^{135}La as an auger-electron emitter for targeted internal radiotherapy

Fonslet, Jesper; Lee, Boon Quan; Tran, Thuy A.; Siragusa, Mattia; Jensen, Mikael; Kibedi, Tibor; Stuchbery, Andrew E.; Severin, Gregory

Published in:
Physics in Medicine and Biology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1088/1361-6560/aa9b44](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aa9b44)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Fonslet, J., Lee, B. Q., Tran, T. A., Siragusa, M., Jensen, M., Kibedi, T., Stuchbery, A. E., & Severin, G. (2018). ^{135}La as an auger-electron emitter for targeted internal radiotherapy. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 63(1), [015026]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aa9b44>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

 ^{135}La as an auger-electron emitter for targeted internal radiotherapy

To cite this article before publication: Jesper Fonslet *et al* 2017 *Phys. Med. Biol.* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aa9b44>

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2017 Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine.

During the embargo period (the 12 month period from the publication of the Version of Record of this article), the Accepted Manuscript is fully protected by copyright and cannot be reused or reposted elsewhere.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a subscription basis, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 licence after the 12 month embargo period.

After the embargo period, everyone is permitted to use copy and redistribute this article for non-commercial purposes only, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

¹³⁵La as an Auger-electron emitter for targeted internal radiotherapy

J. Fonslet¹, B.Q. Lee^{2,3}, T.A. Tran⁴, M. Siragusa¹, M. Jensen¹, T. Kibédi³, A.E. Stuchbery³, G.W. Severin^{1,5,6*}

¹*Hevesy Laboratory, Center for Nuclear Technologies, Technical University of Denmark, Roskilde, Denmark*

²*Department of Oncology, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom*

³*Department of Nuclear Physics, Australia National University, Canberra, Australia*

⁴*Lund University Bioimaging Center, Lund University, Lund, Sweden*

⁵*Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA*

⁶*Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA*

Abstract:

Introduction: ¹³⁵La has favorable nuclear and chemical properties for Auger-based targeted internal radiotherapy. Here we present detailed investigations of the production, emissions, and dosimetry related to ¹³⁵La therapy.

Methods and Results: ¹³⁵La was produced by 16.5 MeV proton irradiation of metallic ^{nat}Ba on a medical cyclotron, and was isolated and purified by trap-and-release on weak cation-exchange resin. The average production rate was 407 ± 19 MBq/μA (saturation activity), and the radionuclidic purity was 98% at 20 h post irradiation. Chemical separation recovered > 98 % of the ¹³⁵La with an effective molar activity of 70 ± 20 GBq/μmol. To better assess cellular and organ dosimetry of this nuclide, we have calculated the X-ray and Auger emission spectra using a Monte Carlo model accounting for effects of multiple vacancies during the Auger cascade. The generated Auger spectrum was used to calculate cellular S-factors.

Conclusion: ¹³⁵La was produced with high specific activity, reactivity, radionuclidic purity, and yield. The emission spectrum and the dosimetry are favorable for internal radionuclide therapy.

Keywords: lanthanum-135, La-135, radiolanthanide, auger therapy, targeted radionuclide therapy, radionuclide production

* gwseverin@chemistry.msu.edu – corresponding author

1 Introduction:

The development of targeted internal radiotherapy for cancer and patient specific treatment requires radionuclides with suitable half-lives, chemical properties and emissions. Several nuclides are already in clinical use, notably the beta emitters ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y [1,2]. In addition, preclinical studies with other lanthanides, especially the terbium isotopes $^{149, 151, 155, 161}\text{Tb}$ show promise, providing a matched set of isotopes with diagnostic positrons and therapeutic alpha- and beta-particles, as well as Auger electrons [3–6]. The radioactive isotopes of lanthanum are chemically similar to the other lanthanides, and one in particular, ^{135}La has potential as a therapeutic Auger electron emitter.

Auger electron emitters are particularly interesting because they have the capability to deliver radiation dose to individual targeted cells while sparing surrounding tissues. This is in contrast to more commonly-used therapeutic nuclides, like ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y , which have beta emissions that traverse many cell lengths, with dispersed energy deposition. The very low energy and multiplicity of Auger electrons may prove useful in targeted therapy, especially in the treatment of diffuse and disseminated disease, where other nuclear emissions do not allow adequate dose to the targeted cell due to excessive particle range. Further, there is mounting evidence that the relative biological effectiveness (RBE) of multiple low energy electrons (<10 keV) significantly exceeds that of photons and higher energy beta particles [7]. In some cases the biological effect of absorbed dose from Auger electrons is 2-10 times higher than X-rays of the same energy, meaning that these emissions are more potent in introducing radiation damage to living cells [8–10]. Therefore, coupling Auger emitting radionuclides like ^{135}La with highly specific targeting vectors, particularly cell-nucleus targeting moieties, has potential as a powerful therapeutic tool.

