection efforts, new trees are planted when needed or wanted (enrichment of forests). With this technique, forests grow faster than they would naturally, resulting in a significant contribution to carbon sequestration efforts. It also serves as a cheaper alternative to reforestation due to decreased nursery needs.

Assisted natural reforestation offers advantages over other techniques but may require longer periods of implementation. The process is labour-intensive and can be more costly than allowing secondary growth to regenerate naturally (natural reforestation). However, it may represent an alternative to forest regeneration while restoring some of the functionality lost through the process of land degradation.

Table 4.2 – Estimates of the cost of avoiding deforestation.

Level of abatement	Cost US$/$tCO_2e$	Source
Deforestation (49% abatement)	2.2	(Kindermann et al., 2008)
Deforestation (65%abatement)	4	(Blaser & Robledo, 2007)
Deforestation	5	(Olsen & Bishop, 2009)
South and Central America deforestation	2-9	(Overmars et al., 2014)
Deforestation (65% abatement by 2030)	2.8	(Blaser & Robledo, 2007)
LAC deforestation (75% abatement)	50	(Enkvist et al., 2007)

Table 4.3 – Restoration opportunities in LAC.

Forest Condition [Mha]			Restoration Opportunity in degraded and deforested land [Mha]		% of Total
Intact	449		Wide-scale Restoration	91	14
Fragmented	559		Mosaic Restoration	456	70
Degraded	299		Natural Restoration	2	-
Deforested	349		Agricultural Lands	99	15
Total	**1,656**			**648**	

Source: (Potapov et al., 2011).

Table 4.4 – Carbon sinks in tropical forests (Amazon region) in Latin America.

Management system/ type/age	Aboveground (tC/ha)		Belowground (tC/ha)	Source
	Average	Total		
Undisturbed	258.3	148		(Rodrigues et al., 1999)
		158.9	32.4 (40 cm)	(Fujisaka et al., 1998)
		161.7		(Alegre et al., 2001)
		277.7-337.5		(Yquise et al., 2009)
		294		(Palm et al., 2004)
		322±20		(Salimon et al., 2011)
		367	98.8	(Callo-Concha et al., 2002)
Managed/logged	140.5	85.1	95.9	(Callo-Concha et al., 2002)
		105.8±23.7		(Fearnside et al., 2007)
		116.7	43.6	(Lapeyre et al., 2004)
		126.3 (6y)		(Yquise et al., 2009)
		150 (123-185)		(Palm et al., 2004)
Palm forest (Mauritia flexuosa)	118.7	97.6-139.9	315.5-433.5	(Guzmán & Arévalo, 2003)
Lowland, flooded forest (varzea)	109	80		(Klinge et al., 1995)
		138		(Tsuchiya & Hiraoka, 1999)

Source: (Nair & Garrity, 2012)

Reforestation can be an important tool for carbon storage. Done with local and varied species, it can enhance biodiversity assets. There have been numerous studies of the carbon stored in the Amazon forests; Table 4.4 summarizes some of them, as reported by (Nair & Garrity, 2012).

Tropical forests in the Amazon region can be expected to store about 260 tC per ha in undisturbed forests and between about 110-260 tC per ha if one includes managed, logged and flooded woodlands. While Nair & Garrity (2012) report an average of 260 tC per ha, this study has chosen to use a lower average and a more conservative level of carbon stocks of about 140 tC, which corresponds to the average value reported for managed forests.

The costs of natural reforestation are not well documented. However, one expects the costs of natural and assisted reforestation to be much lower than for forest plantations. An assessment of the costs of passive (natural) and active (assisted) reforestation in drylands in Chile concluded that the net present value of investments in restoration would be of the order of US$5-700 per ha for passive reforestation and the net present value of costs would be of the order of $21-772 for active reforestation (Birch et al., 2010).

The costs of planting mixed forests consisting of native species vary significantly depending on the location and species used. A study in Australia estimates reforestation costs ranging from US$1.1-6.4 thousand per ha (Summers et al., 2015), other estimates range from US$100-300 per ha in the US (Bair & Alig, 2007). The IPCC (2007) has concluded that "the mitigation costs through forestry are in the range of US$0.1-20/ metric ton carbon dioxide in some tropical developing countries". This wide range of values makes difficult a generalization of the cost of reforestation.

Table 4.5 – Some net carbon storage rates in land restoration systems (in tC/ha-year).

Restoration system	above ground	in soils	Source
Multi-strata agroforestry (Cacao) in Costa Rica		4.2	As reported in Lorenz & Lal (2014)
Multi-strata agroforestry (Cacao) in Ghana		0.1	As reported in Lorenz & Lal (2014)
Alley cropping system in Costa Rica		1.8-2.3	(Oelbermann et al., 2006)
Tropical alley cropping in Western Nigeria	13.6		(Lal, 2005)
Tree intercropping in Africa	0.5-4.0	1.5-3.5	(Nair, 2012)
Silvopastures in Africa	0.5-4.0		As reported in Nair & Garrity (2012)
Silvopasture in India	6.5		(Kumar et al., 1998)
LAC 20x20 program, estimated	2.3		(WRI, 2015) Authors estimate

4.3.2 LAND RESTORATION

Land restoration can be defined as the process by which land functionality, measured through the ability to restore soil quality, surface hydrology, biodiversity and carbon stocks, is recouped. Like avoided deforestation and reforestation, restoration may also result in a wide range of benefits. These benefits include soil conservation or avoidance of soil erosion and the maintenance of soil nutrients; the maintenance of stable hydrological cycles, water flows and the water retention capacities of the land; biodiversity conservation and the maintenance of a complex array of interactions in natural forests and savannahs that are conducive to the sustenance of life; and the enhanced storage of carbon, which assists in stabilizing the climate and prevents damage to all ecosystems.

Land restoration also could slow down agricultural expansion into forests and other natural habitats by shifting new demand for agricultural and forestry products to degraded land. It would result in increased vegetation cover and therefore increased carbon stocks both above ground and in the soil.

Agro-forestry and silvopastures are seen as restoration approaches that may result in a measure of carbon storage. Agro-forestry is generally defined as the integration of farming with forestry so that the land can simultaneously be used for both purposes. Agroforestry is already widely used in Latin America: it has been estimated that between 200 and 360 million ha are under current agroforestry systems in the region (Nair & Garrity, 2012), itself an indication of their financial viability. Still, the potential for the additional deployment of agroforestry schemes is large. Similarly, silvopastures combine farming and cattle-ranching. Besides net carbon storage, this landscape combination approach has been shown to result in benefits in terms of yields and soil and water conservation (Jianbo, 2006).

From a climate perspective, total C storage in the above-ground and below-ground biomass in agro-forestry and silvopasture systems is generally much higher than in land use without trees. Sustainable agroforestry and silvopasture practices have been shown to result in net storage of carbon in vegetation in the range of 6-63 tons of carbon (tC)/ha, depending on the biome conditions, and compared to degraded lands (Montagnini & Nair, 2004).

For example, taking better care of rangelands and pastures would result in substantial carbon storage in soils, which can be achieved by adjusting the number of animals and adding legumes in the system (Henderson et al., 2015). Estimates in Mexico (Soto-Pinto et al., 2010) place carbon storage in agroforestry systems at 50 tC per ha. At the average of this range, 35 tC per ha, agroforestry becomes a very important tool for carbon storage. Table 4.5 summarizes reported rates of carbon storage in selected tropical agroforestry and silvopasture systems, as well as the net carbon storage that is calculated to

result from implementation of the 20x20[37] initiative in Latin America. Most estimates are in the range of 2 to 6 tC per ha per year. This analysis uses a value of 2C/ha year as a conservative value in its calculations.

There seems to be ample evidence of the potential of restoration and reforestation efforts to increase carbon stocks on land. A combination of reforestation and land restoration efforts of sufficient size could neutralize all emissions from land-use activities in the region. For example, a 250 Mha effort (50 Mha in reforestation and 200 Mha in restoration) would result in an accumulation of sinks of about 2.0 $GtCO_2e$ per year (see details in Table 4.8).

In summary, restoration efforts could be essential for the achievement of a low-carbon development path in the region, as well as being an important strategic support for maintaining and restoring the broad range of critical regulating ecosystem services that forest and woodland landscapes provide and require[38]. But, are these efforts economically viable?

4.4 ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF REFORESTATION AND RESTORATION EFFORTS

As there are a number of restoration schemes, and very many landscape combinations susceptible of restoration, it is difficult to generalize about the benefits that might result. A simple typology of the potential benefits might include the following:

- *wood forest products*, or the revenues that would result from harvesting timber, firewood or other products that imply a reduction, albeit temporary, in the standing of forest biomass, whether in agroforestry, silvopastures or reforestation efforts;
- *non-wood forest products*, or the revenues from products harvested in a sustainable manner without negatively impacting the biomass of the standing forest (e.g. medicinal and animal products, fruit, nuts), also in agroforestry, silvopastures or reforestation efforts;
- potential profits from *eco-tourism* generated by forests, wilderness areas and related landscapes (including national parks) that could be augmented by landscape restoration efforts. There is historical revenue data from Costa Rica, where income in ecotourism has been linked to reforestation programs; these revenues are related to tourism in protected areas instead of degraded land;
- profits from *agricultural production or animal agriculture* that could be enhanced by landscape restoration efforts. In particular, expected increased revenues from agricultural production as a result of agroforestry and silvopasture efforts on degraded agricultural lands;
- *avoided annual food security costs* representing the potential decline in food-security premiums as agricultural production increases and becomes more stable within any given and unchanged agricultural frontier in non-degraded landscapes. That is, improved sustainable food production should result in a reduction of food insecurity, which is partially captured by the market value of food security premiums in the region's crop insurance market;
- monetized gains in *carbon storage* as a result of restoration. This economic benefit from captured and stored carbon could be enhanced as a result of the increase in vegetation cover stemming from reforestation, assisted regeneration, agroforestry, silvopastures and avoided deforestation as reflected in carbon markets.

Substantial data, methodological and other limitations make it difficult to attempt an estimate that captures and incorporates other benefits of landscape restoration such as improvements in, or avoided losses of, biodiversity, soil and water protection, climate resilience, etc..

A number of case studies of the financials of reforestation in the region are available in the literature. In many cases, reforestation has proved to be financially attractive, especially with monocultures, frequently of exotic species. However, more recently, a number of experiences have demonstrated the potential returns of reforestation with multiple native species. A few suc-

[37] Initiative 20x20 is a country-led effort to initiate the restoration of 20 million ha by 2020 in Latin America. The initiative has three activities:
1. Political drive. A robust dialogue with Ministers of Agriculture and Environment in the region, seeking to: a) secure a sustainable policy and regulatory framework for land restoration and avoided deforestation, and b) incorporate national programs and activities to support restoration and avoided deforestation efforts in the region of about 20 million ha by 2020.
2. Analysis. An assessment of the societal benefits (avoided costs and economic benefits) from land restoration and avoided deforestation efforts that can be used to guide sound policies and investments on the ground.
3. Financial Drive. Securing commitments of the order of US$670 million for the financing of land restoration efforts in the region from impact investment funds, and a similar amount from bilateral and multilateral funding, for sound agroforestry, agro-pastoral and agricultural practices, reforestation and avoided deforestation.

[38] Land restoration efforts, including those targeting agro-ecosystems, have been positively correlated with the recovery of environmental services, including improvements in biodiversity (Berra et al., 2013).

cessful examples of reforestation, using native species, are listed below:

- 15,000 ha of commercial plantations with native and exotic species in Chinchina (Colombia) and 15,000 ha of sustainably managed native forests are reported to have resulted in adequate financial returns capable of guaranteeing the long–term sustainability of the investments (http://www.fao.org/forestry/12077-0e9dadce99f02474339f5e5c17abe1fc1.pdf);
- 1,000 ha are now reforested with native species in the Mixteca region of Mexico. Relying on native tree species, terraced agricultural techniques and containment ditches for preventing hillside erosion in the Mixteca region, the Center for Integral Small Farmer Development or CEDICAM has not only reforested but helped create more economic opportunities and even gender equality within the region. CEDICAM's founder, Jose Jesus Santos, was awarded the Goldman Environmental Prize in 2008 (http://www.cedicam-ac.org);
- Beginning in the early 1980s, residents of Gaviotas in Colombia, led by Paolo Lugari, began planting Caribbean pine trees, ensuring their survival in the acidic soil by applying mycorrhizal fungus to their roots. Villagers have successfully reforested about 8,000 ha and created economic opportunities (http://www.friendsofgaviotas.org);
- In Brazil, the ITPA (Instituto Terra de Preservacao Ambiental) forest restoration project has reforested about 950 hectares of formerly barren hillside with native Atlantic forest species such as Araguaney and fast-growing Angico Artemisiana, some of which are almost ten metres tall (http://www.itpa.org.br);
- There are many other projects, some supported through the Bio Carbon Fund and others through the voluntary market. Details can be consulted at http://www.biocarbonfund-isfl.org.

Despite the growing number of reforestation experiences, there remain significant barriers to expanding efforts at the scale that is required to consolidate a carbon sink of regional significance. These include: a) the lack of sufficient fiscal and financial incentives; b) an inability to internalize the economic benefits of reforestation; and c) the lack of sufficient native vegetation material (germ-plasm).

There is likewise a growing body of evidence of the market betting on agroforestry and silvopastures on degraded land in Latin America. Investments in land restoration through these schemes are being developed at a rapid pace in the region. This reflects the fact that the economic arguments for restoration have been internalized by the private sector. Under Initiative 20x20 for example, eight private impact investors have earmarked US$670 million for investments in restoration. Numerous projects are already under implementation. For example, just to site a few:

- the CIPAV project in Colombia, which seeks the restoration of land under extensive cattle-ranching through the implementation of silvopastures, which has had substantial economic success (http://www.cipav.org.co);
- the Peru-Cocoa Alliance (http://www.carana.com), which seeks to restore land through agroforestry schemes with small landholders in Peru, which has also had remarkable success;
- the management of grasslands and pasture in the Chilean and Argentinian Patagonia, developed through a network of 400 million acres of temperate grasslands supporting a unique biological and cultural heritage, and providing many important ecosystem services, including clean air and water, forage for livestock, carbon sequestration and habitat for wildlife (http://www.fao.org/nr/sustainability/grassland/best-practices/projects);
- the development of a program to re-invigorate small and medium-size farms in the Matagalpa region of Nicaragua that have been severely affected by rust and the effects of global warming (http://www.moringapartnership.com/jt_files). Many others could be listed.

