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Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolysis demands new resistant and cheap materials for the anode components, and Cr-based spinels are acid stable ceramics, which may meet the requirements of such application to some extent. This work reports the electrochemical behavior of spinel-structured MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 and NiCr2O4 up to 2.0 V in order to determine the stability/dissolution of such materials when exposed to anodic potential. The ceramic/graphite composite was deposited on a rotating ring disk electrode and scanned while the ring electrode was held at 0.5 V vs SHE. The dissolution of Cr species was observed to happen as the oxidation proceeded by collecting the dissolved products at the ring electrode. HCrO4− and MnO4− were identified as dissolved products. Analysis of post-test solutions, revealed the difference between chemical and electrochemical corrosion of the materials.

Iron-chromium-nickel spinels are widely reported to constitute the corrosion product of stainless steels.19–22 They showed excellent corrosion resistance even in very harsh conditions,23 consequently they have been considered interesting candidates as corrosion resistant ceramics for the intended application. In our previous study,24 their corrosion stability in acidic environment has been assessed. Nonetheless, in real cell operation the materials could experience even harsher conditions due to the applied potential. This study constitutes a further characterization of the materials which exhibited the best electrical conductivity among the studied spinel chromites, namely MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 and NiCr2O4.

Electrochemistry of Ceramic Compounds

To get insight into the electrochemical behavior of a complex compound, it is possible to look at the behavior of similar compounds where only one of the elements of the complex material is present at a time. In the case of spinel MCr2O4 (where M can be any metal with oxidation state II), this means that we can expect that the electrochemical features of the spinel will resemble the behavior of Cr2O3 and MO combined. Sedano et al. demonstrated that the characteristic features of Cu and Fe single oxides are also present in copper ferrites.25 Moreover, Grygar et al. showed that, for the system Fe2O3 – Mn2O3, the variation of electrochemical response followed the change in composition of the solid solution.26

\[
\text{Cr(III) oxides. — \textit{Cr(III) oxides undergo oxidative dissolution via a multiple-steps process, which is represented in the scheme on the top of Figure 1.27 \textit{Cr(III) on the surface of the Cr oxides is firstly oxidized to Cr(IV) which can either be further oxidized to Cr(V) or disproportionate to give Cr(III) and CrO}_2^{2-} \text{ in aqueous environment. Cr(V) then dissolves after oxidation to CrO}_2^{2-}. CrO}_2^{2-} \text{ is mostly protonated at the pH of 0.5 M H}_2\text{SO}_4 (\text{pH = 0.36)}, which is the solution used for conducting electrochemical characterization (see Figure 1). The reduction of HCrO}_4^{-} \text{ proceeds as}}^{28}
\]

\[
\text{HCrO}_4^{-} + 7 \text{H}^+ + 3 \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Cr}^{3+} + 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[ E^\circ = 1.38 \text{ V vs SHE} \quad [1] \]
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Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolysis Cells (PEMECs) encompass the key features required for a renewable electricity driven energy conversion device (such as high current density, broad dynamic range, high product purity, fast system response and short start-up time) with unmatched completeness among electrolysis technologies.1 They are currently in the commercialization stage, even if limited to small and medium sized units.2 The main reason is the high capital cost compared with the more mature technology of alkaline electrolysis (the latter requires half the capital compared to PEMECs technology).3 On a stack level, the costs are dominated by Membrane-Electrode Assemblies (MEAs) and current collectors. In both cases, the cost-driving compartment of a PEMEC is the anode compartment, in which the materials are exposed to very strong oxidizing conditions. In the case of the MEA, the loadings of IrO2, which the state-of-the-art oxygen evolution reaction (OER) catalyst, is usually 5–10 times higher than the loading of the more abundant element, Pt, which is the state-of-the-art hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) catalyst.4 Reduction of the IrO2 loading is possible, but it may require noble-metal coating of the current collector surface, which supports the OER catalyst. In fact, in the case of OER, no catalyst support, which can withstand the harsh conditions at the anode, is currently available for commercial stacks, and high IrO2 loadings are required to achieve sufficiently high current density. The very oxidizing conditions demand the use of a valve metal such as Ti to avoid critical degradation of the components including the bipolar plate. Noble-metal coatings are anyway employed in practical application, but they showed nevertheless to degrade under high anodic potential (high current density) operation.5 The need for new inexpensive, stable materials for the technology is pressing. In the case of MEAs, a robust catalyst support means a better catalyst utilization, which can increase substantially the current per unit weight of catalyst, and many indications are reported of beneficial interaction between catalyst and support, both in terms of stability and activity;3–8 in the case of the hardware, non-Ti based current collectors and bipolar plates are very appealing because of lower cost and easier manufacturing, and corrosion-resistant coatings and materials are extensively researched.9–12

Chromites spinels are known to be extremely stable compounds even at high temperatures. They have been investigated as refractory materials1 and as interconnect coatings in solid oxide fuel cells.13–15
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Figure 1. (top) Reaction scheme of Cr oxidation in aqueous environment as reported in Ref. 26, where s and aq indicate solid state and aqueous species respectively; (bottom): Fraction $\alpha$ of chromic acid species as a function of pH in aqueous environment; the values of the dissociation constants used for the calculations were taken from Rumble.33

\[ \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ + 6e^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \]

$E^o = 1.36$ V vs SHE [3]

The two reactions are iso-electronic for the same moles of starting chromium reagent, and they are very close in equilibrium potentials.

