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ABSTRACT

We present light curves and spectra of the tidal disruption event (TDE) ASASSN-18pg / AT 2018dyb spanning a period of seven months. The event shows a plethora of strong emission lines, including the Balmer series, He II, He I and metal lines of O III λ5007 and N III λ4600 and 4640 (blended with He II). The latter lines are consistent with originating from the Bowen fluorescence mechanism. By analyzing literature spectra of past events, we conclude that these lines are common in TDEs. The spectral diversity of optical TDEs is thus larger than previously thought and includes N-rich besides H- and He-rich events. We study how the spectral lines evolve with time, by means of their width, relative strength and velocity offsets. The velocity width of the lines starts at $\sim 12,000$ km s$^{-1}$ and decreases with time. The ratio of Hα to Hβ remains close to three, while the ratio of He II over N III increases with time. The same is true for ASASSN-14li, which has a very similar spectrum to AT 2018dyb but its lines are narrower by a factor of $> 2$. The emission lines start by being blueshifted by $800$ km s$^{-1}$ and move progressively to the red. High-resolution spectroscopy at maximum light does not reveal any narrow features that can be attributed to the TDE. By modeling the host galaxy spectral energy distribution, we obtain a stellar mass of $M_*/M_\odot = 10^{6.25_{-0.24}^{+0.25}}$ and we quantify the degree of host contribution at different phases. By fitting the light curves of AT 2018dyb we estimate a mass of $4_{-2}^{+5} \times 10^6 M_\odot$ for the black hole and of $0.7_{-0.6}^{+4} M_\odot$ for the disrupted star. The detection of strong Bowen lines in the optical spectrum is an indirect proof for extreme ultraviolet and (re-processed) X-ray radiation and favors an accretion origin for the TDE optical luminosity. A model where photons escape after multiple scatterings through a super-Eddington thick disk and its optically-thick wind, viewed at an angle close to the disk plane, is consistent with the observations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The optical spectra of tidal disruption events (TDEs) (Rees 1988) are usually assumed to be dominated by H and He lines (Arcavi et al. 2014). The large diversity in the ratio of H to He has been the topic of much discussion and has deep physical implications both for the nature of the disrupted star and the radiative processes taking place during the event (Gezari et al. 2012; Guillochon et al. 2014; Roth et al. 2016; Roth & Kasen 2018).

On the other hand, metal lines are prominent in the UV spectra of TDEs (Cenko et al. 2016; Brown et al. 2018) with the most notable being those of highly-ionized N, pointing to a possible relation to N-rich quasars (Kochanek 2016a; Liu et al. 2018). This raises the question of why such metal lines have not been unambiguously identified and reported in the optical regime. Indeed, Brown et al. (2018) speculate that, based on the UV spectra, the lines on the blue side of He II could be due to N III and C III similar to features seen in Wolf-Rayet stars. Recently, Biagorodnova et al. (2018) reported the detection of O III and N III lines in the spectrum of the TDE iPTF15af and attributed them to the mechanism of Bowen fluorescence.

The Bowen fluorescence mechanism (Bowen 1934, 1935) has been proposed and widely discussed to explain the prominent O III and N III optical emission lines observed in various and diverse astrophysical systems such as planetary nebulae (e.g., Umeda 1995; Weymann & Williams 1969), X-ray binary stars (e.g., McClintock et al. 1975), and Wolf-Rayet stars (e.g., Crowther 2007). It has also been proposed in the context of supermassive black hole accretion disks by Netzer et al. (1985), but only recently identified in a flaring AGN for the first time (Trakhtenbrot et al. 2019). Since elements heavier than H and He should be rare in these systems, the only plausible explanation to see strong O III and N III fluorescent lines is that these lines are excited by some large sources of energy not available to the predominant H and He. In this mechanism, the eventual O III and N III optical emission features result from a series of processes. The first process is the ionization of singly ionized He (He II) by photons with wavelength shorter than 228 Å. During the recombination process of fully ionized He II, while the transition of one electron between the outer orbits can give rise to the optical lines such as He II at λ4686, the last transition from n = 2 to n = 1 produces an extreme ultra-violet (EUV) line at 304 Å. A secondary process is the excitation of a few O III and N III lines by the absorption of the intense EUV photons at 304 Å, because these ions have a transition very close to this wavelength by coincidence. These excited ions then return to the ground state through a series of transitions, producing optical lines such as O III at λλ 3047, 3133, 3312, 3341, 3444, 3700 and N III at λλ 4097, 4104, 4379, 4634 and 4641 (Osterbrock 1974).

