Strategies for improving the solubility and metabolic stability of griseofulvin analogues

Petersen, Asger Bjørn; Konotop, G.; Hanafiah, N. H. M.; Hammershøj, Peter; Raab, M. S.; Kraemer, A.; Clausen, Mads Hartvig

Published in:
European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Link to article, DOI:
10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.03.071

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):
Strategies for Improving the Solubility and Metabolic Stability of Griseofulvin Analogues

A. B. Petersen, a G. Konotop, b N. H. M. Hanafiah, b P. Hammershøj, a M. S. Raab, b A. Krämer, c and M. H. Clausen a

b. Max-Eder Group Experimental Therapies for Hematologic Malignancies, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ).
c. Clinical Cooperation Unit Molecular Hematology/Oncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and Dept. of Internal Medicine V, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany.

Abstract: We report two types of modifications to the natural product griseofulvin as strategies to improve solubility and metabolic stability: the conversion of aryl methyl ethers into aryl difluoromethyl ethers at metabolic hotspots and the conversion of the C-ring ketone into polar oximes. The syntheses of the analogues are described together with their solubility, metabolic half-life in vitro and antiproliferative effect in two cancer cell lines. We conclude that on balance, the formation of polar oximes is the most promising strategy for improving the properties of the analogues.

Introduction

Griseofulvin 1 was one of the first antifungal natural products found and isolated in filamentous fungi. It has since its discovery in 1939 attracted a lot of attention due to its bioactivity. The first report of griseofulvin’s potential for the treatment of ringworm in man was published in 1958 and griseofulvin was launched as a human and veterinary drug for this indication under the trade names Fulcin and Grisovin. Since griseofulvin’s discovery more than 400 analogues have been prepared and reported in the literature. In 2001 it was demonstrated that griseofulvin could potentiate the antitumorigenic effect of the drug Nocodazole by inducing apoptosis in several cancer cell lines at concentrations down to 1 µM. Griseofulvin has been shown to interfere with tubulin polymerization and microtubule dynamics. In 2006, it was shown that structural modification of griseofulvin could improve cytotoxicity against cancer cells and in 2007 it was reported that spirobenzofuranones can inhibit centrosomal clustering – a strategy of many cancer cell lines harbouring supernumerary centrosomes to avoid lethal multipolar cell divisions. A subsequent anticancer SAR study of 34 compounds identified the 2’-benzyl derivative 2 (GF-15) as one of the most potent analogues. A more detailed study was performed on 2 including in vivo studies in murine xenograft models of colon cancer and multiple myeloma. Here we report the in vitro metabolic stability of 1 and 2 as well as the synthesis of two analogues with a group known to block metabolism at hotspots for CYP oxidation of griseofulvin: the 4 and the 6 positions (see Figure 1).
Improving Metabolic Stability of Griseofulvin Analo\[\text{\textendash}gues

Different major metabolites of 1 have been identified from published in vivo studies. The major metabolites in rat, mouse, dog and man were found to be 4-desmethyl griseofulvin (5) and 6-desmethyl griseofulvin.\textsuperscript{15\textendash}18 Both metabolites have previously been shown to have no (5) or low (6) antiproliferative activity against the cancer cell line MDA-MB-231.\textsuperscript{19} In order to increase the metabolic half-life of griseofulvin analogues such as 2, we wanted to block CYP oxidation at the two hotspots at position 4 and 6, respectively. The two aryl methyl ethers 3 and 4 were therefore chosen for studying the effect of metabolic blockers. We arrived at these specific modifications, because very few examples of CF\textsubscript{3} + trifluoromethylation of phenols via S\textsubscript{N}1 or S\textsubscript{N}2 mechanisms have been reported and the potential reactivity of monofluoromethyl derivatives towards strong nucleophiles led us to choose difluoromethyl ethers as metabolic blockers.\textsuperscript{20\textendash}23 Difluoromethylation of phenols have been reported in the literature using methyl chlorodifluoroacetate and a suitable base such as caesium or potassium carbonate.\textsuperscript{24,25}