^{135}La decays by electron capture (EC), primarily (>98%) to the ground state of stable ^{135}Ba , with a half-life of 18.9 hour [11] (Figure 1). Following the decay Auger electrons are emitted which are potentially useful for internal radiotherapy. Throughout this paper we use the term “Auger electrons” as designation for all Auger cascade electrons, including the Coster-Kronig and Super-Coster-Kronig electrons. X-rays accompany the Auger cascade with a spectrum sufficient for Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) imaging capabilities. In small-animal studies this allows for concurrent SPECT imaging, facilitating dosimetry calculations in small-animal models [12]. In the projected future human use of therapeutic doses, the activity could be high enough to allow whole-body SPECT based on the low abundance (1.5% branch) 480.5 keV gamma emissions.

Figure 1 Simplified decay scheme of ^{135}La [11,13].

When considering employing ^{135}La as a radiotherapeutic nuclide, it is necessary to make detailed dose calculations. This is important not only on the organ level, but also on the cellular level, due to the highly localized dose deposition from emitted Auger electrons. In order to get a realistic dose estimate the entire emission spectrum needs to be well understood. The conventionally-used databases (like NuDat 2 [14]) only give a condensed version of the Auger cascade emissions, without addressing the many electrons below 3 keV. Lee et al. recently developed an Auger-cascade model, BrIccEmis, based on a Monte Carlo technique for determining X-ray and Auger emission spectra [15,16]. For the present work, the model was used to obtain detailed radiation spectra from ^{135}La , especially for very low-energy Auger electrons and X-rays. Prescher et al. [17], and Tárkányi et al. [18] determined the production cross-sections for ^{135}La during proton irradiation of ^{nat}Ba at energies ranging from 12-70 MeV. Evident in their data is the fact that ^{135}La is the primary radionuclide with half-life longer than a few minutes produced in the proton-induced reactions on natural barium at energies available on most medical cyclotrons.

In this work, we detail the properties of ^{135}La as a radiotherapeutic nuclide. The practical considerations of production, purification, and radiolabeling are experimentally determined and optimized for the chelator DTPA (diethylenetriaminepentacetic acid). Additionally, reevaluations of the Auger and X-ray

emission spectra are presented along with a calculation of the cellular S -factors and a dosimetry comparison to the commonly used radiotherapeutic isotopes ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y .

Materials and Methods:

General

All reagents were obtained from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. All water was 18 M Ω MilliQ-grade (Sartorius). Hydrochloric acid (HCl) solutions were diluted from 37% aq. HCl (Fluka TraceSelect) with water. pH was determined by pH paper (PEHANON 1-12 and 4-9). Gamma spectroscopy was performed on a Princeton Gammatech LGC 5 germanium detector, calibrated using certified ^{133}Ba and ^{152}Eu sources.

Cyclotron Production of ^{135}La from ^{nat}Ba

Chunks of dendritically distilled metallic barium (99.99% trace metal grade) totaling 314-550 mg were pressed with a hydraulic press (20 kN/cm 2) into a 9 mm diameter x 3 mm deep divot in a 28 mm diameter x 5 mm thick silver disc. The barium was immediately covered with either 100 μm aluminum or 25 μm niobium foil to reduce the exposure to atmospheric oxygen, and placed into a target holder supplying direct water cooling to the backside of the silver. A rough schematic of the target and target holder can be seen in a paper by Severin et al. [19]. The target holder was mounted onto a PETtrace cyclotron (PT800 General Electric) and irradiated at 90 $^\circ$ (normal) incidence with 16.5 MeV protons at 15 μA for 235-280 min. Owing to the co-production of short-lived ^{134}La ($t_{1/2} = 6.5$ min) and ^{136}La ($t_{1/2} = 9.9$ min), and slightly longer-lived ^{132}La ($t_{1/2} = 4.5$ h) and ^{133}La ($t_{1/2} = 3.9$ h), the targets were allowed to decay for 12-24 hours before further handling.

In order to determine the production rates of ^{135}La and the other longer-lived co-produced radioisotopes (^{132}La , ^{133}La and $^{135\text{m}}\text{Ba}$), a single thick target of Ba totaling 472 mg was irradiated at 30 μA for 227 minutes. This target was dissolved 19.8 hours post irradiation in 5 mL 1.2 M HCl, transferred to a plastic vial, and the radionuclidic contents were quantified by gamma spectroscopy.

Purification of ^{135}La

The cover foil was removed and the silver disc mounted in a dissolution chamber allowing the barium to be dissolved with 2 mL 4 M aq. HCl. After complete dissolution of the ^{nat}Ba , the solution was transferred to a vial along with 1-2 mL of water to rinse. Adding the water also served to dissolve any additional white precipitate present after oxidation of Ba in HCl/water. Therefore, concentrated HCl was added to the dissolved target to bring the HCl concentration to 1 M acidity in a final volume of 4 mL. The solution

was then heated at 70 °C for at least 30 min. The pH was adjusted to ~6 with 5 mL HEPES buffer (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) (1 M, pH = 7.3, HCl/NaOH adj.) and NaOH (1 M) and passed over 100 mg CM resin (Waters *Accell* Plus CM weak cation exchange resin) packed in a 4 mm inner diameter column with polyethylene frits in order to trap the ^{135}La . The CM resin had been prepped by sequential washing with 5 mL acetonitrile, 5 mL 0.1 M HCl, 10 mL water, and 3 mL 1 M HEPES pH 7.3. After trapping the ^{135}La , the resin was washed with 25 mL of water. Finally, the column was eluted with 1 mL 0.1 M HCl to obtain the purified ^{135}La .

