Optical dating of loessic hillslope sediments constrains timing of prehistoric rockfalls, Christchurch, New Zealand

Sohbati, Reza; Borella, Josh; Murray, Andrew Sean; Quigley, Mark; Buylaert, Jan-Pieter

Published in:
Journal of Quaternary Science

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1002/jqs.2895](https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.2895)

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Sohbati, R., Borella, J., Murray, A. S., Quigley, M., & Buylaert, J-P. (2016). Optical dating of loessic hillslope sediments constrains timing of prehistoric rockfalls, Christchurch, New Zealand. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 31(7), 678-690. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.2895>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Optical dating of loessic hillslope sediments constrains timing of prehistoric rockfalls, Christchurch, New Zealand

Reza Sohbati^{1,2*}, Josh Borella³, Andrew Murray², Mark Quigley^{3,4}, Jan-Pieter Buylaert^{1,2}

¹Technical University of Denmark, Centre for Nuclear Technologies, DTU-Nutech, DTU Risø Campus, DK-4000, Roskilde, Denmark

²Nordic Laboratory for Luminescence Dating, Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, DTU Risø Campus, DK-4000, Roskilde, Denmark

³Department of Geological Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand

⁴School of Earth Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia

*Corresponding author: resih@dtu.dk

Abstract

Developing a robust chronology for mass-movement events is of crucial importance to understanding triggering mechanisms and assessing hazards. We constrain the emplacement time of four palaeorockfall boulders near Christchurch, New Zealand, using optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) of quartz and infrared stimulated luminescence dating (IRSL) of K-feldspar from colluvial loess deposits underlying and upslope of individual boulders. The quartz OSL and K-feldspar pIRIR₂₉₀ ages are all consistent with the stratigraphy and in excellent agreement with each other, indicating that all the boulders that overlie the *in-situ* loess and oldest loess colluvium unit must have been emplaced < 13 ka ago. A comparison of luminescence ages with cosmogenic ³He surface-exposure ages from the surfaces of each boulder shows that two out of four boulders contain pre-deposition ³He inheritance. Overall, the optical ages are consistent with both a prehistoric rockfall event at ~8-6 ka and a possible preceding event at ~14-13 ka, although the temporal resolution of the time of emplacement of individual boulders is ca. 3-5 ka. This resolution is not limited by age uncertainties but rather by the stratigraphy. This study is the first to show a successful application of luminescence dating to New

Zealand colluvium loess and demonstrates the great advantage of a multi-technique approach in mass-movement dating.

1. Introduction

Mass movements such as rockfalls, landslides and debris flows pose a serious hazard to human population and infrastructure in mountainous areas. At least 300 million people are exposed to these events worldwide (Dilley et al., 2005) and every year there are tens of reports of major mass movements around the world; these cause human fatalities (e.g. Bunce et al., 1998; Guzzetti et al., 2000; Baillifard et al., 2003; Massey et al., 2014), destroy buildings (e.g. Evans and Hunger, 1993; Yin et al., 2008) and damage transportation corridors (e.g. Hungr et al., 1999; Budetta, 2004). Determining the return frequency of such events is central to understanding their driving mechanisms and estimating their hazard. Earthquakes are one of the major causal mechanisms for slope failure, and rockfalls are, in turn, the most abundant type of landslide induced by earthquakes (Keefer, 1984). Coseismic rockfalls can thus be an indicator of past earthquakes and their timing may allow us to constrain earthquake recurrence intervals (Bull, 1996; Matmon et al., 2005; Mackey and Quigley, 2014). Unfortunately, establishing this timing is notoriously difficult due to the lack of reliable geochronological tools. Different techniques have been developed with the object of providing a chronology for past rockfall activity (Lang et al., 1999; Panek, 2014). These range from studying the degree of rock-surface weathering (e.g. Nesje et al., 1994) to lichenometry (e.g. Bull et al., 1994; Luckman and Fiske, 1995; Andre', 1997; McCarroll et al., 2001), dendrochronology (e.g. Stoffel, 2006), radiocarbon (^{14}C) dating (e.g. Stout, 1969; Bertolini, 2007), optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating (e.g. Balescu et al., 2007; Chapot et al., 2012) and cosmogenic nuclide (CN) surface-exposure dating (e.g. Rinat et al., 2014; Stock et al., 2014; Mackey and Quigley, 2014). All these techniques suffer from major uncertainties: most do not date the rockfall events directly and even CN dating cannot be easily applied because of problems resulting from inheritance of pre-event cosmogenic nuclides. Modern geochronology lays great emphasis on a multi-technique approach as this helps verify results from different techniques and reduce ambiguity in chronology. For example, using a novel approach of OSL dating directly applicable to rock surfaces, Chapot et al. (2012) determined the burial age of a fallen boulder as well as the underlying sediment in order to date a rockfall event that removed parts of a Barrier Canyon Style (BCS) rock art in southeastern Utah, USA. They supported the OSL ages by ^{14}C

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

dating of a cottonwood leaf serendipitously found immediately between the boulder and underlying sediment (Chapot et al., 2012). In another example, Matmon et al. (2005) determined the age of three different rockfall events along the margins of the Dead Sea fault using a combination of CN dating of the fallen boulders and OSL dating of underlying deposits.

In South Island, New Zealand, earthquake-induced rockfalls are frequently embedded in loessic sediments (Heron et al., 2014); direct CN dating (Mackey and Quigley, 2014) and robust chronologies for loess accumulation and remobilization can thus be used to constrain the timing of these rockfalls. Luminescence is widely used to date loessic materials around the world (Roberts, 2015 and references therein) but luminescence dating of loess from South Island is perceived to be challenging (e.g. Almond et al., 2007). This perception is mostly based on studies using thermoluminescence (TL) and infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) of polymineral fine grain (i.e. 4-11 μm) fractions from loess deposits in Westland, Southland and Canterbury (Berger et al., 2001a,b, 2002). The ages obtained have been reported to be anomalously young or old with low precision and in some cases in stratigraphically reversed sequence (Almond et al., 2001, 2007). The undesirable luminescence characteristics have been attributed to the highly weathered nature of these sediments and the dominance of albites compared to K-rich feldspars (Berger et al., 2001a; Almond et al., 2001). With technical and instrumental developments in luminescence dating techniques, later studies have reported more reliable ages for loessic material from the South Island even though some age inconsistencies, mainly attributed to anomalous fading of IRSL signals from K-feldspars, still occurred (Litchfield and Lian, 2004; Preusser et al., 2005). There have been few successful studies utilizing optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating of quartz (Holdaway et al., 2002; Rowan et al., 2012), probably due to reports on low OSL signal intensities and large changes in sensitivity (e.g. Preusser et al., 2006); none of these attempted to date loess. We are unaware of any prior attempts to (i) use ‘paired’ quartz OSL and K-feldspar IRSL dating to rigorously test the reliability of luminescence ages from New Zealand sediments, (ii) apply luminescence dating to larger grain size (i.e. $>11\ \mu\text{m}$) fractions of loess from the South Island, and (iii) apply luminescence dating to a steep, high-energy hillslope containing both *in-situ* and colluvial (reworked) loessic sediments.

In this study, we use coarse-grained quartz OSL and K-rich feldspar IRSL signals to date loessic deposits south of Christchurch, New Zealand (Fig. 1a). We show that these techniques provide

consistent and robust sediment chronologies and give confidence in the results even in such challenging depositional environments. These loess deposits host numerous palaeorockfall boulders that have previously been dated by cosmogenic ^3He (Mackey and Quigley, 2014), enabling cross-validation of our optical ages with independent age control. The new luminescence ages allow us to refine the timing of palaeorockfall emplacement in an area of high rockfall hazard (Massey et al., 2014). This study and that of Borella et al. (in review) represent the first use of luminescence dating to refine palaeorockfall chronologies in New Zealand and one of the first to apply this technique globally (Matmon et al., 2005; Chapot et al., 2012).

