



Efficient large-scale wind turbine deployment can meet global electricity generation needs

Badger, Jake; Volker, Patrick J. H.

Published in:
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1073/pnas.1708350114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708350114)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Badger, J., & Volker, P. J. H. (2017). Efficient large-scale wind turbine deployment can meet global electricity generation needs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(43), E8945-E8945. [E8945].
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708350114>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Efficient large-scale wind turbine deployment can meet global electricity generation needs

Jake Badger^{a,1} and Patrick J. H. Volker^a

Miller and Kleidon (1) study future global deployment of wind turbines. They use a general circulation model (GCM) with 2.8° resolution to simulate the electricity generation for different wind-power deployments using global constant installed capacity densities. Results from the simulations with the maximum electricity generation over land and over water form the foundation for their study: a generation over 100 times greater than the global electricity demand (2).

Correctly modeling wind resources requires a proper terrain description and that meso- and micro-scale effects are resolved (3). Power density estimates from mesoscale models with a 10-km grid spacing can be more than 50% lower than those from high-resolution models (4). However, reliable estimation of electricity generation depends on accurately modeling wind speed distributions and wake deficits, which themselves are wind speed-dependent (5). So, GCM simulations are likely to underestimate wind resources by more than 50%, also causing unreliable wake deficits.

Additionally, their approach concentrates on maximum electricity generation, ignoring the decreasing incremental gains associated with increasing installation capacity (figure 1A of ref. 1). This leads to unrealistically high installed capacity densities for large wind farms, with extremely low capacity factors. Over land the maximum electricity generation of 0.37 MW km⁻² was reached for an installed capacity of 24 MW_i km⁻², leading to a capacity factor of 1.5%, and over sea the capacity factor was 6.4% with a maximum electricity generation of 0.59 MW km⁻².

By concentrating on wind power deployment giving maximum power production the approach

ignores a range of efficient deployments. Therefore, we strongly disagree with their conclusion that “lower per-turbine generation rates are also associated with higher generation rates per unit area ($W_e \text{ m}^{-2}$) up to the wind power limit, and likely makes wind power less economical at progressively larger deployment scales.” Furthermore, the combination of their deployment approach and incorrect modeling of wind resource and electricity generation leads us to disagree with their finding that “the future expansion of wind power should not plan for installed capacities that are much above 0.3 MW km⁻² over areas larger than 10,000 km⁻².” Similarly, their claim that only 3–4% of land and 20–21% of ocean surface could produce more than 1 MW km⁻² would not be valid with accurate modeling and if turbine coverage was reduced from being global.

Instead, to assess wind-energy deployment one should account for all energy scales that contribute to the local wind resources (3) and the installed power density should be wind speed distribution-dependent (5) instead of globally constant.

For example, in the US Midwest inclusive of Texas (2,800,000 km²) six wind farms of each 114,000 km² with an installed capacity of 2.8 MW_i km⁻² would generate 0.69 MW km⁻² (5). The energy production of these wind farms with a capacity factor of 25% would cover the entire US electricity consumption (2). In the North Sea, 340-km² wind farms with 6.4 MW_i km⁻² would generate 2.52 MW km⁻², with a capacity factor of 40% (5). Using around 18% of the North Sea area with these wind farms would provide enough electricity to all 28 countries of the European Union (2).

- 1 Miller LM, Kleidon A (2016) Wind speed reductions by large-scale wind turbine deployments lower turbine efficiencies and set low generation limits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:13570–13575.
- 2 IEA (2014) International Energy Agency Statistics. Available at www.iea.org/statistics/statisticssearch/. Accessed May 18, 2017.
- 3 Landberg L, et al. (2003) Wind resource estimation – An overview. *Wind Energ* 6:261–271.
- 4 Triccoli A, Dubus L, Haupt SE (2014) *Weather Matters for Energy* (Springer, Berlin).
- 5 Volker PJH, Hahmann AN, Badger J, Jørgensen HE (2017) Prospects for generating electricity by large onshore and offshore wind farms. *Environ Res Lett* 12:034022.

^aDepartment of Wind Energy, DTU Risø Campus, Technical University of Denmark, 4000, Roskilde, Denmark

Author contributions: J.B. and P.J.H.V. performed research and wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Published under the [PNAS license](https://www.pnas.org/licenses).

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: jaba@dtu.dk.