

### Bioelectricity production from agricultural residues: An analysis of Thailand and Kenya

Ackom, Emmanuel

Publication date: 2015

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

*Citation (APA):* Ackom, E. (Author). (2015). Bioelectricity production from agricultural residues: An analysis of Thailand and Kenya. Sound/Visual production (digital), UNEP DTU Partnership.

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





2818284

# Bioelectricity production from agricultural residues: An analysis of Thailand and Kenya

Emmanuel Kofi Ackom, PhD

Senior Scientist & GNESD Manager UNEP DTU Partnership  $f(x+\Delta x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta x)^{i}}{i!}$ 

2nd Annual International Conference on Poverty and Sustainable Development Colombo, Sri Lanka, 15-16<sup>th</sup> December 2015





### Outline



UN City, Copenhagen

- Policy considerations & activities in Bioenergy for Thailand and Kenya
- Resource estimation
- Bioelectricity potential for decentralized applications
- Recommendations
- Conclusions





## Sustainability considerations

- 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation bioenergy, advanced biofuels etc
- Bioenergy sustainability debate
  - Net carbon/energy balances
  - Land use change
  - Food vs. fuel
  - Water footprint
  - Biodiversity
  - Labour issues
  - etc
- Use of residues (including agriculture) often reported as preferred option







### **Bioenergy – which technological option?**



(Adapted from Ceres Ventures 2007

by IEA Task 39)





### Thailand – Bioenergy Policy considerations

Country	Proposed case studies	Production data	Main specific characteristics		
		Residue available for energy (Mt, in 2005): 64.80	Biomass is now playing a greater role as fuel in power generation and as an energy source for bio-liquid fuel production for vehicles.		
Thailand	a) Bioethanol: sugarcane and others	As of March 2010: 19 ethanol plants capacity of 2.93 million liters per day	The key factors of the successful promotion of bio-energy programs economy are:		
	b) Biodiesel – oil palm	As of March 2010: 14 biodiesel production plants total capacity of (B100) 5.9 million liters/ day	<ol> <li>Priority of renewable energy in the national energy policy.</li> <li>Authorized government institutions for</li> </ol>		
	c) Biogas – rural areas	In 2008, Total production capacity was 300 million m <sup>3</sup> biogas per year The installed capacity of biogas for electricity generation is about 10.6 MW	promoting bioenergy. 3. Implementing renewable energy policy and actions.		
	d) Biomass power – bagasse, biogas, residues	Potential of power generation in Thailand from biomass, municipal solid wastes (MSW) and biogas is 3,700 MW by 2011	<ul> <li>4. Continuous and strong support from the government and other financing schemes.</li> <li>5. Alternative Energy Development Plan (AEDP)</li> </ul>		

(Suani Coelho, GNESD Technical Synthesis, Bioenegy Theme, 2010)

### GOBAL NETWORK ON ENERGY FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Facilitated by UNEP



### Kenya – Bioenergy Policy considerations

Country	Proposed case studies	Production data	Main specific characteristics
	a) Biomass cogeneration – bagasse (molasses)	Over the past 10 years, bagasse production in the country has increased by nearly by 30%. In 2008, the sugar factories crushed over 5 million tonnes of sugarcane thereby producing just above 2 million tonnes of bagasse.	Relatively well endowed with biomass resources. In summary there are three main potential sources of modern bioenergy, namely:
Kenya	b) Electricity from sugarcane factories	Sugar factories in Kenya could generate nearly 80 MW of electricity.	<ol> <li>Use of natural occurring biomass</li> <li>Conversion of biomass waste</li> <li>Commercial grown crops</li> </ol>
	c) Biogas - Landfill gas	Number of installed biogas digesters is about 500.	In 1998, close to 25% of the country's electricity was generated from the sugar industry, largely using bagasse, a by-product of the sugar industry.
	d) Bioethanol – molasses and sugarcane	It is estimated that about 41 million litres of ethanol could be produced annually based on the existing production of molasses from the sugar production process.	By 2001, electricity generation from sugar estates stood at 40% (half of it from bagasse) of the total electricity supply in country.

