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ABSTRACT

To provide greater transparency and comprehensive information to consumers regarding their purchase choices, the European Parliament and Council have mandated that foods containing engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) be labelled, via Regulation No. 1169/2011. This paper reviews the main concerns related to the use of ENMs in foods and discusses the potential impacts of labeling foods containing ENMs on diverse stakeholder groups, including those residing in non-European countries including the United States (US). We also provide recommendations to stakeholders to overcome existing challenges related to labeling foods containing ENMs. We find that the revised European food labeling requirements will likely result in a number of positive developments as well as a number of challenges for stakeholders in both European and non-EU countries. While labeling foods containing ENMs will likely improve transparency and information to facilitate consumer decisions, also potentially building trust among food safety authorities and consumers, there are a number of critical obstacles to overcome prior to the successful implementation of these labeling requirements. These include the need for i) harmonized information requirements or regulations between countries residing in different regions of the world, ii) clarification on the regulatory definitions of ENMs to be used for food labeling, iii) robust techniques to detect, measure, and characterize diverse ENMs in food matrices, and iv) clarification on the list of ENMs that may be exempt from labeling requirements such as several food additives used for decades. It is recommended that food industries and food safety authorities be more proactive in communicating to the public and consumer groups regarding the potential benefits and risks of using ENMs in foods. It is also recommended that efforts are made to improve harmonization of information requirements between countries to avoid potential international trade barriers.
INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, there has been an increase in the number of consumer products available which contain engineered nanomaterials (ENMs), including those within agriculture and food sectors (3, 27, 71). The use of ENMs in foods raises not only a number of scientific and regulatory challenges in terms of assessing their safety but also presents a number of ethical, social, and legal concerns. This is due to the extensive uncertainties related to characterizing the potential environmental, health, and safety risks of ENMs (49) and the fact that many ENMs exhibit unique functional properties related to their nano-scale sizes and may behave differently than bulk-scale materials (4, 11, 76). There are also a number of other issues including analytical challenges to detecting ENMs in complex matrices, questions on how to regulate ENMs in food (37, 50), and ethical and social concerns including issues of trust between consumer groups, industry, and food safety authorities.

Thus far, there have been various responses from stakeholder groups on how to handle the presence of ENMs in food. Some consumer groups have called for a moratorium on the production and sale of products containing ENMs (46) or agricultural practices involving ENMs.

---

1 While there are varying definitions of the term “engineered nanomaterial” among regulatory agencies and international organizations (e.g. 52), the European Commission (EC) has defined a “nanomaterial” as particles in an unbound or as aggregate or agglomerate with greater than 50% of particles with an external dimension 1-100nm, regardless of origin (EC No 2011/696/EU) (16). EU Regulation on food information for consumers (EC No 1169/2011) provides a different definition of an ENM stating that “engineered nanomaterial means any intentionally produced material that has one or more dimensions of the order of 100nm or less or that is composed of discrete functional parts, either internally or at the surface, many of which have one or more dimensions of the order of 100nm or less, including structures, agglomerates or aggregates, which may have a size above the order of 100nm but retain properties that are characteristic of the nanoscale. Properties that are characteristic of the nanoscale include: (i) those related to the large specific surface area of the materials considered; and/or (ii) specific physico-chemical properties that are different from those of the non-nanoform of the same material.”
The Members of the European Parliament (MEP) recently called for a moratorium on nano-foods based on the precautionary principle, citing that foods that contain ENMs should not be authorized until approved by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (36). The US Department of Agriculture (USDA) also recently issued a policy memorandum clarifying the use of nanotechnology and ENMs in organic food production and handling, stating that ENMs are not allowed in organic production and handling unless a number of provisions are made (103).

In response, the European Parliament and the Council revised Europe’s food labeling requirements (EU Regulation 1169/2011) to provide comprehensive information to consumers regarding their purchase choices. Part of these requirements mandate that foods containing ENMs are labelled, as denoted by ‘nano’ preceding the name of the ingredient in the list of ingredients (20, 21, 22, 35). These changes were effective as of December 2014. While these requirements are restricted to foods sold within the EU, it is likely to have consequences in non-EU countries including the United States (US). This paper first reviews the main concerns related to ENMs in food and then highlights and discusses the potential impacts of labelling foods containing ENMs on diverse stakeholder groups. Finally, recommendations are provided to help ensure the responsible development and use ENMs in foods, especially since this is often an overarching goal of nanotechnology strategic development (48, 75). This paper focuses on ENMs in foods, food supplements, and food additives which are intended for direct consumption rather than food packaging, ENM/nanotech-enabled food safety detection equipment, or the use of ENMs as biocides or pesticides. As emerging technologies will continue to be developed ahead of full scientific knowledge and within changing regulatory landscapes, this paper will help guide informed decisions on a potentially complex and controversial topic for diverse stakeholders.
This analysis is primarily based on a comprehensive literature review of ENMs in foods, including aspects of toxicology, safety, risk, regulation, food labeling, public perception, and consumer behavior. Numerous peer reviewed scientific journal articles, reports from regulatory agencies, reports from non-governmental organizations, as well as additional publicly available literature such as news releases and conference proceedings were reviewed. In addition, the authors’ own experience and background within fields of ENM risk, safety, toxicology, food policy and research, and regulation were also employed.

