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ABSTRACT: Syngas produced from thermochemical conversion of biomass has been paid more attention due to it can be converted to a variety of fuels and chemicals as substitutes for petroleum-based chemicals via Fischer-Tropsch process. In this study, one wheat straw and its element contents fluctuation in the feasible range are selected as samples firstly to study the effect of the biomass composition on the quality of syngas produced. Then, the thermochemical data (standard molar enthalpy of formation, standard molar entropy and heat capacity) of samples are predicted by high accurate prediction models. Thermochemical conversions of the samples are simulated by the Gibbs energy minimization method based on the results of thermochemical data prediction. At last, the effect of the biomass composition on the resource index (the amount of CO, H\textsubscript{2}, the ratio of H\textsubscript{2} to CO) and energy index (lower heat value) of syngas is calculated and analyzed. This study provides a method to obtain the relationship between the composition of biomass and the quality of syngas produced.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Greenhouse gas emissions from anthropogenic activities such as the burning of fossil fuels for power generation are major contributors to the climate change. This necessitates a switch from conventional
to renewable power sources such as solar photovoltaic, wind, biomass and hydroelectric generation. Amongst the renewable energies, one of the most important energy sources in near future is biomass. With the exception of coal and oil, the third among the primary energy sources is the biomass. Particularly, biomass energy is a CO₂-zero energy that does not interfere with the global carbon itself.

Biomass resources include wood and wood wastes, agricultural crops and their waste byproducts, municipal solid waste, animal wastes, waste from food processing and aquatic plants and algae. Biomass can be exploited directly or through thermochemical or biochemical processes to obtain electrical, thermal or chemical energy. Thermochemical conversion processes include three basis subcategories: combustion, pyrolysis and gasification. Pyrolysis is a process of producing gas, oil and solid from biomass under high temperature in the absence of air or steam. The conventional gasification technology can be defined as the partial combustion of biomass by controlling the amount of air to transform hydrocarbons into carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and hydrogen. Compared with biochemical conversion, the main advantage of thermochemical conversion is that the lignin in biomass can be converted. The main gas products of the pyrolysis and gasification under high temperature are syngas (carbon monoxide and hydrogen), which can be converted to a variety of fuels (H₂, Fischer-Tropsch diesels, synthetic gasoline) and chemicals (methanol, urea) as substitutes for petroleum-based chemicals. More importantly, the products are compatible with existing petroleum refining operations.

The composition of the syngas produced at thermochemical equilibrium can be estimated using different approaches: kinetic/dynamic models, artificial neural networks models, and equilibrium models. The Gibbs energy minimization method is one of the equilibrium models, whose key advantage is its widely application owing to predictive capability without requiring an extended set of data to train the model compared to kinetic/dynamic models and that it does not necessitate the selection of appropriate chemical reactions allowing the formation of products compared to direct chemical equilibrium computation. Due to the complex composition of biomass itself and its complex reaction pathways in the pyrolysis and gasification process, the Gibbs energy minimization method is used in the pyrolysis and gasification of different types of biomass in this study.

There are a lot of articles that simulate the pyrolysis and gasification process of biomass through the Gibbs energy minimization method. Most of their attentions are focused on the operating condition such as temperature, equivalence ratio and moisture on the gas products.
proximate analysis or ultimate analysis of biomass, the composition of biomasses varies depending on
the types of biomass considered and even for the same types of biomass they may vary at different
regions and seasons. Therefore, it is still a challenge to study the effect of the composition of biomass
on the gas products from the pyrolysis and gasification processes for the composition of different
biomass varies.

The aim of this paper is to study the effect of different biomass composition on the quality of syngas
produced from pyrolysis and gasification process. Although biomass is composed of cellulose,
hemicellulose and lignin, the composition of biomass is represented by elements instead of actual
substances (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) for their contents cannot be quantified accurately. In
our previous studies 19-22, the relationship between elemental contents of biomass and their
 thermochemical data was verified and high prediction models were established. Therefore, the
composition of biomass can be represented by their elemental contents in thermochemical conversion
calculation of biomass. Process simulations are performed on the corresponding processes to obtain the
 relationship between different types of biomass feedstocks and their gas products based on
thermochemical data prediction. The innovation of this study are related to the following two points: 1)
Focus on the effect of the composition (elemental contents) of biomass on the quality of syngas; 2) The
experimental thermochemical data of biomass are unknown when the single factor of C, H or O content
fluctuates up and down, thermochemical conversions of biomass are simulated by the Gibbs energy
minimization method based on thermochemical data prediction.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Gibbs energy minimization method