Besides specific investments and projects, restoration and reforestation have the potential to reactivate or promote economic activity in rural areas. For example, a recent analysis has provided a high-level accounting of the size and scope of the restoration economy in terms of employment, value added and overall economic output on a national scale for the United States. Based on this analysis, it was concluded that the domestic ecological restoration sector directly employs ~126,000 workers and generates ~US$9.5 billion in economic output (sales) annually. This activity was found to support an additional 95,000 jobs and $15 billion in economic output through indirect (business-to-business) linkages and increased household spending (BenDor et al., 2015).

Figure 4.3 – Estimated 2012 GHG emissions from agriculture/forestry (in $GtCO_2e$/year).



Source: FAOSTAT (2015) consulted September 2015, and GFW (2015) consulted September 2015; year of data, 2012.

4.5 LOW-CARBON AGRICULTURE

Unsustainable practices in agriculture in the region have led to reductions of carbon in vegetation and soils. Fires, expansion of the production frontier, degradation of agricultural land and livestock production are large contributors to total sector emissions. FAO (2014) has estimated that global emissions from agriculture have increased by 14% since 2001.

Agriculture is also the main source of both N_2O and CH_4 in LAC. As N_2O and CH_4 have high potentials for global warming, even small volumes of these gases can make significant contributions to total GHG emissions measured in CO_2e. Estimated emissions of CH_4 and N_2O in terms of CO_2e are presented in Figure 4.3, together with emissions from deforestation and land degradation.

N_2O emissions from agriculture are generated through improper fertilizer application, tillage and runoffs. Management of agricultural soils typically accounts for over half of the emissions from the agricultural sector. Livestock, especially cattle, produce methane (CH_4) as part of their digestion in a process called enteric fermentation, and also result from manure management and disposal, representing about a quarter of the emissions from the global agriculture sector. Manure storage methods and the amount of exposure to oxygen and moisture can affect how these greenhouse gases are produced. Other sources of emissions include rice cultivation, which produces CH_4, and burning crop residues, which produce CH_4 and N_2O. As cattle-ranching is a major land-use activity in the region, CH_4 emissions from animal husbandry are a substantial concern.

4.5.1 SOME POTENTIAL MEASURES TO REDUCE EMISSIONS FROM AGRICULTURE IN THE REGION

Nutrient management in cropland for low GHG emissions consists of activities that target the generation of N_2O from agriculture. Numerous nutrient management measures have been proposed to reduce GHG emissions in agriculture (for example, (IPCC, 2007; Smith et al., 2008)). This study has chosen to focus on three measures where substantial potential has been identified in the region: organic farming (through the replacement of synthetic fertilizers in their operations), no-tillage farming and application of controlled-release fertilizers (CRF).

Organic farming replaces chemical inputs in agricultural production with natural sources. In particular, under organic farming, synthetic fertilizers which are linked to the release of N_2O, are replaced by natural products. The market for organic farming has grown exponentially. For example, in the US, the market for organic products went from US$1 billion in 1990 to US$31 billion in 2011 (Scherer, 2013). Six of the top fifteen organic products suppliers to the US market are from Latin America.

In Latin America, organic farming is seen as bringing substantial benefits by maintaining ancestral Latin American traditions; promoting health; adding market value; and, as an alternative way of protecting local resources. The area under organic farming in the region nearly doubled to 6.4 million ha between 2000 and 2009 (Garibay & Ugas, 2009). Fifteen LAC countries have legislation on organic farming, and four others are currently developing organic regulations. Costa Rica and Argentina have both attained third-country status according to the EU regulation on organic farming (Scherer, 2013). The prospects for further growth in organic products in the region are good.

No tillage is defined as a system of planting crops into untilled soil by opening a narrow slot just sufficient to obtain proper seed coverage. There were about 111 Mha in 2009 worldwide under no-tillage farming (Derpsch et al., 2010). Worldwide, the most rapid adoption rates have occurred in South America, where some countries are using no-tillage farming on about 70% of the total

Table 4.6 – Options that have been proposed to provide for net reductions in GHG emissions from agriculture through nutrient management.

Scheme	Size of effort (million ha by 2050)	Potential carbon storage rates (tC/ha-year)	Comments
Replacement of chemical fertilizers by manure or other non-urea compounds	64	0.4	Assumes that organic agriculture in LAC increases by a factor of 10 by 2050 over 2007 production
Controlled-release fertilizers (coated urea)	71	0.3	Assumes that 10% of fertilizer application goes into CRF by 2050, up from 1% in 2010
Elimination of tillage	21	0.15	Assumes that all agricultural land is under no tillage by 2050 from 70% in 2010[a]

Source: authors' estimates[b].

[a] Carbon can accumulate in soils, but after twenty years the level stabilizes. Any disturbance, such as ploughing, will accelerate C release from soils.
[b] Calculations are included in Annex V.

cultivated area (Derpsch et al., 2010). Relative to intensive tillage practices, time, labour and fuel savings, as well as higher economic returns, are the driving forces for the non-tillage option. The "Agricultura de Baixo Carbono" (ABC) program, an ambitious low-carbon agriculture effort supported by the Brazilian government, includes no tillage as a central part of its activities (Magalhães & Lunas Lima, 2014). Largely through farmers' own efforts, the system has established itself as a new standard of farming practice and a different way of thinking about sustainable agriculture.

Controlled-release fertilization (CRF). Inefficient or ill-timed applications of fertilizer can result in fertilizer runoff into water sources, release into the atmosphere, denitrification or nitrification into N_2O, or poor use of nutrients by crops (Dowbenko, 2003). Controlled-release fertilizers can reduce N_2O emissions by releasing N closer to the time of plant uptake and therefore limiting the amount of N exposed to denitrifying conditions. At present, the use of CRF in agriculture is limited, accounting for less than 1% of worldwide fertilizer consumption. The main reason for this is cost: CRF may cost between three and eight times the cost of a corresponding standard fertilizer. Also, precision agriculture is a low-cost option, which implies need-based application and appropriate timing and placement of fertilizers, and which reduces the cost of inputs but increases administration costs.

4.5.2 ABATEMENT OF CH_4 EMISSIONS FROM LIVESTOCK

Livestock (cattle and sheep) is an important source of methane emissions and contributes significantly to the total carbon footprint from agriculture. Total methane emissions in the LAC region are estimated to account for about one third of all emissions from agriculture. Livestock is responsible for most of these emissions, about 0.7 $GtCO_2e$/year (Lesschen et al., 2011). The majority of livestock in Latin America is not confined in feedlots, but grazes on pasture land. Therefore some of the measures being proposed in industrial nations or in areas with extensive use of feedlots are not applicable to the LAC region.

Three main approaches to mitigating methane emissions from ruminant production have been suggested (Yáñez-Ruiz et al., 2013; Henderson et al., 2015): a) application of best practice in "on-farm" management; b) application of biotechnological solutions based on the introduction of microorganisms into the animal's gut function; and c) dietary changes, including forages, pastures and dietary additives that manipulate rumen function. There are no readily available estimates of the potential impact of these measures in the region.

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada scientists at the Lethbridge Research Centre in Alberta (AAFC, 2012) have made an assessment of the potential impact of measures to abate methane emissions. The assessment concludes that most of these measures would be able to reduce

Table 4.7 – Some schemes for abatement of methane in bovine livestock in Argentina.

Scheme	Availability	Relative cost	Technical complexity	Methane reduction potential
Improved nutrition (caloric intake and minerals)	Immediate	Low to medium	Low	5-10%
Use of blocks of molasses and urea	Immediate	Low to medium	Low	Up to 40%
Alkali treatment	Immediate	Low	Medium	10% or more

Source: (Berra et al., 2013)

Table 4.8 - Potential carbon storage/abatement rates through reforestation and restoration and sustainable agriculture efforts.

Scheme	Size of effort (million ha by 2050)	Potential carbon storage rates (tC/ha-year)	Accumulated Carbon sinks ($GtCO_2e$/year)
Reforestation	50	3.5	0.6
Restoration through agroforestry and silvopastures	200	2	1.3
Avoided deforestation	0.8	260 (*)	0.7
Management of fertilizers in cropland for abatement of N_2O	n.a.	0.2 -0.4	0.2
Management of nutrients for livestock for abatement of CH_4	n.a.	n.a.	0.2
Total	**250**		**3.0**

Source: author's elaboration. The estimate for reforestation uses 140 tC/ ha as the average carbon stored in managed forests as reported in Table 4.4 and a period of reforestation of forty years; for restoration, the estimate uses a rate of carbon storage through silvopastures and agroforestry at the low-point range, 2 tC/ha-year, of the values in the literature (Table 4.5); (*) The avoided carbon emissions through preventing deforestation uses 260 tC/ha as the average carbon stored by forests, as estimated by Nair & Garrity (2012) and as reported in Table 4.4.

emissions in the 5-20% range. However, given the dispersal and small scale of most of the livestock operations in the LAC region, it will be difficult to translate these findings to the context of conditions in the region.

Of all these measures, improved nutrition is the option that seems to be most readily available to reduce methane emissions per unit of output by increasing weight gain and milk production. Improved nutrition could also reduce methane emissions per unit of digestible energy consumed. Providing key supplements in a block of molasses or urea is a technique that could be used to reduce the production of methane directly, thus increasing performance. Currently, the implementation of this technique is limited by the requirements of infrastructure and manufacturing and the education level of the producers (Berra et al., 2013).

Protein and mineral supplements could also be used in specific situations to correct deficiencies in the diet. This technique has been applied mainly to grazing animals and has successfully improved reproductive efficiency in cattle breeding. However, its costs have limited implementation. Table 4.7 summarizes the impacts of certain measures tested in Argentina on the generation of methane by bovine livestock. For purposes of the current study, it has been assumed that methane emissions would only be reduced by 2050 through nutrient management techniques by 30% or about 0.2 $GtCO_2e$. Application of biotechnology solutions has not been considered. Still, only major changes in diet, not limited to the region, would be required to reduce CH_4 emissions from animal husbandry further.

Table 4.9 – Summary of economic potential for land-use change and GHG abatement measures in Latin America.

Land-use change	Size of effort (million ha by 2050)	Comments
Avoided deforestation	0.8 (zero deforestation)	The cost of avoided deforestation is estimated in the range of US$5/tCO$_2$e, or about at the level of the voluntary (subdued) carbon market today. The avoided costs, however, are likely to be much higher (in soil, water and biodiversity losses) and thus offer a strong economic rationale. Strong governance, education and fiscal or financial incentives are required to eliminate deforestation.
Reforestation	50	There is a wide range of reforestation costs in the region. Reforestation programs, some using native species, are being developed and implemented in the region with relative success, but fiscal and financial incentives are required to make use of the vast potential in the region. Assisted and natural reforestation costs are lower, but these efforts also require fiscal incentives. Without these, reforestation efforts may remain limited.
Restoration	200	Private-sector interest in agroforestry and silvopastures is increasing. These investments are in a number of cases financially attractive to private parties. Strong governance and fiscal incentives are nevertheless essential to promote restoration as an alternative to expanding the agricultural frontier.
Nutrient management for N_2O abatement		Measures considered for N_2O abatement would also result in improved financials of commercial operations or in premium value for products. The development of a carbon market would contribute to their implementation.
CH_4 management in Livestock		The methane abatement measures examined in this report have strong co-benefits, but they may require additional incentives for their widespread application.

4.6 SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL MEASURES IN LAND USE

In sum, reforestation, land restoration and sustainable practices in agriculture hold the key to securing land use-based carbon sinks. The potential is large. Table 4.8 summarizes the different estimated contributions discussed in this chapter.

Clearly, avoiding deforestation has the greatest potential contribution for achieving a zero-carbon future, and from a climate perspective it should be accorded a priority effort. Besides stopping deforestation, efforts at reforestation and land restoration at the rates shown in Table 4.8 can also contribute substantially. The addition of other measures in agriculture and animal husbandry could add up to a total of about 3 GtCO$_2$e per year.

The information reviewed in this section also supports the contention that investments in avoided deforestation and land restoration are largely justified economically (Table 4.9). The costs of avoided deforestation are modest and are likely to be compensated at the subdued value of the current voluntary market for carbon emissions. Investments in reforestation have increased over time but remain limited in the absence of strong fiscal and financial incentives. Land restoration through well-managed agroforestry, silvopastures and the sustainable management of grasslands is increasingly being taken up by the private sector in the region, giving proof of its financial attractiveness.

The abatement of N_2O and CH_4 will require upfront costs but is now technologically feasible. It would benefit from an explicit agricultural policy that recognizes these measures as part of climate policies and goals.

The potential for land restoration, including reforestation, is even greater than the areas assumed for the purposes of this analysis. This potential opens the possibility that the region as a whole could be a net carbon sink. Latin America could thus provide a global service of great value that is much needed. Table 4.9 summarizes the economic arguments discussed in this chapter.

5. DECARBONISATION OF INDUSTRY

The industrial sector in Latin America is very heterogeneous. In the aggregate, industrial processes, together with manufacturing and construction, account for about 11% of the total GHG emissions (Table 1.1). Using already published material, this chapter examines the potential for cost-effective reductions of emissions from key industrial subsectors.