THE USE OF ENGINEERED NANOMATERIALS (ENMS) IN FOODS

Because ENMs often display novel properties and functionalities compared to their bulk scale counterparts, e.g. increased reactivity, optical, electrical properties (101), there has been increased interest in using these novel materials in a range of consumer products and applications including the food sector (3, 8, 27, 66, 67, 71, 88, 96). It was estimated in 2008 that more than 200 companies were involved in research and development efforts of foods containing ENMs, across the fields of agriculture, dietary supplements, engineering, processing, and packaging (8). Some have also estimated that 40% of all businesses within the food industry will be using nanotechnology by the end of 2015, including many large international food companies (46). To date, ENMs are used in food in four main categories: i) processing or production of foodstuffs to form nanostructured materials, ii) nano-sized encapsulations or engineered nano-sized additives, iii) ENMs in food packaging such as in plastic polymers, and iv) ENM or nanotechnology-based applications for food safety and traceability (8, 9, 67). ENMs are also used in agricultural production and animal feeds, including feed additives, veterinary drugs, biocides, and fertilizers (27). In terms of the use of ENMs in food processing, ENMs have been used to improve taste, color, flavor, texture, consistency, and bioavailability of nutrients such as
the use of nano-emulsions or nutraceuticals to enhance taste or improve nutritional content. Various nano-scale food additives are also used to help improve the stability of the food, improve a certain food property, or to increase bioavailability or potency of nutrients (27). In food packaging and food safety applications, ENMs have been used to improve or strengthen food packaging (i.e., extend shelf life and/or be more protective) and improve food safety practices such as employment in contamination detection methods (8, 67).

The main types of ENMs used in foods are inorganic, organic, and surface functionalized materials (4). The use of inorganic ENMs include metals and metal oxides (e.g. silver, titanium dioxide, zinc oxide, gold, iron) as well as non-metals (e.g. selenium, silicates) (28, 67). The use of organic ENMs include nano-clays, often used as used in diffusion barriers used to improve the “air tightness” of food packaging (67), nano-encapsulates which includes micelles, nanocapsules, nanocarriers and nanoemulsions, and other types of ENMs such as cellulose and chitosan (27).

According to a number of analyses there are several hundred food products currently available or soon to be available to consumers which contain ENMs, which have been approved by food safety authorities even though their nano-scale dimensions may not always be well-accounted for or robustly considered in the approval process(es). A recent review of nanotechnology applications in food sectors reported 633 separate nanotechnology applications currently in use or expected in the near future using 55 different types of ENMs (27). This included 153 food additives, 51 unspecified food ingredients, 45 supplements, 5 novel foods, 1 enzyme, and 1 flavoring. Across these applications, the following types of ENMs were used: i) food additives consisting of nano-scale titanium dioxide, silica, zinc oxide, silver, nano-encapsulates, nano-composites, chitosan, and niacin; ii) food ingredients consisting of nano-scale silica and nano-encapsulates; iii) supplements consisting of nano-scale iron, silver, gold, nano-composites, and
nano-encapsulates; iv) novel foods consisting of nano-encapsulates; v) enzymes consisting of nano-encapsulates; and vi) flavorings consisting of unspecified ENMs. These findings are similar to other analyses, which found approximately 200 food and beverage products containing ENMs in 2013 (46, 80). Interestingly, a recent inventory of ENMs used in agricultural, food, and feed sectors reported that there may be a transition in foods away from metal based ENMs towards the use of organic ENMs including nano-encapsulates and nano-composites (27). Table 1 provides a selected list of ENMs currently available or soon to be on the market in foods.

The exact concentrations of ENMs used in foods is largely unknown, mainly due to the lack of reporting requirements and challenges related to detecting and verifying ENMs in foods (e.g. 93, 96, 101). One estimate suggests that dietary consumption of ENMs in foods within developed countries could be greater than 10^{12} particles/day, composed of both nano- and micro-sized particles and consisting mostly of titanium dioxide and mixed silicates (5). Another study investigating concentrations of titanium dioxide in various food products found concentrations up to 100 mg TiO_2/serving for powdered doughnuts and reported the greatest consumption among children 3.5-4.5 years old, followed by toddlers (2.5-3.5 yrs old) and younger toddlers (1.5-2.5 yrs old) and children 4-5 years old (107).

