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Dual-Function Cobalt–Nickel Nanoparticles Tailored for High-Temperature Induction-Heated Steam Methane Reforming

Morten G. Vinum,* Mads R. Almind, Jakob S. Engbæk, Søren B. Vendelbo, Mikkel F. Hansen, Cathrine Frandsen, Jesper Bendix,* and Peter M. Mortensen*

Abstract: The tailored chemical synthesis of binary and ternary alloy nanoparticles with a uniform elemental composition is presented. Their dual use as magnetic susceptors for induction heating and catalytic agent for steam reforming of methane to produce hydrogen at temperatures near and above 800°C is demonstrated. The heating and catalytic performance of two chemically synthesized samples of CoNi and CuCoNi are compared and held against a traditional Ni-based reforming catalyst. The structural, magnetic, and catalytic properties of the samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction, elemental analysis, magnetometry, and reactivity measurements. For induction-heated catalysts, the conversion rate of methane is limited by chemical reactivity, as opposed to the case of traditional externally heated reformers where heat transport limitations are the limiting factor. Catalyst production by the synthetic route allows controlled doping with miniscule concentrations of auxiliary metals.

Direct heating of magnetic nanoparticles (NPs) by magnetic induction, including using magnetic hysteresis heating, holds many potential advantages over conventional heating as it allows for a vast number of new approaches to otherwise difficult tasks. The most prominent of these are drug release,[1,2] control of single-cell functions,[3] and disease treatment by hyperthermia.[4,5] While a collective feature of all these applications is the aim towards medical use, only few examples exist of industrial applications of magnetically heated NPs.[6] Herein, we present the preparation and implementation of a novel nanostructured material for hydrogen production via endothermic steam reforming of methane (SMR) using solely induction heating as energy source.[7]

Hydrogen is by far the most produced chemical worldwide on a molar basis.[8] To accommodate an ever-growing demand, efforts have been made to generate hydrogen from biofuels, electrolysis, wind, solar, and nuclear energy. The most affordable and efficient way to generate hydrogen is still various forms of steam reforming of hydrocarbons from fossil fuels.[9] The SMR takes place according to Equations (1) and (2):

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3 \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} \quad (\Delta H^\circ = 206.15 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (\Delta H^\circ = -41.16 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}) \quad (2)
\]

This overall endothermic reaction presents a challenge as it is only thermodynamically favored at temperatures approaching 700°C at ambient conditions, and even higher temperatures of 950°C are needed in industry owing to the high operational pressures used.[10]

A typical SMR plant for production of hydrogen generally consists of a pre-reformer followed by a tubular reformer, a shift converter, and final product purification (typically done by pressure swing adsorption (PSA)) in combination with expensive heat-exchange coils to minimize heat loss.[11] A long-standing challenge in hydrogen production has been the excessive heating needed in the reforming section of the current design, which, due to costly waste-heat sections, favors large-scale plants to achieve a feasible reforming economy. Usually, energy delivered to the reactor bed from the fired section is only around 50%, the rest is recovered in the flue gas.[10,12] This however dictates that a significant amount of energy is spent outside of the actual reaction chamber. Additionally, the start-up time of such plants is often on the order of a few days to ensure controlled heating of the large tubes with minimum risk of tube rupture. In stark contrast, induction heating provides rapid and efficient heating of ferromagnetic materials, and potentially the heat can be delivered directly in the catalyst, if such a magnetic catalyst can be realized. This requires special radiofrequency transparent reactors to avoid shielding effects between the catalyst and the induction coil. In a future hydrogen economy, we therefore envision induction-heated reforming as a small-scale hydrogen production technology with a fast start-up for ad hoc on-site supply of hydrogen.

