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Cell or tissue stretching and strain are present in any in vivo environment, but is difficult to reproduce in vitro. Here, we describe a simple method for casting a thin (about 500 µm) and soft (about 0.3 kPa) hydrogel of gelatin and a method for characterizing the mechanical properties of the hydrogel simply by changing pressure with a water column. The gelatin is crosslinked with mTransglutaminase and the area of the resulting hydrogel can be increased up 13-fold by increasing the radial water pressure. This is far beyond physiological stretches observed in vivo. Actuating the hydrogel with a radial force achieves both information about stiffness, stretchability, and contractability, which are relevant properties for tissue engineering purposes. Cells could be stretched and contracted using the gelatin membrane. Gelatin is a commonly used polymer for hydrogels in tissue engineering, and the discovered reversible stretching is particularly interesting for organ modeling applications.
1. Introduction

Hydrogels are polymeric solids swelled in substantial amounts of water, either of synthetic or natural origin.[1] Hydrogels can be crosslinked in numerous ways, including enzymatic protein crosslinking,[2] ionic gelation,[3] self-assembly,[4] and chemical crosslinking.[5]

In this work, we have utilized an enzymatically crosslinked gelatin hydrogel. Gelatin is derived from collagen, which is a major component of various connective tissues. Gelatin is denatured collagen fragments with a broad molecular weight distribution.[6] Gelatin will structurally transit from a helix structure to a coil at a temperature around 36 °C, thereby phase transition from solid to liquid will occur.[6,7] Cell cultures are normally grown at 37 °C, and therefore the gelatin needs to be crosslink to be utilized as a hydrogel in a cell culture. The coil formations can be crosslinked by mTransglutaminase (mTG) resulting in a gelatin hydrogel that retain the coil structure of the gelatin at 37°C. mTG crosslinked gelatin hydrogels are less tightly packed than a hydrogel resulting from cooling of dissolved gelatin forming a helix structured hydrogel.[8] However, gelatin is just one out of many polymers which hydrogels can be made of. Depending on the type of polymer, the fabrication method, and the crosslinking method, hydrogels’ physical and/or chemical properties can be tuned to suit various fields such as biomedicine, soft electronics, sensors, and actuators.[9–11]

Hydrogels have a potential use in the field of tissue engineering. Since the microenvironment of in vivo tissues is mechanically flexible, cells are exposed to mechanical forces of various durations, frequencies, and amplitudes.[12] This work mainly focuses on mechanical flexibility in terms of a stretchable and contractible matrix. We use the term “dynamic surface” for this phenomenon, which resemble hollow organs e.g. the motions of alveoli in lungs. The mechanical properties of hydrogels are tunable e.g. the Young’s modulus (E) and can vary greatly. Hydrogels have been reported from very soft with $E=1.5\ \text{kPa}$[13] to very stiff with $E>600\ \text{kPa}$.[14] By tuning the stiffness of hydrogel it is possible to tune them for the specific tissue of interest.
Previous publications have presented various approaches to achieve hydrogels with two-dimensional (2D) dynamic surface behaviors. One approach is to expose cells to equibiaxial stretch by functionalizing hydrogels on top of a stretchable material such as polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)\textsuperscript{[15]} or stretching coated parafilm or PDMS,\textsuperscript{[16–19]} However, permeable hydrogels supported by neither synthetic materials nor impermeable materials have closer resemblance with connective tissue more. Unsupported dynamic cell-laden hydrogels have been achieved by adding cells in a collagen hydrogel into a three-dimensional (3D) printed mesh of a stretchable poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)–alginate–nanoclay hydrogel.\textsuperscript{[20]} Other approaches include integration of magnets that could be used for stretching the hydrogel\textsuperscript{[21,22]} or by seeding cells on top of a hydrogel for uniaxial stretch by moving the ends of the hydrogel apart.\textsuperscript{[23]} However, all these approaches solely achieve a 2D stretch whereas we aim for achieving a 3D expandable hydrogel balloon.