The total Gibbs energy of the system is expressed as follows 23, 24:

$$ G_{T,p}^i = g(n_1, n_2, n_3, ..., n_i, ..., n_w) \quad (i=1,2,3,...,w) $$

(1)

where $ G_{T,p}^i $ is the total Gibbs energy of the system and $ n_i $ is referred to molar mass of each species
(mol). The objective is to find the set of $ n_i $ for which the total Gibbs energy of system is minimized.
The material balance of every elements present in the system is given by

$$ \sum_i n_i a_{di} = A_k $$

(2)
where \( a_{ik} \) is the number of atoms of the \( k \)th element present in each molecule of the chemical species \( i \), \( A_k \) is the total number of atomic masses of the \( k \)th element in the system.

The Gibbs energy of the system is minimized with the Lagrange method by using the elemental mass balances as subsidiary constraints. Therefore, a new function is derived by adding Eqs. (1) and (2),

\[
F = G_{T,P} + \sum_k A_k \left( \sum_i a_{ik} - A_k \right)
\]  

(3)

where \( \lambda_k \) are the Lagrange multipliers. The minimum value of \( F \) is occurred when its partial derivative with respect to each species equal to zero at specified temperature and pressure,

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial n_i} = \frac{\partial G_{T,P}}{\partial n_i} + \sum_k \lambda_k a_{ik} = 0
\]

(4)

where \( \frac{\partial G_{T,P}}{\partial n_i} \) is known as chemical potential (\( \mu_i \)), therefore, the following equation can be obtained.

\[
\mu_i + \sum_k \lambda_k a_{ik} = 0
\]

(5)

The chemical potential is defined as \(^{23,24}\),

\[
\mu_i = \Delta_i G_{m,i} + RT \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{n_{total}} \right) = \Delta_i H_{m,i} + TS_{m,i} + RT \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{n_{total}} \right)
\]

\[
= \Delta_i H^\circ_{m,i} + \int_{298.15}^{T} C_{pi} \, dT + T \left( S^\circ_{m,i} + \int_{298.15}^{T} \frac{C_{pi}}{T} \, dT \right) + RT \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{n_{total}} \right)
\]

(6)

where \( \Delta_i H^\circ_{m,i} \), \( S^\circ_{m,i} \), \( C_{pi} \), \( R \) are the standard molar enthalpy of formation, the standard molar entropy, heat capacity, and the universal gas constant respectively. \( n_{total} \) means the total mole of all species \( i \).

Putting Eq. (6) in Eq. (5) gives,

\[
\Delta_i H^\circ_{m,i} + \int_{298.15}^{T} C_{pi} \, dT + T \left( S^\circ_{m,i} + \int_{298.15}^{T} \frac{C_{pi}}{T} \, dT \right) + RT \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{n_{total}} \right) + \sum_k \lambda_k a_{ik} = 0 \quad (i=1,2,3,\ldots,w)
\]

(7)

The above equation represents \( w \) equilibrium equations referring to each species present in the system. As a result of the calculation by Eq. (7), the equilibrium composition \( n_i \) (such as \( n_{H_2} \) and \( n_{CO} \) obtained from pyrolysis and oxygen gasification in this study) of the multi-phase system at given temperature is obtained.

2.2. Estimating thermochemical data of dry biomass
According to Eq. (4), the values of standard molar enthalpy of formation (\(\Delta_f^o H^o\)), standard molar entropy (\(S^o\)) and heat capacity (\(c^o\)) are necessary to the calculation by the Gibbs energy minimization method.

2.2.1. Estimating standard molar enthalpy of formation (\(\Delta_f^o H^o\))

The combustion reaction between dry biomass and oxygen in oxygen bomb calorimeter is described by:

\[
C_xH_yO_zN_aS_b + (x + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{z}{2} + b)O_2(g) \to xCO_2(g) + \frac{1}{2}H_2O(l) + \frac{z}{2}N_2(g) + bSO_2(g)
\]

where \(x, y, z, a, b\) are respectively the moles of carbon (C), hydrogen (H), oxygen (O), nitrogen (N) and sulfur (S) in 100 g of dry biomass. \(x=C/12, y=H, z=O/16, a=N/14,\) and \(b=S/32\) for unit conversion. C, H, N, S are determined by ultimate analysis (wt%, dry biomass), and the O content usually serves as an indicator to differentiate the sum of the percentages of C, H, N, S and that of the ash (\(O = 100-C-H-N-S-Ash\)).