5.1 CURRENT SITUATION

Energy use and GHG emissions from industry, manufacturing and construction in LAC are growing at the second fastest rate of all sectors in the regional economy (see Table 1.1). A relatively low energy-efficiency index of industrial activity has also contributed to the magnitude of the emissions. The rapid growth of the sector has been aided by the demand for minerals and metals, and the rapid expansion of the cement, fertilizer and chemicals sub-sectors during the last decade. More recently, however, industrial output has either contracted or slowed its rate of growth in many countries in the region (Deloitte, 2015).

LAC's industrial processes have significant variations in energy use per unit of output depending on the vintage (age), the processing technology employed, the quality of inputs of new materials and scale. As a consequence, it is difficult to make generalizations about the sector. It can be said, however, that an important component of the growth in energy use has been international demand for Latin American exports of iron ore, copper, aluminium and coal. The historical GHG emissions from industry are shown in Figure 5.2. However, it is now anticipated that during the next few years growth in demand for these raw materials will slow down.

Projected energy use by 2050 falls in the range of 15 to 22 EJ (Figure 5.1). Also, a major fraction of industrial output has been geared to meet international demand. Regional and local demand for the same raw materials has lagged but may now constitute a significant source of growth in the future. The growth rate of the LAC manufacturing index is now projected to fall in the 1-2% range in the immediate term (F. Sedano, 2015).

Figure 5.1 – Projected energy use by Industry.



Source: (IIASA, 2012)

Figure 5.2 – Historical and projected industry emissions.



Source: (CAIT, 2015; IIASA, 2012)

5.2 PATHWAY ANALYSIS TO ASSESS POTENTIAL EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS FROM INDUSTRY

Given the heterogeneous nature of the industrial sector in the region, it is difficult to group all industrial activities under a common scenario of projected energy use or emissions. Emissions by sector and their potential for energy savings need to be analysed separately. The actual reduction potential has been calculated using a pathway analysis. This analysis shows how different sectors could decarbonise from the base year of 2012 to 2050 (Table 5.1). Each pathway consists of different technology options that are implemented over time to different extents. Up to five pathways were developed for each sector, three of which were created to explore possible ways of reducing CO_2 emissions to prescribed decarbonisation bands by 2050, that is, 20-40%, 40-60% and 60-80% reductions respectively, relative to the base year.

Two further pathways were also created, assessing (i) what would happen if no additional interventions were taken to accelerate decarbonisation (business as usual, BAU), and (ii) the maximum technical potential for decarbonisation in the sector (Max Tech). The Max Tech pathway deploys a range of technologies, usually at least at the pilot stage of development, subject to reasonable constraints. It is designed to investigate what might be technically possible when other barriers are set to one side.

For the price per $MtCO_2$ in each industrial sector (cost-efficiency [million US$/$MtCO_2$]), this analysis used the results from (GOV.UK, 2015). The cost for CO_2 reductions in industry in LAC has been obtained from this cost-efficiency rating and the specific subsector's emissions. This is essentially a judgement call on how much reduction is technically possible. Overall reduction has been estimated from the sum of individual subsector emissions. In the region, the reductions are about 120 $MtCO_2e$. However, some of these reductions are quite expensive. If the upper boundary of cost-effectiveness per tCO_2e is set at US$30, the emissions reductions by 2050 would be of the order of 112 $MtCO_2e$. This is close to about 22% of the emissions projected for the sector by 2050 under IIASA BAU. It has been assumed for purposes of the report than an additional 0.1 $GtCO_2e$ would be reduced through energy-efficiency measures.

This figure compares favourably with the estimate of energy savings in industry made by Saygin et al. (2011). However, under the assumptions of the analysis, it is unlikely that energy savings measures will be enough to completely decarbonize industry. There is the potential for the electrification of industrial processes, which, however, was not included in the analysis given the absence of data.

Table 5.1 – Results of analysis to estimate GHG reductions in industry.

Industry Sector	Cost efficiency [million US$/ $MtCO_2$]	Options deployed (% of total emissions reduction through the deployment of options by 2050)	Cost CO_2 reduction [million US$]	Reduction [%]	Total Reduction
Iron and Steel	7.7	• Advanced technologies without CC and rebuild (45%) • Retrofit solutions without CCS (34%) • Stove flue gas recycling with CCS (8%) • Steam and power production system up-grades (5%) • Improved site and sector integration (4%) • Others (4%)	368	60	29
Chemicals	23.1	• CCS[a] (combustion) (49.4%) • CCS (process) (13.1%) • Energy efficiency (11.8%) • Other innovations (10.2%) • Decarbonised methane as fuel (5.2%) • Others (10.4%)	793	79-88	29
Oil Refining	7.6	• Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) – Part 1, applied to CHP and Hydrogen generation plant (34.7%) • Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) – Part 2, applied to FCC stack (21.1%) • Waste heat and energy recovery (18.1%) • Motors, pumps, compressors and fans (6%) • Others (20.1)	559	64	47
Food and Drink	289.7	• Electrification of heat (45%) • Process design (22.5%) • Biomass and bio-energy (15%) • Steam production, distribution and end-use (6.4%) • Others (11%)	3183	66-75	8
Pulp and Paper	28.9	• Industrial clustering and heat networking (28%) • 100% electricity (heat saving) (22.2%) • Heat recovery on hoods future (16.5%) • Improved process control (8.1%) • Others (25.2%)	231	98	8
Total			**5134**		**120**

Source: author's estimates based on data from (Enerdata, 2015; GOV.UK, 2015)

[a] CCS is applied in the future (from 2040 onwards) under the assumption that this option has become technically and economically viable and that sufficient drivers exist to encourage investment (GOV.UK, 2015). The costs stated in the "cost efficiency" column are averages of the costs of all options deployed.

Besides this potential for improved carbon efficiencies, the actions required for the decarbonisation of other sectors would create an opportunity for "low-carbon" industrial services. The investments required for a large-scale deployment of renewables would include the supply of a large number of wind turbines, PV panels, geothermal units, and associated electronics and mechanical equipment. It would make economic sense to develop at least some of these components in the region. Similarly, investments in the manufacture of electric vehicles, charging stations, electronics and other components for electric transport could be domestically based.

Further, at least some of the investments linked to the decarbonisation of the regional economy would go into tailoring the supply of components to fit the characteristics of the Latin American market. For example, electric buses for BRTs, their charging stations and ancillary equipment are more likely to be deployed first in Latin America. In fact, the characteristics of the regional fleet, with its emphasis on multi-passenger vehicles, would constitute a demand for electric vehicles that is quite different from the current emphasis on passenger autos elsewhere.

These opportunities would also generate jobs, promote enterprise formation and stimulate knowledge creation for the development of assets for decarbonisation. These developments could compensate for any short-term market disruptions caused by the sunset of the fossil-fuel industry in the region.

Table 5.2 – Potential for industrial energy savings.

	Improvement potential (%)	
	Industrialized countries	Developing countries
High-value chemicals	15-25	25-30
Ammonia, methanol	10-15	15-30
Alumina production	30-40	40-55
Aluminium smelters	5-10	5
Cast non-ferrous and other non-ferrous	35-60	
Iron and steel	10-15	25-35
Cast ferrous	25-40	
Cement	20-25	20-30
Lime	10-40	20-50
Glass		
Ceramics		
Pulp and paper	20-30	15-30
Food, beverages and tobacco	25-40	0.9
Other sectors	10-15	25-30
Total	10-20	30-35
Total (excl. feedstock)	15-20	30-35

Source: (Saygin et al., 2011)

6. A ROUTE TO ZERO-CARBON EMISSIONS

The route to a zero net emissions economy by mid-century examined in this report includes the following actions: full decarbonisation of the power sector; mass electrification of the transport sector; grid integration of the regional economies; expansion of the power system to absorb the new demands of transport; zero net deforestation; restoration of about 250 million ha of degraded land through a combination of natural and assisted reforestation agroforestry and silvopastures; reduction of emissions from agriculture through nutrient management measures; and, abatement measures in industry.

6.1 THE PATHWAY TO DECARBONISATION OF THE POWER SECTOR

The pathway used in the estimates is summarized in Table 6.1. The estimate uses as its starting point the IIASA-GEA BAU as described in the IIASA database for a projection of the power sector's emissions until 2050. It also uses its projections of power demand as described in Chapter 2.

To estimate the route to full decarbonisation, the following assumptions have been made:

1. Starting around 2020, all new demand will be met by renewables, that is, by a combination of the expansion of hydro-capacity supplemented by new wind, solar and geothermal facilities, which already have LCOEs below natural gas and coal (Figure 2.7). In this report this assumption is justified by the competitiveness for wind, solar and geothermal and the projected achievement of grid parity for these technologies, as discussed in Chapter 2.

2. By 2030 all currently operated fossil-fuel plants other than gas will have been decommissioned, and by 2050 all existing natural gas units will also be mothballed. Demand will be met by corresponding additions in renewables (mostly wind, geothermal and solar supplemented by the expansion of hydro) with increased participation of CSP and distributed power. One should expect by then the large-scale utilization of hot spots for renewable technology development. Examples include the deployment of GW-sized solar PV and CSP in the Atacama Desert and other high irradiance areas, as well as similar use of localized endowments for wind.

3. Grid integration will be achieved by 2030, resulting in an increase in the online factor for intermittent renewables. By 2050, the market structure will allow hydro power assets to function effectively as a regional storage capacity, thus bringing the online factor of renewables up to 50%. Distributed power will continue to contribute to generating capacity.

Table 6.1 – Projected decarbonisation route for the power sector.

Year	Demand (PWh)	Growth in demand since 2012 (PWh)	Installed fossil-fuel capacity (GW)	On line factor for new renewables (%)	New installed renewable capacity since 2012 (GW)	Remaining CO_2e emissions ($GtCO_2e$)
2012	1.3	0	160	48	0	0.5
2025	1.8	0.5	120	40	80	0.4
2040	2.8	1.5	40	40	240	0.1
2050	3.5	2.2	0	48	540	0
2075	5.4	4.1	0	50	960	0

Source: author's elaboration. Growth in demand is in accordance with IIASA-BAU. The newly installed capacity is calculated on the basis of the online factor and 8500 hours per year.

Figure 6.1 – Decarbonization of the power sector.



Source: Author's estimates, see Table 6.1.

The projected increase in demand is substantial and will require a rapid pace of capacity additions supplemented by a contribution from distributed power. In essence, about 20 GW per year of new capacity will be required between 2025 and 2050. The annual capital cost requirements would be of the order of US$30 to US$40 billion, less if distributed power becomes a factor in generation. Grid integration and the widespread use of distributed power would thus contribute to reducing the required growth rate for central power stations by increasing the firm capacity of intermittent sources, improving the usage of hydro reservoirs and contributing to the aggregate volume of small-scale self-generation.

The analysis shows (see Section 2.9.1) that the LCOEs are likely to be even lower than if the investments were made in natural gas. Therefore, a shift to renewable sources would yield savings for investors and consumers. By 2050, an anticipated demand of 3.5 PWh would need to be met by an additional 540 GW of renewable energy in addition to the 171 GW already installed for a total of about 0.7 TW. Figure 6.1 shows the results of this route as reflected in the anticipated carbon footprint of the power sector.

On the other hand, as discussed in Chapter 3, the power demand of an electricity-based transport system will increase the demand for power overall. The consequences for aggregate demand are discussed below.

6.2 THE ROUTE TO ELECTRIFICATION OF THE TRANSPORT SECTOR

The route to electrification used in the analysis is presented in Table 6.2. The IIASA BAU presented in Chapter 3 has also been used to set the projected energy demand of the sector, which falls in the range of 14 to 19 EJ by 2050. The economic driver behind mass electrification was examined in Chapter 3. A rapid evolution in competitiveness would assist the transition to electric vehicles, while the internalization of the air quality benefits would further strengthen the economic case.

The route to mass electrification assumes the following:

a) The process starts with the shift to electric mode for all existing BRTs in the region by 2025, and with all new BRTs being electric from the design stage by 2025. While this shift will not produce substantial reductions in fossil fuels, it could be an emblematic change, illustrating the potential of the technology and bringing with it visible co-benefits in urban areas, as well as stimulating development of the market in electric drives for public transport vehicles.
b) The passenger car fleet becomes 15% electric by 2025, 60% by 2040 and is fully electrified by 2050. The same conversion rate is experienced by light trucks and all buses. This is predicated on the basis of anticipated gains in competitiveness achieved over a very short period of time, as discussed in Chapter 3. These segments represent 47% of road emissions in the region.

Figure 6.2 – Decarbonization of the Transport Sector.



Source: Author's estimates, see Table 6.2.

Table 6.2 – Projected decarbonisation route for the transport sector.

Year	Fuel Demand in EJ	Growth in demand since 2012 (EJ)	Fraction of transport demand that is electrified (%)	Power demand by transport sector under decarbonisation pathway (EJ) (***)	Remaining CO_2 emissions ($GtCO_2$ e)
2012	8	–	small	Negl.	0.67
2025	12	4	0-15	0.9	0.89
2040	16	8	40-60	2.7	0.81
2050	19	11	88 (*)	4.5	0.16 (*)
2075	24	18	88	5.8	0 (**)

Source: author's estimates. The equivalent electricity demand was estimated using an average work–to-energy ratio of 0.25 for internal combustion engines in 2025, increasing to 0.30 and 0.32 by 2050 and 2075, and a work-to-energy ratio of 0.9 for electric motors. For simplification purposes, growth in demand for all segments of the fleet is assumed to be the same. (*) The remaining carbon footprint reflects the difficulty in visualizing competitive options for the elimination of the demand by the aviation sector by mid-century. (**) Measures to develop very low-carbon aviation fuels are assumed to take place after mid-century. (***) The power demand is based on the fraction of the fleet that is electrified and the projected total demand for power. Electrification of the transport sector will add a substantial additional power demand, discussed already in Chapter 3.

c) All railroad cargo and passenger transport is electrified by 2040. Again, this is not a major segment of the sector, but conversion of railroads to the power grid is within existing and available technology and will signal the decision to electrify the sector.
d) All marine transport shifts to hybrid engines by 2050.
e) Heavy road cargo transport becomes 5% electric by 2025, 60% electric by 2040 and is fully electrified by 2050.
f) Aviation remains fossil fuel-based until mid-century.