Mechanical characterization of hydrogels is not straightforward due to their low Young’s modulus making them difficult to clamp and most mechanical characterization equipment is optimized for measurements in the range of MPa and GPa\textsuperscript{[24]}. Furthermore, hydrogels in the swollen state have rubbery mechanical behavior, and the Young’s modulus of hydrogels is dependent on the degree of swelling.\textsuperscript{[25,26]} Thus, hydrogels should be fully immersed (completely covered by a relevant medium) during characterization. Characterizations performed in air rather than liquid typically reduces the swelling due to evaporation. One approach to ensure the conditions of the hydrogel is by letting it swell before measuring,\textsuperscript{[27]} but it can dry during measurements e.g. in a rheometer.

One method of mechanical characterization methods preformed with immersed and fully swelled hydrogels is indentation, where a probe is pressed into and retracted from the material.\textsuperscript{[24]} However, using indentation does not give information about stretchability nor contractability of the hydrogel. A method of stretching fully immersed hydrogels using a stainless steel ball has previously been
published.[26] By measuring the central displacement caused by the stainless steel ball to the hydrogel membrane Young’s modulus could be determined. However, by this approach, the force is centered to the middle of a hydrogel. Here, we present a method of stretching gelatin hydrogels fully submerged where the pressure comes from a liquid rather than a solid object causing a close to spherical inflation of the hydrogel. The stiffness, stretch, contraction, and durability were characterized. Furthermore, an epithelial and an endothelial cell line was stretched using the presented method.

2. Materials and Methods

3D printing of gelatin hydrogel membrane holder: 3D designs were drawn using Fusion 360 (version 1.28.2, Autodesk, San Rafael, California, United States) and print files were exported as STL format and processed in PreForm (version 2.12.0, Formlabs, Somerville, Massachusetts, United States). The 3D printed holder was designed with a small ring at the opening tube for the membrane to attach when casted (Figure S1, S2, Supporting Information). All prints was done using a a Formlabs Form 2 3D printer (Formlabs, Somerville, Massachusetts, US).

Prints for demonstration of the stretch principle were printed in Clear resin (Clear V2 FLGPCL02, Formlabs, Somerville, Massachusetts, United States) with a layer thickness of 0.1 mm followed by cleaning in isopropanol two times for 10 min and ultraviolet (UV) crosslinked for 60 min at room temperature. Prints for cell cultures were printed in Dental SG resin (Dental SG DGOR01, Formlabs, Somerville, Massachusetts, United States) with a layer thickness of 0.05 mm, and were subsequently cleaned as described above. Support structures were cutoff, and the structures were UV crosslinked for 60 min at 60 °C and autoclaved at 121 °C.
Casting of expandable hydrogel membrane: For casting expandable hydrogel membranes, 3D prints with a 10 mm diameter opening were dipped in a solution, if not stated otherwise, consisting of mTG (5 U/mL) (Ajinomoto Activa T1, Hamburg, Germany) and gelatin (5 % (w/v)) (Fluka, 48723) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (D8537, Sigma, St Louis, United States) and incubated for 30 min for cell cultures, and 120 min for expansion experiments, both at 37°C (Supplementary Figure 2). Incidentally, it has been shown that gelatin film display highest elongation when crosslinked at 35°C.\[28\] Stock solution of mTG was made by dissolving mTG in PBS in final concentration of 15 U/mL. Stock solution of gelatin was made by dissolving gelatin in PBS to a final concentration of 7.5% (w/v). For sterilisation purposes, chloroform was added to the gelatin solution with final concentration of 0.5 % (v/v) of the stock solution. Whereas mTG was sterile filtered using a 0.45 µm filter prior to use. The solutions were subsequently mixed in ratios giving 5 % gelatin (w/v)) and mTG 5 mTG U/mL in final concentrations. The procedure was the same for 2.5 % (w/v) and 7.5 % (w/v) gelatin membrane, but with either a 3.75 % (w/v) or 11.25 % (w/v) stock solution of gelatin, respectively.

For calculation of the membrane thickness, four membrane samples were weighed and found to be 45.18 ±3.32 mg (n=4, mean±SD). The thickness was calculated from the weight, the radius of the gel (5 mm), and specific gravity of 5 % gelatin (1.012)\[29\]:

\[
h = \frac{V}{\pi r^2} \quad (1)
\]

where, \(h\) is the thickness, \(V\) is the volume, and \(r\) is the radius.

