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Highlights

- Trait relationships may change depending on ecological conditions.
- Patterns of trait covariation differed between life-history strategies and sexes.
- The relationships among traits are subjected to different selection pressures.
Abstract
The causes and consequences of trait relationships within and among the categories of physiology, morphology, and life-history remain poorly studied. Few studies cross the boundaries of these categories, and recent reviews have pointed out not only the dearth of evidence for among-category correlations but that trait relationships may change depending on the ecological conditions a population faces. We examined changes in mean values and correlations between traits in a partially migrant population of brown trout when migrant sea-run and resident stream forms were breeding sympatrically. Within each sex and life-history strategy group, we used carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes to assess trophic level and habitat use; assessed morphology which reflects swimming and foraging ability; measured circulating cortisol as it is released in response to stressors and is involved in the transition from salt to freshwater; and determined oxidative status by measuring oxidative stress and antioxidants. We found that sea-run trout were larger and had higher values of stable isotopes, cortisol and oxidative stress compared to residents. Most groups showed some correlations between morphology and diet, indicating individual resource specialization was occurring, and we found consistent correlations between morphology and cortisol. Additionally, relationships differed between the sexes (cortisol and oxidative status were related in females but not males) and between life-history strategies (habitat use was related to oxidative status in male sea-run trout but not in either sex of residents). The differing patterns of covariation between the two life-history strategies and between the sexes suggest that the relationships among phenotypic traits are subjected to different selection pressures, illustrating the importance of integrating multiple phenotypic measures across different trait categories and contrasting life-history strategies.
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1. Introduction
Intraspecific competition can drive divergence among individuals within a species and result in resource polymorphisms and individual specialization, both of which are common though understudied processes in a variety of taxa (Skúlason and Smith, 1995; Smith and Skúlason, 1996; Martin and Pfennig, 2010; Dall et al., 2012). A resource polymorphism is defined as differences in habitat and/or resource use not due to sex or age that results in discrete
phenotypic differences (Smith and Skúlason, 1996; Bolnick et al., 2003), while individual resource specialization occurs when an individual’s niche width is small compared to the whole population (Bolnick et al., 2003). In fishes, resource-mediated divergence most frequently occurs along the littoral/inshore and limnetic/pelagic/offshore habitat axis (Robinson and Wilson, 1994). Polymorphisms and specialization can have important ecological and evolutionary consequences, including the potential to facilitate sympatric speciation (Smith and Skúlason, 1996; Dieckmann and Doebeli, 1999; Doebeli and Dieckmann, 2000; Jonsson and Jonsson, 2001; Bolnick and Fitzpatrick, 2007; Svanback and Persson, 2004).

Stable isotopes are one of the most common methods for identifying resource polymorphisms and individual specialization across taxa (e.g., Adams et al., 2003; McCarthy et al., 2004; Karpestam and Forsman, 2011) because they can be used to infer an individual’s habitat and resource use (Kelly, 2000). In aquatic systems, diadromous individuals can be distinguished from residents because the marine environment has an enriched δ13C isotopic composition compared to freshwater systems (Hobson, 1999). Within each environment, littoral/inshore habitats have a more enriched δ13C isotopic composition than pelagic/offshore habitats (Hobson et al., 1994; France, 1995a; Kelly, 2000; Rubenstein and Hobson, 2004). Additionally, nitrogen isotopes become predictably enriched up the food chain due to the diet-tissue discrimination factors that occur between each trophic level (Kelly, 2000). Thus, δ13C values can be used as a proxy for habitat use, δ15N values as a proxy for position within the food web (i.e., trophic level), and combined they provide a measure of space and food use during the period in which the sampled tissue was growing.

In species that exhibit partial migration, where some individuals in a population migrate and others remain resident, the causes and consequences of this life-history trade-off are still debated (Chapman et al., 2011). Brown trout (Salmo trutta) is a partially migrant species, with extensive life-history variation within and among populations (Klemetsen et al., 2003). In most areas, juveniles hatch in freshwater tributaries in the spring and spend 1–3 years in their natal stream before either migrating to sea, or staying and assuming residency (Klemetsen et al., 2003; Cucherousset et al., 2005; Boel et al., 2014), although some populations migrate within freshwater between streams and lakes (Olsson and Greenberg, 2004). Adult sea-run trout return most years to breed sympatrically with stream-residents in their natal freshwater streams. A juvenile’s decision to stay or migrate is strongly affected by individual condition (Cucherousset
et al., 2005; Olsson et al., 2006; Wysujak et al., 2009) though maternal effects and genetic factors also play a role (Paez et al., 2011; Hughes et al., 2016; Van Leeuwen et al., 2016). Even within these life-history strategies there is enormous variation in habitat use and diet. Some sea-run trout stay in fjords close to the mouths of their natal rivers while others migrate several kilometers to the sea (del Villar-Guerra et al., 2014), and sea-run trout feed in both nearshore shallow and offshore pelagic zones while residents can feed in littoral and pelagic zones of lakes and rivers (Jonsson and Gravem, 1985; Rikardsen and Amundsen, 2005). Adult brown trout consume the spectrum of available resources from benthic invertebrates and zooplankton to fish (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2012; Jensen et al., 2017). This variation has been linked to metrics such as body condition and length/age, but whether it also represents a resource polymorphism, individual specialization, or is related to other phenotypic traits, remains unknown.

