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Abstract

Lubrication oil for marine diesel engines contains additives in the form of CaCO3-based reverse micelles, which can neutralize condensing H2SO4, and thereby limit uncontrolled corrosive wear of the piston rings and cylinder liner. In the present work, the neutralization mechanism was studied experimentally and through modeling. Using a mixed flow reactor (MFR), the rate of the acid-base reaction was measured as a function of relevant process parameters. In addition, the competition between CaCO3 reverse micelles and NaOH droplets for a reaction with H2SO4 droplets in a lube oil emulsion was explored in a batch reactor. For the residence times investigated, the results show that CaCO3 conversion is significantly reduced when reaching a critically low Ca/S ratio. Furthermore, a mathematical model for the neutralization of H2SO4 droplets by CaCO3 reverse micelles in lube oil under well-mixed conditions was developed. Both the experimental data and simulations support previous results suggesting that the limiting step in the neutralization mechanism is adsorption of reverse micelles onto the much larger H2SO4 droplets. Using the video-microscopy experiments of Fu et al.
[Tribol. Lett. 2006, 22 (3), 221–225], it was possible to estimate kinetic parameters for the adsorption-controlled reaction. The model was used to predict conversion of H$_2$SO$_4$ in a lube oil film at the cylinder liner surface for conditions relevant for a full-scale application. Calculations indicated that H$_2$SO$_4$ may reach the liner surface regardless of how well-wetted the surface is.

1 Introduction

As a result of the economic crisis of 2008 and the abundance of ships in the shipping market, the slow-steaming operation principle for large two-stroke diesel engines emerged as a measure for increasing fuel efficiency. Use of slow-steaming, combined with new engine designs and tunings for further fuel savings, led to increased operating cylinder pressure and lower liner surface temperature.\textsuperscript{1} These changes in operating conditions result in increased water and acid condensation on the cylinder lubrication (lube) oil film on the cast iron liner surfaces,\textsuperscript{2–4} promoting a combination of corrosion and wear (cold corrosion) of the cylinder liners and piston rings and significantly reducing their lifetime.\textsuperscript{1,5–7} The condensing acid is mainly aqueous sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$), originating from oxidation of the sulfur-rich fuel oil burned in marine diesel engines.\textsuperscript{8,9} Commercial lube oils are typically formulated with calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) overbased detergent additives, present as nanometer-sized reverse micelles, which neutralize condensed H$_2$SO$_4$ in the lube oil film.\textsuperscript{10–16} To counteract the increased condensation rate of H$_2$SO$_4$, it is necessary to increase the amount of CaCO$_3$ being fed to the cylinder liners, which is preferably done by increasing the concentration of CaCO$_3$ in the lube oil, rather than the oil feed rate.\textsuperscript{1,2,17} Under-lubrication may result in cold corrosion, which can occur within hours,\textsuperscript{18} while over-lubrication is cost-intensive and may lead to bore-polish (creating a mirror-like cylinder liner surface), which prevents formation of a coherent lube oil film. Both may eventually result in scuffing (direct metal to metal contact).\textsuperscript{6,19} Actually, a certain degree of controlled corrosion is beneficial; if the cylinder liners are a little rough, they can better maintain a protective lube oil film.\textsuperscript{6,11} Therefore, to limit and control cold corrosion in engines, the lubrication strategy must be optimized. This is a challenge, especially when trying to set up general
recommendations. The situation gets even more complicated when switching fuel during service to comply with the new stricter sulfur regulations. An understanding of what affects and limits the reaction between the condensing H$_2$SO$_4$ and the neutralizing additives, CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles, in the lube oil can provide greater insight into how to optimize the lubrication strategy to limit cold corrosion.

The reaction between (sulfuric) acid droplets and CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles in lube oil has been investigated in laboratory experiments over a wide range of conditions. Data in batch mode were obtained using a video-microscopy technique, a stopped-flow method, and by monitoring the CO$_2$ formed. The video-microscopy experiments employed placing of single acid droplets (70-200 µm in diameter) in a batch of lube oil, followed by measuring the subsequent shrinkage of the acid droplets. These studies indicate that the controlling step of the neutralization reaction is adsorption of a reverse micelle onto the acid droplet. In the capillary video-microscopy technique investigations, CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles were present in great excess on a molar basis compared to H$_2$SO$_4$, while an excess of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ was used in the closed vessel experiments.

Within an engine, the reaction taking place in the lube oil occurs in a system with continuous feeding of fresh lube oil and condensation of H$_2$SO$_4$, followed by the removal of lube oil to the drain. Presumably, the lube oil film is effectively mixed by the motion of the piston rings. In order to provide a better approximation of the reaction conditions in the lube oil at the cylinder liners in a full-scale marine diesel engine, Lejre et al. used a mixed flow reactor (MFR) to study the reaction. They found that a certain intensity of stirring was required to initiate and maintain the reaction. Upon formation and stabilization of the H$_2$SO$_4$ into µm-sized droplets by the excess emulsifier molecules, a fast reaction occurred, as indicated by a sudden color change of the oil due to the formation of CO$_2$. The H$_2$SO$_4$-CaCO$_3$ reaction at steady-state was significantly reduced when a critically low Ca/S molar ratio was reached, in agreement with the observations of Roman. Lejre et al. suggested that macromixing or micelle adsorption/desorption could be the controlling step under their conditions.
Models for the neutralization reaction are scarce. Schramm et al.\textsuperscript{39} and Van Helden et al.\textsuperscript{40,41} modeled cylinder liner corrosion, assuming that the neutralization of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} by CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles was acid diffusion-controlled. However, this assumption is contradicted by more recent investigations. Hone et al.\textsuperscript{31} determined an activation energy, $E_a$, for the reaction of 54±2 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}, concluding that the neutralization reaction is not diffusion-controlled, because diffusion-controlled reactions usually have activation energies of less than circa 20 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}. The work of Hone et al. is supported by data from Fu et al.,\textsuperscript{24} who reported a 1000-fold increase in the neutralization rate when increasing the temperature from 25 to 170 °C, which corresponds to an activation energy of 52.8 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}.

The scope of the present work is to identify the rate-influencing steps (potentially a single rate-controlling one) in the neutralization reaction between H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets and CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles and to set up a mathematical model for the reaction system in a mixed flow reactor. The first part investigates the mechanism of the reaction and identifies the possible rate-limiting steps. Batch reactor experiments are conducted, comparing the reaction rate of CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles and NaOH droplets, respectively, with H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} in a lube oil, in order to assess the possibility of the reaction between CaCO\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} being diffusion-controlled. Next, a mathematical model for the reaction system in a mixed flow reactor is set up and kinetic data for the reaction are extracted from the video-microscopy experiments performed by Fu et al.\textsuperscript{24} Simulations are validated against experimental data from the MFR experiments in our previous\textsuperscript{38} and present work. Finally, the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}-CaCO\textsubscript{3} neutralization reaction is explored under conditions representative of a marine diesel engine.

2 Experimental work

Experiments involving the reaction between H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets and CaCO\textsubscript{3}-containing micelles in a lube oil were conducted in a reactor that could be operated both in batch and mixed-flow mode. The experimental procedure is described in detail in our previous work\textsuperscript{38} and is therefore only touched upon briefly here.
2.1 Materials

The commercial lube oil used for the experiments was from Infineum and had a stated base number value (BN) of 100. The BN is defined as the quantity of acid, expressed in terms of the equivalent number of milligrams of KOH required to neutralize all alkaline constituents in a one gram sample. The H2SO4 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich with a concentration of 95-98 wt.%. The NaOH solution used was 50 wt.% in water and also from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2 Reactor

Operation in mixed flow reactor (MFR) mode is described elsewhere. In short, H2SO4(aq) and lube oil were dosed separately to the reactor and mixed by a motor-driven stirrer. In MFR mode, the feeding was continuous and the level of oil emulsion in the reactor was kept constant by an outlet pump. By taking measurements of the inlet flow rate and reactor volume, the residence time in the reactor could then be calculated.

The reactor was also operated in batch mode to study the competition between NaOH and CaCO3 reacting in the lube oil. Specific amounts of lube oil and NaOH were mixed for 30 minutes at a maximum stirrer speed of 1200 rpm in order to ensure solubilization of the NaOH droplets into the lube oil. Maintaining 1200 rpm, concentrated H2SO4 was added dropwise by use of a syringe into the well-mixed lube oil emulsion, thus keeping a constant lube oil temperature of around 50 °C. A molar ratio between NaOH and CaCO3 of 2 was chosen since NaOH and H2SO4 reacts 2:1, whereas CaCO3 and H2SO4 reacts 1:1. CaCO3-H2SO4 (Ca/S) molar ratios of 0.8 and 1.0 were also investigated. After the addition of H2SO4, mixing continued for 20 minutes to ensure complete conversion of H2SO4 by reaction with CaCO3 reverse micelles and/or NaOH droplets.

2.3 Analysis method

The oil samples were analyzed by FTIR, and a calibration curve was used to convert the peak height of the CaCO3 peak to the base number in the lube oil sample. Selected infrared spectra (wavenumber range from 900
to 1700 cm\(^{-1}\)) are presented in Figure 1 for four different contents of BN in the lube oil after reaction with added H\(_2\)SO\(_4\). The CaCO\(_3\) concentrations were in the range of between 98.6 BN (a fresh lube oil) down to 21.5 BN (around 80% conversion of the CaCO\(_3\)). When increasing the amount of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) added, more CaCO\(_3\) is converted. This is shown as a decrease for the CaCO\(_3\) band in Figure 1, and vice versa for the CaSO\(_4\) band. For the MFR experiments, an outlet sample was sampled at steady state and analyzed immediately to estimate the conversion of CaCO\(_3\) (this conversion is denoted as *Fast Analysis*). Afterwards, the sample was stirred to ensure complete conversion of remaining H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), if any. The sample was then analyzed again by FTIR and denoted *Complete Conversion Analysis*. Comparing the two conversions revealed if complete conversion of the added H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) was achieved in the MFR within the specified residence time.