Specific activity measurements

Analysis by ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy) was performed on the samples to determine the non-radioactive, competitive metal content. The trace metals were quantified using a ThermoScientific iCAP 6000 Series instrument with iTeva software. The spectrometer was calibrated against standard solutions containing La, Ba, Cr, Mn, Co, Fe, Zn and Cu, which were prepared by dissolution and dilution of chloride salts of the tested metals in 0.3 M HCl. Samples for analysis were likewise diluted in 0.3 M HCl.

The effective molar activity was determined experimentally *via* titration of the purified ^{135}La with DTPA. DTPA solutions were prepared in water by serial dilution to make concentrations spanning 8-5000 nM. From these, 400 μL of each concentration was moved to an Eppendorf tube and buffered by addition of 100 μL of HEPES (1 M, pH = 7.4). The molar amount of DTPA used in the titration ranged 3.2-2000 pmol in 5 steps. To each of these Eppendorf tubes, 10 μL of 0.1 M HCl containing 3.5-4.5 MBq of ^{135}La was added bringing the final pH to ~7 measured on pH paper. The titrations were performed in duplicate for each separation ($n = 3$) with one reacting at room temperature and the other reacting at 70 °C. After 30 minutes, the reactions were analyzed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) performed on aluminum-backed silica (Merck TLC silica gel 60 F254), eluted with 5% (w/v) ammonium acetate in a 1:1 mixture of methanol and water. In this system La-DTPA moves with the eluent, while un-chelated La^{3+} remained at the origin. TLC plates were analyzed by autoradiography on a Cyclone Plus Storage Phosphor Scanner (PerkinElmer) and data analysis was performed using OptiQuant software (PerkinElmer). The reaction showing the chelation ratio closest to 50% was used to determine the amount of DTPA needed to chelate 100% of the added activity and thus the effective specific activity.

X-ray and Auger emission spectra

The initial-vacancy distribution and the energy spectra of X-rays and Auger electrons following the decays of isolated ^{135}La atoms were calculated according to the methodology presented by Lee et al. [16]

with 10^5 Monte Carlo simulated decays. Both the condensed phase and isolated atom models were used for determining the cascade distributions.

Dosimetry

On a cellular scale, dosimetry was treated in two ways: first by use of MIRDCell, a formalism developed by MIRD (Medical Internal Radiation Dose) for calculation of cellular S-values [20,21]; and second by the COOLER code [22]. The S-value is defined as the absorbed dose in the target structure from a radioactive decay in the source structure, typically given in the unit Gy/(Bq·s) and denoted as $S(\text{Target} \leftarrow \text{Source})$. In this case, the target was taken to be the cell nucleus. Contributions to the nucleus (N) from the nucleus (N), $S(\text{N} \leftarrow \text{N})$, from the cytoplasm (Cy), $S(\text{N} \leftarrow \text{Cy})$, and from the cell surface (CS), $S(\text{N} \leftarrow \text{CS})$, were separately determined. In this work, we performed MIRD-based calculations, totally within the MIRD framework by taking the individual electron branches (Table 2), evaluating them in MIRDCell, and then summing the doses over all emissions [20]. MIRDCell was also used to calculate the cellular dosimetry of ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y . This gave the MIRD cellular S-values as proxies for the cellular dosimetry for all three isotopes (depending on the target size and source distribution). For the calculations we chose a cell radius of 7 μm and nucleus radius of 5 μm . This allowed comparison with the COOLER formalism (the COOLER V79 cell setting has radii of 7.1 and 5.2 μm , respectively). COOLER is a new cellular dosimetry approach that uses Monte-Carlo derived stopping powers (based on PARTRAC simulations [23]). This is in contrast to MIRDCell which uses Cole's electron ranges to derive an electron stopping power [22,24]. The Monte-Carlo derived stopping powers generally result in altered dose distributions, especially for electrons with energies in the range of 5-35 keV, coinciding with the important Auger branches of the lanthanides.

In order to predict how a heterogeneously targeted tumor of macroscopic dimensions would receive dose across many cell diameters, electron dose kernels were calculated in two different ways. First, by taking the full electron emission spectrum (including Augers, nuclear beta emissions, and conversion electrons [13,14,25]) and folding it with the range-versus-energy relationship from Cole. The dose-point kernel was calculated in MATLAB for ^{135}La , ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y . The calculation was performed using a step-size of 0.2 μm . Second, the electron dose-point kernel of ^{135}La was also calculated using the COOLER formalism.

Results:

Cyclotron Production of ^{135}La from ^{nat}Ba

When pressing the barium into the target holder, it was important to move quickly to limit the exposure of the barium to air. Within the minimal (~1 minute) pressing time, a white film was observed to form over the normally shiny barium. The pressed target appeared smooth, and likely had very limited surface area after pressing, as compared to the dendritic chunks. In most experiments, no discoloration or alteration of the target surface was observed after irradiation at a target current of 15 μA . Both aluminum (100 μm) and niobium (25 μm) were tested as front foil materials, and we did not observe any qualitative difference in target behavior between the two.