The value of energy change of combustion reaction in oxygen bomb calorimeter is higher heat value (\(HHV, \text{kJ} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}\), based on dry biomass), then,

\[
-0.001M \times HHV = x\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{C,CO}_2} + \frac{3}{2} \Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,CO}_2} + \frac{3}{2} \Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{N,N}_2} + b\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,SO}_2} - (x + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{z}{2} + b)\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,O}_2} \tag{8}
\]

where \(M\) is molecular weight of dry biomass, 100g·mol\(^{-1}\). \(\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{C,CO}_2}, \Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{N,N}_2}\) and \(\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,SO}_2}\) are standard molar enthalpy of formation of dry biomass, carbon dioxide, liquid water, nitrogen, sulfur dioxide and oxygen, then,

\[
\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{C,CO}_2} = x\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{C,CO}_2} + \frac{3}{2} \Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,CO}_2} + \frac{3}{2} \Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{N,N}_2} + b\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,SO}_2} - (x + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{z}{2} + b)\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,O}_2} + 0.001M \times HHV \tag{9}
\]

where \(\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{C,CO}_2}, \Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{N,N}_2}\) and \(\Delta_f^o H^o_{\text{O,SO}_2}\) can be looked up from data handbook \(^{25}\), and their values are listed in Table 1. \(HHV\) can be given by experimental data or prediction model. For the types and composition of biomasses varied, \(HHV\) is estimated by the high accurate model proposed from our previous study \(^{20}\) and the equation is listed as follows.

\[
HHV = 874.08\left(\frac{1}{4}C + H\right) \text{kJ} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \tag{10}
\]
Table 1 Thermochemical data of substances involved in the calculation of biomass’s enthalpy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>SO₂</th>
<th>O₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ₁Hₘᵢᵢ / kJ mol⁻¹</td>
<td>-393.505</td>
<td>-285.830</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-296.813</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\Delta_r H^\circ_{\text{fuel,calculation}} = -393.505x - 142.915y - 296.813b + M \times 0.0101 \times 874.08 \left( \frac{1}{3} C + \frac{1}{4} H \right) \\
= -393.505x - 142.915y - 296.813b + 0.874M \times \left( \frac{1}{3} C + \frac{1}{4} H \right)
\] (11)

According to the definition of \(x\), \(y\) and \(b\), the final calculation equation of standard molar enthalpy of formation of dry biomass is

\[
\Delta_r H^\circ_{\text{fuel,calculation}} = -32.762C - 141.781H - 9.258S + 87.4 \left( \frac{1}{3} C + \frac{1}{4} H \right) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
\] (12)

2.2.2. Estimating standard molar entropy \( S^\circ \)

Song et al. developed a relationship between standard entropy and composition of 27 types of solid biomolecules and proposed the specific entropy of dry biomass as follows.

\[
s^\circ = 0.0055C + 0.0954H + 0.0096O + 0.0098N + 0.0138S \text{ kJ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}
\] (13)

In this study, the calculation is based on mole, and Eq. (13) can be expressed as:

\[
S^\circ_M = M \times (0.0055C + 0.0954H + 0.0096O + 0.0098N + 0.0138S) \\
= 100 \times (0.0055C + 0.0954H + 0.0096O + 0.0098N + 0.0138S) \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}
\]

(14)

2.2.3. Estimating heat capacity \( C_p \)

Heat capacity of dry biomass and temperature showed a good linear relationship and the proposed specific heat capacity of dry biomass is

\[
c_p = 1113.68 + 4.8567(T - 273.15) = -212.928 + 4.8567T \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}
\] (15)

Then, Eq. (15) after unit conversion can be expressed as

\[
C_p = 0.001M c_p = 0.1(-212.928 + 4.8567T) \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}
\]

\[
= 10^{-4}(-212.928 + 4.8567T) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}
\] (16)

2.3. Definition of equivalence ratio

Equivalence ratio (ER) is defined as the ratio of the actual amount of oxygen to the stoichiometric amount during the gasification process.

