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ABSTRACT
The CO$_2$ hydrogenation to methanol is efficiently catalyzed at ambient pressure by nanodispersed intermetallic GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalysts prepared by incipient wetness impregnation. Here we optimize the catalyst in terms of metal content and reduction temperature in relation to its catalytic activity. We find that the intrinsic activity is higher for the GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalyst with a metal loading of 13 wt.% compared to catalysts with 23 wt.% and 7 wt.%, indicating that there is an optimum particle size for the reaction of around 8 nm. The highest catalytic activity is measured on catalysts reduced at 550°C. To unravel the formation of the active phase, we studied calcined GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalysts with 23 wt.% and 13 wt.% using a combination of in situ techniques: X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS). We find that the catalyst with higher metal content reduces to metallic Pd in a mixture of H$_2$/Ar at room temperature, while the catalyst with lower metal content retains a mixture of PdO and Pd up to 140°C. Both catalysts form the GaPd$_2$ phase above 300°C, albeit the fraction of crystalline intermediate Pd nanoparticles of the catalyst with higher metal loading reduces at higher temperature. In the final state, the catalyst with higher metal loading contains a fraction of unalloyed metallic Pd, while the catalyst with lower metal loading is phase pure. We discuss the alloying mechanism leading to the catalyst active phase formation selecting three temperatures: 25°C, 320°C and 550°C.

ARTICLE HISTORY
Received 18 December 2018
Revised 1 April 2019
Accepted 2 April 2019

KEYWORDS
Methanol synthesis; CO$_2$ hydrogenation; GaPd$_2$ intermetallics; optimization; in situ XRD; in situ EXAFS

CLASSIFICATION
50 Energy Materials; 205 Catalyst / Photocatalyst / Photosynthesis; 102 Porous / Nanoporous / Nanostructured materials

1. Introduction
The attention towards the utilization of intermetallic compounds for catalytic purposes has been constantly increasing in the last decade, due to their distinctive modified properties respect to those of the constituent elements [1]. The unique combination of covalent and ionic interactions in intermetallic compounds, as well as the presence of conducting electrons, results in attractive combinations of crystallographic and electronic structures for potential applications in catalysis and surface chemistry. For instance, Pt$_5$Ti and PtPb intermetallic compounds are highly active for fuel electrocatalytic oxidation [2,3], whereas RuTi and NiSn are employed for the hydrogenation of unsaturated aldehydes [4,5]. Pd-Ga intermetallic compounds have also interesting catalytic properties for several reactions, such as selective semi-hydrogenation of acetylene [2], steam reforming of methanol [3], dimethyl ether production [4], and CO$_2$ hydrogenation to methanol [5–12]. The last reaction, especially carried out at
ambient pressure, has an important positive environmental impact since it can be performed using H\textsubscript{2} derived from renewable power and involves CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration, which is currently a global challenge.

Among the Pd–Ga family, the intermetallic Pd\textsubscript{5}Ga was reported to reach high activities in methanol synthesis from H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} feedstocks with a molar H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio of 3:1 [9,12,13]. In particular, we have previously reported that Pd\textsubscript{5}Ga particles supported on high surface area SiO\textsubscript{2}, prepared by incipient wetness impregnation, is an active and selective catalyst for hydrogenation of CO\textsubscript{2} to methanol at ambient pressure [9]. Moreover, this catalyst is very stable, in contrary to other novel intermetallic compounds, such as Ni\textsubscript{3}Ga/SiO\textsubscript{2}, which suffers from deactivation upon catalytic testing [14,15]. We have reported that the activity of GaPd\textsubscript{5}/SiO\textsubscript{2} was ~1.6 higher than the traditional Cu/ZnO/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst and, for consistent comparison with the Cu/ZnO/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst, we used a metal content of 23 wt.% in this work, we focus on optimizing the GaPd\textsubscript{5}/SiO\textsubscript{2} intermetallic catalyst in terms of metal content and reduction temperature in relation to its catalytic activity towards CO\textsubscript{2} hydrogenation to methanol at ambient pressure. Moreover, we also study the catalyst structure and the formation of the active phase in a reducing atmosphere at increasing temperature. We use a powerful combination of complementary techniques, such as in situ X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray absorption near edge fine structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) to reveal the formation mechanism of the active GaPd\textsubscript{5} intermetallic phase at ambient pressure. We discuss the alloying mechanism leading to the active phase formation at three temperatures, namely 25°C, 320°C and 550°C.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Catalyst preparation and testing