The end-of-saturation bombardment (EOSB) yields for the nuclides ($t_{1/2} > 3 \text{ h}$) produced during proton irradiation of $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ba}$ at 15.8 MeV (after degradation in the aluminum cover foil) are given in Table 1.

nuclide	$t_{1/2}$ (h)	EOSB (MBq/ μA)
^{135}La	18.9	429
$^{135\text{m}}\text{Ba}$	28.8	4
^{133}La	3.9	29
* ^{132}La	4.6	5

Table 1: Thick target EOSB yields for proton irradiation of $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ba}$. Only nuclides with $t_{1/2} > 3 \text{ h}$ are listed. *) ^{132}La is produced both directly and via decay of the co-produced $^{132\text{m}}\text{La}$ isomer. The number presented here reflects the amount of ^{132}La produced in total after all $^{132\text{m}}\text{La}$ has decayed to the ground state. Half-lives were obtained from refs: [11,13,26].

Shorter irradiations produced a proportionately larger amount of the short-lived impurities. These short-lived impurities will, at longer irradiation times, approach saturation and constitute a smaller proportion of the total radioactivity. Therefore the radionuclidic purity of ^{135}La (with respect to the decay rate of the other lanthanum isotopes) increases with irradiation time as long as the $^{135\text{m}}\text{Ba}$ impurity is chemically removed.

Target dissolution and purification of ^{135}La on Accell Plus CM resin

Dissolution of the irradiated $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ba}$ was always rapid (3-5 min) in the HCl solution. The trapping efficiency of ^{135}La on the small column of CM resin was >99%. Several loading conditions were tested: with or without the 30 minute heating step, and both ammonium acetate and HEPES buffers were tested: each at pH 4 and 6. It was observed that the 30-minute heating at 70 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ greatly improved trapping. When omitting this step loading efficiencies of only 50% were observed. Additionally, by re-acidifying and

heating any washed-through solution it was possible to retain all previously untrapped activity on a second column (further illustrating the importance of heating). When comparing the two buffers, ammonium acetate and HEPES, it was found that HEPES at pH = 6 resulted in higher trapping efficiency. The 0.1 M hydrochloric acid elution (1 mL) was >99% efficient at releasing the ^{135}La .

Chemical purity and molar activity measurements

ICP-OES analysis revealed the concentration of metal contaminants in the final elution. Barium was still present at a concentration of $3.68 \pm 0.09 \mu\text{g}/\text{MBq}$. Cr, Mn and Fe were measured in concentrations of $1.64 \pm 1.05 \text{ ng}/\text{MBq}$, $1.19 \pm 0.81 \text{ ng}/\text{MBq}$ and $1.57 \pm 0.33 \text{ ng}/\text{MBq}$, respectively. Using the final barium concentration, the separation factor was 138 ± 36 . In principle, the barium removal could also have been verified by the absence of coproduced $^{135\text{m}}\text{Ba}$, as this isotope is not formed by the decay of ^{135}La . However, the sensitivity and specificity of the gamma spectroscopy was not sufficient to detect the remaining low level of barium.

The molar activity of ^{135}La determined by stable lanthanum assay on ICP-OES was over-optimistic for the expected labelling efficiency. This is because any other lanthanide, or similar hard metal ion impurity, could compete for labelling positions on vectors. Instead, the effective molar activity was assessed by thin-layer chromatography analysis of DTPA titrations. In all cases, a small amount (10-15%) of ^{135}La remained at the origin of the TLC sheet no matter how large the excess of DTPA. This was believed to be due to formation of an inert lanthanum complex in the labeling solution, but the exact nature of the immobile ^{135}La was not determined. Assuming 10% of the ^{135}La was thermodynamically unavailable, the DTPA titrations showed an effective molar activity of $70.4 \pm 20.0 \text{ GBq}/\mu\text{mol}$.

X-ray and Auger emission spectra

The initial-vacancy distribution for ^{135}Ba following the electron capture (EC) and internal conversion (IC) processes was calculated, and as expected >99.9% of the initial vacancies created in atomic shells were due to EC. The resulting total K vacancy probability was 84.9% and the total L vacancy probability was 11.9%. Internal conversion and electron capture processes in higher shells constituted the remaining 3.2% of vacancies.

The calculated X-ray and Auger spectra following the decay of ^{135}La in the condensed-phase approximation are shown in figure 2. The Auger-cascade simulations give only a small difference in the resulting Auger branching ratios between the condensed-phase (with continuous filling of the outermost vacancies) and isolated-atom approximations. The dose-point kernels derived from the two approximations were found to slightly differ from each other only in the first 100 nm [27]. For the

purpose of dosimetry and possible therapeutic use, the effect is negligible and the condensed phase spectra were used for dosimetry calculations.

Figure 2 Histograms (bin-size 1 eV) showing the X-ray (red) and Auger (blue) kinetic energy spectra following the decay of ^{135}La atoms in the condensed-phase approximation of BrlccEmis. These results are tabulated in Table 2.

