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**ABSTRACT**

The reduction of chemical pollution is a priority in many regional, national, and international policies, including in EU countries. To effectively do so, quantified overviews of pollutant emissions at national levels and with some granularity in their sources, are required. However, current monitoring efforts are often scattered and a quantitative and comprehensive inventory of toxic emissions in Europe is lacking. Toxic pollutants stem from a large variety of emission sources from industry, agriculture, households, etc. and the difficulty to cover all of them is manifest in public databases and official reports, where data gaps across countries and years exist for several substances. Here, we propose a methodology to tackle this problem and build comprehensive and harmonized national inventories of toxic pollutants. Using public databases, official reports, scientific literature and developing extrapolation techniques specific to each emission source, we derived harmonized annual inventories of toxic pollutants in all EU Member States over the years 2000–2014. They present an unprecedented coverage of 805,572, and 468 substances emitted to air, water and soil, respectively. Although the resulting dataset shows a relatively good agreement with previous inventories of narrower scopes, uncertainties can be identified for specific emission sources and in the development of extrapolation techniques, thus calling for further research in these areas. Such efforts should also explore adaptation of the methodology to derive comprehensive inventories for countries outside EU, where data is scarcer. Nonetheless, the developed national inventories can provide a starting point for territorial chemical footprints of toxic pollutants and could be coupled with environmental impact assessment for gauging the damages to ecosystems and human health from toxic pollutants emitted in Europe. This can ultimately support policy-makers in their pollutants prioritisation and benchmarking across substances and countries towards improved toxic emission reduction policies.

1. Introduction

The United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) have raised again particular attention on environmental issues such as climate change and pollutant emissions (United Nations, 2015). For example, SDG no. 12, focusing on responsible consumption and production, includes in its targets a significant reduction of the releases of chemicals to air, water, and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment (United Nations, 2015). As part of its 2020 Agenda (EC, 2010a), the European Union (EU) is committed to sustainability. The EU is implementing the UN SDGs by integrating them into the European policy framework and evaluating the progress of its Member States (EC, 2016). In this context, it becomes as important to assess the efforts aiming at decoupling the economic growth from the environmental impacts due to the economic activities (UNEP, 2011). This is a key aspect for both the Europe 2020 strategy (EC, 2010a) and its flagship initiative “A resource-efficient Europe” (EC, 2011), as well as for the Circular Economy Action Plan (EC, 2015).

The thus-necessary monitoring of national emissions of toxic chemicals to air, water and soil is however a complex task hindered by the variety of emission sources (e.g. industrial, residential, agricultural, etc.) and the multitude of existing substances, with for example more than 20,000 substances registered under the ‘Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and restriction of CHemicals’ (REACH) regulation (EC, 2006a), as reported by the European Chemical Agency (European Chemical Agency, 2018). Inventorying chemicals emissions and characterising their impacts can help measure progress towards the objectives for environmental quality and public health as well as towards a non-toxic environment as envisaged by the 7th Environmental Action Programme (EAP) for EU (EU, 2013; Persson et al., 2019). However, while some initiatives like the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) support the compilation of pollutant emissions in the EU through national reporting of 25 airborne substances, nationwide inventories often remain limited to a few substances and only
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cover releases of chemicals to water and soil to a limited extent (Cucurachi et al., 2014).

Using Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) methods, several studies have evidenced the large contribution of water- and soil-borne emissions to total impacts on ecosystems and human health (e.g. Laurent et al., 2011a, 2011b; Lautier et al., 2010; Sala et al., 2015; Sleswijk et al., 2008). Laurent et al. (2011a) have thus previously estimated that 84% of the toxic impacts on freshwater ecosystems in Europe are caused by emissions of heavy metals to water and soil and by releases of pesticides. This percentage reaches 47% and 50% for toxic impacts on human health, respectively carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic effects, in the same study. Developing national inventories of pollutant emissions with a broad coverage of substances is thus critical to monitor properly their adverse impacts on human health and the environment, as required e.g. for SDGs 3, 15, and 12. While such types of inventories have for example been constructed over time by Sleswijk et al. (2008) and Cucurachi et al. (2014), they cover only specific years (2000 and 2010 respectively) and do not provide estimates at country level, thus preventing gauging national policies’ effectiveness over time.

Here, building on a project initiated in Sala et al. (2014), we aim to tackle this knowledge gap by (i) further developing a methodology for building annual inventories of anthropogenic toxic emissions to air, water, and soil at national scale, and (ii) identifying and defining priorities for future improvements of the inventory. Due to limitations in data availability, the scope of the study is limited to the EU Member States over the period 2000–2014, while the transferability of the methodology to other countries is qualitatively discussed. Because anthropogenic pollutants inducing direct toxic effects on ecosystems and human health are the focus of this study, natural sources of emissions (e.g. volcanic eruptions) and non-toxic substances (e.g. greenhouse gases, nutrients, etc.) are disregarded from our analysis. Despite their possible importance in contributing to impacts, accidental releases are further excluded from this inventory because the focus here is on emissions occurring during normal operations as a result of the current level of policy enforcement and pollution control technologies.

In the current study, we bring significant and novel advances to the work by Sala et al. (2014) and the inventory provided by Sala et al. (2015), including (i) consideration of more emission data with increased substance coverage, (ii) extended temporal and geographic scopes (up to 2014 and for all EU Member States), (iii) use of newly-developed or improved gap-filling procedures and extrapolation techniques, (iv) comparison of the inventories against existing literature, (v) discussion framing the utilisation of the developed inventories, and (vi) provision of national inventories for each year within 2000–2014. With these documented inventories, we therefore intend to provide the means to perform toxic impact assessments at national level in the EU (i.e. part of so-called environmental footprints; Laurent and Owsiianik, 2017), and support benchmarking across EU countries as well as identification of top-contributing substances and associated emission sources that can thereafter be prioritised and tackled through policy-making processes.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Overall methodology

Human economic activities can be categorised into raw material acquisition, incl. agriculture, forestry, fishing and mining (primary sector), construction and manufacturing (secondary sector), and services (tertiary and quaternary sectors) (Kenessey, 1987). Together with households, these human activities exert pressures on the environment and are potential sources of toxic emissions to all environmental compartments.

