Density and distribution of nitrifying guilds in rapid sand filters for drinking water production: Dominance of Nitrospira spp.

Tatari, Karolina; Musovic, Sanin; Gülay, Arda; Dechesne, Arnaud; Albrechtsen, Hans-Jørgen; Smets, Barth F.

Published in:
Water Research

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.023)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Tatari, K., Musovic, S., Gülay, A., Dechesne, A., Albrechtsen, H-J., & Smets, B. F. (2017). Density and distribution of nitrifying guilds in rapid sand filters for drinking water production: Dominance of Nitrospira spp. *Water Research*, 127, 239-248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.023>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Density and distribution of nitrifying guilds in rapid sand filters for drinking water production: Dominance of *Nitrospira* spp.

Karolina Tatari, Sanin Musovic, Arda Gülay, Arnaud Dechesne, Hans-Jørgen Albrechtsen, Barth F. Smets

PII: S0043-1354(17)30851-5

DOI: [10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.023)

Reference: WR 13280

To appear in: *Water Research*

Received Date: 20 March 2017

Revised Date: 7 October 2017

Accepted Date: 9 October 2017

Please cite this article as: Tatari, K., Musovic, S., Gülay, A., Dechesne, A., Albrechtsen, Hans.-Jø., Smets, B.F., Density and distribution of nitrifying guilds in rapid sand filters for drinking water production: Dominance of *Nitrospira* spp., *Water Research* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.023.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Density and distribution of nitrifying guilds in rapid sand filters for drinking water production: dominance of *Nitrospira* spp.

Karolina Tatari [†], Sanin Musovic ^{†,*}, Arda Gülay, Arnaud Dechesne, Hans-Jørgen Albrechtsen and Barth F. Smets [‡]

Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljøvej 113, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

[†]These authors contributed equally to the manuscript

*Current Affiliation: Danish Technological Institute, Kongsvang Allé 29, Århus 8000, Denmark

[‡] Corresponding author: Tel: +45 45252230, E-mail: bfsm@env.dtu.dk (B. F. Smets)

Abstract

We investigated the density and distribution of total bacteria, canonical Ammonia Oxidizing Bacteria (AOB) (*Nitrosomonas* plus *Nitrospira*), Ammonia Oxidizing Archaea (AOA), as well as *Nitrobacter* and *Nitrospira* in rapid sand filters used for groundwater treatment. To investigate the spatial distribution of these guilds, filter material was sampled at four drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) in parallel filters of the pre- and after-filtration stages at different locations and depths. The target guilds were quantified by qPCR targeting 16S rRNA and *amoA* genes. Total bacterial densities (ignoring 16S rRNA gene copy number variation) were high and ranged from 10^9 to 10^{10} per gram (10^{15} to 10^{16} per m^3) of filter material. All examined guilds, except AOA, were stratified at only one of the four DWTPs. Densities varied spatially within filter (intra-filter variation) at two of the DWTPs and in parallel filters (inter-filter variation) at one of the DWTPs. Variation analysis revealed random sampling as the most efficient strategy to yield accurate mean density estimates, with collection of at least 7 samples suggested to obtain an acceptable (below half order of magnitude) density precision. *Nitrospira* was consistently the most dominant guild (5 to 10% of

total community), and was generally up to 4 orders of magnitude more abundant than *Nitrobacter* and up to 2 orders of magnitude more abundant than canonical AOBs. These results, supplemented with further analysis of the previously reported diversity of *Nitrospira* in the studied DWTPs based on 16S rRNA and *nxrB* gene phylogeny (Gülay et al., 2016; Palomo et al., 2016), indicate that the high *Nitrospira* abundance is due to their comammox (complete ammonia oxidation) physiology. AOA densities were lower than AOB densities, except in the highly stratified filters, where they were of similar abundance. In conclusion, rapid sand filters are microbially dense, with varying degrees of spatial heterogeneity, which requires replicate sampling for a sufficiently precise determination of total microbial community and specific population densities. A consistently high *Nitrospira* to bacterial and archaeal AOB density ratio suggests that non-canonical pathways for nitrification may dominate the examined RSFs.

Keywords

RSF, nitrifying guilds, AOB, NOB, AOA, comammox, *Nitrospira*

1. Introduction

Rapid sand filters (RSFs) are widely used in groundwater treatment to remove compounds like NH_4^+ , Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} to below drinking water quality standards; removal occurs by a combination of physico-chemical and biochemical means. The maximum allowed NH_4^+ (and NO_2^-) concentration in the effluent from the drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) in Europe is 0.5 mg/L (and 0.1 mg/L) (Council Directive 98/93/EC 1998), unless stricter limits are applied by the individual member states (e.g. 0.05 mg/l (and 0.01 mg/L) in DK). NH_4^+ residuals in non-chlorinated systems can cause O_2 consumption in the distribution network (Zhang et al. 2009), as well as accumulation of toxic NO_2^- due to incomplete nitrification (Lytle et al. 2007). In chlorinated distribution systems, NH_4^+ removal is important to control the chlorine residuals and avoid the formation of disinfection byproducts (Lytle et al. 2013, Rittmann et al. 2012).

NH_4^+ removal in RSFs has been assumed to be a two-step biological oxidation process, first to NO_2^- and then to NO_3^- . Oxidation to NO_2^- has typically been attributed to canonical Ammonia Oxidizing Bacteria (AOB) such as *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrosospira* and Ammonia Oxidizing Archaea (AOA); both AO types have been identified in biological filters (Bai et al. 2013, de Vet et al. 2011, Tatari et al. 2016), although their relative contribution to NH_4^+ removal in these engineered systems has not yet been examined. AOB predominance over AOA seems to link with the NH_4^+ concentration, with lower concentrations favoring the predominance of AOA, potentially due to their higher affinity for NH_4^+ (Martens-Habben et al., 2009; Niu et al., 2013; Sauder et al., 2012). NO_2^- oxidation in RSFs has been attributed to *Nitrospira* and *Nitrobacter*, although *Nitrospira* has been detected at much higher densities compared to *Nitrobacter* (Albers et al. 2015, Tatari et al. 2016). Additionally, *Nitrospira* but not *Nitrobacter* were detected in a trickling filter treating groundwater (de Vet et al. 2009).

Few studies have reported on densities of different nitrifying guilds, yet such information might provide clues on the importance of different guilds in the overall RSF performance (i.e. ammonia removal) under certain operational conditions. In trickling filters treating groundwater, mean filter densities of AOB (10^7 - 10^9 AOB cells /g filter material) vastly exceeded those of AOA (10^5 cells /g filter material) as quantified by *amoA*-based qPCR (de Vet et al. 2009). AOB mean densities of 10^6 - 10^9 copies/g filter material were reported (quantified by *amoA*-based qPCR) for granular activated carbon filters treating surface water (Niu et al. 2013). The large range in reported densities (for single systems) would seem worrying, yet quantification of total bacteria, AOB and AOA in biological sand filters has occasionally revealed substantial depth stratification and spatial variation (Bai et al. 2013, Lee et al. 2014, Tatari et al. 2016, Gülay et al., 2016). Hence, appropriate sampling may be essential to ensure representative density estimates of microbial guilds in RSFs.