Table 6.3 – Synergies between technologies supportive of low-carbon power and transport.

Technology	Global pace of change	Impact
Renewable energy power generation	PV utility size costs have been falling at 22% per year since 1976. Market size for PVs increased by 42% per year during the period 2000-2013. Distributed power generation would contribute to bringing rates down.	Reduces the operational costs of electric vehicles
Energy storage devices	Costs of energy storage have fallen by 14% per year over the last fifteen years	Improves matching between supply and demand
Electric vehicles	Prices for electric vehicles are falling and are now projected to be at a par with ICE vehicles by 2020	Transport demand switched from fossil fuels to electric power contributes to improved matching between generation and demand
Charging stations	Wireless charging stations emerging as source of power for large vehicles	Increase the driving range of buses, for example on a BRT route

Source: based on (Seba, 2014)

The energy and transport transitions may support each other (Table 6.3). Technologies for power generation, energy storage, charging stations and vehicles are all making progress in parallel, but they have the potential to support developments consistent with a low-carbon future.

6.3 LAND USE AND LAND-USE CHANGE FROM SOURCE TO SINK

Avoided deforestation has costs that could be covered with a working carbon market and the internalization of avoided economic costs. Land restoration through agroforestry and silvopastures is already resulting in substantial economic benefits. Several of the measures considered under agriculture would result in cost reductions or access to premium markets. The route for land use and land-use change to become a net carbon sink assumes the following:

a) Deforestation rates fall rapidly from a current high (ca. 3.4 Mha per year) until they zero out by 2050 region-wide. Eliminating deforestation would have a major effect on regional emissions. By 2050, zero deforestation would mean the avoidance about 0.7 $GtCO_2e$ in emissions over the IIASA-BAU projection.[39] A reforestation and restoration effort of this size would have a significant impact on total GHG emissions in the region. By 2050, the magnitude of the carbon sinks associated with avoided deforestation would equal about 30% of regional emissions under the BAU scenario.
b) Land restoration through agroforestry and silvopastures, using the 20x20 initiative as a launching pad, gradually sinks carbon at a rate of 2 tC per ha per year. By 2020 restoration is under way on 20 million ha (consistent with Initiative 20x20), by 2050 on 200 Mha.
c) In parallel, deforestation would be reversed and reforestation of tropical moist forests would take place in about 50 Mha by 2050.
d) Abatement of N_2O emissions would be gradually achieved through nutrient management techniques.
e) Abatement of CH_4 emissions from livestock would be pursued through feed management techniques.

6.4 DECARBONISATION OF INDUSTRY

The route to the decarbonisation of industry used in the estimates assumes that all measures at below US$5/$tCO_2e$ are implemented by 2025 and all measures below US$30/$tCO_2e$ are implemented by 2050.

6.5 PROJECTED RATE OF DECARBONISATION

The estimates for the different sectors and a comparison with BAU are shown in Table 6.5.

[39] Assumes that 1 ha of avoided deforestation would result in the avoidance of 240 tC in carbon emissions remaining in undisturbed forest. The IIASA-BAU projected deforestation rate by 2050 is equivalent to about 0.8 Mha.

Table 6.4 – Projected land use and land-use change carbon sink/abatement route for LAC in reference to IIASA-BAU.

Land-use change	2020	2030	2050
Area deforested (Mha) (*)	1.9	1.6	0
Avoided emissions from reduced deforestation rates ($GtCO_2e$) against the projected deforestation rates under IIASA-BAU	0	0	0.7
Land under restoration (Mha)	20	100	200
Annual carbon sink from restoration ($GtCO_2e$)	0.1	0.6	1.3
Land under reforestation (Mha)	10	20	50
Annual carbon sink from reforestation ($GtCO_2e$)	0.1	0.2	0.6
Additional area under N_2O management measures			0.15-0.4
Abatement of N_2O in $GtCO_2e$/year over BAU	0	0.1	0.2
Implementation of CH_4 abatement measures in $GtCO_2e$/year over BAU	0	0.1	0.2
Total ($GtCO_2e$)	0.2	1.0	3.0

Source: author's estimates. (*) Above and beyond the IIASA BAU estimates on reduced deforestation and using a 260 tC per ha in carbon stocks of undisturbed forest lost during deforestation (Table 4.4).

Table 6.5 – GHG emissions under BAU and projected decarbonisation pathway in 2050.

Category	2012 ($MtCO_2e$)	2050 GEA-BAU ($GtCO_2e$)	2050 Decarbonisation pathway ($GtCO_2e$)	Driver
Total	**4623**	**5.3**	**-0.1**	
Power generation (*)	544	1.1	0	Solar, wind, geothermal already competitive, increase their margins overtime; grid integration and distributed power aid the transition.
Industrial processes, manufacturing & construction	494	0.5	0.4	Industry/manufacturing implements energy savings and technology improvement measures.
Transportation	665	1.4	0.2	Rapidly evolving electric vehicle technologies and air-quality policies assist in transformation, overtaking internal combustion options for electrification of the sector.
Land use & forestry, agriculture and waste	2574	1.9	-1.1**	Zero deforestation and large-scale restoration and reforestation efforts are implemented. Nutrient management measures in cropland and CH_4 abatement from livestock are implemented following current trends.
Other Sectors* **	346	0.4	0.4	Fugitive emissions and other fuel consumption kept constant as per GEA-BAU

(*) In IIASA database, electricity is conjoined with the category of heat. However, in Latin America there is only marginal use of energy for heat outside of industry; (**) net between anticipated emissions by land use and land-use change and net sinks of 3.0 $GtCO_2e$/year; (***) fugitive emissions and other fuel combustion.
Source: emissions data from 2012 from (CAIT, 2015); GEA-BAU data is calculated from (IIASA, 2012; CAIT, 2015); please refer to main text for more details.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The report concludes that the current economic and technological context, as well as the emerging policy environment, are already conducive to major reductions in the carbon footprint of the LAC region. The report also concludes that foreseeable technological developments and anticipated improvements in cost competitiveness will further support abatement measures in power, transport, land use and industry.

While policy frameworks and policy-making are found to be more supportive of this evolution than in the past, additional steps such as the elimination of subsidies for fossil fuels; the political will to achieve grid integration; and, clear rules for distributed power are required to facilitate the rapid transition of the power sector. For transport, substantial barriers remain, including the pervasive use of fossil-fuel subsidies; the lack of internalization of the avoided costs of air pollution caused by the use of electric vehicles; policies to allocate public space to public transport systems; and, the need for sunset provisions to ease the reduction and eventual elimination of the refining and distribution of fossil fuels.

Recent gains in reducing deforestation illustrate the potential to change the dynamics of land-use change. Achieving zero net deforestation will have an immediate effect on carbon emissions. There are, however, substantial barriers to securing these sinks. Deforestation continues unimpeded in many parts of the region, where poverty and the inability to internalize the value of forests have not changed.

There is significant potential for reforestation in the region, and while there are a growing number of successful experiences, the fiscal and financial incentives are not at the level required to expand reforestation efforts substantially. Agroforestry and silvopastures as alternatives to land restoration have great potential for further expansion. These landscape approaches, when well managed, are expected to provide attractive financial returns on average. The incorporation of well-known nutrient management options and methane abatement measures in animal husbandry will also support emissions reductions by agriculture. A pathway analysis for emissions reductions by industry concludes that deployment of the best available technologies would result in a reduction of emissions in the sector.

The measures reviewed (Table 7.1) have the potential to drive LAC toward a zero carbon economy, largely on the basis of sound economic principles and with substantial co-benefits, albeit not all easy to monetize. However, achieving this goal will depend on the ability to navigate and address the substantial barriers built up over time by business-as-usual behaviours and policies. Still, from all perspectives, the region is in pole position to complete this journey.

Table 7.1 – Summary of measures reviewed as part of the pathway to net zero carbon in Latin America.

Activities	Technology	Benefits	Costs	Policy environment	Key barriers
Decarbonisation of the power sector Large-scale deployment of renewables	Technologies available for geothermal, solar and wind today. Marine is under development.	Reduction in LCOEs. Improved energy security. Reduced emissions of GHG and criteria pollutants. Decarbonized power will assist GHG reductions in other sectors.	LCOEs for PV and wind already lower than for gas and coal in many locations. CSP competitive in high irradiance areas; further improvements anticipated in the short term. Higher investment but lower operating and maintenance costs. At least US$1 trillion required in investments by 2050.	Increasingly supportive policy environment with enabling national policies, a growing set of fiscal incentives and facilitated grid access.	Fossil-fuel subsidies, in particular for coal and gas, constitute an important obstacle to entry. Lack of a CO_2 market or carbon tax delays the transition to renewables.
Decarbonisation of the power sector Regional grid integration	Well established. Provisions to minimize environmental and social impacts are available.	Optimization of costs of generation and transmission. Optimizes dispatch with low operating costs.	The cost of key elements of an integrated grid is in the range of US$5 billion.	Issue is framed in the wider political movement for regional economic integration.	Lack of political will to advance with the grid integration process in the region.
Decarbonisation of the power sector Distributed power systems.	Available.	Increasing financially attractive at household, commercial and industrial installations. Improved reliability locally and reductions in transmission losses.	Costs continue to fall and make distributive power attractive in many areas.	Several, but not all countries have adopted regulations to enable the development of distributed power systems.	Absence of rules to allow for distributed power systems in many nations.
Electrification of the transport sector	Technologies available for light vehicles and buses; under development for heavy trucks and maritime vehicles.	Lower costs of operation and maintenance. Gains in air quality in urban areas, energy generation, efficiency and security. Potential for development of industry, business and generation of jobs.	LCOT already lower than conventional fuels for light vehicles. Electric transport would result in the displacement of infrastructure assets linked to the refining, transport and distribution of liquid distillates and the associated labor and business activity. The replacement cost of the road fleet is estimated at $100 million per year.	Policy environment needs to develop at a par with the energy sector (fiscal and regulatory incentives). Policies encouraging the deployment of public transport systems such as BRTs have been adopted in many countries.	Fossil-fuel subsidies constitute a key barrier to the adoption of alternatives. Lack of internalization of health and other environmental benefits impede the adoption of cleaner options. Lack of carbon market/tax delays transition. Public transport policies encouraging use of BRT-like systems and non-motorized transport are lacking in many countries.
Avoided deforestation	Not an issue.	Co-benefits in soil, water and biodiversity conservation.	The cost of avoided deforestation is estimated in the range of US$5/$tCO_2e$, or about at the level of the voluntary carbon market today. Substantial risk of climate feedback into the stability of forests.	Major policy, regulatory and incentive efforts have yet to be implemented at the regional level.	Strong governance, education and fiscal/financial incentives are required to eliminate deforestation. Monetization of economic benefits required.

Activities	Technology	Benefits	Costs	Policy environment	Key barriers
Reforestation	Techniques available for natural, assisted and planted reforestation.	Reforestation programs, some with native species, are being developed and implemented in the region with relative success.	There are a wide range of reforestation costs in the region. The IPCC (2007) has concluded that "the mitigation costs through forestry are in the range of US$0.1–US$20/metric ton carbon dioxide in some tropical developing countries".	The policy framework has improved significantly in some countries (Brazil, for example, but additional measures are required across the LAC region.	Fiscal and financial incentives are required to make use of the vast potential in the region. Without these, reforestation efforts may remain limited.
Restoration	Agroforestry and silvopasture techniques are widely available and technically proven.	Food security. Co-benefits in soil conservation or avoidance of soil erosion; maintenance of soil nutrients; maintenance of stable hydrological cycles, biodiversity conservation, and the storage of carbon.	Private-sector interest in agroforestry and silvopastures increasing reflects the fact that these investments are financially attractive to private parties.	Agricultural and forestry policy could better reflect an emphasis on land restoration as a key element of sustainability.	Strong governance and fiscal incentives are needed to promote restoration as an alternative to expanding the agricultural frontier.
Nutrient management for N_2O abatement	Measures included (non-NH_4, controlled-release fertilizers and elimination of tillage are well established.	Measures considered for N_2O abatement would also result in improved financials of commercial operations or in premium value for products.	No-tillage has expanded rapidly, indicating financial attractiveness. CRF costs may range between 3 and 8 times the costs of standard fertilizers.	Agricultural policies need to internalize the potential for NO_2 reductions as part of climate policies.	Absence of a robust carbon market prevents faster adoption. Fiscal incentives are needed for widespread application.
CH_4 management in livestock	Measures included are well established.	Measures would result in better yields and premium value of products.	Additional up-front costs are required.	Agricultural policies need to internalize the potential for CH_4 reductions as part of climate policies.	Absence of a robust carbon market prevents faster adoption. Awareness level limits implementation.
Decarbonization of Industry	Technologies are available.	Measures would result in reductions in energy use.	Most measures are under US$30/ton of CO_2e.		Absence of a robust carbon market and carbon tax prevents faster adoption.

REFERENCES

AAFC (2012): '*Reducing methane emissions from livestock*'. Alberta. Available from: http://www.agr.gc.ca/eng/science-and-innovation/science-publications-and-resources/technical-factsheets/reducing-methane-emissions-from-livestock/?id=1305058576718 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Alegre, J., Arévalo, L., Ricse, A., Barbaran, J. & Palm, C. (2001): '*Reservas de Carbono y emisión de gases con diferentes sistemas de uso de la tierra en dos sitios de la amazonía peruana*'. Tibaitatá, Colombia.

ANTF (2011): '*Rail Freight Transportation in Brazil: Post-concessions advances and investments*'. Sao Paulo, Brazil. Available from: http://www.antf.org.br/pdfs/palestra-vilaca-2011antf1205---sao-paulo---rail-and-metro---latin-america-2011---ingles.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Bair, L.S. & Alig, R.J. (2007): '*Regional Cost Information for Private Timberland: Conversion and Management*'. DIANE Publishing.