**Mn oxides.**—Mn(II) ions are oxidized to MnO$_2$ at potentials $>1.4$ V vs SHE.29 Mn(III,IV) oxides are reported to undergo reductive dissolution when scanned negatively from high to low potentials.30 Oxidation of Mn(IV) in MnO$_2$ to higher valences in alkaline aqueous solution has been reported to form soluble species (such as permanganates ions).31,32 Permanganate ion can be reduced in acid environment, according to Equation 4:

\[ \text{MnO}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+ + 3e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \]

$E^o = 1.70$ V vs SHE [4]

**Ni oxides.**—NiO is reported to generate two symmetrical peaks when cycled in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$.34 A first peak is present at 1.0 V vs SHE, corresponding to the oxidation Ni(II)/Ni(III). A second peak centered at 1.4 V vs SHE has been attributed to the oxidation Ni(III)/Ni(IV). Bonomo et al.35 studied the dissolution of tape cast NiO electrodes in KH$_2$PO$_4$/K$_2$HPO$_4$ buffer, showing the same reversible peaks as in,34 even if at different potentials because of different pH. They attribute the two peaks to the following reactions of hydrated NiO

\[ \text{NiO(H}_2\text{O})_{p-1} \rightleftharpoons \text{NiO(OH)}_{p-1} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \] [5]

They also report that the layer undergoes important dissolution upon cycling.

### Cu oxides.

The most common oxidation states of Cu are Cu(II) and Cu(I). Cu$_2$O and CuO can be reduced at potentials below 0.5 V vs SHE.28 Cu(III) is not a common oxidation state of Cu in aqueous solutions. In the solid state, examples of the existence of Cu(III) are scarce with a prominent example being the superconducting cuprates, where it is thought that Cu(III)/Cu(II) ratio plays a key role in the superconducting properties of the materials.36 Cu(III) is stable in solution only as a complex,37 otherwise it is unstable in aqueous solution.28

**Experimental**

**Electrode preparation.**—The Cr-based spinels with composition MnCu$_{0.25}$Cr$_{1.75}$O$_4$ and NiCr$_2$O$_4$ were prepared by nitrate combustion synthesis. Prior to electrochemical characterization, the materials were also tested toward chemical corrosion by immersion in an acid mixture 1:1 of 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ and 1 M HNO$_3$ acid. The mass loss due to chemical dissolution was used to assess the stability of the materials toward acid corrosion. The details of the synthesis and the characterization conducted are reported elsewhere.24,38 The materials were deposited by ink-drop casting on rotating ring-disk electrodes (RRDE) with glassy carbon disk and Pt ring (A$_{\text{DISK}}$: 0.196 and 0.243 cm$^2$; A$_{\text{RING}}$: 0.110 and 0.169 cm$^2$; collection efficiency: 0.26 and 0.38, Pine Research Instrumentation). Before each deposition, the surface of the RRDE was polished to a mirror finish on a moistened polishing microcloth using alumina powder of progressively smaller particle size (1, 0.3, 0.05 $\mu$m, Buehler). For the preparation of the inks, the average size of the ceramic particles was reduced by ball milling with ZrO$_2$ cylinders (h: 9 mm, Ø: 5 mm) for five days. Ceramic materials with low conductivity can be non-trivial to characterize electrochemically. A classical approach is to mix the material of interest with a conductive yet electrochemically inactive matrix (such as carbonaceous materials) which can guarantee sufficient electrical contact without contributing substantially to the measured current. Using this...
approach, in this study, a mixture of ceramic material and graphite was prepared as follows: an ink with a total ceramic loading of 2.5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) was prepared by mixing equal amounts of a 5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) suspension of ceramic material in ethanol (Ethanol absolute \(\geq 99.8\%\), VWR) and a 5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) suspension of graphite in 2-propanol (graphite: \(> 99\%\), particle size < 20 μm, Fluka; 2-propanol: anhydrous, 99.5%, Sigma Aldrich) previously sonicated for 5 minutes. The mixture was further sonicated for 5 minutes and aliquots of the prepared ink were cast on the surface for a total ceramic loading of 127 μgc m\(^{-2}\). The deposited droplet was then dried in air. After the ink was dried, 5 μl of Nafion solution in ethanol (prepared by adding 9 ml of ethanol to 1 ml of a commercial 5% Nafion solution, Sigma-Aldrich) were deposited on the disk on top of the mixed ceramic-graphite layer.