There are no established nano-specific exposure limits for ENMs in food neither in the EU, the US, nor elsewhere in the world, although limits on the bulk scale material do exist and used. This includes the EU’s maximum limit of 50 µg silver (Ag+) / kg food matrices (24) and FDA’s limit of 17 µg silver (Ag+) in bottled water (39). Moreover, the use of titanium dioxide as a color additive (E171) is allowed without a restricted maximum level at this time (31). While there are a few respiratory exposure limits set on some ENMs, e.g. exposure limits for nanoscale titanium
Among other potential health effects, several ENMs used in food applications (e.g. silver, titanium dioxide) have been shown to have antimicrobial properties which could impact microbiome communities in the gut (e.g. 10, 58). These changes may result in significant health
impacts, including impacts on critical functions including drug metabolism (83), nutrient uptake (58), colon function (2), behavior (70), and immune responses (95). Other impacts include responses to inflammatory bowel diseases (13), infectious diseases (99), and obesity (12). High levels of dietary uptake of ENMs have also been associated with compromised GI functioning such as Crohn’s disease (63), inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (79), autoimmune diseases and disorders (61), as well as cancer and immunomodulatory effects (1). Based on in vivo tests, ENMs have been shown to penetrate protective barriers within the body including the GI tract, become distributed in the body, and accumulate in different organs such as the lungs, liver, spleen, kidneys, etc. (60, 78). In in vivo studies, a range of adverse health effects have been documented including impacts on the pulmonary (97), cardiovascular (100), reproductive (55), and renal systems (45). Furthermore, other studies have shown that some ENMs may be capable of crossing blood-barriers (59, 60), and transfer ENMs to fetuses and offspring from exposed mothers via the placenta or milk (91).

Similar to other consumer products, there are a number of significant challenges to completing risk assessments for ENMs in food. Some of the main challenges relate to the ability to accurately detect and characterize ENMs in complex food matrices which may also contain natural (non-engineered) nanoscale materials, to develop proper sampling preparation techniques, to estimate accurate intake concentrations, to increase analytical sensitivity to determine concentrations of smaller ENMs (especially those below approx. 30 nm), and to develop harmonized and validated methods throughout the risk assessment process (26, 28).

Food safety authorities have produced guidance documents on how to approach risk assessments of ENMs in food (23, 40, 41, 43, 44) which include guidance on ENM characterization and hazard testing; however these are primarily for guidance rather than regulatory purposes (27).
For these reasons, the assessments of ENMs in food are treated on a case-by-case basis (28). This has resulted in only a few ENMs being evaluated to date (28), although a number of food additives that may have nano-scale dimensions (e.g. calcium carbonate (E170), titanium dioxide (E171), iron oxide (E172), silicon dioxide (E551)) are currently undergoing safety evaluations by food safety authorities (27).

**ETHICAL, SOCIETAL, AND LEGAL CONCERNS**

In addition to the health and safety concerns, there are a number of ethical, societal, and legal concerns related to the application of nanotechnology and ENMs when it comes to food products, production, and applications. One of these is consumers’ “right to know” for food products that contain ENMs. This is similar to previous and on-going debates and discussions regarding other novel foods, such as genetically-modified foods (e.g. 37, 84). European lawmakers have responded to this concern with the revised food labeling law EC No. 1169/2011, “on the provision of food information to consumers”. In short, Regulation 1169/2011 modifies existing food labelling provisions in the EU to “allow consumers to make informed choices and to make safe use of food, while at the same time ensure the free movement of legally produced and marketed food” (19). Calls for similar labeling requirements have been made in other countries by consumer advocacy groups (46). Another ethical concern relates to the tendency of some consumer groups to associate food with the concept of “naturalness,” whereby any deviation or change from the natural, original state of the food such as what may be caused by nanotechnologies or the use of ENMs may be perceived as “tampering with nature” (90). This is especially the case for European consumers. US consumers, on the other hand, tend to be more concerned that the use of nanotechnology may cause harm to people or exacerbate already-
existing social inequalities and conflicts (53), such as for instance inequalities between individuals promoting ENM-product use and those confronted with “downstream” risks.

One of the most important social concerns is consumers’ low levels of trust in the industry and government with regard to handling ENMs in food. The public generally assumes that industry prioritizes profits over health and safety and governments are too weak or powerless to promote effective regulation (53). For instance one study found that, following concerns related to the risks and unintended uses of nanotechnologies, the second-greatest concern was the lack of trust in government regulation (65). Siegrist et al. (87) also noted that social trust was a critical factor in studies of public perception of nanotechnologies in food.

Thus far, the only legally binding regulation and labeling requirement established worldwide for the use of ENMs in food is European Regulation No. 1169/2011. No other countries or regulatory authorities have mandated food labeling requirements for ENMs in food. Despite the enactment of EU Regulation No. 1169/2011 “on the provision of food information to consumers,” there are also serious concerns and challenges to the implementation, validation, and verification of this regulation. Many of these concerns are related to the ability to detect and verify that a food is composed of (or absent from) ENMS (e.g. 96). To date, there are currently no validated methods or reference standards to detect, identify, or quantify ENMs such as nanoparticles in complex food matrices (26, 96). Another main issue is the exact definition of an “engineered nanomaterial” which is relevant for foods. Currently, several different definitions have been proposed by regulatory agencies (e.g. EC No 2011/696/EU), international standards organizations such as the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) (52), as well as formulated as guidance by food safety authorities (e.g. 43, 44, 103). Some of these definitions,
but not all, have regulatory significance (e.g. EC No 2011/696/EU) while other definitions are intended for guidance to the food industry (44, 103). EU Regulation No. 1169/2011 which mandates foods containing ENMs are labelled also provides a separate definition of ENM (see footnote\(^1\)). Therefore, there are some legal concerns regarding these different definitions of ENMs relevant for food. Moreover, in terms of international impacts of labeling foods in the EU which contain ENMs, there also may be legal concerns regarding international litigations because non-EU countries, such as the US, do not have any nano-specific food regulations nor labeling requirements in place.