Banerjee, R., Cong, Y., Gielen, D., Jannuzzi, G., Maréchal, F., McKane, A.T., Rosen, M.A., Es, D. van & Worrell, E. (2012): 'Chapter 8 – Energy End Use: Industry. In: *Global Energy Assessment: Toward a Sustainable Future*'. Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press. Available from: http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web/home/research/Flagship-Projects/Global-Energy-Assessment/GEA_Chapter8_industry_hires.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Barbero, J.A. (2014): '*Transporte y energía: los desafíos del desarrollo sostenible*'. Available from: http://www.uncu.edu.ar/centroasuntosglobales/upload/barbero-jose-transporte-y-energia-uncuyo-ceag-seminario-11-4-14.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Di Bella, G., Norton, L., Ntamatungiro, J., Ogawa, S., Samake, I. & Santoro, M. (2015): '*Energy Subsidies in Latin America and the Caribbean: Stocktaking and Policy Challenges*'. Available from: https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2015/wp1530.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

BenDor, T., Lester, T.W., Livengood, A., Davis, A. & Yonavjak, L. (2015): '*Estimating the size and impact of the ecological restoration economy*'. *PloS one.* 10 (6). pp. e0128339.

Berlin (2013): '*Berlin traffic data*'. Available from: http://www.stadtentwicklung.berlin.de/verkehr/politik_planung/zahlen_fakten/download/Mobility_en_Chap-1-2.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Berra, G., Finster, L., Castuma, E. & Maldonado, V. (2013): '*Reducción de emisiones de metano provenientes del ganado bovino*'. Available from: http://www.estrucplan.com.ar/Producciones/entrega.asp?IDEntrega=3073 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

BID (2015): '*Observatorio Regional de Transporte de Carga y Logistica del BID*'. Available from: http://www.iadb.org/es/proyectos/project-information-page,1303.html?id=RG-T1897 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Birch, J.; Newton, A.;Alvarez, C.; Cantarello, E.; Echeverría, C.; Kirtzberger, E.; Schiappacasse, I.; Tejedor, N.; and Polasky, S. (2010): '*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*', Vol. 107, No. 50 (December 14, 2010), pp. 21925-21930

Blaser, J. & Robledo, C. (2007): '*Initial Analysis of the Mitigation Potential in the Forestry Sector*'. Berne. Available from: https://unfccc.int/files/cooperation_and_support/financial_mechanism/application/pdf/blaser.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Bloomberg (2011): '*Solar, Energy Smart Technologies: Research Note*'. Available from: http://sun-connect-news.org/fileadmin/DATEIEN/Dateien/New/PV_and_Batteries_as_Alternative_to_Off-Grid_Diesel_Markets_-_Bloomberg_New_Energy_Dec_2011.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Bogotá (2013): '*Cámara de Comerico de Bogotá*'. Available from: http://www.ccb.org.co/Investigaciones-Bogota-y-Region/Desarrollo-Urbano-y-Movilidad/Movilidad/Transporte-publico-y-privado [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Brander, M., Sood, A., Wylie, C., Haughton, A. & Lovell, J. (2011): '*Electricity-specific emission factors for grid electricity*'. Available from: http://ecometrica.com/assets//Electricity-specific-emission-factors-for-grid-electricity.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

BRTdata (2015): '*Global BRT Data*'. Available from: www.BRTdata.org [Accessed 04 November 2015].

CAF (2011): '*Desarrollo Urbano y Movilidad en America Latina*'. Available from: http://omu.caf.com/media/30839/desarrollourbano_y_movilidad_americalatina.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

CAF (2010): '*Observatorio de Movilidad Urbana para América Latina*'. Available from: http://www.caf.com/es/temas/o/observatorio-de-movilidad-urbana/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

CAF (2012): '*Nuevas Oportunidades de Interconexión Eléctrica en América Latina*'. Colombia. Available from: http://publicaciones.caf.com/media/18406/oportunidades-interconexion-electrica-america-latina.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

CAIT (2015): '*Climate Analysis Indicators Tool: WRI's Climate Data Explorer*'. Washington, DC. Available from: http://cait.wri.org/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Callo-Concha, D., Krishnamurthy, L. & Alegre, J. (2002): '*Secuestro de carbono por sistemas agroforestales amazónicos*'. México. Available from: http://www.redalyc.org/pdf/629/62980202.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Del Campo, S. (2012): 'Estrategia Nacional de Energía 2012–2030'. *Ministerio de Energía, Gobierno de Chile.* Available from: http://www.minenergia.cl/estrategia-nacional-de-energia-2012.html [Accessed 04 November 2015].

CEPROEC (2015): '*Estimación del consumo de combustibles en el transporte terrestre en Ecuador*'. Quito, Ecuador. Available from: http://ceproec.iaen.edu.ec/download/wps(2)/2015_05.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

CleanTechnica (2015): '*US & Brazil Pledge Jointly To Raise Renewable Energy To 20% By 2030*'. Available from: http://cleantechnica.com/2015/07/01/us-brazil-pledge-jointly-raise-renewable-energy-20-2030/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Copenhagen (2013): '*Copenhagen: Preferred Transit Mode*'. Available from: http://ddotdish.com/page/4/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Curitiba (2011): '*Curitiba, Brazil: a model of transit oriented planning*'. Available from: http://www.ecomobility.org/fileadmin/template/project_templates/ecomobility/files/Publications/Case_stories_EcoMobility_Curitiba_PDF_print.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Deloitte (2015): '*Latin America Economic Outlook*'. Available from: http://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/global/Documents/About-Deloitte/gx-gbc-latin-america-economic-outlook-july-2015.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Derpsch, R., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A. & Hongwen, L. (2010): '*Current status of adoption of no-till farming in the world and some of its main benefits*'. Available from: https://ijabe.org/index.php/ijabe/article/view/223 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Deutsche Bank (2015): '*Deutsche Bank report: solar grid parity in a low oil price era*'. Frankfurt am Main. Available from: https://www.db.com/cr/en/concrete-deutsche-bank-report-solar-grid-parity-in-a-low-oil-price-era.htm [Accessed 04 November 2015].

DNV (2010): '*Pathways to low carbon shipping: abatement potential towards 2030*'. Høvik, Norway. Available from: http://cleantech.cnss.no/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/2010-DNV-Pathways-to-low-carbon-shipping-Abatement-potential-towards-2030.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Dowbenko, R.E. (2003): '*New Fertility Product – ESN: Controlled-Release Nitrogen for Enhanced Nitrogen Efficiency and Improved Environmental Safety*'. Available from: http://www.umanitoba.ca/faculties/afs/MAC_proceedings/proceedings/2006/dowbenko_new_fertility_product.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Dutzik, T. & Baxandall, P. (2013): '*A New Direction: Our Changing Relationship with Driving and the Implications for America's Future*'. Available from: http://uspirg.org/reports/usp/new-direction [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Ebinger, J. & Vergara, W. (2011): '*Climate impacts on energy systems: key issues for energy sector adaptation*'. Washington, DC. Available from: http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2011/01/13888226/climate-impacts-energy-systems-key-issues-energy-sector-adaptation [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Ecofys (2009): '*Role and Potential of Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency for Global Energy Supply*'. Dessau-Roßlau, Germany. Available from: http://www.ecofys.com/files/files/report_role_potential_renewable_energy_efficiency_global_energy_supply.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Ecofys (2015): '*G7+EU INDCs: some improvement, but a large emissions gap remains – Climate Action Tracker policy brief*'. Available from: http://www.ecofys.com/en/publications/g7eu-indcs-some-improvement-but-a-large-emissions-gap-remains/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

EFE (2015): '*Chile sets 70 pct. non-conventional renewable energy target for 2050*'. Santiago, Chile. Available from: http://www.efe.com/efe/english/business/chile-sets-70-pct-non-conventional-renewable-energy-target-for-2050/50000265-2726425 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

EIA (2015): '*International Energy Statistics*'. Washington, D.C. Available from: http://www.eia.gov/cfapps/ipdbproject/IEDIndex3.cfm?tid=2&pid=2&aid=12 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Enerdata (2015): '*Research on energy efficiency, CO_2 emissions, energy consumption, forecast*'. Available from: http://www.enerdata.net/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Enkvist, P.-A., Nauclér, T. & Rosander, J. (2007): '*A cost curve for greenhouse gas reduction*'. Available from: http://www.mckinsey.com/insights/sustainabili-

ty/a_cost_curve_for_greenhouse_gas_reduction [Accessed 04 November 2015].

EPA (2015): '*Emissions Factors & AP 42, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*'. Available from: http://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/ap42/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

F. Sedano (2015): '*Latin America Manufacturing Outlook July 2015*'. Available from: https://www.mapi.net/RESEARCH/PUBLICATIONS/LATIN-AMERICA-MANUFACTURING-OUTLOOK-JULY-2015 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Falcocchio, J.C. & Levinson, H.S. (2015): '*The Costs and Other Consequences of Traffic Congestion*'. pp. 159–182. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-15165-6_13 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

FAO (2014): '*Agriculture's greenhouse gas emissions on the rise*'. Rome, Italy. Available from: http://www.fao.org/news/story/en/item/216137/icode/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

FAOSTAT (2015): '*Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*'. *Database*. Available from: http://faostat3.fao.org/download/G1/GT/E [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Farrell, A.E., Plevin, R.J., Turner, B.T., Jones, A., O'Hare, M. & Kammen, D.M. (2006): 'Ethanol Can Contribute to Energy and Environmental Goals'. *Science*. 311 (5760). pp. 506–508. Available from: http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1121416 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Fearnside, P.M., Barbosa, R.I. & de Alencastro Graça, P.M.L. (2007): 'Burning of secondary forest in Amazonia: biomass, burning efficiency and charcoal formation during land preparation for agriculture in Apiaú, Roraima, Brazil'. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 242 (2-3). pp. 678–687. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378112707001260 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Feldman, D., Barbose, G., Margolis, R., James, T., Weaver, S., Darghouth, N., Fu, R., Davidson, C., Booth, S. & Ryan, W. (2014): '*Photovoltaic System Pricing Trends Historical, Recent, and Near-Term Projections 2014 Edition*'. Available from: http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy14osti/62558.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Fenhann, J. (2015): '*Greenhouse Gas Abatement Cost MOdel GACMO*'. Copenhagen, Denmark. Available from: http://www.cdmpipeline.org/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Ferreira, F.H.G., Messina, J., Rigolini, J., López-Calva, L.-F., Lugo, M.A. & Vakis, R. (2012): '*Economic Mobility and the Rise of the Latin American Middle Class*'. Washington D.C.: The World Bank. Available from: http://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/book/10.1596/978-0-8213-9634-6 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Franck, N.T. (2015): '*Flexibility from an Institutional Viewpoint, DK experiences*'. Available from: www.energinet.dk [Accessed 04 November 2015].

FS UNEP Centre (2015): '*Global Trends in Renewable Energy Investment 2015*'. Available from: http://fs-unep-centre.org/publications/global-trends-renewable-energy-investment-2015 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Fueleconomy (2015): '*Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles*'. Available from: https://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/label/learn-more-PHEV-label.shtml [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Fujisaka, S., Castilla, C., Escobar, G., Rodrigues, V., Veneklaas, E.., Thomas, R. & Fisher, M. (1998): 'The effects of forest conversion on annual crops and pastures: *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*'. 69 (1). pp. 17–26. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0167880998000917 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Garibay, S. V. & Ugas, R. (2009): 'Organic Farming in Latin America and the Caribbean'. In: *The World of Organic Agriculture: Statistics and Emerging Trends 2009*. Frick, Bonn and Geneva: FiBL, IFOAM and ITC, pp. 176–185. Available from: http://orgprints.org/17931/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Gélvez, J.A.G. & Obando, C. (2014): '*La motorización, el número de viajes y la distribución modal en Bogotá: pasado y posible futuro*'. Available from: http://www.scielo.org.co/pdf/ring/n40/n40a02.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

GENI (2011): '*Renewable Energy Potential of Chile*', Global Energy Network Institute. Available from: http://www.geni.org/globalenergy/research/renewable-energy-potential-of-chile/Chile 2020 Report II PBM final.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

GFW (2015): '*Global Forest Watch*'. Available from: http://www.globalforestwatch.org/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Gibbs, H.K., Munger, J., L'Roe, J., Barreto, P., Pereira, R., Christie, M., Amaral, T. & Walker, N.F. (2015): 'Did Ranchers and Slaughterhouses Respond to ZeroÐ Deforestation Agreements in the Brazilian Amazon?' *Conservation Letters*.

Gorham, R. (2002): '*Air pollution from ground transportation: an assessment of causes, strategies and tactics, and proposed actions for the international community*'. Tournesol Conseils.

GOV.UK (2015): '*Industrial Decarbonisation and Energy Efficiency Roadmaps to 2050*'. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/industrial-decarbonisation-and-energy-efficiency-roadmaps-to-2050 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

GOV.UK (2012): '*Marine Energy Development: Taking Steps for Developing the Chilean Resource*'. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/207868/Marine_Energy_Report_-_ENGLISH.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Grieg-Gran, M. (2008): '*The Cost of Avoiding Deforestation*'. London. Available from: http://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/G02489.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Guerrero, P. & Abad, J. (2013): '*Assessment of Port Performance and Port Connectivity Study in Belize, Central America and the Dominican Republic*'. Available from: http://idbdocs.iadb.org/wsdocs/getdocument.aspx?docnum=37578169 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Guzmán, W. & Arévalo, L. (2003): '*Servicios ambientales de almacenamiento de carbono como activo para el desarrollo en la Amazonía Peruana: avances y retos*'. Pucallpa, Universidad Nacional de Ucayali.

