Assessment of Urban Pluvial Flood Risk and Efficiency of Adaptation Options Through Simulations – A New Generation of Urban Planning Tools

Löwe, Roland; Urich, Christian; Sto. Domingo, Niña Donna Farpale; Mark, Ole; Deletic, Ana; Arnbjerg-Nielsen, Karsten

Published in:
Journal of Hydrology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.009)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Löwe, R., Urich, C., Sto. Domingo, N. D. F., Mark, O., Deletic, A., & Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K. (2017). Assessment of Urban Pluvial Flood Risk and Efficiency of Adaptation Options Through Simulations – A New Generation of Urban Planning Tools. *Journal of Hydrology*, 550, 355–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.009>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Assessment of Urban Pluvial Flood Risk and Efficiency of Adaptation Options Through Simulations – A New Generation of Urban Planning Tools

Roland Löwe^{a,d,*}, Christian Urich^{b,d}, Nina Sto. Domingo^c, Ole Mark^c, Ana Deletic^b, Karsten Arnbjerg-Nielsen^{a,d}

*correspondence to: rolo@env.dtu.dk, +45-45251462

^aDepartment of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark (DTU Environment), Miljøvej B115, Kgs. Lyngby, 2800, Denmark, rolo@env.dtu.dk, karn@env.dtu.dk

^bDepartment of Civil Engineering, 23 College Walk, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, 3800, Australia, christian.urich@monash.edu, ana.deletic@monash.edu

^cDHI Water and Environment, Agern Allé 5, Hørsholm, 2970, Denmark, nsd@dhigroup.com, omj@dhigroup.com

^dCooperative Research Centre (CRC) for Water Sensitive Cities, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia

ABSTRACT

We present a new framework for flexible testing of flood risk adaptation strategies in a variety of urban development and climate scenarios. This framework couples the 1D-2D hydrodynamic simulation package MIKE Flood with the agent-based urban development model DAnCE4Water and provides the possibility to systematically test various flood risk adaptation measures ranging from large infrastructure changes over decentralised water management to urban planning policies. We have tested the framework in a case study in Melbourne, Australia considering 9 scenarios for urban development and climate and 32 potential combinations of flood adaptation measures. We found that the performance of adaptation measures strongly depended on the considered climate and urban development scenario and the other implementation measures implemented, suggesting that adaptive strategies are preferable over one-off investments. Urban planning policies proved to be an efficient means for the reduction of flood risk, while implementing property buyback and pipe increases in a guideline-oriented manner was too costly. Random variations in location and time point of urban development could have significant impact on flood risk and would in some cases outweigh the benefits of less efficient adaptation strategies. The results of our setup can serve as an input for robust decision making frameworks and thus support the identification of flood risk adaptation measures that are economically efficient and robust to variations of climate and urban layout.

KEYWORDS

Flood risk; urban development; climate change; agent-based modelling; hydrodynamic modelling; robust decision making

1 INTRODUCTION

The implementation of flood adaptation measures often involves very long planning horizons of 30 and more years, because measures are either time-consuming to implement, or require large investments, or both. Commonly, adaptation measures would be selected based on predictions of flood risk over the planning horizon. However, this approach is problematic, because typically only little is known about the future. For example, studies in various locations have shown that flood risk is strongly affected by climate change and urban growth and anticipated to increase over the next century (Ehret et al., 2008; Hinkel et al., 2014; Muis et al., 2015; Muller, 2007; Semadeni-Davies et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2007). However, projections of sea levels and rainfall are subject to large uncertainties (Hall et al., 2014; Madsen et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2007; Sunyer et al., 2014). Similarly, spatial and temporal projections of urban development depend on uncertain projections of population growth and economic development (Cohen, 2004; Granger and Jeon, 2007) and future societal preferences are virtually unknown.

In such a context, flood adaptation options or, more generally, policies based on predictions of the future conditions can prove to be very fragile (Walker et al., 2001) and necessary investments may well be postponed for fear of making irreversible choices (Aerts et al., 2014). As proposed already by (Walker et al., 2001), new decision tools therefore point in the direction of testing adaptation options for a variety of potential future developments and assessing their robustness (Gersonius et al., 2012; Kwakkel et al., 2015; Prudhomme et al., 2010). Such scenario based evaluations have become quite common in the assessment of flood risks (Huong and Pathirana, 2013; Muis et al., 2015; Poelmans et al., 2011; Sekovski et al., 2015), but are difficult to apply for design purposes due to the simulation effort involved and are therefore usually performed only for few selected scenarios (Zhou et al., 2012) or apply very simplified models (Kwakkel et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2007).

In this article, we demonstrate the development and application of a tool which allows for a systematic assessment of flood adaptation options in urban environments for a variety of potential futures. We have linked the urban development model DAnCE4Water (Urich and Rauch, 2014) with the 1D-2D hydrodynamic modelling engine MIKE FLOOD (DHI, 2013) to allow dynamic linkages between climate change impacts, city development, and adaptation options. DAnCE4Water applies an agent-based approach to simulate the evolution of the urban form at parcel level detail and thus directly provides information on the shape and location of urban features such as buildings or streets. This approach allows for a consideration of spatio-temporal interdependencies between flood hazard, exposure and vulnerability as suggested by (Merz et al., 2014) as these may lead to undesired surprises in flood risk management (Merz et al., 2015). Further, the effect of, e.g., zoning policies can directly be modelled in an easily understandable manner. The agent-based approach was therefore preferred over the raster based black box methods applied in most other hydrological studies considering urban development or land use change (Barreira González et al., 2015; Hoymann, 2010; Muis et al., 2015; Poelmans and Van Rompaey, 2010; Sekovski et al., 2015). In line with a general development in the field of urban modelling (Batty, 2009), the purpose of this setup is not to predict future developments, but to perform exploratory analysis and to support dialogue between stakeholders.

Urban flood adaptation measures can take effect on runoff formation, transport and retention in the drainage network, as well as surface flow paths. A tool for assessing the effect of adaptation measures thus needs to be able to consider all of these effects. For this reason, we chose to apply a coupled 1D-2D hydrodynamic model for the assessment of flood hazards. Despite their complexity, such models have been shown to be applicable also for large urban catchments (Henonin et al., 2015; Russo et al., 2015) and in an automated manner (Meneses et al., 2015).

The aim of this paper is

1. to illustrate the new tool for systematic testing of flood risk adaptation options,
2. to demonstrate that it is possible to assess various adaptation options for a variety of future pathways using a detailed urban development and hydrodynamic modelling approach,
3. to highlight opportunities for further development of such a setup, and
4. to demonstrate the benefits of systematically screening adaptation options for a variety of potential futures.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 GENERAL SETUP

Our aim was to provide a new tool that supports the systematic assessment of a variety of flood adaptation options under a variety of potential urban development and climate scenarios. Figure 1 illustrates the modelling setup we applied. In this setup, the urban development modelling platform DAnCE4Water (Urich and Rauch, 2014) was integrated with the hydrodynamic model MIKE FLOOD using ArcPy (ESRI, 2012) and the GDAL libraries (GDAL Development Team, 2014) through Python.

We tested so-called pathways defined by different combinations of:

- Scenarios, manifested in varying external drivers such as
 - different population growth rates and
 - climate scenarios,
- Flood adaptation strategies such as
 - infrastructural measures to be implemented or
 - land use management strategies.

The urban development model then performed a simulation along the pathway over a planning horizon from the year 2010 until 2060. Every 10 years, the current urban development state was transferred to the hydrodynamic model and the current flood risk was assessed using design rains derived according to the considered climate scenario.

Each pathway thus consisted of 6 flood risk assessments that varied depending on the number of households currently present in the catchment, the type and location of buildings, the current state of the climate and the flood adaptation options currently implemented. We refer to Section 2.3 for a detailed description of the urban development and climate scenarios we considered, as well as the implemented flood adaptation options.

131 Note that the setup illustrated in Figure 1 allows for conditioning the urban
 132 development model on results from the hydrodynamic simulation. This opens up the
 133 possibility to optimize adaptation options along a pathway as suggested by (Kwakkel
 134 et al., 2015).

135 Further, while our work was focused on flood risk assessment and the development
 136 of adaptation options in a highly uncertain future, the work presented here can be
 137 embedded into a more general urban planning context. The urban development and
 138 the flood adaptation options implemented along a pathway impact other factors of
 139 the water household and urban liveability. Such factors can be assessed by linking
 140 other model blocks to the urban development model and including their results in a
 141 cost benefit assessment. Examples of such extensions are the consideration of effects
 142 on urban heat, the provision of ecosystem services or the efficiency of transport
 143 connections. In the terminology of (Merz et al., 2010), such a simulation setup aims
 144 to extend the problem domain (level 4) for flood risk management because
 145 adaptation options are designed to fulfil multiple purposes rather than the sole
 146 reduction of flood risk.

147
 148 Figure 1. Schematic coupling between urban development model (DAnCE4Water) and 1D-2D
 149 hydrodynamic model (MIKE FLOOD).

150
 151 **2.2 CASE STUDY CATCHMENT**

152 We have tested the flood risk assessment tool in an urban case study in Australia.
 153 The Scotchman’s Creek catchment covers an area of 300 ha of mostly residential
 154 land use in the south-eastern suburbs of Melbourne, Australia. The catchment has
 155 undergone strong urban development over the last decades. It was applied in
 156 previous studies that investigated the implementation of water sensitive urban design
 157 (WSUD) infrastructures (Bach et al., 2015, 2013).

158 Figure 2 provides an overview of the catchment together with the simulated flood
159 areas for a design rain with return period 100 years. Flood risk in the catchment is
160 dominated by pluvial flooding, mainly as a result of urbanization in low-lying areas
161 of the catchment. A 1D-2D hydraulic model was set up for our case study. Details on
162 the available dataset are provided in the supporting material. As input for the
163 hydraulic simulations we applied design rainfalls that were extracted from Australian
164 guidelines (Australia Institution of Engineers, 1987; French and Jones, 2012).

165
166 Figure 2. Scotchman's Creek catchment in Melbourne, Australia with flooded area for 100yr return
167 period.

