Continuing occurrence of vancomycin resistance determinants in Danish pig farms 20 years after removing exposure to avoparcin

Birkegård, Anna Camilla; Græsbøll, Kaare; Clasen, Julie; Halasa, Tariq; Toft, Nils; Folkesson, Anders

Published in:
Veterinary Microbiology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.04.007)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Birkegård, A. C., Græsbøll, K., Clasen, J., Halasa, T., Toft, N., & Folkesson, A. (2019). Continuing occurrence of vancomycin resistance determinants in Danish pig farms 20 years after removing exposure to avoparcin. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 232, 84-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.04.007>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Continuing occurrence of vancomycin resistance determinants in Danish pig farms 20 years after removing exposure to avoparcin

Authors: Anna Camilla Birkegård, Kaare Græsbøll, Julie Clasen, Tariq Halasa, Nils Toft, Anders Folkesson

PII: S0378-1135(18)31079-4
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.04.007>
Reference: VETMIC 8277

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 13 September 2018
Revised date: 31 March 2019
Accepted date: 5 April 2019

Please cite this article as: Birkegård AC, Græsbøll K, Clasen J, Halasa T, Toft N, Folkesson A, Continuing occurrence of vancomycin resistance determinants in Danish pig farms 20 years after removing exposure to avoparcin, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.04.007>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Continuing occurrence of vancomycin resistance determinants in Danish pig farms 20 years after removing exposure to avoparcin

Authors

Anna Camilla Birkegård¹, Kaare Græsbøll¹, Julie Clasen³, Tariq Halasa², Nils Toft², Anders Folkesson^{3*}

*Corresponding author: Anders Folkesson, Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet Building 204, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark, afol@dtu.dk +4520120657

¹Technical University of Denmark, Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Asmussens Allé Building 303B, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

²Technical University of Denmark, National Veterinary Institute, Kemitorvet Building 204, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

³, Technical University of Denmark, Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine,, Kemitorvet Building 204, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

Highlights

- Vancomycin resistance determinants were present in all screened Danish pig farms (665 finisher farms and 78 sow farms)
- Vancomycin resistance determinants belonging to *vanG* cluster were found in lower levels in sow farms than in finisher farms
- Vancomycin resistance determinants belonging to *vanB* cluster were found in lower levels in finisher farms than in sow farms
- The presence of vancomycin resistance determinants in pig faeces constitute a reservoir for transfer of vancomycin resistance to human

Abstract

Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. is a major health problem worldwide and livestock have been implicated in constituting a reservoir for the transmission of vancomycin resistance to zoonotic pathogens. Vancomycin resistance determinants can be situated on mobile genetic elements and transferred between bacterial species. The livestock reservoir must therefore be included in a risk assessment of the vancomycin resistance burden. Avoparcin, a vancomycin analogue, has not been used in Danish pig production for over 20 years and vancomycin has never been used. The objective of this study was to screen faecal samples from Danish pig farms for nine selected vancomycin resistance determinants. We found at least four different vancomycin resistance determinants in all screened Danish pig farms (665 finisher farms and 78 sow farms). The vancomycin resistance determinants present in *vanB* or *vanG* clusters were found at significantly different levels in sow and finisher farms. However, *vanA* was not detected in any of the farms. In conclusion, vancomycin resistance determinants are still present in Danish pig production 25 years after the ban on avoparcin use.

Keywords

Avoparcin; glycopeptides; swine; antimicrobial resistance

Introduction

Avoparcin (a vancomycin analogue) has not been used in Danish pig production since 1995 when it was banned from use in pig farms in an attempt to end the use of growth promoters (DANMAP, 2016). This was followed by a total ban on the use of avoparcin as a growth promoter in the EU in 1997, and a ban on the use of all antibiotics as growth promoters in livestock within the EU in 2006 (European Union, 2006).

Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* spp. (VRE) is a major nosocomial health problem (Puchter et al., 2018). Vancomycin resistance determinants (VRDs) can be carried on mobile genetic elements (MGEs)(Ahmed and Baptiste, 2017), and animal reservoirs might therefore be relevant in the risk assessment of the vancomycin resistance burden (Freitas et al., 2009; Gouliouris et al., 2018; Hammerum, 2012; Olsen et al., 2012). Following the ban on vancomycin analogues being used as growth promoters, the percentage of *Enterococcus faecium* isolates resistant to vancomycin in Danish livestock animals decreased from 20% in 1995 to 6% in 2000 (Aarestrup et al., 2001). Furthermore, VRE has not been observed in Danish pigs since 2010 (DANMAP, 2011), but it was recently observed in Danish poultry (Leinweber et al., 2018).

