Bi-resonant structure with piezoelectric PVDF films for energy harvesting from random vibration sources at low frequency

Liang, Shanshan; Crovetto, Andrea; Peng, Zhuoteng; Zhang, Ai; Hansen, Ole; Wang, Mingjiang; Li, Xinxin; Wang, Fei

Published in:
Sensors and Actuators A: Physical

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.sna.2016.06.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2016.06.033)

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Liang, S., Crovetto, A., Peng, Z., Zhang, A., Hansen, O., Wang, M., Li, X., & Wang, F. (2016). Bi-resonant structure with piezoelectric PVDF films for energy harvesting from random vibration sources at low frequency. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 247, 547-554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2016.06.033>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Bi-resonant Structure with Piezoelectric PVDF Films for Energy Harvesting from Random Vibration Sources at Low Frequency

Shanshan Li ^{1,2}, Andrea Crovetto ³, Zhuoteng Peng ¹, Ai Zhang ^{1,2}, Ole Hansen ³,
Mingjiang Wang ⁴, Xinxin Li ⁵, and Fei Wang ^{1,2,*}

¹ Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China

² Shenzhen Key Laboratory of 3rd Generation Semiconductor Devices, Shenzhen, China

³ Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, DTU Nanotech, Building 345E, DK-2800 Kgs Lyngby, Denmark

⁴ School of Electronic and Information Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen 518055, China

⁵ State Key Lab of Transducer Technology, Shanghai Institute of Microsystem and Information Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200050, China

* Corresponding author: wangf@sustc.edu.cn

Abstract

This paper reports on a bi-resonant structure of piezoelectric PVDF films energy harvester (PPEH), which consists of two cantilevers with resonant frequencies of 15 Hz and 22 Hz. With increased acceleration, the vibration amplitudes of the two cantilever-mass structures are increased and collision occurs which causes strong mechanical coupling between the two subsystems. The experimental results show that the operating bandwidth is widened to 14 Hz (14 Hz - 28 Hz) at an acceleration of 9.81 m/s^2 , and the peak output power can be $0.35 \text{ }\mu\text{W}$ at a relatively low operation frequency of 16 Hz. Simulation and experiments with piezoelectric elements show that the energy harvesting device with the bi-resonant structure can generate higher power output than that of the sum of the two separate devices from random vibration sources at low frequency, and hence significantly improves the vibration-to-electricity conversion efficiency by 40%-81%.

1. Introduction

With the fast development of the low power wireless sensor networks and the internet of things (IoT), energy harvesting technology has recently attracted a great deal of research interest as a promising technique to replace the traditional batteries [1]. The traditional batteries not only require their costly replacement, especially for sensors at inaccessible locations, but also cause pollution of the environment. Many energy sources from the environment such as light [2], RF radiation [3], thermal gradient [4] and mechanical motions [5-6] can be harvested to provide sustainable power supply to the wireless electronics. The kinetic energy of mechanical vibration is generally the most versatile and ubiquitous ambient energy source [5], and three types of vibrations energy harvesters, electrostatic [7-11], electromagnetic [12-13] and piezoelectric [14-17] have been studied a lot. Piezoelectric energy harvesting devices have been most intensively studied because of their simple configuration, high conversion efficiency and compatible manufacturing process.

To maximize the harvested power output, most of the piezoelectric energy harvesters (PEH) utilize a linear vibrating structure of mass-cantilever system [18], which provides optimal power output at a high resonant frequency (typically larger than 200 Hz), as shown in Fig. 1. However, the environmental vibrational frequencies are spectrally distributed and usually below 100 Hz (especially abundant below 50 Hz). Therefore, frequency up-conversion structures are designed to match the ambient excitation [19-20]. Tang et al. [19] demonstrated that by using magnetic repulsion forces to achieve non-contact frequency up-conversion, an average power generation of over 10 μW can be achieved within a broad frequency range of 10–22 Hz under 1 g (9.8 m/s^2) acceleration. On the other hand, the traditional PEH has a very limited operating bandwidth nearby its resonant frequency. The performance of the energy harvester will decrease to a large extent when the external excitation frequency shifts away from the resonant frequency of the device. Many efforts have been made to improve the bandwidth of the energy harvesters. Lin *et. al.* [21] implemented a multi-cantilever piezoelectric generator with current standard MEMS fabrication

1 techniques, where the resonant frequencies of the device are between 237 Hz and
2 244.5 Hz.