In this paper we present observations of the newly discovered TDE ASASSN-18pg / AT 2018dby which shows strong Bowen fluorescent N and O lines. At the same time, we revisit the spectra of past TDEs and we show that similar lines are conspicuous in many of them. Our data are presented in Section 2. The spectral evolution is described in Section 3 and we focus on the analysis of the emission lines in Section 4. Section 5 focuses on high-resolution spectroscopy. In Section 6 we examine the host galaxy properties and address the issue of host contamination, while in Section 7 we model the light curves to extract fundamental properties of the TDE and its progenitor system. Section 8 contains our discussion and Section 9 summarizes our conclusions.

2. OBSERVATIONS

ASASSN-18pg / AT 2018dby was discovered by the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN; Shappee et al. 2014) on 2018 July 11 (first detection at V = 16.5 mag), with the last non-detection (V > 17.5 mag) being nine days earlier (Brimacombe et al. 2018). It was classified as a TDE by Pan et al. (2018) on 2018 July 17, based on a spectrum obtained at the SOAR telescope. Our own observations set the precise redshift to z = 0.0180 (see Section 5), which is used throughout this paper. From now on we exclusively refer to AT 2018dby with its official IAU name.

2.1. Archival host galaxy observations and constraints on the nuclear nature of AT 2018dby

The location of AT 2018dby was observed by the SkyMapper survey in 2015 (Wolf et al. 2018). We retrieved the SkyMapper images 27, and the host galaxy is detected in the ugriz filters (only marginally in i). It is also detected in the 2MASS survey, although blended with a nearby star of similar brightness.

The archival host detection allows to put constraints on the offset between host and transient. To measure the position of AT 2018dby, we used a UVOT image in the UBV2 filter, taken close to maximum light (see Sect. 2.2), as there is negligible host contribution in the UV bands around that epoch. We measure RA = 16°10′58′′86, Dec = −60°55′24″.28 for the transient, calibrated against the Gaia survey catalog (we note that our position is ≈1.3″ away from the one reported by Brimacombe et al. [2018]). To accurately compute the offset, we cross-registered the UVOT and the SkyMapper images, which results in a RMS scatter of ≈0.25″ in both RA and Dec, based on 26 common sources. This rather large scatter stems from the fairly wide PSF of the SkyMapper images (=2.5–3″). For the host galaxy we measure RA = 16°10′58′′89, Dec = −60°55′24″46, i.e. an offset of 0″21 in RA and 0″18 in Dec. The transient is thus consistent with the location of the nucleus within our measurement uncertainties. For reference, at z = 0.0180, 0.25″ corresponds to 100 pc.

2.2. UVOT photometry

Photometry of AT 2018dby was obtained by the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory between 2018-07-18 and 2019-02-18 in 28 epochs. The transient was bright in all near-UV (NUV) and optical filters of the Swift/UVOT telescope. The UVOT data were reduced using the standard pipeline available in the HEAsoft software package 28. Observation of every epoch was conducted using one of the three UV filters of the Swift/UVOT telescope.
Figure 1. The UVOT light curves of AT 2018dyb shown in rest-frame days with respect to the discovery date MJD = 58310.23 (Brimacombe et al. 2018). The light curves are shifted for clarity as mentioned in the legend. Vertical bars denote epochs of spectroscopic observations. A polynomial fit on the $U$-band light curve shows that maximum light occurred 30.0±2.6 rest-frame days after discovery.

the HEAsoft routine uvotimsum. We used the routine uvotdetect to determine the correct position of the transient (which is consistent with the ground-based optical observations) and used the routine uvotsource to measure the apparent magnitude of the transient by performing aperture photometry. As late time images reveal the presence of multiple contaminating sources near the transient and its host, for source extraction we used a small aperture with a radius 3″ while an aperture of radius 100″ was used to determine the background. Our photometry is listed in Table 1 and on the AB system. The light curves of AT 2018dyb are shown in Figure 1. Our reference time is the date of discovery MJD = 58310.23 (Brimacombe et al. 2018). Maximum light in the $U$-band occurred at MJD = 58340.74 (Figure 1). We note that the UVOT photometry is not host subtracted. This is further discussed in Section 6.

2.3. Las Cumbres and ePESSTO spectroscopy

We have collected low-resolution spectra of AT 2018dyb using the FLOYDS instrument on the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) 2-meter telescope in Siding Spring, Australia (Brown et al. 2013) and with EFOSC2 on the New Technology Telescope (NTT) in La Silla Observatory, Chile, as part of the ePESSTO survey (Smartt et al. 2015). The LCO spectra were reduced using the pyraf-based floydsspec pipeline originally developed by S. Valenti. The NTT spectra were reduced in a standard manner with the aid of the PESSTO pipeline (Smartt et al. 2015). A spectroscopic log can be found in Table 2 and the spectral series is shown in Figure 2. All spectra will be available after acceptance of the paper through the WISEREP archive (Yaron & Gal-Yam 2012).

