



Microbial population dynamics in urban organic waste anaerobic co-digestion with mixed sludge during a change in feedstock composition and different hydraulic retention times

Fitamo, Temesgen Mathewos; Treu, Laura; Boldrin, Alessio; Sartori, Cristina; Angelidaki, Irini; Scheutz, Charlotte

Published in:
Water Research

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.012)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Fitamo, T. M., Treu, L., Boldrin, A., Sartori, C., Angelidaki, I., & Scheutz, C. (2017). Microbial population dynamics in urban organic waste anaerobic co-digestion with mixed sludge during a change in feedstock composition and different hydraulic retention times. *Water Research*, 118, 261-271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.012>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Microbial population dynamics in urban organic waste anaerobic co-digestion with mixed sludge during a change in feedstock composition and different hydraulic retention times

Temesgen Fitamo, Laura Treu, Alessio Boldrin, Cristina Sartori, Irini Angelidaki, Charlotte Scheutz

PII: S0043-1354(17)30267-1

DOI: [10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.012)

Reference: WR 12809

To appear in: *Water Research*


Received Date: 9 January 2017


Revised Date: 22 March 2017

Accepted Date: 4 April 2017

Please cite this article as: Fitamo, T., Treu, L., Boldrin, A., Sartori, C., Angelidaki, I., Scheutz, C., Microbial population dynamics in urban organic waste anaerobic co-digestion with mixed sludge during a change in feedstock composition and different hydraulic retention times, *Water Research* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.012.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.





1 For submission to *Water Research* (2017-0-09)

2 Revised Manuscript

3
4 **Microbial population dynamics in urban organic waste anaerobic co-digestion with mixed**
5 **sludge during a change in feedstock composition and different hydraulic retention times**
6

7 Temesgen Fitamo^{1*}, Laura Treu^{1,2}, Alessio Boldrin¹, Cristina Sartori², Irimi Angelidaki¹, Charlotte
8 Scheutz¹

9
10 ¹Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljoevej, Building
11 115, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
12

13 ²Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural resources, Animal and Environment (DAFNAE), viale
14 dell'Università, 16, 35020 Legnaro (Padova), Italy.
15

16 *Corresponding author

17 Department of Environmental Engineering,
18 Technical University of Denmark, Bygningstorvet, Building 115
19 DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

20 Phone: (+45) 4525 1413,

21 Fax: (+45) 4593 2850,

22 E-mail: tefi@env.dtu.dk
23
24

25 **Abstract**

26 Microbial communities play an essential role in the biochemical pathways of anaerobic
27 digestion processes. The correlations between microorganisms' relative abundance and anaerobic
28 digestion process parameters were investigated, by considering the effect of different feedstock
29 compositions and hydraulic retention times (HRTs). Shifts in microbial diversity and changes in
30 microbial community richness were observed by changing feedstock composition from mono-
31 digestion of mixed sludge to co-digestion of food waste, grass clippings and garden waste with
32 mixed sludge at hydraulic retention times (HRT) of 30, 20, 15 and 10 days. Syntrophic acetate
33 oxidation along with hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, mediated by *Methanothermobacter*, was
34 found to be the most prevalent methane formation pathway, with the only exception of 10 days'
35 HRT, in which *Methanosarcina* was the most dominant archaea. Significantly, the degradation of
36 complex organic polymers was found to be the most active process, performed by members of *SI*
37 (*Thermotogales*), *Thermonema* and *Lactobacillus* in a reactor fed with a high share of food waste.
38 Conversely, *Thermacetogenium*, *Anaerobaculum*, *Ruminococcaceae*, *Porphyromonadaceae* and the
39 lignocellulosic-degrading *Clostridium* were the significantly more abundant bacteria in the reactor
40 fed with an increased share of lignocellulosic biomass in the form of grass clippings and garden
41 waste. Finally, microbes belonging to *Coprothermobacter*, *Syntrophomonas* and *Clostridium* were
42 correlated significantly with the specific methane yield obtained in both reactors.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 **Keywords:** anaerobic digestion, methanogenesis, 16S rRNA, microbial diversity, urban organic
50 waste

51 1. Introduction

52 The use of anaerobic digestion (AD) to treat wastewater and municipal organic waste has
53 increased worldwide. AD is a complex biological process that converts biomass into biogas through
54 different microbial pathways and biochemical reactions (Angelidaki et al., 1999; Appels et al.,
55 2008; Favaro et al., 2013). One of its benefits is the recovery of biomethane, a versatile carrier of
56 renewable energy, which can be used for electricity and heat production or as a transport fuel
57 (Pöschl et al., 2010; Weiland, 2010). Mono-digestion of diluted substrates such as sewage sludge
58 and manure is nowadays economically challenging because of the low energy production.
59 Compared to mono-digestion, co-digestion of multiple substrates provides significant advantages,
60 including a more balanced supply of nutrients, a diluting effect for toxic and inhibiting compounds
61 and overall increased biogas production, the result of the enhanced supply of organic compounds
62 (Mata-Alvarez et al., 2014, 2000).

63 Disturbances in the stability of the AD process can occur when operational parameters
64 deviate from normal operating conditions, causing, for example, the accumulation of volatile fatty
65 acids (VFAs) and ammonia, and a subsequent inhibition of microbial activity (Chen et al., 2008;
66 Gerardi, 2003; Mao et al., 2015). Microbial diversity, activities and interactions can also be affected
67 by process parameters (e.g. temperature and ammonia), which in turn affect overall AD
68 performance (Goux et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2016). Understanding the microbial community structure
69 and pathways in AD is thus important, to ensure the regular operation and performance of the AD
70 process. Currently, due to technological advancements, general knowledge on AD microbial
71 community compositions and the roles of bacteria and archaea in the degradation process is well
72 established (Campanaro et al., 2016a; Eikmeyer et al., 2013). However, only a few studies have
73 investigated correlations between microbial community composition and process parameters
74 (Campanaro et al., 2016b; Luo et al., 2015; Rivière et al., 2009a).

75

76 Biochemical pathways involved in the AD process are based on rather complex and diverse
77 microbial roles. Therefore, it is important to understand the effect of the microbial community's
78 composition and function with regard to the operational parameters required to operate the digester
79 at optimum conditions and maximise energy recovery. Investigations conducted on seven anaerobic
80 digesters fed with sewage sludge have revealed that the core group of bacteria common to all
81 digesters is composed of six operational taxonomical units (OTUs) related to *Chloroflexi*,
82 *Betaproteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes* and *Synergistetes* (Rivière et al., 2009a). Sludge-based AD
83 digesters – besides strict anaerobes – contain aerobic bacteria originating from the feedstock sludge,
84 which basically consists of aerobic bacteria, and so *Chloroflexi* appear mainly in sludge-based AD
85 processes. Another study regarding sewage sludge digesters has found that the most common
86 archaeal taxa are *Methanomicrobia*, *Methanobacteria* and *Thermoplasmata* (Narihiro and
87 Sekiguchi, 2007). Microbial community variations can influence the AD process and thereby inhibit
88 or enhance the process. For example, it has been shown that the bio-augmentation of
89 hydrogenotrophic methanogen (*Methanoculleus bourgensis* MS2T) in an anaerobic digester can
90 play a significant role in overcoming ammonia inhibition (Fotidis et al., 2014). A shift in
91 methanogenic pathways and methanogenic community composition has been observed when the
92 microbial culture is exposed to increasing concentrations of acetate and ammonia (Fotidis et al.,
93 2013), while specific bacteria such as the filamentous *Microthrix* or *Nocardia* have been shown to
94 be associated with foaming incidents in biogas reactors (Kougias et al., 2014). A common feature of
95 all these studies is that they provide a snapshot of microbial community composition and activity at
96 a given time and in specific conditions. However, the response and development of microbial
97 communities to external changes in process conditions, to date, has not been reported adequately in
98 literature. This information is relevant to ensure smooth transitions when changing process
99 operations or treating specific substrates.

100 The main objective of this research was to study changes in the microbial population
101 community as a response to variations in the operation of the AD process and co-digestion of urban
102 organic waste (UOW) comprising food waste, grass clippings and garden waste with mixed sludge.
103 This was achieved by: (i) analysing the composition of the microbial community during UOW co-
104 digestion in continuously stirred tank reactors (CSTRs), operated at sequentially reduced hydraulic
105 retention times (HRTs), (ii) comparing two CSTRs fed with different UOW mixing ratios, co-
106 sewage sludge digestion, food waste, grass clippings and garden waste and (iii) analysing changes
107 in the microbial population community in terms of relative abundance and diversity, and correlating
108 these findings with reactor performance and operational process parameters.

