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Highlights

- PAA, PFA and ClO₂ were more toxic than hydrogen peroxide and chlorite
- ClO₂ was most toxic to D. magna and PFA was most toxic to V. fischeri
- Environmental risk assessment of disinfectants was done for CSO disinfection
- Water quality limits and required dilutions of disinfected CSO found for recipients
- 70 and 138-fold dilution of CSO in receiving water needed for PFA or PAA
Abstract

The ecotoxicological evaluation of combined sewer overflow (CSO) disinfectants, with their degradation products, is important for ensuring safe use. For this form of toxicity, data for organisms representing different trophic levels are needed. We studied the toxicity of the alternative disinfectants peracetic acid (PAA), performic acid (PFA) and chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) and their degradation products hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and chlorite (ClO₂⁻) on *Vibrio fischeri* and *Daphnia magna*. ClO₂ was more toxic to *D. magna* (EC₅₀ <0.09 mg/L) and PFA was most toxic to *V. fischeri* (EC₅₀ 0.24 mg/L). EC₅₀ of PFA, PAA, ClO₂, H₂O₂ and ClO₂⁻ on *D. magna* were 0.85, 0.78, <0.09, 3.46 and 0.36 mg/L, respectively. Similarly, EC₅₀ of PFA, PAA, ClO₂, H₂O₂ and ClO₂⁻ on *V. fischeri* were 0.24, 0.42, 1.10, 5.67 and 30.93 mg/L, respectively. For both PFA and ClO₂, the degradation in water was faster than for PAA, H₂O₂ and chlorite. Using these data together with literature values, we derived environmental quality standards. By combining these with typical concentrations of disinfectants used for CSOs, we estimated the dilution required for discharging CSOs after disinfection, which can be used for quick assessment of the environmental feasibility of disinfection systems at specific CSO sites. Minimal dilutions in the receiving water, in the orders of 44, 70 or 138-fold, are needed for ClO₂, PFA and PAA, respectively. This highlights PFA as the most widely applicable disinfectant, taking into account both its efficiency and the lower risk of unwanted environmental effects.
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1 Introduction

Combined sewer systems are common in many cities where wastewater is mixed with rainwater and transported to a wastewater treatment plant for processing. During significant rainfall events, the design capacity of combined sewer systems can be exceeded, resulting in the discharge of untreated combined sewer overflows (CSOs) to nearby surface waters. Discharge of untreated CSOs worsens the quality of receiving waters, and thus it cannot be used for recreational purposes, due to infection risk. Disinfection of inflowing
CSO water was studied recently with a view to reducing the microbiological load in the receiving surface water and minimize the impact of discharging untreated CSO (Chhetri et al., 2016; FRODO, 2014).

Among various disinfectants, chlorine is the most well-known disinfectant used in the water industry (White, 2010). However, the toxic by-products of chlorination are of environmental concern (Bayo et al., 2009; Boczek et al., 2010; Emmanuel et al., 2004; Hrudey and Charrois, 2012; Nurizzo et al., 2005; Watson et al., 2012; White, 2010), and other chlorine-based disinfectants are therefore often used. Chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) is one example of such an alternative disinfectant; it does not react with organic and inorganic compounds present in different water types to generate toxic by-products (Hofmann et al., 1999). One of the methods employed to synthesize ClO₂ is by reacting chlorite with strong acid:

\[
5\text{ClO}_2^- + 4H^+ \rightarrow 4\text{ClO}_2 + Cl^- + 2H_2O \quad \text{Equation 1A}
\]

During disinfection, ClO₂ is reduced to chlorite as a degradation product, as shown in equation 1B (Korn et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2004):

\[
\text{ClO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{ClO}_2^- \quad \text{Equation 1B}
\]