Relative densities of different microbial guilds can also be compared to predicted ratios based on assumed physiology and stoichiometry; large deviations would then challenge the underlying assumptions. Recently we discovered anomalous relative densities *Nitrospira* to AOB in RSFs, with *Nitrospira* comprising up to 45% of all community 16S rRNA gene amplicons with canonical AOB attaining on average 2.5% (Gülay et

al., 2016). These unexpectedly high *Nitrospira* densities do not agree with stoichiometry assuming *Nitrospira* to have physiology of a nitrite oxidizing bacterium (NOB), which would predict ratios of AOB to NOB of 2 to 3 (Hagopian and Riley 1998, Winkler et al. 2012). Recently, some *Nitrospira* types have been found to carry a full set of *amo* and *hao* genes, which may enable them to oxidize also NH_4^+ and therefore carry out complete NH_4^+ (to NO_3^-) oxidation; all *amo* containing *Nitrospira* described to date belong to *Nitrospira* sublineage II (Daims et al. 2015, Palomo et al. 2016, van Kessel et al. 2015, Pinto et al., 2015). Nevertheless, direct proof for NH_4^+ oxidizing activity by *Nitrospira* in RSFs has not yet been provided.

This work addressed the following questions. First, is there significant spatial variation in the distribution of total bacteria and nitrifying guilds (AOB, AOA, *Nitrobacter* and *Nitrospira*) in RSFs? Second, do the relative densities of the nitrifying guilds agree with their expected roles and physiologies in RSFs? Hence, we conducted an extensive and spatially explicit survey of nitrifying guilds in replicate filters at 4 DWTPs. The data were used to examine spatial variation, and identify optimal sampling strategies. Relative density ratios were examined and related to the operating conditions to infer roles of the different guild members. Finally, given the consistently high *Nitrospira* presence, we assessed the relative abundance of *Nitrospira* sublineages based on *nxB* and 16S rRNA gene analysis.

2. Materials & Methods

2.1. Overview of the investigated DWTPs

The investigations included four Danish DWTPs treating groundwater that have been in operation for 6 to 40 years. Groundwater at the investigated DWTPs is abstracted from deep limestone anoxic aquifers and the treatment train consists of an aeration step and a double filtration step. Pre-filters have a bed of coarse sand material and are intended to retain the Fe-hydroxides, formed by the oxidation of Fe^{2+} . Pre-filter effluent is supplied to the after-filters, which consists of a 0.4 to 0.7 m deep bed of fine sand on top of gravel. Design parameters and selected water quality characteristics at the investigated DWTPs are summarized in Table 1. Pre- and after-filters at the investigated DWTPs are backwashed at regular times by air scouring followed by high water flowrates (Table 1).

DWTP 1 and 2 are located at Sjælsø (Nordvand A/S), in the greater Copenhagen area. The two DWTPs are operated independently and receive raw water with significantly different CH₄ content (Table 1). DWTP 3 (Langerød) is located in Holbæk (Fors A/S) and consists of two parallel lines, West and East (W, E) which were operated nearly identically, but displayed different performance with regards to NH₄⁺ removal (effluent NH₄⁺ concentration 0.05 and 0.15 mg/L from E and W, respectively). DWTP 4 is Islevbro waterworks (HOFOR A/S) located in the greater Copenhagen area, and has very low NH₄⁺ and CH₄ concentrations in the raw water (Table 1).

2.2. Filter material sampling

Microbial density was quantified for filter material collected from the pre- and after-filters at the investigated DWTPs. The sampling strategy was designed to investigate depth stratification, spatial variation within a filter (intra-filter variation) and variation between parallel filters (inter-filter variation). Filter material was core-sampled using a Plexiglas cylinder (1 m height and 5 cm inner diameter), closed on the one end with a rubber stopper. The sampler was pushed into the filter and gently pulled retaining a 40-60 cm filter material core. One pre-filter was sampled at 1-3 random locations at DWTPs 1-3. The pre-filters at DWTP 4 could not be sampled because of the coarse filter material (Table 1) that could not be collected with the core sampler. 2-3 parallel after-filters were sampled at all DWTPs, and a filter material core was collected from 1-3 randomly selected locations in each filter. All cores were sampled at approximately 2/3 of a filter run cycle between two consecutive backwashing events. The filter material cores were divided into the following depth segments: 0-5, 5-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50 cm that were transferred to the lab on ice and frozen until further analysis.

2.3. Quantification of the selected microbial guilds by qPCR

The density of total bacteria (*Eubacteria*), β -proteobacterial AOB (*Nitrosomonas* spp and *Nitrosospira* spp), *Nitrobacter* spp, and *Nitrospira* spp was quantified by 16S rRNA gene targeted qPCR in each filter material segment. DNA was extracted from 0.5 g drained filter material using MP FastDNA SPIN Kit (MP Biomedicals LLC) previously shown effective for DNA extraction from iron oxide rich environments (Kato et al. 2013). Earlier efforts at removing/solubilizing metal oxides by pretreatment with oxalic acid did not

enhance DNA recovery, and neither did pretreatment by sonication. The extracted DNA was eluted in 100 µl Tris-EDTA buffer and its concentration and purity estimated by measuring absorbance at 260 and 280 nm (NanoDrop). A group specific region on the 16S ribosomal-RNA encoding the *rrs* gene (16S rRNA) was targeted by the primer sets 1055f and 1392R for total bacteria (Ferris et al. 1996, Lane 1991), CTO189A/B/C and RT1 for β -proteobacterial AOB genera *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrospira* (Hermansson and Lindgren 2001), Nspra675f and Nspra746r for the *Nitrospira* genus (Graham et al. 2007) and FGPS872f and FGPS1269r for the *Nitrobacter* genus (Degrange and Bardin 1995). AOB and AOA were also quantified by *amoA* targeted qPCR, using the *amoA*1f and *amoA*2r primers for β -proteobacterial AOB (Rotthauwe et al. 1997) and the *amoA*F and *amoA*R primers for AOA (Francis et al. 2005). Specificity of the 16S rRNA and *amoA* targeted primers for beta-proteobacterial AOB was confirmed and published separately (Dechesne et al., 2016); specificity of the *amoA* targeted primers for AOA was confirmed here by clone library analysis; the 16S rRNA targeted primers for *Nitrospira* were confirmed *post hoc* by *in silico* comparison with the 16S rRNA amplicon libraries of the same samples (Gülay et al. 2016) to be specific and cover 96% cover of all *Nitrospira* diversity.

The qPCR analyses were run in duplicate in a Chromo4 thermocycler using the Opticon Monitor 3 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Each qPCR reaction contained 12.5 µl of 2×iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories), 500 nM of each primer, DNA template (10 ng) and DNA/RNA-free water (Mol. Bio.) to 25 µl. The thermal cycling conditions consisted of an initial 5 min denaturation at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, primer annealing for 30 s at 55°C for total bacteria, 30 s at 60/56°C for AOB (16S-rRNA), 60 s at 50°C for *Nitrobacter*, 30 s at 64°C for *Nitrospira*, 60 s at 60°C for AOA (*amoA*), 40 s at 55°C for AOB (*amoA*), and 1 min extension at 72°C. After the 40th cycle, a final DNA extension at 72°C for 10 min was performed followed by cooling at 4°C. The melting curve analysis (gradient 0.2°C/s, range 70-95°C) (Ririe et al. 1997) showed single peaks for all qPCR reactions. Reported qPCR reactions had amplification efficiencies between 97 and 100%; addition of BSA (to address potential PCR inhibition) had no further positive effect. The gene copy number was obtained by comparing the cycle threshold values of the sample

against a standard curve for each qPCR target. To express microbial densities per unit volume of filter material, bulk densities at each depth were calculated by weighing 10-20 mL of drained filter material.

2.4. Statistical analysis of microbial densities

The measured densities in pre- and after-filters were statistically analyzed to assess their spatial variation at three levels: depth stratification, intra-filter variation and inter-filter variation. Spearman's rank correlation tests ($\alpha=0.05$) were performed to examine the correlation between density of a specific guild and filter depth. To investigate intra-filter variation, the depth profiles from distinct locations in a filter were tested for differences by paired t-tests ($\alpha=0.05$) in all possible combinations. Lastly, inter-filter variation was investigated by paired t-tests ($\alpha=0.05$) on mean depth profiles in parallel filters. The mean depth profile in a filter was calculated as the mean density at each depth for all sampling locations.

