Rotor-angle versus voltage instability in the third-order model for synchronous generators

Sharafutdinov, Konstantin; Gorjao, Leonardo Rydin; Matthiae, Moritz; Faulwasser, Timm; Witthaut, Dirk

Published in:
Chaos

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1063/1.5002889](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5002889)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Sharafutdinov, K., Gorjao, L. R., Matthiae, M., Faulwasser, T., & Witthaut, D. (2018). Rotor-angle versus voltage instability in the third-order model for synchronous generators. *Chaos*, 28(3), [033117].
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5002889>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Rotor-angle versus voltage instability in the third-order model for synchronous generators

Konstantin Sharafutdinov, Leonardo Rydin Gorjão, Moritz Matthiae, Timm Faulwasser, and Dirk Witthaut

Citation: *Chaos* **28**, 033117 (2018); doi: 10.1063/1.5002889

View online: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5002889>

View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/cha/28/3>

Published by the American Institute of Physics

Articles you may be interested in

[Stable amplitude chimera states in a network of locally coupled Stuart-Landau oscillators](#)

Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **28**, 033110 (2018); 10.1063/1.5006454

[Quenching oscillating behaviors in fractional coupled Stuart-Landau oscillators](#)

Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **28**, 033109 (2018); 10.1063/1.5019772

[Phenomenology of coupled nonlinear oscillators](#)

Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **28**, 023110 (2018); 10.1063/1.5007747

[Effect of time-delay and dissipative coupling on amplitude death in coupled thermoacoustic oscillators](#)

Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **28**, 033119 (2018); 10.1063/1.5009999

[Riddled basins of attraction in systems exhibiting extreme events](#)

Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **28**, 033610 (2018); 10.1063/1.5012134

[On multistability behavior of unstable dissipative systems](#)

Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **28**, 033613 (2018); 10.1063/1.5016329

Welcome to a

Smarter Search

with the redesigned
Physics Today Buyer's Guide

Find the tools you're looking for today!

PHYSICS
TODAY

Rotor-angle versus voltage instability in the third-order model for synchronous generators

Konstantin Sharafutdinov,^{1,2,a)} Leonardo Rydin Gorjão,^{1,b)} Moritz Matthiae,^{1,3,c)} Timm Faulwasser,^{4,d)} and Dirk Witthaut^{1,2,e)}

¹Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institute for Energy and Climate Research - Systems Analysis and Technology Evaluation (IEK-STE), 52428 Jülich, Germany

²Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, 50937 Köln, Germany

³Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

⁴Institute for Automation and Applied Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76344 Karlsruhe, Germany

(Received 1 September 2017; accepted 5 March 2018; published online 27 March 2018)

We investigate the interplay of rotor-angle and voltage stability in electric power systems. To this end, we carry out a local stability analysis of the third-order model which entails the classical power-swing equations and the voltage dynamics. We provide necessary and sufficient stability conditions and investigate different routes to instability. For the special case of a two-bus system, we analytically derive a global stability map. *Published by AIP Publishing.* <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5002889>

A reliable supply of electric power requires a stable operation of the electric power grid. Thousands of generators must run in a synchronous state with fixed voltage magnitudes and fixed relative phases. The ongoing transition to a renewable power system challenges the stability as line loads and temporal fluctuations increase. Maintaining a secure supply thus requires a detailed understanding of power system dynamics and stability. Among various models describing the dynamics of synchronous generators, analytic results are available mainly for the simplest second-order model which describes only the dynamics of nodal frequencies and voltage phase angles. In this article, we analyze the stability of the third order model including the transient dynamics of voltage magnitudes. Within this model we provide analytical insights into the interplay of voltage and rotor-angle dynamics and characterize possible sources of instability. We provide novel stability criteria and support our studies with the analysis of a network of two coupled nodes, where a full analytic solution for the equilibria is obtained and a bifurcation analysis is performed.

inertia of the grid decreases such that power fluctuations have a larger impact on system stability.⁸

A reliable power supply requires a detailed understanding of power system dynamics and stability. Numerical studies are carried out routinely at different levels of modelling detail (see Refs. 9 and 10 for a comparison of different models). These studies provide a concrete stability assessment for one given power grid or components. For instance, the performance of different models has been evaluated for the Western System Coordinating Council System (WSCC) and the New England and New York system in Ref. 11. Analytic studies into the mathematical structure of the problem have been obtained mainly for second-order models based on the power-swing equations.^{12–15} These models describe only the dynamics of nodal frequencies and rotor angles, assuming the voltage magnitudes to be constant in time.^{9,16,17} Voltage stability is usually investigated numerically, see Ref. 18 and references therein.

The present paper aims at providing analytical insights into the interplay of voltage and rotor-angle dynamics in electric power systems.¹⁹ We analyze the stability of the third-order model of synchronous generators, including the transient voltage along the q -axis, which has been studied so far mainly computationally.^{9,10,20–24} We provide an analytical decomposition of the Jacobian into the frequency and voltage subsystems, which gives rise to a novel stability criterion (cf. Proposition 1), and a characterization of possible sources of instability. For the most elementary network of two coupled nodes, a full analytic solution of the equilibria is obtained and a bifurcation analysis is performed.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Sec. II recalls the third-order model. In Sec. III we, perform a local stability analysis via the Jacobian linearization. Section IV discusses routes to instability, while Sec. V draws upon the example of a two bus system. The paper ends with conclusions in Sec. VI.

I. INTRODUCTION

A stable supply of electric power is essential for the economy, industry, and our daily life. The ongoing transition to a renewable generation challenges the stability of power grids in several ways.¹ Electric power has to be transmitted over large distances, leading to high transmission line loads at peak times.^{2,3} Wind and solar power generation fluctuates on various time scales, requiring more flexibility and challenging dynamic stability.^{4–7} Furthermore, the effective

^{a)}Electronic mail: konstantin.sharafutdinov@phystech.edu

^{b)}Electronic mail: leonardo.rydin@gmail.com

^{c)}Electronic mail: momat@nanotech.dtu.dk

^{d)}Electronic mail: timm.faulwasser@kit.edu

^{e)}Electronic mail: d.witthaut@fz-juelich.de

II. THE THIRD-ORDER MODEL AND ITS EQUILIBRIA

The third-order or one-axis model describes the transient dynamics of synchronous machines,^{9,10} in particular, the

- power angle $\delta(t)$ relative to the grid reference frame,
- the angular frequency $\omega(t) = \dot{\delta}$ relative to the grid reference frame, and
- the transient voltage $E'_q(t)$ in the q -direction of a co-rotating frame of reference.

The third-order model does not cover subtransient effects, and it assumes that the transient voltage in the d -direction of the co-rotating frame vanishes. The equations of motion for one machine are given by⁹

$$\dot{\delta} = \omega, \quad (1a)$$

$$M\dot{\omega} = -D\omega + P^m - P^{el}, \quad (1b)$$

$$T'_d \dot{E}'_q = E^f - E'_q + (X_d - X'_d)I_d, \quad (1c)$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to time. Here, the symbol P^m denotes the effective mechanical input power of the machine and E^f the internal voltage or field flux. P^{el} stands for electrical power out-flow. The parameters D and M denote the damping and the inertia of the mechanical motion and T'_d the relaxation time of the transient voltage dynamics. The voltage dynamics further depends on the difference of the static (X_d) and transient (X'_d) reactances along the d -axis, where $X_d - X'_d > 0$ in general, and the current along d -axis I_d .