**Cyclic voltammetry.**—The electrochemical characterization was conducted in a three-electrodes electrochemical cell. The electrolyte consisted of 100 ml of 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) prepared by diluting concentrated sulfuric acid (95%, Alfa Aesar) with de-ionized water. The reference electrode was an Hg/HgSO\(_4\) electrode (Radiometer Analytical). The measured potential is referred to the Standard Hydrogen Electrode scale (SHE) by adding 658 mV to the potential measured against Hg/HgSO\(_4\). A Pt plate with a surface area of approximately 3 cm\(^2\) was used as a counter electrode. The solution was de-gassed with Ar for half an hour prior to each experiment. The working electrode was inserted into the solution at the open circuit potential (OCP) and the cyclic voltammograms were recorded with a CH 760E bipotentiostat (CH instruments) with a scan rate of 5 mV sec\(^{-1}\). The rotation speed was set at 1600 rpm, a rotation speed often used for the electrochemical characterization of catalyst and materials in the conditions analyzed in this study (for example, see Refs. 42–46).

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).**—To prepare the samples for the SEM analysis, one side of an adhesive carbon tape disk was put in contact with the deposited material on the disk of the RRDE and made to adhere adequately by applying pressure. An SEM holder was placed in contact with the other side of the adhesive disk. When the SEM holder was lifted from the surface of the RRDE, the carbon tape disk remained attached to it, taking away from the surface of the RRDE disk a good portion of the cast composite. The images were collected using a Zeiss Merlin Field Emission Gun Scanning Electron Microscope.

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and inductive coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES).**—XPS data presented in this work were performed on a K-alpha (Thermo Electron Limited), using a monochromatic Al-K X-ray source with a 400 μm spot size. All samples were mounted on the sample holder, which resulted in a chamber pressure of 5 × 10\(^{-7}\) mbar. Atomic concentrations were determined from the average of three broad range spectra and were determined from integrating peak intensities of the characteristic peaks. The broad range spectra were acquired in the range 0–1,300 eV, and collected with 200 eV detector step size, and collected over three scans. Peaks were fit using a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 1.4 eV and an 85% Lorentzian/Gaussian function. The binding energies were referenced to the Au 4f peak at 84.0 eV.

The ICP-OES measurements were aimed to quantify the dissolved species in the solutions were the materials were tested toward corrosion both chemically and electrochemically. The measurements were conducted using a Varian Vista Axial ICP-OES and the analysis was done using external standards of the elements of interest (Cu, Cr, Mn and Ni).

**Results and Discussion**

**Cyclic voltammetry.**—The first cycles of the CV experiments are reported in Figure 2. At high potentials (close to 2.0 V vs SHE) the anodic current is similar in both the ceramic samples and GC.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

Figure 2. CV of MnCu\(_{0.25}\)Cr\(_{1.75}\)O\(_4\) and NiCr\(_2\)O\(_4\) composite electrode deposited on RRDE. The same experiment recorded on the bare GC disk, on which the electrode materials were deposited later, is showed as dashed gray line. Figures (b) and (c) are close-up views of figure (a). The arrows D1 to D4 indicate the current peaks that can be identified in the voltammogram (see text for further description). \(E_i\) and \(E_f\) indicate the direction of the scan from the initial to the final potential respectively [0.5-2.0 V vs SHE, 5 mV sec\(^{-1}\), RE: Hg/HgSO\(_4\), Ar-saturated 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 1600 rpm].
In this region, the current is dominated by carbon oxidation to either CO₂ or O-containing functional groups on the surface of the glassy carbon. A comparison with the blank experiment can nevertheless highlight the presence of distinctive anodic and cathodic features for both the ceramic materials. Specifically, in the anodic sweep of the CVs, a peak is distinguishable around 1.6 V (D1, D for disk). In the case of NiCr₂O₄, the peak is well resolved, while it appears broader in the case of MnCu₀.₂₅Cr₁.₇₅O₄. A second feature is present in anodic branch of the CV from MnCu₀.₂₅Cr₁.₇₅O₄ around 1.85 V (D2), which is not present in the case of NiCr₂O₄. The cathodic sweep of the CVs again shows distinctive features. A clear cathodic peak centered at 1.0 V (D3) is present in both MnCu₀.₂₅Cr₁.₇₅O₄ and NiCr₂O₄, while a flat capacitive contribution is present around that potential in the case of the blank experiment. A smaller but evident peak can be seen in the CV of MnCu₀.₂₅Cr₁.₇₅O₄ around 1.3 V (D4). In the case of the blank experiment, a sharp peak can be noticed right after the scanning direction inversion, followed by a broader signal which disappears before 1.2 V. It is known that oxidation of graphite can lead to the formation electrochemically active functional groups on the surface of the material, but the electrochemistry of such species happens at lower potentials. As mentioned above, graphite is known to be able to form intercalation compounds with SO₄²⁻ ions when polarized at sufficiently high potentials, and those signals can be due to de-intercalation processes taking place on the surface of the graphite. In fact, the shape of the CV in that region closely resembles the one reported by Besenhard et al. for oxidation-reduction of crystalline porous graphite in the presence of LiClO₄ (where the ion ClO₄⁻ is expected to intercalate at high potentials). The reason why those peaks are not present when the graphite is mixed with the ceramic material can be that kinetically more accessible processes involving the ceramic material (oxidation/dissolution, OER) are taking place preferentially. Those graphite-related features were not further investigated: since they were present at sufficiently different potentials compared with ceramic-related signals, their influence on the following data analysis was considered not important for semi-quantitative analysis of the oxidation of the ceramics.