Performic acid (PFA) and peracetic acid (PAA) have been used as alternatives to chlorine-based disinfectants, and like ClO₂ they do not generate toxic by-products (Chhetri et al., 2014; Liberti and Notarnicola, 1999). PFA has been used to disinfect primary and secondary WWTP effluents (Gehr et al., 2009; Ragazzo et al., 2013) as well as combined sewer overflows (Chhetri et al., 2015). However, it is unstable and needs to be generated on-site, when needed, as a quaternary equilibrium mixture of performic acid (PFA), formic acid, hydrogen peroxide and water:

\[
\text{CHO} - \text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{CHO} - \text{OOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{Equation 2A}
\]

\[
\text{CHO} - \text{OOH} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{CHO} - \text{O}^- + \text{HO}^- \quad \text{Equation 2B}
\]
PFA degrades into formic acid, hydrogen peroxide and water, the former of which is not toxic to aquatic fauna and is readily biodegradable (Gehr et al., 2009; USEPA, 2001).

The use of PAA as a disinfectant in wastewater treatment was introduced about 30 years ago (Antonelli et al., 2006; Baldry, 1983; Falsanisi et al., 2006; Kitis, 2004; Koivunen and Heinonen-Tanski, 2005; Luukkonen et al., 2015), and recently it has been used to disinfect combined sewer overflows (Chhetri et al., 2016, 2014). Commercial PAA is available as an acidic quaternary equilibrium mixture of PAA, hydrogen peroxide, acetic acid and water:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_3\text{CO} - \text{OOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{Equation 3A}
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CO} - \text{OOH} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CO} - \text{O}^- + \text{HO}^- \quad \text{Equation 3B}
\]

Residues left after PAA use are acetic acid, hydrogen peroxide and water. Hydrogen peroxide tends to degrade slower than PAA (Wagner et al., 2002) and it has a stringent discharge limit in relation to surface water.

To assess the potential impact of discharged disinfected effluents in receiving waters and related aquatic ecosystems, it is important to evaluate the ecotoxic effect of residual disinfectants. Generic aquatic risk assessments rely on laboratory-based tests carried out on organisms from different trophic levels in the ecosystem (ECHA, 2008). Some of the most commonly used ecotoxicity tests for this purpose are: the bacterial luminescence inhibition test with \textit{Vibrio fischeri}, the crustacean immobilization test with \textit{Daphnia magna} and the algal growth rate inhibition test with the freshwater green algae \textit{Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata}. This test array covers degraders, primary producers and zooplankton, but it does not include the predator level, for which tests with fish are usually included. However, for ethical reasons the use of vertebrate for chemicals testing should be minimized (ECHA, 2008), and there are already several studies on the correlation between \textit{V. fischeri} and acute toxicity tests with fish (Kaiser, 1998; Wang et al., 2016).

At present, only a few studies have reported data on the ecotoxicity of PFA, PAA, hydrogen peroxide, ClO\textsubscript{2} and chlorite, and there is no consistent information regarding the toxic effect of disinfected effluents. We have
recently studied the ecotoxicity of alternative disinfectants on microalgae, *P. subcapitata* (Chhetri et al., 2017a), but for aquatic environmental risk assessment, it is important to obtain data for organisms representing different trophic levels in the ecosystem. Thus, in order to ensure the environmental safety of disinfectants, ecotoxicity data from other organism groups is needed urgently. Furthermore, ecotoxic data on disinfectants are important for obtaining permission from the authorities to use them in full-scale applications, to ensure that disinfected effluents do not have toxic effects on the aquatic ecosystem. Moreover, the degradation kinetics of disinfectants depends on the water matrix in which they are applied, and hence the effect concentration (EC) may be influenced. In general, nominal concentrations of chemical compounds are used for interpreting toxicity results. However, it is known that PFA, PAA, ClO\textsubscript{2} and hydrogen peroxide degrade fast when employed for disinfection (Chhetri et al., 2015, 2014; DesiCSO, 2014; Hey et al., 2012). To our knowledge, most of the toxicity test results currently available for these disinfectants are based on nominal concentrations, and the degradation kinetics of disinfectant in the test medium is not available for interpreting the test results.