To assess how the sampling effort relates to the measured average density of a specific guild, we examined the distribution of the total bacterial mean density in the after-filters. This analysis examined three sampling strategies: random sampling, sampling at different depths and sampling at different locations. Detailed information about statistical analyses is provided in the Supplemental Information (SI).

2.5. Bioinformatic analysis

We evaluated the lineages that constitute the comammox *Nitrospira* population genome CG24 earlier identified in DWTP 4 (Palomo et al., 2016) and the *Nitrospira* distribution across its lineages at all DWTPs based on earlier published 16S rRNA amplicon libraries (Gülay et al., 2016). Details on bioinformatics analysis are in the SI.

3. Results & Discussion

3.1. Density of total bacteria, AOB, *Nitrobacter*, *Nitrospira* and AOA in RSFs

The targeted microbial guilds were quantified by qPCR in the filter material collected from the pre- and after-filters. Filter material density and microbial density values are reported (Table 2) as means of the

densities at all depths, all sampled locations and all parallel filters for each filtration stage at each DWTP. The mean filter material densities were in the range of $1.0\text{--}1.7\times 10^6$ g/m³ (Table 2), with variation caused by the different filter material types and sizes (Table 1) and degrees of mineral coating (Gülay et al. 2014).

Microbial densities, measured per unit filter material mass, are readily converted into volume using the estimated filter material densities. While both density expressions are presented (Table 2) for the examined guilds, the following discussion considers volumetric densities.

Total bacterial (*Eubacteria*) 16S rRNA gene densities ranged from 1.1 to 16×10^{15} copies/m³ filter material (Table 2). The density was highest in the pre-filters at DWTP 2, and was substantially higher than the density in the after-filters at the same DWTP (Table 2). At the other investigated DWTPs, bacterial density was similar in pre- and after-filters (Table 2). The high density observed in the pre-filters is surprising, as pre-filters were historically thought to remove primarily Fe²⁺ and mainly through chemical oxidation and precipitation (Sharma et al., 2005). It appears that the resulting oxy-hydroxide precipitates provide a highly-porous mineral coating on the filter grains, which can support the high microbial density (Gülay et al., 2014).

Canonical AOB (*Nitrosomonas* spp. and *Nitrospira* spp.) were identified in all investigated filters at 16S rRNA gene densities ranging from 0.5 to 35×10^{13} copies/m³ filter material. Densities in the pre-filters were higher than in the after-filters at all DWTPs, with one exception (DWTP 3-E, Table 2). High AOB densities in the pre-filters indicate that NH₄⁺ removal takes place in both filtration steps. For example, at DWTP 3 the NH₄⁺ concentrations after aeration were 0.89-0.94 mg/L and decreased to 0.54-0.75 after the prefilters and further to 0.007-0.1 mg/L after the after filters. This waterworks (DWTP 3) had different nitrification behaviors in the parallel lines: DWTP 3-W consistently removed NH₄⁺-N more efficiently and to a lower level (e.g. 0.007-0.01 mg/L) than DWTP 3-E (e.g. 0.077-0.11 mg/L), which is reflected by a higher AOB density (particularly in the prefilters) in DWTP 3-W than in DWTP 3-E (Table 2).

Nitrobacter 16S rRNA gene densities ranged across two orders of magnitude: from 3.6 to 500×10^{10} copies/m³ in the investigated filters (Table 2). *Nitrobacter* pre-filter densities were higher than the respective after-filter densities at all DWTPs. *Nitrospira* were present in all investigated filters at 16S rRNA gene

densities ranging from 0.97 to 33×10^{14} copies/m³ filter material. *Nitrospira* densities at two waterworks (DWTPs 1 and 2) were slightly (4 to 6 fold) higher in pre-filter versus after-filters, with the opposite trend in a third waterworks (DWTP 3, Table 2). Consistently, *Nitrospira* densities were at least 2 orders of magnitude higher than *Nitrobacter* densities, suggesting *Nitrospira* as dominant NO₂⁻ oxidizer at all DWTPs. Another study on Danish DWTPs reports that *Nitrospira* accounted for up to 8% of all prokaryotic amplicon sequences and detected no *Nitrobacter* sequences in RSFs (Albers et al. 2015); however the lack of replication and the exceedingly small amplicon library size (558 sequences/samples) challenge the robustness of those observations. Similarly *Nitrospira*, but not *Nitrobacter*, were detected by DGGE analysis of 16S rRNA gene fragments from a groundwater fed trickling filter (de Vet et al. 2009). *Nitrospira* sequences also accounted for up to 51% of the clone library in samples from an anthracite/sand dual media filter fed with groundwater (White et al. 2012). Finally, in our microbial community diversity analysis on the same DWTPs, we similarly observed *Nitrospira* sp. as the most abundant taxon in the 16S rRNA amplicon libraries (from 19% to 71% in all DWTPs (Gülay et al., 2016)).

AOA *amoA* gene densities were below detection limits in one of the after-filters (DWTP 3), while at the other waterworks their densities ranged from 4.0 and 20×10^9 copies/m³ filter material. AOA were typically 4 orders of magnitude less abundant than AOBs, except in the DWTP 4 after-filters, where highest AOA densities were observed (Table 2).

Overall, RSF are microbially dense, and the targeted guilds are often at least as heavily present in the pre-filters as in the after-filters, revealing an important role of pre-filters in biological processes.

3.2. Spatial distribution of the investigated microbial guilds

In the pre-filters, limited stratification was observed (except in DWTP 2, where AOB and *Nitrospira* densities decreased with depth and in DWTP 1 where *Nitrospira* densities increased with depth (Fig 1, Table SI 1)). Stratification in the after-filters was noted for some microbial guilds at DWTP 2 and 3, and for all microbial guilds (except AOA) at DWTP 4 (Fig. 1, Table SI 2), with densities decreasing with depth. No stratification was observed in the after-filters at DWTP 1 (Fig. 1).

Backwashing frequency and strategy are crucial to control the mixing and redistribution of the filter material, eventually preventing or allowing permanent stratification in the filter. Stratification at DWTP 3 and 4 is maintained after backwashing due to the presence of substantial mineral coatings on the filter material grains, which forces larger – and less dense grains – to remain at the top of the filter, and smaller – denser grains – to settle in deeper filter regions, thus maintaining a permanent distribution (Gülay et al. 2014). In line with these observations, sand grains in DWTP 1 and 2 have a very low degree of mineral coating at all depth levels and show no evidence of biomass stratification (Gülay et al. 2014). Depth stratification in groundwater-fed periodically backwashed RSFs has been observed before (Bai et al. 2013).

In individual pre-filters, replicate profiles at different sampling locations yielded essentially similar density distributions for all microbial populations (except for *Nitrobacter* (Table SI 3)) and the same was observed in the after-filters (Table SI 4). This stands in contrast to previous studies (at DWTP 1) which documented local hydraulic heterogeneity in both pre- and after-filters, and suggested a strong effect on local NH_4^+ removal rate (Lopato et al. 2011, Lopato et al. 2013). Clearly, the hydraulic heterogeneity does not result in observable differences in horizontal densities of microbial groups.

However, parallel after-filters at a DWTP often had different profiles: densities of total bacteria, AOB and *Nitrospira* varied across the filters of both lines at DWTP 3, whereas 50% of the compared profiles were significantly different at DWTP 4 and no significant variation was observed at DWTP 1 and 2 (Tables SI 5-7). Inter-filter variation at both lines of DWTP 3 was much larger than the intra-filter variation, and the opposite was observed at DWTPs 1 and 2. Hence, spatial variation of the targeted guilds does not follow a predictable pattern at the investigated DWTPs.