There are few studies that integrate multiple phenotypic measures, especially across different trait categories and contrasting life-history strategies (Killen et al., 2013; Speakman et al., 2015; Peiman and Robinson, 2017). Trade-offs between life-history traits are well known, and these interrelationships mean that traits cannot be viewed independently (Stearns, 1989), but few studies have investigated whether trait relationships differ between divergent life-history strategies within a single species (Zera and Harshman, 2001). Here we use a population of brown trout exhibiting partial migration (sea-run versus stream-resident) to evaluate whether sex and life-history status affects mean trait values or relationships among four phenotypic traits. Specifically, we used isotopes to assess both trophic level and habitat use (Kelly, 2000); assessed morphology which reflects swimming and foraging ability (Blake, 2004); measured circulating cortisol concentrates, where cortisol is a steroid hormone that increases in concentration in response to stressors and is involved in the transition from salt to freshwater (McCormick, 2001; Barton, 2002); and determined oxidative status by measuring oxidative stress (the oxidation of thiols in glutathione) and the availability of antioxidants (Costantini and Verhulst, 2009). Using what is known about the hypothesized relationships among these phenotypic traits we made the following (numbered) hypotheses and predictions: (1) If morphology affects performance, more slender trout will have carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}$C) values indicative of offshore/pelagic feeding, while trout with a more piscivorous head shape will have nitrogen isotope ($\delta^{15}$N) values indicative of feeding at higher trophic levels (i.e. incorporating fish into their diet). The first relationship should be present in sea-run trout as they have greater opportunity to forage in more distinctly
offshore habitats, while the second should be present in all trout and independent of size and sex. (2) Morphology may be related to oxidative stress through its effects on performance (Vitousek et al., 2016). However, it is difficult to predict which aspects of morphology should be linked to oxidative stress, as previous research has focused on signal traits (such as used in mate attraction) and not on foraging or swimming morphology (Costantini, 2014). (3) Similarly, while glucocorticoids can affect morphology (Glennemeier and Denver, 2002; Butler et al., 2010; Hossie et al., 2010), there are no a priori predictions about which aspects of morphology will be affected by cortisol. (4) Oxidative stress may be affected by dietary quality and location (Beaulieu et al., 2015). If food at higher trophic levels contains antioxidants, nitrogen isotopes will be positively correlated with antioxidants and negatively correlated with oxidative stress. If increased foraging distance increases energy consumption and thus oxidative stress, carbon will be positively correlated with antioxidants and negatively correlated with oxidative stress, and this relationship should be stronger in migratory sea-run trout because they forage farther from shore than residents. (5) Similarly, the production of glucocorticoids may be stimulated when diet quality is low and located further away (Fairhurst et al., 2015), predicting a negative relationship between cortisol and both nitrogen and carbon isotopes, though again the relationship with carbon should be stronger in migrating sea-run trout feeding farther from shore. (6) Cortisol is also involved in the transition from salt to freshwater (McCormick and Bradshaw, 2006), and prolonged high cortisol levels can lead to oxidative stress (Costantini et al., 2011). As only sea-run trout make this transition, we predict sea-run trout will show a positive correlation between cortisol and oxidative stress, while residents will not.

2. Materials and methods

We concurrently caught adult resident and sea-run brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) by electrofishing on Oct 26–30, 2015 in the Kastbjerg River, Denmark (Fig 1). This implies that resident and sea-trout were breeding sympatrically in time and space (Olofsson and Mosegaard, 1999; Jonsson, 1985; Pettersson et al., 2001; Charles et al., 2004), though residents were more common upstream and sea-run trout more common downstream (Bagliniere et al., 1989; Charles et al., 2004). All males expressed milt upon gentle pressure, and females were identified based on abdominal dilatation (Monet et al., 2006). As all females did not have ripe eggs upon capture, there is likely variation in how long females took to spawn after sampling.
Within 3 min of being shocked, a 0.3 ml baseline blood sample (Pankurst, 2011) was withdrawn by caudal puncture using a 1.5-inch 25-gauge needle into a syringe coated with heparin. Fish were then tagged with a 23 mm passive integrated transponder (PIT) tag for individual identification (RI-TRP-RRHP, 134 Hz, 0.6 g mass in air, <1% of fish weight; Texas Instruments, Plano, TX, USA). The electroshocking, air exposure during bleeding, and PIT tagging represented a stressor lasting approximately 4 min. Trout were then held in 60 l bins of stream water. After 30 min a second blood sample representing stress-induced cortisol levels was collected and treated as above. Within 10 min of collection, blood was centrifuged at 2000 g for 2 min, after which plasma was separated from red blood cells (RBCs). RBCs were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen while plasma was kept on ice for less than 8 h and then transferred to a –80 °C freezer. We then anesthetized trout using benzocaine (0.03 g l⁻¹), took a photograph, weighed each fish, and collected 5–10 scales from one side above the lateral line and below the dorsal fin. Individuals were sexed in the field (males released milt) and were classified as resident vs. sea trout based on δ¹³C values of scale samples (McCarthy and Waldron, 2000; Briers et al., 2013).

All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. Methods were approved by the Danish Technical University (license number 2012-DY-2934-00007) and the Canadian Council for Animal Care (administered by Carleton University – B14-06).

2.1. Measurements of stable isotopes in scales

We used scales as a non-lethal, time-integrated measure of diet and habitat use. Scales grow both outwardly and by underplating, and thus whole-scale isotope values are heavily biased by the last few months of feeding (Hutchingson and Trueman, 2006). Therefore, scales of individuals sampled in late October should be biased by their summer diet from approximately June onwards, i.e. for sea-run trout, marine feeding. Even though sea-run trout (especially males) reduce feeding and sometimes consume freshwater prey during spawning, while residents continue to feed during spawning (Shirvell and Dungey, 1983; Elliott, 1997; Harris, 1971), recent dietary changes are predicted to have small if any effect on isotope values in scales.

