



Species Distribution and Antimicrobial Profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. Isolates from Kenyan Small and Medium Enterprise Slaughterhouses

Wambui, Joseph; Tasara, Taurai; Njage, Patrick Murigu Kamau; Stephan, Roger

Published in:
Journal of Food Protection

Link to article, DOI:
[10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-18-130](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-18-130)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Wambui, J., Tasara, T., Njage, P. M. K., & Stephan, R. (2018). Species Distribution and Antimicrobial Profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. Isolates from Kenyan Small and Medium Enterprise Slaughterhouses. *Journal of Food Protection*, 81(9), 1445-1449. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-18-130>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Species distribution and antimicrobial profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. isolates from Kenyan small and medium enterprise slaughterhouses

Wambui, Joseph; Tasara, Taurai; Njage, P M K; Stephan, R

Abstract: The present study aimed at identifying and assessing antimicrobial resistance of *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from small and medium enterprise slaughterhouses in Kenya. In total, 67 isolates were recovered from 48 of 195 samples examined from beef carcasses, personnel, and cutting equipment in five small and medium enterprise slaughterhouses. The isolates were identified by using matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization time of flight mass spectrometry and screened thereafter for their resistance against 12 antibiotics by using a disk diffusion assay. The isolates (n = 67) included *Enterococcus faecalis* (41.8%), *Enterococcus mundtii* (17.9%), *Enterococcus thailandicus* (13.4%), *Enterococcus faecium* (9.0%), *Enterococcus hirae* (7.5%), *Enterococcus casseliflavus* (6.0%), and *Enterococcus devriesei* (4.5%). None of the isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin, penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin, nitrofurantoin, teicoplanin, linezolid, and levofloxacin. Resistance to rifampin (46.3%), erythromycin (23.9%), tetracycline (20.9%), and chloramphenicol (7.5%) was distributed among six of the seven species. All *E. thailandicus* were resistant to rifampin, erythromycin, and tetracycline. *E. faecalis* was resistant to rifampin (60.7%), tetracycline (17.9%), erythromycin (14.3%), and chloramphenicol (10.7%). Resistance to two or three antibiotics was observed in 26.9% of the enterococci isolates. The isolation of enterococci that are resistant to clinically relevant antibiotics, such as erythromycin, is of a serious concern given the role enterococci play in the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-18-130>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-167881>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Wambui, Joseph; Tasara, Taurai; Njage, P M K; Stephan, R (2018). Species distribution and antimicrobial profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. isolates from Kenyan small and medium enterprise slaughterhouses. *Journal of Food Protection*, 81(9):1445-1449.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-18-130>

1 Running Head: *Enterococcus* spp. in Kenyan slaughterhouses

2

3

Research Note

4 **Species distribution and antimicrobial profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. isolates**
5 **from Kenyan small and medium size enterprises**

6

7

8 Joseph Wambui^{1,2}, Taurai Tasara¹, Patrick Murigu Kamau Njage^{2,3}, Roger Stephan¹

9

10 ¹ Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich,
11 Switzerland

12 ² Department of Food Science, Nutrition and Technology, University of Nairobi, 29053-00625,
13 Nairobi, Kenya

14 ³ Division for Epidemiology and Microbial Genomics, National Food Institute, Technical
15 University of Denmark, Kemitorvet, Building 204, 2800, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

16

17

18

19 Key words: Antimicrobial resistance; *Enterococci*; *E. thailandicus*; Slaughterhouse; Small and
20 medium enterprise

21

22 *Corresponding author: Joseph Wambui, Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene, Vetsuisse
23 Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. Phone +41 44 635 86 51, E-mail:

