



Exposure to Air Pollution inside Electric and Diesel-Powered Passenger Trains

Guerra Andersen, Maria Helena; Johannesson, Sandra; Fonseca, Ana Sofia; Clausen, Per Axel; Saber, Anne Thoustrup; Roursgaard, Martin; Löschner, Katrin; Koponen, Ismo K; Loft, Steffen; Vogel, Ulla Birgitte

Total number of authors:
11

Published in:
Environmental Science and Technology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1021/acs.est.8b06980](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06980)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Guerra Andersen, M. H., Johannesson, S., Fonseca, A. S., Clausen, P. A., Saber, A. T., Roursgaard, M., Löschner, K., Koponen, I. K., Loft, S., Vogel, U. B., & Møller, P. (2019). Exposure to Air Pollution inside Electric and Diesel-Powered Passenger Trains. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 53(8), 4579–4587. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06980>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Ecotoxicology and Human Environmental Health

**Exposure to air pollution inside electric
and diesel-powered passenger trains**

Maria Helena Guerra Andersen, Sandra Johannesson, Ana Sofia Fonseca,
Per Axel Clausen, Anne Thoustrup Saber, Martin Roursgaard, Katrin
Löschner, Ismo Kalevi Koponen, Steffen Loft, Ulla Vogel, and Peter Moller

Environ. Sci. Technol., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b06980 • Publication Date (Web): 27 Mar 2019

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on March 29, 2019

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

Exposure to air pollution inside electric and diesel-powered passenger trains

Maria Helena G. Andersen^{1,2*}, Sandra Johannesson³, Ana Sofia Fonseca², Per Axel Clausen²,
Anne Thoustrup Saber², Martin Roursgaard¹, Katrin Loeschner⁴, Ismo K. Koponen², Steffen Loft¹,
Ulla Vogel^{2,5}, Peter Møller¹

¹Department of Public Health, Section of Environmental Health, University of Copenhagen, Øster
Farimagsgade 5A, DK-1014 Copenhagen K, Denmark

²The National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Lersø Parkalle 105, DK-2100
Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.

³Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy at University
of Gothenburg, 40530 Gothenburg, Sweden.

⁴National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark


⁵Department of Health Technology, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby,
Denmark

*Corresponding author: mhar@sund.ku.dk; mga@nfa.dk

Keywords

Diesel exhaust, diesel train, personal exposure, ultrafine particles, black carbon, nitrogen oxides,
underground station, commuter

26 Table of contents/Abstract art



27

28

29 Abstract

30 Diesel-powered trains are used worldwide for passenger transport. The present study aimed to
31 assess air pollution concentrations in passenger cars from diesel and electric trains. Personal
32 exposure monitoring (6-7 hours per day) was carried out for 49 days on diesel and 22 days on
33 electric trains. Diesel trains had higher concentrations of all the assessed air pollution components.
34 Average increases (and fold differences) in passenger cars of diesel trains compared with electric
35 trains were for ultrafine particles 212,000 particles/cm³ (35-fold), black carbon 8.3 µg/m³ (6-fold),
36 NO_x 316 µg/m³ (8-fold), NO₂ 38 µg/m³ (3-fold), PM_{2.5} 34 µg/m³ (2-fold) and benzo(a)pyrene 0.14
37 ng/m³ (6-fold). From time-series data, the pull and push movement modes, the engine in use and
38 the distance to the locomotive influenced the concentrations inside the diesel trains. In conclusion,
39 concentrations of all air pollutants were significantly elevated in passenger cars in diesel trains
40 compared to electric trains.

41

42 Introduction

43 Railway passenger and freight transport activity have been growing globally in the last 40 years¹.
44 Electricity is the power supply of choice in trains in many areas, but a significant proportion of
45 commuter and freight trains worldwide are still powered by diesel fuel. It has been shown that

46 diesel-powered trains increase the concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and ultrafine
47 particles (UFP; <0.1 µm) in the open air close to the railway^{2, 3}. However, PM has been shown to
48 also penetrate into passenger cars of the train^{4, 5}. A review of commuter exposure to particles
49 reported three times higher UFP concentrations in passenger cars of diesel than electric trains,
50 with highest concentrations when diesel-propelled locomotives operate in pull mode⁶. Two more
51 recent studies also reported significant differences of UFP concentrations inside passenger cars of
52 diesel trains depending on position of locomotive^{4, 7}. In general, high variability of UFP
53 concentrations has been reported inside diesel trains. More knowledge on seasonal variation and
54 other components of the complex diesel exhaust mixture penetrating to train cars is needed.
55 Electric trains generate only particle emissions from non-exhaust sources such as wear and
56 mechanical friction on rail tracks, wheels and brakes, as well as friction and arcing of the electrical
57 wires. The majority of the studies on electric trains have addressed particle exposure on train
58 platforms and tunnels⁸, although a few recent studies assessed exposures and factors affecting
59 exposures inside passenger cars^{5, 9}.

60

61 High concentrations of diesel exhaust in passenger cars are worrying because it is associated with
62 increased risk of lung cancer as well as other lung and cardiovascular diseases¹⁰⁻¹². In addition to
63 passengers, train conductors, engine drivers, maintenance staff, platform staff and other train
64 personnel can be exposed. Our study was initiated because of concern about potential health
65 effects in workers and passengers who commute in diesel-powered trains. In Copenhagen,
66 Denmark, the urban train system is electrified, but co-exists with diesel-powered trains that travel
67 further on intercity routes. A recent report showed that two types of diesel rolling stock used in
68 Denmark gave rise to higher UFP exposure to staff working in the passenger cars than what would
69 occur on the most busy street in Copenhagen¹³. The aim of the present study was to perform a

comprehensive comparison of the concentration levels inside passenger cars of diesel-powered and electric trains including both particulate and gaseous air pollutants.