Industrial activities, such as mining, fuel combustion, metal production, or paper manufacturing, may indeed release heavy metals and/or persistent organic pollutants into air, water, soil compartments (Fiedler, 2007; Nriagu and Pacyna, 1988; Pacyna and Pacyna, 2001; Tobiszewski and Namieśnik, 2012). In addition, freshwater bodies receive pollutants via domestic or industrial wastewater contaminated with biocides, surfactants, phthalates, polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and pharmaceuticals (Deblonde et al., 2011). The use of organic waste (including livestock manure and sewage sludge) as fertilizers in agriculture is also known to be a potential source of metal inputs to soil (Alloway, 2013; Belon et al., 2012; Leclerc and Laurent, 2017). While pesticides can be used in specific contexts in industrial or residential settings, they are commonly applied on agricultural crops, where they end up being distributed into air, water and soil media through processes such as drift, runoff, volatilisation, and soil leaching (Dijkman et al., 2012).

Several data sources, such as public databases and literature, document the level of emissions from some of these specific sources, but time series are not always complete and data gaps typically exist for some countries. We thus developed individual methodologies for each emission source; these are summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Sections 2.2–2.5. An overview of the primary data sources and extrapolation procedures used for each emission source is additionally presented in Table A1 in Supporting Information. The toxicity potential and data availability determined the coverage of substances in the present inventory (EC, 2008; UN, 2010). To ensure geographical representativeness, only raw data from EU Member States were used to build the inventories, thus disregarding potential data from countries outside Europe that might generate biases and unjustified substance occurrences in the final inventories. Wherever possible, individual substances were preferred to groups of substances to optimize the potential of the inventories to support impact assessment and targeted decision-making.

2.2. Emissions to air

As illustrated in Fig. 1, airborne emissions of toxic pollutants were mainly based on reports from publicly available national and sectoral databases - like EMEP official reports (EMEP/CEIP, 2017) and the European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR – Version 11) (EEA, 2017) – see Section 2.2.1. Emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) were however addressed separately (cf. Fig. 1) as they are poorly reported as individual substances in the aforementioned databases (typically as a group; see Section 2.2.2).

2.2.1. Total airborne emissions (excl. NMVOCs)

Total national airborne emissions of 9 metals and 9 persistent organic pollutants were retrieved from EMEP official reports of all EU Member States in the period 2000–2014, except Greece (no data available at the time of the study). Gaps in the time series were preliminarily filled by linear interpolation. However, no emission data was reported for Austria, Luxembourg and Slovenia for 6 out of the 9 heavy metals: arsenic, chromium, copper, nickel, selenium, and zinc (metals with voluntary reporting) and thus could not be extrapolated. In the cases where polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were only reported as a group, individual emissions of benzo(a)pyrene (B(a)p), benzo(b)fluoranthene (B(b)f), benzo(k)fluoranthene (B(k)f), and indeno (1,2,3-cd)pyrene (Indeno) were calculated based on the average mass distribution of PAHs derived from EMEP official reports from all countries in 2000–2014 (i.e. 33.1% B(a)p, 33.3% B(b)f, 16.3% B(k)f, 17.3% Indeno).

To reach a broader substance coverage in the inventory, non-accidental reports of industrial airborne emissions of 38 toxic pollutants (including 2 pesticides that are complementary to agricultural usage addressed in Section 2.5) were retrieved at facility level from the E-PRTR database for all 28 EU Member States in 2001, 2004, and 2007–2015. Although emissions occurring in 2015 are outside the scope of the final inventories (due to incompleteness of inventories for some pollutant sources at the time of this study), these data were...
The reporting to the E-PRTR is limited to industries whose capacities or levels of emissions are above a given threshold. This threshold is specific for each pollutant and sector, and was set so that a complete reporting would cover 90% of the actual total emissions in Europe (EC, 2006b). However, the number of reported pollutant releases differs significantly across countries and receiving environmental compartments, which may indicate that the reporting is inconsistent across EU Member States, and incomplete in countries with a low number of substances reported (Ullrich, 2016). Because emissions of pesticides are strongly regulated at national level in Europe, the absence of reports for a given country or year was not considered as a data gap but rather as an actual absence of industrial release, and no extrapolation was deemed necessary (i.e. the official E-PRTR reports were directly used in the final inventories). However, it should be noted that there is no direct correspondence between the approval/restriction of a pesticide in a country and the presence/absence of emission reports in that country. For example, there are reports of waterborne emissions of chlordecone in France in 2014, whereas this pesticide was banned in EU under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 (EC, 2009a). For other toxic substances, under the assumption of incomplete reporting and since pollutant emissions are highly dependent on the type of industry considered, an extrapolation procedure was developed to fill the data gaps at sectoral level.

As part of this procedure, the Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community (NACE) was used to aggregate releases into 31 NACE sectors for each pollutant and environmental compartment. Sectoral gross economic output data, extracted for all EU Member States in 2000–2015 from Eurostat (Eurostat, 2017a), were then used for extrapolations. The sectoral gross economic output was preferred over sectoral gross domestic product (GDP) as it was assumed to be representative of the intensity of the industrial activity in each sector, i.e. the more an industry produces, the higher its economic output is. For each pollutant and sector, emissions normalised by gross economic output were calculated at both national and European levels (expressed in kg of substance emitted per million euro of economic gross output). These were finally combined with country-, year- and sector-specific economic gross output data to extrapolate missing emission reports in each NACE sector. The national emission profile was considered as first choice wherever available; when not possible, the European emission profile was used (see Fig. A1, Table 1).