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

For the syntheses of the 4- and 6-difluoro modified griseofulvins (3 and 4), our starting point was a strategy first described by Arkley et al. and Stephenson et al. (see Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{26,27} For the position 4 modified analogue, griseofulvin was demethylated with magnesium iodide resulting in 5 followed by difluoromethylation with methyl chlorodifluoroacetate and base yielding 6. Potassium carbonate performed best in this reaction and was therefore used throughout. Hydrolysis of 6 gave the griseofulvic acid derivative 7, which was chlorinated with phosphoryl chloride and lithium chloride to give 8 (with the 4\textsuperscript{\prime}chloro derivative as the major by-product, not shown). The 2\textsuperscript{\prime}-chloro derivative 8 was subjected to benzyl alcohol and DBU, which after 1,4-addition/elimination resulted in the desired 4-difluoro modified compound 3.\textsuperscript{19} A slightly different strategy was required for the 6-difluoro modified analogue since no efficient, scalable method for direct position 6 demethylation has been reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{28} We slightly modified the route reported by Arkley et al. and hydrolysed griseofulvin to griseofulvic acid (9), which was demethylated with dilute sodium hydroxide yielding 10 and converted into the isogriseofulvin derivative 11 by acid catalysed 4\textsuperscript{\prime}-methylation with methanol. The hereby protected 11 was difluoromethylated with methyl chlorodifluoroacetate and base resulting in 12, which in a sequence similar to the 4-difluoro derivative 6 was hydrolysed, 2\textsuperscript{\prime}chlorinated and 2\textsuperscript{\prime}-benzylated to give the desired difluoro analogue 4.
Scheme 1 Synthetic route for preparation of 4-difluoro (3) and 6-difluoro (4) modified 2'-benzyl griseofulvin analogues from griseofulvin (1). (a) MgI₂, Et₂O, PhH, reflux; (b) K₂CO₃, ClF₂CCO₂Me, DMF, 70 °C; (c) H₂SO₄ (2 M), AcOH, 80 °C; (d) LiCl, POCl₃, 1,4-dioxane, 85 °C; (e) BnOH, DBU, 1,4-dioxane, 60 °C; (f) H₂SO₄ (1 M), AcOH, 100 °C; (g) NaOH (0.7 M), reflux; (h) CSA (cat.), MeOH, reflux; (i) K₂CO₃, ClF₂CCO₂Me, DMF, 75 °C.

Two assays were used in order to investigate the compounds: 1) IC₅₀ against two established cancer cell lines and 2) microsomal stabilities against male mouse and rat microsomes. In order to obtain more SAR information, compounds 15 and 16 were included in the investigation (Fig. 2). Compound 15 has been reported previously¹⁹ and shown to have similar cytotoxicity as compound 2. Analogue 16 is reported here.
for the first time and was designed to increase the solubility in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) further. Both $15$ and $16$ are more polar compounds than $2$, so they were expected to have lower oxidative metabolism.

![Fig. 2 Oxime analogues $15$ and $16$.](image)

**Thermodynamic Solubility**

The thermodynamic solubility of $1$-$4$, $15$ and $16$ was determined by HPLC: a reference absorption (peak area at 254 nm) linear plot was made from a dilution series of the compounds in acetonitrile. Saturated PBS solutions of the compounds were prepared by sonication and shaking and the HPLC chromatogram peak areas were used to calculate the respective solubility from the reference graphs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Solubility ($\mu$g/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$&lt;0.3^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$&lt;0.3^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$&gt;1000.0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Below the detection limit of the HPLC setup using the described assay.

Griseofulvin ($1$) is known to have low solubility (Table 1, entry 1) and compound $2$ is highly lipophilic and has lower solubility in PBS (entry 2). As expected, modification by introducing fluorine substitution only aggravates this property (entries 3 and 4). However, this can be improved either somewhat through the
formation of a simple oxime (entry 5) or quite dramatically by formation of the charged oxime 16 (entry 6), where the PBS solubility is higher than 1 mg/mL. We have previously shown that oximes of griseofulvin are hydrolysed only very slowly and as such oxime formation presents a possible solution to issues of low solubility, provided that the potency is not negated.¹⁹

Microsomal Stability

Male mouse and male rat microsomes were purchased from a major supplier and NADPH was used as a cofactor. The experiments for each compound were run in triplicates, each with a control where no cofactor was added. At the indicated times samples were taken and the amount of non-degraded compound was quantified by analytical HPLC (peak area). Half-life was calculated from linear plots (see supporting information) and t½ times are given in Table 2.

Table 2 Microsomal stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>t½ (Mouse)ᵇ</th>
<th>t½ (Rat)ᵇ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>60ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃDuplicate experiment.ᵇStability against male liver microsomes, t½ (min.)