VRDs confer resistance towards vancomycin by different mechanisms (Table 1). Some genes activate other VRDs, others hinder the binding of vancomycin to the bacterial targets, while other VRDs has unknown resistance mechanisms. The determinants included in this study are all been found to be present on mobile elements and thus may be transferred to pathogenic bacteria that pose a danger to human health. The objective of this study was to screen faecal samples from Danish pig farms using total community DNA for selected VRDs and to quantify the levels of these using qPCR.

Material and methods

Collection of samples

The faecal samples that were collected to represent finisher farms have previously been described (Birkegård et al., 2017). Briefly, samples were collected at five abattoirs in Denmark. Samples from five pigs from each farm were collected at the slaughter line after the removal of the gut from the carcass, by squeezing faecal material into an empty sampling vial. Samples from 687 finisher farms were collected.

The collection of samples from sow farms was described in Birkegård et al. (2018). Briefly, samples from 17 sow farms were collected at the farm (from sows at different production cycles, at the choice of the farmer) and samples from 65 sow farms were collected at an abattoir that only slaughtered sows. For both sample sites, samples from five pigs from each farm were collected by digital manipulation of the rectum.

In the sample were included sow farms that delivered pigs to the finisher farms (52 pairs) (Birkegård et al. 2018).

Quantification of vancomycin resistance

The samples from sow and finisher farms were handled in the same way. The five samples were pooled into one aliquot per farm, and DNA was extracted as previously described (Clasen et al., 2016). The levels of nine VRDs were then quantified using qPCR primers sets previously reported by Johnson et.al (2016) (Table 1). For qPCR amplification, samples (40ng/μl) were investigated using a Fluidigm HD Biomark System and Gene expression 48 x 48' arrays according to the manufacturer's instructions. The fluorescent dye EvaGreen was added to the reaction mixture to enable real time quantification of amplification. The following amplification protocol was used: 10 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 60 s at 60°C for extension and annealing, where the fluorescence was measured after each cycle. A melting curve analysis was performed at the end of the qPCR in order to detect unspecific amplicons. Primers were synthesized at DNA Technology A/S (Aarhus Denmark). VRD levels were measured as Relative quantification (RQ) values that were determined for each of the samples as follows:

$$RQ = C_{\text{Reference gene}} - C_{\text{qGene of interest}} \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

Where 16S was used as a reference gene presumed to be representative of 100% of the microbiota in the faecal samples at all times. Therefore, RQ values were calculated from all samples normalized against their respective 16S rDNA.

Difference between age groups

The difference between the number of VRD, prevalence of the different VRD, and the VRD levels in sow and finisher farm populations was tested using a t-test, Fisher's exact test and Wilcoxon rank sum test respectively.

Software

Data management, statistical analyses and graphical presentation was done in R version 3.4.0 (R Core Team, 2017) using R studio (RStudio team, 2016).

Results

Exclusion of farms

Samples from 26 farms were excluded because the quality of the run on the qPCR was not good enough to quantify eight out of nine VRDs.

The final study population consisted of 665 finisher farms and 78 sow farms.

Vancomycin resistance

Eight VRDs were found in 284 (43%) of the finisher farms and 31 (40%) sow farms (Fig. 1). A t-test showed that there was no significant difference in the number of genes between sow and finisher farms.

The prevalence of the VRD varied. It was highest for *vanTG* in finishers and *vanXB* in sows; and the lowest for *vanWB* in finishers and *vanTG* in sows (Table 2). There was a significant difference in the prevalence between sow and finisher farms for *vanTG*, *vanWG*, and *vanRB*.

There was a significantly lower level of the following vancomycin resistance genes in sows than in finishers: *vanTG* and *vanWG* (p-value < 0.0001). In contrast, there was a significantly higher level of the following vancomycin resistance genes in sows than in finishers: *vanHB* (p-value = 0.0009),,

vanRB (p-value < 0.0001), *vanSB* (p-value = 0.0007), *vanWB* (p-value = 0.005), , *vanXB* (p-value < 0.0001) and *vanYB* (p-value = 0.004; Fig. 2).