109 **2. Materials and methods**

110 **2.1 Characterisation of input feedstock materials**

111 The feedstock materials included mixed sewage sludge, food waste, grass clippings and
112 garden waste, which were collected from several locations in Denmark, as described in Fitamo et al.
113 (2016a). The addition of UOW to existing AD operations at wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs)
114 is able to boost biogas production, and current biogas reactor facilities at WWTPs can be used in
115 this regard (Fitamo et al., 2016b). Organic feedstock was shredded into small particles with a shear-
116 shredder (ARP SC 2000) and knife mill (Wiencken 19225 and Fitzmill model D, Daso-6).
117 Individual organic waste materials were then characterised in terms of physicochemical properties
118 (e.g. total solids (TSs), volatile solids (VSs), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), lipids, VFAs, proteins,
119 total C and total N). The analytical methods are described in Fitamo et al. (2016a).

120 **2.2 Experimental set up and operation**

121 The co-digestion experiment was conducted to maximise biogas production from UOW, by adding
122 food and plant materials (garden waste and grass clippings) to existing sludge digestion at WWTPs.
123 The laboratory experimental work was carried out in two CSTRs, named R1 and R2, each with a

124 working volume of 7.5 L. The temperature was kept constant in thermophilic conditions (55°C) and
125 with hot water circulation supplied by a circular closed heating system. The co-substrates were fed
126 into the reactor via an automated feeding system, based on the organic loading rate (OLR) of the
127 reactor. The set-up was equipped with an automated stirring system and a water displacement gas-
128 metering counter to measure the amount of biogas produced. The CSTRs were operated in five
129 distinctive operational phases. Phase I aimed at establishing a baseline performance relative to
130 existing sewage sludge AD, and it included the mono-digestion of 100% mixed sludge (primary and
131 secondary sludge mixed at a 1:1 V/V ratio) in both R1 and R2, with a HRT of 30 days (HRT30).
132 After Phase I, UOW was added to the mixed sludge and fed into the reactors in fixed percentage VS
133 mixing ratios throughout Phases II to V. Reactor R1 received 10:67:16:7 and reactor R2 received
134 10:44:32:14 of sewage sludge, food waste, grass clippings and garden waste, respectively. The
135 ratios were set up in order to have high food waste in R1, while the VS share of food waste was
136 reduced but the lignocellulosic garden and clippings feedstock doubled in R2. An overview of the
137 experimental setup is provided in Table 1, which shows that the HRT was reduced stepwise, from
138 30 days (HRT30) in Phase II, to 20 days (HRT20) in Phase III, to 15 days (HRT15) in Phase IV
139 and, finally, to 10 days (HRT10) in Phase V. Phases I, II, III, IV and V lasted for about 2.5, 1.9, 1.6,
140 2.8 and 2.5, respectively. Specific methane yield, productivity, concentrations of ammonia and
141 acetate measured during the co-digestion of UOW in R1 and R2 are provided in Figure S-1 in the
142 Supporting Information (SI) (Fitamo et al., 2016a).

143 <Table 1 here>

144 **2.3 Sampling and DNA extraction**

145 Within each operational phase, duplicate reactor broth samples (10 mL) were taken from
146 both reactors once steady-state conditions were reached – this amounted to 10 samples in total.
147 Residual plant particles present in the samples were removed, using a 100 µm nylon cell strainer

148 filter. Centrifugation of the filtered samples (10,000 rpm, 10 minutes) was conducted to obtain ~1.5
149 g of cell pellet. The total microbial DNA extraction (DNA isolation and purification) was
150 performed using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit protocol (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad,
151 CA) with an additional initial cleaning step by Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol 25:24:1 pH 8
152 (Sigma-Aldrich, DK). The quality of the purified DNA was examined with gel electrophoresis, and
153 the DNA concentration was analysed with NanoDrop 2000 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham,
154 MA).

155 **2.4 16S rRNA gene sequences**

156 The samples were sequenced by utilising the Illumina MiSeq platform at Ramaciotti Centre
157 for Gene Function Analysis, University of New South Wales (Sydney, Australia), by amplifying the
158 V4 hypervariable region of the 16S ribosomal gene RNA using 515f-806r primers and following the
159 protocol of the Earth Microbiome Project (Earth Microbiome, 2011). The raw Illumina sequence
160 data obtained in this research work were submitted to the National Centre for Biotechnology
161 Information's (NCBI) sequence read archive database (SRP078424) under the bio-project number
162 (PRJNA328964). The sequences were analysed with CLC Genomic Workbench Software (V.8.0.2),
163 equipped with a microbial genomics module plug-in as previously described (Kougias et al.,
164 2016a). OTUs were aligned using MUSCLE software (Edgar RC, Nucleic Acids Res). The
165 Maximum Likelihood Phylogenetic tree, Alpha diversity index and Beta diversity were computed
166 as described by Kougias et al., (2016). The total number of reads obtained and total OTUs with
167 corresponding taxonomy assignment for the microbial community in both R1 and R2 are reported in
168 Table 1. OTUs with 10 sequences or fewer were considered extremely rare and were discarded from
169 further analysis. Direct comparison of the microbial relative abundance between the samples was
170 performed at genus and phylum level and was calculated as a percentage of the total community for
171 each sample.

172 The classification used to make the comparison of percentage relative abundance was
173 carried out with highly abundant (> 0.5% relative abundance) and lowly abundant (between 0.01% -
174 0.5% of relative abundance) OTUs, whereas they were discarded from analysis when lower than
175 0.01%. Most of the result and discussion section focuses on the most abundant microbes in the
176 community (> 0.5% of relative abundance), while the less abundant microorganisms were
177 considered only when statistically significant. Heat maps showing the relative abundance changes
178 (fold changes), due to comparisons of different retention times and feedstock compositions, were
179 prepared with the Multiexperiment viewer (MeV 4.9.0) (Saeed et al., 2003).

180 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

181 Statistics were performed using a general linear models analysis (GLM Procedure, SAS
182 Institute, 2009). Firstly, differences in microbial abundance in the two reactors (R1 or R2) and in
183 the subsequent phases (Phases I to Phase V) were studied in a series of single-trait analyses,
184 including the reactor and the phase as effects and the abundance of each microorganism as a trait.
185 Each microbial abundance was analysed separately with the GLM. The dataset for the analysis
186 consisted in all the pairs of replicates sampled within each reactor during the different phases (data
187 structure is reported in Table 1). In order to detect the trend of microbial abundance variation with
188 respect to a change in HRT, the phase was alternatively included in the analysis as a linear,
189 quadratic or cubic covariate. The model with the most significant shapes in variation (linear,
190 quadratic or cubic; $P \leq 0.05$) for the phase effect was therefore chosen for each microbial
191 abundance.

192 Methane yield, methane content of biogas, total VFA, individual VFAs, pH, reactor productivity
193 and ammonia were then used as traits to analyse variations in the operational process parameters.
194 Reactor (R1 or R2) and phase (Phase I to V) were treated as fixed effects (e.g. traits were analysed
195 by considering if they belonged to reactor R1 or R2, or to a specific Phase, I-V), and the abundance

196 of each microorganism as a linear covariate. In this way, any variation in the operational process
197 parameters was considered as depending on the variation in microbial abundance. Single-trait
198 models were run, all including the same fixed factors (*fixed*) and each one considering different
199 biochemical parameter; i (*parameter*) as trait and a different microorganism; k (*microorganism*) as
200 covariate, that can be generalized as: $parameter_i = fixed + microorganism_{k,i}$. This approach was
201 used to avoid the over-parameterization of the model (i.e., to have too many parameters for the
202 number of data), and to avoid problems of overlapping variances due to the introduction of
203 microorganisms with similar variations in abundance in the same model.

204 **3. Results and discussion**

205 **3.1 General microbial community composition and diversity**

206 The phylogenetic composition of the most abundant bacteria and archaea (OTUs) in the
207 entire microbial community was established, based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence considering all
208 samples from both reactors in all of the considered phases (Figure 1). Between 90 and 96% of the
209 OTUs were classified at the phylum level, showing that the majority of the microorganisms found
210 in the reactors could be identified at the phylum level. In contrast, only 47-73% of the entire
211 community was classified at the genus level (Table 1). This shows strong diversity among the
212 samples. Further research, using advanced sequencing techniques, is needed to classify in detail any
213 unknown microbes and to understand their specific role in the complex anaerobic degradation
214 process.

215

216 < Figure 1 here >

217 In general, the bacterial community consisted of *Firmicutes*, *OP9*, *Synergistetes*,
218 *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Thermotogae*, *Dicyoglomi* and *Chloroflexi* as the main phyla
219 (Figure 1 and Figure S-2). The predominance of phylogenetic groups such as *Firmicutes*,
220 *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* was a result of their ability to degrade a wide range of substances

221 such as cellulose, proteins, pectin and other xenobiotic compounds (Chouari et al., 2005; Zitomer et
222 al., 2016). The only identified archaeal phylum was *Euryarchaeota* (Figure 1 and Figure S-3),
223 which is a well-known microorganism involved in biogas production. These results are comparable
224 to previous studies of dominant core microorganisms classified at the phylum level in biogas
225 reactors (Luo et al., 2015; Nelson et al., 2011; Rivière et al., 2009b; Sundberg et al., 2013).