168
169 **2.3 PATHWAYS**

170 To test the performance of flood risk adaptation strategies for a variety of potential
171 futures, we need to define realistic ranges for future climate and urban development
172 as well as adaptation strategies to consider. We therefore defined 3 potential
173 population growth and 3 climate scenarios, resulting in 9 different scenarios for
174 future development. These were subsequently used to test a total of 32 potential
175 combinations of adaptation strategies. Each candidate strategy was tested for each of
176 the 9 future scenarios, leading to 288 potential pathways.

177 **2.3.1 Future Scenarios**

178 We have considered a planning horizon of 50 years starting in 2010 and ending in
179 2060. Changes of flood risk in the catchment are subject mainly to two unknowns.
180 Firstly, it is unclear how many people will live in the catchment in the future, where
181 they will settle and to what extent their properties and infrastructure will be
182 vulnerable to flooding. As the location and type of urban development can at least in

183 principle be directed by policies, we have considered these factors as adaptation
 184 options described in Section 2.3.2. The degree of population growth, on the other
 185 hand, is strongly influenced by external factors such as economic development or
 186 immigration. As an example for the application of our framework, we derived ranges
 187 of potential population developments for the catchment informed by the population
 188 projections for greater Melbourne downscaled to the Monash Local Government
 189 Area (The State of Victoria - Department of Transport Planning and Local
 190 Infrastructure, 2014). We defined three population growth scenarios in which the
 191 number of households in the catchment increased by rates of 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2% per
 192 year.
 193 Secondly, the characteristics of future rainfall are unknown. (CSIRO and Bureau of
 194 Meteorology, 2015) project a change of maximum daily rain intensities by 2080 in
 195 South Australia between -5 and 5% considering only natural variability and between
 196 2% and 22% under emission scenario RCP8.5. Changes in extreme rain intensities
 197 for short durations can be subject to even larger variation as demonstrated by
 198 (Arnbjerg-Nielsen, 2012). We have therefore considered three climate scenarios
 199 covering a large range of potential climates with annual increases in design rain
 200 intensity of 0, 0.5 and 1%.
 201 Both, population growth and climate change are expected to occur continuously over
 202 the planning horizon. We assumed a linear increase of design rain intensities over the
 203 planning horizon and exponential population growth as illustrated in Figure 3.
 204

205
 206 Figure 3. Examples for the evolvement of drivers for flood risk over a planning horizon. Left – design
 207 rain intensity as a result of climate change, right – no. of households in the catchment as a result of
 208 population growth.

209

210 2.3.2 Adaptation Strategies

211 We have considered a number of structural and non-structural measures that aim at
 212 reducing flood risk in the catchment. To illustrate the potential of the coupled
 213 software framework, we considered a spectrum of options ranging from the
 214 implementation of urban planning policies to classical engineering solutions.

215 The considered options were:

216 1. ***Master plans controlling the form of urban development.***

217 In the business as usual scenario (BAU) urban development continued as
218 observed in the past with uncontrolled urban sprawl where population growth
219 is largely accommodated by the development of new detached single-unit
220 houses. Opposed to the BAU scenario, the water sensitive city (WSC)
221 scenario favours a more compact urban form and implements multi-storey
222 buildings and apartment blocks along transport corridors as illustrated in
223 Figure 4 for a scenario simulated until the year 2060.

224 2. ***Flood zoning.***

225 This strategy focused on reducing the exposure to flood hazards through a
226 buyback of properties. During any year of the planning horizon, buildings
227 which were at risk of flooding more often than once in 100 years in the status
228 situation in 2010 would be assigned a chance of 3% to be bought back in the
229 year under consideration.

230 3. ***Large scale implementation of rainwater harvesting facilities (RWHT).***

231 We considered four scenarios, where rainwater tanks were implemented
232 randomly throughout the catchment in the urban development model. For
233 each year during the planning horizon any building without rainwater
234 harvesting would have a chance of either 0, 1, 3 or 5% to have a rainwater
235 tank implemented. The volume of each RWHT unit was assumed to be
236 approximately 2 m³.

237 4. ***Increase in pipe capacity.***

238 In this strategy the dimension of the stormwater pipes was increased until
239 flooding no longer occurred more than once in 10 years anywhere in the
240 catchment in the status situation in 2010.

241 The adaptation options represent four typical measures implemented in flood risk
242 management, i.e. master planning control of future development, gradual
243 implementation of measures throughout the catchment, development zoning allowing
244 dynamic feedback mechanisms from increasing hazards into city development, and a
245 one-off investment in increasing infrastructure. Adaptation options 1 to 3 were
246 implemented as rules in the urban development model (see supporting material),
247 while adaptation 4 was implemented by considering a modified hydraulic model in
248 the simulations.

249 For cost-benefit assessment, we considered the positive effect of reduced drinking
250 water demand in option 3, while no benefits apart from the reduction of flood risk
251 were considered for the other options. Details on the model implementation, as well
252 as the derivation of investment cost and benefits of the different adaptation measures
253 are provided in the supporting material.

Legend

Existing buildings pre 2010 Detached buildings simulated post 2010 Apartment blocks simulated post 2010

Figure 4. Simulated urban form for the year 2060 for business as usual (BAU) and water sensitive cities (WSC) master plans assuming a growth rate of 1.2% / year

2.3.3 Simulation of Pathways

A total of 288 pathways were considered, resulting from 32 potential combinations of adaptation options and 9 scenarios. Urban development was simulated separately for each pathway except for those pathways involving an increase in pipe capacity, because this adaptation option was implemented independently from the urban development model. Flood risk was assessed every 10 years along each pathway for seven design storms with return periods ranging from 1 to 100 years, i.e., a total of 12,096 hydrodynamic simulations were performed.

2.4 URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Driven by external drivers such as population growth and climate change, DAnCE4Water's urban and infrastructure development component evolves the urban environment at parcel level detail under different adaptation options (Urich and Rauch, 2014). Similar to UrbanSim (Waddell, 2002), the model uses an agent-based approach that simulates key actors and their interactions within the urban environment. Such approaches can, in principle, resemble closely the way stakeholders think and incorporate decision making at multiple levels (Matthews et al., 2007) and are thus useful to facilitate dialog between different stakeholders.

In our case, DAnCE4Water simulates city councils that introduce zoning regulations and upgrade the drainage system in response to increased flood risk, residential developers that build new buildings according to the zoning regulations, location preferences and pre-defined development patterns, and newly added (based on the

280 population projections) or relocated households that according to their preferences
281 choose to occupy a newly developed building and install rainwater harvesting tanks.

282 The urban development model was set up to replicate typical urban development
283 patterns within the catchment. For the relevant simulation period between 2010 –
284 2060 two main development processes have been identified based on an analysis of
285 aerial photos and census data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics for 1996, 2006,
286 2011 (Wong, 2014):

- 287 • the redevelopment of single family houses with 2-3 town houses depending
288 on the parcel size (parcel splitting), and
- 289 • the combination of adjacent parcels to develop multi-storey apartment
290 buildings.

291 Due to the small size of the catchment, no significant preference for the
292 redevelopment of existing properties was identified, i.e., after defining population
293 growth rate and zoning regulations, the model randomly selected parcels where to
294 develop new buildings and randomly assigned households to the newly developed
295 buildings. This implies that two urban development scenarios with equal growth rate
296 and zoning regulations would not yield the exact same results, because buildings
297 could be developed at different locations and / or different time points along the
298 pathway.

299 Our approach for modelling urban development assumes that development is only
300 manifested through the two processes named above and that development patterns do
301 not change along the planning horizon. Further, we did not consider interactions
302 between, for example, developments of the urban form and economy. While this
303 level of simplification is a short-coming of our approach that needs to be addressed
304 to gain a better understanding of the uncertainties related to the planning of urban
305 water systems, this also implies that only few input data are required, which is
306 different from most micro-simulation agent-based models used to predict urban
307 development (Batty, 2009). However, the level of detailed required to simulate urban
308 development patterns is still discussed in the literature (Crooks et al., 2008; Mikovits
309 et al., 2015a). For a detailed description of the implemented urban development
310 model and the underlying assumptions we refer to the supporting material.

311

312 **2.5 FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT**

313 Flood risk was calculated by integrating the potential damages from flooding over
314 the catchment area. Below, we discuss the quantification of these parameters.

315 **2.5.1 Simulation of Flood Hazards - Implementation of Urban Development** 316 **and Flood Adaptation in the Hydrodynamic Model**

317 Flood hazards were assessed using the 1D-2D hydrodynamic modelling package
318 MIKEFLOOD (DHI, 2013). Urban development and flood adaptation options could
319 affect the simulated flood hazards in multiple ways:

- 320 • by changing the portion of rainfall that is converted to (fast) runoff,
- 321 • by modifying the stormwater pipe network, or
- 322 • by modifying the surface flow paths.

323 We have implemented changes of the hydrodynamic model due to urban
 324 development and the implementation of flood adaptation strategies as shown in Table
 325 1.

326 Buildings and streets were considered in the computation of impervious area
 327 percentages for the subcatchments of the hydrodynamic model and as features in the
 328 terrain model. Elevations in the terrain model were increased by 10m in pixels
 329 containing buildings and reduced by 0.1m in pixels containing streets. Urban
 330 development and flood zoning changed the number and location of buildings
 331 simulated by DAnCE4Water and in this way affected the hydrodynamic simulation.
 332 A conversion of building types to, e.g., multi-storey buildings was not explicitly
 333 considered in the hydrodynamic simulation, but would lead to a lower building
 334 density in the catchment and thus affect the impervious area and the number of
 335 buildings exposed to flood risk. Changes of the street layout were not simulated in
 336 the urban development model in this work, but would otherwise be implemented
 337 similarly to modifications of the building layer.

338 The implementation of rainwater harvesting facilities on a property was in this work
 339 considered by considering the roof area of a building with rainwater tank as pervious
 340 area during runoff computation. Modifications of the pipe network were
 341 implemented manually in a separate hydraulic model. Subsequently, this modified
 342 “template” pipe network was used to replace the existing pipe network in simulations
 343 involving the adaptation strategy “increase in pipe capacity”.