To verify that no 2'-CH₃ cleavage was performed by the mouse and rat microsomes a control experiment was performed. Deuterated griseofulvin 18 was prepared as shown in Scheme 2 and treated with mouse and rat microsomes respectively, and only position 4/6 CH₃ cleavage was observed by LC-MS.

Scheme 2 Synthetic route for preparation of the deutorated compound 18. (a) I) CD₃OD, DBU, 1,4-dioxane, RT, II) MeOH, DBU, reflux.
As evident from Table 2, the introduction of metabolic blockers at position 4 or 6 had either a negative influence on half-life (3, entry 3) or no noticeable effect (4, entry 4). The simple oxime 15 had a microsomal profile similar to the parent analogue (entry 5), while the more hydrophilic derivative 16 displayed an approximately 10-fold increase in half-life for both species (entry 6).

**Anticancer potency**

The small molecule griseofulvin has a demonstrated *in vitro* activity against cancer cell lines with IC\textsubscript{50} values ranging from 35 µM in SCC114 cells to 75 µM in HeLa cells but only little effect on non-cancerous cell lines at these concentrations.\textsuperscript{9} Further chemical optimization of griseofulvin led to the isolation of homologues with much lower mean inhibitory concentrations for proliferation and survival towards cancer cells. One of the most potent analogues was compound 2, with more than 10-fold lower IC\textsubscript{50} values than griseofulvin in SCC114 cells. Additional *in vitro* studies revealed that 2 was 10 to 30-fold more selective towards malignant cells, including multiple myeloma, leukaemia and a variety of solid tumours, when compared to healthy cells.\textsuperscript{12} We asked how the modifications aimed at increasing either the stability towards CYPs metabolism (3 and 4) or solubility in PBS (15 and 16) would affect the antitumour activity of these analogues in comparison to griseofulvin (1) and compound 2. To this end, we determined the antiproliferative activity towards the human epithelial breast cancer cell line MDA-MB231 and the human osteosarcoma U2OS cell line. The IC\textsubscript{50} values (viable cells, luminescent assay for ATP, see supporting information) are reported in Table 3.

**Table 3** Cytotoxicity of griseofulvin and analogues towards two cancer cell lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MDA-MB231 (µM)</th>
<th>U2OS (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.33 ± 3.33</td>
<td>22.44 ± 1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.77 ± 1.30</td>
<td>14.32 ± 3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.34 ± 1.38</td>
<td>5.56 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.10 ± 2.59</td>
<td>14.13 ± 3.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The known compounds 2 and 15 are most potent against both cell lines and, as previously demonstrated, both are significantly more potent than the parent griseofulvin (1) (Table 3, entries 1, 2 and 6). Introduction of fluorine at the 4-position (entry 3) leads to a 10-fold reduction of cytotoxicity, suggesting a significant effect of the two fluorine atoms. For the 6-position, the reduction of potency is less dramatic (entry 4). We have previously shown that both demethylation and extension at positions 4 and 6 leads to reduced anti-cancer activity for griseofulvin analogues. The fact that even the modest structural changes described here affects potency, indicates a rather selective interaction between the target and the methoxy-substituted arene in griseofulvins. The difluoromethyl group is a known hydrogen bond donor and alcohol isostere, however, the HF$_2$C hydrogen bond is considered very weak (1.5 Kcal/mol). Nonetheless, for the 4-position, the neighbouring B-ring ketone could interact with fluorine in compound 3, which could change the conformation of the substituent. For analogue 4, it is harder to explain the decrease in potency, besides from invoking the slightly larger size of the difluoromethyl group when compared to the methyl substituent.

As previously observed, formation of the simple oxime (15, entry 5) does not change potency. However, when the size of the 4’ substituent increases, the cytotoxicity decreases (entry 6). This could be due to an unfavourable steric or charge interaction from the carboxymethyl group on the oxime. The highly increased polarity of 16 compared to 2 or 15 could also lead to decreased permeability and/or a lower affinity for the target.

**Discussion**

Metabolism of griseofulvin is known to go through position 4 and 6 demethylation. Our attempts to block metabolism at one site appears to have simply re-routed the main metabolic reaction to the non-blocked position. In order to increase metabolic half-life using this strategy, it would appear that one would need to modify both the 4- and the 6-position. However, given the lengthy synthetic routes involved and the decreased anti-cancer activity observed for 3 and 4 when compared to 2, this is not an attractive strategy. A more viable solution comes from rendering the compounds more polar, which both increases solubility (Table 1, entries 5 and 6) and half-life (Table 3, entry 6), with unchanged or only moderately decreased potency (Table 2, entries 5 and 6).

