

Microbial electrolytic capture, separation and regeneration of CO_2 for biogas upgrading

Jin, Xiangdan; Zhang, Yifeng; Li, Xiaohu; Zhao, Nannan; Angelidaki, Irini

Published in: Environmental Science & Technology (Washington)

Link to article, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b01574

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA): Jin, X., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Zhao, N., & Angelidaki, I. (2017). Microbial electrolytic capture, separation and regeneration of CO₂ for biogas upgrading. *Environmental Science & Technology (Washington)*, *51*(6), 9371-9378. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01574

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Microbial electrolytic capture, separation and					
2	regeneration of CO ₂ for biogas upgrading					
3	Xiangdan Jin [#] , Yifeng Zhang ^{#,} *, Xiaohu Li, Nannan Zhao, Irini Angelidaki					
4	Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens					
5	Lyngby, Denmark					
6	*Corresponding author:					
7	Dr. Yifeng Zhang					
8	Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark					
9	Tel: (+45) 45251429.					
10	Fax: (+45) 45933850.					
11	E-mail address: <u>yifz@env.dtu.dk</u>					

12 Abstract

Biogas upgrading to natural gas quality is essential for the efficient use of biogas in various 13 applications. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) which constitutes a major part of the biogas is generally removed 14 by physicochemical methods. However, most of the methods are expensive and often present 15 environmental challenges. In this study, an innovative microbial electrolytic system was developed to 16 capture, separate and regenerate CO₂ for biogas upgrading without external supply of chemicals, and 17 potentially to treat wastewater. The new system was operated at varied biogas flow rates and external 18 applied voltages. CO₂ was effectively separated from the raw biogas and the CH₄ content in the outlet 19 20 reached as high as 97.0±0.2% at the external voltage of 1.2 V and gas flow rate of 19.6 mL/h. Regeneration of CO_2 was also achieved in the regeneration chamber with low pH (1.34±0.04). The 21 relatively low electric energy consumption (≤ 0.15 kWh/m³) along with the H₂ production which can 22 23 contribute to the energy input makes the overall energy need of the system low, and thereby makes the technology promising. This work provides the first attempt for development of a sustainable biogas 24 upgrading technology and potentially expands the application of microbial electrochemical 25 technologies. 26

Keywords: Biogas upgrading; Microbial electrolytic system; Bipolar membrane; Biomethane; CO₂
capture and regeneration; Wastewater treatment

30 Introduction

31

Biogas as an alternative energy source could contribute to the reduction of fossil fuel utilization and 32 greenhouse gas emissions, and thus, is getting increased attention worldwide (especially in Denmark).¹ 33 34 Biogas is primarily composed of 60-70% v/v methane (CH₄), 30-40% v/v carbon dioxide (CO₂), and some trace gases such as hydrogen sulfide (H₂S).² Raw biogas with CO₂ presence exhibits a 35 significantly low Wobbe index, heating value and may adversely affect the engine performance.³ Thus, 36 37 those unwanted impurities especially CO_2 need to be removed from biogas before used as vehicle fuel or injected to natural gas grids. The conventional technologies for CO₂ removal are water scrubbing⁴, 38 pressure swing adsorption, chemical absorption^{5, 6}, amine scrubbing, membrane separation⁷, etc. Some 39 40 of them have several limitations such as high energy requirement, difficulty in recovery of CO₂, corrosion or clogging problems. Among them, alkaline absorption is characterized of high efficiency, 41 low working pressure, high selectivity and high reaction capacity.⁶ However, the technology still 42 43 encounters several challenges. In addition to alkali, acids may also be required for further regeneration of CO₂ to reuse/recover the captured CO₂ for subsequent sequestration or synthesis of biofuels and 44 commodity chemicals. Currently, alkali and acids are mainly produced through electrolysis of salt 45 solutions termed chlor-alkali process, which consumes a lot of electrical energy and the transportation 46 to the biogas upgrading plants increases the cost.⁸ Therefore, the high cost of chemicals and safety 47 issues associated with the transportation and storage of chemicals may affect the application of the 48 technology. 49

50 In the past years, microbial electrochemical technologies (METs) have gained increasing attention 51 as potentially sustainable approaches for the production of electricity, biofuels or chemicals, and water 52 treatment with low energy investment.^{9, 10} Recently, one of METs, namely microbial electrolysis

desalination and chemical production cell (MEDCC), has been demonstrated as an efficient and cost-53 effective method for water desalination, acid and alkali production.¹¹ With an external applied voltage 54 up to 1.2 V, pH of 0.68 and 12.9 was achieved in the acid-production chamber and cathode chamber, 55 respectively. Notably, the production cost of alkali in MEDCC was much lower than the market price. 56 57 Latterly, the feasibility of using the acid and alkali solutions produced by a MEDCC for mineral carbonation and CO₂ sequestration in an external reactor was successfully investigated.¹² However, 58 similar systems, which produce alkali and acids for simultaneous biogas upgrading and CO₂ recovery 59 60 through absorption and regeneration process, have never been reported. Moreover, a more simplified 61 microbial electrochemical system which could take the advantages of the MEDCC for alkali and acid 62 production should be pursued to reduce the construction costs. Furthermore, the energy intensive 63 aeration associated with the alkali synthesis in cathode (e.g., in MEDCC-like reactor) could be further replaced with energy-gained process such as hydrogen evolution. 64

