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Abstract—In this paper the problem of constellation shaping is considered. Mapping functions are designed for a many-to-one signal shaping strategy, combined with a turbo coded Bit-interleaved Coded Modulation (BICM), based on symmetric Huffman codes with binary reflected Gray-like properties. An algorithm is derived for finding the Huffman code with such properties for a variety of alphabet sizes, and near-capacity performance is achieved for a wide SNR region by dynamically choosing the optimal code rate, constellation size and mapping function based on the operating SNR point and assuming perfect channel quality estimation. Gains of more than 1dB are observed for high SNR compared to conventional turbo coded BICM, and it is shown that the mapping functions designed here significantly outperform current state of the art Turbo-Trellis Coded Modulation and other existing constellation shaping methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that at high SNR, uniformly distributed constellation input to a Gaussian channel achieves a rate that is 1.53dB away from channel capacity, as given by Shannon. In order to close that gap, a continuous Gaussian distributed input is required. For discrete modulation used in any practical digital communication system, that gap can be closed for a variety of alphabet sizes, and near-capacity performance is achieved for a wide SNR region by dynamically choosing the optimal code rate, constellation size and mapping function based on the operating SNR point and assuming perfect channel quality estimation. Gains of more than 1dB are observed for high SNR compared to conventional turbo coded BICM, and it is shown that the mapping functions designed here significantly outperform current state of the art Turbo-Trellis Coded Modulation and other existing constellation shaping methods.

II. SYSTEM OVERVIEW AND MANY-TO-ONE SHAPING

In [10] it is shown how the optimal discrete PMF can be approximated with a dyadic one. A dyadic PMF has the form \( p(x_i) = 2^{-l_i} \), where \( l_i \) is a positive integer, and \( x_i \) is the \( i^{th} \) symbol of the alphabet. The authors in [10] prove that the dyadic PMF which minimizes the \( KLD = D(p_{\text{approx}} || p_{\text{optimum}}) \) is found by Geometric Huffman Codes (GHC), where KLD is the Kullback-Leibler Divergence, \( p_{\text{optimum}} \) is the capacity achieving PMF, and \( p_{\text{approx}} \) is any dyadic PMF. The MI between the input and the output of a real-valued system (i.e. PAM), when signaling with the optimal input PMF is given in Fig. 1, together with the Shannon capacity, the MI with an uniform input PMF, and the MI with GHC approximation. We see that even though the dyadic PMF cannot achieve capacity, significant gains can still be expected with low energy more often. This method results in a PMF with only a few levels, and thus suffers a significant loss in Mutual Information (MI) between the input and the output of the channel with respect to a continuous Gaussian distribution. In [5] superposition modulation is used together with an optimized irregular convolutional code to combat this problem and achieve a near-capacity performance. However, the decoding complexity grows exponentially with the number of levels. Furthermore, different transmission rates would require different codes, which is impractical in a rate adaptive system, such as e.g. LTE. In [6] the authors approximate the optimal PMF with a dyadic one (to be defined in Section II). Dyadic PMFs are attractive because they can be achieved with binary data in a number of ways, e.g. with Variable Length Codes (VLC) [6] or a many-to-one strategy [7]. In [7] Raphaeli proposed a simple method for achieving a dyadic PMF, using the notion of pragmatic turbo codes [8]. However, the PMF is fixed and is designed for a certain SNR region, making it sub-optimal if a change in the spectral efficiency is required.

In this work a rate-adaptive system is designed, by tuning the transmit PMF depending on the SNR value and the desired spectral efficiency. The desired PMF is achieved by a many-to-one mapping function, and near-capacity performance is reported for a wide SNR region when the mapping function is combined with a turbo coded Bit Interleaved Coded Modulation (BICM) [9].