Rheological measurements: Measurements were performed using a Discovery Hybrid Rheometer 2 (TA instruments, New Castle, Delaware, United States) with a 40 mm parallel plate and a steel Peltier plate. A mixture of gelatin/mTG (500 µL) was added to the Peltier plate set at 37°C. When the parallel
plate was at the geometry gap of 250 µm, mineral oil (M5310, Sigma, St Louis, United States) was added around the plate to avoid evaporation. For investigation of gelation time, a strain of 1.5 % was used with a frequency of 5 Hz. For measurements of oscillation frequency dependence, a logarithmic sweep was done with a strain of 1.5 % with frequencies increasing from 0.02 Hz to 15.92 Hz. For measurements of strain breaking point, a logarithmic sweep was performed with a frequency of 5 Hz while increasing the strain from 0.1 to 3000 %.

Shear modulus was calculated by:

$$G^2 = G^{'2} + G^{''2} \quad (2)$$

where, $G$ is the shear modulus, $G^{'}$ is the storage modulus, and $G^{''}$ is the loss modulus. Since $G^{''}$ is close to 0 $G\approx G^{'}\approx 360$ Pa. A Poisson’s ratio of 0.5 was assumed, as the hydrogel is regarded as an incompressible material. Therefore, the Young’s modulus is calculated by:

$$E = 2G(1 + \mu) \quad (3)$$

where, $E$ is Young’s modulus, $G$ is the shear modulus, and $\mu$ is Passion’s ratio giving a Young’s modulus of ~1080 Pa.

**Stretch of fully immersed hydrogels:** The hydrogel membranes were placed in a beaker of PBS in a 37 °C heating oven and more PBS (colored with food dye) was added in steps of 200 µL. Pictures were taken using a Samsung WB32F compact camera. The pictures were subsequently fitted to a circle using a Plugin for Fiji\cite{30} (Contact_Angle.jar, version 2006/12/07, Marco Brugnara) from which the radius and length of the base of the circle segment was obtained and normalized to a ruler in the beaker (Figure S5, Supporting Information).

From this, the chord length, $a$, of a circular segment and radius, $R$, of the circle were obtained. From this the central angle, $\theta$, was calculated by\cite{31}:

$$\theta = 2 \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{2R} \right) \quad (4)$$
From which the arc length, $s$, could be calculated by\[^{31}\]:

$$s = R\theta \quad (5)$$

However, when the circle segment of interest is the majority of the circle the arc length was calculated by:

$$s = 2\pi R - R\theta \quad (6)$$

The equations (5) and (6) gives the arc length of the small circle segment, which is the stretched length ($s$). The stretch ratio ($A$) is defined as the ratio of $s$ to the original diameter of the gel:

$$A = \frac{s}{\text{original diameter}} \quad (7)$$

The stretch ratio and the force acting, calculated from the centimeter of water of the water column, on the membrane were fitted into the following equation for equibiaxial stretching\[^{32}\]:

$$f = \mu_r \left[ A^{\alpha_r-1} - A^{-\left(1+2\alpha_r\right)} \right] \quad (8)$$

Where $f$ is the force per unit undeformed area, $A$ is the stretch ratio, and $\mu_r$ and $\alpha_r$ are constants. The fitting was done in RStudio (Version 1.0.136, RStudio, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, United States) using a nonlinear least squares fit.

From the fitted $\mu_r$ and $\alpha_r$, the shear modulus was calculated by\[^{32}\]:

$$G = \frac{\mu_r \alpha_r}{2} \quad (9)$$

where, $G$ is the shear modulus. $G$ was inserted in Equation (3) to obtain the Young’s modulus.

The surface area of the spherical cap, was calculated by\[^{33}\]:

$$S_{\text{cap}} = 2\pi RH \quad (10)$$

where, $S_{\text{cap}}$ is the surface area, $R$ is the radius, and $H$ is the height of the circle segment, which is calculated by\[^{33}\]:

$$H = R \pm \sqrt{R^2 - b^2} \quad (11)$$
where, $H$ is the height of the circle segment, $R$ is the radius of the circle, and $b$ is the radius of the base of the circle segment. When the circle segment of interest was the majority of the circle, $\sqrt{R^2 - b^2}$ is added to $R$, when the circle segment is the minority $\sqrt{R^2 - b^2}$ is subtracted.