According to the reaction of biomass in the oxygen bomb calorimeter as described in section 2.1, the
theoretical stoichiometric oxygen consumption of 1 mol biomass is \( x + \frac{y}{2} - \frac{z}{2} + b \) mol, therefore, the definition of \( ER \) is
\[
ER = \frac{\text{actual oxygen consumption}}{x + \frac{y}{2} - \frac{z}{2} + b}
\] (17)

2.4. Evaluation of the quality of syngas

Syngas is generally used as energy source to supply energy or resource source (as a chemical raw material) to synthesize other materials such as via Fischer-Tropsch process. Therefore, the quality of syngas is represented by energy index and resource index in this study. The energy index is expressed as the lower heat value (LHV) instead of HHV of syngas. The difference is that the LHV considers water in the products to be gas while the HHV considers water to be a liquid. Although water can indeed release heat from the gas phase to the liquid phase in the actual process, the energy level is too low to be used actually. The resource index is expressed according to the amount of CO and H\(_2\) in the syngas and the molar ratio of H\(_2\) to CO, because the latter molar ratio can indicate H/C, which provides a basis for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis.

The formula of calculating LHV of syngas in the study of Mohammed et.al\(^{29}\) is as follows:
\[
LHV = 4.2(30.0x_{CO} + 25.7x_{H_2} + 85.4x_{CH_4}) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{m}^3
\] (18)
where \( x_{CO} \), \( x_{H_2} \) and \( x_{CH_4} \) are the molar ratio of CO, H\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) in the gas products, respectively.

2.5. Sample selected

We analyzed the elemental composition of 78 types of biomass reviewed by Vassilev et al.\(^{30}\) and found that the C content ranges from 36.1 to 55.8, the H content ranges from 2.94 to 9.77, the O content ranges from 30.7 to 46.9, the N content range is 0.1-3.1, S content range is 0.01-0.55, and ash content range is 0.1-20.1. Therefore, the average value of C content, H content and O content is 45.95, 6.355 and 38.8, respectively.

One wheat straw is selected because its element content is at the average level of the C content, H content and O content of the 78 types of biomass\(^{30}\), which allows the element contents to bear wider fluctuation range (within the elemental range of the 78 types of biomass), thus brings about better understanding of the effect of element contents on pyrolysis and gasification products. The
composition of the wheat straw (denoted as sample I) is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>Proximate analysis</th>
<th>Ultimate analysis</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VM</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on sample I, in order to discuss the effect of C, H, O content of biomass on syngas produced from pyrolysis and gasification processes, each element content can be fluctuated within the range of C, H, O content of the 78 types of biomass, their composition is shown in Table 3. It should be noted that when the content of one element fluctuates, the content ratio of the other elements remains constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C, H, O</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.412</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>6.718</td>
<td>47.986</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.756</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>6.194</td>
<td>44.243</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.444</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>5.146</td>
<td>36.757</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.788</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>4.622</td>
<td>33.014</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.326</td>
<td>47.36</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>41.788</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.213</td>
<td>46.63</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>41.144</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.949</td>
<td>44.927</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>39.641</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.799</td>
<td>43.954</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>38.783</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.293</td>
<td>53.614</td>
<td>6.623</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.697</td>
<td>49.757</td>
<td>6.146</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.742</td>
<td>43.586</td>
<td>5.384</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.384</td>
<td>41.271</td>
<td>5.098</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6. Assumptions in process simulation

The following assumptions have been made for facilitating the process simulation calculations via the Gibbs energy minimization method:

(1) The mass of biomass is taken as 100 g in all samples.

(2) For the simulation of thermochemical conversion processes with the Gibbs energy minimization method, char is represented by carbon and ash.

(3) All gaseous components are ideal gas.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Estimation of biomass thermochemical data

The thermochemical data estimation results of the samples used to examine the influence of different contents of C, H and O elements are listed in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Calculated HHV</th>
<th>( \Delta H^0_m )</th>
<th>( S_m^0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C, H, O</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>18327.78</td>
<td>-606.505</td>
<td>110.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16330.45</td>
<td>-634.737</td>
<td>119.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17329.12</td>
<td>-621.276</td>
<td>115.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19326.45</td>
<td>-590.422</td>
<td>105.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20325.11</td>
<td>-573.030</td>
<td>101.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16131.06</td>
<td>-436.751</td>
<td>85.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17229.42</td>
<td>-521.752</td>
<td>98.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19792.26</td>
<td>-719.122</td>
<td>127.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21256.74</td>
<td>-831.299</td>
<td>144.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21408.08</td>
<td>-733.984</td>
<td>112.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19867.93</td>
<td>-669.325</td>
<td>111.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17403.69</td>
<td>-569.694</td>
<td>110.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16479.60</td>
<td>-533.546</td>
<td>109.336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3.2. Model validation