344 Table 1. States of the urban development model which are modified as a result of urban development
 345 and the implementation of planning policies and their implementation in the 1D-2D hydraulic model.

346

Modification	Output Urban Development Model	Runoff model	Pipe network	Terrain model
Addition / removal of buildings	Polygon layer containing building footprints	Recomputation of impervious area according to building footprint	-	Increase elevation of cells containing buildings by +10m
Change of building type (apartment blocks)	Different building footprint, also leads to fewer buildings in urban development simulation	Recomputation of impervious area according to building footprint	-	Increase elevation of cells containing buildings by +10m
Modifications of street layout	Street layout currently not modified by urban development	-	-	-

	model			
Implementat ion of rain water harvesting tanks	Flag rainwater harvesting yes / no in building attributes	Consider building area as pervious area	-	-
Modificatio n of pipe diameters		-	Transfer predesigned pipe layout to hydraulic model	-

347

348 2.5.2 Evaluation of Flood Risk

349 The 1D-2D hydrodynamic simulations resulted in raster layers of water depths
350 simulated in the different pixels for different time points during a rain event. For
351 illustrative purposes, we evaluated direct tangible flood damages in a unit cost
352 approach (Handmer et al., 2003) by overlaying simulated flood areas, building and
353 road layers. We refer to the supporting material for details.

354 For design of flood adaptation an estimator for expected annual flood damages
355 (EAD_t) occurring in any year t is commonly derived as the integral over the damages
356 $D_t(p_t)$ occurring for events with annual exceedance probability p_t (Stedinger, 1997).
357 As illustrated in Figure 5, flood risk changes over time as a result of changing
358 hazards, exposure and vulnerabilities. Expected risk over the planning horizon then
359 needs to be derived as the integral of expected annual damages over time (USACE,
360 1992). Assuming that expected damages are evaluated on an annual basis and
361 considering a planning horizon of 50 years between 2010 and 2060, we obtain:

$$ED = \sum_{t=2010}^{2060} \int_0^1 D_t(p_t) dp_t. \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

362

363 Figure 5. Schematic example for the change of expected annual damage (EAD) over a planning
364 horizon for non-stationary conditions

We assessed Eq. 1 numerically for each considered scenario by computing expected annual damages (EAD_t) in 10 year intervals along the planning horizon and assuming a linear change of EAD_t in between the sampling points. The rainfall was modified according to the considered climate scenario and the hydraulic model was updated based on the urban development simulated until the considered time point. EAD_t were computed using the midpoint rule as described in (Olsen et al., 2015; USACE, 1989), based on simulated flood damages for 7 design rain storms with annual occurrence probabilities from 0.01 to 1. Flood damages for annual occurrence probabilities smaller than 0.01 were assumed to correspond to the damages simulated for an event occurring with $p = 0.01$.

In order to compare future reductions in flood risk to current cost of investment of an adaptation project, discounting of expected annual damages is commonly used in cost benefit analysis. This leads to the expected, discounted damage over the planning horizon ED^* as shown in Eq. 2:

$$ED^* = \sum_{t=2010}^{2060} EAD_t \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-2010}} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

We applied a discounting rate of $r = 0.014$ in our work as suggested by (Stern, 2007), but we note that the choice of discount rate is subject to scientific and ethical discussion (Mendelsohn, 2006; Olsen et al., 1998; Stern, 2016) and needs to be made in a local political context.

2.6 COST-BENEFIT ASSESSMENT

In a planning context, investments into flood adaptation need to be compared against benefits obtained through the implementation of an adaptation strategy. We have therefore implemented a cost-benefit assessment to illustrate the applicability of our setup. We emphasize that this assessment was rudimentary, as the main focus of our work is on the illustration of the simulation setup.

To assess the efficiency of a flood adaptation strategy (or combination of strategies) s , we have computed the net present value NPV_s for a specific urban development and climate scenario by comparing the reduction in flood damage against the investment cost $I_{s,t}$ and additional benefits $B_{s,t}$ occurring over the planning horizon. The approach is illustrated in Eq. 3 using the same discount rate as in Eq. 2. The reduction in flood damage was computed by comparing flood risk ED_{ref}^* without flood risk adaptation against the reduced flood risk ED_s^* when the strategy was implemented. Computations were performed based on 2011 prices and it was assumed that no inflation would occur over the planning horizon.

$$NPV_s = ED_{ref}^* - ED_s^* + \sum_{t=2010}^{t=2060} (B_{s,t} - I_{s,t}) \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-2010}} \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

For non-stationary climate and urban development conditions, the reduction in risk obtained for a specific flood adaptation measure needs to be computed against a baseline accounting for specific climate and urban development conditions (Stern, 2007; Zhou et al., 2012). Similarly, in our work NPV_s was assessed separately for each scenario defined by a specific urban development rate and climate change

factor. ED_s^* , $B_{s,t}$ and $I_{s,t}$ were computed for the specific scenario assuming that the adaptation measure was implemented, while ED_{ref}^* was the flood damage for the specific scenario without implementation of any flood adaptation.

3 RESULTS

3.1 EXPECTED ANNUAL DAMAGE FOR DIFFERENT ADAPTATION STRATEGIES

Figure 6 illustrates how the simulated expected annual damage EAD_t changed over the planning horizon as a result of changing design rain intensities and urban growth. We illustrated results for the business as usual scenario (BAU) without implementation of any adaptation strategies and for a separate implementation of each of the 4 considered adaptation strategies: implementation of rainwater harvesting tanks (RWHT), implementation of water sensitive city master plans supporting the development of apartment blocks (WSC), flood zoning and increase of the pipe networks capacity.

Figure 6. EAD_t (Eq. 1) for the 9 considered scenarios and different combinations of adaptation options.

In all cases, flood risk was most affected by climate change. However, population growth could very much amplify the increase in flood risk and for the BAU scenario EAD_t in $t = 2060$ could be up to 2 times higher for a population growth rate of 1.2% than for a population growth rate of 0.8%. A similar effect could be observed when adaptation options were implemented in the models. The only exception was the implementation of a WSC master plan, where population growth was largely balanced by the development of apartment blocks. The smaller number of buildings developed in this case would lead to a reduced flood risk as a result of reduced exposure.

The implementation of rainwater harvesting tanks had only minimal influence on the simulated flood risk, while the implementation of a water sensitive cities master plan (WSC), the implementation of flood zoning measures and the increase of pipe capacity all seemed similarly efficient in reducing flood risk. When implementing flood zoning measures, EAD_t values would approach 0 in the scenario with lowest population growth rate and without climate change, as buildings were gradually removed from flood risk areas. Particularly for the scenarios with strong population

436 growth, flood risk would increase over the planning horizon despite the
437 implementation of flood zoning measures. The zoning policy did not account for
438 potential future increases in flood area, so developments occurred in areas that would
439 later become subject to flood risk.

440 Figure 7 depicts the expected damage ED^* over the planning horizon (Eq. 2)
441 computed for all pathways where an adaptation measure was implemented. For
442 example, Figure 7 left compares the ED^* values for all pathways where urban
443 development followed a business as usual pattern (BAU) against those where a water
444 sensitive cities (WSC) master plan was implemented. Similar trends as in Figure 6
445 can be observed. However, it becomes more clear that an increase of pipe capacity
446 would in our case lead to the most efficient reduction in flood risk, with the lowest
447 mean and the smallest spread of ED^* over the different possible pathways. Similarly,
448 flood zoning could efficiently reduce flood risk, while the implementation of a WSC
449 master plan in various scenarios would lead to larger expected damages than for the
450 aforementioned measures.

451 The implementation of rainwater harvesting measures appeared to have little effect
452 on the expected damage values. In fact, median damages were slightly larger for the
453 pathways involving a RWHT implementation rate of 1% than for those without
454 RWHT, and for pathways involving a RWHT implementation rate of 5% than for
455 those with a rate of 3%. The reduction of flood risk due to the reduced amount of
456 urban runoff was in multiple cases outweighed by random variations in the simulated
457 urban development and / or the implementation of zoning measures. For example, if
458 properties in flood prone areas were relocated at the beginning of the planning
459 horizon in one scenario, but not in another, this would have a larger effect on flood
460 risk than the reduction in runoff resulting from rainwater harvesting measures.

461 This is illustrated in Figure 8, where the simulated building footprints and 10-year
462 flood areas are depicted for the year 2040 in a simulation considering flood zoning
463 and implementation rates of RWHT of 3 and 5%. Flood zoning was implemented as
464 a gradual resettling of properties flooded in a 100 year event by random selection. In
465 the pathway considering a RWHT implementation rate of 5%, fewer buildings were
466 removed from the flood prone area by 2040 than in the pathway considering a rate of
467 3%. This lead to a larger expected damage ED^* despite the implementation of
468 RWHT.

Figure 7. Boxplot of expected damage ED^* over the planning horizon (Eq. 2) derived from simulation results over all scenarios and combination of adaptation measures where the considered measure was or was not implemented.

Figure 8. Building footprints and flood area for a 10 year event in the year 2040 for two scenarios considering a population growth rate of 1.2% and an annual increase in rain intensity of 1.0%. Urban development was followed the WSC master plan and flood zoning was applied to new and existing properties. Rain water harvesting facilities were installed at rates of 3% (left) and 5% (right). Buildings developed before 2011 were marked grey if they still existed in 2040 in both simulations and orange otherwise. Buildings newly developed in the simulation after 2011 were marked red.

Table 2 details the insights obtained from the analysis of Figure 7. The median and the standard deviation of the expected damage values ED^* were derived from the simulation results for the different scenarios of population growth and climate change and depicted for all the combinations of adaptation measures. Analysing the results for the combination BAU master plan, no flood zoning and no increase in pipe capacity, as well as for the combination WSC master plan, no flood zoning and no increase in pipe capacity, it became clear that the implementation of RWHT did actually lead to a significant reduction in many pathways, particularly if not combined with other adaptation measures.