**FOOD LABELING LAWS IN EUROPE AND RELATED REGULATION FOR ENMS**

While numerous European regulations cover the use of ENMs in foods, the only food labeling law relevant for ENMs is EU Regulation No. 1169/2011. This revised regulation was enacted in October 2011 to facilitate informed consumer decision-making in Europe (35). Applicable as of December 13, 2014, this new EU regulation combines two separate Directives into one piece of legislation: 2000/13/EC on labeling, presentation and advertising of foodstuffs and 90/496/EEC on nutrition labeling for foodstuffs. Among other revisions for food labels (e.g., for improved information on allergens, refined oils/fats, meat and meat products), Regulation 1169/2011 mandates that foods containing ENMs be labelled in the list of ingredients by the term ‘nano’ next to the ENM (21). As detailed in Regulation 1169/2011, there are a number of ENMs that are not required to comply with these revised EU food labeling requirements: i) food additives and enzymes, due to the “carry-over principle” and serve no technological function in the finished product or used as processing aids; ii) carriers and substances which are not food additives and with the same purpose as carriers, used in quantities “strictly necessary;” and iii) substances used as processing aids which are not food additives still present in finished product, even in altered
form (19, 56). It should be noted that it is currently debated whether substances already present in the EU list of food additives (via EC Regulation 1129/2011) are exempt from these new labeling requirements (27).

EC regulation 1169/2011 also includes a different definition of ENMs compared to that proposed by EC No 2011/696/EU, specifying the inclusion of only intentionally produced ENMs in the nanoscale range\(^1\). It has been suggested by the EC’s Directorate-General for Health and Consumers (DG SANCO) that this definition be modified to include a threshold value (i.e. \(\geq50\%\) of the total particle number are in the nano-scale, also supported by the EFSA Scientific Committee (25)), specify the exemption of food additives included by other regulations, and provide examples of ENMs which are likely to be included as ENMs in this regulation with one or more dimensions below 1 nm (15, 57).

Apart from labeling requirements, ENMs are also covered by other forms of food regulation in the EU. The Novel Foods regulation (No. 258/97) defines novel foods as foods not significantly consumed in the EU before May 1997, and is intended to cover newly developed, innovative food, or those produced from new technologies or processes or those traditionally consumed outside the EU (32). A draft law related to the Novel Foods regulation was adopted in late 2013 and states that ENMs in foods covered in EU Regulation 1169/2011 have to be assessed and authorized under the Novel Foods requirements before being placed on the EU market (18). It furthermore states that a novel food would also cover instances in which a new production process has been used or a food contains ENMs as covered by Regulation 1169/2011 (18). In

---

2 After submission of this article, the European Commission announced publication of its final Novel Foods regulation (EU Regulation 2015/2283) in December 2015. This regulation states that foods containing ENMs are indeed considered as novel foods. This regulation also states that properties characteristic of ENMs include large specific surface area and other physico-chemical properties that may differ from the same substance at bulk scales, and the most up-to-date test methods should be used to assess the safety of these foods.
addition, the regulations on Food Additives (EU No. 1333/2008) and Food Supplements (Directive 2002/46/EC) also cover substances added to food to improve their solubility or bioavailability (33, 34). Under these regulations, food additives, enzymes, flavorings, and supplements are required to undergo an assessment and authorization procedure before being placed on the market. Materials which are nano-forms of previously authorized food additives must be re-evaluated and authorized since they would be considered as new additives, as there are likely to be significant changes in production methods or starting materials used including changes in particle sizes (28, 56).

FOOD LABELING LAWS IN THE UNITED STATES AND RELATED REGULATION FOR ENMS

There are currently no labeling requirements for foods containing ENMs in the US. Similarly, there are no specific food safety regulations which specifically cover ENMs, although in theory ENMs are covered by existing rules and regulations to ensure a safe food supply. Foods are regulated in the US by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act of 1938 (21 U.S.C.) (38), which requires the safe and lawful use of food substances by manufacturers and end-users (43). Food additives, in addition to food substances, are covered under the Food Additives Amendment Act of 1958 and the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act (FDAMA) of 1997 while color additives are covered by the Color Additive Amendment, both of which are amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (43).

FDA has published guidance documents to provide regulatory clarity for industry on the use of nanotechnology in FDA-regulated products (42). These guidance documents help industry
determine whether a product (or food substance) involves nanotechnology and provides guidance as to when industry should consider its regulatory status, safety, or public health impacts related to the use of nanotechnology (44). In one guidance document, FDA stated that they have not received any food or color additive petitions nor Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) affirmation petitions or notices for food substances with a size distribution at the nanoscale (43). They also stated that “at this time, we are not aware of any food substances intentionally engineered on the nanometer scale for which there are generally available safety data sufficient to serve as the foundation for the determination that the use of food substance is GRAS.” Although regulatory definitions are not provided, FDA specifies that “nanotechnology products” are those “that contain or are manufactured using materials in the nanoscale range” or “contain or are manufactured using certain materials that otherwise exhibit related dimension-dependent properties or phenomena.” FDA also specifies that the material or end product must be engineered in a “deliberate and purposeful” manner to have nano-scale dimensions or exhibit properties or phenomena attributable to nano-scale size, as opposed to nano-dimensions arising from naturally occurring or unintentional processes. Products are considered to involve nanotechnology if they include materials engineered with at least one external dimension between 1 nm and 100 nm as well as exhibit properties/phenomena attributed to nano-scale dimensions “even if these dimensions fall outside the nanoscale range, up to one micrometer (1,000 nm).” Similar to European food safety authorities, FDA evaluates products on a case-by-case basis.