When having the surface area from equation (10) was used to calculated the stretch area ratio with the following equation:

$$\text{Stretch area ratio} = \frac{S_{\text{cap}}}{\text{Original area}} \quad (12)$$

Fatigue test and breaking strength of hydrogel membranes: Hydrogels prepared as described were tested for fatigue by adding 4 mL of PBS and removing and re-adding 1 mL of the solution 100 times. A picture was taken after every 10 pipetting steps.

The breaking strength was defined as the last point at which the membrane was intact and addition of 200 µL buffer more resulted in rupture of the membrane.

Cell growth on stretchable hydrogel membranes: Caco-2 cells (ATCC® HTB-37™, LGC Standards GmbH, Wesel, Germany) p9-14 were grown in T-75 cell culture flaks (Starstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) along with 13 mL of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) – high glucose (Sigma-Aldrich Denmark A/S Broendby, Denmark) with heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (20 % (v/v))(Biowest SAS, Nuillé, France), non-essential amino acids (NEAA)(1 % (v/v))(Gibco, Fisher Scientific, Slangerup, Denmark), and Penicillin-Streptomycin (P/S) (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL Streptomycin) (Sigma-Aldrich Denmark A/S Broendby, Denmark).

EA.hy926 cells (ATCC® CRL-2922™, LGC Standards GmbH, Wesel, Germany) p20-25 were grown in T-75 cell culture flaks (Starstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) along with 13 mL of DMEM – high glucose (Sigma-Aldrich Denmark A/S Broendby, Denmark) with heat inactivated FBS (20 %
(v/v))(Biowest SAS, Nuaillé, France), and P/S (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL Streptomycin) (Sigma-Aldrich Denmark A/S Broendby, Denmark).

The cell culture medium was changed every other day and upon 80-90 % confluency, the cells were split. The cells were washed with PBS followed by incubation with trypsin-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution (0.5 g/L porcine trypsin and 0.2 g/L EDTA-4Na) (Sigma-Aldrich Denmark A/S Broendby, Denmark). Once the cells were detached, trypsin-EDTA were inhibited by addition of cell culture medium, and a sample was taken for counting the cells (counted with NucleoCounter NC-200). The rest of the suspension was collected and spun for 5 min (800 rounds per minute (rpm)), followed by washing the cells in PBS and spun again for 5 min (800 rpm). The cells were seeded (50,000 cells in 500 µL) on top of a casted stretchable hydrogel membranes with 1 mL cell medium below. The cells were allowed to adhere to the membrane overnight at 37°C and 5 % CO₂ before stretching by removal of basolateral medium.

Microscopy on stretch hydrogels: Microscopy images were captured using a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, 37081 Gottingen, Germany), using an EC Plan-Neofluar 5x/0.16 Ph1 M27 or a LD Plan-Neofluar 40x/0.6 Korr M27 objective (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, 37081 Gottingen, Germany). Initially 1000 µL medium was below the hydrogel membrane. For stretching and contraction 600 µL was removed and re-added.

Scanning electron microscopy on dried stretch hydrogel membranes: Gelatin membranes in a 3D printed holder (Supplementary Figure 1b) were inflated by air and dried for 66 h before being mounted for scanning electron microscopy micrographs (SEM). The samples were scanned using a Hitachi TM3030 tabletop microscope (Hitachi High-Technologies Europe GmbH, Krefeld, Germany) in low vacuum mode at 15 kV operating voltage.
Statistics: The data are presented as number of repetitions (n), mean± standard deviation (SD). Calculations were done using RStudio (Version 1.0.136, RStudio, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, United States) and Microsoft Excel (Version 15.41, Microsoft Office, Seattle, Washington, United States).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Fully immersed Young’s modulus characterization of the gelatin hydrogel membrane

The presented method allows for characterization of a stretched hydrogels while being fully immersed in a relevant medium, which to the authors’ knowledge has not been previously presented. In the presented setup a thin gelatin membrane was casted in a 3D printed holder (Figure S1, S2, Supporting Information). The gelatin membrane attaches to the 3D printed holder during the casting and crosslinking reaction. Initial test showed that the gelatin membrane was firmly attached to the edges and did not easily detach when exposed to water pressure. In fact, overpressure resulted in rupture of the gelatin membrane with the remaining gelatin parts sticking to the rim of the 3D printed holder. We conclude that the gelatin membrane forms a very robust bond with the 3D printed holder.