An increase in pipe capacity would lead to somewhat greater reductions in flood risk than the implementation of flood zoning measures, because the latter would take effect only gradually over the planning horizon. Similarly, the implementation of a WSC master plan would lead to somewhat smaller reductions in flood risk, because this measure would take effect only gradually over the planning horizon and reductions of flood risk would occur only somewhat randomly in space as a side-effect of a more compact urban development.

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation of expected annual damage ED^* (Eq. 2) derived for the 9 different climate and population growth scenarios for the different adaptation options considered.

Master-plan	BAU															
Flood-zoning	NO								YES							
Pipe Increase	NO				YES				NO				YES			
RWHT rate [%/year]	0	1	3	5	0	1	3	5	0	1	3	5	0	1	3	5
Mean ED^* [10^6 AUD]	30.2	28.2	27.2	25.6	7.9	6.9	6.8	6.1	11.5	11.2	10.0	9.7	5.1	4.9	4.4	4.4
Stdv ED^* [10^6 AUD]	15.7	15.5	14.6	13.6	4.8	4.2	4.2	3.7	5.8	5.1	5.1	4.6	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.7
Master-plan	WSC															
Mean ED^* [10^6 AUD]	19.1	18.2	17.6	17.6	5.6	5.2	5.0	4.8	8.9	8.7	7.7	8.0	3.5	3.5	3.1	3.1
Stdv ED^* [10^6 AUD]	8.5	9.0	7.6	8.2	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.8	4.3	3.6	3.1	3.9	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.7

3.2 NET PRESENT VALUE FOR DIFFERENT ADAPTATION STRATEGIES

Table 3 shows mean, minimum and maximum of NPV_s derived from the simulation results for the different scenarios of population growth and climate change and depicted for all the combinations of adaptation measures. Considering the investment cost detailed in the supporting material, the implementation of a WSC master plan was the most efficient measure for reducing flood risk, because the investment cost for this measure was considered zero.

For the other measures, the NPV_s values were strongly affected by high investment cost. The implementation of RWHT would have a positive NPV_s in a number of pathways if not combined with any other flood adaptation measures. In this case, rainwater harvesting could exploit its full potential for reducing flood risk. When combined with other flood adaptation measures, the contribution of rainwater harvesting to flood risk reduction was much smaller, while the investment cost were still fully applicable.

Increasing the capacity of the pipe system to be able to handle a 10 year storm required investments that strongly exceeded the anticipated reduction of flood risk in many scenarios and thus lead to negative average NPV_s values. Similarly, flood zoning was very expensive, because it was applied to areas that were at risk of flooding at least once in 100 years. With an assumed property price of AUD 476,600 and unit flood damages of AUD 30,000 per property, flood zoning would be economically justified only for properties flooded every 5 to 10 years.

Nevertheless, the range of NPV_s indicated by the minimal and maximal values shown in Table 3 also shows that the performance of adaptation strategies strongly depends on the considered scenario. Strategies such as rainwater harvesting or pipe increase that had negative NPV_s on average would yield strongly positive NPV_s in scenarios with strong urban growth and / or climate change, suggesting that strategies should be adapted along the pathway depending on the actual evolution of the drivers of flood risk.

Finally, in the worst case, the pathways considering only WSC master planning had a negative NPV_s of AUD -700,000 despite an investment cost of 0, indicating that changes in flood risk resulting from random variations in urban development also had a significant impact on NPV_s .

Table 3. Mean, maximum and minimum of net present value NPV_s (Eq. 3) derived for the 9 different climate and population growth scenarios for the different adaptation options considered.

Master plan	BAU															
Flood-zoning	NO								YES							
Pipe Increase	NO				YES				NO				YES			
RWHT rate [%/year]	0	1	3	5	0	1	3	5	0	1	3	5	0	1	3	5
Mean NPV_s [10^6 AUD]	0.0	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	90.1	91.6	89.7	93.2	115.8	117.4	116.1	119.9
Max NPV_s [10^6 AUD]	0.0	8.6	5.2	8.2	9.1	8.7	7.1	7.7	71.5	73.5	76.2	78.2	93.9	96.2	99.5	101.5
Min NPV_s [10^6 AUD]	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Master plan	WSC															

Mean NPV _s [10 ⁶ AUD]	11.0	10.3	9.2	8.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Max NPV _s [10 ⁶ AUD]	29.0	27.7	29.4	25.8	16.2	15.4	14.2	13.4	66.8	64.9	68.6	68.5	91.2	90.1	93.9	91.8
Min NPV _s [10 ⁶ AUD]	0.7	0.5	2.2	3.2	24.2	25.7	27.3	28.2	103.3	105.8	107.6	111.8	132.2	134.1	137.1	141.3

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 EFFICIENCY OF ADAPTATION STRATEGIES

The results indicate that the implementation of a WSC master plan would be an efficient strategy for reducing flood risk over a variety of scenarios, while the implementation of rainwater harvesting measures provided only small reductions in expected damage. Flood zoning and increase in pipe capacity would reduce flood risk, but were too expensive to implement compared to the economic benefit they provide. Obviously, these results are very much subject to catchment characteristics and assumptions made during the simulations and cost assessments.

Our damage assessment was exemplary only and excluded, for example, indirect damages. Different assumptions on damage functions would lead to different absolute net present values, while we would still obtain similar results when comparing the efficiency of the different measures. The measures increase of pipe capacity and flood zoning were in this work implemented in a guideline oriented approach which led to an over-dimensioning of both measures. These measures could easily be improved in further simulation iterations. For flood zoning it is questionable if house prices in flood prone areas would actually remain constant as assumed in our study or drop because such areas would become less attractive for living, leading to a much reduced cost of the flood zoning policy.

The efficiency of rainwater harvesting measures strongly depends on the assumed tank size. Tank volumes of 10 or even 20m³ are not unheard of in Australia and would certainly lead to better performance of this measure. In addition, the efficiency of rainwater harvesting measures strongly depends on the infiltration parameters assumed for pervious areas in the catchment. A higher contribution from pervious areas to the total runoff from the catchment will lead to a reduced effect of disconnecting impervious areas from the drainage network.

We noted that infrastructural flood adaptation measures such as increases in pipe capacity often have an almost immediate effect on the reduction of flood risk, while planning measures such as flood zoning or master planning would only gradually take effect over the planning horizon and thus result in smaller reductions of flood risk ED^* over the planning horizon. However, this effect is somewhat balanced in the computation of NPV_s because also investments for such measures are spread over the planning horizon.

4.2 UNCERTAINTY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE SETUP

Scenario Definition

570 While the simulation setup coupling DAnCE4Water and MIKEFLOOD aims to
571 support decision makers in evaluating the robustness of different flood adaptation
572 options in uncertain futures, the setup itself is subject to a number of uncertainties.
573 First and foremost, we need to make assumptions about realistic ranges for future
574 developments of climate and city structure. While this goes one step further than
575 simply basing decision making on the assumed most probable future, we cannot
576 explicitly handle uncertainties that we are not aware of (total ignorance in the
577 terminology of (Walker et al., 2013)) or that we cannot quantify (level 5 uncertainty
578 in (Walker et al., 2013)).

579 In our work, we have in all scenarios assumed continued population growth over the
580 next 50 years. However, this assumption may prove wrong, for example, as a result
581 of changes in economic development leading to changes in immigration patterns. In
582 addition, different growth scenarios and developing societal preferences are likely to
583 affect the choice of adaptation measures by, for example, limiting the funds available
584 in the future. As demonstrated by (Lienert et al., 2015), such effects can be
585 considered in the definition of scenarios and can be used to limit the bandwidth of
586 potential developments that need to be considered.

587 **Urban Development Modelling**

588 The urban development simulations in DAnCE4Water currently focus strongly on
589 the processes of urban densification of Australian cities building on observations
590 over the last decades. However, densification may well lead to the evolution of
591 different urban forms and a more compact city as noted by (Vermeer, 2014) and,
592 other than assumed in our work, land use regulations are unlikely to remain static
593 over the planning horizon and assumptions need to be made on their modification as
594 demonstrated by (Malik et al., 2015).

595 Densification and urban sprawl into rural areas are expected to be affected by
596 elements such as the location of infrastructures and topography. DAnCE4Water
597 allows for the consideration of such elements in the form of, for example, rules for
598 where development should occur, but they were not considered in our case study
599 with a simple catchment, where the focus was on linking hydraulic and urban
600 development modelling.

601 Urban development in different parts of the world would be expected to be subject to
602 different drivers. For example, (Vermeer, 2014) point out the importance of central
603 planning schemes in Beijing and of the development of slums in Mumbai. In Europe,
604 several cities are subject to decreasing populations resulting in de-densification of the
605 areas and re-developments often occur in formerly industrial areas. The consideration
606 of such effects requires an analysis of the local development patterns and the
607 considered effects need to be accepted by the involved stakeholders.

608 Finally, planning paradigms change after extreme flood or drought events. While it is
609 in principle possible to include such effects in our simulation framework, little work
610 was published to consider them in simulations of urban development.

611 **Flood Risk Assessment**

612 While we assumed that the flood risk assessment based on 1D-2D hydrodynamic
613 simulations is a good approximation of the true flood response in the catchment, this
614 assessment is subject to a number of uncertainties themselves. To name a few

615 potential sources, there are effects from the parameters used to describe the coupling
616 between 1D drainage network model and 2D surface models, from simplifications of
617 the model description of drainage network and terrain surface and from uncertain
618 parameters used to describe runoff from pervious areas. In the damage assessment,
619 damage curves used for translating simulated flood hazard into damages are
620 commonly subject to large uncertainty and the methodology used for assessing
621 damages (for example thresholds on water depth where buildings are assumed
622 flooded) will strongly impact the simulated risk. Finally, discount rates for the
623 computation of expected damages depend on the local decision maker's preference.
624 The impacts of such uncertainties on the preference of adaptation options in urban
625 areas are unknown to date and require a systematic assessment as proposed with the
626 "model chain" by (Apel et al., 2008).

