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Abstract—3-D blood flow quantification with high spatial and
temporal resolution would strongly benefit clinical research on
cardiovascular pathologies. Ultrasonic velocity techniques are
known for their ability to measure blood flow with high pre-
cision at high spatial and temporal resolution. However, current
volumetric ultrasonic flow methods are limited to one velocity
component or restricted to a reduced field of view (FOV), e.g.
fixed imaging planes, in exchange for higher temporal resolutions.
To solve these problems, a previously proposed accurate 2-D high
frame rate vector flow imaging (VFI) technique is extended to
estimate the 3-D velocity components inside a volume at high
temporal resolutions (< 1 ms). The full 3-D vector velocities
are obtained from beamformed volumetric data using synthetic
aperture (SA) techniques combined with a 2-D matrix array.
The method is validated using Field II simulations of flow
along a straight vessel phantom and with complex flow from
a 3-D computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model of a carotid
bifurcation. Results from the simulations show that the 3-D
velocity components are estimated with a mean relative bias of
-12.8%, -10% and 1.42% for the V_x, V_y and V_z respectively; each
presented a mean relative standard deviation of 11.8%, 12.3%
and 1.11%.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantifying blood flow is complex as it moves in all three
spatial dimensions and furthermore varies as a function of time.
However, such quantification is beneficial for the diagnosis
of cardiovascular diseases. Therefore, research for methods
that quantifies the full 3-D blood flow is an on-going effort in
several medical imaging modalities.

In ultrasound, recent developments of novel imaging tech-
niques, such as synthetic aperture [1] and plane wave [2]
imaging, have allowed for the quantification of 2-D blood
flow in an entire image plane and with complex flow from
a 3-D computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model of a carotid
bifurcation. The velocity estimation is first briefly introduced in Section
II, where the extension of the method from 2-D to 3-D is
discussed. The method implementation details are then given
in Section III. Results from the Field II simulations in both the
straight vessel phantom and the computational fluid dynamics
(CFD) model of a carotid bifurcation are found in Section IV.

II. THEORY

The 3-D vector velocity estimation is based on a previously
published 2-D numerical triangulation algorithm [10]. The
proposed method follows the same principles as its 2-D
counterpart, where a triangulation procedure is applied to a
grid of estimated directional velocities.

First, directional velocity estimates are obtained by a time
domain cross-correlation of directional beamformed signals
along two consecutive acquisitions, as described by Jensen [13],
[14]. The directional velocities are estimated in a spherical
grid along a set of beamformed angles (θ_line, φ_line) centered
at a point r_p to generate velocity curves V(θ_line, φ_line). The
beamformed angles are distributed to cover the full 360° range
in both elevation and azimuth.

The numerical triangulation is then performed by finding
the intersection point between the estimated directional veloc-
ity curves from distinct transmit beams k. The intersection
point between the estimated velocity curves is found using
a minimum distance criterion (MDC) approach. Here, the
angle estimation relies on estimating the difference between
normalized velocities from distinct directional velocity curves.
The use of a normalization factor is required to avoid that low
velocity estimates are regarded as presenting smaller absolute
differences.
The selected angles ($\theta_{\text{MDC}}$ and $\phi_{\text{MDC}}$) are the ones presenting the minimum difference and are estimated using:

$$\text{Arg} \min_{(\theta_{\text{line}}, \phi_{\text{line}})} \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M} \left| \frac{V_k(\theta_{\text{line}}, \phi_{\text{line}}) - V_l(\theta_{\text{line}}, \phi_{\text{line}})}{\min(V_k(\theta_{\text{line}}, \phi_{\text{line}}), V_l(\theta_{\text{line}}, \phi_{\text{line}}))} \right|,$$

(1)

where $M$ is the number of transmit beams or emissions.

After the angles have been obtained, the velocity magnitude is estimated by performing directional beamforming along the estimated angles. The final velocity magnitude is obtained from the summation of beamformed lines from distinct transmit beams instead of the individual ones, effectively synthesizing the aperture [11].

### III. METHODS

#### A. Simulation setup

A number of simulations were performed using Field II Pro [15]–[17], in which tissue and blood are modeled as a collection of random point scatterers. Approximately 10 point scatterers per resolution cell were sufficient to ensure a Gaussian distributed RF signal. The size of the resolution cell was calculated based on the receive F-number, transmit frequency, and pulse length. In each emission the scatterer position is updated. Two different types of phantoms are simulated; a straight vessel phantom with a parabolic flow, and a 3-D complex flow phantom from a carotid bifurcation CFD model [18].

![Fig. 1. Estimated planes for the simulated straight vessel (a) and the simulated CFD carotid bifurcation model (b).](image)

1) **Straight Vessel**: Parabolic flow through a rigid vessel is simulated at flow angles of $\theta = 90^\circ$ and $\phi = 45^\circ$. The vessel has a radius of 6 mm, centered at 15 mm depth, and with a non-moving vessel wall. The peak velocity in the vessel is 0.5 m/s. Sixteen pulse emissions are used for calculating a velocity estimate, and fifty consecutive velocity estimations are performed for the statistics. The 3-D velocity components are estimated along the cross-sectional plane of the tube, as shown in Fig. 1. The estimated velocities are compared to the expected profile.