226 Microbial community diversity between different operational reactor phases was evaluated
227 using principal coordinate analysis (PCoA), which assesses the similarities between the microbial
228 community among samples. The results of the PCoA analysis are provided in Figure 2, showing
229 that the samples were concentrated into four clusters corresponding to the individual operational
230 phases of the reactors. For both reactors, the results clearly demonstrate a shift in microbial
231 community diversity in accordance with changes in feedstock composition (AD of sewage sludge in
232 Phase I to AD of UOW in Phase II) and the HRT of the reactors (Phase II-III). Similarities and
233 differences between microbial community diversity in operational conditions could be explained
234 with PCoA, which could capture 64% of the variation of microbial communities, indicated by PCo1
235 and PCo2 as 47% and 17%, respectively.

236 During Phase I (100% mixed sludge) of the AD operation, the samples examined for both
237 R1 and R2 clustered closely when operating at HRT 30 days, as seen in Figure 2. In Phase II,
238 microbial community diversity decreased according to the PCoA and the alpha diversity (Figure S-
239 4) in both reactors, most likely because of the introduction of UOW co-substrates to the reactors
240 (the HRT of Phases I and II was the same at 30 days). This reduction in microbial community
241 diversity between Phase I and Phase II could be due to the higher amount of lipids and proteins in
242 the UOW in comparison to sewage sludge, thereby leading to inhibition of the microorganisms due
243 to the accumulation of VFAs and an increase in ammonia concentration (Fotidis et al., 2013;
244 Kougias et al., 2016b; Palatsi et al., 2010). It could also be the case that especially activated sludge
245 also contains microorganisms from the WWTP process, i.e. aerobic microaerophilic and facultative

246 microorganisms while urban organic waste consists of indigenous microbes (Favaro et al., 2013;
247 Kim et al., 2009). The microbial biomass in the sludge would decrease as the share of the sludge is
248 reduced in the co-digested feedstock. Moreover, the PCoA and alpha diversity showed that the
249 decrease in microbial diversity was more pronounced in R2 than in R1, in connection with the fact
250 that R1 received more food waste than R2, which instead was fed with a higher share of green
251 waste containing lignocellulosic material. This shows that the slowly degradable feedstock in R2
252 resulted in lower microbial community diversity compared to the readily degradable feedstock in
253 R1 (Figure 2 and Figure S-4) in Phase II (HRT30).

254 < Figure 2 here >

255 Keeping the feedstock composition constant, a reduction in the HRT from 30 days (Phase II)
256 to 20 days (Phase III) resulted in a shift in microbial community diversity (Figure 2) in both
257 reactors. This result could be due to the adaption of microorganisms to the new co-substrate in the
258 feedstock. However, microbial community diversity specifically increased in R2, when moving
259 from Phase II to III (Figure S-4).

260 When reducing the HRT from 20 to 15 days (Phase III to Phase IV), R1 and R2 showed
261 opposing behaviours (Figure 2), in that while R1 fed with food waste showed increased microbial
262 diversity, R2 fed with lignocellulosic material developed a more specialised microbial community.

263 Finally, in Phase V, the AD processes were operated at a very low HRT (10 days) – a drastic
264 condition that could lead to process instability and operational failure and bring the microbial
265 community to a point of imbalance. In R1, microbial community diversity decreased significantly,
266 indicating a wash out of non-adherent microbes responsible for food waste degradation (Figure S-
267 4), which are mainly present in the liquid part of the reactor. On the contrary, in R2, microbes
268 related to lignocellulosic degradation and adhering to the substrate were more resistant to the wash
269 out action.

270 3.2 Trends in microbial abundance variation

271 The relative abundance of microbes (bacteria and archaea) for each operational phase (Phase
272 I to V) of R1 and R2 was provided in Figure 3. During Phase I, the most dominant microbes
273 according to the taxonomy assignment at the phylum level were classified as *Firmicutes* (40-49%),
274 *OP9* (11-13%) and *Synergistetes* (7-10%) in reactors R1 and R2 (Figure 3a). The relative
275 abundance of *Synergistetes* and *OP9* decreased in line with decreasing HRTs. Both *Synergistetes*
276 and *OP9* are known to ferment organic compounds (carbohydrates, organic acids) and cellulose,
277 sugars, hemicellulose, respectively, into H₂ and acetate (Dodsworth et al., 2013).

278 < Figure 3 here >

279 Other bacteria, such as *Proteobacteria*, were abundant (11%) in Phase I (when the reactors
280 were fed with sole-mixed sludge, MS), but they became undetectable when the reactors were fed
281 with UOW co-substrates in Phase II, R1/30 and R2/30 (Figure 3a). Also, *Dictyoglomi* (1-5%), *EM3*
282 (3-4%) and *Chloroflexi* (1-2%) disappeared when the substrate was changed from sludge to co-
283 substrate (Phase I to Phase II) (MS to R1/30 and R2/30, Figure 3a), because *Chloroflexi* especially
284 is known to come with feedstock sludge and is mainly seen in sludge digestions. These microbes
285 were favoured in Phase I (MS), possibly because of the sludge adapting to AD, but they were less
286 favoured compared to other microbes in the AD of UOW (Phase II - V), which could be due to the
287 reduction in the amount of sludge in the influent. Other studies have reported that *Chloroflexi* are
288 frequently found in digested sludge taken from waste water treatment plants (Chouari et al., 2005;
289 Rivière et al., 2009a; Yamada et al., 2005).

290 On the contrary, microorganisms belonging to *Bacteroidetes* were completely absent in
291 Phase I (MS) and were observed with high relative abundance (10.1%) in Phase II (R1/30 and
292 R2/30, Figure 3a) and sequentially increased during Phases II to V (R1/30 to R1/10 and R2/30 to
293 R2/10). During the AD of sludge in Phase I (MS), the relative abundance of *Thermotogae* at the

294 phylum level was 1% in R1, but this increased in subsequent operational phases with corresponding
295 values of 5%, 20%, 19% and 30% for Phases II (R1/30), III (R1/20), IV (R1/15) and V (R1/10),
296 respectively (Figure 3a). Microorganisms belonging to *Thermotogae* are known as hydrogen-
297 producing bacteria and produce acetate and CO₂ as by-products from biomass and organic waste
298 fermentation in thermophilic conditions. Similarly, an increasing trend in the relative abundance of
299 *Thermotogae* was observed in R2 (R2/30 to R2/10).

300 Regarding the archaeal community, methane-producing hydrogenotrophic *Methanothermobacter*
301 and *Methanosarcina* were the predominant and core taxa throughout the experiment (Figures 3b
302 and 3c), indicating that archaea are more independent than bacteria in response to different
303 feedstock compositions. Generally, from Phase I (MS) to Phase II (R1/30 and R2/30), the relative
304 abundance of *Euryarchaeota* increased from 2% to 9% and 7% in R1 (R1/30) and R2 (R2/30),
305 respectively (Figure 3a). On the contrary, they decreased in abundance from 3% to 0.5% (by a
306 factor of 5) and by 6% to 0.3% (by a factor of 9) in R1 (from R1/15 to R1/10) and R2 (from R2/15
307 to R2/10) when the HRT was changed from HRT15 (Phase IV) to HRT10 (Phase V) (Figure 3a),
308 thus indicating that archaea are more dependent on HRT than on feed composition. In both reactors
309 (R1 and R2), a considerable decrease in methane yield was also observed when the HRT was
310 changed from 15 days to 10 days as seen in Figure S-1 (SI), which may be due to overloading or
311 washout of *Euryarchaeota*.

312 In all phases, relative abundance of *Methanothermobacter* remained constant except in
313 Phase V (HRT10), where abundance decreased (Figure 3c, R1/10 and R2/10). The relative
314 abundance of *Methanosarcina* increased dramatically at HRT10 (Phase V, R1/10 and R2/10)
315 (Figure 3c). The genus *Methanosarcina* provides metabolic capability in both acetoclastic and
316 hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis and has also been reported to be more favourable in elevated
317 ammonia and VFA concentrations (Calli, 2005; De Vrieze et al., 2012; Staley et al., 2011).