2.4. UVES spectroscopy

In addition, we obtained high-resolution spectroscopy with the Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph (UVES) mounted on the Kueyen unit of ESO’s Very Large Telescope (VLT). AT 2018dyb was observed on the nights of 2018 July 22, 23 and August 16. The dichroic/central wavelength settings 346+580nm (dichroic 1) and 437+860nm (dichroic 2) were used, covering the full optical range 395-1040 nm, with the exception of small gaps at 575.5-583.8 nm and 851.7-867.4 nm, in two exposures. During the night of July 22, only a single setting (346+580nm) could be obtained through thick cirrus before the telescope had to be closed. The data were reduced with the UVES pipeline (Ballester et al. 2000) and the spectral trace, wavelength calibration solution and final extraction were inspected and slightly improved by adjusting some of the pipeline parameters. The r.m.s. of the wavelength solution varied between 0.001 and 0.005 Å. The incomplete data from July 22 were co-added with the data from July 23 to produce a single spectrum covering the whole wavelength range.

2.5. X-rays

We finally note that AT 2018dyb does not exhibit strong X-rays. Using the Swift XRT observation at time of discovery, Miller & Cenko (2018) derived a conservative upper limit to the absorbed flux of $7 \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (0.003 counts s$^{-1}$) in the 0.3–10.0 keV band, assuming a blackbody with a $kT$ = 0.2 keV. By merging the first 14 epochs of the Swift XRT observations, we derive a slightly lower upper limit of $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ counts s$^{-1}$. Using a blackbody model with $kT = 0.2$ keV and a Galactic column density of $N_H = 1.83 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, we derive an upper limit for the absorbed flux of $3.4 \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This corresponds to an unabsorbed flux of $7.8 \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and, at the redshift of the host, to a luminosity of $5.6 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which suggests no evidence of a strong AGN (e.g., Tozzi et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2017; Ricci et al. 2017).

3. SPECTRAL EVOLUTION

The first spectra of AT 2018dyb are dominated by Hα and three more broad features centered at 4660, 4100 and 3760 Å. At these phases, Hβ and Hγ emerge and become stronger with time, but remain always weaker than the 4100 Å line. We propose here that this strong line is dominated by N III λ4363 and O III λ4363 (blend of λ4097, 4103). In addition, we identify the bluer broad feature as O III λ5007, 4959 and 4931, a blend of the strongest O III lines in the optical (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Atomic Spectra Database Lines Data; Kramida et al. 2015), which from now on we will call O III λ4363 for simplicity. The simultaneous detection of these N III and O III lines is compatible with the idea that they might originate from Bowen fluorescence (Blagorodnova et al. 2018; Trakhtenbrot et al. 2018).
Figure 2. The low resolution spectra of AT 2018dyb with the strongest broad lines identified. The SOAR spectrum (Pan et al. 2018) has been retrieved from the Transient Name Server.

... and strengthens these identifications (as these lines are expected to appear together due to the same physical mechanism). In addition, this identification suggests that the broad line at 4660 Å is (at least partially) due to N III λ4640 and not (exclusively) He II λ4686, as usually assumed.

Together with the emergence of Hβ and Hγ we have the appearance of a shoulder in the red wing of Hα, which can be attributed to He I λ6678. This raises the question of whether the last strong feature apparent in the optical spectrum, between 5690 and 5890 Å, can be due to He I λ5876. It is unlikely that this is the sole contribution to this feature, given that He I would need to be blueshifted by 5000 km s⁻¹, while the other lines in the spectrum appear close to rest velocity. Blagorodnovova et al. (2018) suggested an alternative identification for this line as [N II] λ5754, but this association is not secure either. We stress that the profile of this line is
complicated and it is strongly affected by strong Galactic Na I D absorption. So we tentatively identify this feature as a blend of the above lines. Finally, one feature remains unidentified, a weak line at 3590 Å which appeared after September 1 to the blue of the O III blend.

AT 2018dyb disappeared behind the Sun around mid October 2018. The first spectrum after it re-appeared in January 2019, shows that most features have weakened or disappeared in the meantime. The spectrum resembles mostly that of an elliptical galaxy with a superimposed broad H α component, due to the TDE, and some residual flux at the N III/He II region, although individual broad features can no longer be identified there. At the same time, the TDE has faded by more than 1 mag in the UV bands.