![Figure 1](Image)

**Figure 1.** Selected segment of infrared spectra showing the CaCO\(_3\) and CaSO\(_4\) peaks of four different lube oil blends with different amounts of added H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), giving CaCO\(_3\) concentrations in the range 98.6-21.5 BN. When more H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) is added, the CaCO\(_3\) peak decreases and the CaSO\(_4\) increases. The spectrum of the 98.6 BN lube oil is a fresh lube oil without the addition of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\).

The reacted lube oil from the batch reactor experiments was analyzed by FTIR after complete conversion of the added H\(_2\)SO\(_4\). A two-point calibration curve was constructed, adding 50 wt.% NaOH to the lube oil sample,
prior to analysis on the FTIR, in order to match the NaOH/CaCO₃ molar ratio used in the batch reactor experiments. It was then possible to estimate the conversion of CaCO₃ in the lube oil and consequently the conversion of NaOH by the added H₂SO₄.

3 Neutralization mechanism and rate-limiting steps

The reaction between acid (H₂SO₄) droplets and alkaline (CaCO₃) reverse micelles (RM) is thought to proceed through the mechanism presented in Figure 2.²⁰,²¹

![Figure 2. Neutralization mechanism between an acid droplet and CaCO₃ reverse micelle (adapted from Wu et al.²¹).](image)

The first step (Step 1 in Figure 2) is a collision between the reverse micelle (RM1) and the acid droplet interface by Brownian motion. A successful collision leads to adsorption of the reverse micelle to the acid interface (Step 2 in Figure 2). Next, a channel forms and H₂SO₄ and CaCO₃ react by the transferring of acid into the reverse micelles (Step 3 in Figure 2). After reaction, the reaction products are located in the reverse micelle (now called RM2), which desorbs from the acid droplet and back into the bulk oil (Step 4 in Figure 2). However, different
locations for the reaction products has been noted in the literature.\textsuperscript{21,25,31,34} Fu et al.\textsuperscript{25} observed four different reaction behaviors in different lube oil formulations within a temperature range 25-170 °C, and attributed these differences to different lube oil formulations, temperatures, and overbased detergents. The observed reaction behaviors were explained by a strong/weak-stick collision mechanism in which the neutralization rate is enhanced by formulating lube oils with a high frequency of strong-stick collisions. Based on these studies and the work by Lejre et al.,\textsuperscript{38} possible rate-limiting steps in the neutralization mechanism between H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles in lube oil formulations include: micelle diffusion, micelle adsorption, surface reaction, micelle desorption, and macromixing. For micelle diffusion to be rate-limiting, the rate by which the micelles diffuse must be comparable to the overall rate of reaction. If the limiting step is adsorption of a micelle onto a H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplet, the reaction is controlled by the occurrence of a successful “sticky” collision. This is in contrast to a diffusion-controlled reaction, where the reaction is taking place at every collision. If the CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles have a strong adhesion to the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplet surface, occupying the surface of the droplet and preventing unreacted CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles to adsorb, desorption may become the rate-limiting step in the neutralization mechanism. If the surface reaction is the rate-controlling step, the reaction rate will be limited by the rate of surface reaction between H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and CaCO\textsubscript{3}. However, the reaction is an acid-base reaction occurring irreversibly. Due to the small size of the CaCO\textsubscript{3} particles in lube oil (8-18 nanometers in diameter\textsuperscript{22}), and the fact that the reaction rate increases with decreasing CaCO\textsubscript{3} particle size in acid,\textsuperscript{43} it is presumed that the surface reaction between CaCO\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} happens instantaneously, and the surface reaction is therefore not the rate-limiting step in the neutralization mechanism.\textsuperscript{21} Finally, the reaction can also be controlled by the rate with which H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets and CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles are mixed in the reactor. In the following, possible rate-limiting steps are investigated and evaluated from batch reactor and mixed flow reactor experiments.

3.1 Batch reactor experiments – diffusion limitation
To evaluate whether or not the CaCO₃-H₂SO₄ reaction is diffusion-controlled, the relative rates of the H₂SO₄-CaCO₃ and H₂SO₄-NaOH reactions were investigated in batch reactor experiments:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 (aq) + \text{CaCO}_3 (s) \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 (s) + \text{CO}_2 (g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 (aq) + 2\text{NaOH} (aq) \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 (s) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \tag{2}
\]

The reaction between H₂SO₄ and NaOH is diffusion-controlled,⁴⁴ as it can be considered instantaneous upon contact. If the reaction between CaCO₃ and H₂SO₄ is also diffusion-controlled, it would be expected that H₂SO₄ would react to a larger degree with CaCO₃ than with NaOH. A smaller particle size leads to a higher diffusion coefficient, thereby increasing the diffusion-controlled reaction rate on a molar basis,⁴⁴ and the NaOH droplets are much larger than the nanometer-sized micelles. In the experiments, the NaOH was solubilized into the lube oil by the excess dispersant and detergent molecules already present in the oil.³⁵ Common emulsions have a particle size distribution with a radius in the range of 1-10 µm,⁴⁵ which may be a representative size range for the emulsified NaOH droplets in the lube oil.

It is not expected that the controlling step for the NaOH-H₂SO₄ reaction would be changed when NaOH is solubilized into the lube oil emulsion. When the equal-sized H₂SO₄ and NaOH droplets (micrometer) collide, they are much larger than the nanometer-sized surfactants that may surround the droplets. It is therefore presumed that the surfactants do not constitute any hindrance for the NaOH-H₂SO₄ reaction. The reaction is further enhanced by the high stirring intensity used.

The batch reactor experiments were performed with a fixed molar ratio between NaOH and CaCO₃ of 2, corresponding to the stoichiometry of the reactions with H₂SO₄. Care was taken to provide equal mixing conditions for the NaOH and CaCO₃ to react with the added H₂SO₄ in the well-mixed reactor. Upon mixing of the lube oil and NaOH solution, concentrated H₂SO₄ was added dropwise. Two different Ca/S molar ratios were then investigated. At a Ca/S = 1, the neutralization ability of H₂SO₄ is 100%, meaning that the added H₂SO₄ has the ability to either convert all the CaCO₃, all the NaOH, or a mixture thereof. If all of the H₂SO₄ reacts first with the CaCO₃, 100% conversion of the CaCO₃ and 0% conversion of the NaOH would be found at complete conversion of the H₂SO₄. At Ca/S = 0.8, the H₂SO₄ is in excess compared to the CaCO₃ in the lube oil.
Moreover, if all of the CaCO$_3$ is converted first, 25% conversion of the NaOH would be found at complete conversion of the H$_2$SO$_4$. The percentage conversions of CaCO$_3$ and NaOH with H$_2$SO$_4$ from the batch reactor experiments are shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Results from the NaOH-H$_2$SO$_4$-lube oil batch reactor experiments, showing the accumulative percentage conversions of NaOH and CaCO$_3$ at two different Ca/S. Error bars represent two times the sample standard deviation based on two repetitions.

At Ca/S = 1, 91% conversion of the NaOH was found, whereas only 9% of the CaCO$_3$ was converted. At Ca/S = 0.8, 86% conversion of the NaOH and 39% conversion of the CaCO$_3$ was observed. For both Ca/S molar ratios, most of the H$_2$SO$_4$ reacted with the NaOH, while only a minor fraction reacted with the CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles. From these results, it can be concluded that the H$_2$SO$_4$-CaCO$_3$ reaction is significantly slower than the H$_2$SO$_4$-NaOH reaction, and is thus too slow to be diffusion-controlled.

Wu et al.$^{22}$ found that increasing the concentration of nonionic surfactant in a model oil increased the particle size of the reverse micelles (still in the nanometer-range though), consequently increasing the neutralization rate of the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet. They attributed this to the enlarged reverse micelles having space to accommodate more water and H$_2$SO$_4$, upon sticking to the oil-acid interface. However, they also suggested that the addition of
nonionic surfactants may make the tails of the surfactants more flexible and thus the access of H$_2$SO$_4$ to the CaCO$_3$-core more feasible. This may facilitate the transport of H$_2$SO$_4$ into the reverse micelles, thereby increasing the neutralization rate when adding nonionic surfactants. However, it is difficult to directly compare the experiments performed by Wu et al. with the experiments performed in the batch reactor, because larger NaOH droplets were used in the present work and the effect of CaCO$_3$ reverse micelle size on neutralization rate was not isolated.

In conclusion, the batch reactor experiments support the findings of Hone et al.,$^{31}$ that the neutralization reaction between H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets and CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles cannot be diffusion-controlled. The mixed flow reactor experiments described next, serve to investigate the possible remaining rate-limiting steps.

### 3.2 Mixed flow reactor experiments

The MFR experiments serve both to partly provide information on the rate-limiting steps in the neutralization and partly as data for modeling validation. The data obtained in our previous work$^{38}$ were complemented in the present work with additional experiments, in order to increase the number of repetitions as well as to obtain data at a Ca/S molar ratio approaching unity at varying stirrer speeds (to clarify the effect of macromixing). The experimental conditions of the expanded work for each experimental number are supplied in Supporting Information, which also contains data on the effects of residence time and inlet H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration on the CaCO$_3$ conversion.

**Stirrer speed**

The neutralization reaction could conceivably be limited by the rate of macromixing. Even when maximum stirrer speed is applied, perfect mixing might not be achieved in the MFR. Therefore, insufficient contact between H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets and CaCO$_3$ micelles may arise, resulting in local regions with an excess of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets in the lube oil compared to CaCO$_3$, and consequently incomplete reaction of the added H$_2$SO$_4$. 
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Figure 4 shows the effect of stirrer speed $N$ (1200 rpm, 600 rpm, and 360 rpm) on the CaCO$_3$ conversion in the lube oil. The dotted line presents the theoretical conversion of CaCO$_3$ in lube oil and added H$_2$SO$_4$ when the reaction between CaCO$_3$ and H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets is complete, i.e. all added H$_2$SO$_4$ has reacted since Ca/S ratio $\geq 1$.