Methods

Study design

Twenty-nine volunteers were recruited to sit inside the front car of Danish State Railways trains running in the Zealand region, carrying portable measuring devices and samplers. The concentrations characterization was performed in the same type of trains on three consecutive days each week (Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday) for 6-7 hours per day. A pilot study was conducted during two days in March in order to characterize the size distributions, test the concentration contrast and test the chosen routes. The main study period covered a total of 23 weeks from May to December 2017. Out of the 23 monitoring weeks, seven were conducted in electric trains and 16 in diesel trains. In addition to measurements in trains, two more days of measurements were done on a busy multimodal underground train platform in Copenhagen, as a worst-case scenario used opportunistically to assess the contribution of the studied diesel trains in the underground environment.

Trains and train routes

The electric trains operated on a route from Østerport station to Elsinore station (46 km). The train route was taken forward and back 3 times per day, for a total of 5-hours and 37 minutes per day, including waiting time at Østerport and Elsinore stations, starting at 9h39 and ending at 15h16. The rolling stock is termed Litra ET and runs on alternating current in overhead power lines (25 kV and 50 Hz).

94 As the diesel train exposure scenario, a double deck train propelled by a diesel locomotive (Litra
95 ME, from 1981-85, 33 units in circulation) was chosen in a route from Østerport to Kalundborg
96 station (114 km), return to Copenhagen Central Station, and from there to Holbæk (part of
97 Kalundborg line, 68 km) and back to Copenhagen Central Station. The route took a total of 7
98 hours per day, including waiting time at the stations, starting at 8h34 and ending at 15h32. This
99 route was chosen because the same locomotive was used all the day (defined as “diesel A”). For
100 the measurements in October and November, the trip was firstly heading to Holbæk and then to
101 Kalundborg, driven with three different ME locomotives and saving one hour of waiting time at
102 the station (defined as “diesel B”). The driving locomotive code was noted every day for the diesel
103 scenario. The diesel routes include a passage at one underground station in the Copenhagen city
104 centre (Nørreport Station). The plan for the different weeks and schedules are available in
105 supporting information (SI, Tables S1 and S2).

106

107 The windows in both passenger train cars (electric and diesel) were sealed and could not be
108 opened. Furthermore, the ventilation system was not passenger-adjustable.

109

110 ***Underground station***

111 The underground train platform at Nørreport station is a 200 m long single platform positioned
112 between two tracks. It is equipped with a modern ventilation system (from 2014) and serves both
113 electric and diesel regional and intercity trains. Measurements were carried out on two different
114 days: on the first day (Friday January 26th) all train types were running as usual, but on the second
115 day (Friday February 2nd) all ME locomotives had been taken out of circulation for maintenance,
116 providing a unique opportunity to perform measurements at the underground station both with and
117 without the ME-diesel locomotives. The monitoring instruments were maintained in the same

position on the train platform for 4 hours. The train passages were noted for both tracks in both days.

Measurements, instrumentation and chemical analyses

All air pollution measurements were performed as personal monitoring with the volunteers carrying the equipment. In the diesel trains the volunteers were sitting in the compartment in the front section of the first passenger car (Figure S2) and in the electric trains they were sitting in the first passenger car. Black carbon mass concentration (BC) and UFP number concentration were measured on each monitoring day during the total period of the study, both on-board of the diesel and electric trains and on the platform of the underground station. Nitrogen oxides (NO₂ and NO_x; whole period), aldehydes (10 weeks from May to September), PM_{2.5} and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs; 9 weeks in October and November) were sampled on-board of the electric and diesel trains (Table S1). Additionally, PM was collected by means of a mid-volume electrostatic sampler, described elsewhere¹⁴, in the compartment in the front train car during the monitoring weeks in December. Measurements to investigate concentration gradient inside the trains were performed for three days, where one person sat in the compartment in the front section of the first passenger car, while another person sat each day at a different position in the lower deck of the train (for entire day trip), each of them carrying one BC and one UFP measuring device. A summary of the measurements, instruments, periods and sites monitored as well as time resolutions and flows used is presented in SI (Table S3).

Black carbon

Black carbon was measured with 1-minute resolution using two MicroAeth AE51 aethalometers (Aethlabs, San Francisco, CA) with high degree of comparability (correlation coefficient, $r=0.95$).

The filters inside the aethalometer were changed after each monitoring day in the diesel trains, and after the three monitoring days in the electric trains.

Ultrafine particles

UFP concentrations were measured using both diffusion chargers DiSCmini (DM; DiSCmini, Matter Aerosol AG, Wohlen, Switzerland) and NanoTracer (NT; Aerasense NanoTracer, Oxility, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). For the two-day pilot study the NanoScan SMPS model 3910 (TSI, Shoreview, MN, USA) was also used. The devices were synchronized with a working computer's clock. Two DM devices were used for the gradient measurements with high degree of comparability ($r=0,96$). Measurement time resolutions were 1-second for DM, 16-seconds for NT and 1-minute for NanoScan SMPS (Table S3).