With the aim of providing quantitative environmental risk estimates for the use of chemical disinfectants for treating combined sewer overflows, the objective of this study was to evaluate comprehensively the ecotoxicity of the disinfectants PFA, PAA, ClO\textsubscript{2} and their degradation products hydrogen peroxide and chlorite. This was done by complementing already existing datasets with toxicity data for microbial and crustacean toxicity tests. Moreover, degradation kinetics of disinfectants and degradation products on the test medium was measured, to account for the fact that disinfectant concentration decreases over time.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Chemicals

ABTS (2,200-azino-bis [3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid] diammonium salt), sodium chlorite and hydrogen peroxide (35% w/w) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Brøndby, Denmark). All chemicals were
of reagent grade. PAA solution containing 30–40% (w/w) of technical grade disinfectant was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Brøndby, Denmark). Chlorine dioxide was synthesized as described by Hey et al. (2012). In short, 400 mL of demineralized water was mixed with 25 mL of 9% HCl and 7.5% NaClO$_2$. The reaction mixture was allowed to react overnight and was then diluted to 1000 mL with demineralized water. This resulted in an approximately 1 g/L chlorine dioxide solution, which was quantified using the method described below. PFA was prepared in two steps as described by Chhetri et al. (2014), before each experiment.

2.2 Chemical analysis

PFA and PAA concentrations were analyzed using the colorimetric method described by Chhetri et al. (2014), based on the selective oxidation of ABTS by PFA or PAA, without interference from hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide was analyzed using the titanium oxide-oxalate colorimetric assay (Antoniou and Andersen, 2015), chlorine dioxide was measured using a Hach Lange test kit LCK 310 and chlorite concentration was measured using Ion Chromatography coupled with an IonPac AS14 analytical column (4 mm × 250 mm, Dionex) and an IonPac G14 guard column (4 mm × 50 mm, Dionex). The eluent consisted of 8 mM Na$_2$CO$_3$ and 1 mM NaHCO$_3$. Chlorite was quantified by a Jasco 870-UV (Japan) UV-detector at $\lambda = 340$ nm.

2.3 Bioassays

For each inhibition tests, five concentrations of PFA, PAA, ClO$_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ and chlorite were tested in two types of experiments: range finding test and a final test.

2.3.1 Microbial toxicity

The toxicity in relation to the photobacterium *V. fischeri* was measured with the commercial BioTox$^\text{TM}$ (AboatoxOy, Finland) assay kit. The tests were carried out in accordance with the ISO 11348-3 (2007) test method. Each test consisted of five concentrations with two replicates and two control replicates without adding any test chemical. Prior to the assay, the pH of all samples was adjusted to 7.0 ± 0.2 with 1M NaOH or 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ solutions. NaCl was added to obtain a final chloride concentration of 20 g/L (2% w/v) in the samples.
After mixing 100 µL of test solutions with 100 µL luminescent bacterial suspensions, light emission was measured after 5, 15 and 30 min contact time at a temperature of 15°C. Relative inhibition at 5, 15 and 30 min was calculated on the basis of controls to which no test compound was added.

2.3.2 Crustaceans immobilization test

The immobilization tests with the crustacean *D. magna* were performed using the method and testing conditions prescribed by ISO 6341 (2012). The strain of *D. magna* used in this study originated from specimens captured in Langedammen, Birkerød, Denmark, in 1978 and has been cultured in the laboratory since that time. Tests with *D. magna* neonates (less than 24 h old) were carried out at 20±2°C in the dark. Each test consisted of five concentrations with four replicates and four control beakers, without adding any testing chemical. In each replicate, 25 mL of testing solution was placed in 100 mL glass beakers and five neonates were added. The number of immobile *D. magna* neonates was counted after 2 h, 6 h, 18 h, 24 h and 48 h of incubation with the test solutions. Animals were counted as dead, if they remained settled at the bottom of the test container and did not swim within 15 s of observation. Mortality values were given as the percentage of dead *D. magna* neonates compared to the initial number of animals added. The control group was used to ensure that no mortality occurred in beakers without the addition of the test compounds.