24 joseph.wambui@uzh.ch

25

26

ABSTRACT

27
28 The present study aimed at identifying and assessing antimicrobial resistance of
29 *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from small and medium enterprise (SME) slaughterhouses in Kenya.
30 In total, 67 isolates were recovered from 48 of 195 samples examined from beef carcasses,
31 personnel and cutting equipment in five SME slaughterhouses. The isolates were identified using
32 MALDI-TOF MS and screened thereafter for their resistance against 12 antibiotics using disk
33 diffusion assay. The isolates (n=67) comprised of *E. faecalis* (41.8%), *E. mundtii* (17.9%), *E.*
34 *thailandicus* (13.4%), *E. faecium* (9.0%), *E. hirae* (7.5%), *E. casseliflavus* (6.0%), and *E. devriesei*
35 (4.5%). None of the isolates was resistant to ciprofloxacin, penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin,
36 nitrofurantoin, teicoplanin, linezolid and levofloxacin. Resistance to rifampin (46.3%),
37 erythromycin (23.9%), tetracycline (20.9%), and chloramphenicol (7.5%) was distributed among
38 six of the seven species. All *E. thailandicus* were resistant to rifampin, erythromycin, and
39 tetracycline. *E. faecalis* was resistant to rifampin (60.7%), tetracycline (17.9%), erythromycin
40 (14.3%) and chloramphenicol (10.7%). Resistance to two or three antibiotics was observed in
41 26.9% of the *Enterococci* isolates. The isolation of *Enterococci* that are resistant to clinically
42 relevant antibiotics, such as erythromycin, is of a serious concern given the role *Enterococci* play
43 in the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes.

44

45 *Enterococci* are Gram-positive, catalase-negative, facultative-anaerobic bacteria that form
46 part of the normal intestinal flora and are recognized as one of the leading causes of hospital-
47 associated human infections (16). *Enterococci* enter the environment through feces and due to their
48 high adaptability, they easily colonize the soil, water and sewage and subsequently enter raw
49 materials of animal and plant origin (4). Their high adaptability also increases their capacity of
50 spreading within the food chain through contaminated foods (11). In particular, there is significant
51 potential for their contamination of meat and spread during slaughter since they inhabit the
52 gastrointestinal tract of animals (9). In meat and meat products, *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* have
53 been found to be the most prevalent species (19).

54 The resistance profile of *Enterococci* isolated from animal related sources varies around
55 the globe. For example, *Enterococci* isolated from retail chicken and beef samples in Turkey
56 showed high resistance against tetracycline, erythromycin and ciprofloxacin (23). In Canada,
57 *Enterococci* from meat products showed a high prevalence of clindamycin, tetracycline and
58 tylosin resistance (9). Finally, *Enterococci* from Tunisian meat samples showed a high prevalence
59 of tetracycline, erythromycin and streptomycin resistance (11). Although not very frequent,
60 emergence of *Enterococci* strains that are resistant to vancomycin, teicoplanin, and linezolid is of
61 particular concern (16).

62 The isolation of antibiotic resistant *Enterococci* from meat, animal related sources and
63 environments associated with animals (3), food handling equipment (7) and healthy humans (18)
64 highlights the need to assess *Enterococci* also in slaughterhouses. *Enterococci* present in
65 slaughterhouses can be transmitted throughout the food chain and colonize intestinal tract of meat
66 consumers.

67 In Kenya, majority of animals for slaughter are raised in the pastoral areas. In these areas,
68 there have been reported cases of self-medication and misuse of antibiotics, which may contribute
69 to the emergence of antibiotic resistance (13). However, there are no documented studies on
70 antibiotic resistant *Enterococci* of foods of animal origin, thus limiting the data available on the
71 prevalence and antibiotic resistance among the *Enterococci* in the country.

72 The present study aimed at identification of *Enterococcus* spp. isolated along the whole
73 slaughter line as well as from the slaughterhouse environment in small and medium enterprise
74 (SME) slaughterhouses in Kenya and assessing their antimicrobial resistance.

75 MATERIALS AND METHODS

76 **Sampling and *Enterococci* isolation:** *Enterococci* were isolated from 195 swab samples
77 of carcasses, personnel (apron and hands) and cutting equipment (knives and *panga* (African
78 machete)) collected in five SME slaughterhouses in a previous study (21). The samples were from
79 the following slaughtering steps: dehiding, evisceration, splitting and dispatch. Appropriate
80 dilutions of the swab samples were spread plated on Chromocult® *Enterococci* agar (Merck,
81 Germany) then incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C.

82 ***Enterococci* identification:** From each positive sample, four red colonies that were
83 characteristic for *Enterococci* were selected. These isolates were purified twice on Sheep Blood
84 agar then pre-screened by catalase test. All catalase negative isolates were further identified by
85 MALDI-TOF MS (Bruker BioTyper system version 3.0 (Microflex LT/SH MS)) using α -Cyano-
86 4-hydroxy-cinnamic acid (HCCA) as matrix. The system used FlexiControl and Biotyper real-time
87 classification software (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). In cases where two or more of the
88 identified isolates were from the same sample and belonged to the same *Enterococcus* species,

111 dominate in samples associated with animals (19). However, the present results showed a higher
112 occurrence of *E. mundtii*. This is in contrast to another study where red meat and fecal samples
113 were analyzed (11). *E. mundtii* has so far been rarely isolated from human and environmental
114 samples.