Previously, induction heating of ferromagnetic Fe-based NPs was utilized in a liquid phase organic synthesis flow...
reactor in a series of pioneering studies,[13–15] although the NPs were only used for heating and did not supply a catalytic function. Others have later made research into magnetic zeolites and related systems, where NiFe-based particles may also play a catalytic role.[16,17] More recently, others reported iron-based core–shell NPs doped with precious metals as candidates for induction heated heterogeneous catalysis.[18,19] However, in case of SMR with reaction temperatures around 800°C, iron with a Curie temperature, T_C, of 770°C is not suitable as magnetic susceptor. The only element with a higher T_C than iron is cobalt (T_C = 1115°C)[20] and hence for induction heated SMR, the magnetic susceptor must be based on Co and alloys thereof.

Oxidation of Co readily takes place at the high temperatures and partial pressures of water relevant to the SMR process, and a significant challenge is to avoid oxidation of Co as this leads to the formation of antiferro- and paramagnetic phases.[21,22] Alloying with Ni provides a dual solution to this; as Ni is an excellent catalyst for endothermic SMR, and Ni stabilizes the Co phase against oxidation while maintaining activities similar to that of pure Ni.[23] Recently, we had some success with this idea in a proof-of-concept showing that it was indeed possible to heat such alloyed NPs to temperatures approaching the desired range, and to produce hydrogen at these elevated temperatures. However, owing to the serendipity of sequential impregnation used in the previous study, control over the microscopic metal distribution had to be renounced, evidenced by the large differences found in alloy compositions in that study, leaving only a small fraction with high T_C and a very small hysteresis opening (see below) accessible for the purpose of heating.[23–25]

Herein, to take control at the molecular level, we conceptually changed the approach to catalyst manufacture, by preparing both active NPs and inert carrier by a chemical synthesis route, with the aim of producing more stable and uniform NPs, accessible in the desired temperature regime. Forming the catalyst precursor in solution and then precipitating it by addition of base, guaranteed the homogeneity of the material. Subsequently, the material was reduced, hereby directly producing catalytic nanoparticles within a porous non-active carrier material. To benchmark the activity of the formed catalyst, we also synthesized a traditional Ni catalyst on MgAl_2O_4 support by the same route with a loading of 15 wt% Ni (1) as a reference, to mimic commercially available reforming catalyst,[19] denoted Ni-ref (Supporting Information, Table S1, Figure S1).

The synthetic procedure (see the Supporting Information and Figure S2 therein) uniformly gave a homogeneous compound, which could be tuned to accommodate a vast range of metals at different ratios. The initial precipitate was shown by XRD to consist of a spinel-type phase of Mg_2Co_3(1−x)Ni_xAl_2O_4 (where M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu as shown in the Supporting Information, Table S1, Figure S3). Here, we chose to focus on just two chemically synthesized samples, one un-doped sample with x = 0.5 (2a) hereafter CoNi, and a Cu-doped sample with x = 0.5 and y = 0.01 (2b) hereafter CuCoNi (Table 1). Following reduction of both samples in hydrogen, peaks originating from fcc-CoNi (Supporting Information, Figures S4 and S5) were identifi-

\[
T_C = 1115°C \quad \text{(Supporting Information, Table S1, Figure S1)}.
\]

Table 1: Selected properties of samples 1, 2a, and 2b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (Name)</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Activity[a] [mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹]</th>
<th>T_C [°C]</th>
<th>Ni-TOF[b] [s⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Ni-ref)</td>
<td>15.8wt% Ni: MgAl_2O_4</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>355[a]</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a (CoNi)</td>
<td>Co_0.5Ni_0.5Al_2O_4</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>892[a]</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b (Cu:CoNi)</td>
<td>0.36 wt% Cu:CoNi</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>875[a]</td>
<td>0.535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Measured at 450°C. [b] Estimated via the two-tangent method applied to the magnetic moment vs. temperature plots (see the Supporting Information and Figure S10 therein).
per exposed metal site (taking the size and metal distribution into account), the TOFs of CoNi and Cu\text{\%}CoNi were found to 75% and 91% of that of Ni-ref, respectively (Table 1). The observation that miniscule doping with Cu promotes activity of Ni catalysts in SMR concurs with previous results [26] and additional results obtained by traditional activity measurements (Supporting Information, Table S1). Any possible support related effects on the activity was not studied herein.