Hansen, M. C., P. V. Potapov, R. Moore, M. Hancher, S. A. Turubanova, A. Tyukavina, D. Thau, S. V. Stehman, S. J. Goetz, T. R. Loveland, A. Kommareddy, A. Egorov, L. Chini, C. O. Justice, and J. R. G. Townshend (2013): '*Hansen/UMD/Google/USGS/NASA Tree Cover Loss and Gain Area*'. University of Maryland, Google, USGS, and NASA. Accessed through Global Forest Watch. Available from: http://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Hecht, S.B. (2014): '*The Natures of Progress: Land Use Dynamics and Forest Trends in Latin America and the Caribbean*'. Available from: http://www.iadb.org/wms-files/products/publications/documents/36735843.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Henderson, B., Falcucci, a., Mottet, a., Early, L., Werner, B., Steinfeld, H. & Gerber, P. (2015): 'Marginal costs of abating greenhouse gases in the global ruminant livestock sector. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*'. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11027-015-9673-9 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Heubach, K., Wittig, R., Nuppenau, E.-A. & Hahn, K. (2011): 'The economic importance of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) for livelihood maintenance of rural west African communities: a case study from northern Benin'. *Ecological Economics*. 70 (11). pp. 1991–2001. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0921800911002047 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Hurteau, M.D. & Brooks, M.L. (2011): 'Short- and Long-term Effects of Fire on Carbon in US Dry Temperate Forest Systems'. *BioScience*. 61 (2). pp. 139–146. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/doi/abs/10.1525/bio.2011.61.2.9 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

IEA (2015): '*Consumption of oil products: Non-OECD Americas*'. Washington, DC. Available from: http://www.iea.org/stats/WebGraphs/LATINAMERI1.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

IFAD (2010): '*Rural Poverty Report 2011*'. *New Realities, New Challenges: New Opportunities for Tomorrow's Generation*. Rome, Italy.

IIASA – Riahi, K., F. Dentener, D. Gielen, A. Grubler, J. Jewell, Z. Klimont, V. Krey, D. McCollum, S. Pachauri, S. Rao, B. van Ruijven, D. P. van Vuuren and C. Wilson (2012): 'Chapter 17: Energy Pathways for Sustainable Development. *In Global Energy Assessment: Toward a Sustainable Future*', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA and the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria, pp. 1203-1306. ISBN - 9781 10700 5198 hardback / ISBN - 9780 52118 2935 paperback

INEGI (2012): '*Encuesta Anual de Transportes 2011*'. Available from: http://www.inegi.org.mx/prod_serv/contenidos/espanol/bvinegi/productos/integracion/encues-trans/2011/EAT1_2011.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

INPE (2010): '*Desmatemento Cai*'. Available from: http://www.inpe.br/ingles/index.php [Accessed 04 November 2015].

IPCC (2007): 'The Physical Science Basis'. *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC (2014): 'Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report'. *Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.

IRENA (2012): '*Renewable Energy Technologies: Cost Analysis Series – Concentrating Solar Power*'. Available from: http://www.irena.org/documentdownloads/publications/re_technologies_cost_analysis-csp.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

IRENA (2015a): '*Renewable Energy in Latin America 2015: An Overview of Policies*'. Abu Dhabi. Available from: http://www.irena.org/DocumentDownloads/Publications/IRENA_RE_Latin_America_Policies_2015.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

IRENA (2015b): '*Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2014*'. Available from: http://www.irena.org/DocumentDownloads/Publications/IRENA_RE_Power_Costs_2014_report.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Istamto, T., Houthuijs, D. & Lebret, E. (2014): 'Willingness to pay to avoid health risks from road-traffic-related air pollution and noise across five countries'. *Science of The Total Environment*. 497-498. pp. 420–429. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969714011474 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Jianbo, L. (2006): 'Energy balance and economic benefits of two agroforestry systems in northern and southern China'. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 116 (3-4). pp. 255–262. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0167880906000971 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Justen, A., Martínez, F., Lenz, B. & Cortés, C. (2012): 'Santiago 2030: Perspectives on the Urban Transport System'. In: *Risk Habitat Megacity*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 207–227. Available from: http://www.springerlink.com/content/g86567515285wk80/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Kaimowitz, D. (2008): 'The Prospects for Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD) in Mesoamerica'. *International Forestry Review*. 10 (3). pp. 485–495. Available from: http://openurl.ingenta.com/content/xref?genre=article&issn=1465-5489&volume=10&issue=3&spage=485 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Kindermann, G., Obersteiner, M., Sohngen, B., Sathaye, J., Andrasko, K., Rametsteiner, E., Schlamadinger, B., Wunder, S. & Beach, R. (2008): 'Global cost estimates of reducing carbon emissions through avoided deforestation'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. [Online]. 105 (30). pp. 10302–10307. Available from: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0710616105 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Klinge, H., Adis, J. & Worbes, M. (1995): '*The vegetation of a seasonal varzea forest in the lower Solimões river, Brazilian Amazonia*', Scielo, Acta Amazonica. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S0044-59671995000400201&script=sci_abstract [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Kost, C., Mayer, J.N., Thomsen, J., Hartmann, N., Senkpiel, C., Philipps, S., Nold, S., Lude, S., Saad, N. & Schlegl, T. (2013): '*Levelized Cost of Electricity Renewable Energy Technologies*'. Freiburg, Germany. Available from: http://www.ise.fraunhofer.de/en/publications/veroeffentlichungen-pdf-dateien-en/studien-und-konzeptpapiere/study-levelized-cost-of-electricity-renewable-energies.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Kumar, B.M., George, S.J., Jamaludheen, V. & Suresh, T.K. (1998): 'Comparison of biomass production, tree allometry and nutrient use efficiency of multipurpose trees grown in woodlot and silvopastoral experiments in Kerala, India'. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 112 (1). pp. 145–163.

Lal, R. (2005): 'Forest soils and carbon sequestration. *Forest Ecology and Management*'. 220 (1). pp. 242–258.

Lantz, E., Hand, M. & Wiser, R. (2012): '*The Past and Future Cost of Wind Energy*'. Denver, Colorado. Available from: http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy12osti/54526.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Lapeyre, T., Alegre, J. & Arévalo, L. (2004): '*Determinación de las reservas de carbono de la biomasa aérea, en diferentes sistemas de uso de la tierra en San Martín, Perú*'. Available from: http://www.scielo.org.pe/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1726-22162004000100006 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Law 20571 (2012): '*Reference to law 20571 of Chile*'. Available from: http://www.minenergia.cl/ley20571/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Ley20.571.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Lesschen, J.P., van den Berg, M., Westhoek, H.J., Witzke, H.P. & Oenema, O. (2011): 'Greenhouse gas emission profiles of European livestock sectors'. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 166-167. pp. 16–28. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377840111001775 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Lorenz, K. & Lal, R. (2014): 'Soil organic carbon sequestration in agroforestry systems: a review'. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 34 (2). pp. 443–454. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13593-014-0212-y [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Luckow, P., Stanton, E.A., Fields, S., Biewald, B., Jackson, S., Fisher, J. & Wilson, R. (2015): '*2015 Carbon Dioxide Price Forecast*'. Cambridge, Massachusetts. Available from: http://www.synapse-energy.com/

sites/default/files/2015 Carbon Dioxide Price Report.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Magalhães, M.M. de & Lunas Lima, D.A.L. (2014): *'Low-Carbon Agriculture in Brazil: The Environmental and Trade Impact of Current Farm Policies'*. Geneva, Switzerland. Available from: http://www.ictsd.org/sites/default/files/research/Low-Carbon Agriculture in Brazil.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Martin, E.W., Chester, M. V. & Vergara, S.E. (2015): 'Attributional and Consequential Life-cycle Assessment in Biofuels: A Review of Recent Literature in the Context of System Boundaries'. *Current Sustainable/Renewable Energy Reports*. 2 (3). pp. 82–89. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40518-015-0034-9 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Maurer, E.P., Adam, J.C. & Wood, A.W. (2008): 'Climate model based consensus on the hydrologic impacts of climate change to the Rio Lempa basin of Central America'. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*. 5 (6). pp. 3099–3128. Available from: http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/5/3099/2008/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Mena-Carrasco, M., Oliva, E., Saide, P., Spak, S.N., de la Maza, C., Osses, M., Tolvett, S., Campbell, J.E., Tsao, T. es C.-C. & Molina, L.T. (2012): 'Estimating the health benefits from natural gas use in transport and heating in Santiago, Chile'. *Science of The Total Environment*. 429. pp. 257–265. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969712005694 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Messner, S. & Struebegger, M. (1995): *'User's guide for MESSAGE III'*. Laxenburg, Austria.

Montagnini, F. & Nair, P.K.R. (2004): 'Carbon sequestration: an underexploited environmental benefit of agroforestry systems'. *Agroforestry Systems*. 61-62 (1-3). pp. 281–295. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1023/B:AGFO.0000029005.92691.79 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Moore, S. & Newey, G. (2012): *'Something in the air: the forgotten crisis of Britain's poor air quality'*. London. Available from: http://www.policyexchange.org.uk/images/publications/something in the air.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Moran, D., Macleod, M., Wall, E., Eory, V., McVittie, A., Barnes, A., Rees, R., Topp, C.F.E. & Moxey, A. (2011): 'Marginal Abatement Cost Curves for UK Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Emissions'. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 62 (1). pp. 93–118. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2010.00268.x [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Moreira, M.R.P. & Dourado, A.B. de F. (2014): *'A taxa de motorização nas cidades brasileiras e a questão da mobilidade urbana'*. Available from: http://www.antp.org.br/_5dotSystem/download/dcmDocument/2013/10/07/F5EE6A8C-151A-403D-8C98-56488342AEE1.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Nair, P.K.R. (2012): 'Carbon sequestration studies in agroforestry systems: a reality-check. *Agroforestry Systems*'. [Online]. 86 (2). pp. 243–253. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10457-011-9434-z [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Nair, P.K.R. & Garrity, D. (2012): '*Agroforestry: The Future of Global Land Use*. Advances in Agroforestry'. P. K. R. Nair & D. Garrity (eds.). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-007-4676-3 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Nakaegawa, T. & Vergara, W. (2010): 'First Projection of Climatological Mean River Discharges in the Magdalena River Basin, Colombia, in a Changing Climate during the 21st Century'. *Hydrological Research Letters*. 4. pp. 50–54. Available from: http://joi.jlc.jst.go.jp/JST.JSTAGE/hrl/4.50?from=CrossRef [Accessed 04 November 2015].

NCCS (2013). *Mexico (2013): 'National Climate Change Strategy: The 10-20-40 Vision'*. Available from: http://mitigationpartnership.net/mexico-2013-national-climate-change-strategy-10-20-40-vision [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Nepstad, D., McGrath, D., Stickler, C., Alencar, A., Azevedo, A., Swette, B., Bezerra, T., DiGiano, M., Shimada, J., Seroa da Motta, R., Armijo, E., Castello, L., Brando, P., Hansen, M.C., McGrath-Horn, M., Carvalho, O. & Hess, L. (2014): 'Slowing Amazon deforestation through public policy and interventions in beef and soy supply chains'. *Science*. 344 (6188). pp. 1118–1123. Available from: http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1248525 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Nielsen, R. (2005): *'Solar Radiation'*. Available from: http://home.iprimus.com.au/nielsens/solrad.html [Accessed 04 November 2015].

NOAA (2015): *'Earth System Research Laboratory: Trends in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide'*. Available from: http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/weekly.html [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Noreña, J.E.O., García, C.G., Conde, A.C. & Magaña, V. (2009): 'Vulnerability of water resources in the face of potential climate change: generation of hydroelectric power in Colombia'. *Atmósfera*. 22 (3). Available from: http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.ph

p?pid=S0187-62362009000300001&script=sci_arttext [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Nykvist, B. & Nilsson, M. (2015): 'Rapidly falling costs of battery packs for electric vehicles'. *Nature Climate Change*. 5 (4). pp. 329–332. Available from: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nclimate2564 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Nylund, N.-O. (2013): *'Transport Technology Gaps'*. Available from: https://www.iea.org/media/workshops/2013/egrdmobility/Nylund_transport_technology_gaps.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

OECD (2014): *'The Cost of Air Pollution'*. OECD Publishing. Available from: http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/the-cost-of-air-pollution_9789264210448-en [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Oelbermann, M., Voroney, R.P., Thevathasan, N. V., Gordon, A.M., Kass, D.C.L. & Schlönvoigt, A.M. (2006): 'Soil carbon dynamics and residue stabilization in a Costa Rican and southern Canadian alley cropping system'. *Agroforestry Systems*. 68 (1). pp. 27–36. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10457-005-5963-7 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Olsen, N. & Bishop, J. (2009): *'The Financial Costs of REDD: Evidence from Brazil and Indonesia'*. Gland. Available from: https://portals.iucn.org/library/efiles/documents/2009-047.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Overmars, K.P., Stehfest, E., Tabeau, A., van Meijl, H., Beltrán, A.M. & Kram, T. (2014): 'Estimating the opportunity costs of reducing carbon dioxide emissions via avoided deforestation, using integrated assessment modelling'. *Land Use Policy*. 41. pp. 45–60. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0264837714000799 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Palm, C., Tomich, T., Van Noordwijk, M., Vosti, S., Gockowski, J., Alegre, J. & Verchot, L. (2004): 'Mitigating GHG Emissions in the Humid Tropics: Case Studies from the Alternatives to Slash-and-Burn Program (ASB)'. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. 6 (1/2). pp. 145–162. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1023/B:ENVI.0000003634.50442.ca [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Peters-Stanley, M. & Gonzalez, G. (2014): 'Sharing the stage state of the voluntary carbon markets 2014'. *A Report by Forest Trends' Ecosystem Marketplace: Executive Summary, Washington DC.*

Potapov, P., Laestadius, L. & Minnemeyer, S. (2011): *'Global Map of Forest Landscape Restoration Opportunities'*. Washington, DC. Available from: www.wri.org/forest-restoration-atlas [Accessed 04 November 2015].