Intermetallic GaPd\textsubscript{5}/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts with 23 wt.%, 13 wt.% and 7 wt.% metal loadings were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of Pd(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} (Carl Roth, Germany) and Ga(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (Sigma Aldrich, USA, 99.9%), dissolved in a 4M HNO\textsubscript{3} solution, into high surface area SiO\textsubscript{2} (241m\textsuperscript{2}/g, Saint Gobain Norpro, France). The amount of catalyst used was 0.12 g, 0.06 g and 0.03 g for the 23 wt.%, 13 wt.% and 7 wt.% metal loadings, respectively.

The catalyst preparation and testing scheme is adapted from Ref [9]. The catalyst precursors were dried and calcined under static air at 120°C and 260°C, respectively. Then, the precursors were reduced in a flow of 25% H\textsubscript{2}/Ar at ambient pressure at four temperatures, namely 400°C, 500°C, 550°C and 600°C. Finally, the temperature was decreased to 165°C for catalytic testing and direct comparison of the catalysts with the three different metal contents.

Activity measurements were performed at ambient pressure in a quartz glass reactor (d\textsubscript{i} = 6 mm) with a catalyst sieve fraction of 0.212–0.354 mm. Total flow rate of the stoichiometric feed gas, CO\textsubscript{2} (25%) and H\textsubscript{2} (75%), was 100 N ml/min, while the catalytic bed volume was 1.13 cm\textsuperscript{3}. The temperature gradient across the reaction zone was monitored by two thermocouples placed before and after the catalyst bed. Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph (GC), equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and flame ionization detector (FID), was used to analyze the reaction mixture. The configuration of the GC is described in detail elsewhere [15]. A product gas was injected every 15 min and 5 measurements were carried out at each temperature to ensure stable reading.

2.2 in situ XRD

The in situ XRD experiments were performed at the I711 beamline, MAXLAB, Sweden. The optical components at beamline I711 is a vertical focusing mirror and a single bounce Si-based monochromator [16]. The sample stage at I711 is equipped with a Newport 4-circle diffractometer with a kappa geometry and an Agilent Titan CCD detector with a 165 mm diameter. Details about the design of the in situ cell can be found elsewhere [17]. The catalyst is placed in a 1 mm sapphire capillary with an inner diameter of 0.8 mm. Sample heating is performed with a custom designed heater setup, consisting of an Inconel\textsuperscript{®} block, accommodating three heating cartridges of the type KMFE0035A004A, Firerod\textsuperscript{®} from Watlow, with an overall power of 120 W. The opening of the heater permits the observation of scattering patterns in an angular range of 2\Theta = 0–65°.

The temperature is regulated by a combination of a Eurotherm 2416 PID controller and a solid state relay [18], the temperature for the feedback loop is measured about 1–2 mm downstream from the end of the catalyst bed with a 0.5 mm NiCr-Ni thermocouple, inserted inside the sapphire capillary. The heater mount consists of a stainless steel plate, guided by two metal rods that are installed in a metal bracket, heat transfer from the Inconel\textsuperscript{®} block to the mounting is minimized by a spacer from porous isolation material.

Heat dissipation during the experiments is suppressed with a custom-made isolation from ceramic fiber board of the type 1400c, including 10 µm thick entrance and exit windows from scratch free mica. The in situ cell is connected to a gas system including 4 mass flow controllers a pressure controller, permitting investigations of the catalyst materials at flows between 0.03 and about 100 ml/min and pressures between 100 mbar and 10 bar.
2.3 In situ XANES and EXAFS

In situ XANES and EXAFS spectra were acquired in transmission mode at SAMBA beamline, Soleil Synchrotron facility, France. The data were acquired using the Quick-EXAFS edge jump setup [19] and the X-rays were monochromatized by channel-cut monochromators: Si(111) was used for Ga-K edge (10,367 eV) and Si(311) for Pd-K edge (24,350 eV).