The accuracy of the Gibbs energy minimization method itself in the calculation of thermochemical equilibrium of biomass pyrolysis and gasification process is no problem according to literature. The reason of model validation in this study is to verify the accuracy of thermochemical equilibrium via the Gibbs energy minimization method based on our HHV prediction model 20.

3.2.1. Pyrolysis process

Model in pyrolysis process is validated by the relationship between the products of pyrolysis process of wheat straw (sample I) and pyrolysis temperature, as shown in Fig.1. The pyrolysis process can be divided into four temperature zones. In the first zone (< 320 °C), the biomass is dehydrated, and water vapor, carbon dioxide, and volatile organic compounds (CH₄) are also released. Solid carbon (C) and water decrease while CH₄ and CO₂ emissions increase. The second zone is 320-560 °C, CH₄ and water content decrease, but CO₂ increases. The amount of solid carbon has remained unchanged, but is accompanied with some H₂ and CO appearing. In zone 3 (560-910 °C), the CH₄ content decreases further. The amounts of H₂ and CO increased significantly, but the amounts of other products such as C, H₂O, CO₂, and CH₄ decrease. In the last zone (> 910 °C), the pyrolysis is over and almost no reaction occurs. The H₂ and CO contents are highest and remain stable. The carbon residue can be considered as carbon formed by carbonization. The calculated results are in accordance with those of Yan 31.

![Fig. 1 Effect of temperature on the products of biomass pyrolysis](image)
3.2.2. Oxygen gasification process

The model in oxygen gasification process is validated by the changes of gasification products at different temperature while the ER is 0.2, as shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the trends of the products of gasification are basically same to that of pyrolysis process, and the biggest difference is that the amount of CO (3.5 mol) is higher than that of H$_2$ (2.5 mol) while the amounts of CO and H$_2$ produced from pyrolysis process are 2.5 mol and 2.8 mol, respectively. The addition of oxygen agent is beneficial to promote the formation of CO. The calculated results are in accordance with those of Wang.

All these results above show that it is reasonable and reliable to use the Gibbs energy minimization method to simulate the biomass pyrolysis and gasification process based on our proposed HHV prediction model in previous study.

3.3. Effect of composition in pyrolysis process

3.3.1. Effect of C content

From Fig. 3(a), it can be seen that while the C content in 1 mol biomass (100 g) increases from 35.9% to 55.9%, the amount of H$_2$ produced from pyrolysis process at high temperature (>700 °C)
decreases from 3.3 mol to 2.0 mol. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the amount of CO is also declined, approximately from 3.0 mol to 2.0 mol, which is mainly due to the O/C molar ratio from 1 to 0.44 while the C content varies from 35.9% to 55.9%. The O/C molar ratio in carbon monoxide is 1, it is less likely to generate CO if the O/C molar ratio is lower than 1. The molar ratio of \( \text{H}_2 \) to CO decreases firstly and then keeps stable (about 1.1) with increasing temperature in Fig. 3(c). The LHV of syngas increases firstly and then remains unchanged (about 11.65 \( \text{MJ} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \)) in Fig. 3(d). It should be noted that the molar ratio of \( \text{H}_2 \) to CO and the LHV are basically the same while the C content changes, indicating that the C content exerts the same effect on the amount of \( \text{H}_2 \) and CO in the gas products. In summary, the increase of C content is unfavorable for the utilization of syngas as either resource or energy.