3 In this paper, we have developed a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) films based
4 piezoelectric energy harvester (PPEH) with a bi-resonant structure shown in Fig.
5 2(a), which consists of two cantilever-mass systems to achieve two different resonant
6 frequencies. On each of the stainless steel cantilevers, PVDF film is attached to
7 generate electric energy from the stress caused by external vibration sources. The
8 PVDF based polymeric piezoelectric films are used instead of the PZT materials in
9 this demonstration because PVDF is a lead-free polymer material, which is more
10 compatible to the CMOS/MEMS technology. In addition, the PVDF polymer
11 material has lower Young's modulus which can result in lower resonant frequency of
12 the structure [22]. As shown in Fig. 2(c), there is one specific resonant frequency for
13 each beam-mass system. When one of the masses is oscillating at resonance, the
14 vibration amplitude may be large enough to make the mass collide with the other
15 mass and drive the latter into forced vibration mode. Therefore, the latter mass also
16 oscillates to a significant level even though the frequency is off its resonant
17 frequency. By series connection of the circuits of the PPEH-top (PPEH-T) and
18 PPEH-bottom (PPEH-B), the device bandwidth can be widened. In an optimal
19 design, the PPEH with the bi-resonant structure can outperform the sum of the two
20 subsystems in terms of the energy harvested from random vibration sources. It
21 should be noted that this dual resonant structure can also be applied to the other
22 vibrational energy harvesters such as electrostatic or electromagnetic transduction
23 methods [23].

24 **2. Mechanical model**

25 Figure 2(b) shows the mechanical model of the device. The mechanical performance
26 of the device can be analyzed under a few basic assumptions:

27 (1) the magnitude of the mass displacement is small compared to the beam length, so
28 that the “stiffening effect” and the nonlinearity of the beam can be neglected;

- 1 (2) the two beam-masses are perfectly aligned, and the collision between them is
 2 one-dimensional and elastic with no energy loss;
- 3 (3) the electromechanical coupling force may be neglected in this device as it is
 4 typically small compared to the spring force and the collision force.

5 When no collision ($x_1 - x_2 + g_0 > 0$) occurs, the governing mechanical equation for the
 6 fundamental vibration mode can be written as ($i=1$ for PPEH-T, $i=2$ for PPEH-B):

$$7 \quad m_i \ddot{x}_i + c_i \dot{x}_i + k_i x_i = -m_i \ddot{y} \quad (x_1 - x_2 + g_0 > 0) \quad (1)$$

8 where m_i is the equivalent mass, c_i is the equivalent damping coefficient, k_i is the
 9 spring constant of the beam, $x_i(t)$ and $y(t)$ are the displacements of the mass and the
 10 external vibration source, respectively. The assumed initial conditions for Eq. (1), are
 11 zero displacement and zero velocity, $x_i(0) = 0$ and $\dot{x}_i(0) = 0$. When the vibration
 12 amplitude is sufficiently large for collisions to occur ($x_1 - x_2 + g_0 < 0$), the velocities of
 13 the two masses must be recalculated. The calculation can be done using conservation
 14 of the total momentum and the total kinetic energy:

$$15 \quad \sum_{i=1,2} m_i u_i = \sum_{i=1,2} m_i v_i; \quad \sum_{i=1,2} m_i u_i^2 / 2 = \sum_{i=1,2} m_i v_i^2 / 2 \quad (2)$$

16 where u_i and v_i are the velocities of the mass before and after the collision,
 17 respectively. From Eq. (2), the velocities of the masses after the collision can be
 18 calculated:

$$19 \quad v_1 = [u_1(m_1 - m_2) + 2m_2 u_2] / (m_1 + m_2) \quad (3)$$

$$20 \quad v_2 = [u_2(m_2 - m_1) + 2m_1 u_1] / (m_1 + m_2) \quad (4)$$

21 Therefore, at the moment of collision ($t=t_c$), the initial conditions for Eq. (1) should
 22 be revised to $\dot{x}_i(t_c) = v_i$.