Yields per decay and mean energies of the main groups of Auger electrons are summarized in Table 2. The BrlccEmis derived spectrum is shown in Figure 2. Total energies released through X-rays and Auger electrons per decay, are 25.8 and 6.45 keV, respectively. There are on average 10.6 Auger electrons per decay (>97% of total) that have energies less than 4 keV. The maximum range of these very low-energy electrons is <0.5 μm in water [28].

	Yield /decay	Mean energy (keV)
Auger KLL	0.055	26.3
Auger KLX	0.025	31.0
Auger KXY	0.003	35.6
CK LLX	0.131	0.333
Auger LMM	0.580	3.53
Auger LMX	0.185	4.33

Auger LXY	0.014	5.15
CK MMX	0.634	0.104
Auger MXY	1.59	0.538
Super-CK NNN	0.277	0.007
CK NNX	1.33	0.049
Auger NXY	4.27	0.039
CK OOX	1.78	0.009
Auger OXY	0.023	0.030
Total	10.9	0.592

Table 2: Auger average spectrum following ^{135}La decay, the standard deviation in the total number of electrons ejected per decay is $3.2\text{ e}^-/(\text{Bq s})$.

X-ray	Yield /decay	Mean energy (keV)
$\text{K}\alpha_1$	0.404	32.3
$\text{K}\alpha_2$	0.220	31.9
$\text{K}\beta_1$	0.075	36.5
$\text{K}\beta_2$	0.024	37.4
$\text{K}\beta_3$	0.039	36.4
$\text{K}\beta_4$	<0.001	37.5
$\text{K}\beta_5$	<0.001	36.8
KO^*	0.003	37.6
L	0.098	4.71
M	0.007	0.711
N	<0.001	0.123
Total	0.871	29.6
*All other K X-rays.		

Table 3. X-ray average spectrum following ^{135}La decay.

Dosimetry

The S-factors derived in this work are given in Table 4. From these results, it is clear that the calculated cellular S-factors based on the BrIccEmis derived Auger spectrum of ^{135}La are different from those obtained when using the spectrum from NuDat 2 [14]. The BrIccEmis derived spectrum yields higher $\text{S}(\text{N} \leftarrow \text{N})$ but lower $\text{S}(\text{N} \leftarrow \text{Cy})$ and $\text{S}(\text{N} \leftarrow \text{CS})$. These changes follow from the fact that the BrIccEmis

derived spectrum has a higher overall yield of low-energy Auger electrons and a slightly lower overall yield of the higher-energy K-shell Auger electrons. The low-energy emissions are more dose-intensive when inside the cell nucleus, while the higher energy emissions are more effective at supplying dose to the nucleus when they are localized in cytoplasm and on cell surfaces. This is because low energy emissions have a lower probability of reaching the nucleus from these compartments.

The S-factors calculated using the COOLER formalism show increased dose for the compartments (N←Cy) and (N←CS), 38% and 89% respectively while (N←N) showed a 5% decrease, using this newly calculated spectrum as compared to the MIRDCell formalism.

Comparing the dose delivered from ¹³⁵La to that of ¹⁷⁷Lu and ⁹⁰Y, it is seen that for (N←N), ¹³⁵La delivers a higher dose to the nucleus than ¹⁷⁷Lu and ⁹⁰Y. However, this is not the case when the decay occurs in the cytoplasm or on the cell surface. Comparing the new ¹³⁵La spectrum to ¹⁷⁷Lu, the dose ratio is 4 and 5.5 for the compartments (N←Cy) and (N←CS) respectively. This means that four disintegrations of ¹³⁵La are needed to deliver absorbed dose, equal to that associated with a single disintegration of ¹⁷⁷Lu in the cytoplasm. For ⁹⁰Y the dose ratios are 1.3 and 2, respectively. However, the number of interest when assessing the potential of a therapeutic isotope is not the dose delivered per disintegration, but the target-to-normal ratio i.e., the dose delivered to the target divided by the dose delivered to normal tissue.

S-value	MIRDCell		COOLER	
	BrIccEmis	NuDat 2 spectrum	BrIccEmis	NuDat 2 spectrum
S(N←N)	1.29E-03	9.51E-04	1.23E-03	9.45E-04
S(N←Cy)	6.81E-05	7.06E-05	9.39E-05	1.02E-04
S(N←CS)	3.09E-05	3.46E-05	5.84E-05	6.41E-05

Table 4: Comparison of the cellular S-factors [Gy/(Bq*s)] for ¹³⁵La calculated in MIRDCell (left) and COOLER (right) using the new Monte Carlo based Auger spectrum and, as reference, the input spectrum available in the NuDat 2 database [14].

S-value	COOLER	MIRDCell	
	¹³⁵ La BrIccEmis	¹⁷⁷ Lu MIRD	⁹⁰ Y MIRD
S(N←N)	1.23E-03	1.05E-03	2.54E-04
S(N←Cy)	9.39E-05	2.78E-04	9.09E-05
S(N←CS)	5.84E-05	1.72E-04	6.15E-05

Table 5 A comparison of the cellular S-factor [Gy/(Bq*s)] of ^{135}La calculated in COOLER, to those of the traditional β -emitting therapeutic radionuclides ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y calculated in MIRDCell.