Discussion

Vancomycin analogues have not been used in the Danish pig industry for 20 years at the time of this study (DANMAP, 2016). However, we still found determinants conferring vancomycin resistance in all study farms. The prevalence of the determinants varied (Table 2), and different levels were found in sow and finisher farms (Fig. 2). The factors driving the prevalence of VRDs in the absence of glycopeptide selections are unknown. The VRDs may have an unknown ecological function besides conferring resistance that keep the genes prevalent in the intestine of Danish pigs. Co-selection with other resistance genes on the same mobile genetics elements could also be a explanation. Macrolide resistance determinants have been genetically linked to *vanA* in Enterococci from poultry (Borgen et al., 2002).

Vancomycin resistance genes of the *vanG* cluster included in this study (*vanTG* and *vanWG*) were found at higher levels in sows compared to finishers, whereas VRD of the *vanB* cluster (*vanHB*, *vanRB*, *vanSB*, *vanWB*, *vanXB* and *vanYB*) were found at lower levels in finishers. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that this has been observed.

The most prevalent type of VRD reported in *Enterococcus* spp. worldwide is *vanA* (Cattoir and Leclercq, 2013), but this was not found in any of the farms screened in this study, either because it was not detectable due to the dilution effect, or because *vanA* was truly absent from the Danish pig population. However, the *vanB* cluster is reported to be highly prevalent in human outbreaks of VRE (Cattoir and Leclercq, 2013). Determinants belonging to this cluster were present in almost all farms (Table 2), and genes of the *vanG* cluster were also detected in *Enterococcus* spp. (Table 1).

Pig faeces constitute a reservoir for vancomycin determinants via faecal contamination of the

carcass, which can potentially be transferred from pigs to humans via food consumption. Even though no VRE was found in pigs in 2015 in Denmark (DANMAP, 2016), porcine faeces could still be a reservoir of VRDs. This have been shown to occur between intestinal bacteria both *in vitro* and in the intestine of both humans and animals (Bourgeois-Nicolaos et al., 2006; Dahl et al., 2007; Hammerum 2012, Lester et al., 2006; Lester and Hammerum, 2010; Lim et al., 2006; Moubareck et al., 2003; Sletvold et al., 2007). It would be relevant for future studies to investigate the factors associated with the presence of VRDs in Danish pig farms and the organisms harbouring these VRDs. This information can be used to propose measures to minimise the VRDs and therefore reduce the risk of this resistance transferring to humans.

Furthermore, it is of great concern that vancomycin resistance has been observed in *Staphylococcus aureus*, as vancomycin is a last-resort therapy option for the treatment of infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) (Van Tyne and Gilmore, 2014).

Conclusion

VRDs are still present in Danish pig production more than 20 years after the ban on vancomycin use. The presence of VRDs in pig faeces represents a reservoir for the transfer of vancomycin resistance to humans.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analyses, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

Ahmed, M.O., Baptiste, K.E., 2017. Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci: A Review of

Antimicrobial Resistance Mechanisms and Perspectives of Human and Animal Health.

Microb. Drug Resist. 0, mdr.2017.0147. doi:10.1089/mdr.2017.0147

Aarestrup, F.M., Seyfarth, A.M., Emborg, H.D., Pedersen, K., Hendriksen, R.S., Bager, F., 2001.

Effect of abolishment of the use of antimicrobial agents for growth promotion on occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in fecal enterococci from food animals in Denmark. Antimicrob.

Agents Chemother. 45, 2054–9. doi:10.1128/AAC.45.7.2054-2059.2001

Birkegård, A.C., Ersbøll, A.K., Halasa, T., Clasen, J., Folkesson, A., Vigre, H., Toft, N., 2017.

Spatial patterns of antimicrobial resistance genes in a cross-sectional sample of pig farms with indoor non-organic production of finishers. Epidemiol. Infect. 145, 1418–1430.

doi:10.1017/S0950268817000206

Birkegård, A.C., Halasa, T., Folkesson, A., Clasen, J., Græsbøll, K., Toft, N., 2018. Persistence of antimicrobial resistance genes from sows to finisher pigs. Prev. Vet. Med. 149, 10–14.

doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.11.007

Borgen, K., Sorum, M., Wasteson, Y., Kruse, H., Oppegaard, H., 2002. Genetic linkage between erm(B) and vanA in Enterococcus hirae of poultry origin. Microb Drug Resist 8, 363-368.

Bourgeois-Nicolaos, N., Moubareck, C., Mangeney, N., Butel, M.J., Doucet-Populaire, F., 2006.