While the disk was scanned, the Pt ring was held at 0.5 V. The ring was able to collect species coming from the dissolution processes taking place at the disk. In Figure 3a, the disk and ring current recorded from the first CV cycle are displayed as a function of time. The ring current has been corrected for the collection efficiency stated by the supplier of the RRDE (see Experimental section) and multiplied by a factor of 10 for a better graphical clarity. In the case of the blank experiment, no distinguishable signals from the baseline can be observed, with the exception of a small increase in cathodic current at the time the disk reaches 2.0 V, which can be attributed to OER taking place on graphite/GC. In the case of the ceramic materials, the ring current increases sharply when the characteristic anodic signals on the disk are appearing. For both the materials, a cathodic current develops on the ring after the ring passes 1.25 V (peak R1, R for ring), with the

Figure 3. CV of MnCu₀.₂₅Cr₁.₇₅O₄ and NiCr₂O₄ composite electrode deposited on RRDE plotted as a function of time showing both the disk current (solid line) and the ring current (dashed line). The ring current has been multiplied by a factor of 10 for a better graphical clarity. The vertical lines indicate the potential vs SHE applied at the disk at each time: (a) first cycle; (b) first five cycles [0.5–2.0 V vs SHE, 5 mV s⁻¹, RE: Hg/HgSO₄, Ar-saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄, 1600 rpm].
signal apparently mirroring the developing of the anodic peak on the disk.

**Nature of dissolution products.—** Both the materials show a similar current signal on the ring despite the different compositions, suggesting that the cathodic signal (and therefore the anodic signal on the disk) is related to Cr redox activity. As mentioned before, Cr dissolves as CrO42− upon anodic polarization and it is protonated to HCrO4− in a solution of 0.5 M sulfuric acid. Even if no oxidation was involved in the dissolution, the reduction of Cr(III) in acid solution cannot take place at potentials >0 V vs SHE, whatever the reduction product. Therefore, the cathodic peak R1 is probably due to reduction of Cr species according to Equations 1 or 3. The reduction must involve Cr species with higher valency, the most stable aqueous species being Cr(VI). Interestingly, R1 peaks before D1 for both the materials. In Figure 3b, the evolution of the current upon cycling can be observed. After the first cycle, the signal from the disk where the ceramic materials are deposited resembles the current behavior of the blank experiment, suggesting that no further evident faradaic process takes place at the disk. The ring current, after the first cycle, shows an increase in cathodic current when the disk approaches 2.0 V, similar to the one observed for the blank experiment, but with higher absolute current density (especially in the case of MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4). The second cathodic peak in the ring current (R2) in the case of MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 is more difficult to identify. It can be attributed to the material, after comparison with the blank experiment. Nevertheless, multiple reactions beside the oxidative dissolution of the ceramic can take place: above all, OER, together with the parasitic reaction connected to it, such as H2O2 production. Manganese oxides (MnOx) are known to possess a certain activity toward oxygen evolution. It can be expected from the Mn-containing compound to exhibit activity toward oxygen evolution. In order to identify the nature of the species associated with peak R2, the same experiment was conducted holding the ring at 1.2 V vs SHE, where O2 cannot be reduced on Pt and H2O2 (often present as a side product of OER) is oxidized to O2. Figure 4 displays the obtained voltammograms.