REN21 (2014): *'RENEWABLES 2014: GLOBAL STATUS REPORT'*. Paris: REN21 Secretariat. Available from: http://www.ren21.net/Portals/0/documents/Resources/GSR/2014/GSR2014_full report_low res.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

REN21 (2015): *'RENEWABLES 2015: GLOBAL STATUS REPORT'*. Paris: REN21 Secretariat. Available from: http://www.ren21.net/status-of-renewables/global-status-report/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Renewable energy world (2013): *'The Future of Solar in Latin America'*. Available from: http://www.renewableenergyworld.com/articles/2013/05/the-future-of-solar-in-latin-america.html [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Riahi, K., Dentener, F., Gielen, D., Grubler, A., Jewell, J., Klimont, Z., Krey, V., McCollum, D., Pachauri, S., Rao, S., Ruijven, B. van, Vuuren, D.P. van & Wilson, C. (2011): '2011: Energy Pathways for Sustainable Development'. In: and A. P. L. Gomez-Echeverri, T. B. Johansson, N. Nakicenovic (ed.). *In Global Energy Assessment: Toward a Sustainable Future*. Laxenburg: International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA); Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press. Available from: http://opensky.library.ucar.edu/collections/OSGC-000-000-010-509 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Riahi, K., F. Dentener, D. Gielen, A. Grubler, J. Jewell, Z. Klimont, V. Krey, D. Mccollum, S. Pachauri, S. Rao, B. van Ruijven, D.P. van Vuuren, and C. Wilson (2011): *'Energy pathways for sustainable development'*. *Global Energy Assessment: Toward a Sustainable Future*, Cambridge University Press.

Riahi, K., Grübler, A. & Nakicenovic, N. (2007): 'Scenarios of long-term socio-economic and environmental development under climate stabilization'. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 74 (7). pp. 887–935.

RMI (2014): *'The Economics of Grid Defection'*. Available from: http://www.rmi.org/electricity_grid_defection [Accessed 04 November 2015].

RMI (2015): *'Tesla Hitting the Battery Accelerator'*. Available from: http://blog.rmi.org/blog_2015_06_11_tesla_hitting_the_battery_accelerator [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Rodrigues, V.G.S., Castilla, C., Costa, R.S.C. & Palm, C. (1999): *'Estoque de carbono em sistema de uso da terra*

em Rondônia'. Available from: http://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br/digital/bitstream/item/77901/1/FOL-6097-0001.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Rubio Varas, M. del M. & Tafunell, X. (2014): 'Latin American hydropower: a century of uneven evolution'. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.* 38 (C). pp. 323–334. Available from: http://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:eee:rensus:v:38:y:2014:i:c:p:323-334 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Salimon, C.I., Putz, F.E., Menezes-Filho, L., Anderson, A., Silveira, M., Brown, I.F. & Oliveira, L.C. (2011): 'Estimating state-wide biomass carbon stocks for a REDD plan in Acre, Brazil'. *Forest Ecology and Management.* 262 (3). pp. 555–560. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378112711002404 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Saygin, D., Worrell, E., Patel, M.K. & Gielen, D.J. (2011): 'Benchmarking the energy use of energy-intensive industries in industrialized and in developing countries'. *Energy.* 36 (11). pp. 6661–6673. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S036054421100555X [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Scandlines (2015): *'Scandlines Hybrid Ferries'*. Copenhagen, Denmark. Available from: https://stateofgreen.com/en/profiles/scandlines/solutions/scandlines-hybrid-ferries [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Schellnhuber, H.J. (2009): 'Tipping elements in the Earth System'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 106 (49). pp. 20561–3. Available from: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/long/106/49/20561 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Scherer, A.-C. (2013): *'Organic food market in the United States: market access opportunities for Latin American and Caribbean producers'*. Santiago, Chile. Available from: http://repositorio.cepal.org/bitstream/handle/11362/5066/LCL3696_en.pdf?sequence=1 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Searchinger, T., Heimlich, R., Houghton, R.A., Dong, F., Elobeid, A., Fabiosa, J., Tokgoz, S., Hayes, D. & Yu, T.-H. (2008): 'Use of U.S. Croplands for Biofuels Increases Greenhouse Gases Through Emissions from Land-Use Change'. *Science.* 319 (5867). pp. 1238–1240. Available from: http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1151861 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Seba, T. (2014): *'Clean Disruption of Energy and Transportation: How Silicon Valley Will Make Oil, Nuclear, Natural Gas, Coal, Electric Utilities and Conventional Cars Obsolete by 2030'*. Tony Seba. Available from: http://tonyseba.com/portfolio-item/clean-disruption-of-energy-transportation/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

da Silva Dias, M.A.F. (2008): *'Forest and Rainfall Interactions in the Amazon Basin'*. Available from: https://www.ige.unicamp.br/terrae/V3/PDF-N3/T_A5_2.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, B., Sirotenko, O., Howden, M., McAllister, T., Pan, G., Romanenkov, V., Schneider, U., Towprayoon, S., Wattenbach, M. & Smith, J. (2008): 'Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.* 363 (1492). pp. 789–813. Available from: http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/363/1492/789 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Soto-Pinto, L., Anzueto, M., Mendoza, J., Ferrer, G.J. & de Jong, B. (2010): 'Carbon sequestration through agroforestry in indigenous communities of Chiapas, Mexico'. *Agroforestry Systems.* 78 (1). pp. 39–51.

Sparks, D. (2015): *'Tesla Motors Inc.'s Model 3 Ambitions May Be More Realistic Than You Think'*. Available from: http://www.fool.com/investing/general/2015/06/28/tesla-motors-incs-model-3-ambitions-more-realistic.aspx [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Stern, N. (2006): *'Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change'*. Cambridge University Press. Available from: http://www.amazon.co.uk/The-Economics-Climate-Change-Review/dp/0521700809 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Summers, D.M., Bryan, B.A., Nolan, M. & Hobbs, T.J. (2015): 'The costs of reforestation: a spatial model of the costs of establishing environmental and carbon plantings'. *Land Use Policy.* 44. pp. 110–121. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0264837714002737 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Svarrer, K. & Olsen, C.S. (2005): 'The Economic Value of Non-Timber Forest Products: A Case Study from Malaysia'. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry.* 20 (1). pp. 17–41. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1300/J091v20n01_02 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Teske, S., Sawyer, S. & Schäfer, O. (2015): *'Energy [R] evolution: A Sustainable World Energy Outlook 2015'*. Available from: http://www.greenpeace.org/international/en/publications/Campaign-reports/Climate-Reports/Energy-Revolution-2015/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Tollefson, J. (2015): 'Stopping deforestation: battle for the Amazon'. *Nature*. 520 (7545). pp. 20–23. Available from: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/520020a [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Tsuchiya, A. & Hiraoka, M. (1999): *'Forest biomass and wood consumption in the lower course of the Amazon: a case study of the Urubuera Island'*. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S0044-59671999000100079&script=sci_abstract [Accessed 04 November 2015].

UCS (2009): *'Clean Energy, Green Jobs'*. Cambridge MA. Available from: http://www.ucsusa.org/clean_energy/smart-energy-solutions/increase-renewables/clean-energy-green-jobs.html#.VgjwKMuqpBc [Accessed 04 November 2015].

UNCTAD (2012): *'Maritime Transport and the Climate Change Challenge'*. Available from: http://unctad.org/en/pages/newsdetails.aspx?OriginalVersionID=132 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

USA (2012): *'United States Transportation by the Numbers'*. Available from: http://www.rita.dot.gov/bts/sites/rita.dot.gov.bts/files/united_states.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Valdes, A. & Mistiaen, J.A. (2003): *'Rural Poverty Alleviation in Brazil: Toward an Integrated Strategy'. World Bank Country Study*. ERIC.

Vergara, W., Deeb, A., Cramton, P., Toba, N., Leino, I. & Benoit, P. (2010): *'Wind energy in Colombia: a framework for market entry'*. World Bank Publications. Available from: http://www.cramton.umd.edu/papers2010-2014/vergara-deep-toba-cramton-leino-wind-energy-in-colombia.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Vergara, W. & Scholz, S.M. (2011): *'Assessment of the risk of Amazon dieback'*. Washington D.C. Available from: http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2010/01/13155273/assessment-risk-amazon-dieback [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Vergara, W., Alatorre, C. & Alves, L. (2013a): *'Rethinking Our Energy Future: A White Paper on Renewable Energy for the 3GFLAC Regional Forum'*. Available from: https://www.imf.org/external/np/seminars/eng/2013/caribbean/pdf/rethinking.pdf.

Vergara, W., Rios, A.R., Galindo, L.M., Gutman, P., Isbell, P., Suding, P.H. & Samaniego, J. (2013b): *'The Climate and Development Challenge for Latin America and the Caribbean. Options for climate-resilient, low-carbon development'*. Available from: http://idbdocs.iadb.org/wsdocs/getdocument.aspx?docnum=37720722 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Vergara, W., Rios, A.R., Trapido, P., Malarin, H. & Malarín, H. (2014): *'Agriculture and future climate in Latin America and the Caribbean: systemic impacts and potential responses'*. Available from: http://idbdocs.iadb.org/wsdocs/getdocument.aspx?docnum=38585010 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

World Bank (1998): *'El Salvador: Rural Development Study'*. Washington D.C.

World Bank (2002): *'Improving Air Quality in Metropolitan Mexico City: An Economic Valuation'*. Available from: http://hdl.handle.net/10986/15696 [Accessed 04 November 2015].

World Bank (2014a): *'The Little Green Data Book'*. Washington, D.C. Available from: http://data.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/wb-ldb_green_2014-crpd.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

World Bank (2014b): *'World Development Indicators 2014'*. Washington D.C. Available from: http://data.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/wdi-2014-book.pdf [Accessed 04 November 2015].

World Bank (2015): *'World Development Indicators 2015'*. Washington, DC. Available from: http://data.worldbank.org/ [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Yáñez-Ruiz, D.R., Losa, R., Núñez, C., Tessier, N., Media, B., Shearer, A., Campanere, S. de, Morgavi, D., Fievez, F. & Newbold, C.J. (2013): 'Smethane, a technological platform to develop nutritional additives to reduce methane emissions from ruminants: putting together efforts from industry and academia'. In: *XV Jornadas sobre Producción Animal, Zaragoza 14 y 15 de mayo de 2013*. 2013, Asociación Interprofesional para el Desarrollo Agrario, pp. 288–290. Available from: http://www.cabdirect.org.globalproxy.cvt.dk/abstracts/20133263958.html;jsessionid=8DEC2EF0BDF000C400CFAE3DCD4ABD18# [Accessed 04 November 2015].

Yquise, A., Pocomucha, V. & Rios, J. (2009): *'Carbono Almacenado en Diferentes Sistemas de Uso de la Tierra del Distrito de José Crespo y Castillo'*. Huánuco, Perú.

ANNEXES

ANNEX I

DESCRIPTION OF IIASA'S BAU (GEA-BAU) AND GEA-MIX SCENARIOS

This report relies heavily on the scenarios projected by IIASA under its Global Energy Assessment (GEA) model. Two different scenario families are used (GEA-Mix & GEA-BAU or Counterfactual) to project sector emission development in order to identify mitigation priorities and verify the zero carbon LAC route by 2050.

The IIASA (2012) scenario database[40] offers three principal Global Energy Assessment (GEA) transformation pathway groups (GEA-Efficiency, GEA-Supply, and GEA-Mix). These scenarios are divided into three branching points and thus differ significantly from each other, depending on the choices made about technologies, infrastructures, behaviours and life-styles, as well as on future priorities with respect to the portfolio of supply- and demand-side policies (Riahi et al., 2012). The first branching point leads to three pathway groups where the GEA-Efficiency pathway assumes the most significant reduction and the GEA-Supply pathway registers only minor improvements over the historical rate into the future. Meanwhile, the GEA-Mix pathway exhibits an intermediate level of energy efficiency and decline in energy intensities. The second and third branching points of these three scenarios into still more pathways are based on[41]:

- The type of transportation system (that is, a conventional, traditional "liquids" transport infrastructure versus an advanced transport infrastructure based upon electrification and, in some cases, some use of hydrogen) that is assumed to dominate the economy in the future;
- The energy sources—or technologies—assumed to be included in or excluded from the energy and technology mix along any particular pathway.

Furthermore, all of the GEA pathways share certain other common defining features as well, the most significant of which is significant mitigation of GHG emissions into the future. In each of the 41 GEA pathways that IIASA has assessed, IIASA finds that this reduction of emissions is significant enough to make a regionally appropriate contribution to a credible global defence of the 450 parts per million (ppm) atmospheric concentration limit and the 2 °C guardrail by 2050 (Riahi et al., 2011).

This study uses the GEA-Mix Conventional Transportation pathway as the most intermediate of the three pathway groups mentioned above, one which emphasizes a more diverse energy supply mix to enhance the system's resilience against innovation failures or technology shocks. However, this does not imply that the GEA-Mix pathway is intermediate between the other two pathways in terms of other scenario characteristics (e.g., the required policy portfolio, costs, fuel choices, or the deployment of individual technologies) (Riahi et al., 2012). Conventional transportation refers to the continuation of a predominantly liquid-based transportation system, while fundamental changes in infrastructures (in the case of a high degree of penetration of electric vehicles) are discussed in detail in Chapter 3.

If the GEA pathways are presented as only energy intervention scenarios, they would bring LAC to anywhere between 3.4 tpc and 4.3 tpc by 2050, and would therefore need to be supplemented with significantly more intensive AFOLU policy measures in order to follow the aggressive mix-I+ (plus) (combined) intervention pathway to the LAC goal of 2 tpc annually by 2050. In other words, the energy interventions bring LAC's per capita emissions from 9.3 under the BAU trajectory down to below 4 tpc; another 2 tpc must be reduced through AFOLU interventions in order to achieve the 2 tpc goal by 2050.