Comparative study of vanA gene transfer from Enterococcus faecium to Enterococcus faecalis and to Enterococcus faecium in the intestine of mice. FEMS Microbiol Lett 254, 27-33.

Cattoir, V., Leclercq, R., 2013. Twenty-five years of shared life with vancomycin-resistant enterococci: Is it time to divorce. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68, 731–742.

doi:10.1093/jac/dks469

Clasen, J., Møllerup, A., Olsen, J.E., Angen, Ø., Folkesson, A., Halasa, T., Toft, N., Birkegård,

A.C., 2016. Determining the optimal number of individual samples to pool for quantification of average herd levels of antimicrobial resistance genes in Danish pig herds using high-

throughput qPCR. *Vet. Microbiol.* 189, 46–51. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.04.017

DANMAP, 2016. DANMAP 2015 - Use of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from food animals, food and humans in Denmark. www.DANMAP.org

DANMAP, 2011. DANMAP 2010 - Use of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from food animals, food and humans in Denmark. www.DANMAP.org

Dahl, K.H., Mater, D.D., Flores, M.J., Johnsen, P.J., Midtvedt, T., Corthier, G., Sundsfjord, A., 2007. Transfer of plasmid and chromosomal glycopeptide resistance determinants occurs more readily in the digestive tract of mice than in vitro and exconjugants can persist stably in vivo in the absence of glycopeptide selection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 59, 478-486.

European Union, 2006. Ban on antibiotics as growth promoters in animal feed enters into effect.

Freitas, A.R., Novais, C., Ruiz-Garbajosa, P., Coque, T.M., Peixe, L., 2009. Clonal expansion within clonal complex 2 and spread of vancomycin-resistant plasmids among different genetic lineages of *Enterococcus faecalis* from Portugal. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 63, 1104-1111.

Gouliouris, T., Raven, K.E., Ludden, C., Blane, B., Corander, J., Horner, C.S., Hernandez-Garcia, J., Wood, P., Hadjirin, N.F., Radakovic, M., Holmes, M.A., de Goffau, M., Brown, N.M., Parkhill, J., Peacock, S.J., 2018. Genomic Surveillance of *Enterococcus faecium* Reveals Limited Sharing of Strains and Resistance Genes between Livestock and Humans in the United Kingdom. *MBio* 9, 01780-01718.

Hammerum, A.M., 2012. Enterococci of animal origin and their significance for public health. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 18, 619-625.

Jia, B., Raphenya, A.R., Alcock, B., Waglechner, N., Guo, P., Tsang, K.K., Lago, B.A., Dave, B.M., Pereira, S., Sharma, A.N., Doshi, S., Courtot, M., Lo, R., Williams, L.E., Frye, J.G., Elsayegh, T., Sardar, D., Westman, E.L., Pawlowski, A.C., Johnson, T.A., Brinkman, F.S.L., Wright, G.D., McArthur, A.G., 2017. CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of

the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D566–D573.

doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1004

- Johnson, T.A., Stedtfeld, R.D., Wang, Q., Cole, J.R., Hashsham, S.A., Looft, T., Zhu, Y., Tiedje, J.M., 2016. Clusters of Antibiotic Resistance Genes Enriched Together Stay Together in Swine Agriculture. *MBio* 7, e02214-15. doi:10.1128/mBio.02214-15
- Leinweber, H., Alotaibi, S.M.I., Overballe-Petersen, S., Hansen, F., Hasman, H., Bortolaia, V., Hammerum, A.M., Ingmer, H., 2018. Vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* isolated from Danish chicken meat is located on a pVEF4-like plasmid persisting in poultry for 18 years. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.03.019
- Lester, C.H., Frimodt-Moller, N., Sorensen, T.L., Monnet, D.L., Hammerum, A.M., 2006. In vivo transfer of the *vanA* resistance gene from an *Enterococcus faecium* isolate of animal origin to an *E. faecium* isolate of human origin in the intestines of human volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50, 596-599.
- Lester, C.H., Hammerum, A.M., 2010. Transfer of *vanA* from an *Enterococcus faecium* isolate of chicken origin to a CC17 *E. faecium* isolate in the intestine of cephalosporin-treated mice. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65, 1534-1536.
- Lim, S.K., Tanimoto, K., Tomita, H., Ike, Y., 2006. Pheromone-responsive conjugative vancomycin resistance plasmids in *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates from humans and chicken feces. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72, 6544-6553.
- Moubareck, C., Bourgeois, N., Courvalin, P., Doucet-Populaire, F., 2003. Multiple antibiotic resistance gene transfer from animal to human enterococci in the digestive tract of gnotobiotic mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 47, 2993-2996.
- Olsen, R.H., Schonheyder, H.C., Christensen, H., Bisgaard, M., 2012. *Enterococcus faecalis* of human and poultry origin share virulence genes supporting the zoonotic potential of *E.*

faecalis. *Zoonoses Public Health* 59, 256-263.