### Table 1: Number and types of pollutants included in the national inventories for each emission source and environmental compartment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental compartment</th>
<th>Emission source</th>
<th>Pollutants covereda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Total emissions (excl. non-methane volatile organic compounds, NMVOCs)</td>
<td>9 metals and compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 chlorinated organic substances (incl. dioxins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 other organic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 inorganic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 pesticidesb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total emissions of NMVOCs</td>
<td>270 individual NMVOCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52 unrefined groups of NMVOCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Industrial emissions (excl. pharmaceuticals)</td>
<td>22 metals and compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 chlorinated organic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35 other organic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 inorganic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28 pesticidesb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household emissions</td>
<td>Industrial emissions (excl. pharmaceuticals)</td>
<td>18 metals and compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 chlorinated organic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29 other organic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 inorganic substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 pesticidesb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Industrial emissions</td>
<td>6 antibiotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 estrogen medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural reuse of manure</td>
<td>13 other pharmaceuticals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural use of sewage sludge</td>
<td>8 metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 veterinary drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air, water &amp; soil</td>
<td>Agricultural use of pesticides</td>
<td>Dioxins (as a group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>173 herbicides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>130 insecticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>119 fungicides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 plant growth regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 other plant protection products</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a List of covered substances available in Supporting Information B, together with full detailed inventories (see Section 3.1).
b Pesticides used in a residential or industrial context (as opposed to pesticides used for agriculture, for which the emissions are quantified separately).
and extrapolation statistics in Supporting Information C). Wherever national emissions of a substance could be estimated from both the EMEP and E-PRTR sources, the highest figure was considered as best estimate due to suspected incompleteness of the reporting in both databases (see Section 3.3.1). Exceptionally, the estimates extrapolated from the E-PRTR were preferred for mercury emissions in Malta as the EMEP official reports are suspected to be highly overestimated (see SI A).

### 2.2.2. Airborne emissions of NMVOCs

NMVOCs are reported as a group in both the EMEP official reports (EMEP/CEIP, 2017) and the E-PRTR (version 5 - EEA, 2017). However Laurent and Hauschild (2014) have demonstrated the relevance of considering NMVOCs as individual substances when looking at the toxic impacts on human health and freshwater ecosystems. The methodology developed by Laurent and Hauschild (2014) was adopted to calculate emissions of NMVOCs congeners. By combining speciation profiles retrieved from the literature (i.e. distributions of substances emitted per type of source) and sectoral inventories of total NMVOC emissions (EMEP/CEIP, 2017), Laurent and Hauschild (2014) built country-specific and substance-specific emissions profiles for 107 sectors identified by means of the sector classification NFR09 in 2000–2010. In cases where the computations resulted in null emissions of 1,2-dichloroethane, figures were substituted with the available emission data from the E-PRTR database (EEA, 2013).

Since the methodology for NMVOCs breakdown covered only the years 2000–2010 in the original study (Sala et al., 2014), a linear extrapolation at single substance level was performed starting from 2000 to 2010 figures to cover the years 2011–2014. A correction factor was then applied for each year, defined as the yearly increase (or decrease) over the total NMVOC emissions, the latter being retrieved from EMEP/CEIP (2017).

### 2.3. Emissions to water

As shown in Fig. 2, industrial and residential emissions of toxic pollutants to water were addressed separately due to the scope of the reporting databases, respectively E-PRTR and Waterbase (EEA, 2017, 2016) – see Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2. In parallel, a specific methodology was developed to estimate waterborne emissions of pharmaceuticals (Section 2.3.3), as there is to date little data available for these pollutants of emerging concern (Carvalho et al., 2015).

#### 2.3.1. Industrial waterborne emissions (excl. pharmaceuticals)

Reports of non-accidental emissions of 67 pollutants to water were retrieved at facility level from the E-PRTR database (version 11) for all EU Member States countries in 2001, 2004, and 2007–2015 (EEA, 2017). Pollutant releases due to the discharges of industrial wastewater and treated urban wastewater from non-EPRTR facilities were additionally retrieved from the Waterbase database, version 6 – September 2017 (EEA, 2016). In contrast to Sala et al. (2014), the latter data source was used to complement the E-PRTR reports for the sector NACE E (Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities) for the relevant pollutant, country and year.

Due to several data gaps remaining in terms of countries and years, extrapolations were required. As with airborne emissions, missing emission data were extrapolated at sectoral level based on the economic gross output for all toxic pollutants except pesticides (see Section 2.2.2, and extrapolation statistics in Supporting Information C). Reports for 2015 were retained to increase the robustness of the extrapolations. Country-, substance-, and year-specific inventories were finally obtained by aggregating the corresponding emissions over all sectors.

#### 2.3.2. Direct emissions from households (excl. pharmaceuticals)

Direct waterborne emissions from households are releases via urban untreated wastewater, unconnected dwellings, urban run-off and storm overflows (emissions related to the treatment of wastewater are addressed together with the industrial sector NACE E, cf. Section 2.3.1). Direct emissions of 114 toxic substances from households were thus retrieved from the Waterbase for 15 EU Member States in 2000–2014 (EEA, 2016). However, several data gaps were observed in terms of substances, countries and years, with for example only six countries reporting emissions from urban run-off and storm overflows. Extrapolations were therefore required to fill the data gaps (Fig. 2).