The calcined catalyst precursor was loaded in a quartz capillary (d = 1.0 mm, wall thickness 0.02 mm). The reduction was carried out in a 25% H₂/He gas mixture flowing at 50 mL min⁻¹, the reactor was heated by an Oxford gas blower from room temperature up to 550°C at the rate of 5°C/min, while the temperature was measured by a thermocouple placed directly the capillary [20]. The XAS spectra were continuously recorded at frequency of 1 Hz. To improve the signal-to-noise ratio 58 spectra (up and down movements of the scanning) were merged, corresponding to nearly 30 s of measurement of 2.5°C.

The data analysis was performed using Athena and Artemis software of the IFEFFIT package [21]. The spectra were energy calibrated using Pd and W metal foils as references. The X-ray absorption spectra were normalized and background subtracted. Then the EXAFS function χ(k) was extracted and the k²-weighted χ(k) Fourier-transformed. The theoretical amplitude functions and phase shifts for Pd and Ga absorbers were calculated using the atomic positions given by crystallographic data [22] and by building an atomic cluster using the FEFF6.0 code for Artemis [23]. The number of neighbors (N), atomic distances (R) and mean square deviation of interatomic distances (σ²), were determined through the refinement of the theoretically calculated spectra with the experimental spectra. The energy misalignment between the theory and experiment was compensated by the energy shift factor (ΔE₀). The amplitude reduction factor (S₀²) for Pd was obtained by refining the spectrum of a Pd foil, whereas that of Ga was determined from the spectrum of Ga(NO₃)₃. The fit quality is represented by ρ-factor. The curve fitting of the k²-weighted Fourier Transformed spectra for Pd and Ga was carried out simultaneously using a k range of 2–13 Å⁻¹ and an R range of 1–3 Å.

2.4 Transmission electron microscopy

TEM images of the reduced intermetallic catalysts dispersed on a Cu/C TEM grid were acquired using a FEI Tecnai T20 TEM microscope, operating at 200 kV. The TEM images were used to measure the size distribution of the catalysts with the three different metal content. The standard error of the mean is defined as standard deviation.

3. Results

3.1 Optimization of metal content

In our previous work [9], we have shown that intermetallic GaPd₂/SiO₂ catalyst prepared by wetness impregnation of the metal nitrates is active, selective and stable for ambient pressure CO₂ hydrogenation to form methanol. Here, we further optimize the catalyst in terms of metal content by investigating the intrinsic catalytic activity and selectivity of the GaPd₂/SiO₂ catalyst with three metal loadings, namely 23 wt.%, 13 wt.% and 7 wt.%. Figure 1(a) shows the methanol yield plotted as a function of the reaction temperature measured from the three catalysts. We find a decrease in the activity with decreased metal content and the methanol yield is significantly lower for the catalyst with 7 wt.% metal content compared to the other two with higher metal content. In order to find the optimum catalyst in terms of active surface area, we calculated the turnover frequency (TOF) values. Figure 1(b) shows the TOF values corresponding to methanol production at atmospheric pressure of the GaPd₂/SiO₂ catalyst with the three metal loadings, as a function of the reaction temperature.

In detail, the TOF values (in units of molCH₃OH /m²·s) were calculated based on the surface area of the nanoparticles. The average particle size was estimated from a collection of TEM images assuming spherical particles and by Scherrer broadening analysis of the crystallographic reflections (020) of the in situ XRD patterns assuming single crystalline particles. Figure 2(a-c) shows examples of TEM images acquired after reduction at 550°C and catalytic testing of the catalyst with the three metal loadings, as a function of reaction temperature.

Figure 1. (a) Methanol yield, (b) Turnover frequency (TOF) and (c) CH₃OH-to-CO ratio measured from the GaPd₂/SiO₂ catalyst with the three metal loadings, as a function of reaction temperature.
of metal content and slightly better than the catalyst with 23 wt.% metal content.