Nitrogen oxides

Passive Ogawa samplers (Ogawa, FL, USA) were used for personal sampling of nitrogen oxides. Two samplers were used over the three consecutive days in each exposure scenario and kept in sealed plastic bags overnight at 4°C (and after completed sampling). Samplers were analysed by ion chromatography and corrected with field blanks¹⁵. The limit of detection (LOD) for the sampling time of 18-21 hours was 0.7 µg/m³ for NO₂ and 1.4 µg/m³ for NO_x. None of the samples were below the LOD.

Aldehydes

Aldehydes were measured with Sep-Pak XPoSure aldehyde samplers (Waters, MA, USA) connected to pumps. The samplers were closed with caps and kept overnight at 4°C during the three cumulated sampling days for each exposure scenario and in the end kept at -20°C. The samplers were analysed for 13 different aldehydes and one ketone using high-performance liquid

chromatography with UV detection. The sampled air volume was 0.21-0.27 m³ and the LOD was 0.5 µg/sample for each substance.

PM_{2.5} and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PM_{2.5} was collected on filters (Teflon w/ring PALL 2.0 µm, 37 mm) using a cyclone (Triplex cyclone, BGI, USA) at 1.5 L/min. A sequence set of two XAD-2 tubes (sample + backup tube) for sampling of gaseous PAHs were attached after the cyclone (Figure S1). After the sampling week was completed, the XAD-2 tubes were wrapped in aluminium foil and kept at -20°C. The filter cassettes were wrapped in aluminium foil and kept in the dark, dry and at room temperature before and after use. The Teflon filters were weighed before and after sampling in controlled climate conditions. LOD for particle mass was 29 µg. Two filters were excluded due to sampling failure and/or failure in the chemical analysis.

Particles on filters were extracted and analysed for the content of 16 US EPA priority PAHs (Table S5) using high-resolution gas chromatography/low-resolution mass spectrometry¹⁶. Field blanks were analysed along with the samples, and certified reference material was used for quality control. The XAD-2 from the sample and backup tube were combined and sonicated with 5 mL cyclohexane for 60 minutes and analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry for the same PAHs as in filters, analyzed in a different laboratory as previously described¹⁷. LODs for gaseous PAHs were 0.1-0.6 pg/µL extract depending on the individual PAH.

PM metal content

PM collected with the electrostatic sampler in weeks 23 and 24 in diesel A scenario was analysed for the content of 24 elements by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Detailed information is available in SI, Table S6.

192

193 ***Time-series data analyses***

194 The time-series data from BC, DM and NT (also from NanoScan, for the pilot study) were
195 synchronized in start and ending times and grouped by scenario (diesel A, diesel B and electric).
196 The BC data were collected with two duplicate devices, which were averaged for each measured
197 resolution unit (minute). Data for each monitoring day from each device were aggregated in 10
198 minutes intervals and the time slots of the different days were averaged. The averages and
199 percentiles for each 10-minute average concentration over time of the day within each scenario
200 were then plotted, as well as 10-minute maximum values using R statistical language¹⁸. The BC
201 and DM time-series from the concentration gradient measurements and from the underground
202 station were kept and re-sampled in the smallest time resolution possible for comparison (i.e. 1
203 minute). Some of the monitoring days were excluded from the analysis (Table S4).

204

205 ***Statistics***

206 The Welch t-test was used to compare the concentrations in diesel and electric scenarios using R
207 statistical language¹⁸. The average of daily 10-minute slots for all days measured was used for
208 calculating the mean, SD and range for time-series data from BC and UFP. The average
209 concentration of the two duplicate personal samplers used per week was used for calculating the
210 mean concentrations, SD and the range in concentrations for NO_x, NO₂, aldehydes, PM_{2.5} and the
211 PAHs.

212

213 **Results**214 ***On-board the trains***215 ***Black carbon and ultrafine particles***

216 Total particle number concentration and average size of UFP were measured in a pilot study in
217 Diesel A scenario with three different devices, showing similar readings of particle number
218 concentrations between devices (Figure S3). Detailed output figures from the NanoScan SMPS
219 device from both electric and diesel pilot measurements are in SI (Figures S4 and S5). For
220 personal monitoring in the main study the NanoScan device was not used since it is less portable.

221

222 Figure 1 shows the mean daily particle concentration patterns inside the train in the Diesel A,
223 Diesel B and Electric exposure scenarios. The patterns for BC mass concentrations and UFP
224 number concentrations (measured by DM equipment) showed similar trends (with daily averages
225 from both devices with $r=0.75$). The NT measurements were similar to the DM data and are
226 shown in SI (Figure S6). For both BC and UFP in the Diesel A scenario, a large increase in
227 concentrations was observed between 8h30 and 10h, and a small increase between 13h30 and
228 14h30. A similar trend was observed for the Diesel B scenario, with the second peak appearing
229 earlier in the day. The increased concentrations of BC and UFP in both diesel scenarios occurred
230 when the locomotive was pulling the train (leaving Copenhagen) and the lower concentrations
231 when the locomotive was pushing the train (returning to Copenhagen). When the locomotive was
232 in front, higher concentrations of BC and UFP were measured inside the passenger car with
233 average particle number concentrations of around 400,000 particles/cm³ and BC mass
234 concentration exceeding 20 µg/m³. For the 10-minutes average maximums, the UFP
235 concentrations exceeded 2 million particles/cm³ and BC mass concentration was 70-100 µg/m³
236 (Figure 1). When the locomotive was in push mode the averaged concentrations were 10,000-
237 30,000 particles/cm³ and 2-3 µg/m³ BC. The concentrations of BC and UFP inside the electric
238 trains were considerably lower than in the diesel trains. A small increase in concentrations could
239 be observed when the train was at Østerport station in Copenhagen, in the beginning and end of
240 the measurements, and also in the time intervals 11h16-11h39 and 13h16-13h39 when the