The effect of reducing the stirrer speed by a factor of around three is negligible, both for the Fast Analysis and Complete Conversion Analysis conversions, as well as for both Ca/S molar ratios.

The stirrer speed affects the macromixing in the reactor, as well as the solubilization of H$_2$SO$_4$ into the lube oil. If the H$_2$SO$_4$-CaCO$_3$ reaction had been macromixing-controlled, an effect on the conversion of CaCO$_3$ by decreasing the stirring intensity in the MFR would be expected. This was not the case, and it is concluded that the reaction is not controlled by macromixing when a certain degree of stirring is applied. Further, a reduced conversion of CaCO$_3$ at reduced Ca/S values would not be expected for a macromixing-controlled reaction at the same stirring speed. When the stirrer speed is decreased, the solubilization of H$_2$SO$_4$ into the lube oil may...
also be reduced, leading to an increased size of the H₂SO₄ droplets in the lube oil emulsion. Assuming that the reaction is limited by adsorption, this decreases the adsorption rate since the total surface area of the H₂SO₄ droplets also decreases. Reducing the stirrer speed by a factor of 10 (120 rpm), yields a conversion of only around 80% of the added H₂SO₄ in the MFR (similar conversions were observed for the fast and complete analysis). The incomplete conversion of H₂SO₄ at this low stirrer intensity is attributed to insufficient mixing between H₂SO₄ droplets and lube oil, because sedimentation of the heavier H₂SO₄ was observed. This implies that most of the H₂SO₄ droplets are readily solubilized into the lube oil emulsion upon a minor degree of stirring.

**Ca/S molar ratio**

The effect of varying the Ca/S molar ratio on the CaCO₃ and H₂SO₄ conversions is presented in Figs. 5 and 6. When the Ca/S ratio was larger than 2, complete conversion of H₂SO₄ was found in the MFR.³⁸ The H₂SO₄ conversion was observed to decrease when reaching a critically low Ca/S ratio of between 1.26 and 2.10. This is attributed to the reaction being micelle adsorption/desorption controlled. At decreasing Ca/S ratios, significantly fewer CaCO₃ reverse micelles encircle each H₂SO₄ droplet. The reduced conversion of H₂SO₄ at lower Ca/S ratios can therefore be explained by a decrease in adsorption rate of the CaCO₃ micelles on the H₂SO₄ droplet,⁴⁶ thus reducing the total reaction rate of CaCO₃ micelles. It is expected that a high bulk concentration of the adsorbate (in this work CaCO₃ reverse micelles) leads to a high coverage of sites on a surface (H₂SO₄ droplet surface),⁴⁴ thereby increasing the likelihood of the reaction mechanism being controlled by desorption. This situation corresponds to a high Ca/S, where no apparent limitation is found (Ca/S ratio > 2 in e.g. Figure 5). When fewer micelles are surrounding each droplet (corresponding to a low Ca/S value), the driving force from bulk to the surface is also decreased, thereby approaching adsorption-control.
Figure 5. Effect of varying Ca/S molar ratio on the CaCO₃ conversion in the lube oil. Error bars represent two times the sample standard deviation. Experimental conditions are: stirrer speed = 1200 rpm, inlet H₂SO₄ concentration = 96.5 wt.%, and residence time (for Fast Analysis) = 2.1-3.5 min (see Table S1 in Supporting Information for more information on each experimental number).

Figure 6. Effect of varying the Ca/S molar ratio on the H₂SO₄ conversion. Error bars represent two times the sample standard deviation. Experimental conditions as in Figure 5.
Wu et al.\textsuperscript{20} observed the formation of crystals (CaSO\textsubscript{4} from the neutralization reaction), which may deposit on the surface of the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplet, inhibiting further reaction (i.e., desorption-control). However, the occurrence of crystal formation depends on the lube oil formulation;\textsuperscript{25} the reaction products may be solubilized into the lube oil if the lube oil is formulated with the suitable dispersants. Assuming that a stable emulsion is formed when H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} is introduced into the lube oil (droplet radii in the range 1-10 µm\textsuperscript{45}), it is expected that inhibition by CaSO\textsubscript{4} crystal formation is not a concern. Wu et al.\textsuperscript{21} further suggested that the adsorption of CaCO\textsubscript{3} micelles on the acid droplet (µm-sized) interface is the controlling step in the neutralization reaction mechanism. The arguments and experimental results presented in this section support this conclusion.

4 Mathematical modeling

4.1 Reaction rate expressions

The simulated degree of conversion of the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets by the CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles is determined by the reaction rate expression. In section 3, we conclude that reverse micelle adsorption onto the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets is most likely the limiting step in the neutralization mechanism at well-mixed conditions, in line with the literature investigations.\textsuperscript{21} However, early modeling studies\textsuperscript{39-41} indicated that the neutralization reaction may be acid diffusion-controlled. In this study, a reaction rate expression that accounts for both micelle diffusion- and adsorption-resistance will be set up for comparison and the rate-limiting step identified.

4.1.1 Diffusion-controlled reaction rate expression

Under diffusion-control, a reaction occurs instantaneously upon collision. The CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles move by Brownian motion,\textsuperscript{20,22} and due to their small size a high collision rate with the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets is expected. An expression for the reaction rate was derived following Laidler,\textsuperscript{44} assuming that the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets are immobile compared to the much smaller CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles. This assumption is justified since the radius of the
H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets is in the µm-range$^{45,47,48}$ whereas the radius of the CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles is in the nm-range.$^{12,20,21,49–52}$ The diffusion-controlled reaction rate constant, $k_{diff}$, is given as$^{44}$

$$k_{diff} = 4\pi D_L d_c N_A$$ (3)


$N_A$ is Avogadro’s constant and $d_c$, the critical distance from a droplet where a CaCO$_3$ reverse micelle immediately reacts under diffusion control, is assumed to be equal to the radius of the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet, $R_{SA}$ (at $R = R_{SA}, C_L = 0$). The diffusion coefficient of CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles, $D_L$, is given as$^{44}$

$$D_L = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta R_L}$$ (4)

Here, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature of the lube oil volume, $R_L$ is the radius of the CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles, and $\eta$ is the viscosity of the lube oil. The diffusion coefficient of the CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles increases with decreasing lube oil viscosity. The dependence of temperature follows the viscosity temperature-dependency, which is typically a strong function of temperature.$^{47}$

The micelle-diffusion controlled reaction rate is first order with respect to each reactant (H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet and CaCO$_3$ micelle, respectively), and is given by$^{39–41}$:

$$(-r_{A, diff}) = k_{diff} C_SA C_L$$ (5)

The concentrations of sulfuric acid $C_SA$ and reverse micelles $C_L$ are given as:

$$C_SA = N_SA V_SA C_{SA,d}$$ (6)

$$C_L = N_L n_L = N_L \frac{V_L f_L \rho_L}{M_L}$$ (7)

$N_SA$ is the number of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets per unit volume of lube oil, $V_SA$ is the volume of one droplet, $C_{SA,d}$ is the concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ in the droplets, $N_L$ is the number of micelles in the lube oil volume, $n_L$ is the moles of CaCO$_3$ in one micelle, $V_L$ is the volume of one micelle, $f_L$ is the volume fraction of CaCO$_3$ in a micelle, $\rho_L$ is the density of CaCO$_3$, and $M_L$ is the molar weight of CaCO$_3$. The diffusion-controlled reaction rate constant only
contains physical parameters and can thereby be calculated directly from the expressions given in Eqs. (3) and (4).

### 4.1.2 Adsorption-controlled reaction rate expression

Molar rate balances of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets and CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles are formulated to extract an adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant expression. The molar H$_2$SO$_4$ consumption rate of one droplet is:

$$-\frac{dn_{SA}}{dt} = -\frac{d(V_{SA}C_{SA,d})}{dt} = 4\pi R_{SA}^2 C_{SA,d} \left(-\frac{dR_{SA}}{dt}\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

The molar adsorption rate of CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles to the surface of a H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet is expressed as:

$$-\frac{dn_L}{dt} = A_{SA}k_{ads}C_L(1 - \theta)$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Here, $k_{ads}$ is the adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant with the unit m s$^{-1}$, $\theta$ is the fraction of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet surface that is covered by micelles, and $A_{SA}$ is the surface area of the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet. For an adsorption-controlled process, it is assumed that $\theta = 0$.\textsuperscript{24} This means that immediately after a successful collision (adsorption) of a micelle onto the droplet surface, reaction occurs, followed by immediate desorption of the product back into the bulk. According to Eq. (9), the reaction rate depends on the concentration of CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles (or BN), which is in agreement with previous investigations.\textsuperscript{21,35} The molar consumption rate of H$_2$SO$_4$, Eq. (8), can be set equal to the molar adsorption rate of CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles onto the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets, Eq. (9). Solving for $k_{ads}$ gives:

$$k_{ads} = \left(-\frac{dR_{SA}}{dt}\right) \frac{C_{SA,d}}{C_L}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

The overall adsorption-controlled reaction rate is derived by multiplying Eq. (9) with $N_{SA}$ and introducing Eq. (6):

$$(-r_{a,ads}) = \frac{A_{SA}}{V_{SA} C_{SA,d}} k_{ads} C_{SA} C_L$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)
Note, that the micelle-diffusion and micelle-adsorption rate equations (Eqs. (5) and (11)) are identical, and only the rate constants are different.

### 4.1.3 Estimation of adsorption controlled reaction rate constant

Values for the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet shrinking rate, ($-dR_{SA}/dt$), were determined from the video-microscopy experiments by Fu et al.$^{24}$ in the temperature range 298 to 443 K for H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets in fully-formulated lube oil. A strong dependence of temperature was found; by increasing the temperature from 298 to 443 K, the shrinking rate increased by a factor of more than 1000. A linear relation between $\ln(-dR_{SA}/dt)$ and $1/T$ was also found, and a modified Arrhenius type plot was constructed. The following relation between shrinking rate and temperature can be established as:

$$\frac{-dR_{SA}}{dt} = 11.3 \frac{m}{s} \exp \left(-\frac{52.8 \text{ kJ}}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(12)}$$

Here $R$ is the ideal gas constant. The derived activation energy, $E_a$, of 52.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$, is in excellent agreement with the value of 54±2 kJ mol$^{-1}$ found by Hone et al.$^{31}$ Duan et al.$^{53}$ reported activation energies in the range 27.0-30.7 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for neutralization of acetic acid droplets in passenger-car lube oil, but this reaction involved a different mechanism compared to that of an H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet in marine lube oil.