(Suani Coelho, GNESD Technical Synthesis, Bioenegy Theme, 2010)





### **Background – Research Framework** Energy from agricultural crops Bioenergy crops/plantations Agricultural crop residues **Ecological mapping** e.g. Brazil, Senegal and Kenya Resource estimation Plus sustainability considerations case examples

References:

1. GNESD. 2013. Biofuels Sustainability Country Reports.

2. Kumar S, Salam PA, Shrestha P, Ackom EK. An Assessment of Thailand's Biofuel Development. Sustainability. 2013; 5(4):1577-1597.

- This study part of 5 country
- Need to supplement with edaphic-climatic studies
- **Bioelectricity potential**
- Decentralized systems



Facilitated by UNEP



#### Benefits of mini-grids in remote communities .....



photo credit: Ivan Nygaard, URC

#### Making the case for decentralized systems based on local resources – biomass & other RE

Remoteness

• Localization of the bioresource

• Price volatilities in crude oil

• Cheaper, however storage, logistics etc

• Lack of Infrastructure

• Not so much of a problem







### Thailand – Estimated bioelectricity potential

Source	Food (tonnes/ year)	(RPR)	Residue (dry tonnes/	Residue. 20% (dry tonnes/	Bioelectricity potential TWh (GJ x 0.28 x efficiency x 10E-6)	
			year)	year)	Low	High
Maize	4.45E+06	1.5	5.68E+06	1.14E+06	0.7	2.0
Rice	3.16E+07	1.5	4.03E+07	8.06E+06	5.3	14.0
Sorghum	5.40E+04	2.6	1.20E+05	2.41E+04	0.02	0.05
Sugarcane	6.88E+07	0.3	5.16E+06	1.03E+06	0.6	1.6
Coconut	1.30E+06	0.6	7.01E+05	1.40E+05	0.06	0.2
Coffee	4.90E+04	2.1	8.74E+04	1.75E+04	0.01	0.03
Total				1.04E+07	6.67	17.8

Part of this work focused (with a focus on biofuel) was published recently in:

Kumar S, Salam PA, Shrestha P, Ackom EK. An Assessment of Thailand's Biofuel Development. *Sustainability*. 2013; 5(4):1577-1597.





#### Kenya – Estimated bioelectricity potential

Source	Food (tonnes/ year)	(RPR)	Residue (dry tonnes/	Residue. 20% (dry tonnes/	Bioelectricity potential TWh (GJ x 0.28 x efficiency x 10E-	
			year)	year)	Low	High
Maize	3.22E+06	1.5	4.11E+06	8.22E+05	0.6	1.6
Millet	5.39E+04	3.0	1.37E+05	2.75E+05	0.02	0.05
Rice	8.00E+04	1.5	1.02E+05	2.04E+04	0.01	0.04
Sorghum	1.64E+05	2.62	3.65E+05	7.31E+04	0.05	0.14
Wheat	5.12E+05	1.2	5.22E+05	1.04E+05	0.07	0.18
Barley	6.42E+04	1.7	9.28E+04	1.86E+04	0.02	0.04
Sugar cane bagasse	5.71E+06	0.3	4.28E+05	8.56E+04	0.05	0.13
Total				1.16E+06	0.8	2.15





#### Bioelectrification potential in relation to national electricity demand per year (2012): Thailand & Kenya







## Recommendation - bioelectricity potential in the studied countries

- Bioelectricity potential ranges from Thailand (11%) to Kenya (33%) in national electricity consumption amounts.
- Investigations on the edapho-climatic factors regarding the agricultural residues resource assessment that could be taken out.
- Sustainably derived agricultural residues show good potential to make significant contributions to electrification via decentralized systems.
- Benefits are higher in some countries. Admittedly, the potential from agricultural residues varies from country to country.





### Conclusions

✤Bioelectrification from agricultural residues presents an opportunity in the food-energy nexus and help address issues pertaining to food (in)security and modern energy provision especially to rural communities in Asia and Africa.

Bioelectrification from residues hold good potential for both Thailand and Kenya, however it seems to have greater potential impact in Kenya compared to Thailand.

Wider uptake in bioelectrification especially in remote communities (possibly in hybrid system) should be studied further



Facilitated by UNEP



### Thank you

Global Network on Energy for Sustainable Development (GNESD) UNEP DTU Partnership / Technical University Denmark Dr. Emmanuel Kofi Ackom Email: <u>emac@dtu.dk</u>; <u>emmackom@gmail.com</u>