23 3. Simulation

24 For the energy harvester with a single resonant structure, a theoretical investigation

1 has previously been made to study the device performance under a random vibration
2 source [24]. The energy harvester with bi-resonant structure in this paper is more
3 complicated. A numerical calculation with Matlab/Simulink modeling is used with
4 the parameters listed in Table I. Figure 3 shows the Simulink model to study the
5 performance of the bi-resonant structure based on the mechanical equations
6 mentioned above. With an event trigger of zero crossing detection ($x_1 - x_2 + g_0 < 0$), this
7 Simulink model can detect whether a collision would occur during the energy
8 harvesting process. Figure 4 shows two simulations with different vibration
9 amplitudes. When a vibration source is applied with amplitude of 2 m/s^2 at 20 Hz,
10 there is no collision between the two masses. When the vibration amplitude is
11 increased to 5 m/s^2 , collision occurs and the velocities of the two masses are changed
12 after the collision.

13 With the Simulink model, we can study the frequency response of the device. We
14 calculate the power output against frequency of the bi-resonant structure PPEH with
15 input accelerations of 9.8 m/s^2 , 14.7 m/s^2 and 19.6 m/s^2 . With vibration sources of
16 sinusoidal function, the relationship between the output power and the external
17 excitation frequency in the case of collision is depicted in Fig. 5. When the
18 frequency of the vibration source is tuned from 5 Hz to 35 Hz, we have seen two
19 peaks of the power output corresponding to the resonant frequencies of 15 Hz for
20 PPEH-T and 26 Hz for PPEH-B, respectively. With increased vibration amplitude,
21 the power output is also increased accordingly.

22 Furthermore, we also calculated the performance of the device under a random
23 vibration source. Figure 6 shows the algorithmic scheme used in the simulation.
24 With a white noise generator and proper frequency filter, we can define various
25 random vibration sources at low frequency to mimic different scenarios in reality. In
26 Fig. 7, we have shown a low-pass filtered random vibration source (cut-off
27 frequency 50 Hz) used for the modeling at an RMS acceleration of 10 m/s^2 and the
28 resulting voltage outputs of the devices driven by the vibration. The voltage outputs
29 of the single devices PPEH-T (device 1) and PPEH-B (device 2) are shown

separately for comparison. The root mean square (RSM) power outputs of the PPEH device with the bi-resonant structure, PPEH-T and PPEH-B single cantilever are shown in Fig. 8, as a function of the RMS acceleration of the random source, respectively. With a RMS vibration amplitude of 20 m/s^2 , the RMS power output of the device with bi-resonant structure is calculated to $1.667 \text{ } \mu\text{W}$, compared to $0.582 \text{ } \mu\text{W}$ for PPEH-T and $0.332 \text{ } \mu\text{W}$ for PPEH-B, respectively. With the bi-resonant structure, therefore, the PPEH device harvests 82% more power than that of the sum of the two single devices. At accelerations of 3 m/s^2 , 5 m/s^2 and 10 m/s^2 and 15 m/s^2 , the device with bi-resonant structure harvests 75% , 66%, 77 % and 81% more power than that of the sum of the two single devices, respectively. This proves that the PPEH device with bi-resonant structure can improve the performance under random vibration sources compared to the single devices.

4. Experiment

An experimental PPEH device with bi-resonant structure was fabricated to validate the numerical modeling. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the proposed bi-resonant structure for PPEH comprises of PPEH-T and PPEH-B, both of which consist of a stainless steel beam and a proof mass at the free end. The gap distance g_0 between the two cantilevers is set to 0.5 mm, which is the minimum gap we can control during the experiment. The length and width of the two cantilevers are 55 mm and 18 mm, respectively. The thicknesses of PPEH-T and PPEH-B are 0.15 mm and 0.2 mm, respectively. The proof masses m_1 and m_2 are 1.5 g and 1.2 g. The mechanical quality factor Q_m of the stainless beam is estimated to be 10~20. The basic stainless steel beam component consists of a thin film of PVDF based polymeric piezoelectric bonded to a layer of stainless steel. In this paper, PVDF is used instead of conventional piezoelectric materials such as PZT or AlN, because PVDF has a low Young's modulus and comparable electromechanical coupling factor [25], which is more suitable for energy harvesting from low frequency vibration sources. Moreover, PVDF is a lead-free polymer material, which is bio-compatible for application such as energy harvesting from motion of human body. The steel substrates act as