FOOD LABELING LAWS IN OTHER COUNTRIES AND RELATED REGULATION FOR ENMS
Currently, there are no additional food labeling laws relevant for ENMs in other non-EU countries (27). While there are diverse measures to consider, cover, and/or provide guidance to industry in terms of handling ENMs, there has only been limited inclusion of ENM provisions in these existing pieces of legislation and none of them are legally binding (27).

**ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE FOR FOOD LABELING**

Before the implementation of the revised EU food labeling law (No 1169/2011), the ISO published a guidance document on the voluntary labelling of consumer products containing ENMs (51). This guidance document, which is not specific to foods but rather addresses all consumer products containing ENMs, describes some of the advantages of labeling products containing ENMs and provides recommendations for the content, placement, and instructions on label use (51). Similar to the rationale behind EU Regulation No. 1169/2011, the ISO guidance document suggests that the use of labels may improve openness and transparency and help consumers make informed decisions regarding products purchased and consumed. Labeling should also be done in a way that is clear, visible, legible, and portrays information that is truthful, substantiated, and not misleading (51).

**IMPACTS ON CONSUMERS**

One of the main objectives of revising EU’s food labeling requirements is to increase transparency and improve consumers’ ability to make informed purchasing decisions. Thus, consumer perceptions and subsequent behavior - in the EU as well as other countries - following the implementation of the new EU food labels are likely to be one of the most critical outcomes of this revised regulation. In this way, the position of consumers in the marketplace is
strengthened with the revised nano-food labeling requirement because of increased transparency and availability of information (56). Labeling of foods containing ENMs may also help build trust among stakeholder groups, which may be key to establishing “social legitimacy” of food nanotechnologies (50). It has also been suggested that labeling of foods containing ENMs may help consumers make trade-off decisions (89), provide information to consumers of benefits of nanotechnologies and ENMs such as improved health or fitness qualities of products (50), and increase knowledge regarding ENMs in society (73).

At the same time, labeling of foods containing ENMs may also cause uncertainty among consumers and trigger potentially unfounded anxieties (73) or create a negative stigma effect for nanotechnologies (50). Because labeling a product containing ENMs may be construed to be a type of warning label (89), some consumers may perceive the labelled food as more risky than a food without a label (89). This may especially be the case if consumers are not familiar with the word “nano” as shown in the list of food ingredients, potentially evoking a negative affect. Because consumers generally have limited awareness of nanotechnologies or ENMs (85), labeling of foods containing ENMs could lead to misunderstanding and fear (89), possibly leading to divestment and technology avoidance in consumer products (50). It has also been argued that labeling of ENMs in foods may potentially exacerbate consumers’ already-existing perceptions of ENMs and/or nanotechnology. In this case, labeling could further enhance positive perceptions of nanotechnology in individuals already favoring nanotechnology or could serve as a type of warning symbol for those already with a negative view of nanotechnology, essentially leading to enhanced acceptance or discrimination of products containing ENMs (56). It has also been suggested that labeling could lead to providing consumers with “too much
information” that may defeat the original purpose of facilitating improved consumer decision-making (i.e., information “overload” which may end up confusing consumers rather than facilitate informed purchase decisions). In fact, some authors have questioned whether the use of mandatory labeling of products containing ENMs actually help consumers make informed decisions since this may not be easy to evaluate (89).

Public perception studies have shown that across nanotechnology-enabled applications, those which were food or health-related are considered more risky compared to others that are not ingested or directly in contact with the body (89). Other studies have shown that public attitudes about nanotechnology in food are more differentiated than in other application categories (87), with more positive attitudes for food applications that involve healthy improvements (e.g. reduced salt, fat content) and more negative attitudes for trivial food applications that involved new flavors or textures (88, 102). Because of this, it has been postulated that consumers may respond positively to ENMs in foods because many nano-food applications are related to improving consumer health outcomes. On the other hand, this may be negated by the fact that the use of ENMs in food may not be appealing to consumers, similar to other novel foods (87, 88).

Another important aspect related to consumer appeal is the concept of “naturalness,” whereby some consumers may prefer a more “natural” food than those presented by application of nanotechnology, creating reluctance towards consuming these novel products (87, 88).

Three main factors seem to shape consumer perceptions of ENMs in food: trust in the food industry, (negative) affect, and control (87, 88). This is mirrored in other studies which have shown that consumers are generally skeptical about the effectiveness of public regulation, oversight, and control of nanotechnologies (53). Applications involving foods were ranked among the highest in terms of risk and concern (65). Moreover, in cases of novel technologies,
consumers tend to rely on third parties for information, underscoring the lack of trust in regulatory agencies and industry among the public (53).