**Conclusions**

Griseofulvin analogues have interesting properties related to cancer biology and potential as small molecule therapeutics in oncology. However, the physico-chemical properties of these compounds are not germane to further drug development as they suffer from low aqueous solubility and modest microsomal stability. We have investigated two strategies aimed at ameliorating one or both of these problems: the introduction of metabolic blockers at two hotspots and the introduction of oximes to increase polarity. Results show that between these two approaches, increasing polarity has the greatest potential for providing candidates for further development. Future efforts should aim at improving potency while retaining good solubility and long half-lives.
Experimental

General

For reactions carried out under argon the glassware was dried before use, employing the following procedure: heating was applied with a heat gun while the glassware was evacuated (16 Torr), the evacuation was followed by argon flushing. Evacuation and flushing were applied several times under continuous heating, and finally the glassware was allowed to cool to room temperature under argon before use. All reagents were purchased from major suppliers and used without further purification, unless otherwise noted. All solvents were analytical grade and dried when necessary over 4 Å activated molecular sieves and water content was determined to be less than 20 ppm by Karl Fischer titration on a Metrohm 899 – Coulometer. Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) was carried out on commercially available pre-coated plates (Merck 60, F254). Dry Column Vacuum Chromatography (DCVC) was performed according to a published procedure. When concentrating on Celite in vacuo for DCVC purification, high vacuum was also used. ¹H, ¹³C, HSQC, and HMBC NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ascend 400 Fourier transform spectrometer using an internal deuterium lock. ¹⁹F NMR Spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 B Fourier transform spectrometer. Solvents were used as internal standards when assigning ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (δH: CHCl₃ 7.26 ppm, DMSO-d₆ 2.50; δC: CDCl₃ 77.16 ppm, DMSO-d₆ 39.52 ppm). For E/Z isomer mixtures, chemical shifts for the minor isomer are given in brackets. Purity by HPLC was performed on a Waters e2629 separation module fitted with a Waters 2998 photodiode array detector using a Waters Symmetry 3.5 µm C18 column (4.6 x 75 mm) employing a Waters 190 binary solvent manager. The mobile phases were solvent A: water / acetonitrile with 2.5 % increment / 20 mL fraction and recrystallization from toluene / heptane was heated to 60 °C, after 20 h. the reaction was cooled to 22 °C, celite was added and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC was performed according to a published procedure: heating was applied with a heat gun while the glassware was evacuated (16 Torr), the evacuation was followed by argon flush procedures while 10 and 11 were synthesised using modified literature procedures. For 10: 0.7 M NaOH was used instead of 0.5 M NaOH and for 11: CSA and MeOH was used instead of (MeO)₂CHMe₂ and p-TSA followed by HCl (conc.) for acetal cleavage.