The initial current decay common to all the samples observed for the ring current is the current transient coming from the growth of Pt oxides on the surface of the ring. No cathodic signal is observed in the case of NiCr2O4, graphite blank and GC. In fact, the potential is too high for the reduction/oxidation of O2/H2O2. The potential is also probably too high for the reduction of HCrO4− (for which the standard potential of reduction to Cr3+ is 1.38 or 1.36 V vs SHE, for reduction of HCrO4− or Cr2O72− respectively, see Eqs. 1 and 3) as no peak is observed in the position of R1. In the case of MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4, a cathodic current appears on the ring after the disk passes 1.7 V, indicating that a reduction is taking place at the ring. The standard potential of reduction of MnO4− is 1.77 V vs SHE (see Eq. 4) which means that an overpotential of more than 500 mV is present at the Pt ring toward the reduction of permanganate. It can then be deduced that the cathodic peak on the ring is at least partly due to the reduction of permanganate to MnO4−. R2 shows a clear difference in its total area (charge) when it is held at 0.5 or 1.2 V vs SHE, as can be seen comparing Figures 3 and 4. This fact may indicate that, on the disk at potentials between 1.7 and 2.0 V, several concurrent reactions are taking place, such as OER, H2O2 production, and Mn oxidation. The product of some of those reactions can be reduced on the ring when it is held at 0.5 V vs SHE but not when it is at 1.2 V vs SHE. The difference in area could also be explained by only one reaction (e.g. MnO4− reduction), driven to different degrees of completion by the ring being held at 0.5 or 1.2 V vs SHE. It is reported that higher OER activity of MnO4− is related to the presence of Mn(III), which is present on MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 due to oxidation of the native Mn(II) constituent ions and the product of the partial inversion due to exchange with Cu2+ in octahedral position, as shown elsewhere. It can be assumed that the main cause for the peak in cathodic current observed on the ring held at 0.5 V, when the disk is at 2.0 V, is due to O2 reduction. A decrease of absolute cathodic current on the ring upon cycling can be interpreted as the progressive oxidation of Mn ions present on the surface of the material to stable but OER-inactive Mn(V) ions, which then lead to a decrease in the oxygen evolution current.

**Origin of the cathodic peaks.—** As can be seen in Figure 2, the CV shows the presence of distinctive cathodic peaks, labeled D3 and D4. The cathodic peak D3 has been observed previously for the reduction of HCrO4− ion. The presence of the peak D4 only in the case of MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 suggests that the peak may be related to the presence of Mn (Cu redox activity is found at much lower potentials, as explained before). In fact, a similar sharp peak is reported by Godunov et al. for the reduction of MnO4− to Mn(II). The presence of cathodic peaks D3 and D4 during the negative going sweep (NGS) may indicate a certain degree of reversibility of the reaction taking place on the disk during the positive going sweep (PGS), and be related to the reduction of Cr(VI) and Mn(IV) species on the surface of the ceramic material. Indeed, the presence of cathodic peaks in the first cycle (and the following cycles) is not correlated to the presence of an anodic peak in the subsequent PGS. As can be observed in Figure 3b, the cathodic peaks fade later than the anodic peaks. To further investigate such phenomenon, the experiments were repeated by increasing the upper potential of the CV (Ee) progressively at each cycle up to 2.0 V vs SHE.

Figure 5 shows the results of such experiments for NiCr2O4. The same experiments have been conducted for MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 (not displayed here) and the following discussion can be considered valid for this material also. In order to get a current signal which was sensibly differing from the background current, the ceramic loading was doubled (total loading: 255 µg cm−2). The maximum potential reached, Ee, was increased at each cycle of 0.05 V starting from 1.15 to 1.95 V. In the following text, the experiment is referred to as a variable Ee experiment. A CV experiment where the potential was continuously scanned with the same scan rate from 0.5 to 2 V is also shown in the figure, identified in the following text as continuous experiment. The single cycles with variable Ee presents higher total current densities in the positions where the current peaks and waves are present in the continuous CV, both in the case of disk and ring current densities. The results also further highlight the potential dependence of the processes taking place on the disk. Beside lower absolute values of the current density in the case of the variable Ee experiment, a clear difference with the continuous scan experiment is the absence of the cathodic peaks D3 and D4. In the case of the continuous CV, the fact that the presence of D3 and D4 is not correlated to any restoration of anodic activity during the subsequent PGS suggests that the reactions causing the peaks are not simply the reverse processes of the ones originating the anodic peaks in the previous PGS. Moreover, the cathodic peaks fade away upon cycling (see Fig. 5b) without any comparable change in

**Figure 4.** CV of MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 and NiCr2O4 CV composite electrode deposited on RRDE plotted as a function of time showing both the disk current (solid line) and the ring current (dashed line). The ring current has been multiplied by a factor of 10 for a better graphical clarity, after being corrected for the collection efficiency. The vertical lines indicate the potential vs SHE applied at the disk at each time. [DISK: 0.5–2.0 V vs SHE, 20 mV sec−1; RING: 1.2 V vs SHE; RE: Hg/HgSO4, Ar-saturated 0.5 M H2SO4, 1600 rpm].

**Figure 5.** RRDE CV plotted as a function of time showing both the disk current (solid line) and the ring current (dashed line) for MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 with variable Ee. The vertical lines indicate the potential vs SHE applied by a factor of 10 for a better graphical clarity, after being corrected for the collection efficiency. The RRDE voltammograms are displayed here and the following discussion can be considered valid for this material also. The decrease of absolute cathodic current on the ring upon cycling can be interpreted as the progressive oxidation of Mn ions present on the surface of the material to stable but OER-inactive Mn(V) ions, which then lead to a decrease in the oxygen evolution current.
Figure 5.