The thin gelatin membrane was inflated as a balloon by increasing the pressure on it with a water column (Figure 1a). The hydrogel membrane could be stretched as a water column above the membrane exposed it to an increased pressure (Figure 1a). As the pressure increased an approximately spheroidal shaped inflated membrane was created which could be fitted as a circle (Figure S5, Supporting Information). From this, the stretch of the membrane was determined as a stretch ratio from equation (7), as described in Materials and Methods. Data points were plotted as pressure versus stretch ratio (Figure 1b). We fit both our small and large deformation data with equation (8)[32] describing inflation in terms of stretch as a result of increased pressure on a circular
balloon-like membranes into a spheroidal shape. For this the hydrogels are assumed as isotropic elastic solids, hence their strain only depends on stretch on the principal axis (X, Y, and Z) relative to the ‘ground state’. We use the term elasticity as a description of the ability of a material to return to its original shape after deformation, which in principle can be of any magnitude.

Equation (8) is derived\(^\text{[32]}\) assuming an incompressible material, thus, taking the thinning of the film at large deformation into account. From this fit the shear modulus can be extracted from equation (9). The result of fitting is in principle the shear modulus, G, which is valid at all deformations. We have chosen to convert our numbers into Young's moduli to facilitate comparison with literature data although from the point of view of our measurements this conversion is not needed since we exclusively deal with shear moduli. The Young’s modulus was calculated by inserting the obtained shear modulus in equation (3), thereby characterizing the stiffness of a hydrogel while it was fully immersed.

When stretching a 5 % gelatin hydrogel crosslinked for 2 hours with mTG, there was a lag phase at low pressure (<150 Pa) where the membrane was stretched only slightly. Above about 200 Pa, the pressure affected the stretching in linear fashion (Figure 2a). This inflation profile resembles inflation of a balloon where the polymer at a certain pressure allows inflation and further stretch requiring a smaller increase in pressure relative to the proportionally larger pressure increase required during the initial stretching phase.

A 5% gel could be stretched up to 4-fold in the length direction (increase of the perimeter of the gel). Eventually, the membrane would burst and the breaking strength was calculated to be 0.49±0.09 kPa (n=9, mean±SD). A 7.5 % gelatin hydrogel could be stretched but did not break even when filling up the test setup completely with water, hence the breaking strength is >0.89 kPa. (Figure 2a). A 2.5 % membrane could be extended but no measurements were possible as no water column
was formed due to the softness of this membrane (Figure S3f, Supporting Information). Instead, the gel expanded until it bursted.

The Young’s modulus for 5 % gelatin hydrogel membranes was 0.35±0.08 kPa (n=9, mean±SD), calculated as described above, whereas for 7.5 % membranes, the Young’s modulus was 0.75±0.31 kPa (n=5, mean±SD) (Figure 2b). A storage modulus of ~0.26 kPa, corresponding to a Young’s modulus of ~0.78 kPa, was measured for the 5 % gelatin hydrogel in a Discovery Hybrid Rheometer 2 (measured 2 h after it had been crosslinked at 37°C inside the rheometer) (Figure S6a, Supporting Information). However, after about 8 h the storage modulus settled on ~0.36 kPa (Figure S6a, Supporting Information) corresponding to a Young’s modulus of ~1.08 kPa. In summary, the stretch measurement gave a Young’s modulus of 0.35 kPa, whereas measurements with a rheometer gave a higher Young’s modulus of 0.78 kPa.

Previously, measurements of samples of 5 % gelatin and comparable amounts of mTG as used here, have shown Young’s modulus in the range of ~6 kPa measured on a Solid Analyzer at 37°C without having the sample immersed.[34] Others have shown Young’s modulus of 12.4 kPa of 5 % gelatin hydrogels where the measurements were performed at room temperature.[35] The lower modulus measured in the stretch setup (Figure 2) compared to the rheometer and Solid Analyzer is believed to be caused by swelling of hydrogels in the immersed state.