318 3.3 Influence of different parameters on AD microbial community composition

319 3.4.1 The effect of feedstock composition

320 The percentage of relative microbial abundance considered in each reactor (R1 and R2) and
321 in the different phases (Phases I to Phase V), averaged for the replicates, is shown in a heat map and
322 also includes the fold changes of the most abundant microorganism in a steady-state condition in R1
323 and R2 (Figure 4). GLM analysis provided information about the significant variation in microbial
324 abundance, due to the different UOW feedstock compositions, and to the operational phase. The
325 core dominant genera found in both reactors were *Thermonema*, *SI* ($P \leq 0.001$), *Anaerobaculum*
326 ($P \leq 0.05$), *Coprothermobacter* and *Methanothermobacter*, as seen in Figure 4 and Figure S-5 (SI).
327 Species belonging to *Coprothermobacter* were identified as proteolytic anaerobic thermophilic
328 microbes in the biogas reactors and also established syntrophy with hydrogenotrophic methanogens
329 (Gagliano et al., 2015). Moreover, it is known that members of *Bacteroides* play a significant role in
330 cellulose, fats and proteins degradation (Hatamoto et al., 2007; Li et al., 2013). Meanwhile,
331 *Anaerobaculum* was found for the fermentation of organic acids and carbohydrates into acetate,
332 hydrogen and CO₂ (Menes and Muxí, 2002).

333 < Figure 4 here >

334 Limited numbers of significant variations were found between the reactors fed with different
335 UOW co-substrate compositions (Figure 4). Among the most abundant microbes (> 0.5% relative
336 abundance), three OTUs classified as *Anaerobaculum*, *Thermacetogenium* and *Ruminococcaceae*
337 were significantly more abundant (two to three times) in R2 compared to R1 ($P \leq 0.05$).
338 *Thermacetogenium* is a thermophilic syntrophic acetate oxidising bacterium and has also been
339 identified in the AD of kraft-pulp wastewater (Hattori, 2000). This finding confirmed that the
340 methane production pathway was favoured by syntrophic acetate oxidation (hydrogenotrophic
341 methanogens) in UOW co-digestion.

342 Other microbes, with a percentage relative abundance less than 0.5%, were significantly
343 enriched in R2 and belonged to *Porphyromonadaceae* (11 times more abundant in R2) and
344 *Clostridium* (three times more abundant in R2; $P \leq 0.01$). Members of the *Clostridium* genus are
345 known to degrade complex cellulose biopolymers (Guo et al., 2015; Nelson et al., 2011) and
346 lignocellulosic material components (Cirne et al., 2007; O'Sullivan et al., 2005).
347 *S1* (*Thermotogales*) and *Thermonema*, (relative abundance ($> 0.5\%$)) decreased significantly in R2
348 compared to R1 ($P \leq 0.05$) by a factor of 2 and 1.3, respectively (Figure 4). *Thermotogales*
349 microorganisms are involved in the fermentation of substrates such as glucose, acetate, methanol
350 and starch as well as reducing elemental sulphur and sulphate (Balk et al., 2002; Feng et al., 2010).
351 R1 was enriched with carbohydrate and fat-degrading microorganisms of *Lactobacillus* (5 times;
352 $P \leq 0.05$) (Li et al., 2013). Other less abundant OTUs, such as *Exiguobacterium*, *Bacillus* and
353 *Allochromatium*, decreased in R2 compared to R1 by a factor of 6.4 and 6, respectively (Figure 4).
354 The rest of the microorganisms, apart from *Caldicoprobacter* ($P \leq 0.05$), were found in both R1 and
355 R2, irrespective of the feedstock.

356 3.4.2 The effect of HRT

357 Differences in microbial relative abundance, due to hydraulic retention times, were detected
358 by considering the effect of the operational phases on the abundance of each microbe. Figure 4 and
359 Figure 5, respectively reports the abundances of microbial communities in the two reactors in the
360 different phases and the changes in the relative abundance of microorganisms between phases.
361 Microbes related to the fermentation of sugars into acetate, lactate, ethanol, CO_2 and H_2 , such as
362 *Thermonema*, *S1* and *Caldicoprobacter* (Bouanane-Darenfed et al., 2011), syntrophic acetate
363 oxidiser, such as *Thermacetogenium* (Hattori, 2000), and *Lactobacillus* increased by a factor of at
364 least seven (Figure 5). The GLM analysis (Figure 5) showed a significant trends for these
365 microorganisms, either linear (*Thermonema*; $P \leq 0.01$), quadratic (i.e. roughly assumed the shape of a
366 curve: *Caldicoprobacter*, *Thermacetogenium*; $P \leq 0.001$), or cubic (i.e. showing an inflection point:

367 *Lactobacillus*; $P \leq 0.05$). At HRT10 (Phase V) the community populations of *Caldicoprobacter*,
368 *Thermacetogenium* and *Lactobacillus* decreased in abundance (SI: Figure S-5), except those of
369 *Thermonema* and *S1* (Figure 6), which may be due to process inhibition resulting in a yield and
370 methane productivity drop.

371 < Figure 5 here >

372 The relative abundance of *Acinetobacter*, *Solibacillus*, *Dictyoglomus*, *Proteiniclasticum*,
373 *Exiguobacterium*, *Fervidobacterium*, *Bacillus*, *Allochromatium* and SMB53 decreased by a factor
374 of at least three in subsequent phases compared to Phase I (Figure 5 and Figure S-5 (SI)). The trend
375 of *Dictyoglomus* was linear ($P \leq 0.01$), The shape of variation for *Solibacillus* and *Proteiniclasticum*
376 was mainly linear ($P \leq 0.05$), but also a quadratic component was close to significance ($P = 0.06$). The
377 other microorganisms had a mixed pattern of variation, with both linear and quadratic significant
378 components ($P \leq 0.05$).

379 < Figure 6 here >

380 During the AD process of Phase II to Phase V, OTUs members of *Fervidobacterium*,
381 *Bacillus*, *Allochromatium* and SMB53 decreased in abundance, as shown in Figure 6 and Figure S-5
382 (SI). The most dominant genera in Phases II, III and IV at HRT30, HRT20 and HRT15,
383 respectively, were simple and complex sugar-fermenting bacteria (*S1*), proteolytic microorganisms
384 (*Coprothermobacter*), organic acid-degrading bacteria (*Anaerobaculum*), *Methanothermobacter* and
385 *Thermonema* ((Figure 6 and Figure S-5 (SI)). This could be due to increased OLR of UOW in the
386 feedstock. Additionally, Figure 5 shows an increasing trend of the dominant bacterial community,
387 *S1* and *Thermonema*, which could be due to higher specific growth rates surviving washouts at
388 shorter HRTs, and of a taxon belonging to *Firmicutes* (order *MBA08*; Figure S-2), another taxon
389 among the most representative. An almost decreasing trend of *Anaerobaculum* and
390 *Coprothermobacter* with respect to HRT, except for the last phase (HRT10), was also noted. The

391 methane-producing microorganism, namely *Methanothermobacter*, remained constant at HRT20
392 and HRT15 but dropped at HRT10.

393

394

395

396 **3.5 Biochemical correlation of the microbial community with AD process parameters**

397 The proper functioning of the AD process is influenced by a number of intertwined
398 microorganisms governing the complex biochemical pathways. Performance parameters measured
399 in the reactors, such as specific methane yield, methane productivity, ammonia concentration and
400 acetate (SI: Figure S-1) (reported in Fitamo et al., 2016a), were correlated with OTUs abundance.
401 The GML analysis (Table S-1, SI) produced a coefficient of linear regression for each biochemical
402 parameter-microbial abundance pair: a positive coefficient indicated that an increase in targeted
403 microbial abundance also caused an increase in the biochemical parameter under consideration,
404 whereas a negative coefficient indicated a decrease in the biochemical parameter, due to an increase
405 in microbial abundance (Table 2 and Table S-1). When comparing microbial community
406 composition with AD performance parameters (Figure S-1,SI), methane yield and productivity
407 significantly increased ($P \leq 0.05$; Table 2) when an increase in abundance variation occurred for the
408 OTUs assigned to Proteobacteria (*Acinetobacter iwoffii*, OTU: 532569; *Allochromatium*),
409 *Thermotogae* (SI, *Fervidobacterium*, two OTUs) and Bacteroidetes (*Thermonema*). On the other
410 hand, a significant decrease ($P \leq 0.05$; Table 2) in methane productivity and yield was observed
411 following an increase in the abundance of *Dictyoglomus*, *Fervidobacterium* (two OTUs) and in the
412 OTU 573124 belonging to *Acinetobacter*. Moreover, methane productivity and yield were
413 significantly affected by the abundance of microorganisms belonging to the phylum Firmicutes
414 (*Coprothermobacter*, *Syntrophomonas*, *Clostridium*, *Proteiniclasticum*, *Exiguobacterium*, *Bacillus*,

415 two OTUs including *Bacillus muralis*, *Solibacillus* and *SMB53*), which is mostly involved in the
416 hydrolysis of complex organic matter. Variation in the methane percentage was instead significantly
417 affected ($P \leq 0.001$) only by abundance of the OTU belonging to the phylum *Chloroflexi*, class
418 *Anaerolineae*, even if relatively low in abundance ($P < 0.05$) (Table S-1), members of which may be
419 thermophilic or mesophilic, are generally ubiquitous and play an important role in the environment
420 (Yamada et al., 2006).