Scales were cleaned using distilled water, oven-dried for 24 h, cut into fine pieces and placed into tin capsules, and analyzed at the Trophic Ecology Lab at the Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research (University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, Canada). The values of δ¹³C and
\( \delta^{15}N \) were determined simultaneously using a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific Continuous Flow IRMS) coupled to a Costech Elemental Analyzer. Stable isotope ratios (‰) were determined by measuring the difference between heavy and light isotope ratios for both carbon (\( \delta^{13}C - C^{13}:C^{12} \)) and nitrogen (\( \delta^{15}N - N^{15}:N^{14} \)) from standard reference materials (R\text{standard}) of Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite and atmospheric nitrogen, respectively. Isotope ratios were calculated based on:

\[
\delta X = \left[ \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right] \times 1000
\]

where \( \delta X \) represents the isotope of interest, \( R_{\text{sample}} \) the measured ratio of the sample, and \( R_{\text{standard}} \) the standard reference material.

2.2. Assessment of morphology

We took a picture of the right side of each anesthetized fish using a Nikon camera mounted on a tripod in the field. Images were landmarked using the software tpsDig2 v2.25 (Rohlf, 2015). We initially placed 24 homologous landmarks on each image (Fig. 2). We first extracted five meristic measures (fork length, eye-to-snout length, anal fin length, maxillary length and premaxillary length) and used four points (2, 23, 24, 10) to straighten each specimen using a quadratic relationship (‘unbend’ function in tpsUtil v1.74), then removed the associated landmarks (points 1, 11, 17, 19, 23, 24) prior to analysis of geometric morphometrics. We then used MorphoJ to calculate partial warp scores and generate a principal components analysis (PCA, the relative warp scores) of size-corrected shape variation within each sex of sea-run and resident trout separately. We used this approach because there are known differences in morphology due to migratory status and sex (Monet et al., 2006) and these differences may affect the ability of a PCA to capture relevant variation within each group. We next checked for allometry by regressing centroid size against each PC axis and assessed significance using a permutation test with 10,000 rounds in MorphoJ (Klingenberg, 2016). When this test showed significant allometry (male stream-resident PC2, \( p = 0.0031 \); male sea-run PC2, \( p = 0.0009 \); all other \( p > 0.11 \)) we used the residuals of that regression for subsequent analysis. We then visualized shape changes using deformation grids along the resultant PC axes using tpsRegr v1.43 (Adams et al., 2004). We also regressed four meristic measures against fork length to generate size-corrected residuals which were used in univariate analyses.
2.3. Analysis of plasma cortisol concentrations

We analyzed plasma cortisol concentrations using a commercial radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (cat. 07-221105; MP Biomedicals, Eschwege, Germany) with a Perkin Elmer 2470 Gamma Counter. This kit has been previously validated for use with fish plasma (Gamperl et al., 1994). All samples were run in a single assay and the intra-assay coefficient of variation was 9.8%.

2.4. Determination of oxidative indicators

To assess antioxidant capacity, each RBC sample from a single fish was homogenized on ice in 1:5 lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 10% glycerol, 2 mM EDTA) using a handheld Tissue Master 125 (Omni International, Kennesaw, GA, USA). Lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C in a Hermle Labnet Z216MK (Mandel Scientific, Guelph, ON, Canada) and supernatants were stored at –80 °C until the oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay was performed (as described in Wilson et al., 2012) using a Cytation 5 microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) and black 96-well Costar microplates. Fluorescence was measured with an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and emission wavelength of 520 nm, and Gen5 data analysis software (2.07.17, BioTek Instruments) was used to analyze the data.

Each reaction well contained 20 μl of either sample, blank (75 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4), or standard (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox); 0-400 μM), and 3.82 μM fluorescein in 75 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.4). The plate was incubated at 37 °C for 20 min before rapidly adding the free radical generator, 2,2”-azobis (2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride, to a final concentration of 79.8 mM. The plate was placed immediately in the microplate reader and the fluorescence was read every 80 s for 90 min. The area under the fluorescence decay curve was determined for the samples and Trolox standards to calculate the Trolox equivalency, commonly used as a benchmark for antioxidant capacity. The total protein content of samples was determined using the BioRad assay and final antioxidant capacity values are reported in Trolox equivalents (TE)/μg total protein.

To assess oxidative stress, each RBC pellet was ground on ice in non-denaturing lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 10% glycerol, 2 mM EDTA and 100 mM...
PMSF in isopropanol), and centrifuged at 18500 g for 10 min at 4 °C in a Hermle Labnet Z216MK. Supernatants were mixed in 1:5 5% sulfosalicylic acid solution (bubbled with N2 gas). Sample lysates were centrifuged at 18500 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were used to assess total glutathione (TGSH), oxidized glutathione (GSSG) and reduced glutathione (GSH) levels. GSH is assessed indirectly by computing TGSH = GSH + 2GSSG. Glutathione assays were performed using an Epoch microplate reader with Gen5 data analysis software (2.00.18, BioTek Instruments) and clear 96-well Costar microplates. Glutathione assays were performed by following the rate of reduction of 5,5’-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) by GSH at 412 nm compared to a standard curve of GSH (Hermes-Lima and Storey, 1996).