1 mechanical supporting layers. The PVDF based polymeric piezoelectric films from
2 Measurement Specialties Inc. USA (MEAS) have silver paste deposited on both
3 sides for electrodes as well as polyester laminations on the electrodes. The effective
4 length, width, and thickness of the PVDF film are designed to be 30 mm, 12 mm,
5 and 28 μm , respectively, which gives a capacitance of 1.38 nF.

6 The two subsystems were connected in series in the measurement setup as shown in
7 Fig. 9 [26-27]. Using a signal generator (Brüel&Kjær, LAN-XI 3160) and a power
8 amplifier (Brüel&Kjær, 2719) excitation signals to drive the electrodynamic shaker
9 (Brüel&Kjær, 4810) are generated; this mimics the vibration sources of interest. An
10 accelerometer is mounted along with the PPEH device to monitor the real time
11 vibration. The signal from the PPEH device is connected to a data acquisition unit
12 through an external load resistance of 20 M Ω , which is the same resistance used in
13 all the following measurement for comparison unless otherwise mentioned.

14 The single devices with PPEH-T single cantilever and PPEH-B single cantilever are
15 firstly characterized for comparison. Figure 10 and Fig. 11 show the RMS power
16 output of the two devices at different frequency of accelerations at 1.67 m/s^2 , 3.43
17 m/s^2 , 6.87 m/s^2 and 9.81 m/s^2 , respectively. The resonant frequency of the single
18 device 1 (PPEH-T) is 16 Hz at 1.67 m/s^2 . With increased acceleration, the resonant
19 frequency of the single device decreases slightly, which exhibits a “softening effect”
20 similar to the PZT based energy harvester demonstrated in [14]. This is mainly due
21 to the fact that the PVDF film is laminated to a sheet of polyester (Mylar), which is
22 then glue bonded to the stainless steel beam. The lamination and bond quality could
23 be affected by large amplitude of vibration, which decreases the effective Young’s
24 modulus of the composite beam. The output power reaches the peak value of 0.55
25 μW at 9.81 m/s^2 and 14 Hz. The resonant frequency of the single device 2 (PPEH-B)
26 is 22.5 Hz at 1.67 m/s^2 , and its power output reaches the peak value of 0.8 μW at
27 9.81 m/s^2 and 21 Hz.

28 The RMS output power of the PPEH device as a function of frequency at
29 accelerations of 1.67 m/s^2 , 3.43 m/s^2 , 6.87 m/s^2 and 9.81 m/s^2 are shown in Fig. 12.

With increasing acceleration the bandwidth of the PPEH device is continuously widened. The operating bandwidth is increased to 14 Hz (14 Hz-28 Hz) and the peak output power is 0.35 μ W at a relatively low operation frequency of 16 Hz at 9.81 m/s^2 . Since the volume of the proof mass is about 0.35 cm^3 , the harvested power density of the dual resonant device is estimated as 1 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^3$.

Figure 13(a-c) show the spectra of 50 Hz low pass filtered random vibration sources with RMS accelerations of 5 m/s^2 , 10 m/s^2 and 15 m/s^2 , respectively. During the measurement, the single devices are also tested for comparison. All the measurements are performed with 6.4 seconds per cycle. The typical voltage outputs in one cycle are shown in Fig. 13(d-f).