**IMPACTS ON INDUSTRY**

The revised EU requirements on labeling foods containing ENMs will certainly impact food producers, manufacturers, suppliers and others within the food supply chain in the EU as well as in non-EU countries. One potential positive impact may be a better alignment of consumer interests with those of industry, as consumers have more information related to their food purchases. This process may help ensure that industry develops and produces healthy and sustainable food products which appeal to consumers (73). Another positive impact is the improved ability of industry to trace products containing ENMs, with improved mechanisms to ensure food safety. For instance, if there is an outbreak or need to recall a food or food product, improved product traceability will help facilitate product recalls (47). Industry will also have better knowledge of the use of ENMs in their products which will increase their own product information (47). On the other hand, without proper analytical techniques to detect, measure, and characterize ENMs in foods, these potential positive impacts may not be fully realized.

Food industries within and outside the EU will also likely to face a number of challenges related to the implementation of EU Regulation No 1169/2011. Increased costs to food manufacturers and producers may result from revising food labels, some of which could be passed onto customers, at least in the short term while the changes to existing food labels are made (56). More serious obstacles will be faced by industry in terms of detecting, characterizing, and/or quantifying ENMs in food products. Given the current analytical challenges in these fields to robustly validate the presence and concentrations of ENMs in foods, it will be challenging and
costly to develop or employ these methods. It has been suggested that given the complexity of detecting ENMs in complex and diverse food matrices, a range of analytical detection and characterization methods may be needed, which may ultimately increase testing costs (56), partially of which is likely to be borne by industry.

An additional challenge faced by industry, particularly in the short term, involves the labeling of nanoscale food additives which have been in use for a long time, such as Synthetic Amorphous Silica (SAS) (E551) which has been used for decades (56). Currently there are on-going discussions in the EU on which substances may be exempt from labeling requirements due to their presence on the EU list of food additives (27). Another challenge likely faced by industry, especially non-EU industries wishing to export to an EU market, relates to the regulatory definition of ENM used for labeling. The EC definition (EC No 2011/696/EU) includes a threshold value (>50% of particles in the range 1-100 nm) while the one provided in EU Regulation 1169/2011 does not, although it has been proposed to be revised to include a threshold value. It has been suggested that the establishment of a threshold value may actually benefit industry, since it may be nearly impossible to guarantee a food product to be absent from ENMs in the finished product due to accidental or incidental introduction of ENMs from other processes such as flour grinding (56). While it is expected that upcoming guidance or regulation will further refine the definition of ENMs to be used specifically for EU food labeling requirements, including the use of threshold values, industries may face challenges related to this lack of regulatory clarity in the near term.

Industry, particularly outside the EU, will also likely face additional difficulties and costs related to different information requirements or regulations between countries or regulatory agencies. This could potentially create international trade barriers or disputes, such as issues related to The
Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, also impacting manufacturers, distributors, importers, and downstream users (56). In fact, US industries have expressed concerns regarding the lack of uniform implementation regulations related to EU Regulation 1169/2011 (104). US industries have also stated their concerns related to meeting several different labeling requirements, especially those that cannot be fulfilled with the use of stickers or supplemental labels. Rather, they have stressed the need for a harmonized EU food labeling requirements and voluntary national schemes (104).

**IMPACTS ON REGULATORY AGENCIES AND FOOD SAFETY AUTHORITIES**

One of the main benefits of labeling foods containing ENMs is to improve product traceability and transparency of ENMs in foods. Similar to benefits to industry in regards to product traceability, regulatory agencies will be able to better respond to product recalls or a potential safety issue if one arose. Furthermore, improving knowledge and transparency in terms of which foods contain ENMs is considered a robust regulatory and governance strategy. At the same time, however, it is expected that a number of challenges will need to be overcome to accurately label foods containing ENMs. First, there is a critical need for a harmonized definition of an “engineered nanomaterial,” given the divergent definitions provided by the EC (2011) (16) and included in EU Regulation 1169/2011 and whether threshold values should be included in revised definitions. Second, efforts are needed to harmonize different definitions and related regulations relevant for ENMs in food between countries and/or regulatory agencies, especially if the same material is defined differently by different agencies (56). Some have noted that these harmonization efforts between countries and/or regulatory agencies will help reduce international trade barriers as well as other obstacles that may occur within the international food supply chain (56, 104).
As discussed by several authors (56, 96, 101), there are serious challenges to detecting, characterizing, quantifying, and monitoring ENMs in foods due to the lack of suitable analytical techniques and standard practices. These challenges severely hinder the ability to validate the presence or concentrations of ENMs in diverse foods. Detection and characterization methods are still in the development phase, and thus more time is needed in order to develop standard practices within both the scientific and regulatory communities (56, 101). Research needs for developing detection and characterization techniques for ENMs in food include: i) the development of benchmark or reference materials to measure a range of nanoscale sizes and other parameters (82, 96); ii) the ability to characterize ENMs in the final food product, often more challenging than characterizing pristine or raw ENMs (4, 96); and iii) the development of standard practices for sample preparation (4, 101). While there are on-going activities to address many of these research needs, such as the harmonization of test methods and determination of number-based distribution of ENMs (62, 77), additional research and harmonization efforts are needed within the scientific and regulatory communities to develop standardized practices.