CV of NiCr$_2$O$_4$ composite electrode deposited on RRDE plotted as a continuous scan up to 2.0 V vs SHE (gray line) and with subsequent scans with increasing $E_u$ (colored lines); in the case of the scans with variable $E_u$, solid line indicates the positive going sweep while dotted lines indicate the negative going sweep, for clarity. (a) and (b): disk current; (c) and (d): ring current. The first cycle of the CVs is showed in the case of the continuous plot for figures a and c, the first five cycles in the case of figure b. (e): potential profile as a function of time for the first five cycles of the continuous scan experiment and for the variable $E_u$ experiment [DISK: 0.5–2.0 V vs SHE (gray line) and 0.5–$E_u$ V vs SHE with 1.15 $< E_u < 1.95$ (colored line), 20 mV sec$^{-1}$; RING: 0.5 V vs SHE; RE: Hg/HgSO$_4$, Ar-saturated 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$, 1600 rpm].

the anodic side of the CV. In fact, the anodic current is present on the disk almost exclusively in the first cycle. Given these observations, it can be inferred that the cathodic peaks observed on the disk are due to the reduction of soluble products of the oxidation of the ceramic, which could not escape the composite cast layer during the time frame of one single cycle. During the experiments, the electrolyte is continuously dragged toward the electrode by the rotation of the RDE. A soluble species produced on the disk which remains loosely adsorbed on the electrode would eventually be transported away by the flux due to the constant rotation. If the potential on the disk becomes negative enough while the reactive adsorbed species are present in a high enough concentration, then the adsorbed species can be reduced on the disk prior to be dragged away by the flux of electrolyte, thus causing an observable cathodic signal. The emergence of a cathodic signal would therefore be time dependent on the experiment time scale, i.e. the cathodic peak will be present as far as the flux of electrolyte does not remove all the adsorbed species from the disk electrode.

Figure 5e shows the potential applied on the disk as a function of time for the continuous scan experiment (10 cycles) and for the variable $E_u$ experiment. The soluble species are generated by the oxidation reactions. As the anodic processes take place mainly during the first anodic scan (75 s) in the continuous experiment, the soluble species are generated predominantly in such a period of time. Parts of the soluble products leave the electrode and are collected at the ring, and parts of them stay adsorbed on the disk and are reduced when the potential on the disk becomes less than 1.1 V vs SHE, originating the cathodic peaks. In fact, if the soluble product is HCrO$_4^-$, then the potential is below the standard potential of the reaction reported in Equation 2.

On the other hand, the cathodic peaks can observed up to the 10$^{th}$ cycle, even if no anodic peak is present at the same time. At this point, the adsorbed species are either reduced or have been removed from the disk by the continuous stream of electrolyte. 10 cycles (1500 s) are then necessary to remove the products of the anodic processes in the first PSG (75 s). In the experiment with increasing $E_u$, each cycle produces a fraction of the total amount of soluble species produced in the first continuous cycle, since $E_u < 2$ V always. As can be observed in Figure 5a, the oxidation process (which generates the soluble species) can be considered complete when the potential is $>1.75$ V vs SHE. In the case of the increasing $E_u$, such potential is reached only after the 13$^{th}$ cycle, corresponding to 1324 s. This means that the same amount of soluble species produced in 75 s in the case of the continuous scan experiment are produced in 1324 s in the case of the increasing $E_u$ experiment. In such larger time scale, the soluble species adsorbed on the disk are, at each cycle, probably too low in concentration or carried away fast enough by the electrolyte flux not to allow the recording of an observable reduction current. Therefore, the cathodic peaks D3 and D4 are not observed in such experiment.

Given the above discussed results, Figure 6 summarizes the proposed interpretation of the phenomena observed during the CV experiments for the electrochemical anodic dissolution of Cr oxides.

The materials under study underwent oxidation/dissolution processes at potentials as low as 1.25 V vs SHE. Such a potential is
expected to be experienced by a material in the anode compartment of a PEMEC. In fact, OER in acidic environment is reported to take place starting at potentials between 1.4 and 1.5 V vs SHE. Therefore, chromites spinels appear to be not stable in the conditions required for the application.