(2S,6'R)-2'-(Benzyl oxy)-7-chloro-4-(difluoromethoxy)-6-methoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-2'-ene-3,4'-dione (3). To a stirred solution of 8 (0.20 g, 0.50 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (3 mL) under argon was added benzyl alcohol (0.11 mL, 1.0 mmol) followed by DBU (0.19 mL, 1.25 mmol). The solution was heated to 60 °C, after 20 h. the reaction was cooled to 22 °C, celite was added and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC (⌀ = 20 mm) gradient eluting from 100 % toluene → 75 / 25 toluene / acetonitrile with 2.5 % increment / 20 mL fraction and recrystallization from toluene / heptane yielded 0.16 g (50 %) 3 as white crystals. Rᵣ = 0.31 (90 / 10, toluene / acetonitrile); Mp. 113-114 °C; [α]D²⁰ = +177° (c = 0.25, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33-7.24 (3H, m), 7.15 (2H, dd, J = 7.4 & 1.8 Hz), 6.91 (1H, t, 3JHF = 73.5 Hz), 6.47 (1H, s), 5.62 (1H, s), 4.89 (2H, AB, J = 12.3 Hz, Δ = 33.7 Hz), 4.01 (3H, s), 2.98 (1H, dd, J = 16.1 & 13.3 Hz), 2.94-2.84 (1H, m), 2.46 (1H, dd, J = 16.1 & 4.0 Hz), 0.98 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.6, 192.6, 169.5, 168.9, 164.2, 146.9 (t, 3JCF = 3.7 Hz), 134.6, 128.8, 128.5, 126.9, 115.6 (t, 1JCF = 265.4 Hz), 107.2, 106.4, 102.4, 98.9, 91.3, 71.0, 57.5, 40.1, 36.5, 14.4; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -83.93 (2F, ABX, ²JFF = 163.8 Hz & ²JFH = 73.5 Hz); HRMS (ESI’) 465.0916 calcd. for [M+H’] 465.0911.
(25,6'R)-2'-{(Benzylx)yl}-7-chloro-6-(difluoromethoxy)-4-methoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-2'-ene-3,4'-dione (4). To a stirred solution of 14 (0.20 g, 0.50 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (3 mL) under argon was added benzyl alcohol (0.11 mL, 1.0 mmol) followed by DBU (0.19 mL, 1.25 mmol). The solution was heated to 60 °C, after 20 h. the reaction was cooled to 22 °C, celite was added and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC (ϕ = 20 mm) gradient eluting from 100 % toluene → 75 / 25, toluene / acetonitrile with 2.5 % increment / 20 mL fraction yielded 0.065 g (28 %) 4 as white crystals. Rᵣ = 0.16 (90 / 10, toluene / acetonitrile); Mp. 109-112 °C; [α]₂°D = +147° (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35-7.24 (3H, m), 7.20-7.11 (2H, m), 6.66 (1H, t, J₁H₂ = 72.1 Hz), 6.39 (1H, s), 5.61 (1H, s), 4.88 (2H, AB, J = 12.3 & 39.8 Hz), 3.95 (3H, s), 3.03 (1H, dd, J = 16.5 & 13.3 Hz), 2.95-2.83 (1H, m), 2.47 (1H, dd, J = 16.5 & 4.4 Hz), 1.00 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.7, 193.3, 170.2, 168.9, 157.0, 155.9 (t, J₁C₁ = 2.6 Hz), 134.6, 128.8, 128.5, 126.7, 115.33 (t, J₁C₁ = 265 Hz), 108.8, 106.3, 101.8, 97.5, 91.4, 71.0, 56.8, 40.1, 36.4, 14.5; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -82.14 (2F, d, J₁H₁ = 72.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺) 465.0928 calcd. for [M+H⁺] 465.0911.

(25,6'R)-7-Chloro-4-(difluoromethoxy)-2',6-dimethoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-2'-ene-3,4'-dione (6). To a stirred solution of 5 (5.80 g, 17 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) under argon was added potassium carbonate (2.35 g, 17 mmol) followed by methyl chlorodifluoroacetate (2.46 mL, 23 mmol). After 18 h. at 70 °C the reaction was cooled to 22 °C before being poured into 100 mL ¼ saturated sodium carbonate. The resulting precipitate was isolated, dissolved in dichloromethane and concentrated on celite in vacuo. Purification by DCVC (ϕ = 60 mm) gradient eluting from 100 % heptane → 100 % ethyl acetate with 10 % increment / 100 mL fraction yielded 5.1 g (76 %) 6 as white powder. Rᵣ = 0.60 (ethyl acetate); [α]₁₀°D = +275° (c = 0.25, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.89 (1H, t, J₁H₂ = 73.3 Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 5.54(1H, s), 4.00 (3H, s), 3.62 (3H, s), 2.93 (1H, dd, J = 16.1 & 13.3 Hz), 2.89-2.78 (1H, m), 2.43 (1H, dd, J = 16.1 & 4.0 Hz), 0.92 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.7, 192.5, 170.3, 169.4, 164.2, 147.0 (t, J₁C₁ = 3.7 Hz), 115.5 (t, J₁C₁ = 265.2 Hz), 106.9, 105.1, 102.3, 98.6, 91.1, 57.5, 56.9, 39.9, 36.5, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -83.90 (2F, ABX, J₁F₁ = 164.0 Hz & J₁H₁ = 73.5 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺) 389.0594 calcd. for [M+H⁺] 389.0598.