Another significant analytical challenge is accounting for interactions between the ENM and other components within the food or food product, which can alter aggregation/agglomeration states, size, shape, and other physicochemical properties of the ENMs needed to ensure accurate labeling of foods containing ENMs (96, 105). These aforementioned analytical challenges may be further heightened given the movement from metal-based ENMs to more organic-based ENMs in foods and food products (27), since detecting organic ENMs in food matrices may be particularly difficult. Furthermore, if additional detection and/or characterization tests are needed to account for alterations to the ENM within a food, this may increase the overall costs of detecting and characterizing ENMs in foods. These costs may be borne by industry (and
potentially passed on to consumers) as well as regulatory agencies and food safety authorities to ensure accurate labeling of foods and food products.

Further challenges may be faced by food safety authorities outside the EU if foods containing ENMs are required to be labeled in Europe and not elsewhere, leading to an inconsistent trade and labeling systems. It has been suggested that this could possibly lead to international trade disputes, as what was seen in the case of other novel food technologies (37). A lack of international harmonization of food labels could also result in challenges especially for non-EU food safety authorities, such as the US FDA and USDA, whereby different labeling requirements would be needed for different national markets. For example, some nano-scale substances previously recognized as GRAS in the US, such as some food additives, may then require special labels for the EU market. This situation could potentially generate diverse reactions from stakeholder groups, including potential push-back by US industries to prepare special labeling requirements for the EU market, as was seen by requests from the Grocery Manufacturers Association (7). Food safety authorities in the US could also potentially receive criticism from consumer groups, in terms of the rationale for why US regulators are not demanding the same transparency requirements for foods containing ENMs as European regulators, potentially leading to a greater mistrust of US regulatory authorities and their ability to protect consumer interests.

CONCLUSIONS

The revised EU food labeling requirements (Reg. No 1169/2011) which mandate that foods containing ENMs be labelled will likely result in a number of positive developments as well as a number of challenges for diverse stakeholder groups both in the EU as well as in non-EU countries such as the US. Some of the main positive consequences are likely to be: i) improved
transparency and information regarding foods containing ENMs, ii) provision of a proactive response to European consumer concerns regarding the use of ENMs in food, iii) provision of a mechanism for industry to better align with (EU) consumer interests, iv) improved ability to trace products containing ENMs in Europe, and iv) an opportunity for food safety authorities and industry outside the EU to observe the reactions and consequences in the EU following mandatory labeling for foods containing ENMs, which may ultimately translate into better practices to address foods containing ENMs in their own respective countries (i.e., “lessons learned”). At the same time, there will also be a number of significant challenges related to labeling foods containing ENMs for stakeholder groups both inside and outside the EU. These include the need for: i) harmonized information requirements or regulations between countries residing in different regions of the world, ii) clarification on the regulatory definitions of ENMs to be used for food labeling, iii) robust techniques to detect, measure, and characterize diverse ENMs in food matrices, and iv) clarification on the list of ENMs that may be exempt from labeling requirements such as several food additives which have been used for decades.

In light of these overall findings, it is recommended that food industries as well as food safety authorities be more proactive in communicating to the public and consumer groups the potential benefits and risks of using ENMs in foods. Past experience with other novel food technologies such as genetically-modified foods in which industry used a “hide, wait, and see” strategy demonstrated the need to be more proactive in public engagement and dialogue when using novel food technologies (53). By not being more proactive, these food industries run the risk of having adverse economic and reputation repercussions as well as potential litigations from not openly discussing the use of these novel materials in food products currently on the consumer
market (53). Some have argued that becoming an active player in public dialogues regarding the use of ENMs in food may be an essential strategy to reduce feelings of distrust towards industry. Similarly, non-EU food safety authorities (such as the US FDA and USDA) should be proactive in public dialogues involving the use of ENMs in foods, and when needed, respond to concerns regarding safety and regulatory approval of the ENMs currently on the market. These calls for increased public dialogue and education in terms of the use of ENMs in food have also been recommended by European regulatory food safety experts (25). Another option US food safety authorities could implement in the short term is to mandate reporting and recordkeeping by companies involved in the manufacturing and use of ENMs in foods. This could be similar to what the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has recently proposed under its Toxic Substances Control Act program (29), serving as a potential first step towards better assessing the need for further actions regarding the use of ENMs in food. Finally, it is recommended that food safety authorities both within and outside the EU be proactive to ensure a harmonization of international regulatory requirements and definitions related to the use of ENMs in foods to avoid international trade disputes or challenges.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Fate and Transformation of Ingested ENMs in Food and Potential Health Impacts.
Tables

