



## Efficiency Analysis of Magnetic Field Measurement for MR Electrical Impedance Tomography (MREIT)

Göksu, Cihan; Hanson, Lars G. ; Ehses, Philipp; Thielscher, Axel; Scheffler, Klaus

*Publication date:*  
2016

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*

Göksu, C., Hanson, L. G., Ehses, P., Thielscher, A., & Scheffler, K. (2016). *Efficiency Analysis of Magnetic Field Measurement for MR Electrical Impedance Tomography (MREIT)*. Poster session presented at 33rd ESMRMB Annual Scientific Meeting, Vienna, Austria.

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Cihan Göksu<sup>1-2</sup>, Lars G. Hanson<sup>1-2</sup>, Philipp Ehses<sup>3-4</sup>, Klaus Scheffler<sup>3-4</sup>, and Axel Thielscher<sup>1-2-3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Centre for Functional and Diagnostic Imaging and Research, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Denmark, <sup>2</sup> Center for Magnetic Resonance Group, DTU Elektro, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark, <sup>3</sup> High-Field Magnetic Resonance Center, Max-Planck-Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Tübingen, Germany, <sup>4</sup> Department of Biomedical Magnetic Resonance, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany


## Introduction

MREIT is an emerging method to measure the ohmic tissue conductivities, with several potential biomedical applications. Its sensitivity depends on the magnitude of the applied current, which is limited to 1-2 mA in the human brain. This renders in-vivo applications challenging. Here, we aim to analyze and optimize the efficiency of two MREIT pulse sequences for in-vivo brain imaging.

## MREIT

External current,  $I$ , is injected during the MRI scan.




Magnitude and phase images are acquired.



## Efficiency of MREIT

The quality of the reconstructed MREIT images depends on the sensitivity of the  $\Delta B_{z,c}$  measurements. The efficiency  $\eta$  can be defined as signal to noise ratios (SNRs) of  $\Delta B_{z,c}$  per square root measurement time. Here, we analyze the two sensitive MREIT pulse sequences, multi-echo spin echo (MESE) and steady-state free-precession free induction decay (SSFP-FID).

$$\eta_{\Delta B_{z,c}}^{\text{MESE}} = \frac{\Delta B_{z,c} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{echo}}} 2 \left\{ \gamma \text{SNR}_n \left[ (T_{\text{ES}} - \tau_{\pi})n - \frac{\tau_{\pi/2}}{2} \right] \right\}^2}}{\sqrt{T_{\text{tot}}}} \quad \eta_{\Delta B_{z,c}}^{\text{SSFP-FID}} = \frac{\Delta B_{z,c} \text{SNR} \left. \frac{\partial \Delta \mu}{\partial \Delta B_{z,c}} \right|_{\Delta B_{z,c}=0}}{\sqrt{T_{\text{tot}}}}$$



The MESE simulations are experimentally validated for 0.5 mA current injection in a saline filled spherical homogenous phantom, 10 cm in diameter ( $T_1 = 1$  s,  $T_2 = 100$  ms). The phase evolution of two SSFP-FID variants are simulated and compared with spin echo. The most sensitive variants simulations are experimentally validated for 1 mA current injection in the same phantom.

## Discussion and Conclusion

The measured and simulated efficiency maps for the MESE and SSFP-FID experiments are in good agreement. The most efficient regions for the MESE and SSFP-FID2 are  $N_{\text{echo}} = [2, 3]$ ,  $T_{\text{ES}} = [60 - 100]$  ms, and  $T_E = [60 - 90]$  ms,  $T_R = [120 - 180]$  ms for  $\alpha = 20^\circ$ , respectively. Both sequences are promising for testing in in-vivo applications.

## References

[1] C. Göksu et al., EMBC 2014, 1115-8; [2] K. S. Utz et al., Neuropsychologia, 48:2789–810 (2010); [3] G. C. Scott and M. L. G. Joy, IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging, 10:362–74 (1991); [4] H. Lee et al., Magn. Reson. Med. (2015); [5] H. S. Nam and O. I. Kwon, Phys. Med. Biol., 55:2743–59 (2010)