3.3. Investigation of mean density precision and required sampling effort

The increase in precision of the estimated mean density was evaluated as a function of sampling effort and sampling strategy ((i) random sampling of s samples across locations, parallel filters, and depth; (ii) sampling all locations for d randomly chosen depths; (iii) and sampling full profiles at l randomly chosen locations). Setting half-an-order of magnitude as an acceptable precision, the mean density and associated precision

were computed at the investigated DWTPs: clearly precision increased with increasing sampling effort (Fig. 2, Fig. SI 1). With DWTP 4 as an example, sampling at 7 random combinations of locations and depths was required to meet the demanded precision (Fig. 2). Sampling at different depths would require 14 samples (2 randomly chosen depths at all investigated filter locations and parallel filters), sampling at different locations and filters would require 17 samples (at least 3 locations, each location sampled at 5-6 depths). Clearly random sampling yielded an acceptably precise density estimate with lowest effort, and there was no advantage of exhaustively sampling depths profiles or horizontal profiles.

The mean bacterial density estimates were more precise at DWTPs 1-3, where collection of a singular sample would already give an estimate within half-an-order of magnitude precision (Fig. SI 1). For almost all DWTPs, random sampling yields the highest precision compared to sampling at different depths or locations (Fig. SI 1). The only exception was at DWTP 3-W, where sampling of 1 filter location at all depths (7 samples) yields a higher precision than collecting 7 random samples (Fig. SI 1). However, fewer than 7 samples are required to obtain a mean precision above the acceptable limit of half-an-order of magnitude.

Overall, the mean density precision can vary significantly at different DWTPs. In all cases random sampling across filters, filter locations and depths yielded the highest precision for a given number of samples. According to the precision required by each study, the number of samples needed may vary significantly. In this study, each sample had a mass of 100-333 g drained filter material, depending on the depth interval (segmented every 5 or 10 cm as described in sec. 2.2) and the bulk density. Ultimately, 7 samples randomly collected should generally be sufficient to provide a precision of the mean of half order of magnitude, even at DWTPs with heterogeneous microbial spatial distributions. Our recommendations apply to density, but may not apply to community diversity or composition. When the same samples were subject to community analysis via 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, triplicate samples within a RSF were compositionally different from each other at the whole community level (Gülay et al., 2016); yet were identical in terms of dominant taxa (>1%) (Gülay and Smets, 2015).

3.4. Relative abundance of microbial guilds

The fraction of canonical AOB (abundance vs total bacteria) ranged from 0.004 and 0.07 in the pre-filters, and from 0.002 and 0.12 in the after-filters (Fig. 3, Panels A and D). Abundances in the pre- and after-filters were similar at most DWTPs except at DWTP 1, where abundance in the pre-filters was approximately one order of magnitude higher than in the after-filters. No patterns were observed with depth.

The ratio of canonical AOB to *Nitrospira* ranged from 0.02 and 1.9 in the pre-filters, and from 0.04 and 0.6 in the after-filters (Fig. 3, Panels B and D). Ratios were consistently below 1 in all RSFs except in one set of pre-filters (DWTP 1), where AOB were more dominant than *Nitrospira* in the filter top (ratio 1.9). Even here, an increase in *Nitrospira* density with depth (Table SI 1) made AOB less dominant than *Nitrospira* at the bottom of the filter (ratio 0.05). Overall, while the proportions varied, canonical AOB (i.e. *Nitrosomonas* plus *Nitrospira* genus) were consistently less abundant than *Nitrospira* genus.

Similar anomalous *Nitrospira* to *Nitrosomonas* (or more correctly *Nitrosomonas* + *Nitrospira*) abundance ratios have been reported by others. These reports were based on relative sequence abundances in clone or amplicon libraries in both groundwater (e.g. 3.7% vs 33.4% (Nitzsche et al. 2015); 3.2% vs 16.9% (White et al. 2012) *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrospira* abundance, respectively) and surface water fed drinking water treatment gravity filters (0.8% vs 17.3% (Feng et al. 2012), 0.07% vs 17 *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrospira* abundance, respectively (LaPara et al. 2015)). Proposed, yet unproven, explanations for these observations were PCR primer bias, differences in 16S rRNA gene copy numbers (Nitzsche et al. 2015), presence of unidentified NH_4^+ oxidizers (LaPara et al. 2015) and presence of dormant *Nitrospira* cells (Martiny et al. 2005). Winkler et al. (2012) proposed that unexpectedly high NO_2^- oxidizer (e.g. *Nitrospira*) abundance in a community may also be caused by a NO_2^- oxidation/ NO_3^- reduction loop, which would be driven by incomplete heterotrophic denitrification. While bulk phase dissolved oxygen concentrations in both pre- and after-filters are near saturation, strictly heterotrophic anaerobes (*Xanthomonadales* and *Anaerolineales*) have been reported in amplicon libraries of the after-filters (Gülay et al., 2016), and existence of the proposed $\text{NO}_2^-/\text{NO}_3^-$ loop, and its contribution to the observed *Nitrospira* abundance should be examined.

Recent discoveries have revealed that the physiological abilities of *Nitrospira* may extend far beyond NO_2^- oxidation. Genomic and physiological evidence has shown that certain *Nitrospira* strains can oxidize hydrogen, cyanate and simple organic compounds such as formate (Koch et al., 2014) (Palatinszky et al., 2015). The chemical nature of the groundwater and the initial aeration/stripping makes cyanate or hydrogen unlikely candidates to support *Nitrospira* growth, especially in the downflow units. Similarly, because assimilable organic carbon in the feed groundwater is low, only decay products from the RSF microbiome would be available to support heterotrophic *Nitrospira* growth. The qPCR results, and our previous survey (Gülay et al., 2016), indicate that *Nitrospira* is the most abundant taxon: such abundance is not likely supported by metabolic decay products of a microbial community. Of specific interest is the recent discovery that some *Nitrospira* genomes harbor *amo* genes and have the potential for NH_4^+ oxidation – in addition to NO_2^- oxidation – endowing them the physiology of complete ammonia oxidation (comammox) (Daims et al., 2015; Palomo et al., 2016; Pinto et al., 2015; van Kessel et al., 2015). The described enriched and isolated comammox *Nitrospira* strains - *Candidatus Nitrospira inopinata*, *Cand. N. nitrosa* and *Cand. N. nitrificans* (Daims et al., 2015)(van Kessel et al., 2015) – all belong to *Nitrospira* lineage II, with *N. moscoviensis* as best known canonical NOB as reference strain (Pester et al., 2014).

Given the striking dominance of the *Nitrospira* genus in all DWTPs (Fig. 1, Table 2), we analyzed its composition across *Nitrospira* lineages from the 16S rRNA amplicon libraries at the same DWTPs (Gülay et al., 2016): *Nitrospira* lineage II dominated at all DWTPs, representing consistently over 95%, with not-yet-named lineages accounting for $3.5\% \pm 0.03$, of all *Nitrospira* reads (Fig. 4 Fig SI 2, Table SI 8).

Earlier, we identified, from the DWTP 4 metagenome, a *Nitrospira* population genome with comammox capability (CG_24) (Palomo et al., 2016). This population genome consisted of several sub-genomes, but no efforts were made to separate the individual genomes and examine their phylogeny. Here – based on phylogenetic analysis of CG24's *nxB* content - we show that the five different constituent *nxB* sequence types are lineage II (Fig. 4). As *nxB* and 16S rRNA genes yield congruent *Nitrospira* phylogenies (Pester et al., 2014), and given the high abundance of CG24 in the community metagenome (up to 30 % of all mapped reads (Palomo et al., 2016)); *Nitrospira* in DWTP 4 are likely primarily comammox *Nitrospira*. By

extension, these results indicate that the high *Nitrospira* abundances (compared to canonical AOBs) in all examined DWTPs are, to a large extent, the result of the NH_4^+ oxidation capability of *Nitrospira*.