In [2] an algorithm is derived for finding the optimal PMF on an AWGN channel for a certain SNR, which was later extended to cover fading scenarios in [3]. The exact optimal PMF is however very hard to achieve in a practical communication system, transmitting coded binary data. In [4], the authors use a shaping code to explicitly select points
at the examined SNR regions and modulation orders. We also see that after a certain SNR, increase in the modulation size is needed (around 15dB for e.g. 8PAM, or equivalently 64QAM) if Gaussian capacity is targeted. This is due to the limited entropy of the small constellations. Examples of dyadic approximations to the optimal PMF at different SNRs are given in Fig. 2. The PMF for $SNR \in [19; 21]$ dB is the one the authors used in [7]. Higher or lower SNR will have different optimal PMFs and dyadic GHC approximations.

The transmitter from [7], which we also use here, is given in Fig. 3. The data are encoded, and then serial to parallel converted. Puncturing is then applied to the parity streams, so that the remaining parity and data bits can be rearranged into $m$ streams, where the size of the modulation format is $2^m$. Each stream is then interleaved, and the signal is modulated. The receiver is given in Fig. 4. The signal is demodulated and the data are deinterleaved. The parity streams are de-punctured and sent for decoding together with the data bits. In case of iterative demapping, the decoder sends extrinsic information back to the demapper, after proper puncturing and interleaving.

Dyadic PMF of the output symbols can be achieved by decoding the binary stream into the constellation symbols using an entropy rate source code, e.g. VLC [11]. The VLC needs to be an entropy code, because otherwise there will exist forbidden sequences in the code, and thus a part of the data will be impossible to decode to any symbol. The probabilities of the output symbols depend on the length of the bit labels assigned to them. For dyadic PMFs, the prefix-free Huffman code is an entropy rate code [11][12]. However, the lack of any structure in the Huffman code results in a severe synchronization problem, especially when there is no error protection before it (as is the case if the VLC is used as an inner code, or in this case - a mapping function).

In [7], the authors tackle this problem by appending ambiguous bits (taking a value of both ‘1’ and a ‘0’) to each bit sequence, after a constellation symbol is chosen. In this way the dyadic PMF is retained, but the label length is constant across symbols. This process can also be viewed as a many-to-one mapping, where the ambiguous bits have the effect of assigning multiple bit sequences with the same prefix (defined by the Huffman code) to the same output symbol. An example of such a mapping function is given in Table I, where the ambiguous bits are labeled as ‘X’. For example, constellation symbol ‘-5’ will carry all sequences of length 5, that start with ‘0010’, namely ‘00100’ and ‘00101’. If the data are i.i.d., the probability of encountering this prefix sequence, and thus this constellation symbol, is clearly $2^{-5}$. Compared to

![Fig. 1. MI for different input PMFs](image1)

![Fig. 2. Dyadic PMFs, approximating the optimal PMF for selected SNR regions](image2)

![Fig. 3. Turbo coded BICM transmitter](image3)

![Fig. 4. Turbo coded BICM system receiver](image4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constellation symbol $X$</th>
<th>-7</th>
<th>-5</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bit Label</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p(X)$</td>
<td>$2^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conventional turbo coded BICM, the only difference in the receiver is the demapper, which needs to take the many-to-one ambiguities into account. Since the complexity of the turbo-decoder dominates over that of the de-mapper, the complexity of the receiver overall is not significantly increased.

A. Mapping design criteria for iterative and non-iterative receivers

In a general BICM, when no iterations are allowed between the de-mapper and decoder, binary-reflected Gray coding is chosen since it provides maximum MI at the output of the demapper with no a-priori knowledge at its input [13]. A popular assumption is that the MI after the de-mapper in that case does not improve with iterative de-mapping (or that estimation of the bit at a certain position in the labeling scheme does not benefit from knowing the rest of the bits), i.e. [14]:

$$I(Y; X) = \sum_m I(Y; b_m|b_1,...,b_{m-1}) \approx \sum_m I(Y; b_m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $X$ is the channel input, $Y$ is the channel output, and $b_m$ is the $m^{th}$ bit in the labeling scheme. However, (1) does not hold in the general case. Having a channel code will introduce dependencies between the bits, and (1) therefore has the form:

$$I(Y; X) = \sum_m I(Y; b_m|b_1,...,b_{m-1}) \geq \sum_m I(Y; b_m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