Fu et al. used a lube oil with a base number of 70 and 50 vol.% H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets, corresponding to a concentration of CaCO$_3$ of $C_L = 586.5$ mol m$^{-3}$ and an acid concentration of $C_{SA} = 9.4 \cdot 10^3$ mol m$^{-3}$. Substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) and inserting the concentrations, the following expression for $k_{ads}$ can be calculated:

$$k_{ads} = 180.6 \frac{m}{s} \exp \left(-\frac{52.8 \text{ kJ}}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(13)}$$
Equation (11), with $k_{ads}$ from Eq. (13), provides a quantitative expression for the neutralization reaction under adsorption control.

### 4.1.4 Resulting reaction rate constant

An expression for a combined reaction rate constant, $k_{res}$, can now be derived taking into account contributions from both the diffusion-controlled and adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant:

$$k_{res} = \frac{1}{k_{diff} + \frac{1}{k_{ads}}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{R_{SA}C_{SA,d}}{3k_{ads}}} = \frac{1}{k_{diff} + k'_{ads}}$$

Here $k'_{ads}$ is given as:

$$k'_{ads} = \frac{3k_{ads}}{R_{SA}C_{SA,d}}$$

The resulting reaction rate is then calculated as:

$$(-r_{A,res}) = k_{res}C_{SA}C_L$$

### 4.2 Reactor System

A schematic illustration of the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets-lube oil system in the mixed flow reactor setup is shown in Figure 7. The reactor has inlet flows for lube oil and H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets. The outlet flow serves to maintain a specific oil volume, $V_{oil}$. The lube oil volume is well-mixed, and the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets are therefore mixed immediately into the oil, forming a stable water-in-oil emulsion. The well-mixed conditions in the MFR resemble those of an actual engine cylinder, where the high velocity of the piston conceivably leads to a well-mixed region in front of the piston. The H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets with a uniform droplet radius of $R_{SA}$ and concentration $C_{SA,d}$ are fed to the mixed flow reactor with a flow rate $F_{SA}$. The lube oil dosage is continuous, with a flow rate
$v_{oil,f}$, containing CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles in the concentration $N_{L,f}$. The lube oil outlet flow, $v_o$, contains unreacted CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles in the concentration, $N_L$, and the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets concentration is $N_{SA}$ (if any).

**Figure 7.** Schematic illustration of the neutralization of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets in a lube oil by CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles under mixed flow reactor conditions. Lube oil volume and inlet and outlet conditions are indicated in the figure.

### 4.3 Mathematical Model

The present model describes the neutralization of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets in a stirred lube oil volume containing CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles. The assumptions underlying the model are:

- The lube oil volume is well-mixed (no radial or axial concentration or temperature gradients) and in steady state with continuous, uniform feeding of lube oil and H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets.
• The outlet flow of lube oil, \( v_o \), is equal to the sum of the inlet flows of lube oil, \( v_{oil,f} \), and the droplets, \( v_{SA,f} \). The reduction in outlet lube oil flow due to CO\(_2\) (g) formation is not taken into account.

• The H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplets are readily solubilized into the lube oil by excess surfactants, as a water-in-oil emulsion.

• The only reaction considered in the lube oil is: \( \text{CaCO}_3 \text{(s)} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{(aq)} \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 \text{(s)} + \text{CO}_2 \text{(g)} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \text{(l)} \) with a reaction rate \((-r_A)\).

• The CaSO\(_4\) formation does not limit the neutralization reaction.

• The CaCO\(_3\) micelles and H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplets are spherical and uniform in size.

• Shrinking over time of CaCO\(_3\) micelles and H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplets is not taken into account; only consumption of entire droplets/micelles is considered.

### 4.3.1 H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) number balance over mixed flow reactor

A number balance for H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplets is given as:

\[
\frac{F_{SA,\text{in}}}{\rhoSA} \left( V_{SA} - V_{SA,0} \right) \frac{C_{SA,d} M_{SA}}{\rhoSA} = \frac{N_{SA} v_o}{\rhoSA} \frac{v_{oil} M_{SA}}{\rhoSA} - (-r_A) V_{oil} M_{SA} \tag{17}
\]

Here \( F_{SA} \) is the inlet H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplet number flow, \( V_{oil} \) is the volume of lube oil in the reactor, \( M_{SA} \) is the molar weight of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), \( \rhoSA \) is the density of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and \( v_o \) is the volumetric outflow. The term \( C_{SA,d} M_{SA}/\rhoSA \) is the volume fraction of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in the droplets. The term \( (V_{SA} - V_{SA,0}) \) accounts for the volume change of a H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplet. \( V_{SA} \) is the initial volume of a H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplet and \( V_{SA,0} \) is the volume of a H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplet in the outlet. The latter is equal to 0 corresponding to a situation where a H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplet is completely consumed. The volumetric outflow rate of lube oil emulsion, \( v_o \), is equal to the sum of the volumetric inlet flow rates of lube oil, \( v_{oil,f} \), and H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplets, \( v_{SA,f} \):

\[
v_o = v_{oil,f} + v_{SA,f} = v_{oil,f} + F_{SA} V_{SA} \tag{18}
\]
4.3.2 Reverse micelle number balance over mixed flow reactor

A number balance for the reverse micelles in the lube oil volume reads:

\[
\frac{N_{L,f}v_{oil,f}}{in} - \frac{(-r_A)V_{oil}M_L}{\rho_L(V_{L,f}L - V_{L,o}f_{L,o})} = \frac{N_Lv_0}{out}
\]  

(19)

Again, the term \(V_{L,o}f_{L,o}\) is equal to 0 since only the complete consumption of CaCO\(_3\) reverse micelles is considered. \(N_{L,f}\) is the number of CaCO\(_3\) reverse micelles per volume of lube oil in the inlet feed, given as:

\[
N_{L,f} = \frac{f_{L,oil,w}\rho_{oil}}{f_{L}V_{L}}
\]  

(20)

\(f_{L,oil,w}\) is the weight fraction of CaCO\(_3\) in the fresh lube oil, and \(\rho_{oil}\) is the density of the lube oil.

4.3.3 Ca/S molar ratio

It is of interest to investigate how the H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplet flow into the lube oil volume affects the conversion of the H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) droplets and CaCO\(_3\) reverse micelles in the lube oil film. This is done by introducing the molar ratio of CaCO\(_3\) to H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in the inlet feed, Ca/S, defined from the molar balance:

\[
Ca/S = \frac{v_{oil,f}\rho_{oil}f_{L,oil,w}}{M_LF_SA V_{SA} C_{SA,d}}
\]  

(21)

Solving for \(F_{SA}\) gives:

\[
F_{SA} = \frac{v_{oil,f}\rho_{oil}f_{L,oil,w}}{M_LV_{SA} C_{SA,d} Ca/S}
\]  

(22)

By substituting Eq. (22) into Eq. (17), the parameter Ca/S is now the independent variable. When Ca/S is equal to 1, then H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and CaCO\(_3\) are present in stoichiometric amounts.
4.3.4 Estimation of model parameters

Chemical and physical properties for the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets and CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles are shown in Table 1, together with typical operating conditions.

Table 1. Chemical and physical parameters for the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets, CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles, and lube oil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Parameter Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_L$</td>
<td>$5 \cdot 10^{-9}$ m</td>
<td>12,20,21,49–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_L$</td>
<td>0.3 m$^3$</td>
<td>12,20,21,49–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{L, oil,w}$</td>
<td>0.088 kg kg$^{-1}$ (98.55 BN)</td>
<td>Measured$^{42}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{oil}$</td>
<td>940 kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_L$</td>
<td>2710 kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{SA}$</td>
<td>1840 kg m$^{-3}$ (pure)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{SA}$</td>
<td>98.1·$10^{-3}$ kg mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_L$</td>
<td>100.1·$10^{-3}$ kg mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{SA,d}$</td>
<td>$18 \cdot 10^4$ mol m$^{-3}$ (96.5 wt.%)</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>126 s</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{oil}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ m$^3$</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>327 K</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$ (327 K)</td>
<td>$6.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Calculated$^{47}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tobias and Klein$^{20}$ reported that a typical reverse micelle is roughly spherical, with an average diameter of the CaCO$_3$ core of 2.3 nm and a surfactant layer thickness of 0.9 nm. This gives a total diameter of the reverse micelle of 4.1 nm. Hudson et al.$^{49}$ reported core diameters in the range 1-10 nm, and a surfactant layer thickness in the range 1-5 nm, yielding total diameters in the range 3-20 nm. Lewis$^{21}$ reported an overall diameter in the range 8-18 nm, with core diameters between 4 and 14 nm. Based on these results, which are generally in accordance with other reported studies,$^{12,50–52}$ an average reverse micelle diameter of 10 nm is chosen and a volume fraction of CaCO$_3$ in a reverse micelle, $f_L$, is estimated to be 0.3. The radius of the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets will be discussed in Section 5.

The average base number (BN) of the lube oil used in the MFR experiments$^{38}$ was 98.55, corresponding to a weight fraction of CaCO$_3$ in the lube oil of 0.088 kg kg$^{-1}$. The density of CaCO$_3$ was taken from CRC,$^{54}$ the
density of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ from Perry’s $^{55}$ (96.5 wt.% $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution corresponds to a density of approximately 1840 kg m$^{-3}$), and the density of the lube oil was measured using a scale and measuring glass.