The average RMS power outputs in 200 test cycles are plotted in Fig. 13(g-i), where the device with bi-resonant structure shows higher harvesting efficiency than the single devices for all the three measurement scenarios. At a RMS acceleration amplitude of 5 m/s^2 the PPEH device with bi-resonant structure generates an average power output of 18.3 nW, compared to that of 2.6 nW for device 1 and 7.5 nW for device 2, respectively. At an RMS acceleration amplitude of 10 m/s^2 , the PPEH device with bi-resonant structure generates an average power output of 82.9 nW, compared to that of 10.9 nW for device 1 and 43.8 nW for device 2, respectively. At an RMS acceleration amplitude of 15 m/s^2 the PPEH device with bi-resonant structure generates an average power output of 0.133 μ W, compared to that of 0.024 μ W for device 1 and 0.0708 μ W for device 2, respectively. In all three measurement scenarios, higher average power output was harvested from the PPEH device with dual resonant structure than the sum of that from the two separate devices; the power was increased by 81%, 52% and 40%, respectively. The detailed measurement results are listed in Table II. It should be noticed that the measurement results are lower than the simulation we have shown in Fig. 8. This is mainly due to the fact that we have neglected the energy loss during the collision in the simulation and the parasitic capacitance has not been included in the model.

5. Conclusion

This paper presents the design and experimental characterization of a piezoelectric PVDF films energy harvesting device with bi-resonant structure for wider bandwidth response. Thanks to the two separate resonant frequencies, the vibration amplitudes of two cantilever-mass structures produces strong coupling when colliding at sufficiently large acceleration. With an optimal design of the resonant frequencies of the two subsystems, the energy harvester with bi-resonant structure can provide a decent power output across a broad frequency range. The experimental results show that in this PPEH device, the operating bandwidth can be increased to 14 Hz (about 70% of the central frequency) and the peak value power output is 0.35 μ W at a relatively low operation frequency of 16 Hz at 9.81 m/s². Both theoretical analysis and experiments have shown that the PPEH device with bi-resonant structure can harvest more energy from random vibration sources at low frequency than the sum of the energy from the separate single devices. Although demonstrated with a piezoelectric device, this dual resonant structure can also be applied to the other vibrational energy harvesters based on electrostatic or electromagnetic transduction methods.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No.: 51505209) and Shenzhen Science and Technology Innovation Committee (Projects No.: JCYJ20150930160634263 and JCYJ20150827165024088). The Shenzhen Key Laboratory of 3rd Generation Semiconductor Devices is supported by Project No.: ZDSYS20140509142721434.

References

- [1] B. E. White, "Energy-harvesting devices: Beyond the battery," *Nature Nanotechnology*, 3, pp. 71-72, 2008.
- [2] K. Sangani, "Power solar - The sun in your pocket," *Engineering & Technology*, Vol. 2, No. 8, pp. 36-38, 2007.