The catalytic results demonstrate that the intermetallic GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalyst with 13 wt.% metal loading prepared by simple impregnation of metal nitrates is a remarkable candidate for CO$_2$ hydrogenation to methanol at ambient pressure. They also indicate a particle size dependence both in the TOF and selectivity during CO$_2$ hydrogenation to methanol reaction.

### 3.2 Formation of the active phase by in situ XRD, XANES and EXAFS

In the following, we focus on investigating the active phase formation of the GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalyst with 23 and 13 wt.% metal loadings. We do not further investigate the catalyst with 7 wt.% metal content due to its poor activity and selectivity compared to the catalysts with higher metal loadings.

Temperature programmed reaction (TPR) measurements were carried out at synchrotron radiation sources, where in situ XRD, XANES and EXAFS spectra were recorded as a function of the increasing temperature. In situ XANES and EXAFS measurements were necessary due to the amorphous nature of the Ga species, which cannot be detected by XRD measurements.

Prior to the TPR measurements, the catalysts were dried at 100°C for 3 h and calcined at 260°C for 2 h. Figure 3(a,b) shows the in situ XRD patterns...
recorded within 30 min at room temperature in a mixture of 25% H2/Ar from the calcined catalysts with 23 and 13 wt.% metal loadings, respectively. We observe that in the case of the catalyst with the higher metal content the PdO phase is fully reduced to metallic Pd at room temperature, whereas the catalyst with the lower metal content maintains a mixture of PdO and Pd under the same conditions.

This can be explained by considering that in the higher loaded Pd-catalyst, the Pd atoms naturally lie closer together. Indeed, it has been observed earlier that well dispersed PdO is more difficult to reduce than PdO that is present as particles [24,25].

The XRD patterns of the catalysts with 23 and 13 wt.% metal loadings during TPR are reported in Figure 3(c,d), respectively. The temperature was increased by 5°C/min and the total reduction time was 2 h. Figure 3(c) shows that the catalyst with the higher metal loading retains the metallic Pd phase from room temperature to around 400°C. In this temperature range, we observe a sintering of the Pd phase, as indicated by the sharpening of the reflections with increasing temperature. At 400°C metallic Pd particles start alloying with gallium and the formation of the GaPd2 phase is completed at around 500°C. The TPR is terminated at 550°C. An estimate of ~9 wt.% metallic Pd was determined by comparison of the intensities of GaPd2 and Pd reflections, after completion of TPR. Using the Scherrer equation the average Pd particle size was estimated as 14.5 nm.

Figure 3(d) shows the TPR of the catalyst with the 13 wt.% metal loading, which is different in a few aspects compared to the TPR of the catalyst with the higher metal content. At room temperature, we observe a mixture of PdO and Pd phases. The reduction from PdO to metallic Pd is completed at 150°C. Broad reflections are measured at this temperature, indicating the presence of smaller Pd crystallites compared to the catalysts with the 23 wt.% metal loading. The small Pd nanocrystals start alloying with gallium at 300°C, and the formation of the GaPd2 phase is completed at 500°C. The TPR is terminated at 550°C. No metallic Pd is observed for 13 wt.%

The absence of Ga-compounds in the XRD patterns indicates the presence of highly dispersed noncrystalline Ga2O3 species upon calcination, which are further reduced on the surface of the Pd at elevated temperatures. In situ XANES and EXAFS measurement are therefore needed to elucidate the evolution of the noncrystalline Ga species.

Figure 4(a,b) show in situ XANES spectra for the Ga-K edge of the catalysts with 23 and 13 wt.% metal loadings, respectively, acquired at increasing temperature in a mixture of 25% H2/Ar from calcined precursors. The evolution of the Ga-K edge shows a similar behavior in both catalysts: at room temperature a main peak is measured at 10.381 eV, indicating that Ga is in an oxide phase with tetrahedral coordination, which corresponds to Ga2O3. The Ga species reduce with
increasing temperature, but no transition to pure metallic Ga species is measured for both catalysts. The alloying starts above 300°C, and the GaPd$_2$ phase formation is completed at 500°C, which is in good agreement with the \textit{in situ} XRD results.