4. EMISSION LINE ANALYSIS

In order to focus on the emission lines, we have first de-reddened and then removed the continuum from the spectra. The spectra were de-reddened for the Galactic extinction towards the direction of AT 2018dyb of $A_V = 0.625$ mag (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011), while no extinction was assumed for the (passive) host galaxy. These choices are discussed further below. Subsequently, we fit a low-order polynomial in the regions of the spectra that we estimated were continuum dominated. While this procedure is subjective to some degree, it is standard practice in the literature. As the AT 2018dyb spectra have not been host subtracted, we stress that this procedure removes the total continuum (TDE+host) and could leave some line contamination from the host. However, inspecting the last spectra obtained after January, we estimate that this line contamination is small. This analysis was only attempted in the ‘early’ spectra (up to 100 days past discovery and before the TDE disappeared behind the Sun in mid-October).

By dividing with the continuum we obtain the normalised spectra that can be seen in Figure 3. In this figure it is immediately apparent that the emission lines grow stronger with time with respect to the continuum (their equivalent width gets larger). The lower panels zoom in the strongest features and show their evolution in velocity space. The FWHM of the lines is approximately $\sim 12,000$ km s$^{-1}$ at discovery and decreases with time. In the last two spectra, an absorption component becomes visible in the middle of the H α and H β lines (at $v = 0$ km s$^{-1}$). This is a host galaxy feature (stellar absorption) that becomes relatively more important as the host galaxy contribution increases with time. However, these features are weak compared to other TDEs where they dominate the spectrum even at maximum light (e.g. Holoien et al. 2016a; Blagorodnova et al. 2017). This is due to the fact that the host of AT 2018dyb is not an E+A galaxy as has been observed for many TDEs (Arca-Sedda et al. 2014; French et al. 2016).

Figure 4 shows a comparison of AT 2018dyb with other TDEs. The top spectra (blue) are ‘He-rich’ with no evidence for H lines or other strong features. In addition, the broad He II line of ASASSN-15oi (Holoien et al. 2016a) appears clearly blueshifted, while for PS1-10jh it appears almost at rest velocity, albeit with a visible
The emission lines with Gaussian profiles. This is done with custom made routines based on mpfit (Markwardt 2009). First, Hα is fit simultaneously with He I $\lambda$6678. In the blue part of the spectrum, where many lines are blended together, we fit five line simultaneously, namely the line at 4100 Å, Hγ, N III, He II and Hβ. This is a fit with many free parameters and we therefore impose some reasonable constraints in order to include some physical information and reduce the number of possible solutions. We require that Hβ and Hγ have similar FWHM with Hα (within 2000 km s$^{-1}$). Similarly, we constrain the FWHM of the two N III lines to be the same. We also allow only limited velocity shifts for the central wavelengths of the lines. For comparison, we fit the same set of lines in ASASSN-14li. In this case, the lines are narrower and resolved and it is not necessary to make a simultaneous fit for all five lines. It is enough to perform a double Gaussian fit to N III and He II and fit the other lines independently.

The upper panels of Figure 5 show the FWHM evolution of the emission lines. We observe that the Hα and the $\lambda$4100 line (assumed to be N III) have a similar evolution, starting from a width of 12000 km s$^{-1}$ five days after discovery and decreasing gradually to 6–7000 km s$^{-1}$ almost 90 days later. The width of the $\lambda$3760 line (O III) is similar at early times but the line profile evolves to become more complicated later and can no longer be fit by a single Gaussian. The line widths of ASASSN-14li also show a similar decreasing behavior (Holoien et al. 2016b) but they are substantially lower compared to AT 2018dyb, evolving from 6000 to 2000 km s$^{-1}$. This is why N III and He II can easily be resolved in this TDE, while a de-blending is needed for AT 2018dyb. Interestingly, in ASASSN-14li both N III $\lambda\lambda$ 4100, 4640 and He II seem to show an initial increase (for the first 30 days), which is not the case for the Balmer lines. We should note that while the error bars we present include a proper propagation of the error spectrum and the errors in the line fits (resulting from mpfit), they do not include any estimate for the uncertainty during the procedure of removing the continuum. This systematic error is likely the most dominant and thus the errors in Figure 5 are underestimated. However, the FWHM evolution in the de-blended He II line of AT 2018dyb also shows a similar evolution which indicates that this might be real.