2. Site description and geological context

The study site (Rapaki Study Site) is located in the Port Hills of southern Christchurch, nearby the village of Rapaki on Banks Peninsula (Fig. 1a,b). It is a steep ($\sim 20\text{--}25^\circ$) grassy slope (Fig. 1b) composed of *in-situ* loess deposits, overlying large basaltic rockfall boulders, and loessic colluvium deposits that contain basaltic clasts. A large number of boulders (> 650) were dislodged from the steep source cliff upslope of the Rapaki Study Site during moment magnitude 6.2 and 6.0 earthquakes in Christchurch in 2011 (Fig. 1b). The palaeorockfall boulders identified on the same slope (Fig. 1b, c) have the same morphology, lithology, and similar spatial distribution as modern boulders and imply that similar earthquakes are likely to have been responsible for past rockfall activity (Mackey and Quigley, 2014; Borella et al., 2016, in review).

The loessic colluvium deposits have been remobilized by hillslope erosion and deposited downslope (Fig. 1c). Stratigraphic boundaries between *in-situ* and colluvial units (Fig. 1c) have been distinguished on the basis of textural, compositional, and grain-size variations (Borella et al., in review). Palaeosols have been identified in the loess colluvium and the top of the most recent colluvium contains an A-horizon (Borella et al., in review). The slope geomorphology on the interfluvial considered here consists of numerous rills and channels (Fig. 1b) that primarily originate from collapsed tunnel gullies, and sedimentary wedges formed upslope of boulders. More detailed geomorphic and stratigraphic data for the study site are available in Borella et al. (2016, in review).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The source of the loess has been attributed to the proximal floodplains of the Waimakariri River (Fig. 1a) (Griffiths, 1973), which primarily transports weathered Cretaceous greywacke from the Southern Alps out into the Canterbury Basin (Villasenor et al., 2016). The basaltic source rock immediately upslope of the Rapaki Study Site is not a likely source because of the absence of quartz and low abundance of K-feldspar in the source rock.

Constraints on the timing of regional loess accumulation consist of previous ^{14}C , optical dating, and tephrochronology at other study sites on Banks Peninsula (Fig. 1a) (Griffiths, 1973; Almond et al., 2007) and cosmogenic ^3He dating of palaeorockfall boulders overlying the *in-situ* loess (Mackey and Quigley, 2014). *In-situ* loess at the Rapaki Study Site has been correlated to the Birdlings Flat loess (Borella et al., in review). Intercalated volcanic ash within the Birdling's Flat loess (Fig. 1a) has been attributed to the 26,500 cal. yr BP Kawakawa Tephra (Almond et al., 2007). Humic acid from the uppermost identified palaeosol near the top of a section of correlative loessic units yielded a ^{14}C age of $17,450 \pm 2,070$ cal. years B.P. (Griffiths, 1973). Almond et al. (2007) obtained a feldspar IRSL age of $17,300 \pm 1,000$ cal. years B.P. from near the top of the sequence. They interpreted feldspar IRSL ages to be underestimates, and suggested loess accumulation initiated prior to ca. 30,000 ^{14}C yr BP (ca. 35,000 cal. yr BP). Mackey and Quigley (2014) used cosmogenic ^3He surface-exposure dating to determine the emplacement time of 19 prehistoric rockfall boulders overlying the *in-situ* loess. The apparent surface-exposure ages ranged from 6 to 70 ka with most ages between 6 and 20 ka; age concentrations at ca. 6-8 ka and ~12-14 ka were interpreted to reflect surface-exposure ages and older ages were attributed to pre-depositional inheritance (Fig. 1d). Borella et al. (2016, in review) obtained ^{14}C dates from charcoal within the uppermost layers of the reworked colluvial loess of ~1660-1880 A.D. (2 σ). The *in-situ* loess at the Rapaki Study Site is thus conservatively attributed to deposition beginning before ca. 30 ka and ending before ca. 6-13 ka, while the majority of reworked colluvial loess is attributed to deposition after ca. 6-13 ka and prior to 1660-1880 A.D.

3. Sampling, laboratory preparation and analytical facilities

Sampling for optical dating was targeted at five palaeorockfall boulders; three with credible exposure ages of < 13 ka in stratigraphic order with the expected post-LGM depositional age of 17-13 ka for the underlying loess and two with exposure ages of > 20 ka. Thirteen OSL samples were collected by

hammering metal tubes (5 cm diameter and 15 cm length) into freshly cleaned trench walls: (i) 8 samples from loess and loess colluvium deposits underlying the boulders, and (ii) 5 samples from reworked loess colluvium that accumulated behind the boulders after their emplacement on the hillslope (Fig. 1c, e). The samples underlying the boulders should predate their emplacement and thus give a maximum boulder emplacement age, whereas the accumulated deposits upslope of the boulders should postdate their deposition and so provide a minimum boulder emplacement age (Fig. 1e); by dating these samples we should be able to constrain the time window during which each boulder must have been put in place and thus determine the age of the responsible rockfall event.

Sediment was removed from sampling tubes under low level orange light and potentially light-exposed material from the outer ends of the tubes discarded; sediment from the middle of the tubes was then wet sieved to 40-63 μm . The grains were treated with 10% HCl to remove carbonates and 10% H_2O_2 to dissolve any reactive organic material. They were then etched with 10% HF for 40 min to remove any alpha-irradiated surface layer and weathering products and coatings, followed by 10% HCl for 20 min to remove any fluoride contamination. The K-rich feldspar fractions were then separated in a water-based heavy liquid solution ($\rho = 2.58 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$; Fastfloat). The quartz grains were further treated with hydrofluorosilicic acid for 2 weeks, followed by 10% HCl.

All luminescence measurements were carried out using a Risø TL/OSL reader (model TL-DA 20), with blue light stimulation ($\lambda = 470 \text{ nm}$, $\sim 80 \text{ mW.cm}^{-2}$) and photon detection through a 7.5-mm Hoya U-340 glass filter for quartz, and infrared stimulation ($\lambda = 875 \text{ nm}$, $\sim 135 \text{ mW.cm}^{-2}$) and photon detection through a Schott BG39/BG3 filter combination (2 and 3 mm, respectively) for K-feldspar (Bøtter-Jensen et al., 2010). Beta irradiations used a $^{90}\text{Sr}/^{90}\text{Y}$ source mounted on the reader and calibrated for both discs and cups using 180-250 μm calibration quartz grains (Hansen et al., 2015). Grains were mounted as large ($\sim 9 \text{ mm}$ diameter for quartz) or medium ($\sim 4 \text{ mm}$ diameter for feldspar) aliquots in a monolayer using silicone oil on 9-mm-diameter stainless steel discs (quartz) or cups (feldspar). The heating rate was 5°C.s^{-1} throughout. All thermal treatments and stimulations at temperatures higher than 200°C were carried out in nitrogen atmosphere, and a pause of 5 s was inserted before stimulation to allow all grains to reach the measurement temperature. Five empty channels were inserted before and after the stimulation to monitor any isothermal TL signals.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

4. Dosimetry

Radionuclide concentrations (^{238}U , ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K) were measured using high-resolution gamma spectrometry on sediment collected from around the OSL sample tube. Approximately 50 g of sediment was dried at 50°C, pulverized and homogenized, and then heated to 450°C for 24 h to remove any organic matter. The material was then cast in wax to prevent radon loss and to provide a reproducible counting geometry. Samples were stored for at least three weeks to allow ^{222}Rn to reach equilibrium with its parent ^{226}Ra before being measured on a high-purity Germanium detector for at least 24 h. Details of the gamma spectrometry calibration are given in Murray et al. (1987). The internal beta dose rate activity from ^{40}K was calculated based on an assumed effective potassium content of $12.5\pm0.5\%$ (Huntley & Baril, 1997), and the beta contribution from ^{87}Rb was calculated assuming a ^{87}Rb content of 400 ± 100 ppm (Huntley & Hancock, 2001). For K-feldspar, a small internal alpha contribution of 0.10 ± 0.05 Gy ka^{-1} from internal ^{238}U and ^{232}Th was assumed included in the dose rates, based on from ^{238}U and ^{232}Th concentration measurements by Mejdahl (1987). For quartz, an internal dose rate of 0.010 ± 0.002 Gy ka^{-1} was assumed (Vandenbergh et al., 2008). The radionuclide concentrations were converted to dose rate data using the conversion factors from Guérin et al. (2011). The contribution from cosmic radiation to the dose rate was calculated following Prescott and Hutton (1994), assuming an uncertainty of 5%. The long-term water content (expressed as a percentage of dry weight) was assumed to be similar to the modern water content. Water content, radionuclide concentrations and dry, infinite-matrix beta and gamma dose rates are summarized in Table 1.