421 Considering the VFAs, a significant decrease in the abundance of these acids ($P \leq 0.001$;
422 Table 2), in particular in propionate ($P \leq 0.05$; Table 2), was related to an increase in
423 *Syntrophomonas* (OTU: 1110842), known to beta-oxidise saturated fatty acids to acetate or acetate
424 and propionate (Sieber, 2010). Propionate significantly decreased ($P \leq 0.01$; Table S-1) following an
425 increase in OTU 254504 belonging to the order *SHA-98* of the class *Clostridia*, phylum *Firmicutes*,
426 known to be involved in syntrophic acetate oxidation activities. The concentration of acetate
427 followed the same trend ($P \leq 0.05$) for methane yield and production, apart for *SI* ($P = 0.013$; Table
428 2). Acetate also significantly increased when *Methanosarcina* increased ($P < 0.01$; Table 2) and
429 seemed also to be significantly associated with the phylum *Firmicutes* (*Coprothermobacter*,
430 *Syntrophomonas*, *Clostridium*, *Solibacillus*), and with *Methanosarcina*, OTU positively correlated
431 with acetoclastic methanogens, because acetate is a substrate for *Methanosarcina* metabolism. On
432 the other hand, acetate variation was not related to variation in the hydrogenotrophic methanogen
433 *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus*, since methane is mainly produced via syntrophic acetate
434 oxidation association followed by hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. Butyrate, the last VFA
435 considered in this study, resulted significantly in the abundance of *Syntrophomonas*, OTU 203894
436 and *Anaerobaculum* (phylum *Synergistetes*, OTU 533824; $P \leq 0.001$, Table 2), a genus able to reduce
437 substrates to butyrate with glucose as an electron donor.

438 The increase in *Anaerobaculum* abundance ($P \leq 0.01$; Table 2) was also related to a decrease
439 in the concentration of ammonia. Moreover, ammonia concentration increased in relation to the

440 increase in *Syntrophomonas*, OTU 203894, able to convert atmospheric molecular nitrogen to
441 ammonia (Sieber, 2010). pH variation was only affected by an OTU assigned to *Clostridium* (Table
442 2), whereby an increase in abundance was related to an increase in pH ($P \leq 0.001$), and by some
443 other non-abundant *Firmicutes* OTUs (Table S-1). Table S-1 (SI), providing an overview of the less
444 abundant OTUs significantly correlated with AD performance parameters, showed that biogas
445 production process was affected not only by dominant microorganisms, but also by less abundant
446 but crucial microorganisms.

447 Overall, the results of the microbial community analysis show that the composition of
448 feedstock and the process condition affects the diversity of the microbial community. The
449 biochemical correlation also reveals that certain groups of microbes particularly hydrolytic bacteria
450 are significantly correlated with anaerobic digestion process performance parameters. Knowledge of
451 changes in microbial community structures as a response changes in feedstock composition,
452 operational process parameter and reactor performance could help wastewater treatment plants and
453 biogas plant to enhance the methane yield and productivity through bioaugmentation.

454

455 **4. Conclusion**

456 The dominant microbial community, *Proteobacteria*, observed in sludge-based mono-
457 digestion decreased in abundance compared to the anaerobic co-digestion of urban organic waste
458 (UOW). Nevertheless, a new community, *Thermonema*, increased during the co-digestion of UOW.

459 Complex organic polymer degraders *Thermacetogenium*, *Anaerobaculum*,
460 *Ruminococcaceae* and *Clostridium* were significantly abundant in reactor fed with high share of
461 lignocellulosic material (R2) however *SI*, *Thermonema* and *Lactobacillus* were found to be
462 significantly abundant in reactor fed with high share of food waste (R1). The relative abundance of

463 *SI* and *Thermonema* increased, while other taxa such as *Coprothermobacter*, *Anaerobaculum* and
464 *Dictyoglomus* decreased in line with sequentially decreased HRTs.

465 Syntrophic acetate oxidation, followed by hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, was
466 established as the main methane formation pathway in both R1 and R2. However, the relative
467 abundance of methanogenic *Euryarchaeota* (*Methanothermobacter*) decreased when the HRT was
468 changed from 15 to 10 days, in which case *Methanosarcina* became dominant. Methane yield was
469 correlated with several *Firmicutes* (*Coprothermobacter*, *Syntrophomonas*, *Clostridium*) involved in
470 the hydrolysis stage. The concentration of acetate was correlated with several OTUs, such as
471 *Methanosarcina* and *Acinetobacter iwoffii*, while the concentration of ammonia was associated with
472 *Anaerobaculum* and *Syntrophomonas*.

473 The particular microbial community composition and diversity of the corresponding
474 feedstock composition and operational parameters could support biogas plants to enhance the
475 anaerobic digestion process performance by using bioaugmentation of the respective
476 microorganisms to achieve rapid microbial adaptation and also optimal production of methane yield
477 and productivity.

478

479

480 **5. Acknowledgments**

481 The project was supported by the Danish Council for Strategic Research (DSF) under the “Strategic
482 Research in Sustainable Energy and Environment” research programme through the project
483 “Optimisation of value chains for biogas production in Denmark (BioChain)” (Project no. 12-
484 132631). Moreover, the DSF project (Symbio) (Project ID no: 12-132654) supported the research.
485 The author would like to thank Xinyu Zhu (PhD student at DTU Environment, Denmark). The
486 authors are responsible for the content of this publication.

493 **6. References**

- 494 Angelidaki, I., Ellegaard, L., Ahring, B., 1999. A comprehensive model of anaerobic bioconversion
495 of complex substrates to biogas. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 63, 363–372. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-
496 0290(19990505)63:33.0.CO;2-Z
- 497 Appels, L., Baeyens, J., Degreè, J., Dewil, R., 2008. Principles and potential of the anaerobic
498 digestion of waste-activated sludge. *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* 34, 755–781.
499 doi:10.1016/j.peccs.2008.06.002
- 500 Balk, M., Weijma, J., Stams, A.J.M., 2002. *Thermotoga lettingae* sp. nov., a novel thermophilic,
501 methanol-degrading bacterium isolated from a thermophilic anaerobic reactor. *Int. J. Syst.*
502 *Evol. Microbiol.* 52, 1361–8. doi:10.1099/00207713-52-4-1361
- 503 Bhat, M.K., Bhat, S., 1997. Cellulose degrading enzymes and their potential industrial applications.
504 *Biotechnol. Adv.* 15, 583–620. doi:10.1016/S0734-9750(97)00006-2
- 505 Bouanane-Darenfed, A., Fardeau, M.-L., Grégoire, P., Joseph, M., Kebbouche-Gana, S., Benayad,
506 T., Hacene, H., Cayol, J.-L., Ollivier, B., 2011. *Caldicoprobacter algeriensis* sp. nov. a new
507 thermophilic anaerobic, xylanolytic bacterium isolated from an Algerian hot spring. *Curr.*
508 *Microbiol.* 62, 826–32. doi:10.1007/s00284-010-9789-9
- 509 Calli, B., 2005. Community changes during start-up in methanogenic bioreactors exposed to
510 increasing levels of ammonia. *Environ. Technol.* 26, 85–91.
- 511 Campanaro, S., Treu, L., Kougias, P.G., De Francisci, D., Valle, G., Angelidaki, I., 2016a.
512 Metagenomic analysis and functional characterization of the biogas microbiome using high
513 throughput shotgun sequencing and a novel binning strategy. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 9, 26.
514 doi:10.1186/s13068-016-0441-1
- 515 Campanaro, S., Treu, L., Kougias, P.G., Francisci, D. De, Valle, G., 2016b. Biotechnology for
516 Biofuels Metagenomic analysis and functional characterization of the biogas microbiome using
517 high throughput shotgun sequencing and a novel binning strategy. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 1–17.