627 Finally, our results showed that random variations in urban development can lead to
628 quite significant differences in the simulated flood damage. For example, urban
629 development could occur in more or less flood prone areas earlier or later along a
630 simulated pathway. Similarly, a property subject to flood zoning measures would be
631 resettled at random time points along a pathway, meaning that in some simulations
632 strong reductions would occur earlier along the pathway than in others. In some
633 cases, such effects had a stronger influence on our simulated expected damages, than
634 the implementation of rainwater harvesting measures. It may well be desirable to
635 include such uncertainties in the assessment, as they provide an idea of the effect of
636 small variations in urban development on the simulated damages. For this purpose, it
637 is important to ensure that the results actually are random, i.e., that different
638 scenarios are based on independent simulations of urban development.

639

640 **4.3 SIMULATION TIMES AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

641 A main concern with implementing a framework based on an agent-based urban
642 development model and a 1D-2D hydrodynamic model would be the simulation time
643 and the numerical stability. We have performed the urban development and
644 hydrodynamic simulations in separate cluster environments in Australia and
645 Denmark and implemented a file based communication between the models via
646 Dropbox. Simulation times were approximately 30 minutes for a period of 10 years
647 in DAnCE4Water and 1 hour for simulating a single rain event in MIKE FLOOD.
648 With a total of approximately 12,000 simulated rain events in MIKE FLOOD, such a
649 setup is only feasible in a scientific context. Numerical stability is not a problem in
650 DAnCE4Water by design. Performing automated hydrodynamic simulations without
651 failures requires a careful preparation of the hydraulic model but was not a constraint
652 for our work and was also demonstrated feasible in the application of 1D-2D
653 modelling for online flood warning systems (Meneses et al., 2015).

654 The coupled simulation setup was in this work operated in a pathway oriented
655 manner. For each combination of adaptation options and climate change and urban
656 development scenario a separate set of simulations was performed. While this setup
657 seems natural, it is also likely to be inefficient because hydrodynamic simulations
658 were performed multiple times for very similar combinations of rainfall and number
659 of households within a pathway and across different scenarios. The introduction of

660 additional dimensions such as coastal flood risk or larger numbers of adaptation
661 options would explode the computational requirements to infeasible levels.

662 On the other hand, our results indicate that the simulated damage values evolve
663 rather smoothly along the dimensions rainfall and number of households in the
664 catchment for a given adaptation approach (see supporting material). It is thus
665 expected the computational effort can be limited with an experimental design where
666 flood damage is only simulated for a few key combinations of rainfall and urban
667 development and otherwise interpolated between the simulated results.

668

669 **4.4 OUTLOOK**

670 Computational requirements and the complexity of setting up the modelling
671 framework and obtaining required input data are crucial issues for the application of
672 our simulation framework in flood risk management practice. The computational
673 requirements for hydraulic simulation can be reduced by applying simplified models.
674 Approaches which can directly account for modifications of the terrain such as
675 cellular automata (Ghimire et al., 2013; Shao et al., 2015; Viavattene and Ellis, 2013)
676 or conceptual models which can be automatically generated from drainage network
677 and terrain surface (CH2M, 2015; Wolfs et al., 2013) are preferable for this purpose.
678 Similarly, urban development models can be difficult to set up if large amounts of
679 socioeconomic data are required as input to the models. Current research therefore
680 focuses on the development of approaches that can be employed with a minimum of
681 input data (Mikovits et al., 2015b).

682 While our work presented the implementation of a coupled simulation framework,
683 the results of this framework can flexibly be applied in different decision
684 frameworks, assigning for example different probabilities to different scenarios of
685 future developments (Borgomeo et al., 2014) or performing optimization of
686 adaptation options along each pathway (Kwakkel et al., 2015) to identify robust
687 combinations of adaptation. In the latter case, our setup would be used to simulate
688 the effect of different land-use policies and flood adaptation measures on flood risk
689 at a given time point, replacing the simple model applied by (Kwakkel et al., 2015).
690 The computational effort related to such setups can be addressed through
691 implementation in cluster environments as well as the application of surrogate
692 models and experimental setups that minimize the number of simulations, for
693 example, sequential setups described by (Kleijnen, 2015).

694

695 **5 CONCLUSIONS**

696 We have presented a framework for systematic assessment of flood risk adaptation
697 options under uncertain futures, employing the agent-based urban development
698 model DAnCE4Water and the 1D-2D hydrodynamic model MIKE FLOOD. We
699 applied the setup to evaluate a variety of flood adaptation options in a case study in
700 Melbourne, Australia and draw the following conclusions:

- 701 1. It is feasible to perform systematic assessment of flood adaptation options in
702 an automated manner applying urban development and hydraulic models with
703 high level of detail.

2. The computational effort involved in running such a setup is significant. Attempts to reduce it may involve an optimized experimental design where flood damage surfaces are interpolated from selected sample points.
3. The new simulation setup provides damage assessments for a variety of combinations of adaptation options in a variety of potential futures. These results can be flexibly coupled to various decision making frameworks for choosing the preferred flood risk adaptation option.
4. The performance of adaptation strategies strongly depends on both, the considered climate and adaptation scenarios and on the other adaptation strategies implemented. Strategies that can be adapted to the observed developments along the planning horizon are thus often preferable over large one-off investments. Our setup can support the development of such strategies by simulating the effect of different measures in various scenarios.
5. Urban planning policies were an efficient measure to reduce flood risk in our case study. Guideline oriented approaches of increasing the pipe system to handle rain events with fixed return periods of 10 years and buying back properties in areas flooded at least once in 100 year resulted in investment cost which, for many climate and population growth scenarios, were not justified by the reduction in flood risk. Nevertheless, an ad-hoc definition of planning criteria is common practice in many countries.
6. The agent-based urban development model evolves the city in a random manner, developing properties at different locations and time points in different scenarios. Such variations can have quite significant influence on simulated flood damages.
7. While our setup flexibly assesses adaptation options for a variety of potential futures, we still need to make assumption on realistic ranges and paths for urban development and climate. Modelling thus provides the decision maker with an overview over which options are robust in which situations, but it does not provide a guarantee that a selected design will be functional.
8. The data needs for the urban development model are limited to layers of buildings, parcels and households and the setup is thus easy to implement in different cases. This property comes at the cost of excluding social processes from the model. Further, the model is specific for the case of densification of built-up residential areas. The application in other regions requires the implementation of alternative development procedures to model, for example, the redevelopment of city centres.

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been financially supported by the Australian Government through the CRC for Water Sensitive Cities. The catchment data were kindly provided by Melbourne Water. Vanessa Wong supported the data preparation and provided important input for the urban development scenarios.

7 REFERENCES

Aerts, J.C.J.H., Botzen, W.J.W., Emanuel, K., Lin, N., Moel, H. De, 2014.

749 Evaluating Flood Resilience Strategies for Coastal Megacities. *Science* 344,
750 473–475.

751 Apel, H., Merz, B., Thielen, A.H., 2008. Quantification of uncertainties in flood risk
752 assessments. *International Journal of River Basin Management* 6, 149–162.

753 Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., 2012. Quantification of climate change effects on extreme
754 precipitation used for high resolution hydrologic design. *Urban Water Journal* 9,
755 57–65.

756 Australia Institution of Engineers, 1987. Australian Rainfall and Runoff - A Guide to
757 Flood Estimation, Revised Edition. Australia.

758 Bach, P.M., McCarthy, D.T., Deletic, A., 2015. Can we model the implementation of
759 water sensitive urban design in evolving cities? *Water Science & Technology*
760 71, 149.

761 Bach, P.M., McCarthy, D.T., Urich, C., Sitzenfrei, R., Kleidorfer, M., Rauch, W.,
762 Deletic, A., 2013. A planning algorithm for quantifying decentralised water
763 management opportunities in urban environments. *Water Science & Technology*
764 68, 1857–1865.

765 Barreira González, P., Aguilera-Benavente, F., Gómez-Delgado, M., 2015. Partial
766 validation of cellular automata based model simulations of urban growth: An
767 approach to assessing factor influence using spatial methods. *Environmental*
768 *Modelling & Software* 69, 77–89.

769 Batty, M., 2009. Urban Modeling, in: Thrift, N., Kitchin, R. (Eds.), *International*
770 *Encyclopedia of Human Geography*. Elsevier, Oxford, United Kingdom, pp.
771 51–58.

772 Borgomeo, E., Hall, J.W., Fung, F., Watts, G., Colquhoun, K., Lambert, C., 2014.
773 Risk-based water resources planning: Incorporating probabilistic nonstationary
774 climate uncertainties. *Water Resources Research* 50, 6850–6873.

775 CH2M, 2015. Flood Modeller - User Manual.

776 Cohen, B., 2004. Urban Growth in Developing Countries: A Review of Current
777 Trends and a Caution Regarding Existing Forecasts. *World Development* 32,
778 23–51.

779 Crooks, A., Castle, C., Batty, M., 2008. Key challenges in agent-based modelling for
780 geo-spatial simulation. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 32, 417–
781 430.

782 CSIRO, Bureau of Meteorology, 2015. Climate Change in Australia Technical
783 Report. Australia.

784 DHI, 2013. MIKE FLOOD - 1D-2D Modelling - User Manual.

785 Ehret, U., Götzinger, J., Bárdossy, A., Pegram, G., 2008. Radar based flood
786 forecasting in small catchments, exemplified by the Goldersbach catchment,
787 Germany. *International Journal of River Basin Management* 6, 323–329.

788 ESRI, 2012. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10.

789 French, R., Jones, M., 2012. Design rainfall temporal patterns in Australian Rainfall
790 and Runoff: Durations exceeding one hour *. Australian Journal of Water
791 Resources 16, 21–28.

792 GDAL Development Team, 2014. GDAL - Geospatial Data Abstraction Library,
793 Version 1.11.0.

794 Gersonius, B., Nasruddin, F., Ashley, R., Jeuken, A., Pathirana, A., Zevenbergen, C.,
795 2012. Developing the evidence base for mainstreaming adaptation of
796 stormwater systems to climate change. Water research 46, 6824–35.

