



Direct Three-Dimensional Inversion of Ptychographic X-Ray Tomography Data

Slyamov, Azat M.; Ramos, Tiago; Mokso, Rajmund; Holzner, Christian; Andreasen, Jens W.

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Slyamov, A. M., Ramos, T., Mokso, R., Holzner, C., & Andreasen, J. W. (2018). *Direct Three-Dimensional Inversion of Ptychographic X-Ray Tomography Data*. Poster session presented at DTU Energy's annual PhD symposium 2018, Lyngby, Denmark.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Direct Three-Dimensional Inversion of Ptychographic X-Ray Tomography Data

Azat M. Slyamov¹, Tiago Ramos¹, Rajmund Mokso², Christian Holzner³, Jens W. Andreasen¹

1. Technical University of Denmark, Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark
2. MAX IV Laboratory, Lund, Sweden
3. Xnovo Technology, Køge, Denmark

Introduction

Ability to image volumetric structure of nano/microscale systems in material science brings a better understanding of the structure-function correlations that can significantly increase their performance in applied fields. In contrast to conventional imaging techniques such as SEM, X-ray ptychography has its advantages in providing reconstructions of higher resolution without requiring sophisticated sample preparation. Proposed approach for direct 3D ptychographic tomography has potentially high robustness as all data is “forced” to be consistent with a unique reconstruction volume. Direct 3D reconstruction can be performed using optimized number of projections and angles, relaxing probe overlap condition and reducing data acquisition time provided by possible 3D fly-scan geometry.

2D Ptychographic Tomography






Figure 1: Schematic of conventional 2D ptychography. Reconstruction is performed for each angle independently.

Phase retrieval



Direct 3D Ptychography



Advantages	Challenges
Relaxed overlapping conditions	Higher memory requirements
Reduction in acquired data	Higher computational costs
Allows 3D fly-scan	Scanning positions alignment
Faster experiments	Optimal scanning path
Less radiation damage	Probe retrieval

Acknowledgments

This PhD project is part of the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Innovative Training Network MUMMERING (Multiscale, Multimodal, Multidimensional imaging for EngineeRING), funded through the EU research programme Horizon 2020.

Impact of misalignment in scanning positions on reconstruction quality




Figure 2: Direct 3D ptychographic reconstructions from full dataset with Gaussian distribution of uncertainties in scanning positions for different values of standard deviation.

Multi-scale reconstruction for fast coarse alignment and better initial guess




Figure 3: Direct 3D ptychographic reconstructions from reduced dataset with Gaussian distribution of uncertainties in scanning positions for different values of standard deviation.




Figure 4: Fourier shell correlation from full (left part) and reduced (right part) datasets reconstruction

Reconstruction of real dataset




Figure 5: Horizontal and vertical slices of the reconstruction (only real part of complex refractive index is presented). On the right: Residual values over LMA and CGM iterations is shown.

References

- [1] J. M. Rodenburg, A. C. Hurst, A. G. Cullis, B. R. Dobson, F. Pfeiffer, O. Bunk, C. David, K. Jefimovs, and I. Johnson, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 1–4, 2007
- [2] D. Gürsoy, “Direct coupling of tomography and ptychography,” Opt. Lett., vol. 42, no. 16, p. 3169, 2017
- [3] M. Odstrčil, A. Menzel, and M. Guizar-Sicairos, “Iterative least-squares solver for generalized maximum-likelihood ptychography,” Opt. Express, 26(3), 3108, 2018
- [4] T Ramos, BE Grønager, MS Andersen, JW Andreasen - arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.02109, 2018
- [5] D. W. Marquardt, “An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters,” SIAM, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 431–441, 1963.