The relative merit of ^{135}La for single cell or small cell-cluster therapy as compared with the “standard” therapeutic nuclides ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y is obvious in Figure 3. It shows the fraction of emitted electron energy absorbed within spheres of ever growing radii. Only ^{135}La is treated using both the COOLER and the Cole stopping power approach, seeing that the COOLER formalism, at present, is not capable of handling the high electron energies associated with ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y decay (currently limited to 50 keV).

Figure 3 Fraction of electron kinetic energy absorbed within spheres as function of sphere radius. The energy deposition is calculated using the Cole stopping power as stated and used in the introduction of MIRD Cellular S-values [24], with exception of the “La-135 Cooler” curve (red) which was calculated using the COOLER code. The input for the continuous beta spectra are taken from RADAR[29] (^{90}Y and ^{177}Lu), the conversion- and Auger electrons from NuDat 2, except for ^{135}La , where the new, calculated Auger spectrum is used. Photons, including bremsstrahlung, are omitted.

Figure 3 however, hides the full impact of the Auger emissions from ^{135}La . In very small spheres (radius less than the diameter of cell nucleus) surrounding a ^{135}La decay, the local dose is very high. This can be seen in Figure 4 which shows the dose-point kernel for ^{135}La as calculated with the new spectrum and both the COOLER and the Cole stopping power formalisms.

Figure 4: Dose-point kernels for ^{135}La Auger electron emissions (X-rays are not included) for both the COOLER and Cole/MIRD methodologies. In both cases, the calculations were performed using the Auger spectrum obtained from the BrIccEmis simulation.

Discussion:

The Auger emitter ^{135}La is a potential radionuclide for targeted internal therapy. From the view of production and purification, the route via proton irradiation of $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ba}$ is straightforward. Clearly, the rate of production and the radionuclidic purity of ^{135}La could be improved by irradiating enriched ^{135}Ba , but further target development would be required to allow either irradiation of a barium oxide or salt, or to accommodate reduction of recycled $^{135}\text{Ba}^{2+}$ after the separation procedure. While the overall separation factor achieved in this report is not impressive $\sim 10^2$, the fact that high labeling effective specific activity is obtained demonstrates that rigorous separation is not critical. Here, the measured effective specific activity is a positive indicator for the expected labeling yield. The value measured by DTPA titration of $(70.4 \pm 20.0 \text{ GBq}/\mu\text{mol})$ corresponds well with what would be expected from the ICP analysis. If, for some applications, higher effective specific activities are required, an additional step to ensure the removal of iron and manganese could be employed [30]. It should be noted that the exact impurity profile of competing metals will depend on the initial purity of the barium stock used.

When turning to the emissions of ^{135}La , we show the results of calculations using the BrIccEmis code from Lee et al. [16]. Importantly this method accounts for multiple vacancies during the cascade. Notably, if the atomic transition energies are approximated using neutral binding energies, and thereby neglecting the effect of multiple vacancies, this could give rise to multiple energies for a given atomic transition due

to the stochastic nature of the Auger cascade. Falzone & Lee et al. showed that MIRD RADTABS disagree with the experimental L-Auger spectrum of ^{131}Cs and demonstrated that the theoretical L-Auger energy spectrum of ^{131}Cs agreed with experiment only when the effect of multiple vacancies was taken into account [27,31].

In the present case, the use of the newly calculated Auger spectrum does not result in dramatically different cellular dosimetry. However, the exact shape of the spectrum at low energies can become extremely important as cellular and subcellular targeting becomes more exact. This is because the expected biological effect of Auger emitters may not solely rely on the dose, but also on the RBE of the low energy electrons. An important part of the Auger emitter concept is the expectation of an RBE larger than that of conventional gamma or beta irradiation. RBE is the measure used to compare different types of radiation gray-to-gray, assessing the biological damage done. A common measure for the biological damage is DNA double-strand breaks, which are potentially more likely to occur in close proximity to the decay site of an Auger emitter. For higher energy electron and beta emissions ($> 20\text{ keV}$), creating a double-strand break with a single pass of an electron is highly unlikely because the mean path length between subsequent ionization events is much larger than the distance between the DNA strands. Therefore multiple electrons stemming from multiple decays have to pass through or get in close proximity to the same area of the DNA strand to create a double-strand break. With an Auger emitter this is not the case. Due to the multiple electrons emitted in a single decay ($10.9 \pm 3.2/\text{decay}$ for ^{135}La) only a single decay is potentially enough to cause the double-strand break if the decay occurs close to the DNA. Additionally, the decaying atom would find itself highly ionized due to multiple emissions of Auger electrons and thus could be highly oxidizing to the immediate environment. From a physical perspective, these two factors combined should result in an RBE much higher than 1, meaning more effective therapy per gray deposited. A recent paper has described how the cell surface is more radiosensitive than assumed in MIRD [32]. The calculations presented in this paper only consider dose to the nucleus, however incorporating the cell surface as a sensitive target would make the case for ^{135}La even stronger, seeing that this would decrease the importance of internalization.