volunteers waited on the Østerport station platform to change trains. At the terminal station for electric trains in Elsinore, the concentrations were at the same level as when the train was in movement (between 3,000-7,000 particles/cm³ and 0.5-4 µg/m³ BC), while at Østerport station, shared with diesel trains, the averaged concentrations were 10,000-13,000 particles/cm³ and 3-5 µg/m³ BC. Table 1 summarizes the daily averaged concentrations of BC and UFP for diesel (both diesel A and B) versus electric scenario and Table 2 shows the differences between movement mode (pull/push, forward/backward, for diesel and electric) and for the different train scenarios (diesel A, diesel B and electric). For BC, concentrations were 6-fold higher in the diesel compared to the electric scenario and for UFP 35- and 20-fold higher (measured with DM and NT, respectively).




Figure 1 - Daily temporal BC mass concentrations and UFP number concentrations (measured by DiscMini) in the defined scenarios (Diesel A, Diesel B and Electric). The symbols represent the

arithmetic mean (horizontal lines), the 10th to 90th percentile distributions (vertical lines), and the maximum values (point crosses) for the 10 minutes averaged data. BC data were collected from 28 monitoring days for the Diesel A scenario, for 17 days for the Diesel B scenario and 18 days for the Electric scenario. UFP data were collected from 21 days for Diesel A, 18 days for Diesel B and 17 days for Electric (Table S4 presents missing data). Note the different y-axis ranges for diesel and electric trains.

Table 1 – Black carbon, ultrafine particles, nitrogen oxides, aldehydes, PM_{2.5} mass concentration and PAHs in particles mass and gas phase, respectively.

	Diesel train			Electric train			Fold difference (diesel vs electric)	Mean difference (CI 95%)
	n	Mean±SD ¹	Range ¹	n	Mean±SD ¹	Range ¹		
Black carbon (µg/m ³)	21	10.0 ± 3.3	3.8-15.1	7	1.7 ± 0.6	1.2-3.2	6	8.3 (7.3; 9.3)***
UFP from DM (#/cm ³)	15	218,000 ± 140,000	31,700-560,000	6	6,150 ± 2,310	4,100-12,700	35	212,000 (167,000; 257,000)***
UFP from NT (#/cm ³)	17	153,000 ± 81,000	29,200-425,000	7	7,760 ± 4,200	3,670-22,600	20	145,000 (121,000; 169,000)***
NO _x (µg/m ³)	14	364 ± 81	275-531	7	48 ± 16	25-65	8	316 (268; 365)***
NO ₂ (µg/m ³)	14	53 ± 16	35-99	7	16 ± 10	6-31	3	38 (26; 49)***
Aldehydes (sum) (µg/m ³)	6	50 ± 8	37-59	4	41 ± 2	39-44	1.2	NC ²
Formaldehyde (µg/m ³)	6	9.0 ± 2.1	6.4-11.4	4	5.2 ± 0.3	4.8-5.5	1.7	NC ²
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	6	68 ± 15	51-96	3	32 ± 7	24-37	2	NC ²
ΣPAH in PM _{2.5} (ng/m ³)	6	1.7 ± 0.6	1.0-2.5	3	0.31 ± 0.13	0.21-0.45	6	NC ²
BaP (ng/m ³) in PM _{2.5}	6	0.17 ± 0.09	0.08-0.30	3	0.03 ± 0.003	0.028-0.034	6	NC ²
Σ PAH XAD-2 tubes (ng/m ³)	6	165 ± 56	110-261	3	146 ± 48	116-201	1.1	NC ²
Naphthalene XAD-2 tubes	6	134 ± 26	99-170	3	108 ± 55	67-170	1.2	NC ²

(ng/m ³)							
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

n, number of weeks sampled (3 days per week); SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval;
UFP, ultrafine particles; DM, DiscMini; NC, not calculated; NT, NanoTracer; Σ PAH, total
amount of PAH in particles collected on filter or collected in the XAD-2 tubes; BaP,
benzo(a)pyrene. ¹Mean and Range determined from values over daily averages of 10-minute time
periods; ²Limited number of samples to calculate a reliable mean difference. Diesel trains include
both scenarios A and B; *** p<0.001

270

Table 2 – Black carbon and ultrafine particle concentrations for movement mode and scenario