797 Ghimire, B., Chen, A.S., Guidolin, M., Keedwell, E.C., Djordjević, S., Savić, D. a.,
798 2013. Formulation of a fast 2D urban pluvial flood model using a cellular
799 automata approach. Journal of Hydroinformatics 15, 676.

800 Granger, C.W.J., Jeon, Y., 2007. Long-term forecasting and evaluation. International
801 Journal of Forecasting 23, 539–551.

802 Hall, J., Arheimer, B., Borga, M., Brázdil, R., Claps, P., Kiss, A., Kjeldsen, T.R.,
803 Kriaučūnienė, J., Kundzewicz, Z.W., Lang, M., Llasat, M.C., Macdonald, N.,
804 McIntyre, N., Mediero, L., Merz, B., Merz, R., Molnar, P., Montanari, A.,
805 Neuhold, C., Parajka, J., Perdigão, R.A.P., Plavcová, L., Rogger, M., Salinas,
806 J.L., Sauquet, E., Schär, C., Szolgay, J., Viglione, A., Blöschl, G., 2014.
807 Understanding flood regime changes in Europe: A state-of-the-art assessment.
808 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 18, 2735–2772.

809 Handmer, J., Read, C., Percovich, O., Reed, C., Percovich, O., 2003. Disaster Loss
810 Assessment Guidelines, Assessment. Dickson, Australia.

811 Henonin, J., Hongtao, M., Zheng-Yu, Y., Hartnack, J., Havnø, K., Gourbesville, P.,
812 Mark, O., 2015. Citywide multi-grid urban flood modelling: the July 2012 flood
813 in Beijing. Urban Water Journal 12, 52–66.

814 Hinkel, J., Lincke, D., Vafeidis, A.T., Perrette, M., Nicholls, R.J., Tol, R.S.J.,
815 Marzeion, B., Fettweis, X., Ionescu, C., Levermann, A., 2014. Coastal flood
816 damage and adaptation costs under 21st century sea-level rise. Proceedings of
817 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111, 3292–
818 7.

819 Hoymann, J., 2010. Spatial allocation of future residential land use in the Elbe River
820 Basin. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design 37, 911–928.

821 Huong, H.T.L., Pathirana, A., 2013. Urbanization and climate change impacts on
822 future urban flood risk in Can Tho city, Vietnam. Hydrology and Earth System
823 Sciences 17, 379–394.

824 Kleijnen, J.P.C., 2015. Design and analysis of simulation experiments, 2nd ed.
825 Springer, Tilburg, The Netherlands.

826 Kwakkel, J., Haasnoot, M., Walker, W.E., 2015. Developing dynamic adaptive
827 policy pathways: a computer-assisted approach for developing adaptive
828 strategies for a deeply uncertain world. Climatic Change 132, 373–386.

829 Lienert, J., Scholten, L., Egger, C., Maurer, M., 2015. Structured decision-making
830 for sustainable water infrastructure planning and four future scenarios. EURO

831 Journal on Decision Processes 3, 107–140.

832 Madsen, H., Lawrence, D., Lang, M., Martinkova, M., Kjeldsen, T.R., 2014. Review
833 of trend analysis and climate change projections of extreme precipitation and
834 floods in Europe. *Journal of Hydrology* 519, 3634–3650.

835 Malik, A., Crooks, A., Root, H., Swartz, M., 2015. Exploring creativity and urban
836 development with agent-based modeling. *Journal of Artificial Societies and*
837 *Social Simulation* 18, 1–19.

838 Matthews, R.B., Gilbert, N.G., Roach, A., Polhill, J.G., Gotts, N.M., 2007. Agent-
839 based land-use models: a review of applications. *Landscape Ecology* 22, 1447–
840 1459.

841 Mendelsohn, R., 2006. Critique of the Stern Report, A. *Regulation* 42–46.

842 Meneses, E.J., Löwe, R., Brødbæk, D., Courdent, V., Petersen, S.O., 2015. SURFF -
843 Operational Flood Warnings for Cities Based on Hydraulic 1D-2D Simulations
844 and NWP, in: *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Urban*
845 *Drainage Modelling (UDM)*. Québec, Canada.

846 Merz, B., Aerts, J.C.J.H., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Baldi, M., Becker, A., Bichet, A.,
847 Blöschl, G., Bouwer, L.M., Brauer, A., Cioffi, F., Delgado, J.M., Gocht, M.,
848 Guzzetti, F., Harrigan, S., Hirschboeck, K., Kilsby, C., Kron, W., Kwon, H.H.,
849 Lall, U., Merz, R., Nissen, K., Salvatti, P., Swierczynski, T., Ulbrich, U.,
850 Viglione, A., Ward, P.J., Weiler, M., Wilhelm, B., Nied, M., 2014. Floods and
851 climate: Emerging perspectives for flood risk assessment and management.
852 *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 14, 1921–1942.

853 Merz, B., Hall, J., Disse, M., Schumann, A., 2010. Fluvial flood risk management in
854 a changing world. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 10, 509–527.

855 Merz, B., Vorogushyn, S., Lall, U., Viglione, A., Bloeschl, G., 2015. Charting
856 unknown water - on the role of surprise in flood risk assessment and
857 management. *Water Resources Research* 51, 6399–6416.

858 Mikovits, C., Rauch, W., Kleidorfer, M., 2015a. A dynamic urban development
859 model designed for purposes in the field of urban water management. *Journal of*
860 *Hydroinformatics* 17, 390–403.

861 Mikovits, C., Rauch, W., Kleidorfer, M., 2015b. A dynamic urban development
862 model designed for purposes in the field of urban water management. *Journal of*
863 *Hydroinformatics* 17, 390–403.

864 Muis, S., Güneralp, B., Jongman, B., Aerts, J.C.J.H., Ward, P.J., 2015. Flood risk
865 and adaptation strategies under climate change and urban expansion: A
866 probabilistic analysis using global data. *The Science of the total environment*
867 538, 445–457.

868 Muller, M., 2007. Adapting to climate change: water management for urban
869 resilience. *Environment and Urbanization* 19, 99–113.

870 Olsen, A.S., Zhou, Q., Linde, J.J., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., 2015. Comparing methods
871 of calculating expected annual damage in urban pluvial flood risk assessments.
872 *Water* 7, 255–270.

873 Olsen, J.R., Lambert, J.H., Haines, Y.Y., 1998. Risk of extreme events under
874 nonstationary conditions. *Risk Analysis* 18, 497–510.

875 Poelmans, L., Rompaey, A. Van, Ntegeka, V., Willems, P., 2011. The relative impact
876 of climate change and urban expansion on peak flows: A case study in central
877 Belgium. *Hydrological Processes* 25, 2846–2858.

878 Poelmans, L., Van Rompaey, A., 2010. Complexity and performance of urban
879 expansion models. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 34, 17–27.

880 Prudhomme, C., Wilby, R.L., Crooks, S., Kay, A.L., Reynard, N.S., 2010. Scenario-
881 neutral approach to climate change impact studies: Application to flood risk.
882 *Journal of Hydrology* 390, 198–209.

883 Russo, B., Sunyer, D., Velasco, M., Djordjević, S., 2015. Analysis of extreme
884 flooding events through a calibrated 1D/2D coupled model: the case of
885 Barcelona (Spain). *Journal of Hydroinformatics* 17, 473–492.

886 Sekovski, I., Armaroli, C., Calabrese, L., Mancini, F., Stecchi, F., Perini, L., 2015.
887 Coupling scenarios of urban growth and flood hazards along the Emilia-
888 Romagna coast (Italy). *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 15, 2331–
889 2346.

890 Semadeni-Davies, A., Hernebring, C., Svensson, G., Gustafsson, L.G., 2008. The
891 impacts of climate change and urbanisation on drainage in Helsingborg,
892 Sweden: Combined sewer system. *Journal of Hydrology* 350, 100–113.

893 Shao, Q., Weatherley, D., Huang, L., Baumgartl, T., 2015. RunCA: A cellular
894 automata model for simulating surface runoff at different scales. *Journal of*
895 *Hydrology* 529, 816–829.

896 Stedinger, J.R., 1997. Expected Probability and Annual Damage Estimators. *Journal*
897 *of Water Resources Planning and Management* 123, 125–135.

898 Stern, N., 2007. *The Economics of Climate Change - The Stern Review*, 1st ed.
899 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

900 Stern, N., 2016. Current climate models are grossly misleading. *Nature* 530, 407–
901 409.

902 Sun, Y., Solomon, S., Dai, A., Portmann, R.W., 2007. How often will it rain? *Journal*
903 *of Climate* 20, 4801–4818.

904 Sunyer, M.A., Rosbjerg, D., Madsen, H., Luchner, J., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., 2014.
905 Comparison of different statistical downscaling methods to estimate changes in
906 hourly extreme precipitation using RCM projections from ENSEMBLES.
907 *International Journal of Climatology*.

908 The State of Victoria - Department of Transport Planning and Local Infrastructure,
909 2014. *Plan Melbourne*. Melbourne, Australia.

910 Urich, C., Rauch, W., 2014. Exploring critical pathways for urban water
911 management to identify robust strategies under deep uncertainties. *Water*
912 *research* 66C, 374–389.

913 USACE, 1989. *EAD - Expected Annual Flood Damage Computation - User's*

914 Manual.

915 USACE, 1992. Guidelines for Risk and Uncertainty Analysis in Water Resources
916 Planning. Fort Belvoir, VA, United States.

917 Vermeer, D., 2014. Economic and urban growth models. Exeter, United Kingdom.

918 Viavattene, C., Ellis, J.B., 2013. The management of urban surface water flood risks:
919 SUDS performance in flood reduction from extreme events. *Water Science and*
920 *Technology* 67, 99–108.

921 Waddell, P., 2002. UrbanSim - Modeling urban development for land use,
922 transportation, and environmental planning. *Journal of the American Planning*
923 *Association* 68, 297–314.

924 Walker, W.E., Lempert, R., Kwakkel, J., 2013. Deep Uncertainty. *Encyclopedia of*
925 *Operational Research and Management Science*.