- 518 doi:10.1186/s13068-016-0441-1
- 519 Chen, Y., Cheng, J.J., Creamer, K.S., 2008. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: a review.
520 *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 4044–64. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.057
- 521 Chouari, R., Le Paslier, D., Daegelen, P., Ginestet, P., Weissenbach, J., Sghir, A., 2005. Novel
522 predominant archaeal and bacterial groups revealed by molecular analysis of an anaerobic
523 sludge digester. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 1104–15. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00795.x
- 524 Cirne, D.G., Lehtomäki, A., Björnsson, L., Blackall, L.L., 2007. Hydrolysis and microbial
525 community analyses in two-stage anaerobic digestion of energy crops. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 103,
526 516–27. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03270.x
- 527 De Vrieze, J., Hennebel, T., Boon, N., Verstraete, W., 2012. Methanosarcina: the rediscovered
528 methanogen for heavy duty biomethanation. *Bioresour. Technol.* 112, 1–9.
529 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.02.079
- 530 Dodsworth, J.A., Blainey, P.C., Murugapiran, S.K., Swingley, W.D., Ross, C.A., Tringe, S.G.,
531 Chain, P.S.G., Scholz, M.B., Lo, C.-C., Raymond, J., Quake, S.R., Hedlund, B.P., 2013.
532 Single-cell and metagenomic analyses indicate a fermentative and saccharolytic lifestyle for
533 members of the OP9 lineage. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1854. doi:10.1038/ncomms2884
- 534 Earth Microbiome, P., 2011. 16S Illumina Amplicon Protocol : Earth Microbiome Project [WWW
535 Document]. URL <http://www.earthmicrobiome.org/emp-standard-protocols/16s/> (accessed
536 1.1.16).
- 537 Eikmeyer, F.G., Rademacher, A., Hanreich, A., Hennig, M., Jaenicke, S., Maus, I., Wibberg, D.,
538 Zakrzewski, M., Pühler, A., Klocke, M., Schlüter, A., 2013. Detailed analysis of metagenome
539 datasets obtained from biogas-producing microbial communities residing in biogas reactors
540 does not indicate the presence of putative pathogenic microorganisms. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 6,
541 49. doi:10.1186/1754-6834-6-49
- 542 Favaro, L., Alibardi, L., Lavagnolo, M.C., Casella, S., Basaglia, M., 2013. Effects of inoculum and

- 543 indigenous microflora on hydrogen production from the organic fraction of municipal solid
544 waste. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 38, 11774–11779. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.06.137
- 545 Feng, Y., Cheng, L., Zhang, X., Li, X., Deng, Y., Zhang, H., 2010. *Thermococcoides shengliensis*
546 gen. nov., sp. nov., a new member of the order Thermotogales isolated from oil-production
547 fluid. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60, 932–7. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.013912-0
- 548 Fitamo, T., Boldrin, A., Boe, K., Angelidaki, I., Scheutz, C., 2016a. Co-digestion of food and
549 garden waste with mixed sludge from wastewater treatment in continuously stirred tank
550 reactors. *Bioresour. Technol.* 206, 245–254. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.085
- 551 Fitamo, T., Boldrin, A., Dorini, G., Boe, K., Angelidaki, I., Scheutz, C., 2016b. Optimising the
552 anaerobic co-digestion of urban organic waste using dynamic bioconversion mathematical
553 modelling. *Water Res.* 106, 283–294. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.09.043
- 554 Fotidis, I.A., Karakashev, D., Kotsopoulos, T.A., Martzopoulos, G.G., Angelidaki, I., 2013. Effect
555 of ammonium and acetate on methanogenic pathway and methanogenic community
556 composition. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 83, 38–48. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01456.x
- 557 Fotidis, I.A., Wang, H., Fiedel, N.R., Luo, G., Karakashev, D.B., Angelidaki, I., 2014.
558 Bioaugmentation as a solution to increase methane production from an ammonia-rich
559 substrate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 7669–76. doi:10.1021/es5017075
- 560 Gagliano, M.C., Braguglia, C.M., Petruccioli, M., Rossetti, S., 2015. Ecology and biotechnological
561 potential of the thermophilic fermentative *Coprothermobacter* spp. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 91.
562 doi:10.1093/femsec/fiv018
- 563 Gerardi, M.H., 2003. *The Microbiology of Anaerobic Digesters*, Wastewater Microbiology Series.
564 John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA. doi:10.1002/0471468967
- 565 Goux, X., Calusinska, M., Fossépré, M., Benizri, E., Delfosse, P., 2016. Start-up phase of an
566 anaerobic full-scale farm reactor - Appearance of mesophilic anaerobic conditions and
567 establishment of the methanogenic microbial community. *Bioresour. Technol.* 212, 217–26.

- 568 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.04.040
- 569 Guo, J., Peng, Y., Ni, B.-J., Han, X., Fan, L., Yuan, Z., 2015. Dissecting microbial community
570 structure and methane-producing pathways of a full-scale anaerobic reactor digesting activated
571 sludge from wastewater treatment by metagenomic sequencing. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 14, 33.
572 doi:10.1186/s12934-015-0218-4
- 573 Haruta, S., Cui, Z., Huang, Z., Li, M., Ishii, M., Igarashi, Y., 2002. Construction of a stable
574 microbial community with high cellulose-degradation ability. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 59,
575 529–34. doi:10.1007/s00253-002-1026-4
- 576 Hatamoto, M., Imachi, H., Ohashi, A., Harada, H., 2007. Identification and cultivation of anaerobic,
577 syntrophic long-chain fatty acid-degrading microbes from mesophilic and thermophilic
578 methanogenic sludges. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 1332–40. doi:10.1128/AEM.02053-06
- 579 Hattori, S., 2000. *Thermacetogenium phaeum* gen. nov., sp. nov., a strictly anaerobic, thermophilic,
580 syntrophic acetate-oxidizing bacterium. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 50 Pt 4.
- 581 Hayashi, H., Takehara, M., Hattori, T., Kimura, T., Karita, S., Sakka, K., Ohmiya, K., 1999.
582 Nucleotide sequences of two contiguous and highly homologous xylanase genes *xynA* and
583 *xynB* and characterization of *XynA* from *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Appl. Microbiol.*
584 *Biotechnol.* 51, 348–357. doi:10.1007/s002530051401
- 585 Kim, D.-H., Kim, S.-H., Shin, H.-S., 2009. Hydrogen fermentation of food waste without inoculum
586 addition. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 45, 181–187. doi:10.1016/j.enzmictec.2009.06.013
- 587 Kougias, P.G., Francisci, D. De, Treu, L., Campanaro, S., Angelidaki, I., 2014. *Bioresource*
588 *Technology* Microbial analysis in biogas reactors suffering by foaming incidents. *Bioresour.*
589 *Technol.* 167, 24–32. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.05.080
- 590 Kougias, P.G., Treu, L., Benavente, D.P., Boe, K., Campanaro, S., Angelidaki, I., 2016a. Ex-situ
591 biogas upgrading and enhancement in different reactor systems. *Bioresour. Technol.*
592 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.11.124

- 593 Kougias, P.G., Treu, L., Campanaro, S., Zhu, X., Angelidaki, I., 2016b. Dynamic functional
594 characterization and phylogenetic changes due to Long Chain Fatty Acids pulses in biogas
595 reactors. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 28810. doi:10.1038/srep28810
- 596 Li, A., Chu, Y., Wang, X., Ren, L., Yu, J., Liu, X., Yan, J., Zhang, L., Wu, S., Li, S., 2013. A
597 pyrosequencing-based metagenomic study of methane-producing microbial community in
598 solid-state biogas reactor. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 6, 3. doi:10.1186/1754-6834-6-3
- 599 Lin, Q., De Vrieze, J., Li, J., Li, X., 2016. Temperature affects microbial abundance, activity and
600 interactions in anaerobic digestion. *Bioresour. Technol.* 209, 228–236.
601 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.02.132
- 602 Luo, G., De Francisci, D., Kougias, P.G., Laura, T., Zhu, X., Angelidaki, I., 2015. New steady-state
603 microbial community compositions and process performances in biogas reactors induced by
604 temperature disturbances. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 8, 3. doi:10.1186/s13068-014-0182-y
- 605 Lynd, L.R., Weimer, P.J., van Zyl, W.H., Pretorius, I.S., 2002. Microbial Cellulose Utilization:
606 Fundamentals and Biotechnology. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 66, 506–577.
607 doi:10.1128/MMBR.66.3.506-577.2002
- 608 Mao, C., Feng, Y., Wang, X., Ren, G., 2015. Review on research achievements of biogas from
609 anaerobic digestion. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 45, 540–555. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.02.032
- 610 Mata-Alvarez, J., Dosta, J., Romero-Güiza, M.S., Fonoll, X., Peces, M., Astals, S., 2014. A critical
611 review on anaerobic co-digestion achievements between 2010 and 2013. *Renew. Sustain.*
612 *Energy Rev.* 36, 412–427. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.04.039
- 613 Mata-Alvarez, J., Macé, S., Llabrés, P., 2000. Anaerobic digestion of organic solid wastes. An
614 overview of research achievements and perspectives. *Bioresour. Technol.* 74, 3–16.
615 doi:10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00023-7
- 616 Menes, R.J., Muxí, L., 2002. *Anaerobaculum mobile* sp. nov., a novel anaerobic, moderately
617 thermophilic, peptide-fermenting bacterium that uses crotonate as an electron acceptor, and