Demographic statistics (i.e. population count) were assumed the most representative of the magnitude of the residential emissions of pollutants, and were used as extrapolation proxies. Data were retrieved from Eurostat, OECD and The World Bank for all EU Member States in

---

1. **NFR09** is a Nomenclature for Reporting (NFR) format, i.e. sector classification commonly used in Europe (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE)/European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP))
2.4. Emissions to soil

Inventories of industrial soilborne emissions were built on facility reports from the E-PRTR, whereas agricultural soilborne emissions due to the application of organic waste (manure and sewage sludge) were derived from the respective quantities of manure and treated sludge applied on crops (cf. Fig. 3).

2.4.1. Industrial soilborne emissions

Industrial soilborne releases of 24 toxic pollutants could be extracted from the E-PRTR database (version 11) for 9 EU Member States over the period 2007–2015 (EEA, 2017). As for waterborne emissions, the limited number of countries reporting releases of pollutants to soil indicates that the coverage of the emissions reports for this environmental compartment is likely to be much lower than the claimed target of 90% coverage in the E-PRTR database. In line with industrial emissions to air and water, missing data were extrapolated at sectoral level using the economic gross output as proxy for all toxic pollutants except pesticides (see details in Section 2.2.1 and extrapolation statistics in SI.C).

2.4.2. Agricultural emissions: manure application

Livestock manure contains traces of the chemicals to which the animals have been exposed (e.g. metals, antibiotics, etc.), which are then released to agricultural soil when the manure is used as fertilizer. Emissions of 8 heavy metals were extracted from Leclerc and Laurent (2017) for all EU Member States in the period 2000–2014. The published national inventories are derived from the quantities of manure produced by 16 livestock, assuming that all were used for agricultural purposes. The country-specific shares of livestock manure being managed as solid manure or liquid slurry were retrieved from a survey conducted in 17 European countries (Kuczyński et al., 2005), and the geometric mean was assumed representative of the missing EU Member States. Following a review of measured concentrations of pollutants in manure in European countries between 2001 and 2007, typical livestock-specific pollutant concentrations were calculated. Following the same methodology, additional emissions of 4 veterinary drugs (tetracycline, chlorotetracycline, sulfamethazine, and sulfadiazine) were estimated in the current inventory, by averaging the concentrations measured by Hamscher et al. (2005) in Germany in 2001–2003.

2.4.3. Agricultural emissions: sewage sludge application

Similarly to the soilborne releases of pollutants due to the application of manure, emissions of heavy metals from the use of sewage sludge on agricultural land were estimated by combining the quantities of sludge applied and typical concentrations of pollutants. Statistics on the use of sewage sludge in agriculture were retrieved from Eurostat (Eurostat, 2017d) and the United Nations (UN-HABITAT, 2008). Remaining data gaps were filled by linear interpolation between the borders of the gaps when possible, and linear extrapolation over the period 2000–2014 elsewhere (cf. SI.C). Typical concentrations of 7 heavy metals collected at country level in 2005–2006 by the EU Commission (EC, 2010b) were used, assuming their representativeness for the entire time series.

Pollutant concentration profiles were missing for a number of countries, which altogether represented 4% of the total reuse of sewage sludge in EU in 2014. To fill these gaps, it was assumed that countries with similar regulations also shared similar pollutant profiles. Regulations on the thresholds of pollutants from sewage sludge applied to land were thus reviewed (EC, 2010b). As a result, pollutant concentrations of Austria were modelled as those for Germany, Croatia as for Belgium, Denmark as for Slovenia, Greece and Luxembourg as for Cyprus, Ireland as for Spain, and Romania as for Poland (cf. Supporting Information A). Concentrations of organics in sewage sludge are more difficult to obtain and are usually reported as groups of substances (Sala et al., 2014). Due to the low availability of data, only dioxin emissions were included, using an average concentration of 20 ng/kg-dry-matter (EC, 2001).

2.5. Pesticides emissions to air, water and soil

Readily available data on pesticide emissions does not exist; due to confidentiality, even usage or application data are difficult to retrieve at national level. As illustrated in Fig. 4, national applications of pesticides...
were calculated by combining harvested area of 9 types of crops (Eurostat, 2017c) and typical pesticide use by crop and country (EC, 2007). Data on pesticide use were compiled for the period 1992–2003, and it is assumed here that they are representative for the entire time series despite potential changes in pesticide authorisations/bans. No data on pesticide use were available for Bulgaria, Romania and Croatia, and due to the large variations in national regulations, no assumption or extrapolation was made to fill these gaps. Whereas pesticide emissions have often been considered as 100% of the applied quantities or extrapolation was made to fill these gaps, the raw data used in this study, these comparisons can be used as a means to evaluate the precision of the developed estimates, provided that the scopes of both inventories are equivalent.