5. Luminescence characteristics

5.1. Quartz

Quartz is the most widely used dosimeter in luminescence dating and the reliability of the quartz OSL single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000) for dose determination is well-established (e.g. Murray and Olley, 2002). All the OSL measurements were performed at 125°C for 40 s. A high-temperature blue-light stimulation at 280°C was also carried out for 40 s at the end of each cycle to minimize the residual signal transfer between different cycles (Murray and Wintle, 2003). Signal intensities were calculated using the initial 0.32 s of the signal, less an immediate background

derived from the following 0.8 s. An early background subtraction was selected to minimize the contribution of the more difficult to bleach and more thermally unstable medium and slow components to the net signal (Jain et al., 2003; Li and Li, 2006; Cunningham and Wallinga, 2010).

For all samples, the purity of quartz extracts was examined by measuring the OSL signal from three aliquots from each sample with and without prior infrared stimulation at room temperature for 100 s. The ratio of the two OSL signals, the so-called OSL infrared (IR) depletion ratio, was then calculated for each aliquot (Duller et al., 2003). The resulting average OSL IR depletion ratio was 0.974 ± 0.012 ($n=39$), implying that any feldspar contamination of our quartz luminescence signals is negligible. Quartz extracts from all the samples were sensitive and the OSL signal was dominated by fast component (Fig. 2).

The performance of our quartz OSL SAR protocol was verified using both natural and dose-recovery preheat-plateau tests. The natural preheat-plateau test was carried out to investigate the dependence of equivalent dose (D_e) on preheat temperature. Twenty-four aliquots of quartz from one of the samples were sorted in groups of three. Each of the eight groups was then treated with a different preheat temperature (between 160 and 300°C for 10 s, with temperature increasing in 20°C steps). The temperature of the preheat treatment with immediate cooling after the test dose (the so called cut-heat temperature) was chosen to be 20°C lower than the preheat temperature. From Fig. 3a it can be seen that there is no obvious dependence of D_e on preheat temperature between 160 and 300°C.

For the dose-recovery preheat-plateau test, twenty-four fresh aliquots were stimulated twice at room temperature for 100 s using blue LEDs to fully reset the natural OSL signals. A pause of 1 ks was inserted between the two stimulations to allow for any charge trapped in shallow refuge traps (especially that associated with the 110°C TL peak) to decay and subsequently partly refill the OSL trap prior to the second stimulation. The aliquots were then given a dose of ~36 Gy and measured in a similar manner as in the natural preheat-plateau test. Fig. 3b summarizes the measured-to-given dose ratios at different preheat temperatures. It appears that the dose recovery ratio is poor for low preheat temperatures ($< 240^\circ\text{C}$) but is satisfactory (within 10% of unity) for the 240-280°C interval. The closest ratio to unity is 0.98 ± 0.06 ($n=3$) at 260°C, showing that a known laboratory dose absorbed before any thermal pretreatment can be accurately measured at this preheat temperature. Accordingly, a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

preheat temperature of 260°C (for 10 s) and a cut-heat temperature of 240°C for (0 s) were selected for all quartz OSL D_e measurements. A summary of the D_e values and calculated ages is given in Table 1.

5.2. K-rich feldspar

K-feldspar is an alternative dosimeter in luminescence dating. However, its application has been hampered because K-feldspar IRSL signals are usually not stable with time (e.g. Huntley and Lamothe, 2001; Spooner, 1994; Wallinga et al., 2007). It is now broadly accepted that this athermal loss of signal, commonly called as anomalous fading, is due to the tunneling of electrons from thermally stable traps to nearby recombination centers (Jain et al., 2015). The presence of anomalous fading results in age underestimations (Aitken 1985), however the use of K-feldspar as dosimeter in luminescence dating has been increasing rapidly over the last few years since the recognition of the more stable infrared (IR) signals measured after a low temperature IR stimulation, the so-called post-IR IRSL signals (pIRIR) (Thomsen et al., 2008; Buylaert et al., 2009). We used a pIRIR₂₉₀ SAR protocol to measure the K-feldspar fractions from all the samples (Thiel et al., 2011). A preheat treatment of 320°C was applied for 60 s after natural, regenerative and test doses. The first IR stimulation at 50°C (IR₅₀) was followed by a second IR stimulation at 290°C (pIRIR₂₉₀). A high-temperature stimulation at 325°C was also performed at the end of each SAR cycle to minimize signal carry-over to the next cycle. All IR stimulations were carried out for 100 s and the full protocol is given in Table 2. The first second of stimulation less a background from the last ten seconds was used for all calculations.

In order to verify the reliability of the measurement protocol, a dose-recovery test was carried out by adding different known laboratory doses to the natural dose of the youngest sample. The largest added dose was selected in a way so that the total dose is close to the pIRIR₂₉₀ D_e of the oldest sample. As it can be seen from Fig. 4, while the IR₅₀ dose recovery ratio is poor (~0.8), the pIRIR₂₉₀ dose recovery is satisfactory (within 10% of unity) over the entire dose range in our samples (Fig. 4). Similar low dose recovery ratios have been observed for the IR₅₀ signal measured as a part of the pIRIR₂₉₀ protocol (e.g. Buylaert et al., 2012; Schatz et al., 2012; Tsukamoto et al., 2013; Murray et al., 2014). It has been argued that this may be due to a trapping sensitivity change caused by the stringent preheating (here 320°C for 60 s) of the sample prior to measuring the natural IR₅₀ signal (Wallinga et al., 2000). Kars et al. (2014a) show that this sensitivity change cannot be detected through test dose responses in the SAR

protocol, and thus may result in an invalid equivalent dose estimation. We therefore do not use the IR_{50} equivalent doses for age calculation. Instead, we only use these values in the discussion of incomplete bleaching (see section 6).

5.2.1. Anomalous fading

To measure the stability of the IRSL signals in our samples, an anomalous fading test was carried out on the same aliquots as used for D_e determination. Anomalous fading is usually quantified by the 'g'-value, which is the fractional loss of signal during a storage period of one decade of time, where the storage periods are expressed as decades relative to the laboratory irradiation time (Aitken 1985: appendix F). Three aliquots from each sample were measured to evaluate the 'g'-value using SAR cycles (Table 2), following Auclair et al. (2003). Each aliquot was given a regenerative dose close to the sample-averaged $pIRIR_{290}$ equivalent dose and a test dose equal to 50% of the regenerative dose was used. The ratios of regenerated signals (L_x) to test dose signals (T_x) were measured repeatedly, with time delays of ~ 0.22 h (IR_{50}) and ~ 0.27 h ($pIRIR_{290}$) for prompt measurements, and 12 h for delay measurements. The 'g'-values were calculated using equation 4 of Huntley and Lamothe (2001) and normalized to a measurement delay time (t_c) of 2 days after irradiation (Fig. 5).

Fading rates showed no trends with depth or depositional environment (i.e. loess/(reworked) colluvium loess). We therefore combined data of all the samples and obtained mean g_{2days} values of 0.7 ± 0.8 and 0.9 ± 0.3 %/decade ($n=39$) for the IR_{50} and $pIRIR_{290}$ signals, respectively. Several studies have documented similar fading rates of $\sim 1\%$ /decade for the $pIRIR_{290}$ signal, while the IR_{50} signal usually shows higher laboratory fading rates (e.g. Stevens et al., 2011; Roberts, 2012; Roskosch et al., 2012; Tsukamoto et al., 2013; Schatz et al., 2012). It has been suggested that such low fading rates (i.e. $<1.5\%$ /decade) are most likely an artefact of the measurement procedure and do not accurately reflect the signal instability in nature (e.g. Thiel et al., 2011; Buylaert et al., 2012; Roberts, 2012). The feldspar ages were not corrected for apparent laboratory fading.