While XANES describes the local geometry, type of atoms and oxidation state of the intermetallic catalysts, EXAFS captures the local structure and complements the knowledge gained from the XRD results. \textbf{Figure 5} shows the experimental and

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure4}
\caption{(a) \textit{In situ} XANES spectra in a mixture of 25\% H$_2$/Ar acquired at increasing temperature from the calcined catalyst with (a) 23 wt.\% and (b) 13 wt.\% metal loading.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure5}
\caption{Experimental (dashed lines) and fitted (solid lines) Fourier transformed EXAFS spectra from the catalyst with 23 wt.\% metal loading collected in H$_2$/He at the Ga-K edge at (a) room temperature, (c) 320°C and (e) 550°C and at the Pd-K edge at (b) room temperature, (d) 320°C and (f) 550°C.}
\end{figure}
adjusted Fourier transform of the \( k^2 \)-weighted EXAFS spectra collected in a 25% H\(_2\)/He mixture at the Pd-K edge and Ga-K edge from the GaPd\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\) catalyst with 23 wt.% metal content at three temperatures: 25°C, 320°C and 550°C. The structural parameters obtained from the fitting procedures are presented in Table 1. The experimental and fitted data for GaPd\(_2\) crystal structure are in good agreement, as the \( \rho \) factor is within the acceptable range [26]. Figure 5(a,b) show EXAFS spectra acquired at 25°C in a 25% H\(_2\)/He mixture for the Ga-edge and Pd-edge, respectively. The Ga and the Pd atoms are situated in two different sites: the Ga atoms are surrounded by O atoms, indicating that the Ga is in an oxidic state. The fitting required the inclusion of two O distances, which suggests a distorted oxide structure. The Pd atoms are surrounded by O atoms, but a fraction of metallic Pd was observed both at the first coordination shell. Thus, PdO was partially reduced at room temperature. The intensity ratio between the Pd-Pd peak and the Pd-O peak and particularly the high Pd-Pd coordination number with typical values for bulk Pd of 2.76 Å, indicates a dominating metallic Pd phase over a PdO phase at room temperature in the presence of H\(_2\). This correlates with results from XRD shown in Figure 3(a).

Figure 5(c) and (d) show EXAFS spectra acquired at 320°C in a 25% H\(_2\)/He mixture for the Ga-edge and Pd-edge, respectively. We find that the Ga atoms have three shells of neighbors, namely O, Pd and Ga atoms, while the Pd atoms are surrounded by Ga and Pd atoms. The results indicate that at this intermediate temperature a mixture of metallic Pd and Ga oxide coexists with a Pd-Ga compound. This observation correlates with the XANES. In contrast, XRD analysis shows no crystalline GaPd structure below 400°C, i.e. it remains rather X-ray amorphous. This be explained by either very small crystallites or by an amorphous nature of the GaPd at this intermediate state. Figure 5(e,f) show EXAFS spectra acquired at 550°C in a 25% H\(_2\)/He mixture for the Ga-edge and Pd-edge, respectively. At this temperature, the Ga atoms are only surrounded by Pd atoms and the Pd atoms are surrounded by Pd and Ga atoms, supporting the formation of the GaPd\(_2\) phase.

The coordination numbers for the Pd atoms differ from the values expected in the bulk structure of GaPd\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\). The coordination numbers show that Pd in the bulk structure of GaPd\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\) is, on average, surrounded by 3.5 Ga atoms and by an outer shell of 5.5 Pd atoms. Each Ga atom is surrounded by 10 Pd atoms located in two shells. The first shell is composed of 6 Pd atoms, located around 2.55 Å, whereas the second shell contains 4 Pd atoms located 2.61–2.95 Å from the Ga center. We find that the number of Ga atoms is smaller than expected for a bulk structure, suggesting that the intermetallic catalyst is composed of small particles, supporting the XRD data. Moreover, this also suggests that a significant fraction of Ga atoms are on the surface of the particles, leading to a reduced number of neighbors compared with the bulk. The higher coordination number found for the second shell of Pd in GaPd\(_2\) is attributed to the combination of the atomic distances of Pd atoms in the GaPd\(_2\) structure with the expanded atomic distances in Pd hydride which forms in a reducing atmosphere, coming from the 9% unalloyed fraction.