In this study, an innovative microbial electrolytic capture, separation and regeneration cell (MESC) 65 was developed as an alternative, efficient and cost-effective approach to realize simultaneous biogas 66 upgrading, CO₂ recovery and wastewater treatment. Such a system consists of an anode chamber, a 67 regeneration chamber and a cathode chamber, separated by a bipolar membrane (BPM) and an anion 68 exchange membrane (AEM), respectively. With an external applied voltage, electrons derived from 69 bacterial oxidation of organic matter transfer from the anode to cathode where H₂ evolution and OH 70 accumulation occur. Meanwhile, H^+ and OH^- are produced in the BPM through water dissociation, 71 resulting in an acidic environment in the regeneration chamber and neutralization of OH^- with H^+ 72 released from substrate oxidation in the anode chamber. Beside prevention of dramatic pH drop in the 73 74 anode for better bacterial growth, BPM has the proven potential of more efficient and cost-effective production of acid and alkali in (bio)electrolytic process compared to conventional anion and cation 75

exchange membranes.^{12, 13} In our system, inlet CO_2 from the biogas is initially captured as HCO_3^- and 76 CO_3^{2-} by the alkali solution produced in the cathode (Equation 1 and 2). Because of the potential 77 difference between the middle and cathode chambers, HCO_3^{-1} and CO_3^{-2} subsequently transport through 78 AEM to the regeneration chamber where they combine with H^+ to form CO₂ again (Equation 3 and 4). 79 Therefore, through such process biogas upgrading, CO₂ recovery, wastewater treatment and H₂ 80 81 production could be achieved simultaneously. The aim of the present study is to demonstrate the feasibility of such novel technology by varying the biogas flow rates as well as the external voltages. 82 83 The system performance was evaluated in terms of the current output, chemical oxygen demand (COD) 84 removal efficiency, quality of the outlet gas and energy input. This work is among the first attempts to provide proof of concept for a new and value-added microbial electrochemical platform technology for 85 86 biogas upgrading.

87
$$CO_2(aq) + H_2O \to H^+ + HCO_3^-$$
 (1)

88
$$HCO_3^- + OH^- \to H_2O + CO_3^{2-}$$
 (2)

89
$$CO_3^{2-} + H^+ \to HCO_3^-$$
 (3)

90
$$HCO_3^- + H^+ \rightarrow H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow CO_2(aq) + H_2O$$
 (4)

91

92 Material and methods

93

94 The Setup and Operation of the MESC. A three-chamber reactor made of nonconductive 95 polycarbonate plates was consisted of the anode chamber, regeneration chamber and cathode chamber 96 which were separated by a BPM (fumasep FBM, FuMA-Tech GmbH, Germany) and an AEM (AMI 97 7001, Membrane international, NJ), respectively. The working area of both membranes was 25 cm² 98 (5×5 cm). The working volume of the three chambers was 50, 50 and 100 mL, for the anode, 99 regeneration and cathode chamber, respectively. The reactor was tightened using rubber gaskets and 100 screws to avoid leakage. The anodic electrode is made of carbon brush (5.0 cm in diameter, 5.0 cm in 101 length, Mill-Rose, USA). The cathode electrode is a titanium woven wire mesh (4×5 cm, 0.15 mm 102 aperture, William Gregor Limited, London) coated with 0.5 mg/cm² Pt. A power supply (HQ PS3003, 103 Helmholt Elektronik A/S, Denmark) was used to provide an additional voltage to the circuit with a 10 104 Ω external resistance.

105 The anode electrode was originally pre-acclimated in a two-chamber microbial fuel cell and 106 inoculated with a mixed bacterial culture from domestic wastewater collected from a primary clarifier (Lyngby Wastewater Treatment Plant, Copenhagen, Denmark). When stable voltage was obtained 107 108 around 0.5 V with a 1000 Ω resistance for several cycles, the electrode was transferred into the microbial electrolytic reactor. The anolyte was circulated over a 250 mL recirculation bottle with a 109 peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 25 mL/min. The total anolyte volume was 250 mL. The system was 110 then operated in a batch mode and a continuous mode. The batch experiment lasted for 7 days. During 111 the batch experiment, the recirculation bottle was filled with 250 mL wastewater from the same 112 primary clarifier mentioned before and 5 mL (1 M) sodium acetate was dosed to achieve a COD 113 concentration approximately 2000 mg/L. The regeneration and cathode chambers were filled with 0.05 114 M sodium chloride solution. Prior to each batch run, all chambers and the recirculation bottle were 115 purged with N₂ for 15 min to ensure anaerobic conditions. The synthetic biogas (60% CH₄ and 40% 116 CO_2) was introduced to the bottom of the cathode chamber by a column diffuser (pore diameters 0.5-117 1.0 µm, Maltbazaren ApS, Denmark) via a peristaltic pump at varying gas flow rates. The gas retention 118 119 time in the chamber was between 3.6-7.5 h according to different gas flow rates. The upgraded biogas was collected from the cathode with a gas bag. The collected gas was recirculated through the cathode 120

at the same gas flow rate of the raw biogas to enhance the mixing and increase CO₂ absorption. A 121 control experiment without external applied voltage was also operated in the batch mode at 19.6 mL/h 122 gas flow rate. In the continuous mode, the medium in the anodic recirculation bottle was renewed when 123 the current density decreased below 0.8 A/m^2 while the solution in the regeneration and cathode was 124 125 not refilled throughout the whole period. The reactor was operated for 40 days with 19.6 mL/h gas flow 126 rate. The external voltage was 1.2 V at the beginning and then increased to 1.4 V and further to 1.5 V at 127 the end. The experiments were carried out at least in triplicate and at room temperature ($22\pm 2^{\circ}C$). All 128 chemicals utilized were of reagent grade without purification.