For herbicides, fractions of 10% to plant surface, 15% to air, 74% to soil surface, and 1% to surface water were thus considered. For all other target classes of pesticides (insecticides, fungicides, etc.), fractions of 64% to plant surface, 15% to air, 20% to soil surface, and 1% to surface water were considered (Sala et al., 2014).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Substance coverage</th>
<th>Temporal coverage</th>
<th>Geographical coverage</th>
<th>Inventory methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleeswijk et al. (2008)</td>
<td>313 substances to</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>EU25 + NO, IS, CH4*(total)</td>
<td>Emissions to air and freshwater are based on reported data from Europe (EMEP, E-PRTR) and US, CA, JA, AU*, and extrapolated with national GDP. Metals to industrial soil are derived from Dutch landfill percolate metal loads. Metals to natural soil from manure application are based on livestock statistics, production rates and Dutch metal content in manure. Pesticide use are derived from consumption data in NL, GB, US*, and extrapolated based on crop production area for insecticides, and based on GDP for herbicides, fungicides and bactericides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breivik et al. (2016)</td>
<td>22 PCB congeners to</td>
<td>2000–2014</td>
<td>EU (differentiated at country level)</td>
<td>Inventory of PCB emissions (including accidental releases) resulting from the intentional production, use and disposal of PCB-containing products. Mass balance approach including exports of electronic waste, combined with emission factors differentiated by usage/sector and temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang and Tao (2009)</td>
<td>16 individual PAHs</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>World (differentiated at country level; EU countries considered)</td>
<td>Inventory covering emissions from all activities, including fuel combustion, industrial production, consumer product use, and wild fires. Estimates derived from the combination of activity data and country-specific emission factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacyna et al. (2007)</td>
<td>6 metals to air</td>
<td>2000, 2010</td>
<td>EU28 (differentiated at country level)</td>
<td>Inventory covering emissions from the combustion of various fuels (oil, coal, gasoline, and waste), the production of iron, steel and cement, and the manufacturing of non-ferrous metals, as well as “other sources”. Activity data from the UN Statistical Yearbook and the PRIMES model. Emission factors from EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook and national experts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muntean et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Mercury to air</td>
<td>2000–2012</td>
<td>EU28 (differentiated at country level)</td>
<td>Gridded inventory from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research version tox2 (EDGARv4.1tox2). Total emissions based on activity data, emission factors from official datasets, and control measures information from international data sources for key emission sources, i.e. agricultural waste burning, chlor-alkali, power generation, combustion in industry and residential, cement and glass production, non-ferrous and iron &amp; steel industries, waste incineration and transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quass et al. (2000)</td>
<td>Total dioxins to air</td>
<td>2000, 2005</td>
<td>17 EU countries</td>
<td>Inventory covering most industrial sectors, road transport and accidental fires. Emissions based on official national estimates, activity data and emission factors from the literature. Projections based on developments regarding abatement measures and sector activity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Country codes follow the standard ISO 3166-1.
### Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Resulting national inventories

The newly developed inventories cover pollutant emissions of 805, 572, and 468 substances to air, water, and soil, respectively, for each of the 28 EU Member States and for each year within the period 2000–2014 (cf. Table 1 for breakdown overview). The detailed national inventories by emission source are available in Supporting Information B (Excel file). The final inventories cover most of the substances listed under Aarhus protocol on persistent organic pollutants (100%), the EU Water Framework Directive (91%), the guidelines of the World Health Organization (WHO) for drinking-water quality (58%) (EC, 2008; UN, 2010; WHO, 2017). Uncovered substances are either not toxic (e.g. sulfate and sodium in the WHO’s guidelines, relevant for water quality but non-toxic), not expected to be used in high volume in EU (e.g. novaluron, insecticide used to control dengue fever), or not associated with emission data at national level (e.g. waterborne emissions ofberyllium).

#### 3.2. Comparisons with other inventories

**3.2.1. Comparison with existing large-scale inventory**

For substances present in both our total EU inventory and that of Sleeswijk et al. (2008), estimates of airborne emissions are relatively similar, with ratios ranging from 0.03 to 10.9 (excluding outliers) and a median equal to 0.81 (see Fig. 6). Outliers typically correspond to organic substances for which there is a low level of reporting (e.g. only one E-PRTR report with airborne emissions of ethyl benzene – see SI.·C). A larger dispersion of results for emissions to water is observed (Fig. 6); this may be due to the uncertainty associated with the official reports used in both studies (see Section 3.3.1). Emissions to soil are found to be larger (i.e. less than an order of magnitude for most substances) in Sleeswijk et al. (2008) despite a more limited scope of industries (only data from landfill percolate). Although the reporting thresholds in the E-PRTR reports are set to cover 90% of the overall emissions, they may hamper the completeness of the reporting for that emission compartment and thus the accuracy of the results. Among the releases to agricultural soil, a relatively good match is obtained for metals (ratios ranging from 0.2 to 1.7) in spite of releases from the use of sewage sludge not being included in Sleeswijk et al. (2008). However, very large discrepancies can be observed for pesticides because (i) Sleeswijk et al. (2008) assumed that 100% of the pesticides are emitted to soil while this assumption has been refined to 20–70% in this study based on more recent works (see Section 2.5), and (ii) European releases are fully extrapolated in Sleeswijk et al. (2008) whereas country-specific data were used and aggregated to EU level in this study (cf. Table 2).

**3.2.2. Comparison to substances-specific inventories**

The best fit with bottom-up inventories from literature was obtained for airborne emissions of mercury, with ratios ranging from 0.2 to 4.2 when compared with Pacyna et al. (2007) and Muntean et al. (2018) (see Fig. 7). Overall, the present inventory evaluates the airborne emissions of mercury in EU27 in 2010 to be equal to 6.75E+04 kg, which tends to agree with the estimate of 8.75E+04 kg done by the
EU27 countries in year 2004; only 7 out of 16 substances listed in both inventories), (iv) Breivik et al. (2002) for PCBs (individual EU countries in each year of countries, years 2000 and 2010), (ii) Muntean et al., (2018) for Mercury (individual EU countries in 2000–2012), (iii) Zhang and Tao. (2009) for PAHs (individual the same order of magnitude in both inventories (Fig. 7). Those 4 individual PAHs are those prioritised in the reporting to EMEP and E-PRTR (EC, 2006b, 1998), which May explain a consistent reporting. In contrast, emissions of anthracene, naphthalene, and benzo(g,h,i)perylene, which are not covered by the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants (EC, 1998), appear to be on average an order of magnitude lower in this study than in Zhang and Tao (2009). This apparent underestimation may be due to the lower reporting of these pollutants to the E-PRTR (no EMEP reports available), thus falling outside the scope of the extrapolations performed in our inventory. These findings therefore suggest that additional ways to bypass the reporting thresholds and incompleteness in the reporting are needed to achieve complete national inventories. The complementary use of inventory techniques, combining activity data and emission factors like in Zhang and Tao (2009), could therefore be worth investigating, although consistency should be ensured in such exercise to avoid double counting.