Regarding the chemical environment of Ga atoms, the absence of a second shell in the intermetallic nanoparticle catalyst can be attributed to its longer and broader atomic distances which decreases the amplitude of the EXAFS signal and cause destructive interferences.

Figure 6 shows the experimental and adjusted Fourier transform of the \( k^2 \)-weighted EXAFS spectra collected in a 25% H\(_2\)/He mixture at the Pd-K edge and Ga-K edge from the GaPd\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\) catalyst with 13 wt.% metal content at three temperatures: 25°C, 320°C and 550°C. The structural parameters obtained from the fitting procedures are presented in Table 2. As for the catalyst with 23 wt.%, the experimental and fitted data for GaPd\(_2\) crystal structure are in good agreement. Figure 6(a,b) show EXAFS

### Table 1. Structural parameters obtained from EXAFS data analysis at Pd and Ga-K edges\(^a\) acquired from the catalyst with 23 wt.% metal content. Pd \( S^2 \) = 0.83 and Ga \( S^2 \) = 0.88. Pd-Pd atomic distance refined for Pd foil was 2.74 Å. \(^{b}\)Adjusted parameter. \(^{c}\)Constrained parameter. \(^{d}\)10% correction was applied on the basis of XRD results that showed that part of the Pd atoms were not alloyed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( R(\text{Å}) )</th>
<th>( \sigma^2 (10^{-1} \text{Å}^2) )</th>
<th>( \Delta\varepsilon_0 (\text{eV}) )</th>
<th>( \rho (%) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT H(_2)/He</td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>4(^{b})</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.01(^{b})</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5(^{b})</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>68.1 ± 1.2(^{b})</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.01(^{b})</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.6(^{b})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3(^{b})</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.02(^{b})</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.9(^{bc})</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3(^{b})</td>
<td>2.03 ± 0.04(^{b})</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.9(^{bc})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320°C H(_2)/He</td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.1(^{b})</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.01(^{bc})</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.9(^{bc})</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>85.1 ± 1.8(^{b})</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.01(^{b})</td>
<td>10.5 ± 2.4(^{b})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.7(^{b})</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.02(^{b})</td>
<td>12.9 ± 4.1(^{b})</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6(^{b})</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.05(^{bc})</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.9(^{bc})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>3(^{rd})</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>4.5 ± 3.0(^{b})</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.02(^{b})</td>
<td>14.8 ± 1.9(^{b})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550°C H(_2)/He</td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.6(^{bd})</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.03(^{bc})</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.6(^{bc})</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.4(^b)</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.02(^b)</td>
<td>11.4 ± 2.0(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.3(^{b})</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.07(^{bc})</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.6(^{bc})</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. M. FIORDALISO et al.
spectra acquired at 25°C in a 25% H_{2}/He mixture for the Ga-edge and Pd-edge, respectively. Ga atoms are surrounded by O atoms and, as for the catalyst with 23 wt.% metal loading, the fitting required the inclusion of two O distances, suggesting a distorted oxide structure. The Pd atoms are surrounded by O atoms in the first shell and by Pd atoms in the second shell and third shell. The results indicate that a mixture of PdO and metallic Pd coexist at 25°C in a 25% H_{2}/He mixture, in agreement with the in situ XRD observations.