129 Electrochemical Analyses and Calculations. Voltage drop across the 10 Ω resistance was measured and voltage readings were taken every 30 mins by a digital multimeter (Model 2700, 130 Keithley Instruments, Inc.; Cleveland, OH, USA). Current was calculated according to ohm's law. 131 Current density was calculated as i=I/A, where I (A) is the current and A (m²) is the project surface area 132 of the cathode electrode. Conductivity and pH were measured using a CDM 83 conductivity meter 133 (Radiometer) and a PHM 210 pH meter (Radiometer), respectively. Methane and carbon dioxide were 134 determined by a GC-TCD fitted with paralleled column of 1.1 m \times 3/16 'Molsieve 137 and 0.7 m \times 1/4' 135 with hydrogen as the carrier gas (MGC 82-12, Micolab A/S, Denmark). Hydrogen was analyzed by a 136 GC-TCD fitted with a 4.5 m \times 3 mms-m stainless column packed with Molsieve SA (10/80). Cl⁻ was 137 detected via Flame atomic absorptions spectrophotometer (FAAS, Shimadzu). Total inorganic carbon 138 (TIC) was determined by a TC-2000 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). COD was analyzed according to 139 APHA. Coulombic efficiency (CE), current efficiency (CTE), electric energy consumption by the 140 power source (W_E) and the energy efficiency (η_E) relative to the ratio of produced H₂ energy to the 141 electricity input were calculated as previously described ¹⁴ and equations are shown in the supporting 142

information. Methane loss was calculated as well and the formula is shown in the supportinginformation.

145

146 **Results and Discussion**

147

148 The MESC reactor performance. The microbial electrolytic reactor was first operated with 19.6 149 mL/h gas flow rate and 1.2 V external voltage. In the control experiment, the reactor was operated in 150 the open circuit at the same gas flow rate. Reactor performance in terms of the current generation, COD 151 removal, pH and conductivity profiles and gas contents was shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2a shows the current output and COD tendency along with the operation time. With 1.2 V 152 external voltage, the current density started at 1.7 A/m^2 and gradually decreased to 1.3 A/m^2 during the 153 first four days since COD in the anode decreased from 2341±320 to 420±79 mg/L. On day 5, when 154 COD concentration decreased to the level below 500 mg/L, the current density dropped significantly 155 below 0.8 A/m². Therefore, the concentration of organic matter can affect the circuit current by 156 affecting exoelectrogens.¹⁵ Acetate amended domestic wastewater was used in this study as the anodic 157 substrate to proof the concept of the technology. Since METs can use nearly all kinds of organic wastes 158 as substrates, wastewater with high COD levels (e.g., industrial wastewaters and anaerobic 159 fermentation effluents) could be used for practical application of the technology. In the control 160 experiment, a similar COD removal efficiency of 94.4±1.3% was obtained indicating competitors to 161 exoelectrogens such as methanogen might prevail in the domestic wastewater. Indeed, CH₄ (17%) was 162 detected in the anode chamber for the control reactor. Lower amount of CH₄ (3%) was detected in the 163 closed circuit since exoelectrogens were dominant due to the anode potential¹⁹. Results show the 164 feasibility of the microbial electrolytic system for wastewater treatment. Figure 2b shows the pH 165

profile of the whole reactor. Initial pH of the wastewater and NaCl solution was 7.30±0.28 and 166 7.61±0.30, respectively. In the control experiment, pH in the anode and regeneration chambers changed 167 barely while that in the cathode chamber decreased a little from 7.61±0.30 to 6.32±0.21. This could be 168 due to CO_2 dissolving in water and the ionization of it to HCO_3^{-16} . When 1.2 V external voltage was 169 supplied to the reactor, pH in the regeneration chamber decreased below 2 which was contributed by 170 171 water dissociation between the BPM. Anodic pH was nearly stable during the experiment which was due to the neutralization of H⁺ from bacterial respiration with OH⁻ from water dissociation. Catholyte 172 173 pH first increased to maximum at 9.19±0.12 on the first day and then decreased gradually to 7.42±0.22 174 on the last day. The increase of the catholyte pH was probably due to the splitting of water for the production of alkaline and H₂ at cathode, which was supported by the observed hydrogen production 175 176 (37±3 mL) at the end of the batch run. Catholyte pH decreased gradually since dissolved CO₂ reacted with alkaline and generated HCO_3^{-1} and CO_3^{-2} . On day 5 a sharp decrease was observed in catholyte pH 177 which was consistent with the remarkable decrease in the current output. The electrolysis process might 178 cease as organic matter was completely consumed. 179