926 Walker, W.E., Rahman, S.A., Cave, J., 2001. Adaptive policies, policy analysis, and
927 policy-making. *European Journal of Operational Research* 128, 282–289.

928 Wolfs, V., Villazon, M.F., Willems, P., 2013. Development of a semi-automated
929 model identification and calibration tool for conceptual modelling of sewer
930 systems. *Water Science and Technology* 68, 167–175.

931 Wong, V., 2014. An investigation into infrastructure system response to climate
932 change adaptation strategies in the Scotchman’s Creek Catchment. Monash
933 University, Melbourne, Australia.

934 Zhou, Q., Mikkelsen, P.S., Halsnæs, K., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., 2012. Framework for
935 economic pluvial flood risk assessment considering climate change effects and
936 adaptation benefits. *Journal of Hydrology* 414–415, 539–549.

937 Zhu, T., Lund, J.R., Jenkins, M.W., Marques, G.F., Ritzema, R.S., 2007. Climate
938 change, urbanization, and optimal long-term floodplain protection. *Water*
939 *Resources Research* 43, 1–11.

940

941 **8 FIGURE CAPTIONS**

942

Supporting Material for “Assessment of Urban Pluvial Flood Risk and Efficiency of Adaptation Options Through Simulations – A New Generation of Urban Planning Tools”

S1 MODELLING SETUP

S1.1 HYDRAULIC FLOOD MODELLING

The Scotchman’s Creek catchment is drained through separate pipe systems for stormwater and wastewater. We were provided with a highly detailed model of the stormwater drainage network by Melbourne Water. The model contained a total of 1,300 links with diameters of 0.1m and higher. The catchment area was divided into 1,500 subcatchments for which runoff hydrographs were computed. The hydrographs were then used as input to the nearest manhole in the stormwater pipe system. Impervious area percentages in the subcatchments were computed from building footprints and road polygons provided by the utility company Melbourne Water. Based on an analysis of aerial imagery (Wong, 2014), the impervious roof area within a subcatchment was increased by 15% to account for sealed areas such as terraces or private parking. Rainfall on impervious areas was assumed to be subject to an initial loss of 0.4mm and otherwise to be fully transformed into runoff.

Infiltration from unsealed areas was described using Horton’s equation (Chow et al., 1988). The duplex soil types mostly present in the catchment (The State of Victoria - Department of Economic Development Jobs Transport and Resources, 2016) have a sharp contrast in texture between top and subsoil, typically with less permeable layer in the subsoil. We thus assumed an initial loss of 6mm for runoff from pervious areas and initial and final infiltration capacities corresponding to loamy soils with 36mm/h and 3.6mm/h, respectively.

Digital elevation information was available from Geoscience Australia with a horizontal resolution of 5m (Geoscience Australia, 2015) and used to model surface water flow in MIKE FLOOD using a standard raster-based approach. Design rainfalls were extracted from Australian guidelines (Australia Institution of Engineers, 1987; French and Jones, 2012).

S1.2 URBAN DEVELOPMENT MODELLING

DAnCE4Water’s urban and infrastructure development component evolves the urban environment at parcel level detail under different adaptation options. The process is driven by population projections which define the rate at which new households appear in the catchment. As described in the article, urban development is modelled as an interaction between the three actors city council, developers and households. In short, the city council defines zones where certain forms of development occur, developers create new buildings if there is a demand for housing (see (Urich and

Rauch, 2014)) and households move into empty residential units. The agents' actions are manifested in the model using procedural modelling algorithms.

In practice, the user needs to define zoning policies that are implemented as rules in the model and rules for whether developers should preferably develop certain areas of the city, depending on, for example, distance to transport routes, service centres or green areas.

Urban development is then implemented by (re-)developing parcels. New households appear in the catchment on a yearly basis based on the population projection. To satisfy the housing demand, developers select a number of parcels to develop based on their "attractiveness" (Stevens et al., 2007). In zones where infill development occurs, parcels are marked as available for subdivision. Subsequently, procedural algorithms (Vanegas et al., 2012) are applied to split each parcel in such a way, that as many new parcels as possible are generated, while the area of each new parcel remains above a user-defined threshold. Similarly, in zones where apartment blocks should be developed, existing parcels are merged to obtain a minimal parcel size. Existing building footprints are replaced by either template footprints or modelled building footprints (Müller et al., 2006).

For the Scotchman's Creek case study, we have modelled urban development over a planning horizon of 50 years in 5 year intervals. Parcels were subdivided to a minimum area of 300m² during parcel splitting, while a minimum parcel size of 1,800m² was assumed for apartment blocks. Table S 1 summarizes the components included in the urban development model in our case study. Table S 2 summarizes the interactions between the agents and the development parameters applied. Technically, the urban development model is implemented as GIS layers in a SQLITE database.

Table S 1. Components of city database model. Components are linked through attributes in the corresponding GIS layers.

Component	Abbrev- iation	Links to other com- ponents	Source
Households	H	U	Census data stochastically disaggregated and assigned to U
Residential Units	U	B	Census data stochastically disaggregated and assigned to B
Buildings	B	P	Melbourne Water
Parcels	P		Cadastral maps
Rainwater Harvesting Tank	RWHT	P	-
100y flood zone	FZ	P	Melbourne Water

Table S 2. Actors and rules used to implement land use policies in the urban development model.

<i>Agent</i>	<i>Rule</i>
City Council	Impose zoning regulation on parcel. Subdivide parcel according to zoning regulation.
Urban Developer	<i>Select</i> parcel with highest “attractiveness” (α_p) and build buildings with n residential units according to zoning regulation. <i>Repeat</i> until demand for residential units ΔU_t is fulfilled.
Household	<i>If</i> unplaced move <i>into</i> residential unit with highest α_p . <i>Repeat</i> until all ΔH_t are placed or until all residential units are filled.
<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Description</i>
Vacancy (%)	$v_t = \frac{\sum U_t}{\sum H_t} - 1 \left(= \frac{\text{no.of residential units}}{\text{no.of households}} - 1 \right)$
Demand Residential Units	$\Delta U_t = (v - v_t) \sum U_t$
Parcel Attractiveness (m^{-1})	$\alpha_p \sim U([0,1])$
Unplaced Households	$\Delta H_t = H_{t-1} \cdot h + H_{R,t}$
	v ... Target vacancy (3%) Uniformly distributed based on (Wong, 2014) h ... Household growth rate (0.004, 0.008 or 0.012) H_R ... Relocated households from flood zone (see Section S3)

Figure S 1 and Figure S 2 illustrate the development zoning implemented in the two considered scenarios. In the BAU scenario, densification through parcel splitting at random locations was implemented in the whole catchment on Medium Density Residential zones. In the WSC scenario, apartment blocks containing 15 residential units each were developed along transport corridors. Parcel splitting was implemented in Medium Density Residential Zones in a limited part of the catchment, while the urban form was assumed unchanged in the remaining part of the catchment. Evidently, for the same population the BAU scenario lead to a significantly more dense development pattern than the WSC scenario.

As discussed in the article, the implemented urban development procedures provide a realistic image of the processes occurring in built-up areas of Australian cities. To apply the model to a different context they require an analysis of the dominant processes in other case studies and an extension of the modelling framework with suitable development rules.

Figure S 1. Development zoning and simulated urban development until 2060 in the BAU scenario for a population growth rate of 0.8%/year

Figure S 2. Development zoning and simulated urban development until 2060 in the WSC scenario for a population growth rate of 0.8%/year

S2 IMPLEMENTATION OF FLOOD ADAPTATION MEASURES

In our article, we have considered a number of structural and non-structural measures that aim at reducing flood risk in the catchment. To illustrate the potential of the coupled software framework, we considered a spectrum of options ranging from the implementation of urban planning policies to classical engineering solutions.

The considered options were:

1. ***Master plans controlling the form of urban development.***

As discussed above, two different master plans were implemented by defining different development zonings. The main difference between the two is that a less dense urban development pattern was implemented in the WSC scenario along with the construction of multi-storey buildings along transport corridors.

2. ***Flood zoning.***

This strategy focused on reducing the exposure to flood hazards through a buyback of properties. Buildings which were at risk of flooding more often than once in 100 years in the status situation in 2010 would be assigned a chance of 3% to be bought back during any year of the planning horizon. Buildings on the parcel were removed and the parcel converted into a green area in the urban development model. The households were relocated within the catchment.

3. ***Large scale implementation of rainwater harvesting facilities (RWHT).***

Due to the positive effects on drinking water consumption, this is an often considered strategy amongst Australian stakeholders to reduce water demand. Tank sizes vary, but typically range between 1 and 10m³ (National Water Commission, 2008). We considered four scenarios, where rainwater tanks were implemented randomly throughout the catchment in the urban development model. The scenarios had different implementation rates of 0, 1, 3 and 5% per year. This means that for each year during the planning horizon any building without rainwater harvesting would have a chance between 0 and 5% to have a rainwater tank implemented.

In the modelling setup, DAnCE4Water would randomly select buildings where RWHT should be implemented at different time points. When updating the MIKE FLOOD rainfall-runoff model (MOUSE) based on the simulated building footprints (see Section 2.5 in the paper), the roof area of a building with rainwater tank was considered as pervious area during runoff computation. This approach was easily implemented because the building could simply be neglected in the computation of impervious area percentages for the concerned sub-catchment. It was motivated by the fact that we did not have access to detailed information on typical rain water tank sizes in the area. Total effective rainfall during the considered design storms was typically approximately 25mm smaller for pervious areas than for impervious areas. Approximately 18mm of this reduction occurred in the beginning of the rain event, i.e., before any runoff occurred. Considering a median roof area of 165m² in the catchment, this reduction in runoff would correspond to a typical effective rainwater tank size of 3 to 4 m³

4. ***Increase in pipe capacity.***

In this strategy the dimension of the stormwater pipes was increased until

flooding no longer occurred more than once in 10 years anywhere in the catchment in the status situation in 2010. The strategy was implemented in the model simply by replacing the original pipe network by a (manually) modified pipe network in those simulations where an increase of pipe capacity should be considered. This approach is generic and would also allow for the consideration of other modifications in the hydraulic model, i.e., modified models for different adaptation options are developed manually and then inserted into the model as “templates” in combination with various other adaptation measures.