In this article, we consider an extended grid consisting of several synchronous machines labeled by $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Neglecting transmission line losses, the electric power exchanged with the grid and the current at the j th machine read²⁰

$$\begin{aligned} P_j^{el} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^N E'_{q,j} E'_{q,\ell} B_{j,\ell} \sin(\delta_j - \delta_\ell), \\ I_{d,j} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^N E'_{q,\ell} B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_\ell - \delta_j), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the $E'_{q,j}$ and δ_j are the transient voltage and the power angle of the j th machine, the parameter $B_{jk} \geq 0$ denotes the susceptance of the transmission line (j, k) and $B_{jj} \leq 0$ denotes the shunt susceptance of the j th node.

Using Eq. (2), the equations of motion assume a particularly simple form.^{5,20,21} For the sake of notational convenience, we drop the prime as well the subscripts d and q and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\delta}_j &= \omega_j, \\ M_j \dot{\omega}_j &= P_j^m - D_j \omega_j + \sum_{\ell=1}^N E_j E_\ell B_{j,\ell} \sin(\delta_\ell - \delta_j), \\ T_j \dot{E}_j &= E_j^f - E_j + (X_j - X'_j) \sum_{\ell=1}^N E_\ell B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_\ell - \delta_j). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Many studies consider a grid consisting of synchronous generators and ohmic loads, which can then be eliminated using a Kron reduction.²⁵ The reduced system consists of

the generator nodes only, and the parameters B_{jk} and P_j^m represent effective values characterizing the reduced network.

The negligence of line losses is a common simplification in power grid stability assessment as the ohmic resistance is typically much smaller than the susceptance in high-voltage power transmission grids. This assumption is not valid in distribution grids where resistance and susceptance are comparable. Furthermore, losses are expected to become more important when the transmitted power is large, i.e., at the border of the stability region.

Stationary operation of a power grid corresponds to a state with constant voltages and perfect phase-synchronization, i.e., a point in configuration space where all E_j , ω_j , and $\delta_j - \delta_\ell$ are constant in time. The latter condition requires that all nodes rotate at the same frequency $\delta_j(t) = \Omega t + \delta_j^\circ$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$, such that we obtain the conditions

$$\dot{\omega}_j = \dot{E}_j = 0, \quad \dot{\delta}_j = \Omega \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (4)$$

Strictly speaking, we are searching for a stable limit cycle, but all points along the cycle are physically equivalent. We can focus on any point on the cycle as a representative for the equivalence class and call this an equilibrium in the following. Subsequently, the superscript $^\circ$ is used to denote the values of the rotor phase angle, frequency, and voltage in this equilibrium state. Perturbations along the cycle, where we add or subtract a global phase shift δ from all phases δ_j simultaneously, do not affect phase synchronization and thus can be excluded from the stability analysis. We will make this precise in Definition 1.

For the third-order model (3), an equilibrium state of the power grid is thus given by the nonlinear algebraic equations

$$\Omega = \omega_j^\circ, \quad (5a)$$

$$0 = \underbrace{P_j^m - D_j \Omega}_{=: P_j} + \sum_{\ell=1}^N E_j E_\ell^\circ B_{j,\ell} \sin(\delta_\ell^\circ - \delta_j^\circ), \quad (5b)$$

$$0 = E_j^f - E_j^\circ + (X_j - X'_j) \sum_{\ell=1}^N E_\ell^\circ B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_\ell^\circ - \delta_j^\circ). \quad (5c)$$

We note that many equilibria, stable and unstable, can exist in networks with sufficiently complex topology.²⁶

III. LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS

It is well-understood in the literature^{19,27} that local stability properties of an equilibrium, i.e., stability with respect to small perturbations, can be evaluated by linearizing the equations of motion (3). For linear stability analysis, we introduce perturbations ξ , ν , and ϵ

$$\delta_j(t) = \delta_j^\circ + \xi_j(t), \quad \omega_j(t) = \omega_j^\circ + \nu_j(t), \quad E_j(t) = E_j^\circ + \epsilon_j(t).$$

The main question is then whether the perturbations ξ , ν , and ϵ grow or decay over time. If all perturbations decay (exponentially), the equilibrium is said to be “linearly” stable. In the literature, this property is sometimes also called

“local (asymptotic/exponential) stability” or “small system stability,” cf. Refs. 27 and 28. We also refer to Ref. 19 for an overview of stability notions for power systems. Substituting the ansatz from above into (3), transferring to a frame of reference rotating with the frequency Ω , and keeping only terms linear in ξ_j , ν_j , and ϵ_j yields

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\xi}_j &= \nu_j, \\ M_j \dot{\nu}_j &= -D_j \nu_j - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \Lambda_{j,\ell} \xi_\ell + \sum_{\ell=1}^N A_{j,\ell} \epsilon_\ell, \\ T_j \dot{\epsilon}_j &= -\epsilon_j + (X_j - X'_j) \sum_{\ell=1}^N H_{j,\ell} \epsilon_\ell + \sum_{\ell=1}^N A_{j,\ell} \xi_\ell,\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

whereby $\Lambda, A, H \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{j,\ell} &= \begin{cases} -E_j^\circ E_\ell^\circ B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_\ell^\circ - \delta_j^\circ) & \text{for } j \neq \ell \\ \sum_{k \neq j} E_j^\circ E_k^\circ B_{j,k} \cos(\delta_k^\circ - \delta_j^\circ) & \text{for } j = \ell \end{cases} \\ A_{j,\ell} &= \begin{cases} -E_\ell^\circ B_{j,\ell} \sin(\delta_\ell^\circ - \delta_j^\circ) & \text{for } j \neq \ell \\ \sum_k E_k^\circ B_{j,k} \sin(\delta_k^\circ - \delta_j^\circ) & \text{for } j = \ell \end{cases} \\ H_{j,\ell} &= B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_\ell^\circ - \delta_j^\circ).\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Furthermore, we define the diagonal matrices M, D, X , and T (all in $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$) with elements $M_j, D_j, (X_j - X'_j)$, and T_j for $j = 1, \dots, N$, respectively. We note that all these elements are strictly positive.