		Pull mode/forward		Push mode/backward		Fold difference (pull vs push)	Mean difference (CI 95%)
		Mean±SD ¹	Range ¹	Mean±SD ¹	Range ¹		
Scenario A	Black carbon (µg/m ³)	19.8 ± 10.7	8.0-44.5	2.0 ± 0.9	0.9-3.3	10	17.8 (12.1; 23.5)***
	UFP from DM (#/cm ³)	347,600 ± 111,200	132,400-583,500	10,400 ± 7,800	3,300-34,000	33	337,200 (278,000; 396,600)***
	UFP from NT (#/cm ³)	279,500 ± 88,000	128,000-500,200	13,100 ± 12,000	5,200-53,800	21	266,400 (219,000; 313,700)***
Scenario B	Black carbon (µg/m ³)	20.8 ± 10.4	8.0-42.5	2.5 ± 1.8	0.7-6.7	8	18.3 (12.5; 24.2)***
	UFP from DM (#/cm ³)	539,600 ± 228,000	152,400-912,300	27,600 ± 18,700	13,100-87,400	20	512,000 (385,500; 638,600)***
	UFP from NT (#/cm ³)	400,400 ± 130,800	185,200-656,300	26,000 ± 23,000	11,500-103,600	15	374,400 (301,200; 447,500)***
Electric	Black carbon (µg/m ³)	1.1 ± 0.8	0.4-3.0	0.9 ± 0.5	0.5-1.9	1.2	0.3 (0.4; 0.9)
	UFP from DM (#/cm ³)	4,500 ± 1,900	2,700-8,300	5,500 ± 2,200	2,800-9,900	0.8	960 (900; 2,900)
	UFP from NT (#/cm ³)	5,200 ± 1,400	4,000-8,000	7,400 ± 5,700	3,900-24,000	0.7	2,200 (1,500; 5,900)

SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; UFP, ultrafine particles; DM, DiscMini; NT, NanoTracer; ¹Mean and Range determined from values over daily averages of 10-minutes data collected with trains in movement, excluding time at station. The 10-minute resolution averages were not completely synchronized with the real position of the train, but buffered with time at station; *** p<0.001

Nitrogen oxides

NO_x on board the diesel trains was nearly 8 times higher than on the electric trains (Table 1 and Figure 2). NO₂ concentrations were about 3-fold higher on the diesel trains compared with the electric trains. There was a trend of increasing concentrations of NO₂ during the two last weeks of the project (end of November).




Figure 2 - NO_x (upper) and NO₂ (lower) air concentrations on board the diesel and the electric trains. Each point represents the average air concentration over the three consecutive days,

collected with two personal samplers per week. Week numbers are reference numbers for dates presented in supporting information (Table S1)

Aldehydes

The concentrations of aldehydes were very low, and therefore these samplings were discontinued after 10 weeks. For eight of the 13 analysed aldehydes (and acetone), all or the majority of the samples were below the LOD. The other six (acetaldehyde, acetone, decanal, formaldehyde, hexanal and nonanal) are presented as aldehyde total sum (Table 1). The mean concentration of formaldehyde was 1.7-fold higher in the diesel than electric trains (Table 1).

PM_{2.5} and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Five filter samples had mass levels below LOD (all corresponded to the electric scenario). The mean concentrations of PM_{2.5} inside the diesel trains were 2-fold higher than inside the electric trains. Concentrations of benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) and the sum of particle-bound PAHs were 6-fold higher in diesel than in electric trains (Table 1). Among the 16 PAHs analysed in the particle mass, seven were quantified in all filters from both diesel and electric trains (pyrene, benz(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene and benzo(g,h,i)perylene), whereas four were only found on filters from the diesel scenario (acenaphthylene, fluoranthene, chrysene and dibenz(a,h)anthracene). Among the PAHs in gas-phase (XAD-2), naphthalene was the only compound measured in all samples. Six other PAHs were detected in some of the samples, both from diesel and electric scenarios, with pyrene only detected in diesel scenario. PAHs with molecular weight higher than pyrene (MW=202) were not detectable in any of the XAD-2 tubes. Results in SI Table S5.

PM metal content

The results from elemental analysis of acid extracts from PM collected in diesel trains during 6 days in December are presented in SI (Table S6) showing iron as the most abundant element measured (~45 mg/g), followed by zinc (~3 mg/g), magnesium (~2 mg/g) and copper (~1.5 mg/g), and with higher metal contents than the standard diesel exhaust particle SRM2975. The analysis was made using 2.35 mg PM of 100 mg collected in total.

Gradient on-board the diesel train

Figure 3 shows the concentrations of BC and UFP at four different positions inside the train. Position 1 was used as the reference position, where the volunteers were sitting in the main study (Figure S2). The measured concentrations at this first position and at position 2, just next to the first compartment, were similar, with a slightly lower average of the 6-hours of measurements on position 1, although the maximum values corresponded to position 1, both for particle number and BC mass concentrations (Tables S7 and S8). During the gradient measurements, an electrostatic sampler was placed at position 1 for the collection of PM. Even though the electrostatic sampler might have had an air cleaning effect at position 1, the peaked data reflected the proximity of position 1 to the source. Position 3 was in the end of the first train car and position 4 was in the beginning of the second car. The figure shows some day-to-day variation in concentrations, which is attributed to different engines, but overall it demonstrates a decrease in particle concentration with increasing distance from the engine.





Figure 3 – Time-series data from BC and UFP concentrations (measured with DM) at four positions inside the train during three days in December 2017 (Day 1, 13-12-2018; Day 2, 06-12-2018; Day 3, 07-12-2018). Position 1 was in the front section of the first passenger car of the diesel train, closest to the engine (Figure S2); position 2, was still in the first passenger car but partially separated from position 1 with a glass that partially confines the front section (without being closed); position 3, in the end of the first car; position 4, in the beginning of the second car.

Some short interruptions in the position other than position 1 correspond to device restarts performed at stations.

Underground station

Figure 4 shows time-series of BC and UFP concentrations for two different days at Nørreport station underground train platform. The BC mass and UFP number concentrations were 2.2-fold and 4.5-fold higher, respectively, on the day the ME trains were in circulation (day 1) as compared to the day when the ME trains were taken out due to maintenance (day 2). Data for both days were recorded for the same time period and weekday, with slightly fewer trains in traffic in day 2 (Figure 5). For day 2 the “other diesel” train passages were the ones that seemed to contribute the most to both mass and number concentrations (Table S9 and Figures S7 and S8).