The lube oil temperature in the MFR experiments was around 327 K. The lube oil viscosity was drawn from Sautermeister and Priest, $^{47}$ who measured the dynamic viscosity for a base oil at different temperatures. For the reference conditions, an average lube oil residence time in the reactor is taken as 126 seconds based on the volumetric feed rate of lube oil, $v_{oil,f}$, and the average volume of lube oil, $V_{oil}$, used in the MFR experiments.

The two output variables, $N_L$ and $N_{SA}$, can be expressed as the conversion of $\text{CaCO}_3$, $X_L$, and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$, $X_{SA}$, respectively:

$$X_L = 1 - \frac{N_L v_o}{N_{L,f} v_{oil,f}} \quad (23)$$

$$X_{SA} = 1 - \frac{N_{SA} v_o}{F_{SA}} \quad (24)$$

In summary, the model consists of two coupled algebraic equations, Eq. (17) and Eq. (19), which are solved for $N_L$ and $N_{SA}$ by use of Matlab, with the parameter Ca/S as the independent variable. The model parameters presented in this section have been used for the calculations that follow in the next section, unless otherwise stated.

5 Validation of mathematical model against MFR results

To validate the model and investigate the rate-controlling step of the neutralization reaction between $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ droplets and $\text{CaCO}_3$ reverse micelles, model simulations are compared to experimental data from the MFR, by applying diffusion- and adsorption-controlled reaction rate expressions.

The first step is to investigate the relative resistance with respect to diffusion and adsorption based on Eq. (14). The resulting reaction rate constant, $k_{res}$, is calculated by use of the parameters given in Table 1. The only parameter not available and required for the calculation is the radius of the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ droplets, $R_{SA}$. Applying typical particle radii for a stable emulsion of 1-10 µm $^{45}$ reveals that $k_{res} = k'_{ads}$, and this is independent of
temperature for the given radius range. Decreasing the radius of the droplets decreases $k_{\text{diff}}$ (Eq. (3)) and increases $k'_{\text{ads}}$ (Eq. (14)). However, to observe a noticeable effect on $k_{\text{res}}$, the radius of the droplets has to be decreased to 0.04 nm at 298 K and 0.2 nm at 573 K (to obtain $k_{\text{res}} = 0.99k'_{\text{ads}}$), which are orders of magnitude smaller than typical acid droplet sizes of 1-10 µm.\textsuperscript{45} This shows that the neutralization reaction is adsorption-controlled, in agreement with earlier suggestions from single-droplet experiments.\textsuperscript{21}

The only unknown model parameter, $R_{\text{SA}}$, was fitted to match the experimental data of CaCO\textsubscript{3} conversion from the MFR experiments (Figure 5), by use of a “least squares” approach. For the conditions given in Table 1, a value of $R_{\text{SA}}$ equal to 0.5 µm was calculated by using the adsorption-controlled reaction rate expression given in Eq. (11). Goodwin\textsuperscript{45} states that most common emulsions have a particle radius in the range of 1-10 µm. Typical acid/water droplet radii from used engine lube oils are found to be in the range 2.5-15 µm.\textsuperscript{48} This is for a stable emulsion after drainage of the lube oil. Sautermeister and Priest\textsuperscript{47} measured H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplet sizes in a stable base oil emulsion, measuring radii in the range 0.25-1.6 µm depending on the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplet concentration. Consequently, it is expected that these droplet sizes were instantly formed in the MFR experiments, where large excess of dispersant and detergent molecules are present in the used lube oil. Based on these numbers from the literature, it is concluded that the radius of 0.5 µm fitted from the modeling is indeed a realistic value. Modeling predictions for $R_{\text{SA}} = 0.5$ µm are compared with the MFR data (Figs. 5 and 6) in Figure 8, along with simulations increasing $R_{\text{SA}}$ by factors of 5 and 10, respectively. Modeling predictions with $R_{\text{SA}} = 0.5$ µm are further in good agreement with experimental data while larger droplet sizes lead to an underprediction of the degree of reaction. The predicted conversion of CaCO\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} decreases significantly when increasing the droplet radius. At Ca/S = 1, the conversion of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and CaCO\textsubscript{3} is equal to each other. Here, the conversions decrease by 24% and 37% when increasing the radius to 2.5 µm and 5.0 µm respectively, relative to the reference conversion (for $R_{\text{SA}} = 0.5$ µm). This indicates that larger H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets present in the lube oil film may increase the risk of corrosive wear significantly,\textsuperscript{25} due to the surface to volume ratio of the droplets decreasing when the radius increases, i.e. fewer but bigger droplets.
Simulations showing the effect of varying the Ca/S molar ratio on the conversion of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets and CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles by use of the diffusion-controlled reaction rate expression are also depicted in Figure 8 for \( R_{SA} = 0.5 \) µm. Complete conversion of the limiting reactant is predicted to occur independently of Ca/S. At a Ca/S ratio larger than 1, CaCO\textsubscript{3} is in molar excess, which is shown by a decrease in CaCO\textsubscript{3} conversion, but the conversion of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets is 1, i.e. undergone complete conversion. At a Ca/S lower than 1, H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} is in molar excess compared to CaCO\textsubscript{3}, and therefore some unreacted H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} is still present in the lube oil outlet, i.e. complete conversion of CaCO\textsubscript{3} is observed. Consequently, by using a micelle diffusion-controlled reaction rate, no limitation is found for the neutralization reaction. The poor agreement at lower Ca/S ratios confirms that the neutralization reaction is not diffusion-controlled, even with the present value of the diffusion-controlled reaction rate constant, \( 2.9 \times 10^6 \) m\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}, which is a significantly smaller value than the value used by Schramm et al.\textsuperscript{39} and van Helden.\textsuperscript{40}

![Graphs showing conversion of CaCO\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} with varying Ca/S ratios](image)

**Figure 8.** Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO\textsubscript{3} reverse micelles and (b) H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets as a function of Ca/S by using a micelle diffusion-controlled reaction rate constant and an adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant at three values of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} radius, \( R_{SA} \). Circles represent MFR experimental data from Figs. 5 and 6. Model parameters are presented in Table 1.

### 5.1 Evaluation of model assumptions
The adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant expression used in the model simulations, Eq. (13), is based on
the video-microscopy single droplet experiments performed by Fu et al.,24 who reported a shrinking rate. The
present model considers only consumption of entire droplets. A model describing reaction of partly-consumed
H₂SO₄ droplets in the lube oil emulsion would have to take into account a size distribution. However, since the
droplets are very small in size (~1 µm in radius), and the size distribution mimics a normal distribution with a
low standard deviation,47 one would argue that it is fair to assume that whole droplets are immediately
consumed upon adsorption.

Another difference is the size of the droplets. Fu et al. used initial droplet sizes in the range of 43.6-76.5 µm,
reporting that a constant shrinking rate was found up until a certain radius was reached. After this point, the
shrinking rate increases. This ‘change point’ was found to occur at around 28 µm at 403 K. Since even smaller
H₂SO₄ droplets are found in the MFR experiments, the derived reaction rate constant in Eq. (13) may
underestimate the actual adsorption rate. Further, Wu et al.21 reported significant different shrinking rates for
different lube oil formulations having the same BN value. It is not known whether the lube oil used in the study
by Fu et al. matches the lube oil used in the MFR experiments.

6 Application of the MFR model to conditions in a large two-stroke marine diesel engine

The mixed flow reactor model was developed to interpret the laboratory MFR experiments, while allowing for a
validation of the reaction rate expression for the neutralization reaction, which can be used in more complex
models. A full simulation of the neutralization reaction at the cylinder liner in a large, two-stroke marine diesel
engine would require a computational fluid dynamics approach. However, as discussed in the following, the
mixed flow reactor model offers a useful approximation to conditions in the lube oil film and can be used to
assess the importance of different process parameters.

In a large two-stroke marine diesel engine, the lube oil-H₂SO₄ interactions depend on the piston movement, see
Figure 9. Dosing of the lube oil into the piston ring pack occurs during the piston’s upward stroke, Figure 9(a).
When the piston is at the top dead center (TDC) and moves downwards (expansion stroke, Figure 9(b)), H$_2$SO$_4$ condensates as droplets (either dilute or pure) onto the distributed lube oil film on the cylinder liner surface. It is believed that condensed H$_2$SO$_4$ forms a thin film on top of the layer of lube oil film.$^{7,39}$ The condensation of H$_2$SO$_4$ occurs in the gas phase just before reaching the lube oil film, where the temperature is lower than in the bulk gas phase.$^{6,47,48,56}$ Since no mixing is occurring, transport of CaCO$_3$ micelles to the H$_2$SO$_4$ happens by diffusion. However, when the piston moves towards TDC (compression stroke, Figure 9(c)), the piston rings act to spread and remove excess lube oil from the cylinder liner. The high velocity of the piston may lead to effective mixing of the condensed H$_2$SO$_4$ and lube oil at the moving front, in front of the piston ring, thereby emulsifying the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets into the lube oil (Figure 9(d)). After the piston has passed a specific point the local lube oil film may be left stagnant, allowing the H$_2$SO$_4$ to react with the cast iron liner until the next time the piston passes by, when it once again mixes the lube oil film emulsion. The cycle is repeated roughly every 0.5 s, which is the time for one stroke. However, fresh lube oil is not injected in every cycle, instead typically at every third to eighth engine revolution. The cast iron wall temperature would be in the range 373-533 K and highest in the upper part of the cylinder, while the lube oil film is expected to attain the same temperature because of the high heat capacity and thermal conductivity of the cast iron.$^{3,7}$
Figure 9. Illustration of lube oil dosage, H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet condensation, and mixing in an engine: (a) when the piston approaches top dead center (TDC), fresh lube oil is dosed onto the piston ring pack$^{37}$ (the injection frequency of fresh lube oil is typically once every third to eighth engine revolution), (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ condenses onto the lube oil film when the piston moves towards the bottom dead center (BDC), (c) the piston moves towards TDC again, contributing to a well-mixed lube oil film where the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets are emulsified in the lube oil, and (d) horizontal close-up of a piston ring-lube oil film, where a moving front may develop where the lube oil and H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets are effectively mixed.