AOA were consistently less abundant than AOB (based on *amoA* targeted qPCR) in both pre- and after-filters at DWTPs 1, 2, and 3, (Fig. 3, Panels C and F) and occasionally at or below detection limit. AOA have been identified in other drinking water treatment plants – where occasionally they are abundant (Kasuga et al., 2010; van der Wielen et al., 2009). When detected in this study, the AOA/AOB ratios ranged between 3×10^{-6} and 8×10^{-3} in the pre-filters, and between 2×10^{-5} and 0.2 in the after-filters. While the AOA/AOB ratios were calculated based on archaeal and bacterial *amoA* targeted qPCR, quantification based on the chosen *amoA* PCR primers (Rotthauwe et al. 1997) underestimates AOB densities (based on 16S rRNA targeted qPCR) at some DWTPs (DWTPs 1, 2 and 4 but not at DWTP 3 (Fig. SI 3)). This underestimation was recently identified as caused by the preferential amplification of *amoA* Cluster 7 vs. Cluster 6A AOBs (Dechesne et al. 2016). In addition, the employed *amoA* primers would not quantify comammox *amoA* (Daims et al. 2015). Considering this bias, the AOA to AOB ratios at DWTPs 1 and 2 would be even lower. No consistent trend of the AOA/AOB ratio with depth was observed at any of these DWTPs. AOAs were at significant abundance compared to AOB in only one of the waterworks (DWTP 4) (AOA/AOB from 0.26 in the top 0-5 cm to 20 at the bottom 40-50 cm (Fig. 3, Panel F)). The increased ratio (by roughly 2 orders of magnitude) was mainly due a reduction in AOB abundance (Fig. 1, Panel C) and may suggest a significant role of AOA at the bottom of the filter. Yet, as *amoA* based qPCR also underestimates AOB densities at DWTP 4 (Fig SI 3), the true ratios are higher and AOA may have a secondary role even at the bottom of the filter at DWTP 4. The relative enrichment of AOA over AOB at the filter base (with lower NH_4^+ supply) is, nevertheless, consistent with the notion that AOA are competitive over AOB in conditions of reduced energy supply, such as in soils (Leininger et al. 2006, Verhamme et al. 2011) and ocean (Wuchter et al. 2006), most likely due to their ability to cope with energy stress (Martens-Habbena et al. 2009, Valentine 2007). At the system level, similar correlations between AOA and AOB predominance and NH_4^+ loading are apparent, with AOB densities (in both pre- and after-filters) increasing with loadings, and AOA densities decreasing

with loading (Fig. 5) consistent with observations that AOA densities increase inversely with ammonium gradients (Sauder et al., 2012).

In sum, notwithstanding the relatively low influent ammonium concentrations (max. 1 mg/L) at all DWTPs canonical AOB are numerically dominant over AOA, and both are vastly inferior to *Nitrospira*.

4. Conclusions

This work presents the first comprehensive investigation of the density and spatial distribution of total bacteria, AOB, *Nitrobacter*, *Nitrospira* and AOA by qPCR at a number of full scale DWTPs. The main conclusions are:

- Microbial density can vary spatially in a filter and in parallel filters at a DWTP. Specifically, we observed strong stratification of nitrifying guilds in one DWTP, but not in others. Horizontally, intra- or/and inter filter variation were significant at the investigated DWTPs, indicating the need of proper experimental design to obtain representative results.
- Variation analysis for total bacteria estimated that 7 random filter material samples need to be collected at a DWTP with high spatial variation to obtain an acceptable precision for the mean (half an order of magnitude). At the other investigated DWTPs, one sample collected from a random location can already provide a density estimate within an acceptable (half an order of magnitude) precision interval. Higher sample replication will typically be required if the goal is to describe mean microbial community composition (Gulay et al, 2016)
- *Nitrospira* were roughly 4 orders of magnitude more abundant than *Nitrobacter* in all investigated filters, suggesting that they should be the predominant NO_2^- oxidizers. Yet, *Nitrospira* were also up to almost 2 orders of magnitude more abundant than AOBs, and we suggest that the *Nitrospira* abundance is primarily caused by their NH_4^+ oxidation capability (comammox).

- Among the canonical ammonia oxidizers, AOB exceed AOAs in RSFs, except in RSFs with strong stratification; and AOB are favored at DWTPs operating at higher loading rates.

Acknowledgments

We thank Florian Wagner for assistance in preparing Table 1 and providing valuable feedback on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Danish Council for Strategic Research (Grant agreement number: KBBE- 2012-6-311846) via the project DW-Biofilters.

References

- Albers, C.N., Ellegaard-Jensen, L., Harder, C.B., Rosendahl, S., Knudsen, B.E., Ekelund, F. and Aamand, J. (2015) Groundwater chemistry determines the prokaryotic community structure of waterworks sand filters. *Environmental Science & Technology* 49(2), 839-846.
- Bai, Y., Liu, R., Liang, J. and Qu, J. (2013) Integrated metagenomic and physiochemical analyses to evaluate the potential role of microbes in the sand filter of a drinking water treatment system. *Plos One* 8(4).
- Council Directive 98/93/EC (1998) Quality of Water Intended for Human Consumption, Annex I, Part C.
- Daims, H., Lebedeva, E.V., Pjevac, P., Han, P., Herbold, C., Albertsen, M., Jehmlich, N., Palatinszky, M., Vierheilig, J., Bulaev, A., Kirkegaard, R.H., von Bergen, M., Rattei, T., Bendinger, B., Nielsen, P.H. and Wagner, M. (2015) Complete nitrification by *Nitrospira* bacteria. *Nature* 528(7583), 504-+.
- de Vet, W., Dinkla, I.J.T., Muyzer, G., Rietveld, L.C. and van Loosdrecht, M.C.M. (2009) Molecular characterization of microbial populations in groundwater sources and sand filters for drinking water production. *Water Research* 43(1), 182-194.

- de Vet, W., Kleerebezem, R., van der Wielen, P., Rietveld, L.C. and van Loosdrecht, M.C.M. (2011) Assessment of nitrification in groundwater filters for drinking water production by qPCR and activity measurement. *Water Research* 45(13), 4008-4018.
- Dechesne, A., Musovic, S., Palomo, A., Diwan, V. and Smets, B.F. (2016) Underestimation of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria abundance by amplification bias in amoA-targeted qPCR. *Microbial Biotechnology* 9(4), 519-524.
- Degrange, V. and Bardin, R. (1995) Detection and counting of Nitrobacter populations in soil by Pcr. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 61(6), 2093-2098.
- Feng, S., Xie, S., Zhang, X., Yang, Z., Ding, W., Liao, X., Liu, Y. and Chen, C. (2012) Ammonium removal pathways and microbial community in GAC-sand dual media filter in drinking water treatment. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 24(9), 1587-1593.
- Ferris, M.J., Muyzer, G. and Ward, D.M. (1996) Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis profiles of 16S rRNA-defined populations inhabiting a hot spring microbial mat community. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 62(2), 340-346.
- Francis, C.A., Roberts, K.J., Beman, J.M., Santoro, A.E. and Oakley, B.B. (2005) Ubiquity and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102(41), 14683-14688.
- Frey, S.D., Elliott, E.T. and Paustian, K. (1999) Bacterial and fungal abundance and biomass in conventional and no-tillage agroecosystems along two climatic gradients. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 31(4), 573-585.
- Graham, D.W., Knapp, C.W., Van Vleck, E.S., Bloor, K., Lane, T.B. and Graham, C.E. (2007) Experimental demonstration of chaotic instability in biological nitrification. *Isme Journal* 1(5), 385-393.
- Gülay, A., Musovic, S., Albrechtsen, H.-J., Al-Soud, W.A., Sørensen, S.J., Smets, B.F., 2016. Ecological patterns, diversity and core taxa of microbial communities in groundwater-fed rapid gravity filters. *ISME J.*