Now we can recast (6) into matrix form, defining the vectors $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)^\top$, $\mathbf{v} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)^\top$, and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N)^\top$, where the superscript $\top$ denotes the transpose of a matrix or vector. We then obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}} \\ \dot{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbb{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ -M^{-1}\Lambda & -M^{-1}D & M^{-1}A^\top \\ T^{-1}XA & \mathbf{0} & T^{-1}(XH - \mathbb{I}) \end{pmatrix}}_{=J} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

An equilibrium is dynamically stable if small perturbations are exponentially damped. This is the case if the real part of all relevant eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix J are strictly smaller than zero.²⁷ If an eigenvalue μ has a positive real part, then the corresponding eigenmode grows exponentially as $e^{\Re(\mu)t}$ and the system is linearly unstable. If the real part equals zero, then the question for local stability cannot be decided using the linearization approach and a full nonlinear treatment based on a center-manifold approximation is necessary, see Ref. 27. Typically, power systems are nonlinearly unstable in this case.²⁹

We note that J always has one eigenvalue $\mu_1 = 0$ with the eigenvector $(\xi, \mathbf{v}, \epsilon)^\top = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0})^\top$. This corresponds to a global shift of the machines' phase angles which has no physical significance. We thus exclude this trivial eigenmode from the stability analysis in the following, i.e., we consider only perturbations from the orthogonal complement

$$\mathcal{D}_\perp = \{(\xi, \mathbf{v}, \epsilon)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} | \mathbf{1}^\top \xi = 0\}. \quad (9)$$

Similarly, we define the projection onto the angle and voltage subspaces (omitting frequency)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp = \{(\xi, \epsilon)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} | \mathbf{1}^\top \xi = 0\}, \quad (10)$$

and the angle subspace (omitting frequency and voltage)

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\perp = \{\xi^\top \in \mathbb{R}^N | \mathbf{1}^\top \xi = 0\}. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, we fix the ordering of all eigenvalues such that

$$\mu_1 = 0, \quad \Re(\mu_2) \leq \Re(\mu_3) \leq \dots \leq \Re(\mu_{3N}). \quad (12)$$

We then have the following consistent definition of linear stability transversal to the limit cycle (cf. Ref. 27).

Definition 1. The equilibrium $(\delta_j^\circ, \omega_j^\circ, E_j^\circ)$ is linearly stable if $\Re(\mu_n) < 0$ for all eigenvalues $n = 2, \dots, 3N$ of the Jacobian matrix J defined in (8).

We note that an eigenvalue μ_n can be complex, but then also the complex conjugate μ_n^* is an eigenvalue. Complex eigenvalues correspond to oscillatory modes, i.e., the variables oscillate around the equilibrium with a growing or shrinking amplitude. Indeed, the following lemma shows that only damped oscillations are possible.

Lemma 1. If an eigenvalue is complex $\Im(\mu_n) \neq 0$, then its real part is strictly negative $\Re(\mu_n) < 0$.

Proof. Recall the eigenvalue problem for the Jacobian

$$J \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Decomposition yields

$$\mathbf{v} = \mu \xi, \quad (13a)$$

$$-\Lambda \xi - D \mathbf{v} + A^\top \epsilon = \mu M \mathbf{v}, \quad (13b)$$

$$XA \xi + (XH - \mathbb{I}) \epsilon = \mu T \epsilon. \quad (13c)$$

Substituting (13a) into (13b) and multiplying (13c) with X^{-1} yields

$$-\Lambda \xi - \mu D \xi + A^\top \epsilon = \mu^2 M \xi, \quad (14a)$$

$$A \xi + (H - X^{-1}) \epsilon = \mu X^{-1} T \epsilon. \quad (14b)$$

Multiplying the two last equations with the Hermitian conjugates $\xi^\dagger$ and $\epsilon^\dagger$ from the left, respectively, and equating $(\xi^\dagger A^\top \epsilon)^\dagger = \epsilon^\dagger A \xi$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^2 \langle \xi, M \xi \rangle + \mu \langle \xi, D \xi \rangle - \mu^* \langle \epsilon, T X^{-1} \epsilon \rangle \\ + \langle \xi, \Lambda \xi \rangle + \langle \epsilon, (H - X^{-1}) \epsilon \rangle = 0,\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard scalar product on $\mathbb{C}^N$. All matrices in these expressions are Hermitian such that all scalar products are real. Thus we can easily divide (15) into real and imaginary parts obtaining

$$2\Re(\mu) \Im(\mu) \langle \xi, M \xi \rangle + \Im(\mu) [\langle \xi, D \xi \rangle + \langle \epsilon, T X^{-1} \epsilon \rangle] = 0. \quad (16)$$

This condition can be satisfied in two ways:

- (1) $\Im(\mu) = 0$. In this case, we have a non-oscillatory mode, and ξ and ϵ can be chosen real. This case is analyzed in Lemma 2.
- (2) If $\Im(\mu) \neq 0$, we have a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenmode describes a damped or amplified oscillation. In this case, we can divide Eq. (16) by $\Im(\mu)$ and solve for $\Re(\mu)$ with the result

$$\Re(\mu) = -\frac{\langle \epsilon, TX^{-1}\epsilon \rangle + \langle \xi, D\xi \rangle}{2\langle \xi, M\xi \rangle}. \quad (17)$$

As all matrices T , X , D , and M are diagonal with only positive entries, we obtain that $\Im(\mu) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Re(\mu) < 0$. $\square$

As all oscillatory modes are damped, we can concentrate on real eigenvalues, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, in the following.

Lemma 2. *The equilibrium $(\delta_j^\circ, \omega_j^\circ, E_j^\circ)$ is linearly stable if and only if the matrix*

$$\Xi = \begin{pmatrix} -\Lambda & A^\top \\ A & H - X^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

is negative definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$.

Proof. By contradiction. We first consider the case that Ξ is negative definite and show that this implies $\mu < 0$. We start from (14) for the eigenvalues μ and eigenvectors of J , which is rearranged into a matrix form

$$(\Xi + C(\mu)) \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$C(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu^2 M - \mu D & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu X^{-1} T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Now let Ξ be negative definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. If we assume that $\mu \geq 0$ is an eigenvalue, the matrix $C(\mu)$ is negative semi-definite. Thus, the sum of the two matrices $\Xi + C(\mu)$ is also negative definite such that Eq. (19) cannot be satisfied. Thus an eigenvalue with $\mu \geq 0$ does not exist and the system is linearly stable.

Second, consider the case that the matrix Ξ is not negative definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. We then find an eigenvalue $\beta \geq 0$ with corresponding eigenvector $(\xi, \epsilon)^\top$. We can assume that $\epsilon \neq \mathbf{0}$ because otherwise

$$\Xi \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \beta \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

would imply that $\Lambda\xi = \mathbf{0}$, and it would mean that either $\xi = \mathbf{0}$ or $\xi \propto \mathbf{1}$. The first option is impossible because this would imply $(\xi, \epsilon)^\top = \mathbf{0}$; the second is ruled out by the assumption that $(\xi, \epsilon)^\top \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$.

Then, we evaluate the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}^\top J \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T^{-1}X \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ -\Lambda & -D & A^\top \\ A & 0 & H - X^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T^{-1}X \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta M^{-1}\xi \\ \beta T^{-1}X\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \beta \epsilon^\top T^{-1}X\epsilon \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

whereby the last inequality follows from X, T being positive definite. Thus, the Jacobian is not negative definite on $\mathcal{D}_\perp$, and the equilibrium is not linearly stable. $\square$

IV. ROUTES TO INSTABILITY

We can obtain further insights into the stability properties by decomposing the system dynamics into its rotor-angle and voltage parts (cf. Refs. 19 and 30). We start with the following proposition, which comes in two versions.