(25,6'R)-7-Chloro-4-(difluoromethoxy)-4'-hydroxy-6-methoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-3'-ene-2',3-dione (7). To a stirred solution of 6 (2.9 g, 7.5 mmol) in acetic acid (20 mL) was added sulfuric acid (6 mL, 2 M), the solution was heated to 80 °C. After 45 min. the solution was cooled to 22 °C before being poured into water (150 mL). The resulting precipitate was isolated and extracted extensively with water yielding 2.7 g (97 %) 7 as light yellow powder. A sample was recrystallised from ethyl acetate/heptane yielding light yellow crystals. Mp. 205-208 °C (dec.); [α]₂°D = +234° (c = 0.25, MeOH); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 12.00 (1H, bs), 7.46 (1H, t, J₁H₂ = 72.8 Hz), 6.74 (1H, s), 5.33 (1H, s), 4.03 (3H, s), 2.89-2.75 (2H, m), 2.60-2.51 (1H, m), 0.88 (3H, d, J = 5.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 191.2, 187.0, 179.8, 168.9, 163.7, 147.4 (t, J₁C₁ = 4.1 Hz), 115.9 (t, J₁C₁ = 259.9 Hz), 105.7, 101.1, 99.9, 96.8, 95.2, 58.0, 34.4, 32.7, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -84.64 (2F, d, J₁H₁ = 72.8 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺) 375.0444 calcd. for [M+H⁺] 375.0441.

(28,6'R)-2',7-Dichloro-4-(difluoromethoxy)-6-methoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-2'-ene-3,4'-dione (8). To a stirred solution of 7 (1.0 g, 2.7 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (10 mL) was added lithium chloride (1.0 g, 24 mmol) and phosphoryl trichloride (5 mL). The mixture was heated to 85 °C for 40 min. before cooling with ice bath (carefully, not frozen). Saturated sodium carbonate was added (slowly) until the pH reached 8 and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic
extracts were dried with sodium sulfate before celite was added and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC ($\varnothing = 40$ mm) gradient eluting from 100 % toluene $\rightarrow 90 \%$, toluene / acetonitrile with 1 % increment / 50 mL fraction (each gradient run with 2 x 50 mL) yielded 0.58 g (55 %) 8 as an uncoloured oil (the major side product was the 4'-chloro substituted analogue, not illustrated, of which was isolated 0.45 g (43 %)). $R_t = 0.46$ (90 / 10, toluene / acetonitrile); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +301^\circ$ (c = 0.25, CHCl$_3$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 6.91 (1H, $t$, $^2J_{HF} = 73.2$ Hz), 6.53 (1H, s), 6.46 (1H, s), 4.04 (3H, s), 3.08 (1H, dd, $J$ = 16.6 & 13.9 Hz), 3.01-2.88 (1H, m), 2.50 (1H, dd, $J$ 16.6 & 4.2 Hz), 0.99 (3H, d, 6.6 Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 194.2, 191.1, 169.0, 164.6, 151.8, 147.0 (t, $^1J_{CF} = 3.7$ Hz), 131.7, 115.5 (t, $^2J_{CF} = 265.7$ Hz), 107.0, 102.5, 99.1, 91.9, 57.6, 40.0, 37.6, 14.9; $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ -83.95 (2F, ABX, $^2J_{HF} = 163.5$ Hz & $^2J_{HF} = 72.1$ Hz); HRMS (ESI$^+$) 393.0099 calcld. for [M+H$^+$] 393.0103.

(25,6'R)-7-Chloro-6-(difluoromethoxy)-4,4'-dimethoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-3'-ene-2',3-dione (12). To a stirred solution of 11 (2.0 g, 6.0 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) under argon was added potassium carbonate (0.83 g, 6.0 mmol) followed by methyl chlorodifluoroacetate (0.96 mL, 9.1 mmol). After 6½ h. at 75 °C the reaction was cooled to 22 °C before being poured into 50 mL ¼ saturated sodium carbonate. The resulting precipitate was isolated, dissolved in dichloromethane and concentrated on celite in vacuo. Purification by DCVC ($\varnothing = 40$ mm) gradient eluting from 100 % heptane $\rightarrow$ 100 % ethyl acetate (with 10 % increment / 50 mL fraction yielded 1.9 g (82 %) 12 as white powder, a sample was recrystallised from dichloromethane / heptane. $R_t = 0.64$ (ethyl acetate); Mp. 160.0-161.0 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +160^\circ$ (c = 0.25, CHCl$_3$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 6.65 (1H, dd, $^2J_{HF} = 72.8 & 72.0$ Hz), 6.37 (1H, s), 5.44 (1H, d, $J$ = 1.4 Hz), 3.92 (3H, s), 3.78 (3H, s), 3.18 (1H, ddd, $J$ = 17.6, 12.0 & 1.4 Hz), 2.92-2.81 (1H, m), 2.49 (1H, dd, $J$ =17.6 & 5.7 Hz), 1.03 (3H, d, 6.7 Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 192.5, 187.9, 179.1, 170.4, 157.0, 155.8 (t, $^3J_{CF} = 2.7$ Hz), 115.4 (dd, $^1J_{CF} = 265$ Hz, 264 Hz), 108.8, 102.1, 99.6, 97.4, 95.8, 56.7, 56.5, 35.3, 33.0, 14.7; $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ -82.03 (2F, ABX, $^2J_{HF} = 164.4$ Hz & $^2J_{HF} = 72.4$ Hz); HRMS (ESI$^+$) 389.0600 calcld. for [M+H$^+$] 389.0598.