Puchter, L., Chaberny, I.F., Schwab, F., Vonberg, R., Bange, F., Ebadi, E., 2018. Economic burden of nosocomial infections caused by vancomycin-resistant enterococci 1–7.

doi:10.1186/s13756-017-0291-z

R Core Team, 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

RStudio team, 2016. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R.

Sletvold, H., Johnsen, P.J., Simonsen, G.S., Aasnaes, B., Sundsfjord, A., Nielsen, K.M., 2007.

Comparative DNA analysis of two vanA plasmids from *Enterococcus faecium* strains isolated from poultry and a poultry farmer in Norway. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 51, 736-739.

The UniProt Consortium, 2017. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D158–D169. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1099

Van Tyne, D., Gilmore, M.S., 2014. Friend Turned Foe: Evolution of Enterococcal Virulence and Antibiotic Resistance. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 68, 337–356. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-091213-113003

Figure legends

Figure 1

The proportion of farms where increasing number of different vancomycin resistance determinants were found. Vancomycin resistance determinant levels below the primer specific limit of detection were counted as not present at the farm.

Figure 2

Distribution of vancomycin resistance determinant levels. Levels below the primer specific limit of detection were counted as not present at the farm and were excluded from this figure.

Tables

Table 1: Overview of the vancomycin resistance determinants included in the study				
VRD cluster	VRD	Primer sequence	VRD function ^a	Found in the following bacterial species ^a
<i>vanB</i>	<i>vanHB</i> ^g	Forward: GAGGTTTCCGAGGCGAC AA Reverse: CTCTCGGCGGCAGTCGT AT	Prevents vancomycin binding	<i>Enterococcus</i> ^c , <i>Eggerthella</i> , <i>Clostridium</i>

	<i>vanRB^b</i>	Forward: GCCCTGTCGGATGACGA A Reverse: TTACATAGTCGTCTGCC TCTGCAT	Activates the transcription of <i>vanH</i> , <i>vanA</i> , and <i>vanX</i> in response to vancomycin.	<i>Enterococcus^c</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> , <i>Clostridium</i> , <i>Eggerthella</i> , <i>Carnobacterium</i> , <i>Hungatella</i> , <i>Clostridiales</i> , <i>Lysinibacillus</i> , <i>Listeria</i> , <i>Viridibacillus</i> , <i>Geomicrobium</i> , <i>Firmicures</i> , <i>Candidatus</i>
	<i>vanSB</i>	Forward: GCGCGGCAAATGACAAC Reverse: TTTGCCATTTTATTCGCA CTGT	Activates <i>vanR</i> by phosphorylation	<i>Enterococcus^c</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Peptoclostridium</i> , <i>Clostridium</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> , <i>Streptococcus^d</i> , <i>Eggerthella</i> , <i>Hungatella</i> , <i>Lysinibacillus</i>
	<i>vanWB</i>	Forward: CGGACAAAGATACCCCC TATAAAG Reverse: AAATAGTAAATTGCTCA TCTGGCACAT	accessory gene with unknown function ^e	<i>Enterococcus^b</i> , <i>Clostridium</i>
	<i>vanXB</i>	Forward :AGGCACAAAATCGAAG ATGCTT Reverse : GGGTATGGCTCATCAAT CAACTT	Alters the vancomycin binding site	<i>Enterococcus^c</i> , <i>Streptococcus</i> , <i>Clostridium</i> , <i>Desulfotignum</i> , <i>Eggerthella</i> , and <i>Desulfovibrio</i>
	<i>vanYB^f</i>	Forward: GGCTAAAGCGGAAGCA GAAA Reverse: GATATCCACAGCAAGAC CAAGCT	Alters vancomycin binding site	<i>Acetobacterium</i> , <i>Aerococcus</i> , <i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> , <i>Anaerotignum</i> , <i>Aneurinibacillus</i> <i>Anoxybacillus</i> , <i>Arenibacter</i> , <i>Arthrobacter</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Bacteroidetes</i> , <i>Betaproteobacterium</i> , <i>Bifidobacterium</i> , <i>Brevibacterium</i> , <i>Butyribacterium</i> , <i>Clostridioides</i> , <i>Clostridium</i> , <i>Eggerthella</i> , <i>Eisenbergiella</i> , <i>Enterococcus^c</i> , <i>Erysipelothrix</i> , <i>Eubacteriaceae</i> , <i>Firmicutes</i> , <i>Fructobacillus</i> , <i>Geobacillus</i> , <i>Halobacillus</i> , <i>Isoptericola</i> , <i>Jeotgalibaca</i> , <i>Lactococcus</i> , <i>Lacunisphaera</i> , <i>Leptolyngbya</i> , <i>Lysinibacillus</i> , <i>Macroccoccus</i> , <i>Microbacterium</i> , <i>Mycobacterium</i> , <i>Nocardia</i> , <i>Oceanobacillus</i> , <i>Oerskovia</i> , <i>Oxobacter</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> , <i>Paraliobacillus</i> , <i>Parcubacteria</i> , <i>Pelotomaculum</i> , <i>Piscirickettsiaceae</i> , <i>Prochlorococcus</i> , <i>Pseudoalteromonas</i> , <i>Rathayibacter</i> , <i>Rhodococcus</i> , <i>Shewanella</i> , <i>Sphingomonas</i> , <i>Spirochaetes</i> , <i>Streptococcus</i> , <i>Synechococcus</i> , <i>Tenericutes</i> , <i>Verrucomicrobia</i> , <i>Vibrio</i> , <i>Weissella</i> , <i>Enterococcus^c</i> , <i>Clostridioides</i> , <i>Clostridium</i> , <i>Herbinix</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> , <i>Peptoclostridium</i> , <i>Ruminococcus</i> ,
<i>vanG</i>	<i>vanTG</i>	Forward: CGTGTAGCCGTTCCGTT CTT	Decrease bacteria binding affinity to vancomycin ^e	