![Graphs](image_url)

**Fig. 3 Effect of C content on pyrolysis products \( \text{H}_2 \), CO, \( \text{H}_2/\text{CO} \) and LHV**

### 3.3.2. Effect of H content

As can be seen from Fig. 4(a), when the H content in 1 mol biomass (100 g) increases from 2.67% to 9.67%, the amount of \( \text{H}_2 \) produced from the pyrolysis process at high temperature (>700 °C) increases from 1.0 mol to 4.7 mol, which is mainly due to the H content growth. However, as shown in Fig. 4(b), the amount of CO remained basically unchanged (around 2.5 mol). This is mainly because O/C in biomass is maintained at around 0.66. As noted in Fig. 4(c), the molar ratio of \( \text{H}_2 \) and CO in the gas
products continuously increases from about 0.5 to about 2.0. The LHV of syngas has decreased continuously from 12 MJ·m⁻³ to 11.35 MJ·m⁻³ in Fig. 4(d). In summary, increasing H content in biomass is beneficial to the generation of H₂ and has no effect on CO. Noticeably, the molar ratio of H₂ and CO is 2 (in accordance with H/C of CH₄) while the biomass with hydrogen content 9.67%, which indicates that this biomass can be used as a raw material for the synthesis of CH₄.

![Graphs showing the effect of H content on pyrolysis products](image)

**Fig. 4 Effect of H content on pyrolysis products H₂, CO, H₂/CO and LHV**

### 3.3.3. Effect of O content

From Fig. 5(a), it can be seen that the O content in 1 mol biomass (100 g) increases from 30.5% to 46.5%, and the amount of H₂ produced from the pyrolysis process at high temperature (>700 °C) decreases from 3.2 mol to 2.4 mol. As shown in Fig. 5(b), the mole of CO varies greatly from 1.8 mol to 2.9 mol, which is mainly due to O content increasing from 30.5% to 46.5%, and the values of the O/C ratio from 0.45 to 0.85, which are close to the O/C ratio in CO. The molar ratio of H₂ to CO in the gas products decreases continuously, roughly from 1.8 to 0.8 in Fig. 5(c). The reason for the dramatic change is that the amount of H₂ decreases while CO increases during the whole process. And the LHV of the syngas slightly increases, from 11.45 MJ·m⁻³ to 11.75 MJ·m⁻³, as shown in Fig. 5(d). In summary, when the O content is low, syngas with high H₂ yield, low CO yield, high H/C ratio and low
calorific value can be obtained.

![Graph (a)](image)

![Graph (b)](image)

![Graph (c)](image)
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Fig. 5 Effect of oxygen content on pyrolysis products H\(_2\), CO, H\(_2\)/CO and LHV

According to the calculated results of the individual effect of C, H, O contents on the syngas produced by the pyrolysis process of biomass, biomass with high H content and low O content is necessary to synthesize a substance with high H/C ratio (up to a maximum of 4). If syngas is used as an energy source, biomass with high H and O contents can be selected as raw material. The increase of C content has no effect on the H/C ratio of syngas and the calorific value, but the yield of products decreases.

3.4. Effect of composition in oxygen gasification process

3.4.1. Determination of ER

The effect of ER on biomass gasification products at the temperature of 850 °C is shown in Fig. 6. It can be seen that when the ER is smaller than 0.2, there is basically no generation of CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O produced from the biomass combustion, while the mole of H\(_2\) remained basically unchanged at 2.7 mol, and the mole of CO continues to increase, reaches its maximum value of 3.5 mol when ER is 0.2
and then declines rapidly.

![Graph showing effect of ER on biomass gasification products at the temperature of 850 °C](image)

**Fig. 6** Effect of ER on biomass gasification products at the temperature of 850 °C

In order to study the effect of different ERs on the resource and energy index of syngas better, the molar ratio of H\(_2\) to CO and the LHV of syngas with the ER at the gasification temperature from 650 to 1150 °C have been studied, as shown in **Fig. 7** (a) and (b). According to **Fig. 7** (a), when the ER is less than 0.2, the molar ratio of H\(_2\)/CO declines rapidly except at 650 °C, and then decreased slowly. According to **Fig. 7** (b), the LHV falls off sharply when the ER is greater than 0.2 except 650 °C.

![Graph showing effect of ER on biomass gasification products (n(H\(_2\)) and LHV) at different gasification temperatures](image)

**Fig. 7** Effect of ER on biomass gasification products (n(H\(_2\)) and LHV) at different gasification temperatures

The results above prove that the value of ER set at 0.2 is a reasonable gasification equivalence ratio. Therefore, the value of ER in the following calculation is fixed at 0.2.