115 **Antimicrobial resistance rates:** The antimicrobial resistance frequencies and percentage
116 of the identified *Enterococci* isolates are shown in Table 1. Out of the 67 isolates, 56.7% showed
117 resistance against one or more antibiotics. This rate is lower than in another study in Czech
118 Republic that showed a resistance rate of 96% in *Enterococci* isolated from beef carcasses (17).
119 Substantial variations in antimicrobial resistance among countries may reflect variation in
120 veterinary antimicrobial usage patterns among the countries (6). Although misuse and self-
121 medication have been reported among animal producers in Kenya, the present results may indicate
122 that the use of antibiotics in animal production is not as widespread compared to other countries.

123 The *Enterococci* isolates showed resistances against four out of the twelve antibiotics. In
124 all the 67 isolates, the rate of resistances against rifampin, erythromycin, tetracycline and
125 chloramphenicol was 43.6%, 23.9%, 20.9% and 7.5%, respectively. In regards to individual
126 species, 100% of *E. thailandicus* were resistant to tetracycline, erythromycin and rifampin while
127 *E. faecalis* were resistant to rifampin (60.7%), tetracycline (17.9%), erythromycin (14.3%) and
128 chloramphenicol (10.7%). Twenty five percent and 50% of *E. casseliflavus* were resistant to
129 erythromycin and rifampin, respectively while 33.3% of *E. faecium* were resistant to rifampin.
130 Finally, 20.0% of *E. hirae* and 7.3% of *E. mundtii* were resistant to both chloramphenicol and
131 erythromycin. *Enterococci* are highly adaptable and have the ability to develop resistances against
132 most antimicrobial used against them in response to selective pressure. For this reason, the
133 introduction and widespread use of chloramphenicol, erythromycin and tetracycline, corresponded

134 with the emergence of *Enterococci* resistant against these antibiotics (12). This may indicate that
135 these antibiotics or other antibiotics that are within the same group are commonly used in Kenya.

136 According to reports, resistance of *Enterococci* against rifampin and erythromycin is quite
137 common especially in samples associated with animals (19). Resistance observed in the present
138 study may be attributed to the use of some of these antibiotics in animal production and may reflect
139 their use in the country. In particular, tetracycline is one of the most widely used antibiotic in food
140 producing animals in Kenya (15). In the present study, resistance against rifampin was higher than
141 resistance against antibiotics commonly used in livestock production. Rifampin is banned in
142 livestock production hence there is no direct selective pressure. However, it was previously
143 reported that rifampin resistance can occur as a result of spontaneous mutations or from co-
144 selection in the presence of fluoroquinolones commonly used in livestock production (14).

145 All isolates were described as either intermediate resistant or susceptible to ciprofloxacin,
146 penicillin, ampicillin, vancomycin, nitrofurantoin, teicoplanin, linezolid and levofloxacin. Most of
147 these antibiotics are used to treat human Enterococcal infections. For example, ampicillin is the
148 most commonly used antibiotic and can also be used to treat complicated urinary tract infections
149 (20). On the other hand, linezolid is used to treat infections caused by *E. faecium* that are resistant
150 to vancomycin (2).

151 The distribution of antibiotic resistant *Enterococci* in the samples and slaughter stages in
152 the SME slaughterhouses is shown in Table 2. The five (7.5%) isolates that were resistant to
153 chloramphenicol were isolated in personnel hands in two SME slaughterhouses (S2 and S4). One
154 isolate was from the evisceration stage, while the other four were equally distributed between
155 flaying and splitting stages. The 14 (20.9%) and 16 (23.9%) isolates that were resistant against
156 tetracycline and erythromycin were from carcasses, hands and aprons. At least one of these

157 resistant isolates was from each of the four slaughter stages. While isolates resistant against
158 tetracycline were isolated in all slaughterhouses, isolates resistant against erythromycin were
159 isolated in all slaughterhouses except one (S5). The 31 (43.6%) isolates that were resistant against
160 rifampin were isolated from carcasses, hands, apron, knives and panga distributed in all the
161 slaughterhouses and slaughter stages. A previous study reported that resistant *Enterococci* were
162 present in samples collected after carcass evisceration and during meat processing (17). The
163 present study showed that resistant *Enterococci* are also distributed among the various samples
164 within the slaughterhouse and slaughter process stages.