From an idealized perspective, two lube oil-H$_2$SO$_4$ interaction scenarios may take place. The first scenario involves condensation of H$_2$SO$_4$ onto the lube oil film during the expansion stroke, followed by diffusion of the H$_2$SO$_4$ through the lube oil film to the cylinder liner (Figure 9(b)). In the second scenario, the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets are fully mixed with the lube oil by the action of the piston (Figure 9(d)). In scenario 1, transport of H$_2$SO$_4$
through the lube oil film towards the cylinder liner takes place by droplet diffusion. The diffusion coefficient, $D_{SA}$, can be estimated by the Stokes-Einstein relation given in Eq. (4). Taking the lube oil dynamic viscosity from Sautermeister and Priest, assuming that the radius of the diffusing H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets is similar to that of the MFR experiments (0.5 µm), the diffusion coefficient is of the order of $10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 533 K, decreasing to about $10^{-14}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 373 K. The mean Brownian displacement, $\bar{x}$, of a droplet after a specific time, $t$, can then be calculated by:

$$\bar{x} = \sqrt{2D_{SA}t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

In the diffusion scenario, the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet has 0.5 seconds to reach the cylinder liner before the piston returns and mixes the H$_2$SO$_4$ film with the lube oil film. Within 0.5 s, the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet moves 1 µm at 533 K and 0.1 µm at 373 K, according to Eq. (25). The minimum lube oil film thickness, which is in the upper part of the cylinder, is between 2 and 5 µm in a full-scale application. Unless the diffusing H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets are significantly smaller than the assumed value of 0.5 µm, it appears that little H$_2$SO$_4$ penetrates through the lube oil film on the cylinder liners in a film-film situation (Figure 9(b)). This finding is further supported by the fact that the lube oil is hydrophobic and the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets hydrophilic.

The second lube oil-H$_2$SO$_4$ interaction scenario involves mixing between the H$_2$SO$_4$ film and the lube oil film by the piston rings at the moving front in front of the piston ring, Figure 9(d). Presumably, this corresponds to a situation where the H$_2$SO$_4$-lube oil film is well-mixed. In this scenario, H$_2$SO$_4$ will be present on the wall and able to interact with the cylinder liner material. Every cycle serves to mix and replenish the lube oil and H$_2$SO$_4$ locally. The residence time of a H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet on the cylinder liner is assumed to be low due to the well-mixed situation. Even though the average residence time of a lube oil film in a cylinder liner is estimated to be a couple of minutes, the neutralization of H$_2$SO$_4$ has to be significantly faster to avoid reaction with the cylinder liner material. In a two-stroke marine diesel engine, the piston passes by a specific point on the cylinder liner twice per revolution. If rated at 70 rpm, the time for each stroke is around 0.5 s. The contact time for H$_2$SO$_4$ and lube oil may vary however, depending on the vertical position on the cylinder liner. In the upper part, the gas
phase contact time with the lube oil is the longest, allowing more time for the H₂SO₄ to condense. The temperature is higher, counteracting condensation, but the pressure is also higher, thereby increasing the dew point temperature. Thus, 0.5 s is an important time scale for the lube oil to neutralize H₂SO₄ and prevent it from reacting with the liner material.

With these considerations, scenario 2 appears most relevant due to the extent of interaction of H₂SO₄ with the cylinder liner material. Presumably, upon mixing with the lube oil film, H₂SO₄ becomes readily emulsified due to the large excess of detergents and dispersants in the lube oil, forming a stable emulsion. Thereby, conditions locally at the liner resemble those described by the MFR model: a well-mixed lube oil volume with a constant initial Ca/S molar ratio.

An average lube oil flow feed rate to the cylinder liner can be estimated to 3.9·10⁻⁷ m³ s⁻¹, based on the assumption that a 30 MW engine with 8 cylinders at 50% load consumes 0.7 g kWh⁻¹. For typical dimensions of the cylinder liner and a lube oil thickness of 10 µm, an average lube oil residence time of 220 s is estimated, which is a value in accordance with the residence time applied in the MFR experiments. However, despite the efficient mixing caused by the piston, the full lube oil volume in a cylinder cannot be approximated as a single mixed flow reactor. In the full-scale application, the lube oil film thickness varies vertically,⁵⁸ as does the H₂SO₄ condensation rate,⁵⁶ because varying amounts of H₂SO₄ may condense locally on the cylinder liners. Moreover, the mathematical model can provide estimates of the degree of conversion of the condensing H₂SO₄ at confined, local points in a large two-stroke marine diesel engine. This corresponds to the discretizing of the vertical direction of the lube oil film into smaller volumes, assuming that each volume has the behavior as a mixed flow reactor. The model can then be used to predict the conversion of H₂SO₄ at specific local initial conditions, such as Ca/S and temperature.

6.1 Parametric study
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In the following, a parametric study is presented with the aim of investigating the adsorption-controlled neutralization reaction at conditions representative for a large two-stroke marine diesel engine. This gives an indication of how the reaction would progress in a realistic application. For this purpose, reference case simulation parameters are defined as: $T = 423 \text{ K}$, $\tau = 0.5 \text{ s}$, $f_{\text{L, oil,w}} = 0.089 \text{ kg kg}^{-1} (100 \text{ BN})$, and $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$. The remaining parameters used in the model simulations are based on the ones presented in Table 1.

The effect of lube oil temperature is investigated in the range 373 to 533 K, corresponding to the cylinder liner temperature range in a two-stroke marine diesel engine. Lube oils with different BN are used in service, depending on the sulfur content in the burned fuel, therefore the effect of using a 70 BN and 140 BN is also investigated. Depending on the operating conditions, different concentrations of the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ droplets can condense onto the lube oil film in a real engine application. The range from concentrated ($18 \cdot 10^3 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$) to very dilute $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ droplets ($1.8 \cdot 10^3 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$) is therefore examined. Wu et al. found that the shrinking rate of acid droplets depends on the lube oil formulation, i.e. different lube oils may not have an identical reaction rate constant. Therefore, the effect of varying the reaction rate constant is studied.

### 6.1.1 Lube oil formulation

Figure 10 shows the effect of the adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ droplet radius on the conversion, with the reference case value varying by a factor of 5. Increasing $k_{ads}$ by a factor of 5 corresponds to decreasing $R_{SA}$ by a factor of 5. A significant adverse effect is observed when decreasing $k_{ads}$ (increasing $R_{SA}$). It shows that in order to enhance the performance of a specific lube oil with respect to neutralization, the oil should be formulated to increase the adsorption rate of reverse micelles onto $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ droplets, i.e. increase the adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant. The simulations also suggest that the lube oil should be formulated with surfactants capable of emulsifying the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ sufficiently. If $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ is poorly emulsified in the lube oil, resulting in enlarged droplets, significant amounts of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ may reach the cylinder liner material.
**Figure 10.** Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO₃ reverse micelles and (b) H₂SO₄ droplets as a function of Ca/S at three values of $k_{ads}$ and $R_{SA}$, respectively. Model parameters are: $T = 423$ K, $\tau = 0.5$ s, $f_{L,oil,\omega} = 0.089$ kg kg⁻¹ (100 BN), $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3$ mol m⁻³, as well as those in Table 1.

### 6.1.2 Base number

An important property of the lube oil is the base number (BN, i.e. CaCO₃ concentration). The effect of varying the BN in the lube oil is shown in Figure 11. The volumetric inlet flow rate, $v_{oil,f}$, is kept unchanged when altering the BN of lube oil. The weight fraction of CaCO₃ in the lube oil, $f_{L,oil,\omega}$, is changed, affecting the number of micelles in the inlet lube oil (Eq. (20)), $N_{L,f}$, and also the H₂SO₄ droplet flow to the lube oil volume (Eq. (22)), $F_{SA}$. Figure 11 shows that the conversion of H₂SO₄ increases slightly with the BN of the lube oil at a constant Ca/S molar ratio. For a Ca/S equal to 1, the conversion increases by 5% when increasing the lube oil BN from 100 BN to 140 BN, and decreases by 6% when switching a 100 BN lube oil to 70 BN lube oil. This is due to the higher concentrations of CaCO₃ and H₂SO₄, resulting in an increase in the reaction rate. The results indicate that it could be beneficial to use a higher BN lube oil, in combination with a decreased lube oil feed rate (maintained Ca/S value). However, it is a trade-off because a reduced oil feed rate may lead to exposure of the liner surface and insufficient lubrication leading to e.g. scuffing.
6.1.3 Concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets

The effect of varying the concentration of the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets is investigated in Figure 12. At a Ca/S molar ratio equal to 1, the conversion of H$_2$SO$_4$ increases by 10% and 23% respectively, when diluting the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration by factors of 5 and 10 compared to the reference value. When the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration decreases, the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet flow, $F_{SA}$, is increased to maintain a constant Ca/S molar ratio. The number of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets emulsified into the lube oil film is thereby increased, but they are more dilute. This leads to an increase in reaction rate according to Eq. (11), and consequently a higher conversion is found for decreasing H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration. However, the effect is minor compared to that of changing $k_{ads}$ and $R_{SA}$ (Figure 10).
Figure 12. Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of Ca/S at three values of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5$ µm, $T = 423$ K, $\tau = 0.5$ s, $f_{L, oil,w} = 0.089$ kg kg$^{-1}$ (100 BN), as well as those in Table 1.