- 618 emended description of the genus *Anaerobaculum*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 52, 157–64.
619 doi:10.1099/00207713-52-1-157
- 620 Narihiro, T., Sekiguchi, Y., 2007. Microbial communities in anaerobic digestion processes for
621 waste and wastewater treatment: a microbiological update. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 18, 273–8.
622 doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2007.04.003
- 623 Nelson, M.C., Morrison, M., Yu, Z., 2011. A meta-analysis of the microbial diversity observed in
624 anaerobic digesters. *Bioresour. Technol.* 102, 3730–9. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.119
- 625 O’Sullivan, C.A., Burrell, P.C., Clarke, W.P., Blackall, L.L., 2005. Structure of a cellulose
626 degrading bacterial community during anaerobic digestion. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 92, 871–8.
627 doi:10.1002/bit.20669
- 628 Palatsi, J., Illa, J., Prenafeta-Boldú, F.X., Laureni, M., Fernandez, B., Angelidaki, I., Flotats, X.,
629 2010. Long-chain fatty acids inhibition and adaptation process in anaerobic thermophilic
630 digestion: batch tests, microbial community structure and mathematical modelling. *Bioresour.*
631 *Technol.* 101, 2243–51. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.11.069
- 632 Pöschl, M., Ward, S., Owende, P., 2010. Evaluation of energy efficiency of various biogas
633 production and utilization pathways. *Appl. Energy* 87, 3305–3321.
634 doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2010.05.011
- 635 Rivière, D., Desvignes, V., Pelletier, E., Chaussonnerie, S., Guermazi, S., Weissenbach, J., Li, T.,
636 Camacho, P., Sghir, A., 2009a. Towards the definition of a core of microorganisms involved in
637 anaerobic digestion of sludge. *ISME J.* 3, 700–14. doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.2
- 638 Rivière, D., Desvignes, V., Pelletier, E., Chaussonnerie, S., Guermazi, S., Weissenbach, J., Li, T.,
639 Camacho, P., Sghir, A., 2009b. Towards the definition of a core of microorganisms involved in
640 anaerobic digestion of sludge. *ISME J.* 3, 700–714. doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.2
- 641 Saeed, A.I., Sharov, V., White, J., Li, J., Liang, W., Bhagabati, N., Braisted, J., Klapa, M., Currier,
642 T., Thiagarajan, M., Sturn, A., Snuffin, M., Rezantsev, A., Popov, D., Ryltsov, A.,

- 643 Kostukovich, E., Borisovsky, I., Liu, Z., Vinsavich, A., Trush, V., Quackenbush, J., 2003.
644 TM4: a free, open-source system for microarray data management and analysis. *Biotechniques*
645 34, 374–8.
- 646 Sieber, J.R., 2010. The genome of *Syntrophomonas wolfei*: new insights into syntrophic
647 metabolism and biohydrogen production. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 2289–2301.
- 648 Staley, B.F., de Los Reyes, F.L., Barlaz, M.A., 2011. Effect of spatial differences in microbial
649 activity, pH, and substrate levels on methanogenesis initiation in refuse. *Appl. Environ.*
650 *Microbiol.* 77, 2381–91. doi:10.1128/AEM.02349-10
- 651 Sundberg, C., Al-Soud, W.A., Larsson, M., Alm, E., Yekta, S.S., Svensson, B.H., Sørensen, S.J.,
652 Karlsson, A., 2013. 454 pyrosequencing analyses of bacterial and archaeal richness in 21 full-
653 scale biogas digesters. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 85, 612–26. doi:10.1111/1574-6941.12148
- 654 Weiland, P., 2010. Biogas production: Current state and perspectives. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*
655 85, 849–860. doi:10.1007/s00253-009-2246-7
- 656 Yamada, T., Sekiguchi, Y., Hanada, S., Imachi, H., Ohashi, A., Harada, H., Kamagata, Y., 2006.
657 *Anaerolinea thermolimosa* sp. nov., *Levilinea saccharolytica* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Leptolinea*
658 *tardivitalis* gen. nov., sp. nov., novel filamentous anaerobes, and description of the new classes
659 *Anaerolineae* classis nov. and *Caldilineae* classis nov. in the . *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 56,
660 1331–40. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.64169-0
- 661 Yamada, T., Sekiguchi, Y., Imachi, H., Kamagata, Y., Ohashi, A., Harada, H., 2005. Diversity,
662 localization, and physiological properties of filamentous microbes belonging to Chloroflexi
663 subphylum I in mesophilic and thermophilic methanogenic sludge granules. *Appl. Environ.*
664 *Microbiol.* 71, 7493–503. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.11.7493-7503.2005
- 665 Zitomer, D., Maki, J., Venkiteshwaran, K., Bocher, B., 2016. Relating Anaerobic Digestion
666 Microbial Community and Process Function. *Microbiol. Insights* 8, 37.
667 doi:10.4137/MBI.S33593

List of Figures

Figure 1: Phylogenetic trees of OTUs, describing the entire microbial community observed in both reactors (R1 and R2) during the AD of mixed sludge and co-digestion of urban organic waste at 55°C and HRTs of 30, 20, 15 and 10 days. The letter k_ denotes kingdom, p_ (phylum), c_ (class), o_ (order), f_ (family), g_ (genus) and s_ (species) taxonomical levels. Thick branches indicate bootstrap analysis values higher than 50.

Figure 2: Differences in microbial community diversity shown by principal coordinate analysis ordination (PCoA), considering differences in hydraulic retention time (Phases I to V) and feedstock composition (R1 and R2). The diamond shapes indicate the AD process in R1, while the circles represent R2. The arrows indicate changes in microbial composition.

Figure 3: The relative abundance of microorganisms based on the taxonomical classification of the microbial community in both reactors (R1 and R2) in each operational phase (Phase I to V) (a) identified at phylum, (b) identified at genus level (> 0.5 OTUs of relative abundance) and (c) archaeal community at genus level (> 0.5 OTUs). All other unidentified OTUs were included in “Unclassified”. The letter MS denotes sole mixed sludge at HRT of 30 days (Phase I), the numbers 30 (Phase II), 20 (Phase III), 15 (Phase IV) and 10 (Phase V) denotes the hydraulic retention times at the respective reactors (R1 and R2).

Figure 4: The heat map of the average relative abundance of replicates of dominant microorganisms in the different phases (Phase I to Phase V) within R1 and R2 (on the left panel), and fold changes ($\log_2(R1/R2)$) from R1 to R2 (on the right panel). Colour scales are shown on top of each panel. On the left panel, the most abundant microorganisms are shown in red colour and the less abundant in blue and black. On the right panel, the relative abundance increment in fold change is coloured by red, while the decrease in fold change is coloured in green. The black colour indicates if there was no fold change. The asterisks close to the left and to the right panels indicate the significance of the phase and reactor effects, respectively (* $P \leq 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$; *** $P \leq 0.001$), on the variation in average microbial abundance.

Figure 5: General trends of the most abundant microorganisms classified at genus level with respect to changes in operational phases (Phase I, Phase II, Phase III, Phase IV and Phase V). Abundance was calculated from averaged row data as logarithm of the ratio between each phase (II, III, IV and

V) and the reference phase (Phase I). The obtained results are denoted as Phase II (Phase II versus I), Phase III (Phase III versus I), Phase IV (Phase V versus I) and Phase V (Phase V versus I). Trends are classified in: a) linear; b) quadratic; c) cubic; d) mixed shapes of variation, according to the general linear models analysis (GLM).

Figure 6: The percentage of relative abundance of dominant microorganisms (> 0.5 OTUs) with a change in the operational phase: Phase I (R1 and R2), Phase II (R1 and R2) and Phase V (R1 and R2).

1 **Tables**

2 Table 1. Overview of process conditions and sequencing results. Co-digestion at HRTs of 30, 20, 15
 3 and 10 days, with corresponding co-substrate compositions in R1 and R2. Feedstock composition is
 4 shown as the ratios of sludge, food waste, grass clippings and garden waste, respectively, for R1
 5 and R2 (all VS-based).

Sample	Phase	HRT (days)	Reactor	Feedstock	Reads assigned to taxa	OTUs (>10 reads)	>0.5% of relative abundance				
							Genus (%)	Family (%)	Order (%)	Class (%)	Phylum (%)
R1/MS-I	I	30	1	Sludge*	85069	186	62	87	88	88	90
R1/MS-II	I	30	1	Sludge*	110862	193	73	85	87	87	91
R1/30-I	II	30	1	10:67:16:7	109386	109	60	93	96	96	96
R1/30-II	II	30	1	>>	96073	113	63	92	95	95	95
R1/20-I	III	20	1	>>	67045	108	64	80	96	96	96
R1/20-II	III	20	1	>>	128505	132	60	80	96	96	96
R1/15-I	IV	15	1	>>	101730	135	62	72	94	94	94
R1/15-II	IV	15	1	>>	111771	198	63	74	92	92	93
R1/10-I	V	10	1	>>	123175	79	70	77	95	95	95
R1/10-II	V	10	1	>>	130529	128	63	72	94	94	94
R2/MS-I	I	30	2	Sludge*	136020	360	47	71	73	73	79
R2/MS-II	I	30	2	Sludge*	113342	229	72	86	88	88	90
R2/30-I	II	30	2	10: 44:32:14	108985	161	56	88	91	91	91
R2/30-II	II	30	2	>>	49967	121	54	92	94	94	94
R2/20-I	III	20	2	>>	116453	176	64	75	90	90	90
R2/20-II	III	20	2	>>	129588	133	49	70	90	90	90
R2/15-I	IV	15	2	>>	45503	142	62	75	93	93	93
R2/15-II	IV	15	2	>>	109209	145	68	78	93	93	93
R2/10-I	V	10	2	>>	42890	107	51	57	91	91	91
R2/10-II	V	10	2	>>	58895	134	47	52	91	91	91

6 *Mixture of primary and activated sludge

7


8

9

Table 2. Significant sources of variation for AD biochemical performance parameters obtained in single-trait linear model analyses considering phases (Phases I-V) and reactors (R1 and R2) as fixed effects and the microbial abundance as a covariate. The P-value of microbial abundance is reported for each model run, and significant results ($P \leq 0.05$) are shown in bold and green font. The direction of the variations was indicated by different colours (blue: same variation; red: opposite variation; white: close to zero variation). When phase and reactor effects resulted as significant ($P \leq 0.05$) in a model, they were indicated with a P or R superscript close to the P-value of microbial abundance. Only the most interesting OTUs and biochemical parameters were reported (an extended list is provided in Table S-1).