To measure TGSH, the reaction media contained 20 µl of sample, 5 IU/ml glutathione reductase, 0.5 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.3 mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide 2’-phosphate, and 60 mM DTNB. The reduction reaction was read for 30 min at 412 nm and compared to a GSH standard curve (0–4 mM). To quantify only GSSG, 50 µl of the initial supernatant and the GSSG standards (0–0.53 µM) were treated with 44.7 mM 2-vinylpyridine and 227.27 mM KPi in a total volume of 110 µl and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 90 min to derivatize the GSH. Once complete, GSSG was measured in the same manner as TGSH.

2.5. Statistical analyses

We used ANCOVAs to assess whether differences in mean values of isotopes, oxidative indicators, and cortisol levels were due to migratory status, sex and their interaction, with length as a covariate. In brown trout, length is correlated with age (Jonsson, 1985; Grey, 2001) and our sampled fish likely encompassed similar age ranges across groups (Jonsson, 1985, 1989; Alp et al., 2005) so this covariate accounts for both size and age. We used ANOVAs to assess whether differences in mean values of meristic measures of morphology (which were already corrected for body size) were due to migratory status, sex and their interaction. We used multivariate correlations to assess whether geometric morphology, stable isotopes, oxidative indicators, and cortisol levels were related within each grouping of sex and migratory status, and we used partial correlations to determine each trait’s effect when the other traits were held constant. To improve normality, TE was square root transformed, mass, length, baseline cortisol, stress-induced
cortisol were log transformed, and GSSG/GSH was transformed by log+1 prior to use in ANCOVAs. All analyses were conducted in JMP v12.1.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

We collected samples from 7 resident females, 28 resident males, 36 sea trout females, and 31 sea trout males. Thus, our power to detect correlations and mean differences with resident females is limited compared to the other groups. We were also unable to assess partial correlations in female residents, as the number of variables exceeded our sample size. Average values ± SE plus minimum and maximum values for each variable within sex and migratory status groups are presented in Table 1.

3.1. Stable isotopes

The two life-history types had non-overlapping δ\textsuperscript{13}C values and barely overlapping δ\textsuperscript{15}N values, confirming we could use δ\textsuperscript{13}C values to identify sea-run versus stream-resident individuals (Table 1). Within life-history types, sexes did not differ in δ\textsuperscript{13}C (p = 0.30) or δ\textsuperscript{15}N (p = 0.88). Visual examination of the data led us to explore the effect of length on nitrogen isotope values for the two life-history types: sea-run trout showed a negative relationship, whereas resident trout showed no relationship (after the largest resident male was excluded) with no differences between the sexes (length × migratory status, \( F_{7,91} = 7.69, p = 0.0067 \)).

3.2. Meristic morphology

Sea trout were heavier (\( F_{1,98} = 82.2, p < 0.0001 \)) and longer (\( F_{1,98} = 69.1, p < 0.0001 \)) than resident trout (mean ± SE: sea trout mass = 741 ± 54 g, length = 40 ± 1.1 cm; resident mass = 187.5 ± 19.7 g, length = 25.1 ± 0.7 cm). Females were heavier (\( F_{1,98} = 5.29, p = 0.023 \)) and longer (\( F_{1,98} = 6.37, p = 0.013 \)) than males regardless of migratory status (migratory status × sex, \( p > 0.15 \)). For their size, males had longer lower premaxillaries (\( F_{1,98} = 21.16, p < 0.0001 \)), longer upper premaxillaries (\( F_{1,98} = 25.73, p < 0.0001 \)), and greater eye-to-snout lengths (\( F_{1,98} = 21.71, p < 0.0001 \)) than females, while residents had longer anal fins (\( F_{1,98} = 7.37, p = 0.0078 \)) than sea trout.

2.3. Plasma cortisol concentrations
Residents had lower baseline ($F_{1,88} = 13.79, p = 0.0004$) and stress-induced ($F_{1,86} = 12.67, p = 0.0006$) plasma cortisol concentrations than sea trout, while sexes did not differ ($p > 0.32$). Female sea trout showed a tendency to have higher stress-induced cortisol levels than male sea trout and both sexes of residents (sex × migratory status: $F_{1,86} = 3.65, p = 0.059$).

2.4. Oxidative indicators
Antioxidant capacity (TE) was not affected by migratory status or sex ($p > 0.22$). Sea trout had higher values of oxidative stress (GSSG/GSH) than residents ($F_{1,95} = 9.68, p = 0.0025$), and the sexes did not differ ($p > 0.49$).

2.5. Relationships between variables
For geometric morphology, we were interested in variation within each group and so did not compare whole-body shape differences between sexes or life-history strategies. We restricted our interpretation to the first two PC axes, which in all groups explained >13% of the total shape variation (Table 2). We interpret PC1 as changes in head shape, with more positive values reflecting more piscivorous morphologies, and we interpret PC2 as body shape, with more positive values reflecting more fusiform morphologies (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary online Appendix).

(1) Head morphology (PC1) was positively related to diet ($\delta^{15}N$) in male residents (multivariate correlation $p = 0.020$; partial correlation $p = 0.058$; Figs. 3a and 4). Head morphology (PC1) and body morphology (PC2) was positively related to diet ($\delta^{15}N$) in female sea-run trout (PC1 multivariate correlation $p = 0.051$, partial correlation $p = 0.061$; PC2 partial correlation $p = 0.023$; Fig. 3d). There were no other relationships between geometric morphology (PC1 or 2) and isotope values (all $p > 0.11$). Several meristic measures were related to diet in residents: lower premaxillary length was positively related to $\delta^{15}N$ in female residents ($r^2 = 0.70, p = 0.019$; all other $p > 0.12$), and lower premaxillary length ($r^2 = 0.34, p = 0.0011$), upper premaxillary length ($r^2 = 0.41, p = 0.0002$) and eye-to-snout length ($r^2 = 0.30, p = 0.0026$) were all positively related to $\delta^{15}N$ in male residents (all other $p > 0.59$). In male residents, meristic measures were also related to habitat use: lower premaxillary length ($r^2 = 0.25, p = 0.0061$), upper premaxillary length ($r^2 = 0.25, p = 0.0064$) and eye-to-snout length ($r^2 = 0.33, p = 0.0013$) were all positively related to $\delta^{13}C$ (all other $p > 0.30$). Anal fin length
was positively related to \( \delta^{13}C \) in female sea trout \((r^2 = 0.12, p = 0.036; \text{all other } p > 0.48)\). No other meristic measure was related to \( \delta^{15}N \) (all \( p > 0.084 \)) or \( \delta^{13}C \) (all \( p > 0.23 \)).