- [3] S. Kim, R. Vyas, J. Bito, K. Niotaki, A. Collado, A. Georgiadis, and M. M. Tentzeris, "Ambient RF energy-harvesting technologies for self-sustainable standalone wireless sensor platforms," in *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 102, No. 11, pp. 1649-1666, 2014.
- [4] R. Venkatasubramanian, C. Watkins, D. Stokes, J. Posthill, and C. Caylor, "Energy harvesting for electronics with thermoelectric devices using nanoscale materials," in *IEEE Int. Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 367-370, Dec. 2007.
- [5] P. D. Mitcheson, E. M. Yeatman, G. K. Rao, A. S. Holmes, and T. C. Green, "Energy harvesting from human and machine motion for wireless electronic devices," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 96, no. 9, pp. 1457-1486, 2008.
- [6] S. P. Beeby, M. J. Tudor, and N. M. White, "Energy harvesting vibration sources for microsystems applications," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 17, p. R175, 2006.
- [7] Y. Suzuki, "Recent progress in MEMS electret generator for energy harvesting," *IEEJ Transactions on Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 101-111, Mar. 2011.
- [8] F. Wang, and O. Hansen, "Invisible surface charge pattern on inorganic electrets," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, Volume 34, No. 8, pp. 1047-1049, 2013.
- [9] F. Wang, C. Bertelsen, G. Skands, T. Pedersen, and O. Hansen, "Reactive ion etching of polymer materials for an energy harvesting device," *Microelectronics Engineering*, Vol 97, pp. 227-230, 2012.
- [10] F. Wang, and O. Hansen, "Electrostatic energy harvesting device with out-of-the-plane gap closing scheme," *Sensors and Actuators A – Physical*, 211, 131-137, 2014.
- [11] H. Lo and Y.-C. Tai, "Parylene-based electret power generators," *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 104006-1-104006-8, 2008.
- [12] Q. Zhang and E. S. Kim, "Vibration energy harvesting based on magnet and coil arrays for watt-level handheld power source," in *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 102, No. 11, pp. 1747-1762, 2014.
- [13] M. Wischke, M. Masur, F. Goldschmidtboeing, and P. Woias, "Electromagnetic vibration harvester with piezoelectrically tunable resonance frequency," *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, Vol 20, No. 3, 035025 (2010).
- [14] R. Xu, A. Lei, C. Dahl-Petersen, K. Hansen, M. Guizzetti, K. Birkelund, et al., "Screen printed PZT/PZT thick film bimorph MEMS cantilever device for vibration energy harvesting," *Sensors and Actuators A – Physical*, vol. 188, pp. 383-388, Dec. 2012.
- [15] Q. C. Tang and X. X. Li, "Two-stage wideband energy harvester driven by multimode coupled vibration," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1-7, Jan. 2014.
- [16] L. Tang and Y. Yang, "A nonlinear piezoelectric energy harvester with magnetic oscillator," *Applied Physics Letters* 101, 094102 (2012)
- [17] S. Roundy and P. K. Wright, "A piezoelectric vibration based generator for wireless electronics," *Smart Materials and Structures*, Volume 13, Number 5, 1131 (2004).
- [18] A. Crovetto, F. Wang, and O. Hansen, "Modeling and optimization of an electrostatic energy harvesting device," *IEEE/ASME Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems*, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 1141-1155, 2014.
- [19] Q. C. Tang, Y. L. Yang, and X. X. Li, "Bi-stable frequency up-conversion piezoelectric energy harvester driven by non-contact magnetic repulsion", *Smart Materials and Structures*, vol. 21, no. 12, 125011, Nov. 2011.
- [20] H. Kulah and K. Najafi, "Energy scavenging from low-frequency vibrations by using frequency

- up-conversion for wireless sensor applications,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 8, 261 (2008).
- [21] S. C. Lin, B. S. Lee, W. J. Wu, and C. K. Lee, “Multi-cantilever piezoelectric MEMS generator in energy harvesting,” *IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium Proceedings*, 755 - 758 (2009).
- [22] B. Li, A. Laviage, J. You, and Y. Kim, “Harvesting low-frequency acoustic energy using multiple PVDF beam arrays in quarter-wavelength acoustic resonator,” *Applied Acoustics*, 74, pp. 1271–1278, 2013.
- [23] K. Tao, J. Wu, L.H. Tang, N. Wang, S.W. Lye and J.M. Miao, “Broadband energy harvesting using a nonlinear 2DOF MEMS electret-based micro power generator,” in *the 29th IEEE International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (IEEE MEMS 2016)*, pp. 1236-1239, Shanghai, China, 24-28 Jan., 2016.
- [24] E. Halvorsen, “Energy harvesters driven by broadband random vibrations,” *IEEE/ASME Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems*, Vol. 17, No. 5, pp.1061-1071, 2008.
- [25] Y.G. Jiang, S. Shiono, H. Hamada, T. Fujita, K. Higuchi, and K. Maenaka, “Low-frequency energy harvesting using a laminated PVDF cantilever with a magnetic mass”, *Proc. PowerMEMS 2010*, pp. 375-378.
- [26] A. Crovetto, F. Wang, and O. Hansen, “An electret-based energy harvesting device with a wafer-level fabrication process,” *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, 23, 114010 (10pp), 2013.
- [27] S. Li, Z. Peng, A. Zhang, and F. Wang, “Dual resonant structure for energy harvesting from random vibration sources at low frequency,” *AIP Advances* 6, 015019 (2016).

1 Captions:

2 Figure 1 (a) Schematic view of a piezoelectric energy harvester with a linear system
3 of mass-cantilever structure; (b) frequency response of a typical linear energy
4 harvester with a resonant frequency of f_0 and bandwidth of Δf .