**Table 2.** Structural parameters obtained from EXAFS data analysis at Pd and Ga-K edges\(^a\) acquired from the catalyst with 13 wt.% metal content. Pd S\(_0^2\) = 0.83 and Ga S\(_0^2\) = 0.88. Pd-Pd atomic distance refined for Pd foil was 2.74 Å. \(^b\)Adjusted parameter. \(^c\)Constrained parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13 wt.%</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R(Å)</th>
<th>(\sigma^2(10^{-3}\ \text{Å}^2))</th>
<th>(\Delta E_0) (eV)</th>
<th>(\rho) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT H(_2)/He</td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.3(^b)</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.01(^b)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.1(^b)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.7(^b)</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.01(^b)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1(^b)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>3(^{rd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.0(^b)</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.02(^b)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.8(^b)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.3(^bc)</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.02(^bc)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 3.6(^bc)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.3(^bc)</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.04(^bc)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 3.6(^bc)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320°C H(_2)/He</td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4(^b)</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.01(^bc)</td>
<td>16.5 ± 4.5(^b)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.6(^b)</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.01(^bc)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.6(^b)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5(^b)</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.02(^bc)</td>
<td>10.3 ± 2.3(^b)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.2(^b)</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.03(^bc)</td>
<td>21.4 ± 3.5(^b)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550°C H(_2)/He</td>
<td>Pd-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.9(^b)</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.05(^bc)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7(^bc)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>2(^{nd})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.4(^b)</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.03(^bc)</td>
<td>10.6 ± 2.7(^b)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga-K</td>
<td>1(^{st})</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.5(^b)</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.01(^bc)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7(^bc)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Experimental (dashed lines) and fitted (solid lines) Fourier transformed EXAFS spectra from the catalyst with 13 wt.% metal loading collected in H\(_2)/He at the Ga-K edge at (a) room temperature, (c) 320°C and (e) 550°C and at the Pd-K edge at (b) room temperature, (d) 320°C and (f) 550°C.
Figure 6(c,d) show EXAFS spectra acquired at 320°C in a 25% H₂/He mixture for the Ga-K edge and Pd-K edge, respectively. We find that the Ga atoms have two shells of neighbors, namely O and Pd atoms, while the Pd atoms are surrounded by Ga and Pd atoms. The results are similar to the 23 wt.% results and indicate that at this intermediate temperature a mixture of metallic Pd, GaPd₂ and gallium oxide coexists. This correlates with XRD analysis, where crystalline GaPd₂ is observed above 300°C.

Figure 6(e,f) show EXAFS spectra recorded at 550°C in a 25% H₂/He mixture for the Ga-edge and Pd-edge, respectively. At this temperature, the Ga atoms are only surrounded by Pd atoms and the Pd atoms are surrounded by Pd and Ga atoms, indicating the formation of the GaPd₂ phase. Also for this catalyst, the coordination numbers for the Pd atoms differ from the values expected in the bulk structure of GaPd₂/SiO₂. The alloying is similar for the catalyst with the two metal loadings, but we measure that the coordination numbers are smaller for the 13 wt.% respect to the 23 wt.% sample. This is in line with the XRD results and TEM images, which shows smaller particles for the catalyst with the lower metal content. Moreover, this also suggests that a higher fraction of Ga atoms are on the surface of the particles of the catalyst with the 13 wt.% respect to the 23 wt.% sample. Finally, the lower coordination number found for the second shell of Pd in this catalyst indicates that in the final state the catalyst is phase pure, in contrast to the catalyst with the higher metal loading.

### 3.3 Effect of the reduction temperature on the catalytic activity

From the in situ XRD and EXAFS measurements, we learn that the GaPd₂ is formed at 500°C for both catalysts, however, the palladium is fully reduced at lower temperatures. This encourages us to compare the catalytic activity and the selectivity of the catalyst reduced at four different temperatures, namely 400°C, 500°C, 550°C and 600°C. The TOF and the selectivity of the catalyst with 23 wt.% and 13 wt.% metal loadings are plotted as a function of the reaction temperature in Figure 7(a,b) and (d,e). For both catalysts we find that the TOF and selectivity increases for reduction temperatures up to 550°C and it decreases again at 600°C, indicating that 550°C is the optimal reduction temperature for both catalysts. Figure 7(c,f) shows representative TEM images of the catalyst with 23 wt.% and 13 wt.% metal loadings reduced at 600°C. By analyzing a collection of similar images we estimated the average particle size as 15 nm and 10 nm for the catalyst with 23 wt.% and 13 wt.% metal loadings, respectively. Smaller average particle sizes are estimated from TEM images of catalysts reduced at lower temperature, as shown in Figure 2. This indicates that the activity loss can be attributed to sintering occurring while reducing the particles at 600°C.
4. Discussion