(2) No oxidative indicator was related to geometric morphology (all \( p > 0.075 \)).

(3) Stress-induced cortisol levels were negatively related to head morphology (PC1) in female sea-run trout (partial correlation \( p = 0.0041 \); Figs. 3d and 5a) and female residents (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.040 \); Figs. 3b and 5b). Stress-induced cortisol levels were negatively related to body morphology (PC2) in male sea-run trout (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.048 \); partial correlation \( p = 0.052 \); Figs. 3c and 5c) and showed the same pattern with baseline cortisol in male residents (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.074 \)).

(4) Oxidative stress (GSSG/GSH) was positively related to \( \delta^{13}C \) in male sea-run trout (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.0016 \); partial correlation \( p = 0.061 \); Figs. 3c and 6). No other oxidative indicator was related to isotope values (all \( p > 0.17 \)).

(5) Stress-induced cortisol concentration was positively related to \( \delta^{15}N \) in female sea-run trout (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.025 \), partial correlation \( p = 0.045 \); Figs. 3d and 7). Baseline and stress-induced cortisol levels were positively related to \( \delta^{13}C \) in male residents (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.036 \) and \( p = 0.049 \), respectively; Figs. 3a and 7), while only stress-induced cortisol concentration was positively related to \( \delta^{13}C \) in male sea trout (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.0050 \); Figs. 3c and 7). Cortisol was not related to stable isotopes in female residents (all \( p > 0.10 \)).

(6) Baseline cortisol concentration was positively related to oxidative stress (GSSG/GSH) and stress-induced cortisol concentration was positively related to antioxidant capacity (TE) in female residents (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.0080 \) and \( p = 0.021 \), respectively; Fig. 3b). Stress-induced cortisol level was positively related to oxidative stress (GSSG/GSH) in female sea trout (multivariate correlation \( p = 0.027 \), partial correlation \( p = 0.051 \); Fig. 3d) and baseline cortisol level was positively related to antioxidant capacity (TE; multivariate correlation \( p = 0.045 \)). No other measure of cortisol concentration was related to oxidative stress (all \( p > 0.081 \)).

4. Discussion

The causes and consequences of trait relationships within and among the categories of physiology, morphology, and life-history remain poorly studied (Peiman and Robinson, 2017).
Few studies cross the boundaries of these categories, and recent reviews have pointed out not only the dearth of evidence for among-category correlations but that trait relationships may change depending on the ecological conditions a population faces (Costantini et al., 2011; Killen et al., 2013; Beaulieu et al., 2015; Fairhurst et al., 2015; Speakman et al., 2015; Hau et al., 2016). New fields and conceptual frameworks linking physiology to ecology are emerging, such as ‘oxidative ecology’ (McGraw et al., 2010), the ‘life-history physiology nexus’ (Ricklefs and Wikelski, 2002) and ‘pace-of-life’ syndromes (Reale et al., 2010), which link the causes and consequences of variation on an individual’s phenotype throughout their life (Metcalf and Alonso-Alvarez, 2010). Here we contribute to the growing field of trait correlations among categories and investigate whether multiple aspects of averaged and integrated phenotypes have diverged between sexes and between a resident and migrant life-history strategy.

Trophic polymorphisms and individual specialization are important ecological and evolutionary processes that can reduce intraspecific competition (Van Valen, 1965; Roughgarden, 1972; Polis, 1984; Smith, 1990; Smith and Skúlason, 1996). They both attempt to attribute variation in diet to morphology that is not related to sex or age. The difference between these two processes is whether morphological variation is discrete or continuous, with resource polymorphisms strictly defined as requiring discrete morphotypes and individual specialization used when morphological variation is continuous (Bolnick et al., 2003). We did not find any evidence of discrete morphotypes (i.e., clear separation of morphology along any PC axis within sex and life-history strategies), and so interpret our results in terms of individual resource specialization. We do not have multiple measures of diet and thus no measure of individual niche width, and so could not conduct a formal analysis of specialization (Bolnick et al., 2003). However, the wide variation in nitrogen values we found using a time-integrated tissue suggests that individual specialization is occurring (Grey, 2001) and others have suggested that brown trout show individual specialization (Bridcut and Giller, 1995), though this variation could also be generated by dietary shifts (e.g., seasonal variation) that differ in magnitude among individuals. Brown trout are generally described as opportunistic feeders in both freshwater and marine habitats (Ringler, 1985; Elliott, 1997; Knutsen et al., 2001; Klemetsen et al., 2003; Knutsen et al., 2004; Rikardsen and Amundsen, 2005; Jensen et al., 2017) and so the potential exists for individual specialization within this wide population-level niche based on morphology. We found the predicted relationship in both sexes of residents and in female sea-run trout:
individuals with a benthic head morphology (negative values of PC1 and/or shorter premaxillaries and a shorter eye-to-snout distance; Skoglund et al., 2015) had lower δ15N values while individuals with a more piscivorous head morphology (positive values of PC1 and/or longer premaxillaries and a longer eye-to-snout distance) had higher δ15N values. Nitrogen stable isotope values reflect stomach contents in fish (Vander Zanden et al., 2007; Jensen et al., 2012, 2017) and can capture individual resource specialization (Beaudoin et al., 1999). However, we do not know baseline isotope values or the actual diet of individuals in our study, and so we can only infer that individuals with lower δ15N values consumed more invertebrates while those with higher δ15N values consumed more fish. Regardless, this relationship was independent of both length (a proxy for age) and allometry, and so did not represent a simple ontogenetic diet shift or changes in morphology due to further maturation in older fish, i.e. effects of sex steroids. This supports the hypothesis that residents and female migratory trout exhibit dietary specialization, where differences in resource use are correlated with functional morphology (Bolnick et al., 2003; Svanback and Bolnick, 2007).