2.3.3 Statistical analyses of bioassays

Effect concentrations (EC) with 95% confidence intervals for the inhibition of *V. fischeri* were estimated using the statistical program LOG457. A concentration-response curves were fitted by non-linear regression assuming a logarithmic-normal distribution of data. The ToxCalc™ v5.0 program was used to calculate the acute toxicity of *D. magna*. Effect concentrations with 95% confidential intervals were calculated using the probit model along with linear regression by maximum-likelihood estimation (Tidepool Scientific).
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Toxicity values

Effect concentrations (EC$_{10}$ and EC$_{50}$) of the disinfectants and degradation products obtained from *V. fischeri* and *D. magna* toxicity are presented in Table 1. Concentration response curves corresponding to these EC values at different exposure times are presented in the supporting information (Figure S1 and S2). Among the tested compounds, ClO$_2$ was the most toxic to *D. magna*, followed by chlorite and PAA (Table 1). However, with respect to microbial toxicity after 30 min of incubation, PFA showed the highest toxicity level followed by PAA. Chlorite is the degradation product of ClO$_2$, and it is worth noting that it is significantly less toxic to the photobacterium *V. fischeri* than ClO$_2$, i.e. 28 times less toxic when comparing EC$_{50}$ values (Table 1). However, chlorite was found to be 86 times more toxic to *D. magna* than to *V. fischeri* when comparing EC$_{50}$ values (Table 1).

Table 1: Effect concentration (EC$_{10}$ and EC$_{50}$) for *V. fischeri* at 30 min contact time and effect concentration (EC$_{10}$ and EC$_{50}$) for *D. magna* at 48 h contact time of disinfectants (PFA, PAA and ClO$_2$) and their degradation products (H$_2$O$_2$ and ClO$_2^-$). EC$_{10}$ and EC$_{50}$ of *P. subcapitata* at 72 h contact time of PFA, PAA, ClO$_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ and ClO$_2^-$ was derived from Chhetri et al. (2017b). All concentrations are in mg/L and are based on nominal concentrations. 95% confidence intervals are shown in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Disinfectant chemicals</th>
<th>Degradation products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PFA</td>
<td>PAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio fischeri</em></td>
<td>EC$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.13-0.23)</td>
<td>(0.26-0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC$_{50}$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.21-0.27)</td>
<td>(0.41-0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Daphnia magna</em></td>
<td>EC$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.35-0.73)</td>
<td>(0.28-0.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC$_{50}$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.67-0.98)</td>
<td>(0.59-0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</em></td>
<td>EC$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.12-0.39)</td>
<td>(0.10-0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC$_{50}$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.29-0.39)</td>
<td>(0.96-1.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The influence of a longer incubation time on the effect concentrations (EC$_{50}$) of disinfectants PFA, PAA and ClO$_2$, and their degradation products H$_2$O$_2$ and chlorite on *V. fischeri*, is presented in Figure 1. Longer incubation
times would be expected to lead to higher toxicity, and this is also the pattern found for PFA, ClO$_2$ and chlorite. For PAA and H$_2$O$_2$, it was found that toxicity decreased (i.e. the EC$_{50}$ values increased) in line with increasing exposure time. This might be due to the reaction of the catalase enzyme present in V. fischeri cells that decomposes hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen.

![Figure 1: Median effect concentration (EC$_{50}$) for V. fischeri measured at different time intervals for the disinfectants performic acid (PFA), peracetic acid (PAA) and chlorine dioxide (ClO$_2$), and their degradation products hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and chlorite (ClO$_2^-$). Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals of results at each tested concentration. Note: Different scales on the primary axes.](image)