5 Figure 2. (a) Schematic of the bi-resonant structure for PPEH; (b) The mechanical
6 analysis system of the PPEH device with the bi-resonant structure; (c) Frequency
7 response of the energy harvesting devices with single cantilever (left, middle) and
8 the energy harvesting device with bi-resonant cantilevers (right).

9 Figure 3. Simulink model of the energy harvesting device with bi-resonant structure.

10 Figure 4. Mechanical performance of the two subsystems when vibration sources
11 with amplitude of (a) 2 m/s² and (b) 5 m/s² are applied at 20 Hz. For 5 m/s²,
12 collision occurs during the vibration which changes the velocities of the two mass as
13 shown in the dash zone.

14 Figure 5. Simulated RMS power output against frequency for the PPEH device with
15 bi-resonant structure at three magnitudes of the acceleration.

16 Figure 6. Block diagram of the algorithmic scheme used in Matlab/Simulink
17 simulations for the piezoelectric energy harvesting from random vibration source.

18 Figure 7. (a) Random vibration source through low pass filter 50 Hz for the energy
19 harvesting modeling of $a_{rms}=10$ m/s²; (b-d) Calculated voltage output for the energy
20 harvester with bi-resonant structure, single device 1 (PPEH-T) and single device 2
21 (PPEH-B), respectively; (e) close-up view of the driven vibration source; (f) power
22 spectral density of the vibration source at low frequency.

23 Figure 8. Simulink results of RSM power outputs against accelerations of PPEH
24 bi-resonant structure, PPEH-T and PPEH-B single cantilever. The subscript B, 1, 2
25 stand for the device with dual resonant structure, single PPEH-T and single PPEH-B,
26 respectively.

27 Figure 9. (a) Overview of the measurement setup for the PPEH device; (b) Close-up
28 view of the PPEH device with bi-resonant structure.

29 Figure 10. Experimental results of RSM power outputs against frequencies of the
30 single device 1 (PPEH-T) with bi-resonant structure of accelerations at 1.67 m/s²,
31 3.43 m/s², 6.87 m/s² and 9.81 m/s².

1 Figure 11. Experimental results of RSM power outputs against frequencies of the
2 single device 2 (PPEH-B) with bi-resonant structure of accelerations at 1.67 m/s^2 ,
3 3.43 m/s^2 , 6.87 m/s^2 and 9.81 m/s^2 .

4 Figure 12. Experimental results of RSM power outputs against frequencies of the
5 PPEH device with bi-resonant structure of accelerations at 1.67 m/s^2 , 3.43 m/s^2 , 6.87
6 m/s^2 and 9.81 m/s^2 .

7 Figure 13. Measurements under low pass filtered ($<50 \text{ Hz}$) random vibration sources
8 with RMS accelerations of 5 m/s^2 , 10 m/s^2 and 15 m/s^2 (a-c), respectively. The single
9 devices are also tested for comparison. All measurements are performed in 6.4
10 second cycles. Typical voltage outputs in one cycle are shown in (d-f). The average
11 RMS power outputs in 200 test cycles are plotted in (g-i), where the device with
12 bi-resonant structure shows higher harvesting efficiency than the single devices in all
13 three measurement scenarios.

14 TABLE I. Parameters of the energy harvester with dual resonant structure.

15 TABLE II. Vibration sources (low pass filtered $< 50 \text{ Hz}$) and measurement results of
16 accelerations at 5 m/s^2 , 10 m/s^2 and 15 m/s^2 .

1 **Figure 1** (a) Schematic view of a piezoelectric energy harvester with a linear system
2 of mass-cantilever structure; (b) frequency response of a typical linear energy
3 harvester with a resonant frequency of f_0 and bandwidth of Δf .

5 **Figure 2.** (a) Schematic of the bi-resonant structure for PPEH; (b) The mechanical
6 analysis system of the PPEH device with the bi-resonant structure; (c) Frequency
7 response of the energy harvesting devices with single cantilever (left, middle) and
8 the energy harvesting device with bi-resonant cantilevers (right).

7

8

8

2 **Figure 3.** Simulink model of the energy harvesting device with bi-resonant structure.