165 **Antimicrobial resistance profiles:** The antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Enterococci*
166 isolated from the Kenyan SME slaughterhouses are shown in Table 3. About 30% of the isolates
167 were resistant against only one antibiotic. On the other hand, resistance against two or three
168 antibiotics was observed in 26.9% of the *Enterococci*. The majority (14.9%) of the isolates that
169 had multiple resistance were resistant against three antibiotics compared to 11.9% of the isolates
170 that were resistant against two antibiotics. These results correspond with a previous report that the
171 rate of multiple antibiotic resistance in *Enterococci* is low especially in environmental samples
172 compared to clinical samples (1). The rate of multi resistance in the present study was, however,
173 lower than in another study where the rate was observed in more than half of the isolates (8).

174 Two isolates were resistant against chloramphenicol, erythromycin and erythromycin.
175 Multiple resistance against the pairs of antibiotics namely chloramphenicol and rifampin,
176 chloramphenicol and tetracycline, erythromycin and rifampin, and tetracycline and erythromycin
177 was observed in one isolate for each pair. Multiple resistance against chloramphenicol, tetracycline
178 and erythromycin was also observed in one isolate. Multiple resistance in *Enterococci* isolated
179 from meat has been reported against five antibiotics (10) compared to the present study in which

180 the highest number of resistances was three. Multiple resistance against three antibiotics
181 tetracycline, erythromycin and rifampin was observed in nine *E. thailandicus* isolates. A recent
182 genome announcement also reported multiple resistance genes in *E. thailandicus* isolated from
183 sewage (22). This is the first time that multiple resistance in *E. thailandicus* is being reported in
184 isolates associated with food processing facilities.

185 This study is the first report on the distribution and antimicrobial resistance of *Enterococci*
186 isolated from carcasses, personnel and equipment at different slaughter stages in Kenyan SME
187 beef slaughterhouses. The *Enterococci* belonged mainly to the species *E. faecalis*, *E. mundtii* and
188 *E. thailandicus*. The isolates showed no resistances against antibiotics commonly used to treat
189 human Enterococcal infections such as vancomycin, penicillins and linezolid. The isolates were,
190 however, resistant against erythromycin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol and rifampin. With the
191 exception of tetracycline, these antibiotics are used to treat human infections. Antibiotic resistant
192 *Enterococci* present in slaughterhouses can be transmitted throughout the food chain and colonize
193 the intestinal tract of meat consumers.

194 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

195 The authors thank The State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation,
196 Switzerland for funding this research through The Federal Commission for Scholarships for
197 Foreign Students.

198 REFERENCES

- 199 1. Abriouel, H., N. Ben Omar, A. C. Molinos, R. L. López, M. J. Grande, P. Martínez-Viedma,
200 E. Ortega, M. M. Cañamero, and A. Galvez. 2008. Comparative analysis of genetic diversity
201 and incidence of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance among Enterococcal populations