2) **Carotid bifurcation**: The carotid bifurcation model made publicly available by Swillens et al. is used in this investigation [18]. The model is a reconstruction from a CT-scan of a healthy volunteer, where an artificially eccentric plaque was added in the interna. The flow provided with the model was estimated using the CFD-package Fluent (ANSYS, Pennsylvania, USA) with an imposed inlet velocity profile obtained from an ultrasound velocity measurement of the same volunteer. Rigid walls are assumed in the CFD model, and no vessel wall or tissue movement was present. The cardiac cycle (length 1 s) was divided into 200 equally spaced time steps in the CFD simulation.

The CFD velocity field information is coupled to positions of the point scatterer distribution used by Field II using a CFD-US simulation framework available at the authors' website (BioMMeda.ugent.be). The framework matches the large disparity in time scales between the CFD modeling (5 ms) and ultrasound simulations (0.075 ms) using an inter-frame linear interpolation. Additionally, a linear 3-D spatial interpolation from the CFD grid is made for each scatterer.

The 3-D velocity components are estimated along a longitudinal and a cross-sectional plane of the carotid bifurcation. The longitudinal plane is aligned to the center of the internal carotid, while the cross-sectional is taken at the output of the bifurcation, as shown in Fig. 1. Forty consecutive velocity estimates are calculated and the median is found.

#### B. Imaging setup

A 2-D 32x32 matrix array is simulated and RF data from 1024 channels are generated. A 5 emissions synthetic aperture flow sequence is used, with each emission consisting of an emulated spherical wave emanating from a virtual point source located behind the aperture. The virtual sources are angulated by $\pm 12^\circ$ with respect to the $z$-axis against the other two axis, with the fifth emission coinciding with the $z$-axis. The transmitted wavefront is, thus, directed towards a volume of interest (VOI), which is insonified in every emission (Fig. 2). The complete transducer and imaging parameters are listed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>2-D Matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitation</td>
<td>3 period sine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of elements</td>
<td>32x32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch (both)</td>
<td>0.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerf (both)</td>
<td>0.022 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_0$</td>
<td>3 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRF</td>
<td>13.5 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-number</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table I:** Transducer and Imaging Parameters

![Table](image)
Fig. 2. Overlay of the insonified area from each emission, along the XZ and YZ plane (left), and the full volume of interest shown in a darker shade (right).

IV. RESULTS

1) Straight Vessel: The estimated 3-D velocities across a cross-sectional plane of the straight vessel phantom are shown in Fig. 3. The mean velocity magnitude is shown on top as a surface plot with casted shadows, both resembling the simulated parabolic profile. The mean velocity and standard deviations (SD) of estimated velocity components at the center line are shown below with the expected profile in red. The relative bias with respect to the true parabolic profile are -12.8%, -10% and 1.42% for $V_x$, $V_y$ and $V_z$ respectively; each presented a mean relative standard deviation of 11.8%, 12.3% and 1.11%.

2) Carotid bifurcation: Vector flow images (VFI) of the longitudinal and the cross-sectional planes are shown in Fig. 4 (a) and (b), respectively. Only the in-plane velocity components are considered in these plots. The reference velocities from the CFD model are shown on top, and the estimated velocity fields from ultrasound in the bottom. In all cases the reference CFD velocities were interpolated to the exact same locations as the ones estimated with ultrasound matching the same time instances for the involved frame.

In general, the estimated VFI frames in Fig. 4 (a) and (b) show good agreement with the reference CFD velocities. On the longitudinal plane it is visible that a vortex proximal to the internal carotid, seen as the top vessel, is well detected. However, the small vortex proximal to the external carotid is almost undistinguishable compared to the CFD reference. An underestimation of the velocity magnitude is also present in both branches. The underestimation is larger inside the interna, where biases up to -60% are present. The cause of such underestimation is unknown and should be further investigated.

On the cross-sectional plane, good agreement is also observed within the reference frame and the estimated frame. However, small vortex features are not present on the estimated velocities probably due to the limited ultrasound system resolution which in this case is of around 0.5 mm axially.

In Fig. 4 (c), the full 3-D vector velocity components of the same cross-sectional plane as (b) are shown. The velocity vectors are represented as arrows originating from the scan plane. The magnitude of velocity is encoded in the color on the arrow. The velocity underestimation is clearly visible in this figure.

V. CONCLUSION

The presented results showed that 3-D vector velocities at a very high frame rate can be obtained in an entire volume. The simulations demonstrated that the angles can be estimated with sufficient accuracy in both simple and complex flow conditions. However, the computational cost of the method is high and further improvements need to be investigated. Additionally, validation of the method in experiments is needed before any clinical use. Nevertheless, the availability of complete 3-D components at high volumes rates offer a unique possibility to study complex flow patterns in 3-D. Furthermore, additional pathology indicators, such as pressure gradients, could be derived from the 3-D vector flow.
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Fig. 4. Vector flow images (VFI) of the longitudinal and the cross-sectional planes, (a) and (b). A full 3-D vector representation of the cross-sectional plane is shown in (c). Reference velocities from the CFD are shown on top and estimated velocities are in the bottom. In the VFI frames the velocity magnitude and direction is represented according the the colormap in the left corner. In the full 3-D vector representation, the velocity magnitude is color encoded according to the colorbar.