We also found that in sea-run trout of both sexes, larger individuals had lower δ15N values, which seems to contradict the well-known pattern that trout consume higher trophic levels (i.e., become piscivorous) as they get larger (L’Abee-Lund et al., 1992; Grey, 2001; Jensen et al., 2012). Trout can show seasonal shifts in prey use (Knutsen et al., 2001; Rikardsen and Amundsen, 2005; Rikardsen et al., 2006), but for this to explain our observed pattern, the largest fish would have had to switch to consuming a trophic level below what the smallest fish consume (or the smallest fish switched to a higher trophic level than the largest fish) during the time of scale growth (summer), which has never been reported. Additionally, the wide variation in sea-run trout scale δ15N values (range 12.7–20.1 for females and 15.1–19.4 for males, Table 1) suggests that females utilized four trophic levels while males used almost three levels (assuming an average trophic enrichment for nitrogen of 2.5 in fishes; Caut et al., 2009). It seems unlikely that the smallest trout would primarily consume predatory prey fish while the largest fish would primarily consume zooplankton. As previous studies found no relationship between length and nitrogen isotopes in sea-run trout (Acolas et al., 2008; Vuori et al., 2012), there is no clear explanation for our observed negative relationship.

In fishes, resource-mediated divergence most frequently occurs along the littoral/inshore and pelagic/offshore habitat axis (Robinson and Wilson, 1994). Fish in littoral habitats are
predicted to have deeper bodies (Smith and Skúlason, 1995; Wilson, 1998), consume benthic prey (Smith and Skúlason, 1995; Rikardsen and Amundsen, 2005) and have more enriched carbon values (Hobson et al., 1994; France, 1995a; Kelly, 2000; Rubenstein and Hobson, 2004). Surprisingly, our measure of habitat use (δ^{13}C values) indicated that resident males with a more piscivorous head shape (longer meristic measures of premaxillary and eye-to-snout lengths) inhabited littoral habitats. However, as we also found that carbon and nitrogen values were positively correlated with each other, this suggests that the trophic enrichment of carbon isotope values in small stream systems may swamp habitat-specific differences (France, 1995b; Kelly, 2000). We did not expect that these effects of morphology on diet would be absent in male sea-run trout. Sea-run trout can show considerable variation in habitat use, with some individuals moving up to 50 km away from shore (Rikardsen and Amundsen, 2005), and long-distance sea migrants spend more time in pelagic habitats while short-distance migrants stay in the fjord, suggesting behavioral habitat matching (Eldoy et al., 2015). It may be that morphology more strongly affects competitive interactions for space or prey among individuals in the limited area of small streams rather than the open waters of fjords or the sea.

Sea trout had on average more oxidative stress than residents, even though antioxidant capacity did not differ, indicating they had more pro-oxidants (Costantini and Verhulst, 2009) that likely resulted in increased oxidative damage (Pisoschi and Pop, 2015). This effect may be a result of their recent migration to the spawning grounds, because high levels of exercise can cause an increase in the production of reactive oxygen species (Costantini, 2008). However, male sea-run trout from presumably closer inshore habitats (more enriched carbon values) had higher levels of oxidative stress (GSSG/GSH) than those from presumably offshore habitats, which is the opposite pattern to the prediction under the distance–energy consumption hypothesis. Similarly, in Adelie penguins (Pygoscelis adeliae) and Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), oxidative stress was positively correlated with carbon isotope values (Beaulieu et al., 2010; Vuori et al., 2012). This location effect may be driven by contamination if inshore habitats have more pollutants than offshore habitats (Peters et al., 1994), by physiological constraints if poor quality individuals stay closer inshore (Beaulieu et al., 2010), by partial food deprivation if inshore habitats have a lower quantity of prey (Bayir et al., 2011), or by temperature if inshore habitats are warmer (Lesser, 2006). However, it is unclear why these possibilities would affect males and not females in our study, because the sexes did not differ in average carbon isotopes and thus
presumably habitat use. Fasting can also cause oxidative damage (Bayir et al., 2011) and sea trout – especially males – have reduced food intake while spawning compared to residents (Elliott, 1997) and so this is another possible explanation for the higher oxidative stress in sea-run trout, though we found no sex effects on average oxidative stress values either. However, the relatively short duration and recent onset of fasting should not affect carbon isotope values in whole scales as they have very low lipid content, and so does not explain the relationship between long-term carbon isotope values and oxidative stress either. Oxidative stress is not clearly related to reproductive status or age (Selman et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2012), and so also does not explain differences in life-history strategies. We also found no support for the prediction that trophic level (based on nitrogen stable isotope values) was related to oxidative status.