5.2.2. Residual dose

Post-IR IRSL signals are known to be difficult to bleach as there remains a residual dose even after prolonged exposure periods in daylight or solar simulator (e.g. Buylaert et al., 2011; Reimann and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tsukamoto, 2012; Lowick et al., 2012; Roberts, 2012; Li et al., 2013; Kars et al., 2014b). In order to determine the size of this residual dose in our samples we followed two different approaches. In the first method, 24 aliquots from the youngest sample were bleached in groups of three for different lengths of time (from 1 to 512 h, increasing by powers of 2) using an artificial daylight spectrum (Hönle SOL2 solar simulator) approximately six times more intense than full sunlight. The IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ residual doses were then measured in the usual manner (Table 2). For both signals the residual doses decrease slowly with bleaching time and appear to reach a constant after 64 h of bleaching (Fig. 6). The average IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ residual doses after an exposure time of 64 h are 1.24 ± 0.14 Gy (n=6) and 6.15 ± 0.10 Gy (n=6), respectively.

Sohbati et al. (2012) reported a correlation between the IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₂₅ equivalent doses and their corresponding residual doses (after laboratory bleaching) with finite intercepts on the residual dose axes. They interpreted these intercepts as unbleachable residual doses that would have been present in their samples, had they been fully bleached at the time of deposition. Similar observations have been made by various workers for different pIRIR signals (e.g. Buylaert et al., 2012; Schatz et al., 2012; Sohbati et al., 2013; Tsukamoto et al., 2013; Veit et al., 2014; Qiu and Zhou, 2015). In the second method, we followed a similar approach; three aliquots per sample were first bleached for 4 h in a Hönle SOL2 solar simulator. The IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₂₅ residual doses were then measured and plotted against the corresponding D_e values for each sample. As Fig. 7 shows there is a clear correlation between the residual doses and the equivalent doses. The intercepts of the linear fits to the IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ data are 1.6 ± 0.3 and 5.9 ± 0.5 Gy, respectively. Interestingly, these values are comparable to the residual doses observed in the youngest sample after 64 h of bleaching, and are similar to the values reported in literature for the same signals (e.g. Buylaert et al., 2012; Schatz et al., 2012; Murray et al., 2014; Kars et al., 2014b; Yi et al., 2015). It is not clear whether these residual doses originate from a truly unbleachable IRSL component or arise from the transfer of charge from light-insensitive traps to IR-sensitive trap(s) during preheating, so-called thermal transfer (e.g. Aitken, 1998; Buylaert et al., 2011). In either case, they would correspond to residual doses present in a fully bleached, modern sample and are likely to have been present in all our samples at the time of deposition. The resulting IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ D_e values after residual dose subtraction and the calculated pIRIR₂₉₀ ages are given in Table 1.

6. Discussion

6.1. Reliability of the luminescence ages: were the samples well-bleached?

The laboratory behavior of the quartz OSL signals from these samples of New Zealand loess is satisfactory and the dose recovery for the sample tested under the chosen measurement conditions is consistent with unity (0.98 ± 0.06 ; $n=3$). Thus for these samples it appears that our measurement protocol is appropriate for the measurement of dose. As discussed in the Introduction, this is in contrast to earlier work on quartz from South Island. With the exception of Holdaway et al. (2002) and Rowan et al. (2012) previous luminescence studies have concluded that quartz was insensitive and unsuitable for dating (e.g. Preusser et al., 2006; Almond et al., 2007).

Similarly, our feldspar IRSL dosimetry signal is also well-behaved. The slope of the measured to given dose relationship for the pIRIR₂₉₀ signal is within 10% of unity, and laboratory fading rates are not considered significant ($g_{2\text{days}}$ values of 0.7 ± 0.8 and 0.9 ± 0.3 %/decade ($n=39$) for the IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ signals, respectively). Previous work suggested that ages based on feldspar IRSL signals underestimated independent age control (presumably due to anomalous fading) and this was blamed on the apparent high degree of weathering (Almond et al., 2001).

A major challenge in luminescence dating of hillslope deposits is incomplete bleaching (Fuchs and Lang, 2009). The colluvial deposits accumulated behind the boulders were reworked by slope processes and thus, because of short transport distances and mixing during transportation, may not have been well-bleached. Fuchs and Lang (2009) point out that one way to identify insufficient bleaching may be to use luminescence signals of different bleaching characteristics. Several studies have shown that the quartz OSL signal resets faster than the K-feldspar IR₅₀ signal and that the IR₅₀ signal, in turn, bleaches more rapidly than the elevated temperature post-IR IRSL (pIRIR) signals (e.g. Godfrey-Smith et al., 1988; Thomsen et al., 2008; Murray et al., 2012; Kars et al., 2014b; Sugisaki et al., 2015; Colarossi et al., 2015; Möller and Murray, 2015). Based on this, Murray et al. (2012) suggested an approach to identify well-bleached quartz samples by comparing quartz and K-feldspar equivalent doses. Möller and Murray (2015) used this approach in a study of Swedish glaciofluvial samples and were able to conclude that the quartz OSL in about half of the samples was well-bleached at deposition (based on agreement with IR₅₀ and pIRIR signals). In our study, there is excellent agreement between

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the quartz OSL and K-feldspar pIRIR₂₉₀ ages for all the samples (Fig. 9). Given the well-known difference in bleaching rates (see above), this similarity in ages strongly suggests that the quartz OSL signals in our samples must have been well-bleached prior to final deposition (Fig. 9).

In addition, Buylaert et al. (2013) proposed an independent method to identify poorly-bleached K-feldspar samples by comparing the IR₅₀ to pIRIR₂₉₀ D_e ratios. Following a similar approach, we plotted the IR₅₀ D_e value versus the corresponding pIRIR₂₉₀ equivalent dose for each sample (Fig. 8). First of all, it is interesting to note that the value of intercept on the pIRIR₂₉₀ axis in Fig. 8 (i.e. 4.9 Gy), is indistinguishable from the difference between the values of 1.6±0.3 and 5.9±0.5 Gy inferred as IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ unbleachable residual doses, respectively, from the intercepts on the D_e axes in Fig. 7. Secondly, all data points lie on the same curve, indicating a good correlation of doses over a wide dose range (Fig. 8). Such smooth correlation implies that either all the samples were equally poorly-bleached or they were well-bleached. Given the heterogeneous nature of the bleaching process in space and time, the former seems unlikely. We conclude that these data suggest that both the feldspar signals from our samples were sufficiently bleached before deposition. Note that the poor dose recovery for the IR₅₀ signals is most unlikely to perturb this conclusion. If poor dose recovery was to affect the conclusions drawn from this correlation, it would have to increase the scatter around the fitted line in Fig. 8; clearly, this cannot have happened to a significant degree. A similar conclusion was reached by Buylaert et al. (2013).

From the satisfactory dose recovery characteristics of the quartz OSL and K-feldspar pIRIR₂₉₀ signals and the excellent agreement between ages based on these signals, we conclude that the internal luminescence evidence strongly suggests that our quartz OSL ages are reliable and unlikely to have been significantly affected by incomplete bleaching. Since the quartz signal is more readily reset, and there are potential complications in the pIRIR₂₉₀ ages arising from signal instability and residual dose subtraction, we use the quartz OSL ages in the next section.