Where the last inequality turns into equality for binary-reflected Gray mapping only in perfect conditions, such as ideal interleaving, perfectly white noise, perfectly white data, optimal de-mapper etc. The usual methods for generating an ideal interleaving, perfectly white noise, perfectly white data, only in perfect conditions reflected Gray mapping, where the last inequality turns into equality for binary-reflected Gray code. Here we propose a method for many-to-one mapping function.

III. Obtaining binary reflected Gray-like many-to-one mapping function

In [7], the authors use a single 16PAM mapping function with label length of 6 bits, including the ambiguous bits. As shown in Section I, that PMF of the symbols is optimal only for a limited SNR range (Fig. 2, optimum PMF for $SNR \in [19;21]dB$). A straight-forward way of obtaining a mapping function for any dyadic PMF is to use a Huffman tree [12]. However, this method will generally produce a mapping function without any structure, and very far from the target binary reflected Gray code. Here we propose a method for designing the mapping function for a 1D constellation, with the additional constraint that the dyadic PMF is symmetric (we note that the GHC approximation from [10] does not necessarily yield a symmetric dyadic PMF, even though the optimal PMF is always symmetric).

A. Obtaining the optimal symmetric dyadic PMF

Let $p$ be the optimum PMF of the constellation points and the constellation have $N$ points, $p_{i:N}$ is the PMF of the points from 1 to $N$, and $p_i$ is the probability of point $i$. Let $p^{half}$ be the normalized version of $p_{1:N/2}$ (we assume even number of constellation points). For symmetric PMFs, it is clear that $p^{half} = 2p_{1:N/2}$.

**Theorem 1:** The symmetric dyadic PMF $q$ which minimizes $D(q||p)$ is the one, for which $q^{half}$ is the GHC approximation of $p^{half}$.

**Proof:** $D(q||p) = \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \log_2 \frac{q_i}{p_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} 2q_i \log_2 \frac{2q_i}{2p_i} = D(q^{half}||p^{half})$, where the last two equalities follow from the fact that $q$ and $p$ are symmetric. As proven in [6], $D(q^{half}||p^{half})$ is minimized by GHC, which proves the theorem.

\[\blacksquare\]
The loss in MI from constraining the dyadic PMF to a symmetric one is given in Fig. 7 for a 16PAM constellation. We only see loss in the low SNR region, up to 14 dB. Surprisingly, Raphaeli’s PMF [7], even though optimal for around 20 dB, performs very well also for lower SNRs. We note that the GHC dyadic approximation from [6], and the symmetric constraint we apply are considered optimal in the sense that they minimize $D(p_{\text{approx}} || p_{\text{optimum}})$. This optimality does not guarantee that these approximations result in the minimum loss in MI between input and output (unless $D(p_{\text{approx}} || p_{\text{optimum}}) \to 0$), which is also what we can see in Fig. 7. Finding such an approximation is currently an NP hard problem [6]. This figure also shows that the PMF from [7] suffers significant loss at high SNR.