Effect concentrations (EC$_{50}$) of PFA, PAA, ClO$_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ and chlorite to D. magna at different exposure times are presented in Figure 2. Full concentration-response curves were obtained at all observation time points (i.e. 2, 6, 24, 48 hours), and although the EC$_{50}$ values for PFA, PAA and H$_2$O$_2$ were at their highest after 2 hours of incubation, the observed toxicity was almost stable over the exposure time. Instant responses of D. magna were observed when the animals were exposed to PFA, PAA and H$_2$O$_2$; however, low toxicity was observed after 2 h of contact time in the toxicity test. For ClO$_2$ and chlorite, toxicity in relation to D. magna increased in line with increasing exposure time. Mortality in the ClO$_2$ test increased by a factor of three during the first 24 h. Similarly, the toxicity of chlorite increased 16 times in the observation period from 2 h to 48 h.
In general, literature data on the aquatic toxicity of the tested disinfectants and their degradation products are limited and somewhat contradictory. A study conducted by Liu et al. (2015), for instance, found lethal concentration (LC$_{50}$) values for *D. magna* exposed to PAA formulations with different PAA:H$_2$O$_2$ ratios ranging from 0.18 - 0.77 mg/L. The lowest LC$_{50}$ values were observed for the highest PAA:H$_2$O$_2$ ratios (i.e. when less H$_2$O$_2$ was present in the PAA formulation). The *D. magna* LC$_{50, 48h}$ values of PAA, reported by ECETOC (2001), range from 0.35-1.1 mg/L, whereas Antonelli et al. (2009) found an LC$_{50}$ value of 0.15 mg/L for PAA. For H$_2$O$_2$, ECETOC (2001) reported an LC$_{50, 24h}$ value of 7.7 mg/L for *D. magna*, which is two times higher than what was found in the present study. Mattei et al. (2006) reported a chlorine dioxide 24 h LC$_{50}$ value of 0.02 mg/L for *D. magna*, which is 4.5 times lower than the LC$_{50}$ (0.09 mg-ClO$_2$/L) observed in our study. Furthermore, the 24 h LC$_{50}$ value for PAA of 0.03 mg/L observed by the same authors was 22 times lower than found in this study. For PAA toxicity on *V. fischeri*, Antonelli et al. (2009) reported an EC$_{50}$ value of 0.13 mg/L, which is three times lower than we obtained.

Toxicity values (EC/LC$_{50}$) less than 1 mg/L provide the classification “Acute toxic 1”, i.e. very toxic to aquatic organisms according to CLP regulations (EU Commission, 2011). This means that PFA, PAA, ClO$_2$ and chlorite are considered as very toxic for aquatic organisms, whereas this is not the case for H$_2$O$_2$. 
3.2 Concentration profiles in test media

Concentration profiles were obtained by measuring concentrations of disinfectants and degradation products over time in the media used for testing the toxicity of *D. magna* (Figure 3). Figure 3 shows these profiles measured at 0, 2, 6, 18, 24 and 48 h of incubation in the media, i.e. the same time points for which the mortality of *D. magna* was recorded. However, the lowest concentrations (0.16 and 0.3 mg/L) of PFA, PAA and chlorine dioxide in test media could not be quantified, since these were below the limit of quantification of the colorimetric assay. Hence, the concentration profiles are not shown in Figure 3.

A first-order degradation kinetics model described in equation 4 was used for curve fitting in Figure 3, with derived parameters presented in Table 2.

\[
C_t = C_0 \cdot e^{-kt}
\]  
Equation 4

In equation 4, \(C_t\) is the residual disinfectant concentration at time \(t\), \(C_0\) is the applied disinfectant dose, \(k\) is the rate constant and \(t\) is time. The disinfectants PFA and ClO\(_2\) are known to be unstable in an aqueous solution (Chhetri et al., 2017a), and the fast degradation of these compounds has also been observed in *Daphnia* testing media (Figure 3). The complete degradation of PFA in all tested concentrations was observed after 12 h, whereas for ClO\(_2\) complete degradation was not observed at the highest tested concentration (6 mg/L) after 48 h. No chlorite degradation occurred in the test media during the 48 h incubation, and only slow PAA and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) degradation was observed. The half-lives of the disinfectants were 1.6 h on average for PFA, followed by 7.6 h for ClO\(_2\) and 38 h for PAA, whilst the half-lives of the degradation product hydrogen peroxide was 38 h and chlorite was more than 100 h (Table 2). The findings are in agreement with the faster degradation of PFA compared to PAA, which was found when used to disinfect combined sewer overflows (Chhetri et al., 2015,
Similarly, the fast degradation of chlorine dioxide was observed when it was used to remove pharmaceuticals in biologically treated wastewater (Hey et al., 2012).