6.2. OSL-CN age comparison

The quartz OSL ages are all concordant with the stratigraphy; they range from ~2 to 29 ka with the 11 (out of 13) OSL ages most closely related to the boulders consistently younger than ~13 ka. Fig. 10

shows a schematic view of one of the boulders (PB-2) together with the OSL ages of the surrounding loess colluvium deposits as well as the ^3He surface-exposure age from the top of the boulder. It can be seen that the two samples collected below the boundary identified as the ground surface at the time of boulder emplacement have ages of 12.5 ± 1.1 ka (ROSL-05) and 12.0 ± 1.4 ka (ROSL-06), the weighted average of which provides a maximum age limit of 12.3 ± 1.0 ka for the boulder deposition. Furthermore, sample ROSL-04, taken from the colluvial wedge upslope of the boulder and thus deposited after the boulder, has an age of 7.7 ± 0.8 ka. These ages limit the boulder emplacement time to between 12.3 ± 1.0 ka and 7.7 ± 0.8 ka. The maximum age limit of 12.3 ± 1.0 ka is indistinguishable from the ^3He exposure age of 13 ± 2.3 ka from the top surface of the boulder. Our preferred interpretation is that (i) PB-2 was emplaced at ca. 12-13 ka (Mackey and Quigley, 2014), (ii) ^3He pre-detachment inheritance is negligible, and (iii) the boulder emplacement time is indistinguishable from that of the underlying loess. In this instance, the possibility of significant ^3He inheritance is inconsistent with the optical chronology, highlighting the importance of these complementary data.

Maximum/minimum loess ages exist for only four of the boulders. Only one OSL sample (ROSL-02, 29 ± 2 ka) was taken in association with PB1 (from underneath) and there is no corresponding upslope sample to provide post-depositional age limit. Fig. 11 summarizes the OSL age constraints and the ^3He surface-exposure age for the other four boulders (PB2, 3, 4, 5). The OSL ages associated with PB-3 suggest an emplacement time between 2.9 ± 0.3 and 5.8 ± 0.5 ka, while the ^3He surface-exposure age from the top surface of the boulder is 8 ± 2 ka. Again the boulder age is indistinguishable from that of the underlying loess, suggesting that either boulder emplacement occurred at 5.8 ± 0.5 ka, or the boulder was emplaced after 5.8 ± 0.5 ka (and contained some ^3He inheritance) but before 2.9 ± 0.3 ka.

In contrast, PB4 and PB5 have ^3He surface-exposure ages that are significantly older than the ages of the associated loess. PB4 has an exposure age of 26.9 ± 2.9 ka, while the OSL ages constrain the emplacement time to between 4.2 ± 0.4 and 10.2 ± 1.1 ka. Similarly, the OSL ages associated with PB5 suggest that it must have been deposited between 1.7 ± 0.2 and 10.2 ± 0.8 ka, whereas the ^3He age suggests an emplacement time of 15.7 ± 2.3 ka (Fig. 11). Given the internal stratigraphic consistency of the quartz OSL ages and their agreement both with K-feldspar ages and with the regional climate record (i.e. loess formation during the termination of LGM), we conclude that the discrepancy between the OSL and ^3He ages for PB4 and PB5 is most likely due to pre-detachment ^3He inheritance.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

7. Conclusions

We successfully determined the emplacement time of palaeorockfall boulders by applying luminescence dating techniques to colluvial (reworked) loess deposits in South Island, New Zealand. The quartz OSL and K-feldspar pIRIR₂₉₀ ages are all consistent with stratigraphy and in excellent agreement with each other for all the samples. To our knowledge, these are amongst the first reliable loess luminescence ages from New Zealand, although a few earlier studies have reported reliable ages from non-loessic material (e.g. Holdaway et al., 2002; Rowan et al., 2012; Hornblow et al., 2014).

The correlation between the IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ K-feldspar equivalent doses and the corresponding residual doses seems to provide a reliable method to determine the unbleachable residual dose present in the samples. The size of the unbleachable IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ residual doses in our samples, as inferred from the intercepts on the residual dose axes, are 1.6±0.3 and 5.9±0.5 Gy, respectively.

Regardless of whether the IR₅₀ equivalent doses are reliable or not (due to poor dose recovery), the comparison between the IR₅₀ and pIRIR D_e values appears to provide a viable independent approach to identify well-bleached K-feldspar samples. The smooth correlation between the IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ D_e values over a wide dose range, strongly suggests that all our samples were well-bleached prior to final deposition on the slope. This is confirmed by the agreement between the quartz OSL and K-feldspar pIRIR₂₉₀ ages.

Of the six loess colluvium samples immediately underlying the boulders the oldest has an age of ~13 ka, suggesting the deposition of loess colluvium after the termination of the LGM (Griffiths, 1973; Ives et al., 1973; Tonkin et al., 1974; Hughes et al., 2010). This implies that all the palaeorockfall boulders must have been emplaced after the loess colluvium deposition ~13 ka ago (Mackey and Quigley, 2014). The emplacement time of individual boulders was further constrained by luminescence dating of colluvial (reworked) loess deposits underlying and upslope of individual boulders. A comparison of luminescence ages with ³He surface-exposure ages from the surfaces of each boulder shows that two out of four boulders (ignoring PB1 because of the poor age constraint) probably suffer from ³He pre-detachment inheritance, as hypothesized by Mackey and Quigley (2014). Overall, the optical ages are consistent with both a prehistoric rockfall event at ~8-6 ka and the possible preceding event at ~14-13 ka hypothesized by Mackey and Quigley (2014), although the temporal resolution of the time of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

emplacement of individual boulders remains at ca. 3-5 ka. However, this temporal resolution is not limited by age uncertainties but rather by the stratigraphy; there was simply no material deposited closer to the time of emplacement. Nevertheless, we are able to convincingly identify two boulders containing significant cosmogenic ^3He inheritance and two for which the ^3He ages are probably accurate, demonstrating the great advantage of a multi-technique approach in geochronology.

Acknowledgements

RS would like to thank Carlsberg Foundation for financial support (Grant no. 2012_01 _0838) during this project. Joy Mailand-Hansen is thanked for sample preparation. The New Zealand Earthquake Commission is thanked for provision of finances under a Capability Grant and a Ph.D. scholarship to JB. Frontiers Abroad (<http://frontiersabroad.com/>) also provided financial support for this research. JPB thanks the Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences (FNU) for financial support (Steno grant no. 11-104566).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

Aitken, M. J. (1985). Thermoluminescence Dating. *Academic Press, London*

Aitken, M.J. (1998). An introduction to optical dating: the dating of Quaternary sediments by the use of photon-stimulated luminescence. *Oxford University Press*

Almond, P. C., Moar, N. T., & Lian, O. B. (2001). Reinterpretation of the glacial chronology of South Westland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 44(1), 1–15. doi:10.1080/00288306.2001.9514917

Almond, P. C., Shanahun, F. L., Rieser, U., & Shulmeister, J. (2007). An OSL, radiocarbon and tephra isochron-based chronology for Birdlings Flat loess at Ahuriri Quarry, Banks Peninsula, Canterbury, New Zealand. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 2(1-4), 4–8. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2006.06.002

André, M. (1997). Holocene Rockwall Retreat in Svalbard: A Triple-Rate Evolution. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 22(5), 423–440. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(199705)22:5<423::AID-ESP706>3.3.CO;2-Y

Auclair, M., Lamothe, M., & Huot, S. (2003). Measurement of anomalous fading for feldspar IRSL using SAR. *Radiation Measurements*, 37(4-5), 487–492. doi:10.1016/S1350-4487(03)00018-0

Baillifard, F., Jaboyedoff, M., & Sartori, M. (2003). Rockfall hazard mapping along a mountainous road in Switzerland using a GIS-based parameter rating approach. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 3(5), 435–442. doi:10.5194/nhess-3-435-2003

Balescu, S., Ritz, J. F., Lamothe, M., Auclair, M., & Todbileg, M. (2007). Luminescence dating of a gigantic palaeolandslide in the Gobi-Altay mountains, Mongolia. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 2(1-4), 290–295. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2006.05.026