The proposed algorithm for finding the binary reflected Gray-like Huffman code is as follows:

- **Consider the PMF of half the constellation points** (e.g. negative points in a symmetric PAM constellation)

- Assign an all-ones string to the point with shortest label (symbol number $N/2$, where $N$ is the number of constellation points)

- **for** $i = N/2 - 1$ **down to** 1 **do**
  1. Copy label $i + 1$ to label $i$
  2. For label $i$, flip the right-most possible bit, making sure the resulting table is prefix free (bit is highlighted in the example in Table II)
  3. For label $i$, set bits $(l_{i+1}; l_i)$ to 1, where $l_i$ is the length of label $i$ (bits are underlined in the example in Table II)

- Once the final label is reached, mirror the table to the other half of the PMF, while flipping the left-most bit, ensuring the labels are prefix free

An example of the obtained Huffman code is given in Table II. The PMF we used there is an example 16PAM dyadic, namely, optimized for $SNR \in [22; 25]$ dB (see also Fig. 2). As discussed above, the mapping function is obtained by appending ambiguous bits to the short labels. Shaped QAM PMFs are obtained as product of PAM PMFs, preserving the Gray-code property.

### IV. Results

In this section we present results for the mapping functions designed in Section III, and compare them with the system, originally designed by Raphaeli in [7], and also other near-capacity achieving schemes. The systems are compared in terms of **Bit Error Rate** (BER) and **Block Error Rate** (BLER). The BER analysis is chosen for easier comparison with other systems and capacity. However, the BLER gives a better idea of the achievable throughput in a real communication system over a wide SNR range. Consequently, we report the achieved throughput $T$ in bits per channel use. It is calculated as $T = (1 - BLER)\eta$, where $\eta$ is the spectral efficiency in bits per channel use [b/cu]. Table III summarizes the analyzed modulation schemes. QAM constellations are designed as a product of two identical PAM constellations. Therefore, $l_{\text{QAM}}_{\text{max}} = 2 \cdot l_{\text{PAM}}_{\text{max}}$, where $l_{\text{max}}$ is the length of the longest label in the QAM/PAM modulation table.

The system parameters are 5000 information bits block length, 20 turbo iterations, 5 demapping iterations, two convolutional component codes with polynomials (23, 37) in standard octal notation and uniformly distributed puncturing.
pattern. Simulated data rates (modulation spectral efficiency) are between 3 and 9, with a step of 0.5 \( \text{b/cu} \). We note, that not all formats from Table III support all possible rates. This is because the lowest possible code rate of the turbo code in question is 1/3, and therefore constellation with bit label length of e.g. 16 bits cannot support spectral efficiency of less than 5.5 \( \text{b/cu} \). We simulated 10000 blocks for each code rate at each relevant SNR.

A. AWGN channel

As we saw in Fig. 7, Raphaeli’s PMF suffers from a severe loss above a certain SNR. In Fig. 8, we see the performance of the mapping functions at \( \eta = 7\text{b/cu} \). The best performing 256QAM PMF is the one designed based on the Huffman code from Table II. However, at this point the ambiguities have a significant effect on the waterfall properties of the turbo code. We see that Raphaeli’s mapping function (which employs more ambiguities) performs worse than the conventional system with uniform 256QAM, if BER below \( 10^{-3} \) is targeted. When we increase the modulation order (equivalently decrease the code rate), the gains are significantly improved to about 0.9 dB. We see that even for these rather short block lengths and very high spectral efficiency, we are very close to uniform capacity (within \( 0.6\text{dB} \) at \( \text{BER} \approx 10^{-5} \)).

In Fig. 9 the throughput envelope curves are given. The envelope is obtained by taking the throughput of the best-performing combination code-rate/mapping function/modulation size at that SNR operating point. We see that the performance is within \( 1\text{dB} \) from uniform capacity (0.3dB at 8\( \text{b/cu} \)), and that steady shaping gains of \( 1\text{dB} \) and above are achieved for high spectral efficiency (\( \eta > 5\text{b/cu} \)). Raphaeli’s system, even though applicable for a wide SNR range, cannot be used for \( \eta > 6.5\text{b/cu} \), and at \( \eta > 6\text{b/cu} \) is already outperformed by the mapping functions designed here. The near-capacity Turbo Trellis Coded Modulation (TTCM) from [16] at \( \eta = 5\text{b/cu} \) is also outperformed by about \( 1\text{dB} \) (for that system we only report the error-free transmission SNR point, or the SNR, for which the BER < \( 10^{-5} \)). We note that TTCM is generally limited by the constellation size (namely increasing the constellation size increases the complexity exponentially). For comparison we also plot the performance of the sub-constellation shaping system from [4] at \( \eta = 3\text{b/cu} \) with block length of 64800 bits, which employs an LDPC code and 32APSK modulation. This system is also significantly outperformed. We also note, that the explicit shaping code in such a system significantly increases both the receiver and transmitter complexity, whereas the mapping functions designed here (as discussed in Section II) only add complexity at the de-mapper, which is not so crucial overall.