Stretching by pressure from a liquid or a gas rather than a stainless-steel ball allows for functionalization of the hydrogel surface without disturbing the functionalization when inflating and/or stretching the surface. The inflation of the hydrogels in the presented setup does not result in a perfect sphere due to attachment at the rim. This causes inhomogeneous deformation with equibiaxial stretching at the pole and planar elongation at the rim.[36] The characteristics of the
inflation resemble the inflation of a balloon, where in the presented setup the lumen of the hydrogel balloon acts as a dynamic surface.

3.2 Characteristics of the gelatin hydrogel membrane

The hydrogel membrane was measured to be 0.58±0.04 mm (n=4 mean±SD) thick (Figure 3a). The thickness of the presented hydrogel membrane is comparable to the previously published stretchable hydrogels which range from 0.13 mm\textsuperscript{[22]} to 3 mm\textsuperscript{[37]}. The hydrogel could be reversibly expanded and contracted (Figure 3b) which is important if e.g. the lung is to be modelled. The gel typically was destroyed during handling where a pipette easily ruptures it. Stretch ratios of above 20 times have been published\textsuperscript{[37]} for one-dimensional (1D) stretches. By contrast, we observed stretch ratio of about 4 for a 5%, however, the area was stretchable up to 13-folds (Figure S6b, Supporting information). It is however unclear if 2D stretching compares to 3D stretching. A two to four-fold stretching in the length scale and 13-fold stretching in the area is more than sufficient for modulating tissues (see below). Furthermore, the stretching was shown to been reversible with gelatin membranes being able to be stretched and contracted at least 100 times. These tests were done by pipetting water up and down forcing the gelatin membrane to oscillate between 2.8 and 3.2 stretch ratio (data not shown).

It was found that the hydrogel was inflatable when adding PBS (Figure 3b) and 70 % (v/v) ethanol (Figure 3c), and when applying an air pressure (Figure 3c) indicating that the composition of the medium is not important for the expansion. Expansion of air as well as other liquids is essential for modelling various tissues like joints, skin, lungs, heart and intestine etc.

Food dye could diffuse through the hydrogel gel over time. After 16h, the dye has diffused completely into the receiving volume of the beaker. Interestingly, after an overnight incubation, the water column of the buffer solution decreased to a level where the membrane was only slightly inflated (Figure 3d). This indicates that water has diffused through the gel into the receiving beaker.
It is likely that this is due to the higher water pressure on the upper side of the gel due to the applied water column.

Other shapes and sizes of the gelatin hydrogel membranes were also feasible e.g. a larger membrane with a diameter of 18 mm and a 16x16 mm square could easily be formed (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Moreover, the membrane inflated with air could be dried in its inflated shape (Figure S4a-c, Supporting Information), and once dried, SEM revealed a smooth surface of the gelatin hydrogel membrane (Figure S4d, e, Supporting Information).

Prior published stretchable hydrogels rely on complicated casting procedures such as purification of proteins,[27,38] 3D printing,[20] integration of magnets,[21,22] nanopatterned hydrogels,[23] nanocomposition of clay nanosheets,[13,14] or a mixture of natural and synthetic polymers.[37] Whereas, the presented method only requires mixing gelatin and mTG. This reduces time and cost and diminishes the technical difficulties in when casting stretchable hydrogel. Furthermore, mTG is non-toxic, biocompatible, and is the most studied enzyme in protein-based crosslinked hydrogels in tissue engineering.[35] The mechanical behavior of gelatin crosslinking with mTG is dependent on the temperature at which the crosslinking is performed.

3.3 Stretch of cell culture on a gelatin hydrogel membrane
The gelatin membranes was subsequently used to study stretching of non-confluent cell layers. Non-confluent cell layer was used to easily see if cells where stretched and move relative to each other. Caco-2 (an epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line), a cell line commonly used for intestine modelling,[39] cells were seeded on the membranes in a 3D printed holder placed in a well plate (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Once the Caco-2 cells had attached to the membrane, they were stretched by changing the water pressure on the outside of the 3D printed membrane holder, (Figure 4a). In the initial state, the cell islands were close to each other (Figure 4b), and by removal of medium
from the basolateral side on the 3D printed holder, the cell islands were stretched apart (Figure 4c). The cell islands got closer together again by re-addition of the basolateral medium as the membrane re-contracted (Figure 4d). Observing cell islands being stretched apart would not be possible when stretching a hydrogel in a rheometer with a microscope extension, since the cells are on top of the hydrogel and the rheometer pushes a cylinder onto the sample.