- Berger, G. W., Almond, P. C., & Pillans, B. J. (2001a). Luminescence dating and glacial stratigraphy in Westland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 44(1), 25–35. doi:10.1080/00288306.2001.9514919
- Berger, G. W., Pillans, B. J., & Tonkin, P. J. (2001b). Luminescence chronology of loess-palaeosol sequences from Canterbury, South Island, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 44(4), 501–516. doi:10.1080/00288306.2001.9514952
- Berger, G. W., Pillans, B. J., Bruce, J. G., & McIntosh, P. D. (2002). Luminescence chronology of loess-palaeosol sequences from southern South Island, New Zealand. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21(16-17), 1899–1913. doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(02)00021-5
- Bertolini, G. (2007). Radiocarbon dating on landslides in the Northern Apennines (Italy) (pp. 73–80). doi:10.1201/NOE0415443180.ch10
- Borella, J., Quigley, M., Vick, L. (in review). Anthropocene rockfalls exceed limits of prehistoric predecessors. *Nature Geoscience*.
- Borella, J., Quigley, M., Sohbaty, R., Kuklewicz, K. (in review), Stratigraphy and chronology of late Quaternary loessic hillslope sediments reveals seismic, climatic, and anthropogenic influence on surface processes, eastern South Island, New Zealand
- Budetta, P. (2004). Assessment of rockfall risk along roads. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 4(1), 71–81. doi:10.5194/nhess-4-71-2004
- Bull, W. B., King, J., Kong, F., Moutoux, T., & Phillips, W. M. (1994). Lichen dating of coseismic landslide hazards in alpine mountains. *Geomorphology*, 10(1-4), 253–264. doi:10.1016/0169-555X(94)90020-5
- Bull, W. B. (1996). Dating San Andreas fault earthquakes with lichenometry. *Geology*, 24(2), 111. doi:10.1130/0091-7613

- Bunce, C. M., Cruden, D. M., & Morgenstern, N. R. (1998). Assessment of the hazard from rock fall on a highway. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 35(2), 410. doi:10.1139/t98-002
- Buylaert, J. P., Murray, A. S., Thomsen, K. J., & Jain, M. (2009). Testing the potential of an elevated temperature IRSL signal from K-feldspar. *Radiation Measurements*, 44(5-6), 554–559. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2009.02.004
- Buylaert, J.-P., Thiel, C., Murray, A. S., Vandenberghe, D. A. G., Yi, S., & Lu, H. (2011). IRSL and post-IR IRSL residual doses recorded in modern dust samples from the Chinese Loess Plateau. *Geochronometria*, 38(4), 432–440. doi:10.2478/s13386-011-0047-0
- Buylaert, J.-P., Jain, M., Murray, A. S., Thomsen, K. J., Thiel, C., & Sohbati, R. (2012). A robust feldspar luminescence dating method for Middle and Late Pleistocene sediments. *Boreas*, 41, 435–451. doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2012.00248.x
- Buylaert, J.-P., Murray, a. S., Gebhardt, A. C., Sohbati, R., Ohlendorf, C., Thiel, C., ... Zolitschka, B. (2013). Luminescence dating of the PASADO core 5022-1D from Laguna Potrok Aike (Argentina) using IRSL signals from feldspar. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 71, 70–80. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.03.018
- Chapot, M. S., Sohbati, R., Murray, A. S., Pederson, J. L., & Rittenour, T. M. (2012). Constraining the age of rock art by dating a rockfall event using sediment and rock-surface luminescence dating techniques. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 13, 18–25. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2012.08.005
- Colarossi, D., Duller, G. a. T., Roberts, H. M., Tooth, S., & Lyons, R. (2015). Comparison of paired quartz OSL and feldspar post-IR IRSL dose distributions in poorly bleached fluvial sediments from South Africa. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2015.02.015
- Cunningham, A. C., & Wallinga, J. (2010). Selection of integration time intervals for quartz OSL decay curves. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 5(6), 657–666. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2010.08.004

- Dilley, M., Chen, R. S., Deichmann, U., Lerner-Lam, A. L., Arnold, M., Agwe, J., ... Yetman, G. (2005). *Natural Disaster Hotspots A Global Risk Analysis. Earth Science*.
- Evans, S. G., & Hungr, O. (1993). The assessment of rockfall hazard at the base of talus slopes. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. doi:10.1139/t93-054
- Fuchs, M., & Lang, A. (2009). Luminescence dating of hillslope deposits—A review. *Geomorphology*, 109(1-2), 17–26. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.08.025
- Godfrey-Smith, D., Huntley, D., & Chen, W. (1988). Optical dating studies of quartz and feldspar sediment extracts. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 7, 373–380.
- Guzzetti, F. (2000). Landslide fatalities and the evaluation of landslide risk in Italy. *Engineering Geology*, 58(2), 89–107. doi:10.1016/S0013-7952(00)00047-8
- Guérin, G., Mercier, N., & Adamiec, G. (2011). Dose-rate conversion factors : update, 29(1), 5–8.
- Heron, D., Lukovic, B., Massey, C., Ries, W., & McSaveney, M. (2014). GIS modelling in support of earthquake-induced rockfall and cliff collapse risk assessment in the Port Hills, Christchurch. *Journal of Spatial Science*, 59(2), 313–332.
- Holdaway, R. N., Roberts, R. G., Beavan-Athfield, N. R., Olley, J. M., & Worthy, T. H. (2002). C dating of bone gelatin and moa eggshell: A comparison of age estimates for non-archaeological deposits in New Zealand. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 32(3), 463–505. doi:10.1080/03014223.2002.9517705
- Hornblow, S., Quigley, M., Nicol, A., Van Dissen, R., Wang, N. (2014) Paleoseismology of the 2010 Mw 7.1 Darfield (Canterbury) earthquake source, Greendale Fault, New Zealand, Tectonophysics, 637, 178-190
- Hughes, M. W., Almond, P. C., Roering, J. J., & Tonkin, P. J. (2010). Late Quaternary loess landscape evolution on an active tectonic margin, Charwell Basin, South Island, New Zealand. *Geomorphology*, 122(3-4), 294–308. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.09.034

- Hungr, O., Evans, S. G., & Hazzard, J. (1999). Magnitude and frequency of rock falls and rock slides along the main transportation corridors of southwestern British Columbia. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. doi:10.1139/t98-106
- Huntley, D., & Baril, M. (1997). The K content of the K-feldspars being measured in optical dating or in thermoluminescence dating. *Ancient TL*, (1), 11–13.
- Huntley, D. J. & Hancock, R. G. V. (2001). The Rb contents of the K-feldspar grains being measured in optical dating. *Ancient TL*, 19, 43–46.
- Huntley, D. J., & Lamothe, M. (2001). Ubiquity of anomalous fading in K-feldspars and the measurement and correction for it in optical dating. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 38(7), 1093–1106. doi:10.1139/cjes-38-7-1093
- Ives, D. (1973). Nature and distribution of loess in Canterbury, New Zealand. *New Zealand journal of geology and geophysics*, 16(3), 587-610.
- Jain, M., Murray, A. S., & Bøtter-Jensen, L. (2003). Characterisation of blue-light stimulated luminescence components in different quartz samples: implications for dose measurement. *Radiation Measurements*, 37(4-5), 441–449. doi:10.1016/S1350-4487(03)00052-0
- Jain, M., Sohbati, R., Guralnik, B., Murray, A. S., Kook, M., Lapp, T., ... Buylaert, J. P. (2015). Kinetics of infrared stimulated luminescence from feldspars. *Radiation Measurements*. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2015.02.006
- Kars, R. H., Reimann, T., & Wallinga, J. (2014a). Are feldspar SAR protocols appropriate for post-IR IRSL dating? *Quaternary Geochronology*, 22, 126–136. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2014.04.001
- Kars, R. H., Reimann, T., Ankjaergaard, C., & Wallinga, J. (2014b). Bleaching of the post-IR IRSL signal: new insights for feldspar luminescence dating. *Boreas*, 43(4), 780–791. doi:10.1111/bor.12082