(25,6'R)-7-Chloro-6-(difluoromethoxy)-4-hydroxy-4-methoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-3'-ene-2',3-dione (13). To a stirred solution of 12 (1.7 g, 4.4 mmol) in acetic acid (15 mL) was added sulfuric acid (4 mL, 2 M), the solution was heated to 80 °C. After 45 min. the solution was cooled to 22 °C before being poured into water (50 mL). The mixture was extracted ethyl acetate (3 x 40 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried with sodium sulfate before celite was added and it was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC ($\varnothing = 40$ mm) gradient eluting from 100 % heptane $\rightarrow$ 100 % ethyl acetate with 10 % increment / 50 mL fraction followed by ethyl acetate (4 x 50 mL) (all solvents containing 0.2 vol% acetic acid) yielded 1.3 g (79 %) 13 as white powder, a sample was recrystallised from ethyl acetate / heptane. $R_t = 0.32$ (0.2 % acetic acid in ethyl acetate); Mp. 209-210 °C (dec.); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +191^\circ$ (c = 0.25, MeOH; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 11.98 (1H, bs), 7.56 (1H, t, $^2J_{HF} = 72.3$ Hz), 6.67 (1H, s), 5.31 (1H, s), 3.90 (3H, s), 2.90-2.75 (2H, m), 2.59-2.50 (1H, m), 0.86 (3H, d, $J$ = 5.7 Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 191.9, 186.8, 179.8, 169.4, 156.8, 155.8 (t, $^3J_{CF} = 3.5$ Hz), 116.1 (t, $^1J_{CF} = 260.4$ Hz), 107.4, 101.2, 98.6, 96.0, 94.9, 56.9, 34.3, 32.9, 14.2; $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ -83.86 (2F, d, $^2J_{HF} = 72.3$ Hz); HRMS (ESI$^+$) 375.0461 calcld. for [M+H$^+$] 375.0441.

(2R,6'R)-2',7-Dichloro-6-(difluoromethoxy)-4-methoxy-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-2'-ene-3,4'-dione (14). To a stirred solution of 13 (1.2 g, 3.2 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (12 mL) was added lithium chloride (1.2 g, 28 mmol) and phosphoryl trichloride (6 mL). The mixture was heated to 85 °C for 50
min. before cooling with ice bath (carefully, not frozen). Saturated sodium carbonate was added (slowly) until the pH reached 8 and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic extracts was dried with sodium sulfate before celite was added and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC (φ = 40 mm) gradient eluting from 100 % toluene→95 / 5, toluene / acetronitrile with 1 % increment / 50 mL fraction (each gradient run with 2 × 50 mL) yielded 0.61 g (48 %) 14 as an uncoloured oil (the major side product was the 4'-chloro substituted analogue, not illustrated, of which was isolated 0.53 g (42 %)). RI = 0.34 (90 / 10, toluene / acetronitrile); [α]20D = +302° (c = 0.25, CHCl3); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.70 (1H, t, 2JH-H = 71.9 Hz), 6.45 (1H, s), 6.44 (1H, s), 3.97 (3H, s), 3.09 (1H, dd, J = 16.8 & 13.9 Hz), 2.99-2.87 (1H, m), 2.49 (1H, dd, J = 16.6 & 4.3 Hz), 0.99 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 194.4, 191.8, 169.7, 157.1, 156.4 (t, 2JCS = 2.6 Hz), 151.8, 131.7, 115.3 (t, 2JCS = 266 Hz), 108.7, 101.8, 97.6, 91.9, 56.9, 40.1, 37.7, 15.1; 19F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl3) δ -82.27 (2F, ABX, 2JF = 163.8 Hz & 2JH = 72.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI+) 393.0104 calcd. for [M+H]+ 393.0103.