It is also important to understand that the low amount energy emitted per decay of ^{135}La does not preclude effective therapy. For therapeutic benefit, the relevant metric is not the absolute dose-per-decay but the ratio of the dose absorbed by the target to the dose absorbed by the surrounding healthy tissue. Commonly, the limiting factor is the absorbed dose in healthy tissue immediately surrounding the targeted cell, or the absorbed dose in clearance organs. When considering the absorbed dose to the immediate surroundings, the benefit of using ^{135}La is clear from Figure 3 and Figure 4, where the absence

of higher energy beta electrons and the limited Auger-electron range of ^{135}La results in dramatically reduced dose beyond one cell diameter. As is the case with any Auger emitter, there are clear benefits of using ^{135}La when the target being treated is very small.

Conclusion:

A method has been developed allowing the production of clinically relevant amounts of ^{135}La using medical cyclotrons. The developed purification method is fast, robust and essentially loss-less. ^{135}La has a well-suited half-life for therapy. The calculated cellular dosimetry shows that the emissions from ^{135}La lead to cellular S-values that are promising for internal radionuclide therapy of very small targets, with dosimetry superior to ^{177}Lu and ^{90}Y at single-cell dimension. This along with the mounting evidence of Auger emitters having an RBE > 1 strongly motivates further research in application of Auger emitters in treatment of single cancerous cells and micro-metastasis.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under Grant 602820 (Mathias), and by the Australian Research Council Discovery Grant DP14 0103317.

References

- [1] Pfeifer AK, Gregersen T, Grønbaek H, Hansen CP, Müller-Brand J, Herskind Bruun K, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with ^{90}Y -DOTATOC and ^{177}Lu -DOTATOC in advanced neuroendocrine tumors: Results from a Danish cohort treated in Switzerland. *Neuroendocrinology* 2011;93:189–96. doi:10.1159/000324096.
- [2] de Jong M. Combination Radionuclide Therapy Using ^{177}Lu - and ^{90}Y -Labeled Somatostatin Analogs. *J Nucl Med* 2005;46:13–7.
- [3] Müller C, Reber J, Haller S, Dorrer H, Köster U, Johnston K, et al. Folate receptor targeted alpha-therapy using terbium-149. *Pharmaceuticals* 2014;7:353–65. doi:10.3390/ph7030353.
- [4] Müller C, Reber J, Haller S, Dorrer H, Bernhardt P, Zhernosekov K, et al. Direct in vitro and in vivo comparison of ^{161}Tb and ^{177}Lu using a tumour-targeting folate conjugate. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2014;41:476–85. doi:10.1007/s00259-013-2563-z.
- [5] Müller C, Zhernosekov K, Köster U, Johnston K, Dorrer H, Hohn A, et al. A unique matched quadruplet of terbium radioisotopes for PET and SPECT and for α - and β - radionuclide therapy: an in vivo proof-of-concept study with a new receptor-targeted folate derivative. *J Nucl Med*

- 2012;53:1951–9. doi:10.2967/jnumed.112.107540.
- [6] Grünberg J, Lindenblatt D, Dorrer H, Cohrs S, Zhernosekov K, Köster U, et al. Anti-L1CAM radioimmunotherapy is more effective with the radiolanthanide terbium-161 compared to lutetium-177 in an ovarian cancer model. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2014;41:1907–15. doi:10.1007/s00259-014-2798-3.
- [7] Kassis AI. Molecular and cellular radiobiological effects of Auger emitting radionuclides. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry* 2011;143:241–7. doi:10.1093/rpd/ncq385.
- [8] Howell RW, Kassis AI, Adelstein SJ, Rao D V, Wright HA, Hamm RN, et al. Radiotoxicity of platinum-195m-labeled trans-platinum (II) in mammalian cells. *Radiat Res* 1994;140:55–62. doi:10.2307/3578568.
- [9] Azure MT, Sastry KSR, Archer RD, Howell RW, Rao D V. Microscale Synthesis of Carboplatin Labels with the Auger Emitter Platinum-193m: Radiotoxicity Versus Chemotoxicity of the Antitumor Drug in Mammalian Cells. *AAPM Symp. Ser. No.8*, 1992.
- [10] Kassis AI. Cancer therapy with Auger electrons: are we almost there? *J Nucl Med* 2003;44:1479–81.
- [11] Abel EP, Clause HK, Fonslet J, Nickles RJ, Severin GW. The Half-lives of ^{132}La and ^{135}La . *arXiv.org* 2017:1–11.
- [12] Fonslet J, Tran T, Quan-Lee B, Severin G. ^{135}La for Auger-based therapy: preparation, imaging and emissions. *J Label Compd Radiopharm* 2015;58:S24.
- [13] Singh B, Rodionov AA, Khazov YL. Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 135$. *Nucl Data Sheets* 2008;109:517–698. doi:10.1016/j.nds.2008.02.001.
- [14] Nudat 2 n.d. <http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/> (accessed May 30, 2017).
- [15] Lee BQ, Kibédi T, Stuchbery E, Robertson K. Atomic radiations in the decay of medical radioisotopes: a physics perspective. *Comput Math Methods Med* 2012;2012:651475. doi:10.1155/2012/651475.
- [16] Lee BQ, Nikjoo H, Ekman J, Jönsson P, Stuchbery AE, Kibédi T. A stochastic cascade model for Auger-electron emitting radionuclides. *Int J Radiat Biol* 2016;92:641–53. doi:10.3109/09553002.2016.1153810.
- [17] Prescher K, Peiffer F, Stueck R, Michel R, Bodemann R, Rao MN, et al. Thin-target cross sections of proton-induced reactions on barium and solar cosmic ray production rates of xenon-isotopes in lunar surface materials. *Nucl Inst Methods Phys Res B* 1991;53:105–21. doi:10.1016/0168-