OTUs	Phylum	Genus	CH ₄ Productivity	CH ₄ yield	% CH ₄	VFA	Acetate	Butyrate	Propionate	Ammonia	pH
573124	Proteobacteria	<i>Acinetobacter</i>	0.02 ^{PR}	0.02 ^{PR}	0.28 ^P	0.2 ^{PR}	0 ^{PR}	0.47	0.97	0.28 ^P	0.8 ^P
532569	Proteobacteria	<i>Acinetobacter</i>	0.03 ^{PR}	0.03 ^{PR}	0.32	0.2 ^{PR}	0 ^{PR}	0.42	0.99	0.23 ^{PR}	0.8
563656	Proteobacteria	<i>Allochromatium</i>	0.01 ^{PR}	0.01 ^{PR}	0.2	0.1 ^{PR}	0 ^{PR}	0.41	0.92	0.26 ^P	0.8
533824	Synergistetes	<i>Anaerobaculum</i>	0.84 ^P	0.78 ^P	0.77 ^P	0.5 ^P	0.56	0 ^P	0.69	0.01 ^P	0.4 ^P
302965	Firmicutes	<i>Bacillus</i>	0.01 ^{PR}	0.01 ^{PR}	0.26	0.2 ^{PR}	0 ^{PR}	0.48	0.98	0.28	0.8
578257	Firmicutes	<i>Bacillus</i>	0.01 ^{PR}	0.01 ^{PR}	0.26 ^P	0.2 ^{PR}	0 ^{PR}	0.46	0.99	0.27 ^P	0.8
210805	Firmicutes	<i>Caldicoprobacter</i>	0.37 ^P	0.3 ^P	0.69 ^P	0.7	0.55	0.9	0.15 ^P	1 ^P	0.5 ^P
1108449	Firmicutes	<i>Caldicoprobacter</i>	0.2 ^P	0.14 ^P	0.34 ^P	0.5 ^P	0.26	0.35 ^P	0.91	0.38 ^P	0.4 ^P
1047886	Firmicutes	<i>Clostridium</i>	0.38 ^P	0.31 ^P	0.58 ^P	0.8	0.6	0.91 ^P	0.27	0.94 ^P	0.4 ^P
220242	Firmicutes	<i>Clostridium</i>	0.32 ^P	0.41 ^P	0.08 ^P	0.3 ^P	0.42	0.59	0.47 ^P	0.68 ^P	0.1 ^P
2971192	Firmicutes	<i>Clostridium</i>	0.01 ^{PR}	0.01 ^{PR}	0.21	0.2 ^{PR}	0 ^{PR}	0.46	0.99	0.28 ^P	0.8
1130771	Firmicutes	<i>Clostridium</i>	0.65 ^P	0.77 ^P	0.46 ^P	0.6 ^P	0.69	0.36 ^P	0.67	0.53 ^P	0 ^P
272967	Firmicutes	<i>Coprothermobacter</i>	0.01 ^{PR}	0.01 ^{PR}	0.19 ^P	0.4	0.05 ^{PR}	0.93	0.67	0.7 ^P	0.7 ^P
OTU-001	Dictyoglomi	<i>Dictyoglomus</i>	0.01 ^{PR}	0.01 ^{PR}	0.24 ^P	0.2 ^{PR}	0 ^{PR}	0.5	0.97	0.3 ^P	0.8 ^P
189039	Firmicutes	<i>Exiguobacterium</i>	0.05 ^{PR}	0.05 ^{PR}	0.4	0.3 ^R	0.01 ^{PR}	0.58	0.84	0.33	0.7
109610	Thermotogae	<i>Fervidobacterium</i>	0.03 ^{PR}	0.03 ^{PR}	0.33	0.2 ^R	0 ^{PR}	0.53	0.91	0.3	0.7
559513	Thermotogae	<i>Fervidobacterium</i>	0.02 ^{PR}	0.02 ^{PR}	0.3	0.2 ^R	0 ^{PR}	0.48	0.95	0.28	0.8
4415598	Firmicutes	<i>Lactobacillus</i>	0.71 ^P	0.65 ^P	0.58 ^P	0.8	0.45	0.19 ^P	0.67	0.08 ^P	0.5 ^P

3851582	Firmicutes	<i>Lactobacillus</i>	0.98	P	0.95	P	0.47	P	0.7		0.64		0.15	P	0.99		0.08	P	0.7	P
592689	Euryarchaeota	<i>Methanosarcina</i>	0.12	PR	0.13	PR	0.5	P	0.1	P	0.02	PR	0.26	P	0.84		0.11	P	0.8	P
369183	Euryarchaeota	<i>Methanothermobacter</i>	0.77	PR	0.8	P	0.65	P	0.8		0.52	R	0.73		0.75		0.45	P	0.7	P
167215	Firmicutes	<i>Proteiniclasticum</i>	0.01	PR	0.02	PR	0.27	P	0.2	PR	0	PR	0.48		0.96		0.28	P	0.8	
OTU-002	Thermotogae	S1	0.76	PR	0.82	P	0.18	P	0.3	P	0.85	R	1		0.08	P	0.82	P	0.5	P
777316	Thermotogae	S1	0.05	P	0.05	P	0.21	P	0.6		0.13		0.79		0.57		0.97	P	0.6	P
555945	Firmicutes	SMB53	0.01	PR	0.01	PR	0.22	P	0.1	PR	0	PR	0.44		0.96		0.27	P	0.8	
821325	Firmicutes	<i>Solibacillus</i>	0.01	PR	0.02	PR	0.27		0.2	PR	0	PR	0.47		0.97		0.27	P	0.8	
287657	Firmicutes	<i>Solibacillus</i>	0.02	PR	0.02	PR	0.31		0.2	PR	0	PR	0.4		0.98		0.22	R	0.8	
1110842	Firmicutes	<i>Syntrophomonas</i>	0.57	PR	0.65	P	0.27	P	0	PR	0.47	R	0.59	P	0.03	P	0.63	P	0.3	P
2677385	Firmicutes	<i>Syntrophomonas</i>	0.02	PR	0.02	PR	0.3		0.2	R	0	PR	0.45		0.95		0.26	P	0.8	
203894	Firmicutes	<i>Syntrophomonas</i>	0.89	P	0.85	P	0.77	P	0.4	P	0.6		0.01	P	0.57		0.02	P	0.5	P
247170	Firmicutes	<i>Thermacetogenium</i>	0.34	P	0.27	P	0.8	P	0.8		0.46		0.97	P	0.12		0.82	P	0.5	P
248523	Firmicutes	<i>Thermacetogenium</i>	0.35	P	0.27	P	0.87	P	0.9		0.43		0.75	P	0.15	P	0.62	P	0.3	P
242302	Firmicutes	<i>Thermacetogenium</i>	0.23	P	0.17	P	0.38	P	0.8		0.36		0.64	P	0.61		0.66	P	0.4	P
566078	Bacteroidetes	<i>Thermonema</i>	0	P	0	P	0.11	P	0.1	P	0	P	0.49		0.84		0.36	P	0.8	P







Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)







Figure 6



DTU Environment



To

Water Research

16 March 2017

Highlights: Concerning manuscript for publication in Water Research

- *Thermonema* was dominant in co-digestion of sewage sludge and urban organic waste.
- Potential pathogenic *Acinetobacter* found in sludge disappeared during co-digestion.
- When reducing hydraulic retention time, *Methanothermobacter* decreased in abundance.
- Methane and acetate significantly correlated to *Acinetobacter* and *Bacillus* abundance.
- Ammonia production significantly increased with the presence of *Syntrophomonas*.

Best regards,

Temesgen Fitamo (PhD student) and Co-authors

DTU Environment