The middle panels of Figure 5 show the evolution in several line ratios for the two TDEs. Absolute line luminosities are less reliable as they are more prone to uncertainties in the reddening correction. Nevertheless, we observe that the Hα/Hβ ratio remains close to three, both for AT 2018dyb and for ASASSN-14li. This value is sufficiently close to the value expected in case B recombination for zero extinction. This coincidence indicates that the assumption we made about the reddening correction should be close to real. Within the measurement precision (recalling that error bars shown are underestimated) there is some evidence that this ratio is increasing with time, which is reinforced by the latest observation of ASASSN-14li, where it has a value closer to six, albeit with significant uncertainty. The Hβ/Hγ ratio remains close to unity for AT 2018dyb. This is why we deem that it is very unlikely that the $\lambda$4100 line can
be dominated by Hδ. The ratio of Hα/λ4100 (shown in the next panel) starts close to unity and increases with time until Hα becomes four times stronger. The ratio of the two N III lines evolves smoothly between 0.5 and unity, while the ratio of N III λ4100 to the O III blend at λ3760 also increases with time. Especially interesting is the ratio of He II/N III λ4640. For both AT 2018dyb and ASASSN-14li, N III starts as the strongest line close to discovery. However, for both events this ratio increases with time and for ASASSN-14li, N III starts as the strongest line close to discovery. However, for both events this ratio increases with time and for ASASSN-14li (where this is also clearly seen by eye as the lines are resolved) it completely reverses 50 days later. We also show the ratio of Hα to He II (after removing the N III contribution). For AT 2018dyb this ratio is approximately equal to three and shows a possible increasing trend after 60 days.

Finally, in the lower panels of Figure 5, we show the velocity shifts of some lines with respect to their rest-frame velocity. We focus only on the Hα and the N III λ4100 which are the most isolated lines and give the most reliable results. For many other lines the exact shifts depend on the details of the (quintuple) line fit and/or are part of the fit constraints themselves. However, these two lines always give consistent results. It is very interesting that both lines start with a blueshift of 800-1000 km s$^{-1}$ but quickly shift to the red (again by 800 km s$^{-1}$) in a timescale of 50 days. Subsequently, their line centre seems to return again towards rest velocity. ASASSN-14li also shows evidence for an initial blueshift evolving to rest velocity in Hα, although this is less prominent (400 km s$^{-1}$) and it is not confirmed by the λ4100 line.
5. HIGH RESOLUTION SPECTROSCOPY

We have used our UVES spectroscopy to search for narrow (of the order of 10–100 km s\(^{-1}\)) absorption features in the spectra. We identify two kinds of lines: i) absorption and diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) in the Milky Way at \(z = 0\) (the Na I \(\lambda\lambda 3302, 3303\) UV doublet, Na I D, a couple of Ti II transitions, Ca II H&K, and several DIBs at 6196, 6204, 6284 and 6613 Å); ii) lines originating at the host galaxy (Ca II H&K, Mg I \(\lambda\lambda 5167, 5173, 5184\), Na I D and two Ca II lines in the NIR - the third falls in the UVES red CCD chip gap). Apart from these features, we do not detect any other line. In particular, we do not detect any line that we can attribute to the TDE itself, e.g. narrow blueshifted lines or P-cygni absorption profiles that could be indicative of winds or outflowing material. A selection of narrow features from the UVES spectra are shown in Figure 6.

We have fit the NIR Ca II lines to derive a redshift for the host of \(z = 0.0180\). These lines are not ‘narrow’ but have width of a few hundred km s\(^{-1}\). In particular, we get a FWHM of \(\sim 290\) km s\(^{-1}\) for both Ca NIR lines (fit simultaneously) for both epochs. This indicates that they are not ISM lines but stellar features at the host.

Blagorodnova et al. (2017) used these lines to calculate the velocity dispersion at the host of iPTF16fnl and from there the mass of the supermassive black hole. Following the same procedure and the \(M - \sigma\) calibration of McConnell & Ma (2013), we obtain \(\sim 1.4 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}\) for AT 2018dyb. However, Wevers et al. (2017) showed that an estimate based solely on these lines, can give different results than a full template fitting for the determination of \(\sigma\), probably because these lines can get collisionally broadened. So we do not consider this value to be reliable. The other host lines are quite shallower but a forced fit on the Na I D lines gives a similar width of \(266 \pm 22\) km s\(^{-1}\). The host Ca II H&K lines are more difficult to study as they are in a region with many strong TDE lines and the continuum determination is more ambiguous.

The Galactic Na I D lines have a complex profile with four components and equivalent widths of 1.01 Å for D1 and 0.78 Å for D2. However, the lines are saturated. In addition, we measure an equivalent width of 0.076 Å for the DIB at 6613 Å, which is the strongest and ‘cleanest’ among the DIBs detected. We note that the strength of these lines is perhaps larger than what is expected from the Galactic extinction towards this direction. By using a relation provided by Friedman et al. (2011), the measured 6613 Å DIB strength would correspond to \(E(B-V) \sim 0.37\) mag, which is almost double from the \(E(B-V) \sim 0.2\) mag obtained by the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) maps. Nevertheless, these scaling relations are known to have significant scatter and we therefore adopt the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) value.