The active phase formation of the GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalysts with 23 wt.% and 13 wt.% metal content follows the same pathway, but the 13 wt.% catalyst forms crystalline GaPd$_2$ intermetallic nanoparticles at lower temperature than the 23 wt.%. This can be explained considering the size and dispersion of the intermediate Pd particles and of the Ga oxide species in the SiO$_2$ support during reduction. The Pd particles are surrounded by the Ga species and they are smaller and higher dispersed in the 13 wt.% metal loading catalyst compared to the 23 wt.% metal loading catalyst. This facilitates the diffusion of Ga species into Pd and can explain why the reduction occurs at lower temperature for the smaller particles compared to the larger ones.

The obtained average particle size for the GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalyst with 23 wt.% is larger compared to the catalyst with 13 wt.% metal loading. This is a consequence of the sintering of the Pd crystalline particles which takes place during reduction up to 400°C, and also yields a loss in specific surface area of the resulting alloyed catalyst. The results of the catalytic testing of GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ as a function of metal loading indicate that both TOF and selectivity depend on the size of GaPd$_2$ nanoparticles. An optimum in particle size for the turnover is commonly observed for heterogeneous catalyst [27–31]. Here we find that the optimum particle size of the GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$, in terms of catalysts intrinsic activity (TOF), corresponds to an average particle size of 8 ± 2 nm (13 wt.% catalysts). This behavior could indicate that the active sites for methanol production on the surface of GaPd$_2$ nanoparticles are neither purely terraces nor lower coordination sites (such as corners and edges), but relies on a combination of both. The lower TOF of smaller nanoparticles is most likely caused by both the CO blocking of edge/corner site and lower intrinsic activity at the small terraces.

Finally, the phase purity differs in the final state of the catalysts with the two metal loadings. The catalyst with the 13 wt.% metal loading is phase pure, whereas the 23 wt.% metal loading catalyst contains 9% of Pd after reduction. Pd is not active for hydrogenation of CO$_2$ to methanol [13,32] and its presence lowers the catalytic activity of the 23 wt.% metal loading catalyst. However, the difference in the measured TOF values between the catalysts with 13 wt.% and 23 wt.% cannot be explained solely by the presence of Pd in the catalyst with the higher metal loading: the higher intrinsic activity if the 13 wt.% catalyst is attributed to its phase purity combined with the higher activity of the nanoparticles, with average size of approximately 8 nm.

5. Conclusions

In this work, we study the catalytic activity of nanodispersed intermetallic GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalysts prepared by incipient wetness impregnation towards the CO$_2$ hydrogenation to methanol reaction at ambient pressure, as a function of catalyst metal content. We find that the intrinsic activity is higher for the GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalyst with a metal loading of 13 wt.% compared to catalysts with higher or lower metal loading. Moreover, we find that the highest catalytic activity is measured on catalysts reduced at 550°C, above which sintering occurs with consequent loss of active surface area. Based on the results from the catalytic tests, we observe that there is an optimum particle size of the GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ in terms of catalysts intrinsic activity (TOF), corresponding to 8 nm. In order to unravel the formation of the active phase in H$_2$ of the calcined GaPd$_2$/SiO$_2$ catalyst, we use a combination of complementary in situ techniques, such as XRD, XANES and EXAFS. The alloying mechanisms are somehow similar for the catalyst with the two metal loadings, but we measure that the coordination numbers from EXAFS are smaller for the 13 wt.% respect to the 23 wt.% sample. This is in agreement with the XRD results and TEM images, which shows smaller particles for the catalyst with the lower metal content. Moreover, the EXAFS results also indicate two main differences between the catalysts with lower and higher metal contents: compared to the catalyst with the higher metal loading, the surface of the particles of the catalyst with the 13 wt.% is more Ga rich and in its final state is phase pure.
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