Table 1. Examples of ENM food applications currently available. This list is not exhaustive of all ENMs in foods and food products but rather aims to provide a snapshot of some ENM-food applications available for consumer purchase. ENM = engineered nanomaterial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENM</th>
<th>Commercial Use</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Use in Foods and Food Products</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic amorphous silica</td>
<td>Evonik’s Aerosil 200F, Aerosil 380F; used as anti-caking agents in powdered food items</td>
<td>Added to foods in powder form to improve their flow</td>
<td>Powders, e.g. salt, whey powder, egg powder, creamer, instant drinks, seasoning blends, powdered sugar, soup powder</td>
<td>Dekkers et al. 2011; EFSA 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SAS, E551)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium dioxide (E171)</td>
<td>E171 as used in various products, e.g. Hostess Powdered Donette, Kool Aid Blue Raspberry, M&amp;Ms Chocolate Candy, Trident White Peppermint Gum, Kraft Mayo, Kraft Velveeta</td>
<td>Added to foods to bleach or brighten colors, and/or to enhance flavor in non-white foods</td>
<td>Confectionary (e.g. candies, chewing gum, chocolate), cheese, sauces, bakery items (e.g. doughnuts), produce (e.g. pears, apples, bell peppers) from edible food coatings</td>
<td>Weir et al. 2012; EFSA 2014; FOE 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM</td>
<td>Commercial Use</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Use in Foods and Food Products</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-silver</td>
<td>American Biotech Lab’s ASAP Health Max 30; Fair vital’s Colloidal Silver; La Posta del Aguila’s Maternal Water</td>
<td>Supplement to support immune system; Nano colloidal silver ion</td>
<td>Vitamins and dietary supplements; Added to water as substitution for chemical water treatment</td>
<td>Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies 2015; The Nanodatabase 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoscale iron oxide (E172)</td>
<td>Food additive</td>
<td>Added as food colorant; potential iron fortifier</td>
<td>Used in salmon-, shrimp-, and meat pastes; cake and dessert packets; soups</td>
<td>Ivy Rose Holistic 2008; EFSA 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocolloidal platinum</td>
<td>Patent by Miyamoto et al. 2006</td>
<td>Added to foods and marketed as “anti-aging” foods</td>
<td>Yoghurt, drinks</td>
<td>Miyamoto et al. 2006; FOE 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocolloidal gold</td>
<td>Purest Colloids, Inc.’s MesoGold</td>
<td>Added to foods and marketed as “anti-aging” foods</td>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td>FOE 2014; Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-copper</td>
<td>Purest Colloids, Inc.’s MesoCopper</td>
<td>Supplemental form of copper in deionized water</td>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td>Project on Emerging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENM</th>
<th>Commercial Use</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Use in Foods and Food Products</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nano-selenium</td>
<td>Shenzhen Become Industry &amp; Trade Co., Ltd’s Nanotea</td>
<td>Added to improve health and nutrition: absorb viruses, free radicals, cholesterol and blood fat</td>
<td>Beverages (tea)</td>
<td>Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-calcium</td>
<td>Good State - Liquid Ionic Minerals Calcium; Good State - Liquid Ionic Calcium Ultra Concentrate; Silvia Osteo Plus Tablets for stronger bones</td>
<td>Added to food supplements to improve bone strength via calcium intake</td>
<td>Vitamins and dietary supplements</td>
<td>The Nanodatabase 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoids, e.g. lycopene</td>
<td>Allied Biotech Corporation The Carotenoid Company, “Altratene”; BASF’s beta-carotene for drinks with Lucarotin 10 CWD O and lycopene for food supplements with LycoVit 10%</td>
<td>Added as colorants or antioxidants in foods or drinks</td>
<td>Various foods, including milk products, fats and oils, candy, bottled water, breakfast cereals, soups, sauces, juices, and baked goods</td>
<td>European Parliament 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM</td>
<td>Commercial Use</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Use in Foods and Food Products</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC; Chr Hansen solutions of beta-carotene; Cognis Group Nutrition &amp; Health carotenoid additives in “Betatene’ product</td>
<td>Shemen Industries Canola Active Oil</td>
<td>Added to reduce cholesterol levels and improve delivery of nutrients</td>
<td>Canola oil</td>
<td>Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Nanodrops” described as “minute compressed micelles”</td>
<td>RBC’s NanoCluster delivery system</td>
<td>Added to increase delivery and absorption of nutrients</td>
<td>Chocolate shake</td>
<td>Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-aceuticals, e.g. nano-sized powder with nutrients</td>
<td>NutraLease™</td>
<td>Self-assembled lipid structures made of micelles; nano-sized vehicles containing nutrients</td>
<td>Dietary supplement</td>
<td>Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENM</td>
<td>Commercial Use</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Use in Foods and Food Products</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various nano-vitamin</td>
<td>BASF’s microcapsulated vitamins; Supplement Direct’s nanovitamins</td>
<td>Vitamins in nano-form</td>
<td>Vitamins and dietary supplements</td>
<td>FOE 2014; Supplements Direct 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitamin supplements, e.g.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nano peptides, nano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatine, nanobolics,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nano tribestanol,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nano glutamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Nano-spheres”</td>
<td>Life Enhancement’s Bionic Joint Support; LivOn Labs’ Lypo-Spheric Vitamin C</td>
<td>Supplement to support joint functioning, improve bioavailability of hyaluronic acid; Supplement to enhance bioavailability and delivery of Vitamin C</td>
<td>Vitamins and dietary supplements</td>
<td>Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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