The magnetic moment of CoNi and Cu\text{\%}CoNi was measured versus temperature in a small applied magnetic field of 10 mT to determine the effective Curie temperature of the samples as well as to check for the presence of multiple magnetic phases (Figure 3). At temperatures below 800°C, the nanomaterial acted as a ferromagnet with a slow decrease of the magnetic moment upon heating. Above this temperature, the moment decreased more rapidly and reached a value close to zero at the maximum measurement temperature of 950°C. Effective Curie temperatures for CoNi and Cu\text{\%}CoNi were determined to $T_C = 892^\circ$C and $875^\circ$C, respectively (see the Supporting Information). The single rapid decrease in moment around 850°C or 825°C indicates a homogenous material with a narrow alloy composition in both samples in agreement with EDS results. The smaller $T_C$ for Cu\text{\%}CoNi relative to CoNi despite similar composition in EDS may be due to the small content of nonmagnetic Cu which was not evaluated by EDS.

Moreover, hysteresis measurements of CoNi and Cu\text{\%}CoNi in maximum applied magnetic fields $B_{\text{max}}$ ranging from 5 mT to 55 mT were conducted isothermally between temperatures of 200°C and 950°C in increments of 50°C (Supporting Information, Figures S11–S14). The area of the hysteresis loop is defined as:

$$W_H = \int_{B_{\text{app}}} s\sigma(B_{\text{app}})dB_{\text{app}},$$

where $\sigma$ [A/m²·kg⁻¹] is the specific magnetization (magnetic moment per sample mass), indicates the heat generated per cycle in units of J/kg upon cycling the applied magnetic field between $+B_{\text{max}}$ to $-B_{\text{max}}$ and back (Figure 4). From the measurements conducted on CoNi, it is clear that $W_H$ is still appreciably different from zero at temperatures above 800°C at moderate values of $B_{\text{max}}$. This suggests the possibility of using magnetic hysteresis heating at and above this temperature.

Identical measurements on Cu\text{\%}CoNi reveal a similar hysteretic behavior, which is not surprising given the similar magnetization curves (Supporting Information, Figures S15,S16). A reactor setup dedicated for induction-heated SMR was designed and used for the testing of these catalysts under operating conditions (see the Supporting Information). A reactor made of quartz was implemented to avoid any
magnetic shielding effects. Based on the composition of the outlet gas, the SMR equilibrium temperature, energy transfer, and conversion of feed was calculated. For both CoNi and Cu–CoNi, the calculated energy transfer to the process gas was around 3–20 W g⁻¹, (averaged over a sample mass of 10 g) as estimated from the change in enthalpy, depending on the flow-rate of reactants however, the actual transfer to the reactor may be higher owing to heat losses associated with the reactor insulation. In all measurements, a frequency of 69 kHz and field amplitudes of up to 42 mT was used. For simplicity, only flow rates of 51 and 152 Nlh⁻¹ are shown in Figure 5 (for a full view, see the Supporting Information, Figures S17 and S18).

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Influence of methane-flow on the conversion of CH₄ in the steam reforming reaction for a gas mixture treated over CoNi (blue) and Cu–CoNi (red) as a function of applied magnetic field. Inset: steam reforming equilibrium temperature as function of methane conversion at the experimental conditions. Inlet temperature ca. 200°C, S/C ≈ 2, Pₙₜ = 0 bar gauge. Gas composition is as explained in the text and the Supporting Information.