- 398 583X(91)95645-T.
- 399 [18] Tárkányi F, Ditrói F, Király B, Takács S, Hermanne A, Yamazaki H, et al. Study of activation
400 cross sections of proton induced reactions on barium: Production of ^{131}Ba ^{131}Cs . *Appl Radiat*
401 *Isot* 2010;68:1869–77. doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2010.03.010.
- 402 [19] Severin GW, Gagnon K, Engle JW, Valdovinos HF, Barnhart TE, Nickles RJ. ^{44}gSc from metal
403 calcium targets for PET. *AIP Conf Proc* 2012;1509:125–8. doi:10.1063/1.4773953.
- 404 [20] Vaziri B, Wu H, Dhawan AP, Du P, Howell RW. MIRD pamphlet No. 25: MIRDcell V2.0
405 software tool for dosimetric analysis of biologic response of multicellular populations. *J Nucl Med*
406 2014;55:1557–64. doi:10.2967/jnumed.113.131037.
- 407 [21] Goddu SM, Howell RW, Rao D V. Cellular dosimetry: absorbed fractions for monoenergetic
408 electron and alpha particle sources and S-values for radionuclides uniformly distributed in
409 different cell compartments. *J Nucl Med* 1994;35:303–16.
- 410 [22] Siragusa M, Baiocco G, Fredericia PM, Friedland W, Groesser T, Ottolenghi A, et al. The
411 COOLER Code: A Novel Analytical Approach to Calculate Subcellular Energy Deposition by
412 Internal Electron Emitters. *Radiat Res* 2017;188:204–20. doi:10.1667/RR14683.1.
- 413 [23] Friedland W, Dingfelder M, Kunderát P, Jacob P. Track structures, DNA targets and radiation
414 effects in the biophysical Monte Carlo simulation code PARTRAC. *Mutat Res - Fundam Mol*
415 *Mech Mutagen* 2011;711:28–40. doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2011.01.003.
- 416 [24] Cole A. Absorption of 20-eV to 50,000-eV Electron Beams in Air and Plastic. *Radiat Res*
417 1969;38:7. doi:10.2307/3572707.
- 418 [25] Lee BQ, Kibédi T, Stuchbery AE. Auger yield calculations for medical radioisotopes. *EPJ Web*
419 *Conf* 2015;91:7. doi:10.1051/epjconf/20159100007.
- 420 [26] Khazov Y, Rodionov A, Kondev FG. Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 133$. *Nucl Data Sheets*
421 2011;112:855–1113. doi:10.1016/j.nds.2011.03.001.
- 422 [27] Falzone N, Lee BQ, Fernandez-Varea JM, Kartsonaki C, Stuchbery AE, Kibedi T, et al. Absorbed
423 dose evaluation of Auger electron-emitting radionuclides: impact of input decay spectra on dose
424 point kernels and S -values. *Phys Med Biol* 2017;62:2239–53. doi:10.1088/1361-6560/aa5aa4.
- 425 [28] Emfietzoglou D, Nikjoo H. Accurate electron inelastic cross sections and stopping powers for
426 liquid water over the 0.1-10 keV range based on an improved dielectric description of the Bethe
427 surface. *Radiat Res* 2007;167:110–20. doi:10.1667/RR0551.1.
- 428 [29] RADAR Home n.d. <http://www.doseinfo-radar.com/RADARHome.html> (accessed May 30, 2017).

- 1
2
3 429 [30] Fonslet J, Tietze S, Jensen AI, Graves SA, Severin GW. Optimized procedures for manganese-52:
4 430 Production, separation and radiolabeling. Appl Radiat Isot 2017;121:38–43.
5 431 doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2016.11.021.
6
7
8 432 [31] Eckerman KF, Endo A. MIRD: radionuclide data and decay schemes. Society of Nuclear
9 433 Medicine; 2008.
10
11 434 [32] Paillas S, Ladjohounlou R, Lozza C, Pichard A, Boudousq V, Jarlier M, et al. Localized
12 435 Irradiation of Cell Membrane by Auger Electrons Is Cytotoxic Through Oxidative Stress-Mediated
13 436 Nontargeted Effects. Antioxid Redox Signal 2016;25:467–84. doi:10.1089/ars.2015.6309.
14
15
16
17 437
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60