Even though most of the PFA degraded during the first 12 h of the *Daphnia* toxicity test, the EC50 values observed from 6 h to 48 h did not change. This indicates that PFA was lethal for *D. magna* during the very first hours of contact, and due to the fast degradation (t½ 1.6 h), no further mortality could be recorded. For PAA and H2O2, which did not completely degrade during the 48 h *Daphnia* toxicity test, the EC50 values were almost constant throughout the test period. This indicates a fast lethal action, with a sharply defined threshold, i.e. the animals can cope with some of the compounds without any mortality during 48 h (up to 0.3 mg/L for PAA and 1.0 mg/L for H2O2), but even a slight increase in concentration gives rise to mortality. This is shown quite clearly in the very steep concentration response curves showing similar patterns in toxicity for each compound at the different observation times (see Supporting Information). Therefore, it seems reasonable to base the data evaluation on nominal concentrations, i.e. for *D. magna* mortality tests there is no need to account for the degradation of the compounds with incubation periods up to 48 h.

For ClO2, an increase in toxicity during the 48 h incubation was observed (as evidenced by the decreasing EC50 values show in Figure 3) despite almost complete degradation during the first 8 h. When testing the degradation product of ClO2, i.e. chlorite, no degradation was found, but the trend in toxicity over time was similar to that of ClO2 (see Figure 2). This indicates that although there is a clear effect of ClO2 before it degrades, chlorite contributes to the toxicity observed in the experiment with ClO2. It is therefore not straightforward to correct for the degradation of ClO2, and it is reasonable to express toxicity results in terms of nominal ClO2 concentrations.
Figure 3: Concentration profiles of disinfectants (PFA, PAA and ClO$_2$) and their degradation products (H$_2$O$_2$ and Chlorite) in a Daphnia test medium. Symbols indicates nominal concentrations of disinfectants and their degradation products. Fitted curve is based on the first-order degradation kinetics model.
Table 2: Fitted parameters of concentration curves for concentration profiles in the Daphnia media shown in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disinfectants</th>
<th>Nominal concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>C_{initial} (mg/L)</th>
<th>k (h^{-1})</th>
<th>R^2</th>
<th>T_{1/2} (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.9 \times 10^0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.6 \times 10^1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.7 \times 10^1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.2 \times 10^1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.0 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.8 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.7 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClO_2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.7 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.3 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_2O_2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.4 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.3 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.8 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.0 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>\sim 1.2 \times 10^{-16}</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>\sim 1.2 \times 10^{-16}</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.A=Not applicable