Figure 4- Time-series data from BC and UFP measured on underground train platform on two different Fridays, with and without ME trains in circulation. Day 1, with ME trains in circulation is represented in black colour and day 2, without the ME trains is represented in grey, both for time-series data with 1-minute resolution.




Figure 5- Underground train platform concentrations of black carbon mass (BC) and particle number (UFP) on two different days with and without ME trains in circulation. Day 1 (26/01/2018) had 111 train passages during the 4 h of measurements (37 ME, 14 other diesel and 60 electric trains). Day 2 (02/02/2018) had 89 train passages during the 4 h of measurements (20 other diesel and 69 electric trains).

Discussion

Concentrations of UFP, BC, NO_x, NO₂, PM_{2.5} and BaP were higher in the passenger cars of diesel trains as compared to electric trains. Considerably higher concentrations of UFP and BC were measured when the locomotive was in pull mode. Our study presents a larger data set (more trips), covers a longer time period and measures more components of DE than previous studies^{4, 7, 19}. It is also clear that older diesel trains contributed substantially to UFP and BC concentrations in an underground station in Copenhagen.

The average increase in air concentrations of UFP inside the diesel train (around 200,000 particles/cm³) was considerably higher than the personal exposure measured during passive

transport (car, bus or train), average 17,000 particles/cm³, in a study of subjects in the general population in Copenhagen²⁰. For a daily commuter in diesel trains it would give a significant contribution to the total exposure, depending on the time spent in transit. For train staff, the average excess BC exposure of 8 µg/m³ would, if assumed it is equal to elemental carbon, for 8 hours workday give rise to around 160 excess lung cancer deaths per 10,000 individuals over a lifetime, according to published exposure-response estimates¹². Furthermore, environmental exposure to elemental carbon has been shown to increase the overall long-term mortality with around 6% per 1 µg/m³ increase at the residence¹⁹.

BaP is a potent carcinogen and air concentrations of BaP were 6-fold higher in diesel trains compared with electric trains (the same fold difference as for BC). The average concentrations of BaP in diesel trains (0.17 ng/m³) were higher than the reference level of 0.12 ng/m³ (lifetime exposure) based on the World Health Organization (WHO) unit risk for lung cancer for PAH mixtures (additional lifetime cancer risk of 1 per 100,000)²¹. The average concentrations of PM_{2.5} and NO₂ were 68 µg/m³ and 53 µg/m³, respectively, inside the diesel trains. For a commuter who spends two hours per day travelling inside the first car of the diesel train, the average daily (24 hour) personal exposure to PM_{2.5} would increase from 15²² to 19 µg/m³ and for NO₂ from 20²² to 23 µg/m³. The WHO air quality guidelines for PM_{2.5} is 25 µg/m³ for 24 h and 40 µg/m³ annual for NO₂.

The results from the real-time instruments (UFP and BC) showed that diesel exhaust enters the train passenger cars especially when the locomotive is in pull mode. It means that exposure to diesel exhaust in train passenger cars can be reduced considerably by using push rather than pull mode. Dramatic increases of UFP in pull compared with push mode have also been observed inside passenger cars of diesel trains in Israel (3 to 43 fold higher concentrations, measured in

different trains)⁴ and in Canada (18 times higher concentrations, average across 28 trips)⁷. From the USA, the difference between pull and push mode was reported to be 3- and 9-fold in trains from New York and Boston, respectively¹⁹. Overall, the mean concentrations of UFP inside diesel trains in the present study were 20 and 35 times higher than in electric trains (measured with NT and DM, respectively), which is a larger difference than reported from previous studies⁶. Average concentrations of UFP and BC in first passenger car when locomotive was in pull mode in our study were about 450,000 particles/cm³ and 20 µg/m³, respectively, which is higher than reported from Canada (UFP: 126,000 /cm³ and BC: 17.8 µg/m³)⁷.

Another observation from our study is the elevated BC and UFP concentrations in the first morning trip, demonstrated in the diesel A scenario time-series where the same locomotive was used all day (Figure 1). Higher emissions in the morning might be attributable to a “cold” engine having non-optimal combustion efficiency. The study also showed a decline in BC and UFP concentrations from the passenger car proximal to the locomotive to the more distal train cars, suggesting that infiltration of diesel exhaust in the first car is also spreading to other cars in the train, however being diluted along the way. The gradient measurements were performed in different days (different locomotives), which constitutes a limitation for the quantification and comparison of concentrations at each position. This exposure study was carried out in relatively old diesel trains from the 1980s and it may not be possible to extrapolate the observed results to other diesel trains.

Cha and co-workers have reported a gradient in particle exposure inside electric railcars and noted the importance of interior ventilation for indoor air quality⁵. For the trains in our study, ventilation systems differ with season. In addition, there are two types of first passenger car in the double deck rolling stock, as well as two types of diesel fuel (winter and summer diesel). We did not have

421 enough information regarding ventilation to assess the impact on concentration levels, however
422 during the 49 monitoring days on diesel scenarios we covered both ventilation systems, double
423 deck cars and fuel type, and we always observed higher personal concentrations inside the
424 passenger car for diesel compared to electric trains.