The initial conductivity of wastewater and NaCl solution were 2.74±0.23 and 5.72±0.26 mS/cm, 180 respectively. As shown in Figure 2c, in the control experiment conductivity of the reactor fluctuated 181 insignificantly. With 1.2 V external voltage, conductivity in the regeneration chamber first increased 182 from 5.72±0.26 to 22.79±0.75 mS/cm on the second day and then decreased to 11.07±0.95 mS/cm in 183 the end. The increase of conductivity in the regeneration chamber could be explained by H⁺ production 184 via the BPM. When pH in the regeneration chamber was 1.34, it could contribute 15.98 mS/cm in 185 conductivity (calculation was stated in Supporting Information). However, along with the decreasing of 186 current density from 1.7 to 0.8 A/m², the water dissociation efficiency decreased even to zero. In 187 Bauer's work²⁰, the water dissociation efficiency decreased from 70% to 0% as the current density drop 188

from 10 to 0 mA/cm². Another reason for the decrease of conductivity was that carbonate and bicarbonate ions reacted with H^+ and CO_2 emission occurred. At the end of the experiment, 22±3 mL CO₂ was collected from the regeneration chamber.

Alkaline absorption is an efficient way for biogas upgrading because of the large difference in the solubility of CO_2 and CH_4 .¹⁴ As shown in Figure 2d, when no alkaline was produced in the control experiment, the CH_4 concentration was kept around 85% and CO_2 concentration decrease from the original 40% to approximately 15% due to dissolution in water. When 1.2 V voltage was supplied, CO_2 reacted with alkaline leading to a higher CO_2 removal from biogas. For example, the CO_2 concentration was blow 8% during the whole period and even to $0.5\pm0.2\%$ while the highest CH_4 content could be 97.0±1.3%. Therefore, the microbial electrolytic reactor was an efficient approach for biogas upgrading.

Influence of other ionic species on HCO_3^{-7}/CO_3^{-2} transportation. To achieve efficient CO_2 199 separation and capture, the key point is to drive HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} ions through the membrane to the 200 regeneration chamber. However, the cathode was filled with 0.05 M NaCl solution and alkali was 201 202 produced during H₂ evolution. Cl⁻ and OH⁻ might also move through the membrane and thereby are competing with HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} for transportation. Therefore, pH and Cl⁻ concentration in the cathode 203 and regeneration chambers were measured along with the operation time. The profile of Cl⁻ 204 concentration is shown in Figure 3. When catholyte pH increased to 9.2, the OH⁻ concentration was as 205 low as 1.58×10⁻⁵ M and therefore would have insignificant effect on electron flux balance. As shown in 206 Figure 3 and Table S2, no significant changes in Cl⁻ concentrations were observed in the regeneration 207 chamber and cathode chamber in the open circuit. On the first day of the closed circuit operation, when 208 current densities were the highest and HCO₃⁻ concentration was the minimum, the Cl⁻ concentration 209 210 reached the maximum of 2404±50 mg/L followed by a slightly decrease in the regeneration chamber. In the cathode, the Cl⁻ concentration decreased to 1040±40 mg/L to compensate the flux of electron 211

which was consistent with the slightly lower conductivity (4.69±0.17 mS/cm) on the first day. 212 Subsequently, the slight transfer of Cl⁻ from the regeneration chamber to cathode chamber occurred due 213 to back diffusion or ion exchange with HCO_3^{-7}/CO_3^{-2-} . The final Cl⁻ concentrations in the regeneration 214 and cathode chamber in the closed circuit were 0.053 and 0.045 M, respectively. Results indicated the 215 216 final flux of Cl⁻ transported from the cathode to the regeneration chamber was limited ($\leq 10\%$). It has 217 been reported that ion transfer can be prevented due to similar ion concentrations in both compartments separated by the membrane^{17, 18}. According to the C balance shown in Figure 5, at the end of the batch 218 219 test 0.261 g of TIC was detected in the regeneration chamber in closed circuit which equals equivalent 220 of 0.022 mol HCO₃. A current efficiency of 81% could be achieved during the whole period meaning 221 that the transfer of every mol of electrons to the cathode was accompanied by 0.81 mol HCO_3^- 222 transportation. Thus, the HCO₃⁻ transportation would not be adversely affected by Cl⁻ and OH⁻.

Effects of the gas flow rate and applied voltage on the system performance. The influence of biogas flow rate on the system performance was investigated at the external voltage of 1.2 V. The gas flow rate was control by the peristaltic pump from 13.4 to 27.7 mL/h. The summary of current outputs, pH and conductivity profiles, and outlet gas composition during the experiment is shown in Figure 4.