- 405 10, 2209–2222.
- 406 Gülay, A., Tatari, K., Musovic, S., Mateiu, R.V., Albrechtsen, H.-J. and Smets, B.F. (2014) Internal porosity
407 of mineral coating supports microbial activity in rapid sand filters for groundwater treatment. *Applied and*
408 *Environmental Microbiology* 80(22), 7010-7020.
- 409 Hagopian, D.S. and Riley, J.G. (1998) A closer look at the bacteriology of nitrification. *Aquacultural*
410 *Engineering* 18(4), 223-244.
- 411 Hermansson, A. and Lindgren, P.E. (2001) Quantification of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in arable soil by
412 real-time PCR. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 67(2), 972-976.
- 413 Kasuga, I., Nakagaki, H., Kurisu, F., Furumai, H., 2010. Predominance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea on
414 granular activated carbon used in a full-scale advanced drinking water treatment plant. *Water Res.* 44, 5039–
415 49.
- 416 Kato, S., Chan, C., Itoh, T., Ohkuma, M., 2013. Functional Gene Analysis of Freshwater Iron-Rich Floccs at
417 Circumneutral pH and Isolation of a Stalk-Forming Microaerophilic Iron-Oxidizing Bacterium. *Appl.*
418 *Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 5283–5290.
- 419 Koch, H., Galushko, A., Albertsen, M., Schintlmeister, A., Gruber-Dorninger, C., Lucker, S., Pelletier, E., Le
420 Paslier, D., Spieck, E., Richter, A., Nielsen, P.H., Wagner, M., Daims, H., 2014. Growth of nitrite-oxidizing
421 bacteria by aerobic hydrogen oxidation. *Science* (80-.). 345, 1052–1054.
- 422 Lane, D.J. (1991) *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics*, pp. 115-175, John Wiley and Sons, New
423 York.
- 424 LaPara, T.M., Wilkinson, K.H., Strait, J.M., Hozalski, R.M., Sadowksy, M.J. and Hamilton, M.J. (2015) The
425 Bacterial Communities of Full-Scale Biologically Active, Granular Activated Carbon Filters Are Stable and
426 Diverse and Potentially Contain Novel Ammonia-Oxidizing Microorganisms. *Applied and Environmental*
427 *Microbiology* 81(19), 6864-6872.

- 428 Lee, C.O., Boe-Hansen, R., Musovic, S., Smets, B., Albrechtsen, H.J. and Binning, P. (2014) Effects of
429 dynamic operating conditions on nitrification in biological rapid sand filters for drinking water treatment.
430 Water Research 64, 226-236.
- 431 Leininger, S., Urich, T., Schlöter, M., Schwark, L., Qi, J., Nicol, G.W., Prosser, J.I., Schuster, S.C. and
432 Schleper, C. (2006) Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils. Nature 442(7104),
433 806-809.
- 434 Lopato, L., Galaj, Z., Delpont, S., Binning, P.J. and Arvin, E. (2011) Heterogeneity of Rapid Sand Filters
435 and Its Effect on Contaminant Transport and Nitrification Performance. Journal of Environmental
436 Engineering-Asce 137(4), 248-257.
- 437 Lopato, L., Rottgers, N., Binning, P.J. and Arvin, E. (2013) Heterogeneous nitrification in a full-scale rapid
438 sand filter treating groundwater. Journal of Environmental Engineering-Asce 139(3), 375-384.
- 439 Lytle, D.A., Sorg, T.J., Wang, L.L., Muhlen, C., Rahrig, M. and French, K. (2007) Biological nitrification in
440 a full-scale and pilot-scale iron removal drinking water treatment plant. Journal of Water Supply Research
441 and Technology-Aqua 56(2), 125-136.
- 442 Lytle, D.A., White, C., Williams, D., Koch, L. and Nauman, E. (2013) Innovative biological water treatment
443 for the removal of elevated ammonia. Journal American Water Works Association 105(9), 87-88.
- 444 Martens-Habbena, W., Berube, P.M., Urakawa, H., de la Torre, J.R. and Stahl, D.A. (2009) Ammonia
445 oxidation kinetics determine niche separation of nitrifying Archaea and Bacteria. Nature 461(7266), 976-
446 979.
- 447 Martens-Habbena, W., Berube, P.M., Urakawa, H., de la Torre, J.R., Stahl, D. a, 2009. Ammonia oxidation
448 kinetics determine niche separation of nitrifying Archaea and Bacteria. Nature 461, 976-9.
- 449 Martens-Habbena, W. and Stahl, D.A. (2011) Nitrogen metabolism and kinetics of Ammonia-Oxidizing
450 Archaea. Methods in Enzymology 496, 465-487.

- 451 Martiny, A.C., Albrechtsen, H.J., Arvin, E. and Molin, S. (2005) Identification of bacteria in biofilm and
452 bulk water samples from a nonchlorinated model drinking water distribution system: Detection of a large
453 nitrite-oxidizing population associated with *Nitrospira* spp. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*
454 71(12), 8611-8617.
- 455 Nitzsche, K.S., Weigold, P., Loesekann-Behrens, T., Kappler, A. and Behrens, S. (2015) Microbial
456 community composition of a household sand filter used for arsenic, iron, and manganese removal from
457 groundwater in Vietnam. *Chemosphere* 138, 47-59.
- 458 Niu, J., Kasuga, I., Kurisu, F., Furumai, H. and Shigeeda, T. (2013) Evaluation of autotrophic growth of
459 ammonia-oxidizers associated with granular activated carbon used for drinking water purification by DNA-
460 stable isotope probing. *Water Research* 47(19), 7053-7065.
- 461 Palatinszky, M., Herbold, C., Jehmlich, N., Pogoda, M., Han, P., von Bergen, M., Lagkouvardos, I., Karst,
462 S.M., Galushko, A., Koch, H., Berry, D., Daims, H., Wagner, M., 2015. Cyanate as an energy source for
463 nitrifiers. *Nature* 524, 105–108.
- 464 Palomo, A., Jane Fowler, S., Gülay, A., Rasmussen, S., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Smets, B.F., 2016.
465 Metagenomic analysis of rapid gravity sand filter microbial communities suggests novel physiology of
466 *Nitrospira* spp. *ISME J.* 10, 2569–2581.
- 467 Pester, M., Maixner, F., Berry, D., Rattei, T., Koch, H., Lückner, S., Nowka, B., Richter, A., Spieck, E.,
468 Lebedeva, E., Loy, A., Wagner, M., Daims, H., 2014. NxrB encoding the beta subunit of nitrite
469 oxidoreductase as functional and phylogenetic marker for nitrite-oxidizing *Nitrospira*. *Environ. Microbiol.*
470 16, 3055–3071.
- 471 Pinto, A.J., Marcus, D.N., Ijaz, Z., Bautista-de los Santos, Q.M., Dick, G.J., Raskin, L., 2015. Metagenomic
472 Evidence for the Presence of Comammox *Nitrospira*-Like Bacteria in a Drinking Water System. *mSphere* 1,
473 e00054-15.