3

4

5

5 **Figure 4.** Mechanical performance of the two subsystems when vibration sources
6 with amplitude of (a) 2 m/s^2 and (b) 5 m/s^2 are applied at 20 Hz . For 5 m/s^2 , collision
7 occurs during the vibration which changes the velocities of the two mass as shown in
8 the dash zone.

3 **Figure 5.** Simulated RMS power output against frequency for the PPEH device with
4 bi-resonant structure at three magnitudes of the acceleration.

4

5

3 **Figure 6.** Block diagram of the algorithmic scheme used in Matlab/Simulink
4 simulations for the piezoelectric energy harvesting from random vibration source.

4

5

6

6 **Figure 7.** (a) Random vibration source through low pass filter 50 Hz for the energy
7 harvesting modeling of $a_{rms}=10 \text{ m/s}^2$; (b-d) Calculated voltage output for the energy
8 harvester with bi-resonant structure, single device 1 (PPEH-T) and single device 2
9 (PPEH-B), respectively; (e) close-up view of the driven vibration source; (f) power
10 spectral density of the vibration source at low frequency.

5 **Figure 8.** Simulink results of RSM power outputs against accelerations of PPEH
6 bi-resonant structure, PPEH-T and PPEH-B single cantilever. The subscript B, 1, 2
7 stand for the device with dual resonant structure, single PPEH-T and single PPEH-B,
8 respectively.

3 **Figure 9.** (a) Overview of the measurement setup for the PPEH device; (b) Close-up
4 view of the PPEH device with bi-resonant structure.

4 **Figure 10.** Experimental results of RSM power outputs against frequencies of the
5 single device 1 (PPEH-T) with bi-resonant structure of accelerations at 1.67 m/s^2 ,
6 3.43 m/s^2 , 6.87 m/s^2 and 9.81 m/s^2 .

4 **Figure 11.** Experimental results of RSM power outputs against frequencies of the
5 single device 2 (PPEH-B) with bi-resonant structure of accelerations at 1.67 m/s^2 ,
6 3.43 m/s^2 , 6.87 m/s^2 and 9.81 m/s^2 .

4 **Figure 12.** Experimental results of RSM power outputs against frequencies of the
5 PPEH device with bi-resonant structure of accelerations at 1.67 m/s^2 , 3.43 m/s^2 , 6.87
6 m/s^2 and 9.81 m/s^2 .

8 **Figure 13.** Measurements under low pass filtered (<50 Hz) random vibration sources
9 with RMS accelerations of 5 m/s^2 , 10 m/s^2 and 15 m/s^2 (a-c), respectively. The single
10 devices are also tested for comparison. All measurements are performed in 6.4
11 second cycles. Typical voltage outputs in one cycle are shown in (d-f). The average
12 RMS power outputs in 200 test cycles are plotted in (g-i), where the device with
13 bi-resonant structure shows higher harvesting efficiency than the single devices in all
14 three measurement scenarios.

1 TABLE I. Parameters of the energy harvester with dual resonant structure.

2

Parameter	Description	Value
m_1	proof mass 1	1.50 gram
m_2	proof mass 2	1.20 gram
k_1	spring constant 1	11.59 N/m
k_2	spring constant 2	25.06 N/m
c_1	damping coefficient 1	0.022 kg/sec
c_2	damping coefficient 2	0.017 kg/sec
g_0	initial gap	0.5 mm
d_{31}	piezoelectric coefficient	23 pC/N

3

4

1 TABLE II. Vibration sources (low pass filtered < 50 Hz) and measurement results of
2 accelerations at 5 m/s^2 , 10 m/s^2 and 15 m/s^2 .

3

	Source 1	Source 2	Source 3
a_{rms}	5 m/s^2	10 m/s^2	15 m/s^2
V_{B-rms}	0.603 V	1.285 V	1.624 V
P_{B-rms}	18.3 nW	82.9 nW	133 nW
P_{l-rms}	2.6 nW	10.9 nW	24 nW
P_{2-rms}	7.5 nW	43.8 nW	70.8 nW
$P_{B-rms}/(P_{l-rms} + P_{2-rms})$	1.81	1.52	1.40

4

5