The simulations in Figure 12 show an opposite effect of decreased H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration as compared to the MFR experiments, where a decrease in H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration from $18 \times 10^3$ mol m$^{-3}$ to $5 \times 10^3$ mol m$^{-3}$ led to a small reduction in conversion.$^{38}$ However, significantly more water was introduced in the MFR experiments when decreasing the H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration and maintaining the Ca/S molar ratio. This may have reduced the solubilization of the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets due to a limited amount of excess of dispersants and detergents in the lube oil, resulting in enlarged droplets. As shown in Figure 8, larger H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets result in a reduction in the conversion. The isolated effect of changing the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration may therefore be difficult to assess experimentally. Another explanation for the difference between experimental and modeling results could lie in the assumption of the model that the adsorption rate constant, $k_{ads}$, does not depend on the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration. Duan et al.$^{53}$ show that the shrinking rate ($dR_{SA}/dt$) of acetic acid droplets in fully formulated passenger-car lube oil decreases when decreasing the acetic acid droplet concentration. Also, Fu et al.$^{26}$ report that the shrinking rate of a droplet of pure water is about one magnitude lower than that of an H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet. This suggests that the shrinking rate depends on the nature, chemical interaction, and concentration of the
droplets. Local condensation of strongly diluted H₂SO₄ droplets will increase the water content of the lube oil emulsion. This could possibly lead to disruption of the lube oil film and direct H₂SO₄-metal contact, causing accelerated corrosion of the cylinder liner material, especially at a low Ca/S molar ratio (Figure S1 in Supporting Information).

### 6.1.4 Residence time

The effect of reaction time is investigated in Figure 13. It is done by fixing the volume of the lube oil film and only changing the volumetric inlet lube oil flow rate, \( v_{oil,f} \). The conversion increases with residence time, as expected. At a Ca/S equal to 1, the conversion decreases by 35% when decreasing the residence time from 0.5 seconds to 0.1 seconds, and increases 22% when increasing the residence time from 0.5 seconds to 2.5 seconds. In the MFR experiments, no effect of residence time in the range from 48 seconds to 210 seconds at Ca/S molar ratios around 1.4 and 2.0 was found. However, the model predicts an increase in the conversion of CaCO₃ by 17% at Ca/S = 1.4, when the residence time is increased from 48 seconds to 210 seconds in the MFR (Figure S2 in Supporting Information).

![Figure 13](image_url)  
**Figure 13.** Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO₃ reverse micelles and (b) H₂SO₄ droplets as a function of Ca/S at three values of lube oil film residence time. Model parameters are: \( R_{SA} = 0.5 \text{ µm}, T = 423 \text{ K}, f_{L, oil, w} = 0.089 \text{ kg kg}^{-1} (100 \text{ BN}), C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3 \text{ mol m}^{-3}, \) as well as those in Table 1.
In Figure 14, the conversion of CaCO$_3$ (Figure 14(a)) and H$_2$SO$_4$ (Figure 14(b)) is shown as a function of residence time for three different Ca/S molar ratios. According to the predictions, the neutralization is initially very fast at engine conditions. This is in agreement with the findings of Roman, who performed stirred, thin lube oil film batch reactor experiments with a Ca/S molar ratio of around 0.5, a 100 BN lube oil, and a temperature of 373 K. He concluded that the reaction is extremely rapid and takes place within only a few seconds before reaching a plateau with a significantly reduced reaction rate. Modeling simulations for the same conditions as those of Roman, indicate a 50% conversion of CaCO$_3$ within 0.4 seconds, while 90% conversion is achieved for a residence time of 5 seconds. These values are roughly in agreement with the ones given by Roman.

![Figure 14](image.png)

**Figure 14.** Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of residence time at three values of Ca/S. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5$ µm, $T = 423$ K, $f_{L, oil,w} = 0.089$ kg kg$^{-1}$ (100 BN), $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3$ mol m$^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1.

6.1.5 Lube oil temperature
The effect of the lube oil temperature in the range 373 K to 533 K is investigated in Figure 15. The model predicts a significant effect of temperature on conversion. The H$_2$SO$_4$ is almost fully neutralized after 0.5 seconds when the temperature is higher than 473 K and Ca/S>1 (Figure 15(b)). For instance, 92.5% H$_2$SO$_4$ is neutralized after 0.5 seconds at 533 K at Ca/S = 1. When H$_2$SO$_4$ is in great excess (e.g. Ca/S = 0.1), the CaCO$_3$ is almost completely depleted locally at these temperatures.

At 373 K, i.e. the lower end of the liner temperature in a two-stroke marine diesel engine, a significant fraction of the H$_2$SO$_4$ is not neutralized, and the H$_2$SO$_4$ has the potential of interacting with the cylinder liner surface during the stagnant period until the next mixing stroke.

![Figure 15](image-url)

**Figure 15.** Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of Ca/S at varying temperature between 373 K and 533 K. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5$ µm, $\tau = 0.5$ s, $f_{L,otl,w} = 0.089$ kg kg$^{-1}$ (100 BN), $C_{SA,d} = 18 \times 10^3$ mol m$^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1. The vertical dotted line represents Ca/S = 1.

By use of Eq. (6), it is possible to calculate the average concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ in the lube oil film emulsion after 0.5 seconds of reaction time after mixing by the passing piston rings, and thereby also at the cylinder liner surface during the stagnant time period, see Figure 16. The H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration increases dramatically for Ca/S<1, showing that it is important to avoid a local excess of H$_2$SO$_4$ compared to CaCO$_3$ on the cylinder liner.
surface, in order to minimize corrosion and wear of the cylinder liner and piston rings. The concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ decreases with increasing lube oil temperature, as expected from Figure 15. The results of Figs. 15 and 16 indicate that the H$_2$SO$_4$ is likely to reach the cylinder liner surface no matter how well lubricated the surface is. However, a certain degree of corrosion may be beneficial in order to maintain a rough cylinder liner surface for supporting a lube oil film.$^{11}$

![Figure 16.](image)

**Figure 16.** Model simulations showing the average concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ in the lube oil film as a function of Ca/S at varying temperatures between 373 K and 533 K. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5 \ \mu$m, $\tau = 0.5 \ \text{s}$, $f_{L,oil,w} = 0.089 \ \text{kg} \ \text{kg}^{-1}$ (100 BN), $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3 \ \text{mol m}^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1. The vertical dotted line represents Ca/S = 1.

### 6.2 Practical implications

The parametric study indicates that the neutralization of H$_2$SO$_4$ and the interaction of H$_2$SO$_4$ with the liner surface is a complex function of: lube oil formulation; flow pattern at the cylinder liner (mixing behavior); and vertical, local position at the cylinder liner (which affects lube oil temperature, residence time, and concentration of condensing H$_2$SO$_4$). The upper part of the cylinder liner is the segment where the most severe wear is found.$^{3,62}$ In this section, possible factors affecting the presence of H$_2$SO$_4$ at the liner surface in the upper region are discussed.
The cylinder liner temperature in the upper part is high.\(^1\) Consequently, a decrease in H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) condensation rate would be expected,\(^56\) and the neutralization reaction would be fast (Figure 16), both ultimately contributing to a reduction in wear.\(^1,3\) However, the pressure in the cylinder liner is highest when the piston is near top dead center (TDC) upon combustion (thus SO\(_3\)/H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) partial pressures are highest near TDC), resulting in a high dew point temperature of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)(aq), in this area.\(^3\) Furthermore, the upper part of the cylinder is exposed to the combustion gas for the longest length of time.\(^7\) Despite the higher temperature, most of the H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) formed from oxidation of the fuel-sulfur may thus condense on the upper part of the cylinder liner segment, as reported.\(^7,56\) A high load of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in the lube oil in this region could also be facilitated by the upward motion of the piston, collecting the condensed H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) above the top piston ring.\(^26\) The upper part of the cylinder is also the area where the piston speed is the lowest,\(^1\) decreasing the degree of mixing of the lube oil film, and the lube oil film thickness is at its minimum.\(^58\) A local excess of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) compared to CaCO\(_3\) in the upper part of the cylinder liner would explain the corrosive wear that has been observed. In addition, more water is known to condense in the upper part of the cylinder,\(^7\) increasing the risk of direct H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)-liner contact through disruption of the lube oil film.\(^60,61\)

One method to reduce the wear would be to increase the flow rate of lube oil and thereby the local Ca/S molar ratio in the upper segment. For a constant thickness of the lube oil film, the average residence time depends on the engine load and the feed rate of lube oil. When increasing the feed rate and the engine load, the average residence time decreases.\(^3,63\) However, at the same time, more CaCO\(_3\) is introduced, increasing the Ca/S molar ratio. The effect of increasing Ca/S is considerable when Ca/S<1.5, according to Figure 15. A lower engine load leads to a lower piston velocity, thus increasing the exposure time between lube oil and H\(_2\)SO\(_4\). This gives more time for the neutralization reaction to occur, but it also gives more time for non-neutralized H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in the lube oil emulsion to react with the cylinder liner material at stagnant conditions. The Ca/S molar ratio can also be increased by using higher BN lube oil. According to the present work, this would lead to a slightly faster
neutralization of H$_2$SO$_4$, thereby decreasing the contact between H$_2$SO$_4$ and the liner and consequently the corrosion. This is in accordance to experiences from service.$^{1}$

The above analysis shows that the prevention of cold corrosion is a complex challenge. H$_2$SO$_4$ will be present at the cylinder liner surface to some degree regardless of how well-lubricated the surface is. It is therefore more a matter of how much H$_2$SO$_4$ can be neutralized in the shortest period of time, in order to prevent undesired corrosion in the large two-stroke marine diesel engines.