**Oxidation charge and semi-quantitative analysis.**—The integration of the CV areas can give information on the amount of reactive material relative to the total amount deposited on the electrode that undergoes electrochemical reactions. The evaluation of the baseline for integration is not trivial when multiple peaks are overlapping. As a first approach (approach A), the signal coming from the blank graphite experiment can be subtracted, which includes the contribution of graphite itself and of GC electrode. Moreover, in the region under analysis OER can be expected to become a significant contribution, after the potential passes 1.23 V. Integration of the ceramic related peaks even after the subtraction of the blank signal will include also the charge coming from OER faradaic processes, if the ceramic composite electrode and the blank composite electrode have different activity toward OER. Thus, this integration approach is expected to be an overestimation of the charge assigned to the ceramic redox processes. Another integration approach (approach B) can be direct extrapolation of the peak area by using a straight line at the base of the peak as a baseline. This approach removes possible inclusion of OER currents: oxygen evolution involves water as a reactant and therefore, in an aqueous environment a Tafel behavior can be expected, limited only by electrolyte resistance, and no peak arising from diffusion control of the reaction can be expected; any resolved peak can be ascribed to characteristic faradaic processes involving the ceramic materials. Nevertheless, this approach can lead to underestimation of the charge involved, since the baseline has not strictly speaking any physical meaning and it is ultimately drawn arbitrarily. For the purpose of the integration and given the analysis described above, the integration of peaks R1 and D1 have been attributed to Cr and conducted considering a three electrons process according to Equations 1 or 3, while peaks R2 and D2 have been attributed to Mn and integrated considering a three electrons process according to Equation 4. Only the very first cycle showed a clear peak. The integration with the different approaches, even if not quantitative, can give information on the portion of material that undergoes faradaic reactions. The approaches can be regarded as boundary limits for under-/over-estimation, meaning that the real value must lay in between the values obtained with the two approaches.

**Figure 6.** Scheme of the interpretation of the processes observed by CV on the ceramics relative to the oxidation of Cr species in the ceramics. The scheme represent a cross section of a RRDE. Red arrows indicate the processes taking place when the potential of the disk is greater than 1.25 V vs SHE, blue arrows indicate the processes taking place when the potential is lower than 1.25 V vs SHE. On the right, the current resulting from those processes is indicated in the CVs with the same color code. The subscripts ‘ads’, ‘aq’ and ‘ss’ indicate species adsorbed in the composite electrode layer, aqueous species and species in solid phase respectively. RING: 0.5 V vs SHE. See text for further description.
Figure 7. CV of MnCu0.25Cr1.75O4 and NiCr2O4 composite electrode deposited on RRDE plotted as a function of time showing both the disk current (solid line) and the ring current (dashed line). The ring current has been multiplied by a factor of 10 for a better graphical clarity. The vertical lines indicate the potential applied at the disk at each time. Figure (a) and (b) show the integrated area of the CV at different cycles with two different approaches for determining the baseline (see text); figure (c) shows the cumulative integrated charge as a function of the number of cycle of the integration. Again, solid and dashed lines correspond to disk and ring current respectively [DISK: 0.5–2.0 V vs SHE, 5 mV sec \(^{-1}\); RING: 0.5 V vs SHE; RE: Hg/HgSO\(_4\), Ar-saturated 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 1600 rpm].

**Surface and dissolved species composition.**—To further investigate the products of such dissolution, XPS surface analysis was conducted on the samples before and after the chemical corrosion test reported elsewhere\(^{38}\) and the CV experiments conducted in this study. The samples analyzed before and after the CV experiments were prepared analogously as the ones used to collect SEM pictures. The test solutions of the experiments (1:1 mixture of 1 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and HNO\(_3\) acid in the case of chemical corrosion testing and 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in the case of CV experiments) were also analyzed by ICP-OES to determine the ratio of the species in solution as a consequence of dissolution. Figure 9 shows the results of the XPS and ICP-OES analysis, which are reported as molar ratios of the components of the ceramic materials.

We are aware that contact dissolution can take place readily as the electrode gets in contact with the solution, even in the case of noble metal oxides.\(^{52}\) OCP measurements before the CV experiments (not shown) were between 0.5 and 0.8 V vs SHE. At such potentials, no species present in the materials under study is expected to undergo either oxidation or reduction, thus the contribution of contact dissolution to the overall material dissolution was considered negligible. The XPS results on the pristine samples show a good agreement of the measured molar compositions with the nominal one, with the exception of the measured content of Cu in MnCu\(_{0.25}\)Cr\(_{1.75}\)O\(_4\), which is slightly lower than the nominal value. In the case of NiCr\(_2\)O\(_4\), the XPS analysis powder sample after the chemical corrosion test shows an enrichment in Cr on the surface of the material. The ICP-OES conducted on the test solution indicates that the dissolution of the components is congruent both in the chemical corrosion test and in the electrochemical test, with the relative amounts of Ni and Cr being close to the nominal composition of the material before the tests. The sample MnCu\(_{0.25}\)Cr\(_{1.75}\)O\(_4\) behaved differently. After the chemical corrosion test, the XPS results show that the Cr is higher compared to the nominal value and the values measured for the pristine samples. Notably, the enrichment of Cr on the surface of the tested sample is mirrored by a higher value of Mn and Cu in the metal content measured by
ICP-OES in the test solutions, indicating that Cu and Mn dissolve preferentially compared to Cr. In the case of the material after the CV experiment, the ICP-OES analysis of the electrolyte indicates a different molar fraction of the dissolved species compared to the chemical corrosion test, more similar to the nominal one. This can be taken as an indication that the corrosion stability of the material changes when the ceramic experiences high enough polarization to trigger Cr oxidation and subsequent dissolution. The formation of Cr(VI) on the surface of the ceramic facilitates the dissolution since it can form a very soluble species as $\text{HCrO}_4^-$. Even if no electrochemical process can be attributed to Cu from the CV, co-dissolution of Cu is observed also in the CV experiments; such dissolution is probably triggered by dissolution of neighboring Mn and Cr atoms in the spinel structure.