Prolonged high cortisol levels are another mechanism that can lead to oxidative stress (reviewed in Costantini et al., 2011). Here, females (both sea-run and resident) but not males showed a positive relationship between cortisol levels and oxidative stress. The relationship changed between females of the two life-history strategies: residents with higher baseline cortisol values had higher levels of oxidative stress, and higher stress-induced cortisol concentration was associated with higher antioxidant capacity, whereas in sea-run trout higher baseline cortisol level was associated with higher antioxidant capacity and higher stress-induced cortisol concentration was associated with higher oxidative stress. However, caution should be taken in interpreting the lack of reciprocal relationships in the resident females, because all associations were positive and likely lacked the statistical power to reach significance. Regardless, it is clear that females have a positive association between cortisol levels and oxidative stress while males do not. This general trend is supported by a meta-analysis that showed females were more susceptible to the effects of glucocorticoids on oxidative stress than males (Costantini et al., 2011) but contrasts with a study on female resident brown trout which found no relationship between oxidative stress and baseline cortisol concentrations (Hoogenboom et al., 2012). Clearly, our prediction that a relationship between cortisol levels and oxidative stress would be seen in sea-run trout only was not supported. Thus, different mechanisms may be more important in affecting oxidative stress in males (e.g., contaminants) versus females (e.g., cortisol).

While glucocorticoids can also affect morphology during development (Glennemeier and Denver, 2002; Butler et al., 2010; Hossie et al., 2010), there are no a priori predictions about
which aspects of morphology should be affected especially when measured in mature fish. We found a negative relationship with stress-induced cortisol concentrations in all groups: in females, more piscivorous head shapes were related to lower cortisol, and in males, more fusiform bodies were related to lower cortisol. Thus, despite this type of relationship being absent from the literature, it turned out to be one of our most consistent results across groups. However, the causal direction and mechanism of this relationship remains unclear, and deserves further study.

Cortisol is also involved in the physiological preparation for saltwater/freshwater transitions (McCormick, 2001), increasing in salmonids during smoltification to prepare smolts for entry into the marine environment (McCormick, 2001) as well as in mature fish preparing to enter the freshwater environment to spawn (Flores et al., 2012). Both baseline and stress-induced cortisol were elevated in sea-run compared to resident trout, in agreement with a role for cortisol in the seawater-to-freshwater transition, although in sympatric resident and migratory brook charr (Salvelinus fontinalis) no differences were found in cortisol levels (Boula et al., 2002). While the reduction in food intake that sea-run trout experience upon freshwater entry may also affect cortisol levels, empirical evidence that fasting affects cortisol is not strong (Sumpter et al., 1991; Pottinger et al., 2003; Azodi et al., 2015; but see Barcellos et al., 2010). Female sea trout also had higher cortisol values than female residents, and because cortisol levels increase as spawning approaches (reviewed in Hoogenboom et al., 2012), this observation suggests that sea-run trout may have been more advanced in their readiness to spawn than were residents.

The relationship between stable isotope values and cortisol levels has been studied almost exclusively within the avian literature, and most studies focused on averages rather than correlations between the two traits. The most comprehensive studies found a negative relationship between a time-integrated measure of corticosterone levels and both δ15N (Fairhurst et al., 2015) and δ13C (Fairhurst et al., 2013) values, consistent with the general expectation that glucocorticoids are elevated when diet quality is low and feeding grounds are located further away (Fairhurst et al., 2015). Yet all the relationships that we found were positive, indicating that higher levels of both baseline and stress-induced cortisol were associated with higher trophic levels and inshore habitat use, and so our results do not support those predictions. The relationships we observed were also quite consistent across groups, arguing against spurious associations. One reason our results may differ from previous studies involves the time scale
over which these traits were measured. The avian studies used feathers for both corticosterone and stable isotope measurements, so that glucocorticoids and diet reflect a time-integrated measure over the same period. We measured diet and cortisol at different time points (cortisol during autumn breeding, diet from summer onwards) and diet was integrated over a much longer time scale (months in scales versus weeks in feathers) while cortisol was an active hormone that could still respond to current events. Thus, our measured association more closely reflects a carry-over effect, where conditions in one part of the year (here summer feeding) affect traits during another part of the life cycle (here levels of stress hormones preceding breeding) (Harrison et al., 2011; O’Connor et al., 2014). This observation highlights the importance of matching the appropriate tissues and therefore time scales to the research question (Warne et al., 2015), and that trait relationships can change depending on the time scale over which they are measured.