Under different gas flow rates, the current density showed similar trend along with the operation 227 time (Fig. 4a). The current density fluctuated around 1.6 A/m² and significantly dropped below 0.8 228 A/m^2 after the fourth day due to the substrate consumption and high polarization resistance of the BPM. 229 The current output was mainly influenced by the microorganism community and the substrate 230 concentration. However, with 13.4 mL/h gas flow rate, the reactor produced relatively lower current 231 densities. Since gas introduction to the catholyte might function as mixing and enhance the mass 232 233 transfer. Relatively low gas flow rate and recirculation rate resulted in small disturbances and slow mass transfer which was adverse to the cathodic hydrogen evolution. 234

In Fig 4b, cathodic pH was in the rage of 7 to 10 while pH in the regeneration chamber was 235 236 between 1.5 and 4. With the gas flow rate increasing, cathodic pH decreased obviously indicating the 237 enhanced CO₂ absorption in the alkaline solution. With 13.4 mL/h gas flow rate, it was found that cathodic pH reached the highest value around 10 on the second day. It is because relatively less CO₂ 238 239 reacted and consumed OH⁻. In Fig. 4c, the maximum conductivity in the regeneration chamber 240 increased from 14.08±0.75 to 22.79±0.75 mS/cm along with the increasing of gas flow rate from 13.4 241 to 19.6 mL/h. When the gas flow rate went up further, conductivity did not increase further. 242 Correspondingly, when the gas flow rate was below 20 mL/h, CH₄ composition kept above 90% and 243 could reach to maximum at 98.1 \pm 0.2%. CO₂ was removed effectively and could be lower than 2% during the first 4 days when the gas flow rate was below 20 mL/h. When the gas flow rate increased 244 245 from 25.3 to 27.7 mL/h, CH₄ composition dropped from 88% to 84% as average during the whole period. Therefore, a proper feeding gas flow rate is crucial to improve the system performance. When 246 the gas flow rate was low, the biogas upgrading capacity might decrease while high flow rates might 247 decrease the purity of CH₄. Further strategies for the improvement of biogas flow rate are thus required 248 249 in future studies for accelerating the commercialization.

The effect of external voltage on the CO₂ removal efficiency and CH₄ enrichment was studied. The 250 system performance was compared at external voltage of 0, 1.0, 1.2 and 1.4 V which are shown in 251 Figure S1 and S2. When the gas flow rate was the same but the external voltage elevated, the maximum 252 catholyte pH was increased from 6.44±0.05 (0 V, 19.6 mL/h), 7.37±0.12 (1.0 V, 19.2 mL/h) to 253 9.19±0.11 (1.2 V, 19.6 mL/h) and from 7.38±0.08 (1.2 V, 27.7 mL/h) to 7.52±0.10 (1.4 V, 28.0 mL/h) 254 as well as the volume of generated H₂ from 0 mL (0 V, 19.6 mL/h), 22±2 mL (1.0 V, 19.2 mL/h) to 255 256 37±3 mL (1.2, 19.6 mL/h V) and from 37±3 mL (1.2 V, 27.7 mL/h) to 40±3 mL (1.4 V, 28.0 mL/h), respectively. The applied voltage would induce fast electron transfer kinetic and compensate the 257

Environmental Science & Technology

electrode overpotential, which could also enhance the substrate consumption by anode respiring bacteria²¹ and favorite the electrolysis process. When the applied voltage was changed from 0, 1.0 to 1.2 V at around 19.6 mL/h gas flow rate, the maximum CH₄ content of the output gas increased from 85.5 \pm 0.3%, 89.2 \pm 0.4% to 97.0 \pm 0.2%. However, when the gas flow rate was higher than 25.3 mL/h, the concentration of CH₄ could not be improved further with even higher applied voltage (1.4 V). The biogas upgrading capacity probably has a threshold and the system performance might be determined by other limiting factors.

265 Experimental results at various operation conditions are summarized in Table 1. As shown in 266 Figure 4a, with the fixed external voltage supply (1.2 V), the current density increased along with the increasing of gas inflow rate since it enhanced the mixing and mass transfer. However, cathodic pH and 267 268 upgrading performance showed opposite trends (Figure 4b and 4d). It could be due to a change in equilibria between alkali production capacity at cathode (expressed as pH) and the dissolving gasses. In 269 this case, the gases dissolution rate was higher than the OH⁻ generation rate. As expected, the current 270 density, cathodic pH and upgrading performance increased with the increasing external voltage supply 271 (Figure S1 and S2). However, the increase in those parameters turned to be limited when the gas inflow 272 rate was over a certain level. Thus, operational parameters applied such as voltage and gas inflow rate 273 need to be better adjusted to maintain equilibrium between cathodic pH and the dissolving gasses for 274 efficient upgrading. Considering the final pH and Cl⁻ concentration in the cathode (Table S2), the 275 changes in the transportation of other anions than HCO_3^{-1} and CO_3^{-2} were not significant under the 276 tested conditions. The collected CO_2 increased with the current density since more HCO_3^- ions were 277 transported via the membrane driven by higher current and then CO₂ was formed at the lower pH. 278 Results indicate that both the applied voltage and biogas flow rate are crucial to the system 279

performance. Eligible CH₄ content (>90%) was obtained when the gas flow rate was in the range of
13.4 and 19.6 mL/h with 1.2 V applied voltage.