425
426 We observed a tendency of increased NO₂ concentrations in the end of the study, during winter, as
427 might be expected^{23, 24}, however we cannot conclude about any seasonal differences in relation to
428 other parameters. The particle number concentration also appeared to increase in the colder
429 months, but the contribution of the specific engine in use might be more important. As the trains
430 move as far as 114 km from Copenhagen, local precipitation and wind information have also been
431 difficult to investigate.

432
433 The aldehyde concentrations inside the trains were very low; however, the mean concentrations
434 were slightly elevated in diesel compared with electric trains. The formaldehyde concentrations
435 inside the trains were lower than the average personal exposure found among the general
436 population in Sweden (19 µg/m³)²⁵, and lower than average concentrations found in Danish
437 dwellings (20 µg/m³)²⁶.

438
439 The metal and metalloid elemental composition of the PM collected inside diesel trains showed
440 enrichment especially in iron, with other major elements being zinc, magnesium and copper.
441 Although with higher concentrations, the pattern is similar to the standard reference material 2975,
442 (Table S6) and also in comparison with other DE particulates²⁷. The presence of silver was likely
443 attributed to the electrostatic sampler silver-coating²⁸.

The ME-locomotives will be replaced with new electric locomotives in 2021, according to a public announcement²⁹, nevertheless abatement actions should be put on place until then.

Acknowledgments

The technical assistance from Signe Nielsen, Ulla Tegner and Vivi Kofoed-Sørensen is gratefully acknowledged. Special thanks go to Danish State Railways for all the logistical support. We are also grateful to the volunteers carrying the monitoring devices for the considerable time and willingness put into this study.

Funding

The research leading to these results has received funding from Danish Centre for Nanosafety II.

Supporting information

Measurement details; detailed output of NanoScan SMPS; UFP measured with NT; detailed results from PAHs; detailed results from elemental analysis; and details of gradient and underground measurements. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References

1. OECD/IEA and UIC *Railway handbook 2017 - Energy consumption and CO2 emissions: foccus on passenger rail services*; www.uic.org/uic-iea-railway-handbook, 2017; pp 1-120.
2. Burchill, M. J.; Gramotnev, D. K.; Gramotnev, G.; Davison, B. M.; Flegg, M. B., Monitoring and analysis of combustion aerosol emissions from fast moving diesel trains. *Sci Total Environ* **2011**, 409, (5), 985-93.

- 472 3. Jaffe, D. A.; Hof, G.; Malashanka, S.; Putz, J.; Thayer, J.; Fry, J. L.; Ayres, B.; Pierce, J. R.,
473 Diesel particulate matter emission factors and air quality implications from in-service
474 rail in Washington State, USA. *Atmospheric Pollution Research* **2014**, *5*, (2), 344-351.
- 475 4. Abramesko, V.; Tartakovsky, L., Ultrafine particle air pollution inside diesel-propelled
476 passenger trains. *Environ Pollut* **2017**, *226*, 288-296.
- 477 5. Cha, Y.; Tu, M.; Elmgren, M.; Silvergren, S.; Olofsson, U., Factors affecting the exposure of
478 passengers, service staff and train drivers inside trains to airborne particles. *Environ Res*
479 **2018**, *166*, 16-24.
- 480 6. Knibbs, L. D.; Cole-Hunter, T.; Morawska, L., A review of commuter exposure to ultrafine
481 particles and its health effects. *Atmos Environ* **2011**, *45*, (16), 2611-2622.
- 482 7. Jeong, C. H.; Traub, A.; Evans, G. J., Exposure to ultrafine particles and black carbon in
483 diesel-powered commuter trains. *Atmos Environ* **2017**, *155*, 46-52.
- 484 8. Abbasi, S.; Jansson, A.; Sellgren, U.; Olofsson, U., Particle Emissions From Rail Traffic: A
485 Literature Review. *Crit Rev Env Sci Tec* **2013**, *43*, (23), 2511-2544.
- 486 9. Cha, Y. Y.; Abbasi, S.; Olofsson, U., Indoor and outdoor measurement of airborne
487 particulates on a commuter train running partly in tunnels. *P I Mech Eng F-J Rai* **2018**,
488 *232*, (1), 3-13.
- 489 10. IARC *Diesel and Gasoline Engine Exhausts and Some Nitroarenes.*; World Health
490 Organization - International Agency for Research on Cancer:
491 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26442290, 2014; pp 9-699.
- 492 11. Reis, H.; Reis, C.; Sharip, A.; Reis, W.; Zhao, Y.; Sinclair, R.; Beeson, L., Diesel exhaust
493 exposure, its multi-system effects, and the effect of new technology diesel exhaust.
494 *Environment International* **2018**, *114*, 252-265.
- 495 12. Vermeulen, R.; Silverman, D. T.; Garshick, E.; Vlaanderen, J.; Portengen, L.; Steenland, K.,
496 Exposure-Response Estimates for Diesel Engine Exhaust and Lung Cancer Mortality
497 Based on Data from Three Occupational Cohorts. *Environ Health Persp* **2014**, *122*, (2),
498 172-177.
- 499 13. Karottki, G.; Loft, S. *Rapport vedrørende måling af udsættelse for ultrafine partikler blandt*
500 *ansatte i DSB*; www.dsb.dk/om-dsb/samfundsansvar/miljo/ultrafine-partikler/ on 08-
501 10-2018, 2015; pp 1-48.
- 502 14. Madsen, A. M.; Sharma, A. K., Sampling of High Amounts of Bioaerosols Using a High-
503 Volume Electrostatic Field Sampler. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene* **2008**, *52*, (3),
504 167-176.
- 505 15. Hagenbjork-Gustafsson, A.; Tornevi, A.; Forsberg, B.; Eriksson, K., Field validation of the
506 Ogawa diffusive sampler for NO₂ and NO_x in a cold climate. *J Environ Monit* **2010**, *12*,
507 (6), 1315-24.
- 508 16. Kliucininkas, L.; Martuzevicius, D.; Krugly, E.; Prasauskas, T.; Kauneliene, V.; Molnar, P.;
509 Strandberg, B., Indoor and outdoor concentrations of fine particles, particle-bound PAHs