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Keefer, D. K. (1984). Landslides caused by earthquakes. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 95(4), 406–421. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1984)95<406:LCBE>2.0.CO
- Lang, A., Moya, J., Corominas, J., Schrott, L., & Dikau, R. (1999). Classic and new dating methods for assessing the temporal occurrence of mass movements. *Geomorphology*, 30(1-2), 33–52. doi:10.1016/S0169-555X(99)00043-4
- Li, B., & Li, S.-H. (2006). Comparison of estimates using the fast component and the medium component of quartz OSL. *Radiation Measurements*, 41(2), 125–136. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2005.06.037
- Li, B., Roberts, R. G., & Jacobs, Z. (2013). On the dose dependency of the bleachable and non-bleachable components of IRSL from K-feldspar: Improved procedures for luminescence dating of Quaternary sediments. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 17, 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2013.03.006
- Li, G., Wen, L., Xia, D., Duan, Y., Rao, Z., Madsen, D. B., ... Chen, F. (2015). Quartz OSL and K-feldspar pIRIR dating of a loess/palaeosol sequence from arid central Asia, Tianshan Mountains, NW China. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 28, 40–53. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2015.03.011
- Litchfield, N. J., & Lian, O. B. (2004). Luminescence age estimates of Pleistocene marine terrace and alluvial fan sediments associated with tectonic activity along coastal Otago, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 47(1), 29–37. doi:10.1080/00288306.2004.9515035
- Lowick, S. E., Trauerstein, M., & Preusser, F. (2012). Testing the application of post IR-IRSL dating to fine grain waterlain sediments. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 8(1), 33–40. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2011.12.003
- Luckman B. H., & Fiske, C. J. (1995). Estimating long-term rockfall accretion rates by lichenometry. In *Steepland Geomorphology*, Slaymaker O (ed.). Wiley: Chichester; 233–255.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Mackey, B. H., & Quigley, M. C. (2014). Strong proximal earthquakes revealed by cosmogenic ^3He dating of prehistoric rockfalls, Christchurch, New Zealand. *Geology*, 42(11), 975–978. doi:10.1130/G36149.1

Massey, Chris I., Mauri J. McSaveney, Tony Taig, Laurie Richards, Nicola J. Litchfield, David A. Rhoades, Graeme H. McVerry et al. "Determining rockfall risk in Christchurch using rockfalls triggered by the 2010-2011 Canterbury earthquake sequence." *Earthquake Spectra* 30, no. 1 (2014): 155-181.

Matmon, A, Shaked, Y., Porat, N., Enzel, Y., Finkel, R., Lifton, N., ... Agnon, A. (2005). Landscape development in an hyperarid sandstone environment along the margins of the Dead Sea fault: Implications from dated rock falls. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 240(3-4), 803–817. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.059

McCarroll, D., Shakesby, R. a., & Matthews, J. A. (2001). Enhanced rockfall activity during the litte ice age: Further lichenometric evidence from a Norwegian Talus. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 12(2), 157–164. doi:10.1002/ppp.359

Mejdahl, V. (1987). Internal radioactivity in quartz and feldspar grains. *Ancient TL*.

Murray, A. S., & Wintle, A. G. (2000). Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliquot regenerative-dose protocol. *Radiation Measurements*, 32, 3–7.

Murray, A. S., & Wintle, A. G. (2003). The single aliquot regenerative dose protocol: potential for improvements in reliability. *Radiation Measurements*, 37(4-5), 377–381. doi:10.1016/S1350-4487(03)00053-2

Murray, A. S., Thomsen, K. J., Masuda, N., Buylaert, J. P., & Jain, M. (2012). Identifying well-bleached quartz using the different bleaching rates of quartz and feldspar luminescence signals. *Radiation Measurements*, 47(9), 688–695. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2012.05.006

- Murray, A. S., Schmidt, E. D., Stevens, T., Buylaert, J. P., Marković, S. B., Tsukamoto, S., & Frechen, M. (2014). Dating middle pleistocene loess from stari slankamen (vojvodina, serbia) - limitations imposed by the saturation behaviour of an elevated temperature IRSL signal. *Catena*, 117, 34–42. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2013.06.029
- Möller, P., & Murray, A. S. (2015). Drumlinised glaciofluvial and glaciolacustrine sediments on the Småland peneplain, South Sweden – new information on the growth and decay history of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheets during MIS 3. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 122, 1–29. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.04.025
- Nesje, A., Blikra, L. H., & Anda, E. (1994). Dating rockfall-avalanche deposits from degree of rock-surface weathering by Schmidt-hammer tests: a study from Norangsdalen, Sunnmøre, Norway.
- Panek, T. (2014). Recent progress in landslide dating: A global overview. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 39(2), 168–198. doi:10.1177/0309133314550671
- Preusser, F., Andersen, B. G., Denton, G. H., & Schlüchter, C. (2005). Luminescence chronology of Late Pleistocene glacial deposits in North Westland, New Zealand. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 24(20-21), 2207–2227. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.12.005
- Preusser, F., Ramseyer, K., & Schlüchter, C. (2006). Characterisation of low OSL intensity quartz from the New Zealand Alps. *Radiation Measurements*, 41(7-8), 871–877. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2006.04.019
- Reimann, T., & Tsukamoto, S. (2012). Dating the recent past (<500 years) by post-IR IRSL feldspar - Examples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea coast. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 10, 180–187. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2012.04.011
- Rinat, Y., Matmon, A., Arnold, M., Aumaître, G., Bourlès, D., Keddadouche, K., ... Finkel, R. C. (2014). Holocene rockfalls in the southern Negev Desert, Israel and their relation to Dead Sea fault earthquakes. *Quaternary Research*, 81(2), 260–273. doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2013.12.008

- Roberts, H. M. (2012). Testing Post-IR IRSL protocols for minimising fading in feldspars, using Alaskan loess with independent chronological control. *Radiation Measurements*, 47(9), 716–724. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2012.03.022
- Roskosch, J., Tsukamoto, S., Meinsen, J., Frechen, M., & Winsemann, J. (2012). Luminescence dating of an Upper Pleistocene alluvial fan and aeolian sandsheet complex: The Senne in the Münsterland Embayment, NW Germany. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 10, 94–101. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2012.02.012
- Schatz, A. K., Buylaert, J. P., Murray, A., Stevens, T., & Scholten, T. (2012). Establishing a luminescence chronology for a palaeosol-loess profile at Tokaj (Hungary): A comparison of quartz OSL and polymineral IRSL signals. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 10, 68–74. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2012.02.018
- Sohbati, R., Murray, A. S., Buylaert, J.-P., Ortuño, M., Cunha, P. P., & Masana, E. (2012). Luminescence dating of Pleistocene alluvial sediments affected by the Alhama de Murcia fault (eastern Betics, Spain) - a comparison between OSL, IRSL and post-IRIRSL ages. *Boreas*, 41(2), 250–262. doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2011.00230.x
- Sohbati, R., Murray, A., Jain, M., Thomsen, K., Hong, S.-C., Yi, K., & Choi, J.-H. (2013). Na-rich feldspar as a luminescence dosimeter in infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) dating. *Radiation Measurements*, 51–52, 67–82. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2012.12.011
- Spooner, N. A. (1994). The anomalous fading of infrared-stimulated luminescence from feldspars. *Radiation Measurements*. doi:10.1016/1350-4487(94)90111-2
- Stevens, T., Marković, S. B., Zech, M., Hambach, U., & Sümegi, P. (2011). Dust deposition and climate in the Carpathian Basin over an independently dated last glacial-interglacial cycle. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 30(5-6), 662–681. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.12.011
- Stock, G. M., & Collins, B. D. (2014). Reducing Rockfall Risk in Yosemite National Park. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 95(29), 261–263. doi:10.1002/2014EO290002