3.3  Indicative environmental risk evaluation for disinfectant application

The data generated in this study complement the datasets already existing in the literature for PFA, PAA and ClO_2. In the following section, an environmental risk evaluation of PFA, PAA, ClO_2, H_2O_2 and chlorite for CSO disinfection is performed based on the ecotoxicity data from this study and our previous study (Chhetri et al., 2017a) (Table 1), in which the concentrations of disinfectants and their degradation products were measured throughout the test period. In general, static ecotoxicity tests must be carried out under stable exposure conditions, i.e. where concentrations are maintained within 80-120\% of the nominal concentration throughout the test period (OECD, 2000). Measured concentrations seem not to be reported in the remaining literature data, and so compound degradation (prior to or during testing) was not considered. For PFA and ClO_2, the data from this study and our previous study (Chhetri et al., 2017a) constitute the only data available for ecotoxicological evaluation. The starting point for the indicative environmental risk assessment of the
disinfectants and their degradation products in a CSO disinfection scenario is to estimate Predicted No Effect Concentration (PNEC). This was done in accordance with the instructions in the Technical Guidance Document for the Water Framework Directive (TGD-EQS, 2011). PNEC_{freshwater} values of disinfectants and their degradation products were calculated by dividing the lowest EC_{50} value by assessment factors selected in accordance with the Technical Guidance Document (TGD-EQS, 2011). For all compounds, an assessment factor of 1000 was selected, since this is the largest permittable assessment factor (TGD-EQS, 2011) and only data from short-term toxicity tests at two different trophic levels were available. The PNEC values of PFA, PAA and ClO_{2} were calculated by dividing the lowest EC_{50} values of the respective compounds in the dataset by an assessment factor (Table 3). According to the TGD-EQS (2011), PNEC values can be used as a basis for setting environmental quality standards (EQSs) with additional considerations of the potential for bioaccumulation and the persistency of the compounds. With logKow values far below 3 for PFA, PAA and hydrogen peroxide (log Kow at pH 7 of -1.63 for PFA, -0.52 for PAA and -1.57 for hydrogen peroxide), they do not have the potential for bioaccumulation, and so the risk of the secondary poisoning of predators in the aquatic ecosystem is very low. For PFA, PAA and H_{2}O_{2}, the fast degradation half-lives shown in Table 2 show furthermore that these compounds are not expected to be persistent in the aquatic environment. For ClO_{2}, rapid degradation was also observed in this study as well as in simulated CSO (DesiCSO, 2014); therefore, ClO_{2} is also not expected to have the potential for bioaccumulation or persistence. As shown in Equation 1B, chlorine dioxide is reduced to chlorite during disinfection, and the degradation of chlorite is slower than the mother compound chlorine dioxide (Figure 3). Therefore, the predicted residual concentration of chlorite will be similar to the nominal concentration of chlorine dioxide. Like the mother compound, chlorine dioxide, chlorite is not expected to have the potential to bioaccumulate. Since none of the compounds is expected to be either bioaccumulative or persistent, PNEC values can be used directly as the overall EQS for water.

However, continuous exposure to disinfectants and their degradation products is not expected to occur in the receiving water bodies, since disinfection occurs only when a CSO event occurs. Furthermore, the data shown in Table 2 document the short half-lives of all compounds in water. The environmental quality standard of
relevance is hence the so-called “MAC-QS_{fw,eco}”, i.e. the maximum allowable concentration for the freshwater ecosystem (TGD-EQS, 2011). The same dataset as above can be used to derive the MAC-QS_{fw,eco}, and since intermittent discharges are mainly believed to result in acute effects, a lower assessment factor can be used. In this case, an assessment factor of 100 was chosen, in accordance with the TGD-EQS (2011), and thus the MAC-QS_{fw,eco} for all compounds was 10 times higher than the PNEC (see Table 3).

The disinfectant nominal concentration for CSO disinfection varies for different CSO structures, in line with variable CSO retention times. Moreover, disinfectant dose depends on the anticipated removal of indicator bacteria after disinfection. In disinfected effluents, residual disinfectants and their degradation products must be maintained lower than MAC-QS_{fw,eco} when discharged into receiving waters, in order to avoid acute toxicity. This can be achieved either by destroying the residual disinfectants or by diluting the residual disinfectants. The dilution factors necessary to avoid toxic effects in receiving waters were calculated as the ratio between the predicted residual concentration (PRC), which is residual disinfectant concentration exposed to receiving waters after disinfection, and the MAC-QS_{fw,eco} of disinfectants and their degradation products.

The PRCs illustrated in Table 2 are based on our previous studies on the full-scale disinfection of CSO in two different CSO structures. When 6 mg/L PAA was used 2 log of Enterococcus bacteria was inactivated leaving 0.58 mg/L of residual PAA in the effluent after 60 min contact time (Chhetri et al., 2016). Similarly, when 1-4 mg/L PFA was used, a 1-2.4 log of Enterococcus was inactivated, leaving 0.17-1.43 mg/L residual PFA in effluents after 20 min retention time (Chhetri et al., 2015). Similarly, ClO₂ residue was 0.04-0.63 mg/L after 20 min contact time when 5-15 mg/L ClO₂ was used to disinfect simulated CSO water (DesiCSO, 2014).