6. HOST GALAXY AND HOST CONTAMINATION

There are two main reasons to study the host galaxy of AT 2018dyb. The first is that fundamental properties of the galaxy, such as the stellar mass and star formation rate (SFR), can be linked to the properties of the TDE progenitor system, i.e. the mass of the supermassive black hole (e.g. McConnell & Ma 2013) or the probable mass of the disrupted star (Kochanek 2016b). The second reason is that we are interested in placing constraints to the degree of host contamination on the light curves and spectra of AT 2018dyb.

To this end we modelled the host spectral energy distribution (SED) with the software package LePhare, ver-
For a detailed description of the SED modeling we refer to previous publications where a very similar procedure was used (Krühler et al. 2011; Leloudas et al. 2016; Schulze et al. 2018) and the references therein. As input, we used the archival *ugriz* data from SkyMapper and the *JHK* data from 2MASS. We performed photometry on equal seeing (∼2.5′′) images with a small aperture (3′′) in order to avoid the contamination by neighbour objects. However, due to the large seeing and relatively large pixel scales, this may not have been entirely possible. For this reason we experimented with different combinations of filters and apertures and studied how this affected the SED modeling. We conclude that the results that we report here are within the precision that we are interested in for the scope of this paper. More accurate results will be possible in the future, after the TDE has faded.

We obtain a total stellar mass of $\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.08^{+0.25}_{-0.24}$ and a SFR of $6.48^{+22.83}_{-3.56} \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ (where the central value is the median of the probability distribution and the error bars contain the 1σ probability interval). The stellar mass is relatively robust and does not seem to depend on the exact choice of input, while the SFR is more uncertain and its value should be treated with caution. Using an empirical relation between the mass of the galaxy and the mass of the black hole (Reines & Volonteri 2015), we obtain $4.6^{+3.6}_{-3.4} \times 10^6 M_\odot$ for the black hole mass.

In addition, through the SED fit it is possible to obtain model magnitudes in the UVOT bands, including in the UV where archival observations do not exist. These are of course more uncertain than real observations but we deduce the following: i) the host contamination is negligible in the UV bands around maximum light; ii) the host contamination stays always below 5% for UVW2 and UVM2 and below 10% for the UVW1 filters for the first 120 days past discovery (conservative limit); iii) in the $U$ band the contamination stays below 10% for the first 90 days while it increases to 20% at 120 days past discovery; iv) on the contrary, in the $B$ and $V$ bands the host contribution is never below 10% (except the three $B$ band data points at maximum) and it becomes dominant as the TDE fades, as also demonstrated by the flattening of the light curves (Figure 4).

7. FITS TO THE LIGHT CURVE

By fitting a black body to the UVOT photometry of AT 2018dyb, we estimate the photospheric temperature, black-body radius and bolometric luminosity at different phases (Figure 7). We only fit data out to 120 days past discovery and we made two separate fits (after removal of the host contribution). Section 6): one including all UVOT data and one where we excluded the $B$ and $V$ data. In both cases, we get consistent results within the errors but there seems to be a systematic offset between the two estimates. The temperature considering the UV data alone is ∼ 3000 K higher, which indicates that the host contribution in the redder bands might have been underestimated. In any case, the temperature remains approximately constant around ∼ 25000 K (adopting the UV fit that is more reliable and with more conservative errors). In that sense, AT 2018dyb is similar to many other optical TDEs (e.g. Holoien et al. 2016b; Hung et al. 2017) although it is now documented that the temperature evolution of TDEs is quite diverse (Holoien et al. 2016a; Leloudas et al. 2016; Holoien et al. 2018).

We used the transient fitting code MOSFiT (Guillochon et al. 2017) to fit the light curves of AT 2018dyb. MOSFiT uses a library of tidal disruption simulations from Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz (2013) to calculate the mass fallback rate, and then scales the fallback rate using the properties of the black hole and disrupted star. It then converts the fallback rate into a bolometric luminosity curve and passes it through a viscous transform, approximating a viscous delay from an accretion disk or a diffusion delay through a dense photosphere. Finally, it uses a time-dependent reprocessing function to produce optical and UV light curves. The model is explained in detail in Mockler et al. (2019). The results of the code include best fit parameter estimates for the masses of the black hole and the disrupted star.

The fits obtained for AT 2018dyb can be seen in Figure 5. In this run we only fit data that is completely free of host contamination, i.e. only the bluest UV bands and only up to 90 days past discovery (see Section 6). The data have only been corrected for Galactic extinction. We find that the best fit for the black hole mass is $4.7^{+5.7}_{-2.6} \times 10^6 M_\odot$ (substantially lower than the estimate we derive from the host galaxy mass scaling relation) and the best fit for the star mass is $0.7^{+4.9}_{-0.6} M_\odot$. The fit preferred a full disruption of the star. The errorbars include ±0.2 dex of systematic error in the black hole mass measurement, and ±0.66 dex of systematic error in the stellar mass measurement.