B. Rayleigh fading channel

Our preliminary results suggested that fading channels will require stronger codes, and therefore increase in modulation size, if the spectral efficiency is to be kept high. This can also be related to the design criteria of trellis codes. Namely increasing the Euclidian distance is not the main design criterion, but maximizing the product of the metrics on the shortest error-event path and maximizing the length of that path [17]. Decreasing the code rate (reducing the puncturing) directly increases this product for trellis (convolutional) codes.

In Fig. 10 the BER performance is given at \( \eta = 5\text{b/cu} \). We see that already here Raphaeli’s system is outperformed. This is due to the required increase in modulation size. The same follows for the TTCM from [18], designed specifically for fading channels, where a 64QAM is used at this spectral efficiency, and the 32 APSK from [4] at \( \eta = 3\text{b/cu} \). In Fig. 11 a throughput envelope curve is given. We see that our mappings perform close to capacity also on the fading channel (within \( 1 - 1.5\text{dB} \), depending on the modulation size and SNR). The gain over the uniform system vanishes at high SNR due to the limited size of the analyzed constellations (in this case - 1024QAM). As seen from Fig. 10, large constellations with low code rate experience an error-floor at around \( 10^{-4} \). This may be partly contributed to the rather short block lengths considered here. We note that the reported performance of both TTCM systems from [13] (for AWGN channel) and [14] (for fading channels) is achieved for much longer codes - 15000 information bits in [16] and 10000\( \eta \) information bits in [18], whereas our system employs 5000 information bits only.

C. Iterative vs. non-iterative de-mapping

As discussed in Section II, even though usually employed in non-iterative receivers, binary-reflected Gray mapping can
Fig. 10. BER at 5 bits/symbol. Ergodic capacity at 17.15 dB, 64QAM uniform capacity at 19.70 dB, 256QAM uniform capacity at 18.18 dB, 1024QAM uniform capacity at 18.12 dB.

still be expected to benefit from iterations when used together with many-to-one shaping and a turbo code. On Fig. 12 we see this effect over a wide range of SNR (i.e. the throughput curves) for AWGN and i.i.d. fading channels. The iterative gain is kept for a wide region of SNR, due to the fact that as the SNR increases, the envelope follows the performance of the higher order modulation formats, i.e. the operating point always falls in the region, where the MI curve appears linear in the log-SNR domain, and the bit-wise MI is smaller than the symbol-wise (see Fig. 5). Towards the very high SNR this gain is not so pronounced because we are approaching the point, where the MI for the largest constellation considered (here 1024QAM), starts to level out.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper a family of mapping functions were designed for a turbo coded BICM system employing many-to-one constellation shaping. The mappings were based on a Huffman code with binary-reflected Gray code properties. Performance of the mappings was analyzed on AWGN and i.i.d. Rayleigh fading channels over a wide range of SNR and spectral efficiencies. It was shown that they outperform current state of the art TTCM, as well as the original many-to-one shaping from [7], further reducing the gap to capacity. It was also shown that iterative de-mapping improves the performance of binary-reflected Gray coded mappings, when combined with a turbo code.

REFERENCES


Fig. 11. Throughput envelope, i.i.d. Rayleigh fading channel

Fig. 12. Illustration of the iterative processing gain