The second scenario included in this study is the GEA-BAU (MESSAGE) (or business-as-usual scenario), which is a hypothetical no-policy baseline describing the evolution of the energy system in the absence of any transformational policies for the demand- or supply-side of the energy system (Riahi et al., 2012). MESSAGE (Model for Energy Supply Strategy Alternatives and their General Environmental Impact) is a systems engineering optimization model used for medium- to long-term

[40] See http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/ene/geadb/dsd?Action=htmlpage&page=about

[41] For a complete and in-depth description of the GEA pathways see (Riahi et al., 2012).

energy system planning, energy policy analysis and scenario development (Messner & Struebegger, 1995; Riahi et al., 2007). The scenario represents all energy system interdependencies, from resource extraction, imports and exports, conversion, transport and distribution, to the provision of energy end-use services such as light, space heating and cooling, industrial production processes and transportation. MESSAGE covers all GHG-emitting sectors, including agriculture, forestry, energy and industrial sources, and the major GHGs and other gases: CO_2, methane (NH_4), nitrous oxide (N_2O), nitrogen oxides (NO_2), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide, black carbon and organic carbon, tetrafluoromethane, hexafluoroethane, various hydrofluorocarbons (HFC125, HFC134a, HFC143a, HFC227ea, HFC245ca) and sulphur hexafluoride. The MESSAGE BAU assumes a transit of many sectors to hydrocarbons but is unable to successfully address energy access and security issues (Riahi et al., 2012). The GEA pathways are generated based on the BAUs combined with a set of harmonized assumptions.

ANNEX II

HYDROPOWER PLANTS IN LATIN AMERICA

Latin America has a substantial endowment of hydrological resources. It already accounts for over 20% of the world's hydropower and has the largest share of hydroelectricity for generation in the world. Excluding China, Latin American hydropower has exhibited the fastest growth in the world over the last thirty years (Rubio Varas & Tafunell, 2014).

The prospect for the further development of this potential is, however, somewhat tempered by environmental and social concerns. Still, the installed capacity, in particular its large multi-annual reservoirs, constitute a significant asset for energy storage. This asset can play a major role in the modulation of supply in conjunction with the development of intermittent capacity generation. A list of large hydropower units in the region is included in Table 0.1.

Table 0.1 – List of hydropower plants in LAC with a capacity >1000MW/1GW.

Name	Country	Capacity [MW]
Itaipu	BRA/PAR	14000
Guri	VEN	10200
Ilha Solteira	BRA	3444
Xingo	BRA/PAR	3162
Yacireta	R6/PAR	3100
Paulo Alfonso	BRA/PAR	2400
Caruachi	VEN	2160
Itumbiara	BRA	2080
Aqua Vermelha	BRA	1400
Alberto Heros	COL	1150
Alicurá	ARG	1000
Bento Munhõz	BRA	1700
Chico	MEX	2430
Chivor	COL	1000
El Chocon	ARG	1200
Embarcacao	BRA	1200
Souza Diaz	BRA	1550
Furnas	BRA	1240
Itá	BRA	1450
Itumbiara	BRA	2100
Jirau	BRA	3750
Luiz Barreto	BRA	1100
Luiz Gonzaga	BRA	1140
Macagua II	VEN	1600
Malpaso	MEX	1080
Marimbondo	BRA	1480
Ney Braga	BRA	1280
Piedra del Aguila	ARG	1400
Porto Primavera	BRA	1540
Jose Richan	BRA	1240
Salto Grande	BRA	1890
Salto Osorio	BRA	1100
Salto Santiago	BRA	1420
Santo Antonio	BRA	3580
Sao Simao	BRA	1700
Serra da Mesa	BRA	1275
Sobradinho	BRA	1050
Tucurui	BRA	8370
	Total	**93961**
		94

ANNEX III

DESCRIPTION OF THE GACMO MODEL

GACMO is an excel spreadsheet model developed by Joergen Fenhann, UNEP DTU Partnership (e-mail jqfe@dtu.dk; mobile: +45 4020 2789), which is publicly available at http://www.cdmpipeline.org/. This publicly available version was modified for this report with a scope on the LAC region.

GACMO can be applied on various geographical scales and is frequently used to develop a low-carbon development strategy or an INDC.

Analysis using GACMO consists of the following steps:

1. Data collection to establish an energy balance & GHG inventory, as well as a socioeconomic profile of the scope country/region in the start year (2012).

2. Projection of the data collected for the target years, in this case 2025, 2050, and 2075.

3. Analysing all GHG mitigation options available in the model concerning emissions reductions, investments, annual costs and more.

4. The results of the analysis for all GHG mitigation options are available in the summary sheets of the model for the target years inserted in step 2. Here the total impact of the actions is added together in order to find the total GHG emissions reduction, the investment and the total annual cost. These results can be used to identify the most suitable options regarding costs and benefits and also to understand implementation priorities.

In this report, GACMO was utilized to calculate the levelized costs in order to compare the relative competitiveness for power generation using renewables, project learning curves for power generation from renewables in LAC, and explore learning curves for electric transport vis-à-vis business as usual options.

ANNEX IV

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THE ESTIMATE OF TRANSPORT COSTS

The estimates for the per km transport costs of electric vehicles make the following assumptions, based on industry sources as described in the main text. However, the future projections adopted here are more conservative than what some analysts (Seba, 2014, for example) have indicated, as are the projected costs of battery storage for a range of about 200 miles. The base price is based on current quotations.

For electric cars the figures used are (total investment includes the cost of electricity storage):

(2012) Investment in vehicle: US$25,000; Total investment: US$39,000
(2025) Investment in vehicle: US$14,000; Total investment: US$20,000
(2050) Investment in vehicle: US$12,500; Total investment: US$16,500
(2075) Investment in vehicle: US$6,250; Total investment: US$9,250

The projections used for other vehicles are included in the table below.

PROJECTED COST OF POWER FOR PURPOSES OF ESTIMATING ELECTRIC VEHICLE OPERATION COSTS.

In addition to the cost of vehicles (including the cost of battery storage), the analysis uses a projected reduction in the cost of power as future supply shifts largely toward renewables. The projected LCOE used for purposes of estimating future operating costs of electric vehicles is:

AVOIDED COST OF AIR POLLUTION

The avoided cost of air pollution has been estimated based on the analysis by OECD, 2014, and by Moore and Newey, 2012, for the case of Mexico.

Mexico
Cost of total air pollution: US$39 billion -> 50% transport

Cost of transport air pollution: US$19.5 billion

"So far as concerns their contribution to air pollution as distinct from their contribution to climate change,

Table 0.2 – Projected vehicle costs.

	2012	2025	2050	2075
Electric cars	25,000	30%	50%	75%
Electric trucks	97,500	30%	50%	75%
12m bus	295,000	10%	25%	40%
18m bus	600,000	10%	25%	40%
Heavy trucks	235,000	10%	25%	40%

Source:

Table 0.3 – Projected electricity costs for purposes of estimating electric vehicle operation costs.

Electricity	Now-2025	2050	2075
US$/kWh	0.10	0.06	0.05

Source:

diesel vehicles are the more harmful by far. For example, of the exhaust emissions from all vehicles in London in 2009, 91% of $PM_{2,5}$ and 95% of NO_2 were attributable to diesel vehicles" (Moore & Newey, 2012).

Diesel accounting for 90%: US$17.55 billion
Gasoline accounting for 10%: US$1.95 billion

Diesel: 540 PJ => 17.55/540 = **US$0.0325 billion/PJ**
Gasoline: 1490 PJ => 1.95/1490 = **US$0.001309 billion/PJ**
OR
Diesel: 43,48 $MtCO_2$ => 17.55/43,48 = **US$0.40363 billion/$MtCO_2$**

Gasoline: 103,44 $MtCO_2$ => 1.95/103.44= **US$0.018851 billion/$MtCO_2$**

Table 0.4 – Estimated of avoided costs of air pollution through the operation of electric vehicles displacing gasoline and diesel vehicles.

Fuel	Cost [US$/km] without credit	Credit	Cost [US$/km]
Gasoline	0.23	0	0.23
Electricity	0.39	0.004	0.336
Diesel	0.7	0	0.7
Electricity	1.57	0.27	1.3
Diesel	0.6	0	0.6
Electricity	1.16	0.27	0.89
Diesel	0.78	0	0.78
Electricity	1.94	0.41	1.53

Assumptions used in the analysis of energy costs

Assumptions and country settings	
Country	Latin America
Start year (latest inventory)	2012
Currency	US$
Exchange rate used	1 US$ = 1 US$
Discount rate	7.0%

Energy prices used for the whole period	
Crude oil	60 US$/bbl
Crude oil	0.38 US$/litre
LNG	10 US$/MBTU
Natural gas	6.63 US$/$Nm^3$
Coal	70 US$/ton

1 Million BTU = 1.055 GJ
1 US gallon = 3.7854 litres
1 bbl = 159 litres

Fuel prices

2020 prices	LPG	Gasoline	Bio-ethanol	Jet Fuel	Diesel Oil	Bio-diesel	Heavy Fuel Oil	Kerosene	Coal	Lignite	Natural Gas	LNG
Destillate price/crude oil price (litre/litre)	0.90	1.40		1.40	1.20		0.80	1.40				
US$/liter	0.34	0.53	0.83	0.53	0.45	1.20	0.30	0.53				
US$/GJ	13.3	15.7		14.8	12.4		7.7	14.8	2.8		9.5	9.5
t/m^3	0.54	0.75	0.76	0.80	0.84	0.88	0.98	0.80			(MJ/Nm^3) 39	(MJ/Nm^3) 39
GJ/t	47.3	44.8	26.80	44.6	43.33	36.01	40.19	44.8	24.96	18.3		

ANNEX V

Table 0.5 – Estimate of N_2O and CH_4 abatement emissions from agriculture through nutrient management.

Scheme	Size of effort (Mha by 2050)	Potential carbon storage rates (tC/ha-year)	Total carbon stored by 2050 (tCO_2e)	Comments
Replacement of chemical fertilizers by manure or other non-urea compounds	64	0.4	0.09	The current area using non-urea fertilizers in the region has been estimated at about 6.4 Mha (Garibay & Ugas, 2009). The avoided N_2O emissions when using non-nitrogenous (non-urea) fertilizers is based on the emissions of NO_2 per ha of cropland in LAC, estimated at 0.4 tCO_2e/ha/year (Moran et al., 2011). The authors use a projection of ten times the area under non-urea applications by 2050.
Controlled-release fertilizers (coated urea)	71	0.3	0.07	The current area under CRF is estimated at 1% of total cropland area or about 7.1 Mha. The authors use a projection of a ten times increase in area under CRF by 2050. The abatement rate is taken from Moran et al. (2011).
Elimination of tillage	21	0.15	0.01	Assumes that all agricultural land is under no tillage by 2050, up from 70% in 2010.[a] The abatement rate is taken from Moran et al. (2011).
Total			**0.17**	

[a] Carbon can accumulate in soils but after 20 years the level stabilizes. Any disturbance, e.g. ploughing will accelerate C release from soils.

ANNEX VI

INDUSTRY PATHWAY ANALYSIS

GOV.UK (2015) reports potential pathways for the eight most energy-intensive industrial sectors to reduce GHG emissions and improve energy efficiency. These reports were used to establish the emission reduction potential and related costs under the Max Tech pathway.[42] This reduction potential and costs were applied to relevant industrial sectors in LAC[43] to calculate the total reductions potential and total costs associated (Table 5.1).

The options deployed are laid down in the reports for each industrial subsector (GOV.UK, 2015). Carbon capture and storage is applied in some sectors (i.e. iron and steel, chemicals and oil refining) from 2040 onwards under the assumption that this has become economically and technically viable. CCS may not be a feasible option, as its commercial application is not yet assured, and it is also associated with high energy use. Therefore its deployment during the period under analysis is doubtful.

The reduction potential and costs established are calculated based on data from UK industry, which can be considered more advanced than LAC industry. The improvement potential in LAC industry might be significantly higher, while the costs might be lower (Table 5.2).

[42] The Max Tech pathway deploys a range of technologies generally at least at a pilot stage of development, subject to reasonable constraints. It is designed to investigate what might be technically possible when other barriers are set to one side (GOV.UK, 2015).

[43] This was restrained by emission data availability for the sectors.

ANNEX VII

The table below shows the two IIASA scenarios used as reference points and the calculated zero carbon pathway. It is remarkable that the projections for most sectors (i.e. power, industry and transportation) coincide. Land use change projections make the most difference.

Table 0.6 – Comparison of BAU, GEA MIX and zero carbon pathway.

Category	2012 ($MtCO_2e$)	2050 GEA-BAU ($GtCO_2e$)	2050 Decarbonisation pathway ($GtCO_2e$)	GEA MIX 2050 ($GtCO_2e$)
Total	**4,623**	**5.3**	**-0.1**	**2**
Power	544	1.1	0	0.03
Industry	494	0.5	0.4	0.4
Transportation	665	1.4	0.2	0.2
Land use & agriculture	2,574	1.9	-1.1	1.4
Other Sectors	346	0.4	0.4	n.a.

"The authors are to be congratulated on a remarkable achievement. The zero carbon strategy is comprehensive, imaginative and credible."

JOHN C. TOPPING, JR., PRESIDENT AND CEO OF THE CLIMATE INSTITUTE

"This study provides a vision on how to go about deep decarbonisation in the region. While the task is immense and many barriers are faced, the authors have managed to identify the key actions, associated costs and benefits that would make this possible."

LUIS M. GALINDO, CEPAL

"This is a pioneering analysis that lays down a visionary strategy for achieving zero carbon emissions in Latin America and the Caribbean".

LESTER R. BROWN, FOUNDER WORLDWATCH INSTITUTE, FOUNDER AND PRESIDENT OF THE EARTH POLICY INSTITUTE

"This study reviews, for the first time, concrete actions that could drive the carbon footprint of the regional economy toward zero. It constitutes an important piece for what should be a regional and national dialogue on this issue".

ADRIAN FERNANDEZ, CEO LATIN AMERICA REGIONAL CLIMATE INITIATIVE, FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE NATIONAL ECOLOGY INSTITUTE OF MEXICO

ISBN 978-87-93130-68-5