- 202 from raw fruit and vegetable foods, water and soil, and clinical samples. *Int. J. Food*
203 *Microbiol.* 123:38–49.
- 204 2. Barber, K. E., S. T. King, K. R. Stover, and J. M. Pogue. 2015. Therapeutic options for
205 vancomycin-resistant Enterococcal bacteremia. *Expert Rev. Anti. Infect. Ther.* 13:363–377.
- 206 3. Barlow, R. S., K. E. McMillan, L. L. Duffy, N. Fegan, D. Jordan, and G. E. Mellor. 2017.
207 Antimicrobial resistance status of *Enterococcus* from Australian cattle populations at
208 slaughter. *PLoS One* 12:1–13.
- 209 4. Chajęcka-Wierzchowska, W., A. Zadernowska, and Ł. Łaniewska-Trokenheim. 2017.
210 Virulence factors of *Enterococcus* spp. presented in food. *Food Sci. Technol.* 75:670–676.
- 211 5. CSLI. 2016. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Third
212 Informational Supplement. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 213 6. de Jong, A., S. Simjee, F. El Garch, H. Moyaert, M. Rose, M. Youala, and M. Dry. 2018.
214 Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Enterococci* recovered from healthy cattle, pigs and chickens
215 in nine EU countries (EASSA Study) to critically important antibiotics. *Vet. Microbiol.*
216 216:168–175.
- 217 7. Gaglio, R., N. Couto, C. Marques, M. de Fatima Silva Lopes, G. Moschetti, C. Pomba, and
218 L. Settanni. 2016. Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance and virulence of *Enterococci* from
219 equipment surfaces, raw materials, and traditional cheeses. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 236:107–
220 114.
- 221 8. Gousia, P., V. Economou, P. Bozidis, and C. Papadopoulou. 2015. Vancomycin-resistance
222 phenotypes, vancomycin-resistance genes, and resistance to antibiotics of *Enterococci*
223 isolated from food of animal origin. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 12:214–220.
- 224 9. Jahan, M., D. O. Krause, and R. A. Holley. 2013. Antimicrobial resistance of *Enterococcus*

- 225 species from meat and fermented meat products isolated by a PCR-based rapid screening
226 method. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 163:89–95.
- 227 10. Kasimoglu-Dogru, A., Y. E. Gencay, and N. D. Ayaz. 2010. Prevalence and antibiotic
228 resistance profiles of *Enterococcus* species in chicken at slaughter level; absence of *vanA*
229 and *vanB* genes in *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 89:153–158.
- 230 11. Klibi, N., L. Ben Said, A. Jouini, K. Ben Slama, M. Lopez, R. Ben Sallem, A. Boudabous,
231 and C. Torres. 2013. Species distribution, antibiotic resistance and virulence traits in
232 *Enterococci* from meat in Tunisia. *Meat Sci.* 93:675–680.
- 233 12. Kristich, C. J., L. B. Rice, and C. A. Arias. 2014. Enterococcal infection–Treatment and
234 antibiotic resistance. *Enterococci: From commensals to leading causes of drug resistant*
235 *infection*. Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, MA.
- 236 13. Lamuka, P. O., F. M. Njeruh, G. C. Gitao, J. W. Matofari, K. A. Abey, and B. O. Aliwa.
237 2018. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance among *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex
238 species from camel milk in Isiolo County, Kenya. *Asian J. Agric. Food Sci.* 6:47–54.
- 239 14. Li, J., A. T. Feßler, N. Jiang, R. Fan, Y. Wang, C. Wu, J. Shen, and S. Schwarz. 2016.
240 Molecular basis of rifampicin resistance in multiresistant porcine livestock-associated
241 MRSA. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 71:3313–3315.
- 242 15. Odwar, J. A., G. Kikuvi, J. N. Kariuki, and S. Kariuki. 2014. A cross-sectional study on the
243 microbiological quality and safety of raw chicken meats sold in Nairobi, Kenya. *BMC Res.*
244 *Notes* 7:627.
- 245 16. Pruksakorn, C., C. Pimarn, A. Boonsoongnern, and W. Narongsak. 2016. Detection and
246 phenotypic characterization of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* in pigs in Thailand. *Agric.*
247 *Nat. Resour.* 50:199–203.

