

Integrating LCA and Risk Assessment for Decision Support

Dong, Yan; Miraglia, Simona; Manzo, Stefano; Hauschild, Michael Zwicky

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA): Dong, Y., Miraglia, S., Manzo, S., & Hauschild, M. Z. (2016). *Integrating LCA and Risk Assessment for Decision Support.* Poster session presented at SETAC Europe 26th Annual Meeting, Nantes, France.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Technical University of Denmark



GDSI **Global Decision Support Initiative**



Yan Dong (yado@dtu.dk), Simona Miraglia, Stefano Manzo, Michael Hauschild **Global Decision Support Initiative (GDSI) Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark**

Background

SAFETY

BEST SOLUTION?

- The most sustainable solution may not be the safest one.
- Which solution should

Application of the methodology

Urban Transportation System

- The purpose of the case study is to minimize risks in the Danish road system while maintaining low environmental impacts.
- We choose car accidents as the example of events that affect



decision-makers choose?

Picture is adapted from: http://www.chelseamassage.com.au/june

Aim

 The study aims at developing a methodology using decision analysis theory and tools to find the optimal policy (or design) of the studied system, to ensure both sustainability and meanwhile manage risks.

Method

Define study system, its function and minimum performance

Identify hotspots by screening tools (E.g. hotspot Life Cycle Assessment when environmental impact is the target concern; Hazld for hazard identification)

Decision Analysis

Identify decision alternatives

- the function of the system.
- Screening by database and models, there are some certain locations where significantly more car accidents happen. These locations are identified as hotspots.
- The system performance changes and the effects on traffic can be simulated through the Danish National Transport Model (NTM).
- Propagation of the risk of accidents throughout the road network adjacent to the accident location is not included (only congestion is considered as follow-up event).

Figure 2 shows the cause-effect interaction among events, alternatives and consequences.

- Car accident and potential road block (cascading event) can be assessed by Probabilistic Risk Assessment.
- Environmental impacts can be assessed by Life Cycle Assessment.
- Economical benefits/losses can be assessed by Cost Benefit Analysis
- The consequences can be harmonized in a common metrics.
- Cost-benefit analysis coupled with Decision Analysis Optimization is used to rank the alternatives.



Environmental impacts associated the life cycle Of replaced/repaired part of the car People/goods travel cost Environmental impacts associated with the marginal fuel consumption caused by transportation behavior Economic loss for re-design and reconstruction; Economic loss by blocking the road for XX hours Environmental impacts associated with the life cycle of the re-built or newly built infrastructure Reduction/change of People/goods travel time

Increase of capacity

Increase of system performance

(LCA) and Risk Assessment.

Conclusions

- This framework is flexible. It can be applied to facilitate decision making in different application areas on different scales.
- It allows the use of different metrics for consequence harmonization according to stakeholders preference, qualitatively or quantitatively (e.g. Multi-Criteria Analysis, monetarized metrics)
- provides decision makers with both sustainability and risks • It information related to their alternatives.

Personnel safety

Alternatives Events Consequences

new road



Figure 2. Application example of the methodology on urban transportation system design. Pink boxes are economic loss. Green boxes are environmental impacts. Blue boxes are economical benefits. Solid lines with arrow indicate "cause-effect" relationships. Dotted arrows point from the events to potential impacts.

We acknowledge Michael Faber (DTU Management Engineering) for his contribution to the framework and comments to the poster



WE SEARCH FOR COLLABORATIONS