- Stoffel, M. (2006). A Review of Studies Dealing with Tree Rings and Rockfall Activity: The Role of Dendrogeomorphology in Natural Hazard Research. *Natural Hazards*, 39(1), 51–70. doi:10.1007/s11069-005-2961-z
- Stout, M., L. (1969). Radiocarbon Dating of Landslides in Southern California and Engineering Geology Implications. *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, 123, 167–180, doi: 10.1130/SPE123-p167
- Sugisaki, S., Buylaert, J.-P., Murray, A., Tada, R., Zheng Hongbo, Ke, W., ... Irino, T. (2015). OSL dating of fine-grained quartz from Holocene Yangtze delta sediments. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2015.02.021
- Talebian, M., Fielding, E. J., Funning, G. J., Ghorashi, M., Jackson, J., Nazari, H., ... Wright, T. J. (2004). The 2003 Bam (Iran) earthquake: Rupture of a blind strike-slip fault. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(11), 2–5. doi:10.1029/2004GL020058
- Thiel, C., Buylaert, J.-P., Murray, A., Terhorst, B., Hofer, I., Tsukamoto, S., & Frechen, M. (2011). Luminescence dating of the Stratzing loess profile (Austria) – Testing the potential of an elevated temperature post-IR IRSL protocol. *Quaternary International*, 234(1-2), 23–31. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2010.05.018
- Thomsen, K., Murray, a, Jain, M., & Botterjensen, L. (2008). Laboratory fading rates of various luminescence signals from feldspar-rich sediment extracts. *Radiation Measurements*, 43(9-10), 1474–1486. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2008.06.002
- Tonkin, P. J., Runge, E. C. A., & Ives, D. W. (1974). A study of Late Pleistocene loess deposits, South Canterbury, New Zealand: Part II. Palaeosols and their stratigraphic implications. *Quaternary research*, 4(2), 217–231.
- Tsukamoto, S., Kataoka, K. S., & Miyabuchi, Y. (2013). Luminescence dating of volcanogenic outburst flood sediments from Aso volcano and tephric loess deposits, southwest Japan. *Geochronometria*, 40(4), 294–303. doi:10.2478/s13386-013-0135-4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Vandenbergh, D., De Corte, F., Buylaert, J.-P., Kučera, J., & Van den haute, P. (2008). On the internal radioactivity in quartz. *Radiation Measurements*, 43(2-6), 771–775. doi:10.1016/j.radmeas.2008.01.016

Veit, H., Preusser, F., & Trauerstein, M. (2014). The Southern Westerlies in Central Chile during the two last glacial cycles as documented by coastal aeolian sand deposits and intercalating palaeosols. *Catena*, 134, 30–40. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2014.11.002

Yi, S., Buylaert, J.-P., Murray, A. S., Thiel, C., Zeng, L., & Lu, H. (2015). High resolution OSL and post-IR IRSL dating of the last interglacial–glacial cycle at the Sanbahuo loess site (northeastern China). *Quaternary Geochronology*, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2015.02.013

Yin, Y., Wang, F., & Sun, P. (2009). Landslide hazards triggered by the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, Sichuan, China. *Landslides*, 6(2), 139–152. doi:10.1007/s10346-009-0148-5

Wallinga, J., Murray, A., & Duller, G. (2000). Underestimation of equivalent dose in single-aliquot optical dating of feldspars caused by preheating. *Radiation Measurements*, 32(5-6), 691–695. doi:10.1016/S1350-4487(00)00127-X

Wallinga, J., Bos, A. J. J., Dorenbos, P., Murray, A. S., & Schokker, J. (2007). A test case for anomalous fading correction in IRSL dating. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 2(1-4), 216–221. doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2006.05.014

Wintle, A. G. (1973). Anomalous Fading of Thermo-luminescence in Mineral Samples. *Nature*, 245(5421), 143–144. doi:10.1038/245143a0

Table captions

Table 1) Summary of sample code, burial depth, radionuclide concentrations, measured water content, quartz OSL and K-feldspar IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ equivalent doses and ages. Residual doses of 1.2 and 6 Gy were subtracted from the IR₅₀ and pIRIR₂₉₀ D_{es}, respectively. Feldspar dose rates assume a K concentration of 12.5±0.5% for K-feldspar (Huntley and Baril, 1997). An absolute error of 4% is assumed on the water content values.

Table 2) Outline of the quartz OSL (Murray and Wintle, 2003) and K-feldspar pIRIR₂₉₀ (Thiel et al., 2011) SAR protocols.

Sample code	Sample name	Depth	Water content	²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	⁴⁰ K	Total dose rate	Quartz OSL D _e	n	Quartz OSL age	K-feldspar IR ₅₀ D _e	K-feldspar pIRIR ₂₉₀ D _e	n	K-feldspar pIRIR ₂₉₀ age
		(cm)	(%)	(Bq kg ⁻¹) ± se	(Bq kg ⁻¹) ± se	(Bq kg ⁻¹) ± se	(Gy ka ⁻¹) ± se	(Gy) ± se		(ka) ± se	(Gy) ± se	(Gy) ± se		(ka) ± se
146601	ROSL-02 (PB1)	247	10	30.14±1.08	40.07±1.16	502±16	2.65±0.13	78±5	17	29.3±2.5	44.24±1.14	84.3±1.8	12	28.5±1.6
146602	ROSL-08 (PB3)	81	14	35.8±0.9	42.3±0.9	554±16	2.85±0.12	8.14±0.66	18	2.9±0.3	4.07±0.30	8.4±0.4	12	2.6±0.2
146603	ROSL-09 (PB3)	170	6	33.38±1.14	38.5±1.2	446±16	2.76±0.14	15.9±1.2	18	5.8±0.5	11.8±0.6	19.9±0.6	12	6.5±0.4
146604	ROSL-10 (PB4)	93	11	32.7±0.9	41±1	473±12	2.66±0.12	11.0±0.9	18	4.2±0.4	7.4±0.5	11.4±0.5	12	3.8±0.2
146605	ROSL-11 (PB4)	120	8	38.6±1.9	42±2	440±30	2.55±0.15	26±2	18	10.25±1.07	16.5±0.5	29.8±1.0	12	10.4±0.7
146606	ROSL-12 (PB4)	131	7	33.8±1.6	42.5±1.7	510±20	2.87±0.16	38±3	18	13.4±1.2	23.7±0.6	40.4±0.6	12	12.7±0.7
146607	ROSL-13 (PB5)	31	4	36.2±1.7	42.8±1.8	520±20	3.18±0.17	5.5±0.4	22	1.7±0.2	3.8±0.3	6.8±0.3	12	1.94±0.14
146608	ROSL-14 (PB5)	110	8	35.9±1.7	43.5±1.9	460±30	2.94±0.16	30.0±1.7	24	10.2±0.8	22.7±0.7	40.7±0.9	12	12.6±0.8
146609	ROSL-03 (PB2)	70	12	32.6±1.2	39.877±1.114	470±20	2.69±0.13	7.6±0.7	24	2.8±0.3	4.5±0.3	7.4±0.3	12	2.46±0.15
146610	ROSL-06 (PB2)	87	7	31.9±1.5	43.2±1.4	490±30	2.89±0.15	35±4	24	12.0±1.4	18.5±0.4	32.7±0.7	12	10.2±0.6
146611	ROSL-07 (PB2)	171	4	31.5±1.6	49±1.8	440±20	2.93±0.16	80±8	22	27.2±3.0	39.2±1.4	70.5±1.9	12	21.8±1.4
146612	ROSL-04 (PB2)	99	12	35.8±1.5	40.3±1.4	460±20	2.47±0.13	19.0±1.5	23	7.7±0.8	11.13±0.35	19.2±0.3	12	6.9±0.4
146613	ROSL-05 (PB2)	116	9	34.6±1.6	40.4±1.7	480±20	2.79±0.15	35±2	17	12.47±1.06	19.0±0.3	33.7±0.4	12	10.8±0.6

Table 1

Step	Quartz		K-feldspar	
	Treatment	Observed	Treatment	Observed
1	Dose		Dose	
2	Preheat (260°C for 10 s)		Preheat (320°C for 60 s)	
3	Blue stimulation (125°C for 40 s)	L_x	Infrared stimulation (50°C for 100 s)	$L_{x, IR50}$
4	-----		Infrared stimulation (290°C for 100 s)	$L_{x, pIRIR290}$
5	Test dose		Test dose	
6	Cut heat (240°C)		Preheat (320°C for 60 s)	
7	Blue stimulation (125°C for 40 s)	T_x	Infrared stimulation (50°C for 100 s)	$T_{x, IR50}$
8	-----		Infrared stimulation (290°C for 100 s)	$T_{x, pIRIR290}$
9	Blue stimulation (280°C for 40 s)		Infrared stimulation (325°C for 100 s)	
10	Return to 1		Return to 1	

Table 2

Quartz OSL and CN Surface Exposure Ages