<i>vanA</i> ^g	<i>vanWG</i>	Reverse: CGGCATTACAGGTATAT CTGGAAA Forward: ACATTTTCATTTTGGCA GCTTGTAC Reverse: CCGCCATAAGAGCCTAC AATCT	Accessory gene with unknown function ^c	Enterococcus, Bacillus
	<i>vanA</i>	Forward:AAAAGGCTCTG AAAACGCAGTTAT Reverse: CGGCCGTTATCTTGTA AAACAT	Preventing vancomycin binding	<i>Amycolatopsis</i> , <i>Clostridiales</i> , <i>Clostridioides</i> , <i>Clostridium</i> , <i>Comamonas</i> , <i>Desulfotobacterium</i> , <i>Enterococcus</i> ^c , <i>Eubacterium</i> , <i>Firmicutes</i> , <i>Flavonifractor</i> , <i>Microbacterium</i> , <i>Oerskovia</i> , <i>Oxobacter</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> , <i>Rhodococcus</i> , <i>Staphylococcus</i> , <i>Streptomyces</i>

^a according to www.uniprot.org (The UniProt Consortium, 2017), ^b Member of the two-component regulatory system VanS/VanR (The UniProt Consortium, 2017), ^c reviewed entry, *Enterococcus faecalis*. ^d reviewed entry, *Streptococcus gallolyticus* ^e Information from CARD (Jia et al., 2017), ^f Vancomycin-inducible, penicillin-resistant & Insensitive to beta-lactams. (The UniProt Consortium, 2017), ^g required for high-level resistance to glycopeptide antibiotics (The UniProt Consortium, 2017). ^h required for high-level resistance to glycopeptide antibiotics (The UniProt Consortium, 2017). VRD: vancomycin resistance determinant

Table 2: Prevalence of the selected vancomycin resistance determinants from faecal samples obtained from 665 finisher and 78 sow farms

	<i>vanHB</i>	<i>vanRB</i>	<i>vanSB</i>	<i>vanWB</i>	<i>vanXB</i>	<i>vanYB</i>	<i>vanTG</i>	<i>vanWG</i>
Number of finisher farms found in	593 (90%)	523 (79%)	559 (84%)	459 (69%)	613 (92%)	557 (84%)	654 (98%)	608 (91%)
Number of sow farms found in	69 (88%)	71 (91%)	71 (91%)	58 (74%)	74 (95%)	68 (87%)	57 (73%)	58 (74%)
Significant difference	No	Yes (p = 0.01)	No	No	No	No	Yes (p < 0.0001)	Yes (p < 0.0001)