2-(((25,6'R)-7-Chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-6'-methyl-3-oxo-2'-phenoxy-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-2'-en-4'-ylidene)amino)oxy)acetic acid (16) (E/Z isomeric mixture). To a stirred solution of 2 (0.215 g, 0.50 mmol) in ethanol (12.5 mL) and DMSO (6.7 mL) under argon, was added sodium acetate (0.176 g, 2.15mmol) and (a-minooxy)acetic acid hemihydrate (0.191 g, 1.75 mmol). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 20 hr. before cooling to 22 °C. Dichloromethane was added (40 mL) and the mixture was washed with water (3 × 25 mL). The organic phase was dried with sodium sulfate before celite was added and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC (φ = 40 mm) gradient eluting from 50 % toluene→60 / 40, toluene / acetronitrile (all solvents containing 0.5 % acetic acid) with 4 % increment / 50 mL fraction yielded 0.225 g (90 %) 16 as white crystals. RI = 0.23 (59 / 39 / 2, toluene / acetronitrile / acetic acid); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.32-7.20 (3H, m), 7.20-7.12 (2H, m), 6.08 (1H, s), 5.65 (6.28) (1H, s), 4.88 (4.96) (1H, d, J = 12.3 (12.2 Hz), 4.77 (4.82) (1H, d, J = 12.3 (12.2 Hz), 4.66-4.60 (2H, m), 4.01 (3H, s), 3.95 (3H, s), 2.78 (3.05) (1H, dd, J = 17.0 (15.2) & 13.0 (13.4 Hz), 2.70-2.54 (1H, m), 2.43 (3.12) (1H, dd, J = 15.2 (17.0) & 4.2 (4.9 Hz), 0.97 (0.98) (3H, d, J = 6.7 (6.8 Hz); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 194.0 (193.8), 174.4 (174.3), 169.7, 164.5, 158.7 (161.3), 157.7 (157.6), 157.1 (153.9), 135.7 (135.5), 128.5, 127.9 (128.0), 126.7 (126.8), 105.8 (105.7), 99.7 (94.1), 97.2 (97.2), (91.4) 91.4, (89.4) 89.4, 70.3 (70.2), (70.1) 70.1, (57.0) 57.0, 56.4, (36.5) 35.4, 26.4 (30.8), 14.5 (14.4); HRMS (ESI+) 502.1259 calcd. for [M+H]+ 502.1263.

(25,6'R)-7-Chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-2'(methoxy-d3)'-6'-methyl-3H-spiro[benzofuran-2,1'-cyclohexan]-2'-ene-3,4'-dione (18). To a stirred solution of 17 (0.20 g, 0.56 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (3 mL) under argon was added deuterated methanol (0.4 mL) followed by DBU (0.4 mL). The solution was stirred at 22 °C for 3 days. Celite was added and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by DCVC (φ = 20 mm) gradient eluting from 100 % heptane→100 % ethyl acetate with 10 % increment / 25 mL fraction. The isolated compound (RI = 0.65 (ethyl acetate)) and DBU (0.4 mL) were refluxed in MeOH (50 mL) for 5 h. The reaction mixture was left for 2 days at 22 °C were upon crystals of 18 was formed. Filtration yielded 170 mg (85 %) 18 as a white powder, RI = 0.65 (ethyl acetate); Mp. 217-219 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d6) δ 6.51 (1H, s), 5.61 (1H, s), 4.05 (3H, s), 3.95 (3H, s), 2.88 - 2.74 (1H, m), 2.68 (1H, dd, J = 16.4 & 13.3 Hz), 2.36 (1H, dd, J = 16.2 & 4.7 Hz), 0.81 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz); 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 196.0, 191.6, 170.7, 169.0, 164.9, 158.1, 105.1, 104.5, 95.7, 91.8, 90.6, 58.1, 57.1, 35.9, 14.3; HRMS (ESI+) 356.0975 calcd. for [M+H]+ 356.0979.
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