The comparison of our inventories of dioxin emissions to air to the median of the ranges proposed by Quass et al. (2000) shows that both estimates fall within the same order of magnitude, with ratios ranging from 0.29 to 1.2 (excluding outliers). It suggests that the use of official reports allows for an overall good quantification of the releases of dioxins to air.

Lower estimates of total PCB emissions were obtained in this study compared to Breivik et al. (2016), with ratios ranging from 2.6E–04 to 1.3E+00 (i.e. spanning 4 orders of magnitude difference). While Breivik et al. (2002) acknowledge that their emission values are at best order of magnitude estimates considering the overall uncertainty of their model, a discrepancy in the scope of both inventories is more likely to explain this difference. First, PCBs are reported as a group to the EMEP and E-PRTR without specification of the individual substances covered, meaning that another set of congeners than in Breivik et al. (2016) may be included. Second, official reports of PCBs, on which our current inventory is built, typically cover non-accidental industrial emissions related to combustion processes or the production and disposal of PCB-containing materials. In those reports, the accounting of emissions during usage of PCB-containing products is often hindered by the lack of methods to quantify them. In contrast, the inventory developed by Breivik et al. (2016) focuses on emissions (accidental or not) due to the production, use and disposal of PCB-containing materials, thus excluding PCB emissions that are occurring as a by-product of other activities such as combustion processes (Breivik et al., 2002). When cumulated over the period 1970–2005, 82% of these global emissions are caused by accidental releases and the usage of PCB-containing products. This analysis suggests that there may be a large gap between the emission coverage of official reports and the actual emissions of PCB including from usage of PCB-containing materials.

United Nations (UNEP, 2013). At country level, lower estimates in our inventory were obtained for all other metals in our study, which may indicate that the extrapolations of the E-PRTR reports were not sufficient to balance the potential underestimation of the official EMEP estimates (Pacyna et al., 2007). Furthermore, several outliers can be identified, mostly relating to Malta, Slovenia and Latvia, which may show the limitations of using average European emission factors for all countries as it was done in Pacyna et al. (2007).

The inventory developed in the present study only covers 7 out of the 16 individual PAHs considered by Zhang and Tao (2009), accounting on average for 68% of the total PAHs (expressed in kg B(a)p-equivalent). Emissions of benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene appear to be generally in the same order of magnitude in both inventories (Fig. 7). Those 4 individual PAHs are those prioritised in the reporting to EMEP and E-PRTR (EC, 2006b, 1998), which may explain a consistent reporting. In contrast, emissions of anthracene, naphthalene, and benzo(g,h,i)perylene, which are not covered by the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants (EC, 1998), appear to be on average an order of magnitude lower in this study than in Zhang and Tao (2009). This apparent underestimation may be due to the lower reporting of these pollutants to the E-PRTR (no EMEP reports available), thus falling outside the scope of the extrapolations performed in our inventory. These findings therefore suggest that additional ways to bypass the reporting thresholds and incompleteness in the reporting are needed to achieve complete national inventories. The complementary use of inventory techniques, combining activity data and emission factors like in Zhang and Tao (2009), could therefore be worth investigating, although consistency should be ensured in such exercise to avoid double counting.

The comparison of our inventories of dioxin emissions to air to the median of the ranges proposed by Quass et al. (2000) shows that both estimates fall within the same order of magnitude, with ratios ranging from 0.29 to 1.2 (excluding outliers). It suggests that the use of official reports allows for an overall good quantification of the releases of dioxins to air.

Lower estimates of total PCB emissions were obtained in this study compared to Breivik et al. (2016), with ratios ranging from 2.6E–04 to 1.3E+00 (i.e. spanning 4 orders of magnitude difference). While Breivik et al. (2002) acknowledge that their emission values are at best order of magnitude estimates considering the overall uncertainty of their model, a discrepancy in the scope of both inventories is more likely to explain this difference. First, PCBs are reported as a group to the EMEP and E-PRTR without specification of the individual substances covered, meaning that another set of congeners than in Breivik et al. (2016) may be included. Second, official reports of PCBs, on which our current inventory is built, typically cover non-accidental industrial emissions related to combustion processes or the production and disposal of PCB-containing materials. In those reports, the accounting of emissions during usage of PCB-containing products is often hindered by the lack of methods to quantify them. In contrast, the inventory developed by Breivik et al. (2016) focuses on emissions (accidental or not) due to the production, use and disposal of PCB-containing materials, thus excluding PCB emissions that are occurring as a by-product of other activities such as combustion processes (Breivik et al., 2002). When cumulated over the period 1970–2005, 82% of these global emissions are caused by accidental releases and the usage of PCB-containing products. This analysis suggests that there may be a large gap between the emission coverage of official reports and the actual emissions of PCB including from usage of PCB-containing materials.
Although the comparisons with existing literature remain restricted in their scopes due to a limited number of past studies, they overall tend to show a relatively good agreement. These should be nuanced by important variations when moving from one substance (or group of substances) to another, with some good accuracy in airborne emissions of some metals and organics while showing large gaps for others like PCBs. As exemplified by this latter case, emissions occurring during the use of consumer products can be identified as an important underestimated source of pollutants, which should be addressed in further research.