- 248 17. Schlegelová, J., E. Nápravníková, M. Dendis, R. Horváth, J. Benedík, V. Babák, E. Klímová,
249 P. Navrátilová, and A. Šustáčková. 2004. Beef carcass contamination in a slaughterhouse
250 and prevalence of resistance to antimicrobial drugs in isolates of selected microbial species.
251 *Meat Sci.* 66:557–565.
- 252 18. Soares-Santos, V., A. S. Barreto, and T. Semedo-Lemsaddek. 2015. Characterization of
253 *Enterococci* from food and food-related settings. *J. Food Prot.* 78:1320–1326.
- 254 19. Valenzuela, A. S., L. L. Lerma, N. Benomar, A. Gálvez, R. Pérez Pulido, and H. Abriouel.
255 2013. Phenotypic and molecular antibiotic resistance profile of *Enterococcus faecalis* and
256 *Enterococcus faecium* isolated from different traditional fermented foods. *Foodborne*
257 *Pathog. Dis.* 10:143–149.
- 258 20. van Harten, R. M., R. J. L. Willems, N. I. Martin, and A. P. A. Hendrickx. 2017. Multidrug-
259 resistant Enterococcal infections: New compounds, novel antimicrobial therapies? *Trends*
260 *Microbiol.* 25:467–479.
- 261 21. Wambui, J., P. Lamuka, E. Karuri, J. Matofari, and P. M. K. Njage. 2018. Microbial
262 contamination level profiles attributed to contamination of beef carcasses, personnel, and
263 equipment: Case of small and medium enterprise slaughterhouses. *J. Food Prot.* 81:684–
264 691.
- 265 22. Ybazeta, G., L. Douglas, J. Graham, N. L. Fraleigh, Y. Murad, J. Perez, F. Diaz-Mitoma, K.
266 Tilbe, and R. Nokhbeh. 2017. Complete genome sequence of *Enterococcus thailandicus*
267 strain a523 isolated from urban raw sewage. *Genome Announc.* 5:9–10.
- 268 23. Yılmaz, E. Ş., Ö. Aslantaş, S. P. Önen, S. Türkyılmaz, and C. Kürekci. 2016. Prevalence,
269 antimicrobial resistance and virulence traits in *Enterococci* from food of animal origin in
270 Turkey. *Food Sci. Technol.* 66:20–26.

TABLE 1. Antimicrobial resistance frequencies (percentages) of *Enterococcus* spp. (n=67) isolated in Kenyan small and medium enterprises

Antibiotics	<i>E. casseliflavus</i> *	<i>E. devriesei</i>	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>E. faecium</i>	<i>E. hirae</i>	<i>E. mundtii</i>	<i>E. thailandicus</i>	Total
Ciprofloxacin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Chloramphenicol	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (10.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (20.0)	1 (7.3)	0 (0.0)	5 (7.5)
Tetracycline	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (17.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	9 (100.0)	14 (20.9)
Erythromycin	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (14.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (20.0)	1 (7.3)	9 (100.0)	16 (23.9)
Penicillin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Ampicillin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Vancomycin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Nitrofurantoin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Teicoplanin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Rifampin	2 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	17 (60.7)	2 (33.3)	1 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	9 (100.0)	31 (46.3)
Linezolid	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Levofloxacin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

*Figures in brackets are percentages of resistant isolates out of the total number of isolates per species

TABLE 2. Distribution of antibiotic resistant *Enterococcus* spp. in Kenyan small and medium scale slaughterhouses.

AB	Samples	Slaughterhouses*					Slaughter stages
		S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	
CHL	Hands	–	2	–	3	–	Flaying and splitting
TET	Carcasses	1	1	–	3	–	Flaying, evisceration, splitting and dispatch
	Clothes	2	–	1	–	–	
	Hands	2	1	1	1	1	
ERY	Carcasses	1	1	–	2	–	Flaying, evisceration, splitting and dispatch
	Clothes	2	–	1	–	–	
	Hands	2	2	1	4	–	
RIF	Carcasses	1	1	–	3	1	Flaying, evisceration, splitting and dispatch
	Clothes	2	–	1	2	2	
	Hands	3	1	1	6	1	
	Knives	–	–	–	1	2	
	Panga	–	2	–	1	–	

AB: Antibiotics; CHL: Chloramphenicol; TET: Tetracycline; ERY: Erythromycin; RIF: Rifampin

*– no resistant *Enterococcus* spp. were identified in the specific slaughterhouse samples

TABLE 3. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. (n=67) isolated in Kenyan small and medium slaughterhouses

Number of resistances	<i>E. casseliflavus</i>	<i>E. devriesei</i>	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>E. faecium</i>	<i>E. hirae</i>	<i>E. mundtii</i>	<i>E. thailandicus</i>	Resistance phenotype
0	2	3	6	4	3	11	–	-
1	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	ERY
	1	–	12	2	1	–	–	RIF
	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	TET
2	–	–	1	–	–	1	–	CHL–ERY
	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	CHL–RIF
	–	–	–	–	1	–	–	CHL–TET
	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	ERY–RIF
	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	TET–ERY
	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	TET–RIF
3	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	CHL–TET–ERY
	–	–	–	–	–	–	9	TET–ERY–RIF

CHL: Chloramphenicol; TET: Tetracycline; ERY: Erythromycin; RIF: Rifampin

– No resistant *Enterococcus* spp. were identified