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1. Collecting the samples:
   Selective Dynamical Downscaling Method – Storm Episodes

1994 – 2016, 429 stormy days

5%
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2. Modeling the samples:


Optimalization of model setup
With consideration of:
1) Domain size
2) Domain location
3) Initial time
4) Simulation length
5) Spinning up time
6) Resolution
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2. Modeling the samples:

Two-way online
Nested 18-6-2km
36 hours for each run

WRF:
CFSR+OISST
77 vertical sigma levels
MYNN 3.0 PBL scheme
RRTM long and short wave radiation
Kain-Fritsch cumulus scheme (domain I)
Corine land use

WBLM

SWAN:
1/8 arc-minute bathymetry data
Initiated 24h before the simulation
Close boundary for open sea
36 directional bins.
0.03 Hz < f < 10.05 Hz (KOM and WBLM)
0.03 Hz < f < 0.57 Hz (JANS)
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2. Modeling the samples: the WBLM

The Wave Boundary Layer Model
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3. Validation of the modeling: general validation

- Point measurements (mast, buoy, lidar)
- Satellite data (SAR, Quikscat, cloud images)
- The literature


**Edson (2007):** CBLAST-LOW

**Donelan (2004):** Laboratory measurements in a wave tank (15m long x 1m wide x 1m high)
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3. Validation of the modeling: U50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Data length (years)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FINO1</td>
<td>6.588°E, 54.014°N</td>
<td>2004 - 2017</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINO2</td>
<td>13.1542°E, 55.007°N</td>
<td>2008 - 2017</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINO3</td>
<td>7.1583°E, 55.195°N</td>
<td>2010 - 2017</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Høvsøre</td>
<td>8.15°E, 56.433°N</td>
<td>2005 - 2017</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>7.875°E, 55.508°N</td>
<td>2000 - 2005</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2. For calculating the 50-year return value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak-Over-Threshold Method</th>
<th>Annual Maximum Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ U_T = u_0 + A \ln(\lambda T) ]</td>
<td>[ U_T = \alpha^{-1} \ln(T/T_{BP}) + \beta ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Peak-Over-Threshold Method**: Applied to measurements only
- **Annual Maximum Method**: to both measurements and modelled data
Results

Questions:

Have we captured the relevant storms?

How is the general model performance?

How is the estimate of U50, coupled vs not-coupled?
Question 2:
How is the general model performance?
Results

(a) FINO1

(b) FINO2

(c) FINO3

(d) Horns Rev

M2
Results

Question 3:
How is the estimate of U50?
Results

(a) U50 at 100 m, coupled

(b) U50 at 100 m, not-coupled

(a) – (b)

(a) – (b), smoothed
Results

(a) Entire data

(b) Overlapping Period

Table 1 – Basic parameters for wind turbine classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind turbine class</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{ref}}$  (m/s)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>Values specified by the designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: FINO 1
2: FINO 2
3: FINO 3
4: Høvsøre
5: Horns Rev M2
Summary

- Selective dynamical downscaling method is efficient and reliable
- The WRF-WBLM-SWAN model improves strong wind calculation in comparison with WRF-alone
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FIGURE 8 Examples of the wind fields in the presence of open cells: 2016-02-08 11:00 and 2016-12-24 18:00.