3.3. Uncertainties and limitations

The inventories of industrial and residential emissions to all environmental compartments were built on three emission-reporting databases, namely the EMEP official reports, the E-PRTR, and the Waterbase. While none of these databases provide quantitative nor qualitative uncertainty estimates at substance level, they appear incomplete in terms of source coverage, and with gaps and inconsistencies in reporting across countries – see the example of mercury emissions in air in Malta in Supporting Information A (Breivik et al., 2007). The lack of harmonized measurements of metals in manure thus compels the use of averaged European concentrations instead of country-specific ones (Leclerc and Laurent, 2017). The removal of pharmaceuticals in WWTPs is highly dependent on the type of treatment and as such may in practice vary strongly from one country to another (Verlicchi et al., 2012), but typical removal rates were considered by default in the present study, regardless of the level of implementation of various treatment technologies. Similarly, the pesticide data compiled in 2005/2006 do not allow accounting for changes in regulations, bans or substitution of active ingredients, nor do they all evaluate their uses in some EU Member States, namely those that joined after the release of the reference report used in this study and for which data were not available (Bulgaria, Romania, and Croatia). Consolidation and harmonization of these methodologies, e.g. generating standards or exploring possibilities of conducting meta-analysis, should thus be included in future research. Moreover, several substances (such as endocrine disruptors, nanomaterials, etc.) are still not comprehensively covered by any inventory whereas they are related to increased concerns on their potential harm to the environment and human health.

3.3.3. Robustness of the extrapolation procedures

Data gaps in databases were filled by linear regression or using inter-/extrapolation proxies such as gross economic output and demographic statistics. These however do not allow accounting for national differences in pollution control technologies of industries or treatment efficiencies of WWTPs. Depending on the quality of the already existing data (see Section 3.3.1) and the representativeness of the proxy, the robustness of these extrapolation procedures varies from one methodology to another as well as from one pollutant to another. For example, after normalising industrial waterborne emissions from the NACE sectors C31-C33 by the sectoral gross economic output across all countries and years, the geometric standard deviations reach a value of 2000 for xylenes whereas it is equal to 2.5 for copper and compounds (cf. Supporting Information C). Likewise, among direct residential releases, the dispersion of the emissions normalised by the population is nearly twice as big as for emissions from urban run-off and storm overflows than for emissions from unconnected dwellings and untreated discharges (cf. Supporting Information C). Taking into account the frequency of rain events in each country could thus be investigated as a proxy to improve the quality of the extrapolations. Similarly, statistics on the consumption of various pharmaceutical products types or the frequency of disease types could help refine the extrapolation of the sales of pharmaceuticals across countries and years. Finally, there were cases in which the releases of a specific substance in a given sector were only documented by a few reports from a single country, and then extrapolated over countries and years, resulting in possible overestimations of the emissions or in trends being highly influenced by those of the proxies. For example, the reduction in the industrial gross output following the 2008 financial crisis can have a large influence on modelled based on statistics on the consumption of specific products: e.g. the use of organic waste for agriculture, the application of pesticides on crops, or the consumption of pharmaceuticals. This modelling required various assumptions and simplifications compared to the complex reality of the emission pathways, which results in uncertainties. For example, the assumption that all manure produced by livestock is used as organic fertilizer means that it does not account for its use for biogas production, resulting in potential overestimations of the final releases (Leclerc and Laurent, 2017). Similarly, it was assumed that all pesticides were applied with a foliar spray, which influences the emission fractions reaching each environmental compartment.

In addition to these modelling simplifications, literature data on the concentrations or uses of toxic substances were used to model final releases of pollutants from the consumption of pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and organic waste. The geographical and temporal representativeness of such literature data are limited by the scope and the methodology that differ across existing studies. The lack of harmonized statistics on the consumption of various pharmaceutical products types or the frequency of disease types could help refine the extrapolation of the sales of pharmaceuticals across countries and years. Finally, there were cases in which the releases of a specific substance in a given sector were only documented by a few reports from a single country, and then extrapolated over countries and years, resulting in possible overestimations of the emissions or in trends being highly influenced by those of the proxies. For example, the reduction in the industrial gross output following the 2008 financial crisis can have a large influence on
the estimates of industrial emissions for substances with a low level of reporting (see Fig. 5d). Although these remain limited to a few substances in the total inventories, e.g. calcium in Estonia or nitrobenzene in the United Kingdom, future works should explore data availability and potential updates to refine these emission estimations.

Owing to the large variations across substances in the accuracy of their respective emission estimates, it is recommended to refine estimation and extrapolation techniques for substances that cause the largest damages to human health and ecosystems; such prioritisation requires performing impact assessment on the developed inventory –see discussion in Section 3.5.

3.4. Applicability of the methodology outside EU

Although the present inventories were developed for EU28 only, reducing emissions of toxic impacts is a global goal, and as such, it is relevant to quantify them in other countries. A high uncertainty is expected from any attempt to extrapolate emissions in non-EU countries based on EU data due to potentially large differences in regulations and technologies (e.g. pesticide use, pollution control technologies) or lifestyles (e.g. pharmaceutical consumption) (Ecobichon, 2001; Persson et al., 2017). For example, the concentration of metals in manure was found to be generally higher in non-European countries compared to the European average (Leclerc and Laurent, 2017). Persson et al. (2019) also acknowledged that a direct extrapolation based on the economic activity may result in underestimations of the emissions outside the EU. The use of local or regional pollutant data is thus fundamental to calculate country-specific emission estimates, meaning that the applicability of our methodology in countries outside EU is highly dependent on the availability of emission reports and pollutant measurements in these countries.

Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTRs) are being increasingly implemented across the world, among other things, support from the OECD task force on PRTRs and the knowledge-sharing platform developed by the United Nations Institute for Training and Research (OECD, 2018; UNITAR, 2018). As a result, while PRTRs already exist in Canada, the United States, Australia, Japan, Mexico, Chile, Honduras, Peru, and Israel, they also have been initiated in countries like South Africa, Brazil, Argentina, Cambodia, Thailand, Egypt, etc. (UNECE, 2016). Depending on the format of their reporting, the present methodology may be adapted to estimate industrial emissions to air, water, and soil in these regions. More refined extrapolation techniques as those encompassed in the current work for EU should also be explored, with needs for proxies that factor in the different regulatory, technological and behavioural patterns. Building on this study on EU, Crenna et al. (2019) have proposed a global inventory of emissions.

3.5. Possible uses of the national inventories

The developed national inventories can support many chemical assessments both in academia and policy contexts. Although they are currently estimated at the country and source levels, the developed inventories could support pollution modelling exercises if spatial disaggregation over a gridded domain is performed. Gridded data of population and gross domestic product may for example be investigated to derive gridded emission estimates (Hodges et al., 2014, 2012). In life cycle assessment (LCA), this new inventory can serve as a basis for calculating updated normalisation references for toxicity-related impact categories for the EU up until 2014, representing the average impact of a person over the year (Hauschild et al., 2018). As such, they can help LCA practitioners check and interpret their results by putting them in perspective with a reference level of toxic impacts in EU.

In a broader context, national inventories generally allow for quantifying territorial impacts by combining the pollutant emissions with the fate and effects of the emitted substances in the environment (causing damages to human health and ecosystems). Environmentally-extended multi-regional input-output tables (EE-MRIOTs) can also be used to link emission profiles to sectors and account for impacts along the global supply-chain of a country (Tukker et al., 2018) with a consumption perspective. Currently, EE-MRIOTs have a good coverage of impacts related to e.g. climate change, land use, and material and water consumption. However, the assessment of toxic impacts from a consumption perspective remains limited by the lack of national inventories of the relevant pollutants with a sectoral differentiation (Steinmann et al., 2018). The inventories built in this study may therefore serve as a starting point to populate environmentally-extended multi-regional input-output tables (EE-MRIOTs) with emission data for toxic pollutants, although additional research is required to allocate emissions to the various sectors of the EE-MRIOT and ensure that all countries of the table are equally covered (see Section 3.4 for countries outside EU). In an attempt to link the E-PRTR data with the sectors of the EE-MRIOT EXIOBASE, Persson et al. (2019) used for example as allocation key the monetary value of the purchases of products from the chemical industry by other industries.

Furthermore, the newly-developed inventories might support policy makers in pollutant prioritisation and benchmarking efforts, allowing for first screening or comparisons of the most contributing emission sources for each substance by differentiating industries, households and agricultural practices. In such efforts, comparisons across countries could also be envisaged. The inventory could be combined either with LCIA or chemical risk assessment methods, depending on the scope (e.g. aiming at average impacts in LCIA or focusing on the most sensitive species to be protected in risk assessment). Both approaches take into account the toxicity potential of each substance and translate emissions into potential damages to human health and ecosystems, towards the calculation of the so-called “chemical footprint” (Laurent and Owsiwaniak, 2017; Zijp et al., 2014). As exemplified in the chemical footprint assessed for Europe by Sala and Goralecz (2013), the inventory of each country could thus be characterised, prioritising chemicals based on both their emission quantities and toxicity scores. Such a footprint represents a comprehensive manner to address chemicals, compared to the more traditional substance-by-substance approach (e.g. the one usually adopted in risk assessment for registering or authorising a substance). This can help prioritise the substances to be tackled by policy interventions, such as efforts under REACH and CLP, and specific legislations on groups of chemicals, like biocides (EC, 2012), pesticides (EC, 2009a), pharmaceuticals (EC, 2008) or cosmetics (EC, 2009b), as well as assist monitoring of the efficiency and efficacy of reduction measures towards set targets such as the “non-toxic environment” defined by the 7th Environment Action Programme (EU, 2013). Used in combination with footprints addressing other environmental impacts such as climate change, land use, material and water consumption, etc., chemical footprints based on our inventory may thus contribute preventing burden shifting from one environmental problem (e.g. climate change) to another (e.g. chemical pollution). It can thus be instrumental to support targeted policy actions in reducing anthropogenic impacts on the environment, as well as in monitoring progress towards environmental sustainability and the decoupling of economic growth and environmental impacts (UNEP, 2011).

4. Conclusion

By developing methodologies that build upon a comprehensive and complementary use of publicly available databases, this study demonstrates the feasibility of building extensive inventories of toxic
emissions to all environmental compartments in EU Member States over the entire period 2000–2014. Capturing a wide and unprecedented number of substances, with quantified national releases of 805, 572 and 468 substances to air, water and soil compartments over the period of 2000–2014, the study goes beyond previous attempts at estimating toxic pollutant emissions and offers an overarching and differentiated perspective of pollutant releases at EU level. Where possible, comparisons with previous studies focusing on such individual substances or country or years showed that our estimates are generally valid, although they also highlighted important uncertainty pertaining to the pollutants with low level of reporting (like pesticides). Updated statistics on country-specific pesticides consumption and application as well as enhanced monitoring of pollutant releases at national and industrial levels are critical to improve the quality of the developed national inventories. Additional methodological improvement needs to quantify pollutant releases occurring during the use of products at consumer level have also been identified.

Regardless of those improvement potentials, the proposed national inventories are deemed a relevant starting point for undertaking environmental impact assessment and national chemical footprints, thus quantifying the damages to human health and ecosystems from the territorial releases of toxic pollutants, characterising temporal and geographical trends in Europe, and putting them in perspective with other environmental stressors like e.g. greenhouse gases. Such analysis can thus feed into policy initiatives to target those emissions and their sourcing activities carrying the largest environmental burdens.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.03.077.
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