### 3.4.2. Effect of C content

As can be seen from **Fig. 8**(a), while the C content in 1 mol biomass (100 g) increases from 35.9% to 55.9%, the mole of H\(_2\) in the gasification products increases at first and then decreases, reaches its maximum value (2.6 mol) at the C content of 45.9%. As shown in **Fig. 8**(b), the mole of CO increases...
continuously (from 2.5 mol to 4.0 mol) and nearly does not change while the C content exceeds 50.9% and at this time the CO yield is 94.5% (the C content in 1 mol of raw material is 4.238 mol, so the yield is equal to 4.0/4.238). As shown in Fig. 8(c), the molar ratio of H\textsubscript{2} to CO of the gas products constantly decreased, varying from 1.0 to about 0.6. The LHV of syngas increases, but the rising trend seems to be smoothed, from 11.65 MJ·m\textsuperscript{-3} to 11.95 MJ·m\textsuperscript{-3} in Fig. 8(d). All the results above show that the increase of the C content is not conducive to the synthesis of substances as resource with a high ratio of H/C, and it is beneficial to increase the calorific value of syngas when used as energy instead.

![Graphs showing the effect of carbon content on gasification products](image)

**Fig. 8 Effect of carbon content on gasification products H\textsubscript{2}, CO, H\textsubscript{2}/CO and LHV**

### 3.4.3. Effect of H content

From Fig. 9 (a) and (b), the mole of H\textsubscript{2} in the gasification products varies greatly from 1.0 to 4.4 mol while the H content of 1 mol biomass (100 g) increases from 2.67% to 9.67%. However, the mole of CO is basically not affected. The molar ratio of H\textsubscript{2} to CO in the gas products continuously increases from 0.35 to 1.3 in Fig. 9(c), which is mainly due to the huge amount of H\textsubscript{2} produced. According to Fig. 9 (d), the LHV of syngas greatly reduces from 12.15 MJ·m\textsuperscript{-3} to 11.55 MJ·m\textsuperscript{-3}. All the results above show that the increase of H content is beneficial to the synthesis of substances with high H/C ratio, while it is not conducive to increase the LHV of syngas.
3.4.4. Effect of O content

As can be seen from Fig. 10(a) and (b), as the O content of 1 mol biomass (100 g) increases from 30.5% to 46.5%, the mole of H$_2$ in the gasification products declines continuously, from 3.3 mol to 1.8 mol. The mole of CO increases first and then decreases, reaching a maximum of 4.0 mol at an oxygen content of 35.5%. As shown in Fig. 10(c), the molar ratio of H$_2$ to CO in the gas products changes little and stabilizes between 0.7-0.8. The LHV of the syngas slightly rises from 11.75 MJ·m$^{-3}$ to 11.85 MJ·m$^{-3}$ in Fig. 10(d). All data validate the increase of O content is neither conducive to the synthesis of substances with high H/C ratio, nor effective on the LHV of syngas.
Based on the calculated results of the effect of C, H, O contents on syngas produced by oxygen gasification process of biomass, biomass with high H content is appropriate to synthesize a substance with high H/C ratio (more than 2). As an energy source, syngas is recommended to be produced by biomass with low H content.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this study, with the help of Gibbs energy minimization method based on thermochemical data prediction, a method to obtain the relationship between the composition of biomass and the quality of syngas produced has been established successfully. One wheat straw containing near the average elemental composition of 78 types of biomass is selected as the research sample, and the effects of elemental fluctuations have been examined to obtain their effects on the quality of syngas produced from the pyrolysis and gasification process in detail as well as quantitatively. This work gives a quantitative instruction to thermochemical conversion utilization of different composition of varied types of biomass. Moreover, the application scope of this method may be extended to thermochemical conversion utilization of other substances.
Nomenclature

$C_{p,db}$ molar heat capacity of dry biomass, kJ mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$

$C_{p,o}$ molar heat capacity of oxygen, kJ mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$

$G_{\tau,p}$ total Gibbs energy of the system, kJ mol$^{-1}$

$HHV$ higher heat value, kJ·kg$^{-1}$

$LHV$ lower heat value, kJ·m$^{-3}$

$M$ molecular weight of dry biomass, g·mol$^{-1}$

$n_i$ equilibrium composition is referred to each species, mol

$S^o$ standard molar entropy, kJ·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$

$R$ universal gas constant, 8.314 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ kJ·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$

$\Delta_r H^o$ standard molar enthalpy of formation, kJ·mol$^{-1}$
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