Proposition 1. (Sufficient and necessary stability conditions).

- (I) *The equilibrium $(\delta_j^\circ, \omega_j^\circ, E_j^\circ)$ is linearly stable if and only if (a) the matrix Λ is positive definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$ and (b) the matrix $H - X^{-1} + \Lambda\Lambda^+A^\top$ is negative definite, where Λ^+ is the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of Λ .*
- (II) *The equilibrium $(\delta_j^\circ, \omega_j^\circ, E_j^\circ)$ is linearly stable if and only if (a) the matrix $H - X^{-1}$ is negative definite and (b) the matrix $\Lambda + A^\top(H - X^{-1})^{-1}A$ is positive definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$.*

Proof. The results follow from Lemma 2 using the Schur complement.³¹ We demonstrate the proof for Part I. The proof for criterion II is obtained analogously.

First, on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$, the matrix Ξ can be decomposed into

$$\Xi = U^\top \begin{pmatrix} -\Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & H - X^{-1} + \Lambda\Lambda^+A^\top \end{pmatrix} U, \quad (23)$$

with

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & -\Lambda^+A^\top \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

We define

$$\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_a \ \mathbf{y}_v)^\top = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}. \quad (25)$$

Lemma 3 given in the [Appendix](#) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp &\iff \mathbf{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp, \\ \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} &\iff \mathbf{y}_a, \mathbf{y}_v \neq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

According to Lemma 2, an equilibrium is stable if and only if

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{x} < 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp, \quad \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

By the use of Eqs. (23) and (26), the above condition is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{y}_a^\top \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{y}_a + \mathbf{y}_v^\top (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top) \mathbf{y}_v < 0, \\ \forall \mathbf{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp, \quad \mathbf{y}_a, \mathbf{y}_v \neq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the equilibrium $(\delta_j^\circ, \omega_j^\circ, E_j^\circ)$ is stable if and only if $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is positive definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$ and $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top$ is negative definite. $\square$

We are especially interested in classifying possible routes to instability in the third-order model, i.e., we want to understand how a stable equilibrium can be lost if the system parameters are varied. Proposition 1 provides the basis for this task as it shows where instabilities emerge. Depending on which of the criteria in the corollary is violated, the instability can be attributed to the angular or the voltage subsystem or both. This analysis will furthermore reveal stabilizing factors of the third-order model.

A. Rotor-angle stability

An equilibrium becomes unstable when the Condition I (a) in Proposition 1 is violated, i.e., when the matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is no

longer positive definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. This corresponds to a pure angular instability which can be seen as follows. If we artificially fix the voltages of all nodes, we arrive at a second-order model, commonly referred to as classical model,⁹ structure-preserving model¹⁶ or oscillator model^{32,33} in the literature. In this case, we have $\epsilon \equiv 0$ and the linearized equations of motion (8) reduce to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda} & -\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This system is stable if and only if $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is positive definite (cf. Ref. 29). Thus Condition I (a) includes a condition for the stability of the rotor-angle subsystem alone.

For a system of two machines $j = 1, 2$ connected by one transmission line, this stability criterion is easily understood. The matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is positive definite on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$ if and only if the phase difference satisfies $\cos(\delta_2^\circ - \delta_1^\circ) > 0$. This is a well-known criterion for stability extensively discussed in the literature.^{9,10,34} Stability is lost if the real power transmitted between the two nodes, which is

$$P_1 = E_1^\circ E_2^\circ B_{1,2} \sin(\delta_1^\circ - \delta_2^\circ),$$

is strongly increased. Typically, the phase difference $\delta_1^\circ - \delta_2^\circ$ grows with P_1 until it reaches $\pi/2$ where the grid becomes unstable.

An example of such a pure angle instability is shown in Fig. 1. For $X_j - X'_j = 0$, the voltages are fixed as $E_j^\circ = E_j^f$ such that we can restrict our analysis to the stability of the rotor-angle subsystem. Stable operation is possible as long as $P_1 < P_{\max} = B_{1,2} E_1^f E_2^f$ as elaborated above. As $P_1 \rightarrow P_{\max}$, the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ approaches zero, and the stable equilibrium is lost in a saddle-node bifurcation as studied in detail in Refs. 21 and 29.

FIG. 1. Example of a pure angle instability in a system of two coupled machines. When the transmitted power $P_1 = -P_2$ is increased towards a critical value $P_{\max}$, one eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ (shown on the lower panel) approaches zero. At once, one eigenvalue of the Jacobian $\mathbf{J}$ of the full dynamical system approaches zero and stability is lost as described by Proposition 1. At the critical value, the equilibrium is lost in an inverse saddle-node bifurcation, corresponding to the border of the regions I and II in the stability map in Fig. 4. The remaining parameters are $X_1 - X'_1 = X_2 - X'_2 = 0$, $B_{1,1} = B_{2,2} = -0.8$, $B_{1,2} = B_{2,1} = 1.0$, $E_1^f = E_2^f = 1.0$, $D = 0.2$, $M = 1$, $T = 2$ in per unit system.

This result can be generalized to larger networks in the following way. We assume that the network is connected; otherwise, we can consider every connected component separately. A transmission line (i, j) is called a “critical line” if the angle difference across (i, j) satisfies

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \leq |\theta_i - \theta_j| \pmod{2\pi} \leq \frac{3\pi}{2},$$

otherwise it is called a non-critical line. We then have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *If there is no critical line in the network, then the matrix Λ is positive definite on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp}$.*

This result follows from the fact that Λ is a Laplacian matrix of a graph with weights $w_{ij} = E_i^{\circ} E_j^{\circ} B_{i,j} \cos(\delta_j^{\circ} - \delta_i^{\circ})$. If no critical line exists, Λ is a conventional Laplacian, which is extensively studied in the literature.^{35–37} In particular, all eigenvalues are positive except for one zero eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector 1, i.e., a global shift of the voltage phase angles which has no physical significance as discussed above.

The general case of a signed Laplacian with possibly negative weights w_{ij} has been studied in detail only recently.^{29,34,38,39} Typically only few critical lines are present such that it is insightful to analyze how stability depends on the properties of these edges.³⁹ One can draw an analogy to resistor networks, where $1/w_{ij}$ is taken as the direct resistance of each edge in the network. The effective resistance between a pair of nodes is then defined as the voltage drop inducing a unit current between the respective nodes.

If only one critical line is present, its direct resistance is negative. However, the Laplacian matrix remains positive semidefinite as long as the effective resistance of the critical line remains positive.⁴⁰

This result has been generalized to the case of several critical lines as follows. Let G_{-} be the subgraph containing all vertices but only the critical edges and let F_{-} be a spanning forest of this subgraph. We denote the node-edge incidence matrix of the spanning forest as D_{-} . Then the matrix

$$\Gamma_{-} = D_{-} \Lambda^{+} D_{-}^{\top}$$

is an effective resistance matrix: the diagonal elements are the effective resistances of the respective edges and the non-diagonal elements are mutual effective resistances. One then finds the following.

