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Abstract: We perform contactless full-wafer maps of the electrical conductance of a 4-inch wafer of single-layer CVD graphene using terahertz time-domain spectroscopy both before and after deposition of metal contacts and fabrication of devices via laser ablation. We find that there is no significant change in the measured conductance of graphene before and
after device fabrication. We also show that precise terahertz time-domain spectroscopy can be performed when the beam spot is at sufficient distance (>1.2 mm) from metal contacts.
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1 Introduction

As commercial graphene applications are developing there is an increasing demand for high-quality large-area graphene. Many lithography-based methods for determining the electrical properties of graphene necessarily require metals, solvents and resists, which are known to adversely affect electrical properties [1–3]. Therefore, methods for determining electrical properties that require no physical contact with the graphene are...
preferred, and one such method is terahertz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS). THz-TDS has shown to be a viable method for obtaining electrical parameters from graphene, such as conductance [4,5], carrier mobility [6] and field-effect properties [7]. It is and will continue to be important to benchmark THz-TDS against standard electrical measurements. Here we show that the conductance of graphene measured (as by THz-TDS) is not significantly affected by fabrication of graphene devices by shadow-mask metal deposition and subsequent laser ablation graphene patterning.

2 Methods

All measurements took place on a THz-transparent double-sided-polished high resistivity (>10 kΩ cm) silicon wafer, as shown in Figure 1(a), with a highly p-doped ($n = 2.6 \times 10^{19}\text{cm}^{-3}$) polysilicon layer, and an insulating layer of 165 nm a Si$_3$N$_4$. Single layer graphene was then transferred on top of the Si$_3$N$_4$. The frequency dependent graphene sheet conductance was mapped by raster-scanned non-contact transmission THz-TDS at normal incidence, using a Picometrix T-ray 4000 fibre-coupled spectrometer in the range of 0.25–1.2 THz [7]. The frequency range corresponds to wavelengths of 1.2–0.25 mm, which then represents the maximal spatial resolution. $\sigma_{DC}$ was determined in each pixel of the scan by fitting the Drude model,

$$\sigma_{\text{real}}(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{DC}}}{1 + (\omega \tau)^2},$$

(1)

to the real part of the measured sheet conductance spectra.

Devices were fabricated using a laser ablation method shown in Figure 1 and outlined in Mackenzie et al. [8]. Initially, metal contacts (5 nm Cr, 45 nm Au) were deposited via electron-beam evaporation through a shadow mask using a Wordentec QCL 800, as shown in Figure 1(b). Selective laser ablation of the graphene was performed using a 3D-Micromac AG microSTRUCT vario with a 10 ps 1064 nm pulsed laser, without damaging the underlying substrate, as shown in Figure 1(c). The resulting square device with centre area of $5 \times 5\text{ mm}^2$ is illustrated in Figure 1(d). An advantage of this fabrication method is that the device area does not require contact with solvents or resists, which are known to affect the electrical properties of graphene [1–3].

Figure 1 Schematic showing fabrication of graphene devices using laser ablation. (a) Initially graphene is transferred to a silicon four-inch wafer with silicon nitride layer. (b) 5 nm Cr, 45 nm Au is deposited using electron beam evaporation and a shadow mask. (c) Definition of devices via selective ablation of graphene using a 10 ps 1064 nm pulsed laser. (d) Final device, square of $5 \times 5\text{ mm}^2$ (see online version for colours)
3 Results and discussion

Our results are summarised in Figure 2. Figure 2(a) and (b) show the full wafer THz-TDS $\sigma_{DC}$ maps before and after device fabrication, respectively. Maps were performed with a step size (and corresponding pixel size) of 400 $\mu$m. Because the THz-TDS signal at the contacts is dominated by the high conductance of the metal relative to the graphene, large values of conductance, which cannot be attributed to graphene ($\sigma > 10$ mS) are set to zero in the dataset, and correspond to white on Figure 2(a) and (b). The THz spot profile is approximately Gaussian with a frequency dependent FWHM, which is comparable to the wavelength. Figure 2(c) shows how the mean conductance value changes when areas of different proximity to the contacts are included. Figure 2(c) inset shows a device with coloured squares corresponding to the areas where the mean is calculated. When pixels closer than 1 mm to metal (device area greater than $3 \times 3$ mm$^2$) are included in the analysis, the mean conductance is significantly higher for the post-device measurements. However, the values converge when pixels that are 1.2 mm or closer to metal are excluded (device areas of maximum size $2.4 \times 2.4$ mm$^2$). As expected, the device area sampling-size has no effect for the pre-device measurement analysis. Therefore, in the analysis of both pre-device and post-device measurements, only the pixels in centre area of each device ($2.4 \times 2.4$ mm$^2$) were considered for the remaining analysis.

**Figure 2** Full wafer THz spectroscopy maps of a 4-inch graphene-coated wafer (a) before device fabrication and (b) after device fabrication. (c) Average THz conductance as a function of proximity of the THz spot to the metal contacts. Inset: Photograph of fabricated device, with laser-ablated cuts coloured in black for clarity. Each coloured box represents a different THz averaging area with varying distance to the metal contacts. From black = 0 mm to light blue = 2 mm. (d) Histograms, showing the distribution of conductances before (blue) and after (red) device fabrication. (e) Pixel-to-pixel correlation of the conductances before and post device fabrication. Each colour represents one device area within which several THz measurements were performed (see online version for colours)

Histograms of the mean conductance inside device areas are shown via histograms in Figure 2(d). The mean of the pre-device fabrication was $2.94 \pm 0.09$ mS and the post fabrication mean was $3.09 \pm 0.07$ mS, with the uncertainty calculated as the standard
deviation of the device areas. Each individual device area is then compared in a correlation plot in Figure 2(e) with each colour corresponding to one device area. A linear fit produces a slope of 1.009 and a correlation of $R^2 > 0.97$ between each pixel of the THz-TDS maps before and after device definition, which supports the claim of consistency between pre- and post-device fabrication measurements.

Overall, there is a slight increase in measured conductance after fabrication of devices, however the difference is within measurement uncertainties and smaller than pixel-to-pixel variations, especially considering that no effort was made to control the environmental conditions, so any small conductance changes observed are well within differences expected from random variations in humidity and other absorbents on the graphene surface [3].

The two datasets are consistent within measurement uncertainties provided that the THz measurements are performed at a distance greater than 1.2 mm away from any metal structures. Overall, the study suggests that graphene properties are not significantly affected by the deposition of contacts and subsequent laser ablation as outlined in Mackenzie et al. [8].

4 Conclusions

Wafer maps of electrical conductance were performed before and after device fabrication on a 4-inch graphene-coated wafer. Although a slight increase in conductance was observed over the entire wafer after devices were fabricated, any difference was insignificant compared to measurement uncertainties, device-to-device variations and variations in environmental conditions.

Therefore we conclude that the laser ablation method outlined in Mackenzie et al. [8] does not significantly affect THz-TDS conductance measurements and can serve as a protocol for fast benchmarking in large-scale CVD graphene electrical characterisation.
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