We also ran a fit on preliminary host-subtracted data, and found that the best fit black hole mass did not change

---
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Furthermore, from the MOSFiT can only be enhanced to 8 times of its solar abundance, main-sequence evolution, the fallback abundance of N is the most likely mechanism for producing the observed lines, since Bowen fluorescence is primarily triggered by the ionization and recombination of He II. However, not all TDEs with He II lines have strong Bowen features, perhaps because they do not produce the optimal physical conditions (such as temperature, optical depth and velocity gradient) needed for strong resonance to happen (Weymann & Williams 1969; Kallman & McCray 1980; Selvelli et al. 2007). Interestingly, all of the hitherto identified N-rich TDEs also show Balmer emission besides He II. In addition, for both AT 2018dyb and ASASSN-14li, the ratio of N III to He II is observed to decrease with time. The exact ratio of the different emission lines and their evolution (Figure 7) can be used to shed light on the physical conditions in a TDE. For this, however, detailed modeling of the radiative transfer physics (e.g. Netzer et al. 1985) is required, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

In any case, the detection of prominent Bowen fluorescent lines in TDEs has important implications for the mechanism of emission and the geometry of the emitting gas.

TDEs with Bowen lines should also produce strong EUV or X-ray emission, since a large flux of photons with wavelength shorter than 228 Å is needed to ionize He II and trigger the Bowen mechanism. This flux can not be provided by the blue tail of the UV/optical blackbody continuum that we observe. By integrating a blackbody of 25000 K, we find that only \( 10^{-6}\% \) of the total luminosity is emitted below 228 Å, corresponding to a luminosity of the order of \( 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) (Figure 7). In comparison, the observed Bowen lines have line luminosities of the order of \( 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), so another ionising source is required.

X-ray/EUV emission in TDEs is usually associated with the accretion process of the transient debris disk (Cannizzo et al. 1999; Ulmer 1999; Lodato & Rossi 2011), since the collisions of debris streams near the apocenter of their orbits will mostly produce optical photons (Farr & et al. 2015) unless the star has penetrated very deeply into the tidal disruption radius. In the deep plunge case, however, a prompt formation of an accretion disk is also expected due to efficient orbital energy removal in debris stream collisions (Dai et al. 2015; Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 2015). Therefore, the indirect uncovering of EUV/X-ray photons through the detection of Bowen lines in the optical spectrum, favors the accretion paradigm for optical TDEs.

This unobserved EUV radiation energy component may also (partially) solve the “TDE missing energy problem” (Lu & Kumar 2018) which states that the observed radiation energy in the optical/UV bands for optical TDEs is less than \( 10\% \) of the rest-mass energy of a star.

EUV radiation is hard to detect due to Galactic extinction. Strong X-rays, however, are also lacking in most optical TDEs with Bowen features except in ASASSN-14li (Miller et al. 2015; Holoien et al. 2016). This, however, can be a geometric effect as X-ray photons produced by the inner disk are obscured by some gaseous medium such as the unbound debris stream or by winds (Loeb & Ulmer 1997; Strubbe & Quataert 2009; Coughlin & Begelman 2014; Guillochon et al. 2014). Since the debris stream is confined by gravity and therefore has a vertically thin structure (Kochanek 1994; Guillochon et al. 2014), it is unlikely that it will cover a large solid angle. This leaves obscuration by wind as the most likely explanation. It has been proposed that optical TDEs
can be produced by the reprocessing of X-ray photons in optically-thick winds formed in TDEs (Metzger & Stone 2016). Furthermore, Dai et al. (2018) employed a super-Eddington TDE disk simulation to show that optically-thick winds are indeed produced from such disks. Based on the anisotropic wind profile, a unified picture of X-ray to optical TDEs can be provided by viewing the disk from different inclinations. The optical depth of the super-Eddington disk and wind is large, allowing for multiple scatterings to happen before photons leak out of the system. This can greatly boost the resonance of O III allowing for multiple scatterings to happen before photons leak out of the system. This can greatly boost the resonance of O III and N III at 304 Å and increase the efficiency of the Bowen mechanism. Most of the radiation energy, indeed, is emitted in the EUV range in this model.