- 510 and volatile organic compounds in Kaunas, Lithuania. *J Environ Monit* **2011**, *13*, (1), 182-
511 91.
- 512 17. Andersen, M. H. G.; Saber, A. T.; Clausen, P. A.; Pedersen, J. E.; Løhr, M.; Kermanizadeh, A.;
513 Loft, S.; Ebbenhøj, N. E.; Hansen, Å. M.; Pedersen, P. B.; Koponen, I. K.; Nørskov, E.-C.;
514 Møller, P.; Vogel, U., Association between polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons exposure
515 and peripheral blood mononuclear cell DNA damage in human volunteers during fire
516 extinction exercises. *Mutagenesis* **2018**, *33*, 105-115.
- 517 18. R Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing. In R Foundation
518 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria: www.R-project.org/, 2014.
- 519 19. Clean Air Task Force *A multi-city investigation of exposure to diesel exhaust in multiple*
520 *commuting modes.*; [www.catf.us/wp-](http://www.catf.us/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/CATF_Pub_Diesel_Exhaust_Exposure_Investigation.pdf)
521 [content/uploads/2019/02/CATF_Pub_Diesel_Exhaust_Exposure_Investigation.pdf](http://www.catf.us/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/CATF_Pub_Diesel_Exhaust_Exposure_Investigation.pdf),
522 2010; pp 1-84.
- 523 20. Beko, G.; Kjeldsen, B. U.; Olsen, Y.; Schipperijn, J.; Wierzbicka, A.; Karottki, D. G.; Toftum,
524 J.; Loft, S.; Clausen, G., Contribution of various microenvironments to the daily personal
525 exposure to ultrafine particles: Personal monitoring coupled with GPS tracking. *Atmos*
526 *Environ* **2015**, *110*, 122-129.
- 527 21. WHO *WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: selected pollutants.*; World Health
528 Organization: 2010.
- 529 22. Sorensen, M.; Loft, S.; Andersen, H. V.; Raaschou-Nielsen, O.; Skovgaard, L. T.; Knudsen, L.
530 E.; Nielsen, I. V.; Hertel, O., Personal exposure to PM_{2.5}, black smoke and NO₂ in
531 Copenhagen: relationship to bedroom and outdoor concentrations covering seasonal
532 variation. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* **2005**, *15*, (5), 413-22.
- 533 23. Grundström, M.; Linderholm, H. W.; Klingberg, J.; Pleijel, H., Urban NO₂ and NO pollution
534 in relation to the North Atlantic Oscillation NAO. *Atmos Environ* **2011**, *45*, (4), 883-888.
- 535 24. Roberts-Semple, D.; Song, F.; Gao, Y., Seasonal characteristics of ambient nitrogen oxides
536 and ground-level ozone in metropolitan northeastern New Jersey. *Atmospheric Pollution*
537 *Research* **2012**, *3*, (2), 247-257.
- 538 25. Hagenbjork-Gustafsson, A.; Tornevi, A.; Andersson, E. M.; Johannesson, S.; Bellander, T.;
539 Merritt, A. S.; Tinnerberg, H.; Westberg, F.; Forsberg, B.; Sallsten, G., Determinants of
540 personal exposure to some carcinogenic substances and nitrogen dioxide among the
541 general population in five Swedish cities. *J Expo Sci Env Epid* **2014**, *24*, (4), 437-443.
- 542 26. Raaschou-Nielsen, O.; Hermansen, M. N.; Loland, L.; Buchvald, F.; Pipper, C. B.; Sorensen,
543 M.; Loft, S.; Bisgaard, H., Long-term exposure to indoor air pollution and wheezing
544 symptoms in infants. *Indoor Air* **2010**, *20*, (2), 159-167.
- 545 27. Loxham, M.; Cooper, M. J.; Gerlofs-Nijland, M. E.; Cassee, F. R.; Davies, D. E.; Palmer, M. R.;
546 Teagle, D. A. H., Physicochemical Characterization of Airborne Particulate Matter at a
547 Mainline Underground Railway Station. *Environ Sci Technol* **2013**, *47*, (8), 3614-3622.

- 548 28. Sharma, A. K.; Wallin, H.; Jensen, K. A., High volume electrostatic field-sampler for
549 collection of fine particle bulk samples. *Atmos Environ* **2007**, *41*, (2), 369-381.
- 550 29. DSB *Fremtidens tog - beslutningsoplæg for Fase 1.5*;
551 [https://www.dsb.dk/globalassets/om-dsb/rapporter/fremtidens-
tog/beslutningsoplag-for-fase-1.5.pdf](https://www.dsb.dk/globalassets/om-dsb/rapporter/fremtidens-
552 tog/beslutningsoplag-for-fase-1.5.pdf) on 06-01-2019, 2017.

553