Moreover, Table I reports the absolute and relative mass loss of each metal component calculated from the concentrations determined by ICP-OES. It can be observed that, in addition to the change in molar ratios among the elements between chemical and electrochemical corrosion test, the electrochemical test accounts for a much higher relative dissolution of the components of the ceramic compounds.

**Conclusions**

In this study, electrochemical characterization of MnCu$_{0.25}$Cr$_{1.75}$O$_4$ and NiCr$_2$O$_4$ has been conducted in acid environment up to 2.0 V vs SHE. The results indicate that the materials undergo oxidation during the positive going scan, and that dissolution of the oxidized species takes place simultaneously with the oxidation process.

The main conclusions regarding the materials studied can be summarized as follows:

- Both chromite materials exhibit oxidation/dissolution processes which are consistent with the oxidation of Cr(III) to chromic acid in aqueous acidic environment.
- In the case of Mn-containing chromite, one of the dissolution products can be identified as MnO$_4^-$. 

![Figure 8. SEM images collected on samples of the RRDE-cast composites of MnCu$_{0.25}$Cr$_{1.75}$O$_4$ (a, b, c, f) and NiCr$_2$O$_4$ (c, d, g, h) before (a, c, e, g) and after (b, d, f, h) after CV experiments; yellow arrows and dashed lines in figure (f) are explained in the text [Zeiss Merlin, acc. volt.: 10 kV, a, b, c, d: SED; e, f, g, h: In-lens detector].](image)

![Figure 9. (a) Molar fractions derived from surface analysis by XPS of MnCu$_{0.25}$Cr$_{1.75}$O$_4$ and NiCr$_2$O$_4$ as pristine powder, as as-cast composites (I.D.C.: ink-drop casting), after the chemical corrosion test (C.C.T.) and after potential cycling (CV); (b) Molar fractions of the elements found in the testing solutions after C.C.T and CV determined by ICP-OES; the nominal compositions of the materials are also reported.](image)
Table I. Absolute and relative dissolved amount of metals determined by ICP-OES after the chemical corrosion test (C.C.T.) and after potential cycling (CV); the starting mass of each metal is calculated from the original sample mass accounting for the mass fraction of each metal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C.C.T.</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>starting mass¹</td>
<td>absolute dissolution (µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnCu0.23Cr1.75O₄</td>
<td>Mn 350120</td>
<td>1086(185)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr 579793</td>
<td>389(65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu 101309</td>
<td>360(65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCr2O₄</td>
<td>Ni 360276</td>
<td>272(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr 638450</td>
<td>491(69)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1average of at least three samples.

• Based on the experiments conducted in this study, spinel chromites show to undergo oxidation when exposed to potentials larger than 1.25 V vs SHE. Thus, the spinel chromites are not stable at OER conditions as the OER onset is about 1.4 V vs SHE.

This study demonstrates that RRDE measurements can be used to study the dissolution kinetics of Cr- and Mn-containing ceramic compounds in aqueous environment: the dissolution of components takes places mainly when the ceramic material experiences oxidation. As SEM images indicate that the dissolution of the materials is only partial, even after no more electrochemical processes are observed, it may be inferred that the materials become inert to redox processes after the first CV cycle, but further investigation need to be conducted to determine what is the reason for such inactivity. A possible explanation might be development of a depleted layer on the surface of the particles with a different tendency to oxidation/dissolution compared with the pristine ceramic material.

Further conclusions regarding the elemental analysis conducted on the surface of the materials in the testing solutions can be listed as follows:

• The materials showed enrichment in Cr on the surface, both after chemical corrosion test and electrochemical characterization.

• The quantification of the species dissolved in the testing solutions indicates that NiCr₂O₄ undergoes congruent dissolution both during chemical and electrochemical corrosion.

• MnCu₀.₂₃Cr₁.₇₅O₄ leaches Mn and Cu preferentially during the chemical corrosion test. Upon electrochemical characterization, the analysis of the testing solution indicates that a larger fraction of Cr is dissolved. The corrosion properties of the material appear to be strongly influenced by the potential applied: the polarization triggers new dissolution modes.

These results show that a chemical stability toward corrosion does not necessarily correspond to an electrochemical stability; electrochemical characterization of materials is of capital importance for evaluating the behavior in a specific environment, and can deliver fundamental tools for materials design.
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