Trait correlations have two distinct sources: causal linkages between traits (i.e., structural dependence or pleiotropy) and adaptive covariation (i.e., correlational selection) (Peiman and Robinson, 2017). While the absence of correlations suggests that single trait values are under selection, the presence of correlations in a single population does not distinguish between their potential sources. One method to distinguish sources is to measure the fitness of phenotypes with different trait combinations, but there have been few attempts to do this outside the behavioral syndrome literature. However, if the effects of fitness components (growth, reproduction, or survival) differ between sexes or life-history strategies, adaptive trait covariation is predicted to vary while causal linkages are not expected to be modified. For example, covariation between cortisol and oxidative status in females but not males indicates that reproduction may have the strongest effect on this relationship, while covariation between habitat use and oxidative status in male sea-run trout but not residents suggests that survival may modify this relationship. Additionally, consistent relationships between morphology and diet suggest that this functional relationship is important for maximizing growth in all groups, while the relationship between stress hormone levels and morphology may reflect a causal organizing effect. However, correlations can also be affected by age, individual quality, nutritional status, season, and environmental conditions (reviewed in Peiman and Robinson, 2017). Thus, while differing patterns of covariation between the two life-history strategies and the sexes suggest the intriguing possibility that the relationships among phenotypic traits are subjected to different
selection pressures, this remains to be tested. This illustrates the importance of integrating multiple phenotypic measures across different trait categories and contrasting life-history strategies, and that a more holistic approach to trait covariation is warranted (Reale et al., 2010; Ballew et al., 2017). We know little about the causal mechanisms driving most trait relationships, and how the many differences between the two life-history types (e.g., degree of reproductive maturity, fasting during migration, competition) independently affect trait covariation. As brown trout show genetic structuring even at micro-geographic scales (Cawdery and Ferguson, 1988; Ferguson and Taggart, 1991; McVeigh et al., 1995; Estoup et al., 1998; Carlsson et al., 1999; Hansen et al., 2002; Duguid et al., 2006) and life-history strategies have a heritable component (Hughes et al., 2016), the maintenance of genetically based trait-linked variation is possible through the use of different breeding habitats or even isolation by distance along rivers. Promising future directions include studies on the fitness effects and determining the causal direction of these trait relationships.
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Figure legends

**Fig. 1.** Map of the study system.

**Fig. 2.** Representative image of a brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) digitized for meristic measures, the unbending procedure and geometric morphometrics. (A) Sea-run trout. (B) Stream-resident trout.

**Fig. 3.** Multivariate and partial correlations for (A) male resident; (B) female resident; (C) male sea-run; and (D) female sea-run brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). Note that partial correlations were not calculated for female residents. Bold lines and bold font indicate significant multivariate correlations; dashed lines and normal font indicate significant partial correlations ($p < 0.05$). Correlation coefficients are given for both. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

**Fig. 4.** The relationship between head morphology (PC1) and nitrogen stable isotope values ($\delta^{15}N$) of male stream-resident brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). Deformation grids (exaggerated x3 for clarity) for extreme positive and negative PC scores are shown.

**Fig. 5.** The relationship between stress-induced cortisol levels and (A) head morphology (PC1) in female sea-run trout; (B) head morphology (PC1) in female resident trout; C) body morphology (PC2) in male sea-run trout. Deformation grids (exaggerated x3 for clarity) for extreme positive and negative PC scores are shown. Note differences in scale along Y-axis.

**Fig. 6.** The relationship between carbon stable isotope values ($\delta^{13}C$) and stress-induced cortisol concentrations. The relationship was significant in males (stream-resident: closed circles with corresponding solid line; sea-run: closed triangles with corresponding solid line) but not in females (stream-resident: open circles with corresponding dashed line; sea-run: open triangles with corresponding dashed line).

**Fig. 7.** The relationship between oxidative stress and carbon stable isotope ($\delta^{13}C$) values in male sea-run brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). Removal of the most extreme oxidative stress value (a potential outlier) did not change the significance of correlation ($p = 0.0008$) nor the partial correlation structure among variables.
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Table 1. Summary statistics for male and female sea-run and stream-resident brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) sampled during breeding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>Sea-run</td>
<td>Sea-run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GSSG/GSH</strong></td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>0.00019 ± 0.00004</td>
<td>0.00022 ± 0.00005</td>
<td>0.00035 ± 0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min, Max</td>
<td>(0.000013, 0.00036)</td>
<td>(0, 0.00097)</td>
<td>(0, 0.0011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TE</strong></td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>15.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>17.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min, Max</td>
<td>(11.1, 17.6)</td>
<td>(10.4, 27.0)</td>
<td>(10.2, 39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>δ¹³C</strong></td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>–25.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>–24.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>–12.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min, Max</td>
<td>(–26.7, –23.4)</td>
<td>(–27.0, –22.4)</td>
<td>(–16.7, –8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Min, Max</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>δ¹⁵N</strong></td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>(11.3, 12.9)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>(10.9, 13.9)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>(12.7, 20.1)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>(15.1, 19.4)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline cortisol</strong></td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>(0.4, 4.9)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentration (ng ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>(0.3, 17.3)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>(0.4, 66.4)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>(0.3, 50.9)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stress-induced cortisol</strong></td>
<td>31.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>(8.8, 50.5)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentration (ng ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>46.6 ± 6.5</td>
<td>(9.8, 122.1)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95.76 ± 11.0</td>
<td>(17.5, 236.4)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71.1 ± 11.7</td>
<td>(5.6, 227.6)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mass (g)</strong></td>
<td>247.6 ± 77.1</td>
<td>(89, 628)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>169.9 ± 10.4</td>
<td>(77, 301)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>917.8 ± 70.0</td>
<td>(159, 2523)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>608.6 ± 81.4</td>
<td>(163, 2196)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length (cm)</strong></td>
<td>27.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>(20.6, 39.3)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>(18.7, 30.8)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>(23.2, 62.3)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>(23.0, 57.5)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. Eigenvalues and percent variance explained by the first two principal components of geometric morphology for male and female stream-resident and sea-run brown trout (*Salmo trutta*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
<th>PC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eigenvalue</td>
<td>% Variance</td>
<td>Eigenvalue</td>
<td>% Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream-resident female</td>
<td>0.00038</td>
<td>57.37</td>
<td>0.000114</td>
<td>17.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream-resident male</td>
<td>0.000115</td>
<td>25.613</td>
<td>0.0000668</td>
<td>14.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-run female</td>
<td>0.000149</td>
<td>28.796</td>
<td>0.0000691</td>
<td>13.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-run male</td>
<td>0.0000955</td>
<td>22.732</td>
<td>0.0000595</td>
<td>13.298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>