Energy analysis and mass balance. The electrical energy consumption for biogas upgrading 282 technologies is an important issue for the practical application. Our lab-scale system consumed 283 between 0.09 and 0.15 kWh of electrical energy for upgrading one m³ raw biogas, which was quite 284 285 competitive compared with commercial technologies. For instance, the electricity demand for biogas upgrading is about 0.2-0.32 kWh/Nm³ raw gas with water scrubbing, 0.1-0.15 kWh/Nm³ with chemical 286 absorption and 0.15-0.22 kWh/Nm³ with membrane technology^{3, 22}. It should be noted that the 287 288 electricity consumption is the sole "consumable cost" of microbial electrolytic system for biogas upgrading while additional of chemicals (e.g., acids and alkali), high pressure or temperature are 289 290 required in most of the conventional methods. Since the microbial facilitated release of electrons stored in organic matter from wastewater, the applied voltage was much lower than that used in the water 291 electrolysis process.¹⁴ Moreover, an energy carrier (H₂) is produced in the cathode which could 292 293 substitute 21-27% of the electric energy input. Since the substrate was degraded and about 16-33% of the chemical energy was converted to the electrical energy, the energy provided by the organic 294 substrate was 140-334 kJ/Nm³ which was calculated according to the energy content of acetate based 295 on the heat combustion. The energy benefits could be even higher if energy savings for wastewater 296 treatment was considered. Besides operational and maintenance costs for in real scale application, 297 investment should also be considered. However, investment costs per m³ raw biogas would decrease 298 with increasing capacity. 299

At the end of the batch run with and without 1.2 V external voltage at 19.6 mL/h gas flow rate, carbon balance was performed to study the carbon capture mechanism. Rude biogas was introduced into the reactor as the source of CO_2 . In the cathode, CO_2 was converted to (bi)carbonate and

transported to the regeneration chamber. Then (bi)carbonate reacted with H^+ and CO_2 was regenerated. 303 304 Volume of initial CO₂ and regenerated CO₂ was measured and TIC of the solution was determined. The carbon balance is shown in Fig. 5. In the control experiment, approximately 30% of the CO₂ was 305 remained and 16% of the CO₂ existed in the cathode chamber as the form of soluble NaHCO₃ and 306 307 Na₂CO₃. No CO₂ was collected from the regeneration chamber since acid was not produced. When an 308 external voltage of 1.2 V was supplied, carbon distribution was quite different. The remained CO₂ in 309 the outlet accounted for 15% of the total initial CO₂ and 37% existed as (bi)carbonate in the 310 regeneration chamber. The regenerated CO₂ and TIC in the cathode chamber shared 2% and 12% of 311 the initial carbon, respectively. The imbalance on CO₂ species could be due to diffusion to the anode and measurement error. 312

313 Experiment under the continuous mode. The microbial electrolytic system was then operated in the continuous mode for 40 days. For the initial 26 days the system was operated with 1.2 V external 314 voltage and 19.6 mL/h raw biogas flow rate. The external voltage was increased to 1.4 V since day 26th 315 and enlarged further to 1.5 V from day 33rd to the end of the experiment at the same gas flow rate. CH₄, 316 H₂ and CO₂ contents in the outlet gas in the continuous mode are showed in Figure 6. During the first 317 six days, CH₄ content was above 90% and could reach 97.5±0.74% at maximum while CO₂ content 318 was below 5% and reached 1.0±0.48% at minimum. Subsequently, CH₄ and CO₂ content were kept 319 around 88.3% and 8.3%, respectively. During the whole period, H₂ was produced and the content was 320 around 3.8%. Approximately, 18.8 L rude biogas was treated and the energy consumption for per m³ 321 raw biogas was 0.18±0.01 kWh. During the 40 days operation, NaCl solution has not been changed and 322 the saturation state was not reached yet, which shows a huge absorption capacity of our system with 323 324 less maintenance. When the external voltage was increased to 1.4 V and 1.5 V, a peak occurred at the corresponding CH₄ content. However, the enhancement on the biogas upgrading was limited which 325

indicated that there were probably other limiting factors dominating the system performance other than
external voltage in the continuous mode. Membranes were not cleaned or replaced during the whole
period which exhibits the tolerance of membranes.

This study for the first time demonstrated the proof of concept of a microbial electrolytic system 329 330 for simultaneous CO₂ capture, separation and regeneration, biogas upgrading and wastewater treatment. 331 Compared to commercial biogas upgrading technologies, the novel system developed here has several 332 merits. First, addition of chemicals is not needed since acid and alkaline are generated and utilized in 333 situ which saves the cost/risks for chemicals transportation and storage. Secondly, methane loss (e.g., 334 due to dissolution) during the whole period is less than 1.4% while that in traditional biogas upgrading technologies is about $2\%^{3, 23}$. Low methane loss is beneficial for economic and environmental aspects. 335 336 Thirdly, separated CO₂ from rude biogas and the (bi)carbonate solution can be further used in other industrial applications, such as the production of algal biomass and enhanced oil recovery.³ Moreover, 337 the applied voltage to overcome the thermodynamic barrier of water splitting in our system is quite 338 339 lower than that used in the abiotic water electrolysis. It is because the chemical energy stored in the organic matter was released with the help of bacteria to offset the difference. Organic substrates 340 provided energy to the system instead of consuming energy. However, it was not counted as energy 341 input since the wastewater has to be treated anyway. Therefore, the electrical energy consumption in 342 the system is quite low (0.09 kWh//Nm³) and H₂ produced can even substitute part of the energy costs 343 (up to 27%). In addition, the MESC as biological system could meet the needs of sustainability and 344 circular economy. The simple configuration of the MESC makes the technology more competitive 345 compared to the traditional electrolysis processes and MEDCC for the purpose of biogas upgrading and 346 347 CO₂ recovery. However, for practical applications, several issues should be considered. For instance, H₂S and other impurities in biogas should be removed (biogas pre-upgrade) in advance to eliminate the 348