- 474 Ririe, K.M., Rasmussen, R.P. and Wittwer, C.T. (1997) Product differentiation by analysis of DNA melting
475 curves during the polymerase chain reaction. *Analytical Biochemistry* 245(2), 154-160.
- 476 Rittmann, B.E., Tang, Y., Meyer, K. and Bellamy, W.D. (2012) *Water Treatment Plant Design*. Association,
477 A.W.W. (ed).
- 478 Rotthauwe, J.H., Witzel, K.P. and Liesack, W. (1997) The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene *amoA*
479 as a functional marker: Molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. *Applied and*
480 *Environmental Microbiology* 63(12), 4704-4712.
- 481 Sauder, L.A., Peterse, F., Schouten, S., Neufeld, J.D., 2012. Low-ammonia niche of ammonia-oxidizing
482 archaea in rotating biological contactors of a municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Environ. Microbiol.* 14,
483 2589–2600.
- 484 Sharma, S.K., Petrusevski, B., Schippers, J.C., 2005. Biological iron removal from groundwater : a review. *J.*
485 *Water Supply Res. Technol.* 54, 239–247.
- 486 Tatari, K., Smets, B.F. and Albrechtsen, H.J. (2016) Depth investigation of rapid sand filters for drinking
487 water production reveals strong stratification in nitrification biokinetic behavior. *Water Research*.
- 488 Valentine, D.L. (2007) Adaptations to energy stress dictate the ecology and evolution of the Archaea. *Nature*
489 *Reviews Microbiology* 5(4), 316-323.
- 490 van der Wielen, P.W.J.J., Voost, S., van der Kooij, D., 2009. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in
491 groundwater treatment and drinking water distribution systems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 4687–95.
- 492 van Kessel, M.A.H.J., Speth, D.R., Albertsen, M., Nielsen, P.H., Op den Camp, H.J.M., Kartal, B., Jetten,
493 M.S.M. and Lucker, S. (2015) Complete nitrification by a single microorganism. *Nature* 528(7583), 555-559.
- 494 Verhamme, D.T., Prosser, J.I. and Nicol, G.W. (2011) Ammonia concentration determines differential
495 growth of ammonia-oxidising archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms. *Isme Journal* 5(6), 1067-1071.

- 496 White, C.P., DeBry, R.W. and Lytle, D.A. (2012) Microbial Survey of a Full-Scale, Biologically Active
497 Filter for Treatment of Drinking Water. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 78(17), 6390-6394.
- 498 Whitman, W.B., Coleman, D.C. and Wiebe, W.J. (1998) Prokaryotes: The unseen majority. *Proceedings of*
499 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 95(12), 6578-6583.
- 500 Winkler, M.K.H., Bassin, J.P., Kleerebezem, R., Sorokin, D.Y. and van Loosdrecht, M.C.M. (2012)
501 Unravelling the reasons for disproportion in the ratio of AOB and NOB in aerobic granular sludge. *Applied*
502 *Microbiology and Biotechnology* 94(6), 1657-1666.
- 503 Wuchter, C., Abbas, B., Coolen, M.J.L., Herfort, L., van Bleijswijk, J., Timmers, P., Strous, M., Teira, E.,
504 Herndl, G.J., Middelburg, J.J., Schouten, S. and Damste, J.S.S. (2006) Archaeal nitrification in the ocean.
505 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103(33), 12317-12322.
- 506 Zhang, Y., Love, N. and Edwards, M. (2009) Nitrification in drinking water systems. *Critical Reviews in*
507 *Environmental Science and Technology* 39(3), 153-208.
- 508

509

510 **Table 1.** Selected water quality parameters and characteristics at the 4 investigated DWTPs.

		DWTP 1 (Sjælsø 1)	DWTP 2 (Sjælsø 2)	DWTP 3 (Langerød)	DWTP 4 (Islevbro)
Raw water ⁱ	NH ₄ ⁺ (mg/L)	0.51 ± 0.21	1.08 ± 0.44	1.09 ± 0.17	0.41 ± 0.20
	CH ₄ (mg/L)	0.12 ± 0.17	3.73 ± 2.67	0.07 ± 0.04	0.02 ± 0.03
	Fe ²⁺ (mg/L)	2.9 ± 1.7	1.9 ± 1.1	3.0 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 1.1
	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg /L)	N/A ⁱⁱ	N/A	360 ± 8	350 ± 48
	NVOC (mg/L)	2.7 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.3	2.6 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.3
	Dissolved O ₂ (mg/L)	0.65 ± 0.3	0.87 ± 0.4	0.31 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.6
	pH	N/A	N/A	7.4 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.3
Aeration	Aerator type	Cascade	Intensive tray (INKA)	Cascade	Corrugated plate (Coplator)
Pre-filters	Filter flow (×10 ³ L/h)	80	60	100	288
	Active layer depth (m)	0.4 + 0.4	0.3	0.7	2.0
	Material ⁱⁱⁱ	1.6-2.5 mm anthracite + 3.0- 5.0 mm sand	3.0-5.0 mm sand	2.0-3.0 mm sand	40-50 mm gravel
	Backwashing frequency (d) ^{iv}	13	10	1	30
	Backwashing procedure	5 m air scouring followed by 5 min water upwash at 1000 m ³ /h	3 min air and water flushing followed by 15 min water upwash at 1550 m ³ /h	15 min air scouring followed by 4 min water upwash at 920 m ³ /h	N/A
After-filters	Filter flow (×10 ³ L/h)	52	60	50	72
	Active layer depth (m)	0.4	0.4	0.65	0.7
	Material	1.5-2.0 mm calcined flint	0.8-1.4 mm sand	0.8-1.4 mm sand	0.8-1.2 mm sand
	Backwashing frequency (d) ⁱⁱⁱ	12	14	10	14
	Backwashing procedure	5 min air scouring followed by 5 min water upwash at 1000 m ³ /h	5 min air scouring followed by 8 min water upwash at 1550 m ³ /h	5 min air scouring followed by 5 min water upwash at 600 m ³ /h	3 min air scouring at 90 m/h followed by water 10 min upwash at 450 m ³ /h
Treated water ⁱ	NH ₄ ⁺ (mg/L)	<0.05	<0.05	0.11 ± 0.11	<0.05
	CH ₄ (mg/L)	N/A	N/A	<0.01	<0.01
	Fe ²⁺ (mg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg /L)	N/A	N/A	360 ± 8	350 ± 13
	NVOC (mg/L)	2.4 ± 0.5	3.3 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.1
	Dissolved O ₂ (mg/L)	N/A	N/A	9.7 ± 0.5	8.7 ± 0.4
	pH	N/A	N/A	7.7 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.1

511 i. Water quality data are means provided by the DWTPs

512 ii. N/A: Not Available

513 iii. Nominal size range before filter start-up. Actual filter material size may be different due to mineral deposition on the filter material

514 iv. Backwashing frequency is regulated from the total filter flow. Calculation of average backwashing interval here is based on the

515 average filter flow

516 **Table 2.** Filter material bulk densities and microbial densities per mass and per volume of filter material.
517 Reported values are means calculated from equal weighing of measured values at all depths, sampling
518 locations in a filter and parallel filters at each DWTP. Mean values are reported with their standard deviation.