Corollary 2. *The signed Laplacian Λ is positive definite on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp}$ if and only if the matrix Γ_{-} is positive definite.³⁸*

B. Voltage stability

Condition II (a) in Proposition 1 describes the stability of the voltage subsystem alone. To see this, we artificially fix the phase angles and frequencies, i.e., we set $\xi \equiv \nu \equiv 0$ and consider only perturbations of the voltages ϵ . The linearized equations of motion (8) then reduce to

$$\dot{\epsilon} = T^{-1} X (H - X^{-1}) \epsilon.$$

This system is stable if and only if the matrix $H - X^{-1}$ is negative definite. If this condition is violated, we thus face a pure voltage instability. Rotor angles and frequencies and phase angles will be affected by such an instability, too, but the origin lies in the voltage subsystem.

To obtain a deeper understanding of this condition, we first consider a system of only two machines $j = 1, 2$ connected by one transmission line. Then we have

$$H - X^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} - (X_1 - X'_1)^{-1} & B_{1,2} \cos(\delta_1^{\circ} - \delta_2^{\circ}) \\ B_{2,1} \cos(\delta_2^{\circ} - \delta_1^{\circ}) & B_{2,2} - (X_2 - X'_2)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The cosine is positive since otherwise the rotor-angle instability would arise; $X_j - X'_j$ and $B_{j,\ell}$ are positive for $j \neq \ell$ while $B_{j,j} \leq 0$. Applying Silvester's criterion, we find that $H - X^{-1}$ is negative definite if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (B_{1,1} - (X_1 - X'_1)^{-1}) (B_{2,2} - (X_2 - X'_2)^{-1}) \\ & > B_{1,2} B_{2,1} \cos^2(\delta_1^{\circ} - \delta_2^{\circ}). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

For applications, it is desirable to find sufficient stability conditions which depend purely on the machine parameters and not on the state variables. Using the bound $\cos^2(\delta_1^{\circ} - \delta_2^{\circ}) \leq 1$, a sufficient condition for voltage stability is obtained

$$(B_{1,1} - (X_1 - X'_1)^{-1}) (B_{2,2} - (X_2 - X'_2)^{-1}) > B_{1,2} B_{2,1}.$$

This condition is satisfied if

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1 - X'_1) &< (B_{1,1} + B_{1,2})^{-1} \quad \text{and} \\ (X_2 - X'_2) &< (B_{2,1} + B_{2,2})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Thus, voltage stability is threatened if the difference between the static and transient reactances $(X_j - X'_j)$ becomes too large. An example for this route to instability is shown in Fig. 2.

In more detail, we consider two identical machines running idle, i.e., no power is transmitted $P_1 = P_2 = 0$. In this case, the sufficient conditions (28) for stability are also necessary conditions and the stable equilibrium is lost at the critical value

$$(X - X')_{\text{crit}} = (B_{1,1} + B_{1,2})^{-1}. \quad (29)$$

When $X - X'$ is increased above this critical value, an eigenvalue of the matrix $H - X^{-1}$ crosses zero. Then also an eigenvalue of the Jacobian J of the full dynamical system crosses zero and the equilibrium becomes unstable, as described by Proposition 1.

This result can be generalized to larger systems comprised of more than two machines. Next, we present a sufficient and a necessary condition for the stability of the voltage subsystem alone. Again, we will support our proposal for small values of $(X_j - X'_j)$ for ensuring voltage stability.

Corollary 3. *If for all nodes $j = 1, \dots, N$*

FIG. 2. Example of a voltage instability in a system of two coupled identical machines. As the parameter $X - X'$ approaches the critical value given by Eq. (29), the nodal voltages tend to infinity and the eigenvalues of matrices $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{J}$ tend to 0. The instability corresponds to the border of the regions I and III in the global stability map in Fig. 4. The remaining parameters are $P_1 = -P_2 = 0.5$, $B_{1,1} = B_{2,2} = -0.8$, $B_{1,2} = B_{2,1} = 1.0$, $E_1^f = E_2^f = 1.0$, $D = 0.2$, $M = 1$, $T = 2$ in per unit system.

$$(X_j - X'_j)^{-1} > \sum_{\ell=1}^N B_{j,\ell},$$

then the matrix $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}$ is negative definite.

Proof. By applying Gershgorin's circle theorem⁴¹ to the general form of the matrix $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}$, the following condition for eigenvalues λ_j is obtained:

$$|\lambda_j - (B_{j,j} - (X_j - X'_j)^{-1})| \leq \left| \sum_{\ell \neq j}^N B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_j^\circ - \delta_\ell^\circ) \right|,$$

with the radius of the disk $\sum_{\ell \neq j}^N B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_j^\circ - \delta_\ell^\circ)$ centered at $B_{j,j} - (X_j - X'_j)^{-1}$. The matrix is negative definite if and only if all the eigenvalues lie in the left half of the complex plane, which is guaranteed if

$$B_{j,j} - (X_j - X'_j)^{-1} + \sum_{\ell \neq j}^N B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_j^\circ - \delta_\ell^\circ) < 0.$$

Using the bound $\cos(\delta_j^\circ - \delta_\ell^\circ) \leq 1$, a sufficient condition for negative definiteness is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} B_{j,j} - (X_j - X'_j)^{-1} + \sum_{\ell \neq j}^N B_{j,\ell} &< 0 \\ \iff (X_j - X'_j)^{-1} &> \sum_{\ell=1}^N B_{j,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4. If for any subset of nodes $\mathcal{S} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} (X_j - X'_j)^{-1} \leq \sum_{j, \ell \in \mathcal{S}} B_{j,\ell} \cos(\delta_\ell^\circ - \delta_j^\circ), \quad (30)$$

then the matrix $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}$ is not negative definite and the equilibrium is linearly unstable.

Proof. This result follows from evaluating the expression $\mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}) \mathbf{x}$ for a trial vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with entries $x_j = 1 \forall j \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x_j = 0 \forall j \notin \mathcal{S}$.

C. Mixed instability

The interplay of voltage and angle dynamics can lead to a third type of instability. A genuine mixed instability is observed if the Conditions I (a) and II (a) in Proposition 1 are satisfied such that no 'pure' angle or voltage instability occurs, but one of the Conditions I (b) or II (b) is violated. An example of a mixed instability is shown in Fig. 3. The matrices quantifying voltage stability ($\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}$) and angle stability ($\mathbf{\Lambda}$) remain negative and positive definite, respectively, but still stability is lost in a saddle node bifurcation when the transmitted power is increased.

It should be noted that a mixed instability is not exceptional, but the typical case. In the case of two coupled machines, a pure angle instability is observed only if $X_j - X'_j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$ (cf. Fig. 1). If $X_j - X'_j > 0$, an increase in the transmitted power can only lead to a mixed instability.

The emergence of mixed instabilities demands more rigid requirements for stability as discussed above. Based on Proposition 1, we derive necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of network connectivity measures.