risk for adverse effect on the microbial electrolytic system. Acetate amended real domestic wastewater 349 350 was used here to prove the concept. Many waste streams such as industrial wastewater or fermentation effluent have high COD concentrations and can potentially be used for practical application of the 351 technology. Despite long term operation of the microbial electrochemical system with domestic 352 353 wastewater (emended with acetate), for more than half year, biofouling of the membranes was not 354 observed. Furthermore, NaCl as electrolyte in this study may add the operational costs. However, in practical application, NaCl can be added once to start up the process rather than continuous addition. 355 356 Wastewater, which could support the conductivity in the liquid during continuous operation, may 357 substitute NaCl. Taking this into account, the energy costs could be further reduced, and thus, further improvement such as appropriate material selection and chemicals refusal might be necessary. To 358 359 conclude, the concept is very challenging but also full of promises and is definitely going to make a significant impact on relative research field. 360

361 Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge China Scholarship Council for the financial support. The authors thank the technical assistance by Hector Gracia and Sinh Hy Nguyen with analytical measurements. This research is supported financially by the Danish Council for Independent Research (DFF-1335-00142).

366 Supporting Information

Calculation for Coulombic efficiency (CE), energy efficiency, current efficiency and methane loss.
Table S1, Table S2, Figure S1, and Figure S2 as noted in the text. This material is available free of
charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/

- **370 Author Contributions**
- $^{\#}$ X.J. and Y.Z. contributed equally to the paper.

372 References

- 373 (1) Lund, H.; Mathiesen, B. V. Energy system analysis of 100% renewable energy systems-The case of
- 374 Denmark in years 2030 and 2050. *Energy* **2009**, *34*(5), 524-531.
- 375 (2) Monnet F. An introduction to anaerobic digestion of organic wastes; Final Report; Remade
- 376 Scotland, 2003.
- 377 (3) Sun, Q.; Li, H.; Yan, J.; Liu, L.; Yu, Z.; Yu, X. Selection of appropriate biogas upgrading
- technology-a review of biogas cleaning, upgrading and utilization. *Renew. Sustainable Energy Rev.*
- **2015**, *51*, 521-532.
- 380 (4) Budzianowski, W. M.; Wylock, Ch. E.; Marciniak, P. A. Power requirements of biogas upgrading
- by water scrubbing and biomethane compression: comparative analysis of various plant configurations.
- 382 *Energy Convers. Manage.* **2017**, *141*, 2-19.
- (5) Tippayawong, N.; Thanompongchart, P. Biogas quality upgrade by simultaneous removal of CO₂
 and H₂S in a packed column reactor. *Energy* 2010, *35*(12), 4531-4535.
- (6) Chen, M.; Zhang. F.; Zhang, Y.; Zeng, R. J. Alkali production from bipolar membrane
 electrodialysis powered by microbial fuel cell and application for biogas upgrading. *Appl. Energy.* 2013, *103*, 428-434.
- (7) Deng, L.; Hägg, M. B. Techno-economic evaluation of biogas upgrading process using CO₂
 facilitated transport membrane. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 2010, 4(4), 638-646.
- 390 (8) Brinkmann, T.; Santonja, G. G.; Schorcht, F.; Roudier, S.; Sanco, L. D. Best available techniques
- 391 (BAT) reference document for the production of chlor-alkali; JRC Science and policy reports EUR
- 392 26844 EN; Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU (Integrated pollution prevention and control),
- 393 2014.

- (9) Zhang, Y.; Angelidaki, I. Microbial electrolysis cells turning to be versatile technology: Recent
 advances and future challenges. *Water Res.* 2014, 56(1), 11-25.
- 396 (10) Wang, H.; Park, J. D.; Ren, Z. J. Practical energy harvesting for microbial fuel cells: a review.
- 397 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*(4), 2467-2472.
- (11) Chen, S.; Liu, G.; Zhang, R.; Qin, B.; Luo, Y. Development of the microbial electrolysis
 desalination and chemical-production cell for desalination as well as acid and alkali productions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 49(6), 3267-3277.
- 401 (12) Zhu, X.; Logan, B. E. Microbial electrolysis desalination and chemical-production cell for CO₂
 402 sequestration. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2014, *159*, 24-29.
- 403 (13) Huang, C.; Xu, T. Electrodialysis with bipolar membranes for sustainable development. *Environ*.
- 404 *Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*(17), 5233-5243.
- 405 (14) Lu, L.; Huang, Z.; Rau, G. H.; Ren, Z. J. Microbial electrolytic carbon capture for carbon negative
- and energy positive wastewater treatment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49*(13), 8193-8201.
- 407 (15) Jin, X.; Li, X.; Zhao, N.; Angelidaki, I.; Zhang, Y. Bio-electrolytic sensor for rapid monitoring of
 408 volatile fatty acids in anaerobic digestion process. *Water Res.* 2017, *111*, 74-80.
- 409 (16) Lee, S. C.; Choi, B. Y.; Lee, T. J.; Ryu, C. K.; Ahn, Y. S.; Kim, J. C. CO₂ absorption and
- 410 regeneration of alkali metal-based solid sorbents. *Catal. Today* **2006**, *111*(3-4), 385-390.
- 411 (17) Desloover, J.; Woldeyohannis, A. A.; Verstraete, W.; Boon, N.; Rabaey, K. Electrochemical
- 412 resource recovery from digestate to prevent ammonia toxicity during anaerobic digestion. *Environ. Sci.*
- 413 *Technol.* **2012**, *46*(21), 12209-12216.
- 414 (18) Zhang, Y.; Angelidaki, I. Recovery of ammonia and sulfate from waste streams and bioenergy
- 415 production via bipolar bioelectrodialysis. *Water Res.* **2015**, *85*, 177-184.