In the electron-scattering dominated regime, the emission lines should be broadened by scattering and therefore the line width is primarily set by the number of scatterings of photons (or the optical depth) instead of gas kinematics (Roth & Kasen 2018). The narrowing of the lines observed in AT 2018dyb (and other TDEs) after peak is consistent with the decrease of optical depth of the system as the debris fallback rate and accretion rate drop with time. In the unified TDE model of Dai et al. (2018), the electron scattering photosphere is larger along the disk direction and tucks in near the pole. This can explain why, between the two TDEs with the strongest Bowen features, ASASSN-14li has narrower lines than AT 2018dyb: ASASSN-14li is viewed through a smaller inclination angle to the pole (consistent with the detection of X-rays), while AT 2018dyb is viewed closer to the disk (higher inclination angle). This makes AT 2018dyb opaque to X-rays while, at the same time, the photons need to go through more scattering to leak out of the photosphere, resulting in broader line profiles.

9. CONCLUSIONS

The main points of this study can be summarized as follows:

• We have unambiguously detected strong lines of N and O in the optical spectra of AT 2018dyb.

• We have shown that these lines are quite common in the spectra of TDEs and that there exist optical TDEs that are ‘N-rich’.

• The N III and O III lines are most likely originating from the Bowen fluorescence mechanism.

• The detection of the Bowen lines require the existence of EUV/X-ray photons and this argues for an accretion origin for optical TDEs.

• The strongest emission lines appear slightly blueshifted at early times but progressively move to the red.

• The FWHM of the emission lines decreases with time. This is expected if their width is primarily set by electron scattering (Roth & Kasen 2018) and if the optical depth decreases with time.

• N III starts stronger than He II but He II evolves to become relatively stronger. This is possibly associated with the change of the ionization level.

• These last observations are also valid for ASASSN-14li, which has a spectrum very similar to AT 2018dyb. Two important differences are that the lines of ASASSN-14li are narrower by a factor of ~2 and that it is detected in X-rays.

• These differences can be explained within the unification model of Dai et al. (2018) where TDEs are observed through a super-Eddington disk with an anisotropic optically thick wind. Within this scenario, AT 2018dyb is viewed at a larger polar inclination (closer to the disk mid-plane) than ASASSN-14li and this is why it is opaque to X-rays and why its lines become broader as they go through more scattering on the way out of the photosphere.

• High-resolution spectroscopy of AT 2018dyb obtained close to peak does not reveal any narrow features that can be safely attributed to the TDE (e.g. due to outflows).

• The host galaxy mass is found to be $M_*/M_\odot = 10.08^{+0.25}_{-0.24}$ by SED fitting.

• By using MOSFiT we estimate that AT 2018dyb was produced by the disruption of a $0.7^{+4}_{-0.6} M_\odot$ star by a $4^{+5}_{-2} \times 10^6 M_\odot$ black hole.

The observations of AT 2018dyb constitute an excellent dataset that can be used to understand the physical conditions in TDEs through detailed modelling.
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The observations of AT 2018dyb constitute an excellent dataset that can be used to understand the physical conditions in TDEs through detailed modelling.
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Spectral evolution of AT 2018dyb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UT (yy-mm-dd)</th>
<th>MJD (days)</th>
<th>Phase a (days)</th>
<th>Telescope+instrument</th>
<th>Grism/Grating</th>
<th>Slit width ((\lambda)))</th>
<th>Exposure time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018-07-19</td>
<td>58318.42</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>LCO+FLOYDS</td>
<td>red/blu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-07-22</td>
<td>58321.22</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>VLT+UVES</td>
<td>346+580, 437+860</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-07-23</td>
<td>58322.21</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>VLT+UVES</td>
<td>346+580, 437+860</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-08-03</td>
<td>58333.11</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11, GR#16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1500, 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-08-07</td>
<td>58337.45</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>LCO+FLOYDS</td>
<td>red/blu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-08-13</td>
<td>58343.13</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11, GR#16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1500, 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-08-13</td>
<td>58343.47</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>LCO+FLOYDS</td>
<td>red/blu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-08-16</td>
<td>58346.01</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>VLT+UVES</td>
<td>346+580, 437+860</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-08-18</td>
<td>58348.14</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11, GR#16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1200, 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-08-28</td>
<td>58358.41</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>LCO+FLOYDS</td>
<td>red/blu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-09-01</td>
<td>58362.98</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11, GR#16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1800, 1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-09-15</td>
<td>58376.03</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11, GR#16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1800, 1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-09-16</td>
<td>58377.46</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>LCO+FLOYDS</td>
<td>red/blu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-10-02</td>
<td>58393.99</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11, GR#16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1800, 1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-10-18</td>
<td>58409.00</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-01-25</td>
<td>58508.31</td>
<td>194.6</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2×1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-02-10</td>
<td>58524.32</td>
<td>210.3</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-02-25</td>
<td>58539.30</td>
<td>225.0</td>
<td>NTT+EFOSC2</td>
<td>GR#11, GR#16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2700, 2700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a with respect to the date of discovery (MJD = 58310.23) and given in the rest frame of AT 2018dyb (z = 0.0180).