Definition 2. Given the ordering (12), the second smallest eigenvalue λ_2 of the Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is known as the Fiedler value or algebraic connectivity of a network.³⁶ The associated eigenvector $\mathbf{v}_F$ is the Fiedler vector.⁴²

The algebraic connectivity λ_2 is a measure of the connectivity of a graph, embodying its topological structure and connectedness. For a conventional graph with positive edge weights, the algebraic connectivity is greater than 0 if and only if the graph is connected (cf. 1).

FIG. 3. Example of a mixed instability in a system of two coupled machines. As the transmitted power $P_1 = -P_2$ increases to a critical value, a stable equilibrium (solid lines) and an unstable equilibrium (green dashed line) annihilate in an inverse saddle node bifurcation. The bifurcation corresponds to the border of the regions I and II in the stability map in Fig. 4. Shown are the state variables $E_1^o = E_2^o$ and the relative phase δ_{12}^o and the eigenvalues describing linear stability. Only the largest eigenvalues are shown for Λ and J . The remaining parameters are $X_1 - X'_1 = X_2 - X'_2 = 1$, $B_{1,1} = B_{2,2} = -0.8$, $B_{1,2} = B_{2,1} = 1.0$, $E_1^f = E_2^f = 1.0$, $D = 0.2$, $M = 1$, $T = 2$ in per unit system.

In the limit $(X_j - X'_j) \equiv 0$ (no voltage dynamics), a necessary and sufficient condition for stability is that the Laplacian Λ is positive definite on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$ which is equivalent to

$$\lambda_2 \stackrel{!}{>} 0, \quad (31)$$

as discussed in detail in Sec. IV A. We can extend this necessary condition for the algebraic condition for small $(X_j - X'_j)$ by means of a Taylor expansion. To leading order, the necessary connectivity always increases with $(X_j - X'_j)$.

Corollary 5. *A necessary condition for the stability of an equilibrium point is given by*

$$\lambda_2 > \sum_{j=1}^N (X_j - X'_j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^N A_{jk} v_{Fk} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}((X_j - X'_j)^2),$$

where $\mathbf{v}_F$ denotes the Fiedler vector for $(X_j - X'_j) \equiv 0$.

Proof. We denote by $\mathbf{v}_F$ the normalized Fiedler vector at $(X_j - X'_j) \equiv 0$ and by $\mathbf{v}'_F$ the actual normalized Fiedler vector for the particular non-zero value of the $(X_j - X'_j)$. Clearly, we have

$$\mathbf{v}'_F = \mathbf{v}_F + \mathcal{O}((X_j - X'_j)^1).$$

Furthermore, we use the expansion

$$(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{H})^\ell,$$

such that

$$(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} = \mathbf{X} + \mathcal{O}((X_j - X'_j)^2).$$

Now, Condition II (b) in Proposition 1 reads

$$\forall \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^\top \Lambda \mathbf{y} > \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}.$$

Choosing one particular vector $\mathbf{y}$, one obtains a necessary condition for stability. Picking $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{v}'_F$, we find

$$\lambda_2 > \mathbf{v}'_F{}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}'_F,$$

and expanding the right-hand side to leading order in $(X_j - X'_j)$ yields

$$\lambda_2 > \mathbf{v}_F{}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_F + \mathcal{O}((X_j - X'_j)^2).$$

The matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is diagonal such that we can evaluate the expression further to obtain

$$\lambda_2 > \sum_{j=1}^N (X_j - X'_j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^N A_{jk} v_{Fk} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}((X_j - X'_j)^2). \quad \square$$

Furthermore, we can derive two sufficient conditions for stability in terms of the algebraic connectivity. These results show that in the limit of high connectivity only the pure voltage dynamics determines the stability of the full system.

Corollary 6. *If the algebraic connectivity is positive $\lambda_2 > 0$ and for all nodes $j = 1, \dots, N$, we have*

$$(X_j - X'_j)^{-1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^N B_{j\ell} > \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{A}^\top\|_2}{\lambda_2}, \quad (32)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the operator ℓ_2 -norm, then an equilibrium point is stable.

Proof. (1) If $\lambda_2 > 0$, this directly implies that $\mathbf{A}$ is positive definite on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$ and condition I (a) in Proposition 1 is satisfied.

(2) Using Gershgorin's circle theorem as in the proof of Corollary 3, we find that the condition (32) implies that $\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H} - \lambda_2^{-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{A}^\top\|_2 \mathbf{I}$ is positive definite on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. Noting that $\lambda_2^{-1} = \|\mathbf{A}^+\|_2$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \mathbf{y} : \quad \mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{y} &> \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{A}^+\|_2 \|\mathbf{A}^\top\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A}^\top\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \\ &\geq \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Hence, matrix $\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A}^\top$ is positive definite on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. Condition I (b) in Proposition 1 is satisfied and the equilibrium is stable. $\square$

Corollary 7. *If the voltage subsystem is stable, i.e. $\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H}$ is positive definite, and the algebraic connectivity satisfies*

$$\lambda_2 > \|\mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}\|_2, \quad (34)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the operator ℓ_2 -norm, then an equilibrium point is stable.

Proof. (1) By assumption, we have $\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H}$ positive definite such that Condition II (a) is satisfied in Proposition 1.

(2) Furthermore, Assumption (34) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \mathbf{y} \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\perp : \quad \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} &\geq \lambda_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \\ &> \|\mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \\ &\geq \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}, \end{aligned}$$

such that the matrix $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}$ is positive definite on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$ and Condition II (b) in Proposition 1 is also satisfied. Hence the equilibrium is stable. $\square$

V. EXISTENCE OF EQUILIBRIA AND STABILITY MAP IN TWO BUS SYSTEMS

The analysis of the Jacobian reveals the stability of a given equilibrium and different routes to instability. We now investigate when a stable equilibrium exists and derive the global stability map of the grid. We focus on an elementary network of two coupled machines, one with positive effective power $P_1 > 0$ and one with negative effective power $P_2 = -P_1$. All other machine parameters are assumed to be identical, $E_2^f = E_1^f > 0$, $X_2 - X'_2 = X_1 - X'_1 > 0$, $B_{2,2} = B_{1,1} < 0$, and $B_{2,1} = B_{1,2} > 0$.

This example allows for a fully analytical solution of the equilibrium equation (5). Stability requires that phase difference satisfies $\delta_1 - \delta_2 \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. Equation (5b) in (5) can then be solved for the phase difference

$$\delta_1^\circ - \delta_2^\circ = \arcsin\left(\frac{P_1}{B_{1,2} E_1^\circ E_2^\circ}\right). \quad (35)$$

Subtracting (5c) for one machine from that for the other machine, one can show that the voltages at both machines must be identical in a stable equilibrium

$$E_2^\circ = E_1^\circ.$$

Using the result (35), we can now eliminate the phases from (5c). We are left with an equation containing only the state variable E_1°

$$\begin{aligned} &\{[(X - X')B_{1,1} - 1]^2 - (X - X')^2 B_{1,2}^2\} E_1^{\circ 4} + E_1^{\circ 2} E_1^{\circ 2} \\ &+ 2[(X - X')B_{1,1} - 1] E_1^{\circ 3} + (X - X')^2 P_1^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

This is a fourth-order polynomial in E_1° , which can be solved analytically leading to rather lengthy expressions. More importantly, the fundamental theorem of algebra tells us that there are exactly four solutions for a given set of system parameters. Discarding solutions where $E_{1,2}^\circ$ or $\delta_1 - \delta_2$ are complex or $E_{1,2}^\circ < 0$, which are physically not meaningful, we obtain a set of equilibria, which can be stable or unstable, though.