7 Conclusions

The reaction between H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets and CaCO$_3$ micelles in lube oil has been studied in a well-mixed flow reactor and a batch reactor at Ca/S molar ratios, relevant for a real engine application. The purpose was to isolate the rate-limiting step(s) of the neutralization mechanism. For the residence times investigated, the results showed that the reactant conversion was significantly reduced when the Ca/S molar ratio approached unity. It was also found, that a certain degree of stirring is required to establish contact between H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets and CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles in order to initiate and maintain the reaction, probably to effectively emulsify H$_2$SO$_4$ into the lube oil. The experiments performed in the MFR and batch reactor suggest that the rate-limiting step in the neutralization mechanism is the adsorption of CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles onto the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets. A mathematical model for the neutralization of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets by CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles lube oil under mixed-flow conditions has been developed and was verified by using mixed flow reactor experimental data obtained by the authors. The results support adsorption as the rate-controlling step in the neutralization mechanism. The mixed flow reactor model offers a useful approximation to conditions in the lube oil film on the cylinder liner, and can be used to assess the importance of different process parameters. The neutralization reaction rate increases significantly with the lube oil temperature, while the lube oil base number and the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration have only a minor impact at a maintained Ca/S molar ratio. Modeling predictions indicate that H$_2$SO$_4$ will be present to some degree on the cylinder liner surface independent of how well-wetted the liner is.
The concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ in the lube oil film is significantly increased for conditions with a local molar excess of H$_2$SO$_4$, compared to CaCO$_3$ micelles (Ca/S<1). To control the corrosion rate, it is important to ensure that sufficient lube oil is provided in critical regions, particularly at the upper part of the cylinder liner where the most pronounced wear is most often found. Both the derived adsorption kinetics, which can be used in computational fluid dynamics (CFD) models and the mathematical model, are expected to facilitate further studies to understand and control corrosion and wear in large two-stroke marine diesel engines. By connecting the knowledge of where H$_2$SO$_4$ is condensing$^{56,64}$ with how it is neutralized (the present article), it may be possible to optimize the spatial lube oil dosage onto the cylinders to counteract severe corrosive wear.
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**Nomenclature**

\( A_i \) = Surface area of component \( i \) \( (4\pi R_i^2) \) [m²]

\( B_N \) = Base number \( [(\text{mg KOH}) \text{ (g oil)⁻¹}] \)

\( Ca/S \) = Molar ratio of CaCO₃ to H₂SO₄ in inlet feed [mol mol⁻¹]

\( C_i \) = Concentration of component \( i \) [mol m⁻³]

\( C_{SA,d} \) = Concentration of H₂SO₄ in droplets [mol m⁻³]

\( d_c \) = Critical distance where immediate reaction occurs [m]

\( D_i \) = Diffusion coefficient of component \( i \) [m² s⁻¹]

\( E_a \) = Activation energy [J mol⁻¹]

\( F_{SA} \) = H₂SO₄ droplet number flow [(number of droplets) s⁻¹]

\( f_L \) = Volume fraction of CaCO₃ in reverse micelle [m³ m⁻³]

\( f_{L,oil,w} \) = Weight fraction of CaCO₃ in lube oil [kg kg⁻¹]

\( i \) = Component \( i \) [-]

\( k_{ads} \) = Adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant [m s⁻¹]

\( k'_{ads} \) = Adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant [m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹]

\( k_B \) = Boltzmann constant, \( 1.38 \cdot 10^{-23} \) [kg m² s⁻² K⁻¹]

\( k_{diff} \) = Diffusion-controlled reaction rate constant [m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹]

\( k_{res} \) = Resulting reaction rate constant [m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹]

\( M_i \) = Molar weight of component \( i \) [kg mol⁻¹]

\( N \) = Stirrer speed [rpm]

\( N_A \) = Avogadro’s constant, \( 6.022 \cdot 10^{23} \) [molecules mol⁻¹]
\[ n_i = \text{Moles of component } i \text{ [mol]} \]
\[ N_i = \text{Number of component } i \text{ per volume [number m}^{-3}] \]
\[ N_{i,f} = \text{Number of component } i \text{ per volume in inlet feed [number m}^{-3}] \]
\[ n_L = \text{Moles of CaCO}_3 \text{ per reverse micelle [mol]} \]
\[ -r_{A,i} = \text{Reaction rate [mol m}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}] \]
\[ R = \text{Ideal gas constant, 8.314 [J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}] \]
\[ R_i = \text{Radius of component } i \text{ [m]} \]
\[ t = \text{Time [s]} \]
\[ T = \text{Temperature in lube oil volume [K]} \]
\[ V_i = \text{Average volume of component } i \text{ (} 4/3 \pi R_i^3 \text{) [m}^3 \]
\[ v_{i,f} = \text{Volumetric feed rate of component } i \text{ [m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}] \]
\[ v_o = \text{Volumetric outflow of lube oil emulsion [m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}] \]
\[ V_{oil} = \text{Volume of lube oil in mixed flow reactor [m}^3 \]
\[ \bar{x} = \text{Mean Brownian displacement of a particle [m]} \]
\[ X_i = \text{Conversion of component } i \text{ [-]} \]

Greek Letters
\[ \eta = \text{Lube oil dynamic viscosity [kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}] \]
\[ \theta = \text{Fraction of surface that is occupied [-]} \]
\[ \rho_i = \text{Density of component } i \text{ [kg m}^{-3} \]
\[ \tau = \text{Residence time [s]} \]

Subscripts
\[ \text{ads} = \text{Adsorption-controlled} \]
diff = Diffusion-controlled

d = Droplet

f = Inlet feed

L = Limestone, CaCO₃ or CaCO₃ reverse micelle

o = Outlet

Oil = Lube oil

SA = Sulfuric acid, H₂SO₄ or H₂SO₄ droplet

w = weight
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List of figure captions

Figure 1. Selected segment of infrared spectra showing the CaCO₃ and CaSO₄ peaks of four different lube oil blends with different amounts of added H₂SO₄, giving CaCO₃ concentrations in the range 98.6-21.5 BN. When more H₂SO₄ is added, the CaCO₃ peak decreases and the CaSO₄ increases. The spectrum of the 98.6 BN lube oil is a fresh lube oil without the addition of H₂SO₄.

Figure 2. Neutralization mechanism between an acid droplet and CaCO₃ reverse micelle (adapted from Wu et al.²¹).

Figure 3. Results from the NaOH-H₂SO₄-lube oil batch reactor experiments, showing the accumulative percentage conversions of NaOH and CaCO₃ at two different Ca/S. Error bars represent two times the sample standard deviation based on two repetitions.

Figure 4. Effect of varying the stirrer speed (N) on the CaCO₃ conversion in the lube oil. Error bars represent two times the sample standard deviation. Experimental conditions are: stirrer speed = 120-1200 rpm, inlet H₂SO₄ concentration = 96.5 wt.%, and residence time (for Fast Analysis) = 2.1-2.8 min (see Table S1 in Supporting Information for more information on each experimental number).

Figure 5. Effect of varying Ca/S molar ratio on the CaCO₃ conversion in the lube oil. Error bars represent two times the sample standard deviation. Experimental conditions are: stirrer speed = 1200 rpm, inlet H₂SO₄ concentration = 96.5 wt.%, and residence time (for Fast Analysis) = 2.1-3.5 min (see Table S1 in Supporting Information for more information on each experimental number).

Figure 6. Effect of varying the Ca/S molar ratio on the H₂SO₄ conversion. Error bars represent two times the sample standard deviation. Experimental conditions as in Figure 5.

Figure 7. Schematic illustration of the neutralization of H₂SO₄ droplets in a lube oil by CaCO₃ reverse micelles under mixed flow reactor conditions. Lube oil volume and inlet and outlet conditions are indicated in the
Figure 8. Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of Ca/S by using a micelle diffusion-controlled reaction rate constant and an adsorption-controlled reaction rate constant at three values of H$_2$SO$_4$ radius, R$_{SA}$. Circles represent MFR experimental data from Figs. 5 and 6. Model parameters are presented in Table 1.

Figure 9. Illustration of lube oil dosage, H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet condensation, and mixing in an engine: (a) when the piston approaches top dead center (TDC), fresh lube oil is dosed onto the piston ring pack$^{57}$ (the injection frequency of fresh lube oil is typically once every third to eighth engine revolution), (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ condenses onto the lube oil film when the piston moves towards the bottom dead center (BDC), (c) the piston moves towards TDC again, contributing to a well-mixed lube oil film where the H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets are emulsified in the lube oil, and (d) horizontal close-up of a piston ring-lube oil film, where a moving front may develop where the lube oil and H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets are effectively mixed.

Figure 10. Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of Ca/S at three values of $k_{ads}$ and R$_{SA}$, respectively. Model parameters are: T = 423 K, $\tau$ = 0.5 s, $f_{L-oil,w} = 0.089$ kg kg$^{-1}$ (100 BN), $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3$ mol m$^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1.

Figure 11. Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of Ca/S at three values of the base number of the lube oil. Model parameters are: R$_{SA} = 0.5$ $\mu$m, T = 423 K, $\tau = 0.5$ s, $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3$ mol m$^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1.

Figure 12. Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of Ca/S at three values of H$_2$SO$_4$ droplet concentration. Model parameters are: R$_{SA} = 0.5$ $\mu$m, T = 423 K, $\tau = 0.5$ s, $f_{L-oil,w} = 0.089$ kg kg$^{-1}$ (100 BN), as well as those in Table 1.
function of Ca/S at three values of lube oil film residence time. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5 \, \mu m$, $T = 423 \, K$, $f_{L,oil,w} = 0.089 \, kg \, kg^{-1} (100 \, BN)$, $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3 \, mol \, m^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1.

Figure 14. Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of residence time at three values of Ca/S. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5 \, \mu m$, $T = 423 \, K$, $f_{L,oil,w} = 0.089 \, kg \, kg^{-1} (100 \, BN)$, $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3 \, mol \, m^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1.

Figure 15. Model simulations showing conversion of (a) CaCO$_3$ reverse micelles and (b) H$_2$SO$_4$ droplets as a function of Ca/S at varying temperature between 373 K and 533 K. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5 \, \mu m$, $\tau = 0.5 \, s$, $f_{L,oil,w} = 0.089 \, kg \, kg^{-1} (100 \, BN)$, $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3 \, mol \, m^{-3}$, as well as those in Table 1. The vertical dotted line represents Ca/S = 1.

Figure 16. Model simulations showing the average concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ in the lube oil film as a function of Ca/S at varying temperatures between 373 K and 533 K. Model parameters are: $R_{SA} = 0.5 \, \mu m$, $\tau = 0.5 \, s$, $f_{L,oil,w} = 0.089 \, kg \, kg^{-1} (100 \, BN)$, $C_{SA,d} = 18 \cdot 10^3 \, mol \, m^{-3}$, and the ones presented in Table 1. The vertical dotted line represents Ca/S = 1.