519

Filter material bulk density						
Pre-filters			After-filters			
	($\times 10^6$ g/m ³ filter material)	N ⁱ		($\times 10^6$ g/m ³ filter material)	N ⁱ	
DWTP 1	1.0 $\pm$ 0.25	6		1.2 $\pm$ 0.06	12	
DWTP 2	1.4 $\pm$ 0.04	5		1.5 $\pm$ 0.03	11	
DWTP 3-E	1.7 $\pm$ 0.05	6		1.6 $\pm$ 0.05	13	
DWTP 3-W	1.5 $\pm$ 0.07	5		1.6 $\pm$ 0.05	14	
DWTP 4	N/S	-		1.5 $\pm$ 0.28	12	
Total bacteria (<i>Eubacteria</i>) ⁱⁱⁱ						
	Mass density	Volumetric density ⁱⁱ	N	Mass density	Volumetric density	N
	($\times 10^9$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{15}$ copies/m ³)		($\times 10^9$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{15}$ copies/m ³)	
DWTP 1	2.0 $\pm$ 1.0	1.8 $\pm$ 0.56	6	0.9 $\pm$ 2.2	1.1 $\pm$ 0.27	29
DWTP 2	12 $\pm$ 4.6	16 $\pm$ 6.1	14	1.6 $\pm$ 6.3	2.5 $\pm$ 0.97	30
DWTP 3-E	3.1 $\pm$ 1.2	5.1 $\pm$ 2.0	11	3.5 $\pm$ 17	5.7 $\pm$ 2.9	26
DWTP 3-W	6.6 $\pm$ 1.7	9.8 $\pm$ 2.7	5	4.0 $\pm$ 17	6.5 $\pm$ 2.8	32
DWTP 4	N/S ^v	N/S	-	8.8 $\pm$ 13	11 $\pm$ 16	40
AOB (<i>Nitrosomonas</i> spp and <i>Nitrosospira</i> spp) ⁱⁱⁱ						
	($\times 10^7$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{13}$ copies/m ³)		($\times 10^7$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{13}$ copies/m ³)	
DWTP 1	19 $\pm$ 16	16 $\pm$ 12	11	0.41 $\pm$ 17	0.49 $\pm$ 0.21	30
DWTP 2	13 $\pm$ 6.5	17 $\pm$ 8.7	14	2.0 $\pm$ 0.88	3.0 $\pm$ 1.4	30
DWTP 3-E	5.8 $\pm$ 4.2	9.6 $\pm$ 6.8	11	15 $\pm$ 14	24 $\pm$ 22	26
DWTP 3-W	23 $\pm$ 7.8	35 $\pm$ 12	5	12 $\pm$ 12	20 $\pm$ 19	25
DWTP 4	N/S	N/S	-	1.2 $\pm$ 2.3	1.3 $\pm$ 2.5	35
<i>Nitrobacter</i> ⁱⁱⁱ						
	($\times 10^4$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{10}$ copies/m ³)		($\times 10^4$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{10}$ copies/m ³)	
DWTP 1	7.8 $\pm$ 1.5	7.6 $\pm$ 2.0	12	3.0 $\pm$ 1.8	3.6 $\pm$ 2.1	12
DWTP 2	370 $\pm$ 230	500 $\pm$ 310	14	19 $\pm$ 7.9	29 $\pm$ 12	10
DWTP 3-E	8.7 $\pm$ 3.0	15 $\pm$ 4.9	17	6.1 $\pm$ 0.91	10 $\pm$ 15	7
DWTP 3-W	23 $\pm$ 16	34 $\pm$ 23	10	5.4 $\pm$ 4.0	8.6 $\pm$ 6.3	11
DWTP 4	N/S	N/S	-	9.7 $\pm$ 16	11 $\pm$ 16	36
<i>Nitrospira</i> ⁱⁱⁱ						
	($\times 10^8$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{14}$ copies/m ³)		($\times 10^8$ copies/g)	($\times 10^{14}$ copies/m ³)	
DWTP 1	3.4 $\pm$ 2.3	3.8 $\pm$ 3.4	17	0.81 $\pm$ 0.21	0.97 $\pm$ 0.26	17
DWTP 2	24 $\pm$ 13	33 $\pm$ 17	15	3.3 $\pm$ 1.1	5.1 $\pm$ 1.7	25
DWTP 3-E	1.7 $\pm$ 0.88	2.8 $\pm$ 1.4	10	5.2 $\pm$ 4.1	8.6 $\pm$ 6.9	23
DWTP 3-W	5.9 $\pm$ 0.85	8.8 $\pm$ 1.4	5	8.9 $\pm$ 5.4	14 $\pm$ 8.8	23
DWTP 4	N/S	N/S	-	8.4 $\pm$ 13	9.7 $\pm$ 14	46
AOA ^{iv}						
	($\times 10^3$ copies/g)	($\times 10^9$ copies/m ³)		($\times 10^3$ copies/g)	($\times 10^9$ copies/m ³)	
DWTP 1	6.0 $\pm$ 3.9	5.7 $\pm$ 3.3	12	18 $\pm$ 14	21 $\pm$ 17	18
DWTP 2	15 $\pm$ 11	20 $\pm$ 15	14	3.8 $\pm$ 1.7	5.7 $\pm$ 2.6	15
DWTP 3-E	2.3 $\pm$ 1.1	3.9 $\pm$ 1.9	17	< 1 ^{vi}	< 1.6 ^{vi}	-
DWTP 3-W	2.6 $\pm$ 2.5	4.0 $\pm$ 3.8	5	< 1 ^{vi}	< 1.6 ^{vi}	-
DWTP 4	N/S	N/S	-	320 $\pm$ 120	460 $\pm$ 260	6

i. Number of samples used to calculate mean density values

ii. Conversion from filter material mass to volume units was done by multiplying with the mean bulk density

iii. Quantified by 16S rRNA targeted qPCR, iv. Quantified by *amoA* targeted qPCR

v. N/S: Not sampled due to coarse filter material in the pre-filter at DWTP 4

vi. Densities below detection limit

Figure 1. Depth profiles of the volumetric densities at one location of: one pre-filter at DWTP 1 (Panel A), one after-filter at DWTP 1 (Panel B) and one after-filter at DWTP 4 (Panel C). Densities were quantified by qPCR and error bars represent the standard deviation of the analytical method.

526

527

528 **Figure 2.** Distribution of total bacterial mean density at DWTP 4 based on sample number and sampling
 529 strategy. Random sampling includes all random combinations of samples at different depths, locations and
 530 parallel after-filters. Sampling at different depths includes all locations at these specific depths and sampling
 531 at different locations includes all depths at these specific locations.

532

533 **Figure 3.** Density ratios in the pre-filters (Panels A-C) and after-filters (Panels D-F) at all investigated
 534 DWTPs. Panels A and D: ratio of AOB to total bacteria quantified by 16S rRNA-specific qPCR; Panels B
 535 and E: ratio of AOB to *Nitrospira* quantified by 16S rRNA-specific qPCR; Panels C and F: ratio of AOA to
 536 AOB quantified by the *amoA*-specific qPCR. Bars are means of all the sampled locations in all parallel
 537 filters and error bars are the standard deviation of the mean.

538

Figure 4. Phylogenetic analysis of *nxB* genes retrieved from the CG24 *Nitrospira* population genome (Palomo et al., 2016) with NCBI database recorded sequences as reference (Pester et al., 2014). The scale bar indicates 1% estimated sequence divergence. Relative abundance of CG24 *nxB* genes (compared to total *nxB* gene in the community metagenome) and relative abundance of *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA sublineages (compared to all *Nitrospira* sequences) sequences are reported.

Figure 5. Volumetric densities of AOB (Panel A) and AOA (Panel B) in the investigated pre- and after-filters as a function of the NH_4^+ loading rate to the DWTP.

Highlights

- Microbial density in RSFs are high (upto 10^9 to 10^{10} per gram (10^{15} to 10^{16} per m^3) but vary spatially.
- Acceptable mean precision of density estimates requires 7 random samples.
- *Nitrospira* were more abundant than *Nitrobacter* and AOBs.
- *Nitrospira* abundance is likely caused by their NH_4^+ oxidation capability (comammox).
- AOB consistently exceed AOAs in RSFs, except in RSFs with strong stratification.