Solving Eq. (36) as a function of the system parameters provides a general stability map and bifurcation set. Here, we focus on the dependence on the machine parameters $P_1 = -P_2$ and $X - X'$, while keeping the transmission system parameters fixed. The stability map in Fig. 4 reveals three qualitatively different regimes. In region I, the polynomial (36) has two real roots, one corresponding to a stable and one to an unstable equilibrium. Hence a stable operation of the grid is possible.

FIG. 4. Stability map for a system of two coupled identical machines. A stable equilibrium exists only in region I. Stability is lost at the boundary to region II in an inverse saddle node bifurcation (see Figs. 1 and 2 for examples). At the boundary to region III, the voltage $E_1^\circ = E_2^\circ$ diverges and changes sign such that the equilibrium becomes unphysical. This corresponds to a pure voltage instability shown in Fig. 3. The stability map is obtained from the solution of the fourth order polynomial (36). At the boundary I-II, two real solutions become complex and the discriminant/determinant of the polynomial changes sign.

The phase difference decreases steadily with the increase in $X - X'$, whereas the nodal voltages increase. Notably, the maximum transmitted power (the maximum power P_1 for which a stable solution exists, corresponding to the boundary of regions I and II) first decreases with $X - X'$ and then increases for larger values of $X - X'$ due to 2nd power dependence on the voltages on nodes. Near the border of the two regimes I-III, the maximum transmitted power is effectively infinite, as the voltage diverges.

When the system is approaching the “phase border” between region I and II in the parameter space, two equilibria, stable and unstable, merge in an inverse saddle-node bifurcation, and the equilibrium is lost, accompanied with the birth of 2 complex roots of the polynomial (36). The border line can be obtained analytically from the determinant of the fourth-order polynomial (36) as it sign changes from $-$ to $+$ when passing through the line I-II. Therefore, in region II, all four roots of (36) are complex and no stable equilibrium exists. For $X - X' = 0$, the saddle-node bifurcation corresponds to a pure rotor-angle instability (cf. Fig. 1), and for other values of $X - X'$ it corresponds to a mixed instability, cf. Fig. 3.

In region III, the polynomial (36) has two complex and two real roots with opposite signs. Only the positive real root corresponds to a physical solution, but it is always unstable, such that no stable operation is possible. By approaching the border I-III from below, the coefficient of the fourth power in the polynomial (36) becomes infinitesimally small. Consequently, the magnitude of one root goes to infinity on the border, thereby changing its sign from positive in region I to negative in region III (cf. Fig. 2).

In physical terms, the voltage magnitude increases with $X - X'$ until it diverges on the border of the regions I-III. On the border, one faces a pure voltage instability as illustrated in Fig. 2. No stable operation is possible if the difference of static and transient reactance $X - X'$ exceeds a critical value (region III). Notably the divergence of the voltage magnitude implies that the phase difference $\delta_1^\circ - \delta_2^\circ \rightarrow 0$ on the I-III-border if the transmitted power is finite.

VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

This paper has investigated the third-order model of power system dynamics with a focus on the interplay of rotor-angle and voltage stability. We employed a linearization approach and derived necessary and sufficient conditions for local exponential stability and uncovered different routes to instability. For the simplified case of a two-bus system, the positions of the equilibria as well as a global stability map was obtained fully analytically.

Our paper provides several rigorous results which can deepen our understanding into the factors limiting power system stability. In particular, Proposition 1 provides a decomposition of the Jacobian into the angle and voltage subspace by means of the Schur decomposition and thus allows us to rigorously classify possible routes to instability. Stability of the angle subsystem requires that voltage phase angle differences remain small, see Corollaries 1 and 2. Stability of the voltage subsystem is threatened if the

difference of the static and transient reactances $X - X'$ becomes too large as expressed in Corollary 3 and 4. Mixed instabilities can emerge due to the interplay of both subsystems.

Furthermore, our paper provides analytical insights into how the structure of a network determines its dynamics by linking stability properties to measures of connectivity. Future research can deepen the understanding and the applicability of our result. In particular, our approach can be extended towards models of increasing complexity such as the fourth order model. Analytic results can be compared to numerical simulations to test how tight the derived bounds are and to clarify the importance of line losses for the stability problem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Helmholtz Association via the joint initiative “Energy System 2050—A Contribution of the Research Field Energy”. K.S., L.R.G., M.M., and D.W. also acknowledge support by the Helmholtz Association under Grant No. VH-NG-1025 to D.W. and by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF Grant No. 03SF0472). T.F. acknowledges further support from the Baden-Württemberg Stiftung in the Elite Programme for Postdocs. We thank Benjamin Schäfer, Moritz Thümmler, Kathrin Schmietendorf, Oliver Kamps, and Robin Delabays for stimulating discussions.

APPENDIX: CONSTRUCTION OF THE SCHUR DECOMPOSITION

Here, we summarize some technical results which are used to proof the main results of the paper.

Lemma 3. Consider the matrix U defined in (24). If $\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$, then also $\mathbf{y} = U\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$.

Proof. Let us write $\mathbf{x} = (\xi\epsilon)^\top$, then

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & -\Lambda^+ A^\top \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi - \Lambda^+ A^\top \epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

$\mathbb{I}\xi = 0$ because $\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. $\mathbb{I}\Lambda^+ A^\top \epsilon = 0$ because Λ^+ maps onto $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$ as Λ maps onto $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. Then $(\mathbb{I}\xi - \Lambda^+ A^\top \epsilon) = 0$ and therefore $\mathbf{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\perp$. Also $\mathbf{y} \neq 0$, as if it is equal to zero, then

$$\begin{cases} \xi - \Lambda^+ A^\top \epsilon = 0, \\ \epsilon = 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A2})$$

which is realized if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \xi = 0, \\ \epsilon = 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3})$$

meaning $\mathbf{x} = 0$, that contradicts with the condition $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$. $\square$

¹R. Sims *et al.*, “Integration of renewable energy into present and future energy systems,” in *IPCC Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation*, edited by O. Edenhofer *et al.*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2011), pp. 609–706.

²T. Pesch, H.-J. Allelein, and J.-F. Hake, “Impacts of the transformation of the German energy system on the transmission grid,” *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.* **223**, 2561 (2014).