- 416 (19) Dennis, P. G.; Virdis, B.; Vanwonterghem, I.; Hassan, A.; Hugenholtz, P.; Tyson, G. W.; Rabaey,
- 417 K. Anode potential influences the structure and function of anodic electrode and electrolyte-associated
- 418 microbiomes. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 39114.
- (20) Bauer, B.; Gerner, F. J.; Strathmann, H. Development of bipolar membranes. *Desalination*. 1988, *68*(2-3), 279-292.
- 421 (21) Lee, H. S.; Torres, C. I.; Rittmann, B. E. Effects of substrate diffusion and anode potential on
- 422 kinetic parameters for anode-respiring bacteria. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43*(19), 7571-7577.
- 423 (22) Bauer, F.; Persson, T.; Hulteberg, C.; Tamm, D. Biogas upgrading-technology overview,
 424 comparison and perspectives for the future. *Biofuel Bioprod. Bior.* 2013, 7(5), 499-511.
- 425 (23) Ryckebosch, E.; Drouillon, M.; Vervaeren, H. Techniques for transformation of biogas to
- 426 biomethane. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2011**, *35*(5), 1633-1645.

Voltage V	0	1.0	1.2			1.4	
Gas flow rate mL/h	19.6	19.2	13.4	19.6	25.3	27.7	28.0
pH_{max} in Cathode	6.44±0.05	7.37±0.12	10.03±0.07	9.19±0.11	8.59±0.10	7.38±0.08	7.52±0.10
pH_{min} in Regeneration	6.87±0.04	2.09±0.07	1.88±0.05	1.34±0.04	1.43±0.10	1.36±0.07	1.44±0.06
Max CH ₄ %	85.5±0.3	85.9±0.4	98.1±0.2	97.0±0.2	90.3±0.3	86.0±0.3	86.1±0.2
CO ₂ removal efficiency %	78.3±1.4	77.0±0.7	98.7±0.5	92.6±0.6	89.3±1.5	85.7±.04	81.3±1.1
COD removal efficiency %	94.4±1.3	80.4±2.7	78.7±3.5	98.2±2.6	91.9±2.8	93.4±4.7	86.5±5.9
CE %	-	16.3±1.4	20.0±1.3	26.0±2.1	22.7±1.7	32.6±1.3	17.5±1.8
CO ₂ collected mL H ₂ mL	-	6±3 22±2	10±3 24±2	22±3 37±3	18±2 32±2	24±2 31±4	25±2 40±3
Electricity consumption kWh/m ³		0.09±0.004	0.15±0.003	0.15±0.003	0.10±0.003	0.11±0.002	0.13±0.004
Energy efficiency	-	26.8±1.2	24.2±1.7	26.5±0.8	26.7±1.4	20.6±1.7	22.4±2.2
CH ₄ loss %	1.22±0.02	1.32±0.03	1.21±0.02	1.23±0.02	1.14±0.03	1.20±0.02	1.27±0.02

427 **Table 1**. Experimental results at various operation conditions

428 Figure Caption

429	Figure 1. The schematic diagram of MESC. BPM, bipolar membrane; AEM, anion exchange membrane.
430	Figure 2. MESC performance at 19.6 mL/h gas flow rate with 1.2 V and control experiement. A, anode chamber;
431	R, regeneration chamber; C, cathode chamber. The current density output and COD changes (a); pH
432	profiles in three chambers (b); conductivity profiles in three chambers (c); methane and carbon dioxide
433	contents in the outlet gas (d).
434	Figure 3. Profiles of Cl ⁻ concentration in the regeneration chamber and cathode chamber along with the
435	operation time.
436	Figure 4. MESC performance with 1.2 V and varying gas low rates. The current density output (a); pH profiles
437	in the cathode (C) chmaber and the regeneration (R) chamber (b); conductivity profiles in the
438	regeneratioin chamber (c); methane and carbon dioxide contents in the outlet gas (d).
439	Figure 5. Carbon balance in MESC system with and without 1.2 V external voltage at 19.6 mL/h gas flow rate.

440 **Figure 6**. Methane, hydrogen and carbon dioxide contents in the outlet gas in the continuous mode.



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6

28 ACS Paragon Plus Environment

455 **TOC art**