Traditionally, the limiting factor on the reaction rate in industrial type externally heated reformer tubes is not the catalyst activity but the heat transfer to the catalyst. This means that effectively only 10%, or less, of the catalyst activity is utilized, the rest being lost owing to transport restrictions of the heat flux in the reactor bed. Interestingly, the data for CoNi and Cu–CoNi showed the CH₄ conversion increasing with magnetic field until a certain point where the increase in field is accompanied only by a small increase in H₂. For certain gas flows, this maximum is reached at full conversion (equilibrium). The curvature observed on approaching equilibrium is caused by the catalyst operating at the kinetic limit, that is, the catalyst is limited by reaction kinetics and not by the heat transfer (Figure 5). This is an important observation, as it is in stark contrast to the externally fired case. Additionally, owing to the improved activity of Cu–CoNi, 95% conversion of methane to hydrogen was realized using roughly 15% smaller applied magnetic fields as compared to CoNi for flows of 152 Nlh⁻¹.

The robustness of CoNi was evaluated in a prolonged experiment with a constant flow-rate of 101 Nlh⁻¹ with a feed gas mixture of 29.7% CH₄, 59.5% H₂O, and 10.8% H₂ (mol %), an input temperature of 237°C, at close to atmospheric pressure, under an applied magnetic field of about 32 mT and a frequency of 69 kHz. Under these conditions, the conversion of methane was 90–95%, corresponding to an equilibrium temperature of 715°C. In the time frame of the experiment, which was more than 300 h, no significant decrease of the activity was observed as evidenced by the constant value of the methane conversion (Figure 6, red data points). The recorded time interval (ca. 14 days) is clearly much shorter than a typical reactor lifetime (years), but on the other hand, it is the time interval where most changes to the catalyst would be expected to occur. The drop observed in CH₄ conversion at 72 h is a result of water contamination of the gas chromatograph (GC) caused by a short power outage and does not represent an actual loss of catalyst performance. To avoid further damage, GC measurements after this point were performed in steps, roughly 24 h apart. The reactor outlet temperature was measured constantly throughout the experiment (black data points) using a Type-K thermocouple as described in the Supporting Information. Subsequently, the catalyst was unloaded and checked for changes in composition by XRD, ICP and STEM (Figure 2; Supporting Information, Figures S19–S21). All of these results underline the remarkable stability of the NP material, as no structural changes were found in addition to no carbon formation and no change of the Co wt% ratio, which was practically unchanged at 51.8 wt% (±2.0 wt%; Figure 2).

In summary, the preparation of a new chemically tailored nanostructured system was presented and its use in induction heated SMR was demonstrated. The chemical synthesis of metallic NPs allowed for the controlled production of a sample with a uniform and predictable Ni-Co alloy composition. Compared to previous ideas, the realization of well-defined NPs through chemical synthesis led to an
increase in both hysteresis opening and steam reforming catalytic activity, presumably caused by a larger fraction of the NPs being ferromagnetic at the elevated reaction temperature. As a result, a marked increase was found in the efficiency of the NPs in terms of energy conversion under induction-heated steam reforming using only a magnetic field generated from electrical current as a power source.

With similar or even slightly inferior magnetic properties, Cu₃CoNi demonstrated significantly improved reforming properties compared to CoNi, opening up for the possibility of producing even better catalysts by engineering the reactivity, as this is presently the limiting factor. Finally, we show the stability of chemically synthesized NPs to be excellent, and essentially inert to degradation in the first 300 h of operating at reforming conditions. Examining the CH₄ conversion under such conditions revealed that kinetic effects alone and not thermodynamics hampered the catalyst, opposed to the case of externally fired SMR, highlighting the excellent heat transfer from the induction field to the magnetic susceptor and active catalyst.

Combining chemically robust NPs with induction-heated catalysis may prove useful for many other industrial reactions, as the NPs can be modified to contain whatever active metal may be needed, and the operating temperature controlled by adjusting the applied field.

The implementation of induction heating in SMR may eliminate expensive waste-heat sections used in traditional methane reforming setups, significantly reducing the complexity of the process design and has the potential to drastically lower CO₂ emissions from the process.[20] This, together with the possibility of fast plant startup, holds promise for these materials as competitors for classical hydrogen plants or as part of ammonia plants in a future hydrogen economy and especially for ad hoc small-scale demands.
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