As shown in Table 3, the residual concentrations of PFA and PAA were higher than the MAC-QS_{fw,eco} values for PFA and PAA. Therefore, in both cases, the dilution of disinfected effluents is required, in order to avoid the risk of toxic effects in the aquatic environment.
Table 3: Predicted No-Effect Concentrations (PNECs) for the freshwater, Environmental Quality Standards for water (EQS\textsubscript{water}) and Maximum Allowable Concentration Quality Standards for the freshwater ecosystem (MAC-QS\textsubscript{fw, eco}) for PFA, PAA, ClO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and ClO\textsubscript{2}.

The individual dilution factors needed for an intermittent discharge not to be safe for a freshwater environment is the ratio between PRC and MAC-QS\textsubscript{fw, eco}. All units are in µg/L.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disinfectant chemicals</th>
<th>Degradation products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted No-Effect Concentrations, PNEC EQS\textsubscript{water}</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted Residual Concentration, PRC*</td>
<td>170-1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC-QS\textsubscript{fw, eco}</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution factor (PRC/MAC-QS)</td>
<td>70-590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.A= Not available
*The Predicted Residual Concentration (PRC) is the concentration of disinfectants after treating a combined sewer overflow event (from Chhetri et al., (2015) & DesiCSO, (2014)).

If dilution is the only process available to bring down the concentrations of PFA, PAA and ClO\textsubscript{2} in the receiving water after an intermittent discharge (i.e. a CSO event), maximum dilutions of 590 times, 138 times and 700 times, respectively, are needed. The PFA and PAA formulations have different PFA/PAA:H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} ratios with the varying toxicity values. When PFA and PAA is discharged and diluted, hydrogen peroxide is also diluted and released into the receiving waters post-disinfection. For PFA, rapid degradation (see Table 2), in practice, will mean that a lower dilution factor than 590 may also be safe for the receiving waters. Given the dilution factors shown in Table 3, it can be concluded that even though acute effects may occur at the initial point of discharge, due to the use of PFA and PAA as disinfectants, the relatively small dilution factors indicate that toxic effects will not occur after the initial dilution. ClO\textsubscript{2} showed the highest need for dilution, though it also has a relatively short half-life in water. This degradation leads to the formation of chlorite, but given the quite high MAC-EQ for chlorite (11 µg/L), this degradation product is not expected to lead to acute toxic effects in receiving waters after CSO disinfection with the mother compound (ClO\textsubscript{2}).

4 Conclusion

In summary, this study presented ecotoxicity data on the alternative disinfectants PFA, PAA and ClO\textsubscript{2}, and their degradation products hydrogen peroxide and chlorite, on three trophic levels in aquatic ecosystems.
Furthermore, a preliminary environmental risk evaluation of disinfectants and their degradation products was undertaken, and the results of the toxicity tests revealed that the disinfectants PFA, PAA and ClO$_2$ were more toxic than their degradation products. Among three disinfectants, ClO$_2$ was more toxic to *D. magna* and *P. subcapitata* than PFA and PAA. PFA showed higher toxicity to *V. fischeri* than PAA and ClO$_2$. PFA, PAA and hydrogen peroxide toxicity for *D. magna* was almost stable over the exposure time, whilst ClO$_2$ and chlorite toxicity increased with increasing exposure time. Complete degradation of PFA and ClO$_2$, partial degradation of PAA and hydrogen peroxide, and no degradation of chlorite were observed in the media used for *D. magna* toxicity testing.

An indicative environmental risk evaluation of disinfectants and their degradation products for disinfection of combined sewer overflows showed that of the three disinfectants, PFA needs a dilution factor of 70-590 times, PAA needs a dilution factor of 138 times and ClO$_2$ needs a dilution factor of 44-700 times, to avoid risk to the aquatic environment.
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