We performed an assessment of the expected investment cost for each adaptation measure, as well as potential benefits each measure could yield in addition to the reduction in flood risk. An overview of the considered cost and benefits is provided in Table S 3.

Table S 3. Investment cost and additional benefits considered for the different flood adaptation strategies considered

Flood Adaptation Strategy	Investment Cost $I_{s,t}$	Additional Benefits $B_{s,t}$
1. Master Planning	-	-
2. Flood Zoning	AUD 476,600 per bought back property, corresponding to Melbourne median house price in 2011 (Stapledon, 2012)	-
3. Rainwater Harvesting	<p>Installation cost: Tank installation: AUD 2170 Pump installation: AUD 355 replacement of pumps every 10 years, replacement of tanks every 25 years</p> <p>Running cost: 20 AUD/year fixed cost 0.05 AUD/cbm of rainwater usage (cost taken from (Tam et al., 2010))</p>	AUD 99 /year for each installed rainwater tank, assuming a drinking water price of 3 AUD/cbm (South East Water, 2016) and an annual reduction in drinking water consumption of 33cbm per installed rainwater tank (Moglia et al., 2015)
4. Increase in Pipe Capacity	AUD 32,500,000 assumed as a one-off investment in the first year of the planning horizon for modifying 8300m of existing pipes. Considering the cost of breaking up bitumen, trenching and backfilling, installing new pipes and manholes and paving (Rawlinsons, 2011)	-

S3 FLOOD DAMAGE EVALUATION

The 1D-2D hydrodynamic simulations result in raster layers of water depths simulated in the different pixels for different time points during a rain event. For planning purposes, the simulated water depths should be translated into damage values for each event. A variety of damage assessment frameworks with differing levels of complexity were suggested both internationally (Hammond et al., 2015; Merz et al., 2010; Velasco et al., 2015) and in Australia (Middelmann-Fernandes, 2010; Office of Environment and Heritage, 2007; Smith and Greenaway, 1994; Sturgess, 2000).

The purpose of this article is to illustrate the coupled urban development and hydrodynamic flood modelling framework rather than provide an exact damage assessment. Considering the variety of different damage assessment methods developed in Australia, we therefore resorted to a unit cost approach focusing on direct cost resulting from damages to buildings and roads for illustrative purposes, applying damage values provided in (Handmer et al., 2003) after inflation adjustment from the year 1999 to 2011 (Reserve Bank of Australia, 2016):

- Buildings were assumed to incur a damage of AUD 29,742 if the maximal simulated water level in any pixel next to a building exceeded 0.3m.
- Flooded roads were assumed to incur a damage of AUD 3.63 per sqm of road where the maximal simulated water level exceeded 0.3m. The damage value was derived from the per km road length damages provided in (Handmer et al., 2003) assuming an average width of 7.5m for minor roads and 24m for major roads.

S4 VARIATION OF FLOOD DAMAGES AS A FUNCTION OF RAINFALL AND URBAN POPULATION

We have analysed the simulated flood damages in single rain events for different combinations of total rainfall and population in the catchment. The number of households living in the catchment was used to describe the current population in this analysis. The results are shown in Figure S 3 to Figure S 5 for business as usual (BAU) simulations without adaptation options, for simulations where a water sensitive cities (WSC) master plan was implemented as the only adaptation option and for simulations where an increase in pipe capacity was implemented as the only adaptation option. The plots include a surface to indicate the overall trends. The surface was fitted using ordinary kriging in the R-package GSTAT (Pebesma, 2004). A Gaussian variogram structure was assumed and the kriging parameters sill, range and measurement error were estimated for each of the datasets using weighted least squares, while the nugget parameter was set to 0 to obtain a smooth surface (Pebesma, 2004).

It is evident that increasing rainfall and household numbers lead to an increase in the simulated flood damage for the BAU simulations and the simulations involving an increased pipe capacity. If urban development simulations were performed along a WSC master plan, increasing household numbers would not lead to an increase in flood damage, because the increasing number of households in the catchment would be accommodated in apartment blocks. This supports the results of Figure 6 in the article, where EAD_t was observed to be less sensitive to urban development when implementing a WSC master plan. Increasing the capacity of the drainage network led to a shift of the damage surface, where flood damages were generally lower than in the situation without flood adaptation but increased with the number of households and the rain intensity.

Note that, given a fixed combination of adaptation measures, the damage surfaces evolved rather smoothly along the dimensions rainfall and number of households. This suggests that flood damages for different scenarios could be derived in a more efficient manner by performing a limited number of coupled urban development – hydraulic flood simulations for each adaptation option, and then interpolating damages for other values of rainfall and number of households in between the simulated results.

Figure S 3. Simulated flood damages for different combinations of rainfall and population growth on a single event basis in the business as usual scenario (BAU) without implementation of any adaptation options.

Figure S 4. Simulated flood damages for different combinations of rainfall and population growth on a single event basis when implementing a water sensitive city master plan (WSC) focusing on the development on apartment blocks.

Figure S 5. Simulated flood damages for different combinations of rainfall and population growth on a single event basis when increasing the pipe diameters in the catchment (pipe increase)

S5 REFERENCES

- Australia Institution of Engineers, 1987. Australian Rainfall and Runoff - A Guide to Flood Estimation, Revised Edition. Australia.
- Chow, V.T., Maidment, D.R., Mays, L.W., 1988. Applied hydrology, 1st ed. McGraw-Hill.
- French, R., Jones, M., 2012. Design rainfall temporal patterns in Australian Rainfall and Runoff: Durations exceeding one hour *. Aust. J. Water Resour. 16, 21–28. doi:10.7158/W11-858.2012.16.1
- Geoscience Australia, 2015. Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of Australia derived from LiDAR 5 Metre Grid. doi:10.4225/25/5652419862E23
- Hammond, M., Chen, A.S., Djordjevic, S., Butler, D., Mark, O., 2015. Urban flood impact assessment: A state-of-the-art review. Urban Water J. 12, 14–29. doi:10.1080/1573062X.2013.857421
- Handmer, J., Read, C., Percovich, O., Reed, C., Percovich, O., 2003. Disaster Loss Assessment Guidelines, Assessment. Dickson, Australia.
- Merz, B., Kreibich, H., Schwarze, R., Thielen, A., 2010. Review article “assessment of economic flood damage.” Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 10, 1697–1724. doi:10.5194/nhess-10-1697-2010
- Middelmann-Fernandes, M.H., 2010. Flood damage estimation beyond stage-damage functions: an Australian example. J. Flood Risk Manag. 3, 88–96. doi:10.1111/j.1753-318X.2009.01058.x
- Moglia, M., Tjandraatmadja, G., Delbridge, N., Gulizia, E., Sharma, A., Butler, R., Gan, K., Pollard, C., 2015. Survey of savings and conditions of rainwater tanks, Project 10TR4-001. Melbourne, Australia.
- Müller, P., Wonka, P., Haegler, S., Ulmer, A., Van Gool, L., 2006. Procedural modeling of buildings. ACM Trans. Graph. 25, 614. doi:10.1145/1141911.1141931
- National Water Commission, 2008. Rainwater Tank Design and Installation Handbook.
- Office of Environment and Heritage, 2007. Residential Flood Damage and supporting calculation spreadsheet. Sydney, Australia.
- Pebesma, E.J., 2004. Multivariable geostatistics in S: the gstat package. Comput. Geosci. 30, 683–691. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2004.03.012
- Rawlinsons, 2011. Australian Construction Handbook, 29th ed. Rawlinsons Publishing, Melbourne, Australia.
- Reserve Bank of Australia, 2016. Inflation Calculator [WWW Document]. URL <http://www.rba.gov.au/calculator/annualDecimal.html> (accessed 5.31.16).
- Smith, D.I., Greenaway, M.A., 1994. Tropical Storm Surge, Damage Assessment and Emergency Planning, Resource and Environmental Studies. Canberra, Australia.

- South East Water, 2016. South East Water - Prices and Charges [WWW Document]. URL <http://southeastwater.com.au/Residential/Pages/WaterPricesCharges.aspx> (accessed 6.3.16).
- Stapledon, N., 2012. Trends and cycles in sydney and melbourne house prices from 1880 to 2011. *Aust. Econ. Hist. Rev.* 52, 293–317. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8446.2012.00359.x
- Stevens, D., Dragicevic, S., Rothley, K., 2007. iCity: A GIS-CA modelling tool for urban planning and decision making. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 22, 761–773. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2006.02.004
- Sturgess, R., 2000. Rapid Appraisal Method (RAM) for Floodplain Management. Melbourne, Australia.
- Tam, V.W.Y., Tam, L., Zeng, S.X., 2010. Cost effectiveness and tradeoff on the use of rainwater tank: An empirical study in Australian residential decision-making. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 54, 178–186. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2009.07.014
- The State of Victoria - Department of Economic Development Jobs Transport and Resources, 2016. Victorian Soil Type Mapping [WWW Document]. URL <https://www.data.vic.gov.au/data/dataset/victorian-soil-type-mapping> (accessed 2.26.16).
- Urich, C., Rauch, W., 2014. Exploring critical pathways for urban water management to identify robust strategies under deep uncertainties. *Water Res.* 66C, 374–389. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2014.08.020
- Vanegas, C. a., Kelly, T., Weber, B., Halatsch, J., Aliaga, D.G., Müller, P., 2012. Procedural Generation of Parcels in Urban Modeling. *Comput. Graph. Forum* 31, 681–690. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8659.2012.03047.x
- Velasco, M., Cabello, À., Russo, B., 2015. Flood damage assessment in urban areas . Application to the Raval district of Barcelona using synthetic depth damage curves. *Urban Water J.* doi:10.1080/1573062X.2014.994005
- Wong, V., 2014. An investigation into infrastructure system response to climate change adaptation strategies in the Scotchman’s Creek Catchment. Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.