- ³D. Witthaut, M. Rohden, X. Zhang, S. Hallerberg, and M. Timme, "Critical links and nonlocal rerouting in complex supply networks," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 138701 (2016).
- ⁴M. Anvari, G. Lohmann, M. Wächter, P. Milan, E. Lorenz, D. Heinemann, M. R. R. Tabar, and J. Peinke, "Short term fluctuations of wind and solar power systems," *New J. Phys.* **18**, 063027 (2016).
- ⁵K. Schmietendorf, J. Peinke, and O. Kamps, "On the stability and quality of power grids subjected to intermittent feed-in," *Eur. Phys. J. B* **90**, 222 (2017).
- ⁶B. Schäfer, M. Matthiae, X. Zhang, M. Rohden, M. Timme, and D. Witthaut, "Escape routes, weak links, and desynchronization in fluctuation-driven networks," *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 060203 (2017).
- ⁷B. Schäfer, C. Beck, K. Aihara, D. Witthaut, and M. Timme, "Non-Gaussian power grid frequency fluctuations characterized by Lévy-stable laws and superstatistics," *Nat. Energy* **3**, 119–126 (2018).
- ⁸A. Ulbig, T. S. Borsche, and G. Andersson, "Impact of low rotational inertia on power system stability and operation," *IFAC Proc.* **47**, 7290–7297 (2014).
- ⁹J. Machowski, J. Bialek, and J. Bumby, *Power System Dynamics, Stability and Control* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2008).
- ¹⁰P. W. Sauer and M. A. Pai, *Power System Dynamics and Stability* (Prentice Hall, Upper, Saddle, River, NJ, 1998).
- ¹¹T. Weckesser, H. Jóhannsson, and J. Østergaard, "Impact of model detail of synchronous machines on real-time transient stability assessment," in *2013 IREP Symposium on Bulk Power System Dynamics and Control-IX Optimization, Security and Control of the Emerging Power Grid (IREP)* (IEEE, 2013), pp. 1–9.
- ¹²F. Dörfler and F. Bullo, "Synchronization and transient stability in power networks and non-uniform Kuramoto oscillators," *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **50**, 1616–1642 (2010).
- ¹³F. Dörfler, M. Chertkov, and F. Bullo, "Synchronization in complex oscillator networks and smart grids," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 2005–2010 (2013).
- ¹⁴A. E. Motter, S. A. Myers, M. Anghel, and T. Nishikawa, "Spontaneous synchrony in power-grid networks," *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 191–197 (2013).
- ¹⁵B. Schäfer, M. Matthiae, M. Timme, and D. Witthaut, "Decentral smart grid control," *New J. Phys.* **17**, 015002 (2015).
- ¹⁶A. R. Bergen and D. J. Hill, "A structure preserving model for power system stability analysis," *IEEE Trans. Power Appar. Syst* **100**, 25 (1981).
- ¹⁷T. Nishikawa and A. E. Motter, "Comparative analysis of existing models for power-grid synchronization," *New J. Phys.* **17**, 015012 (2015).
- ¹⁸J. W. Simpson-Porco, F. Dörfler, and F. Bullo, "Voltage collapse in complex power grids," *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10790 (2016).
- ¹⁹P. Kundur, J. Paserba, V. Ajarapu, G. Andersson, A. Bose, C. Canizares, N. Hatziairgiyriou, D. Hill, A. Stankovic, C. Taylor, T. V. Cutsem, and V. Vittal, "Definition and classification of power system stability IEEE/CIGRE joint task force on stability terms and definitions," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* **19**, 1387–1401 (2004).
- ²⁰K. Schmietendorf, J. Peinke, R. Friedrich, and O. Kamps, "Self-organized synchronization and voltage stability in networks of synchronous machines," *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.* **223**, 2577 (2014).
- ²¹J. Ma, Y. Sun, X. Yuan, J. Kurths, and M. Zhan, "Dynamics and collapse in a power system model with voltage variation: The damping effect," *PLoS One* **11**, e0165943 (2016).
- ²²E. Ghahremani, M. Karrari, and O. Malik, "Synchronous generator third-order model parameter estimation using online experimental data," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.* **2**, 708–719 (2008).
- ²³M. Dehghani and S. K. Y. Nikravesh, "State-space model parameter identification in large-scale power systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* **23**, 1449–1457 (2008).
- ²⁴M. Karrari and O. Malik, "Identification of physical parameters of a synchronous generator from online measurements," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* **19**, 407–415 (2004).
- ²⁵F. Dörfler and F. Bullo, "Kron reduction of graphs with applications to electrical networks," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I* **60**, 150–163 (2013).
- ²⁶D. Manik, M. Timme, and D. Witthaut, "Cycle flows and multistability in oscillatory networks," *Chaos* **27**, 083123 (2017).
- ²⁷S. H. Strogatz, *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: With Applications to Physics, Biology, Chemistry, and Engineering* (Westview Press, Boulder, 2001).
- ²⁸M. Khalil, *Nonlinear Systems*, 3rd ed. (Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2002).
- ²⁹D. Manik, D. Witthaut, B. Schäfer, M. Matthiae, A. Sorge, M. Rohden, E. Katifori, and M. Timme, "Supply networks: Instabilities without overload," *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.* **223**, 2527 (2014).
- ³⁰C. Vournas, P. Sauer, and M. Pai, "Relationships between voltage and angle stability of power systems," *Int. J. Electr. Power* **18**, 493–500 (1996).
- ³¹F. Zhang, *The Schur Complement and Its Applications* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2006), Vol. 4.
- ³²G. Filatrella, A. H. Nielsen, and N. F. Pedersen, "Analysis of a power grid using a Kuramoto-like model," *Eur. Phys. J. B* **61**, 485 (2008).
- ³³M. Rohden, A. Sorge, M. Timme, and D. Witthaut, "Self-organized synchronization in decentralized power grids," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 064101 (2012).
- ³⁴W. Chen, D. Wang, J. Liu, T. Basar, K. H. Johansson, and L. Qiu, "On semidefiniteness of signed Laplacians with application to microgrids," *IFAC-PapersOnLine* **49**, 97–102 (2016).
- ³⁵R. Merris, "Laplacian matrices of graphs: A survey," *Linear Algebra Appl.* **197–198**, 143–176 (1994).
- ³⁶M. Fiedler, "Algebraic connectivity of graphs," *Czech. Math. J.* **23**, 298 (1973), available at <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101168>.
- ³⁷C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory* (Springer, New York, 2001), Vol. 207.
- ³⁸W. Chen, J. Liu, Y. Chen, S. Z. Khong, D. Wang, T. Basar, L. Qiu, and K. H. Johansson, "Characterizing the positive semidefiniteness of signed Laplacians via effective resistances," in *IEEE 55th Conf. Decision and Control* (2016), pp. 985–990.
- ³⁹Y. Song, D. J. Hill, and T. Liu, "Small-disturbance angle stability analysis of microgrids: A graph theory viewpoint," in *IEEE Conference on Control Applications (CCA)* (2015), pp. 201–206.
- ⁴⁰D. Zelazo and M. Bürger, "On the definiteness of the weighted Laplacian and its connection to effective resistance," in *IEEE 53rd Conference on Decision and Control* (2014), pp. 2895–2900.
- ⁴¹S. Gerschgorin, "Über die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix," *Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR Otd. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk* **6**, 749–754 (1931), available at <http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/izv5235>.
- ⁴²M. Fiedler, "A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its application to graph theory," *Czech. Math. J.* **25**, 619–633 (1975), available at <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101357>.