The CRL-2922 human umbilical vein endothelial cell line was used to show morphological changes to cells when exposed to stretching of the gelatin membrane. CRL-2922 cells were allowed to attach to the gelatin membrane and the cells display typical endothelial cell morphology (Figure 4e) before stretching. Stretching the gelatin membrane resulted in stretching of the adhered endothelial cells (Figure 4f). This resulted in cells that got further elongated (one example is indicated by the black circle) or had one end detached from the surface (white circle). Cells that did not adhere well to the gelatin from start did not show stretching. CRL-2922 cells that kept adhering to the gelatin membrane could be re-contracted when contracting the gelatin membrane (Figure 4g, black marked cell). Also, cells that got partly released (white circle) showed contraction when the gelatin membrane was contracted. Hence, the gelatin membrane can be utilized to investigate individual cell reactions to stretching.

The mechanically stimulated deformation of the membrane is reversible; thus the membrane setup is suitable for mimicry of dynamic physiological movements. Moreover, the membrane mimics the extracellular matrix, since gelatin resembles collagen and connective tissue found in the body.[11,40] In addition, epithelial bending is essential for lumen morphogenesis,[41] hence the presented method could be applicable for tissue engineering of hollow organs. One lumen morphogenetic pathway is apical constriction by which the epithelial cells undergo apical shrinkage while keeping their volume constant. The apical constriction must be followed by an increase of the height of the cells and/or basal expansion.[41,42] By stretching the cells on the presented gelatin
hydrogel, it would be possible to cause both apical constriction and basal expansion. One such organ is the lung where it has been shown that apical constriction initiate new branching of lung tissue in chicken embryos.[43] The lung tissue is exposed to cyclic stretch and contraction with a rate of ~12 cycles/min in humans, as a result of breathing.[44] For rat lungs, a 40 % increase in the surface area of the alveolar epithelial basement membrane was found at 100 % total lung capacity.[45] The presented gelatin hydrogel is suitable as an alveolar epithelial basement membrane substrate, since it can easily be stretched 40 % (Figure 2a) and subsequently contracted with cells attached (Figure 4d). However, the increase of 40 % surface area was achieved by increasing the pressure transpulmonary pressure from 2 cmH$_2$O (~0.2 kPa) to 25 cmH$_2$O (~2.5 kPa),[45] comparing this to the pressure required to stretch the gelatin hydrogel (Figure 2a) the gelatin hydrogel is much softer. On the other hand, the alveolar epithelial basement membrane is supported by a layer of epithelial cells, endothelial cells, and elastic fibers making the alveoli strong.[46,47] Therefore, the in vivo tissue has more support than then presented gelatin hydrogel. The gelatin hydrogel can be tuned in strength by increasing the percentage of gelatin as was shown with 7.5 % gelatin (Figure 2). Normally, a barrier consists of a confluent cell layer. However, in the current setup it was not possible to change medium without disturbing the cells or rupturing the membrane. Thus, it is not possible to grow the cells for longer periods. Future studies will involve medium changes using pumps that gently can control expansion and contraction during medium changes to minimize stretching cell layer more than what is physiologically relevant.

4. Conclusion

We present a method of measuring Young’s modulus on soft hydrogels fully immersed in buffer only by changing the pressure of a water column on top of the hydrogel. The Young’s modulus measurements from the presented method were lower than that of benchmark techniques most likely
caused by the hydrogel being fully immersed during measurements. We present a soft gelatin hydrogel with a Young’s modulus around 0.35 kPa. The gelatin hydrogel composed of a natural polymer that is biocompatible and an extracellular matrix was utilized as a matrix for cells and stretching and contraction of the cells were done. The surface area of the gelatin hydrogel can be stretched by a factor of 13, which is beyond what is physiologically relevant. Moreover, the surface of the gelatin hydrogel can be functionalized with mammalian cell line cells for tissue engineering purposes.
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**Figure 1.** Schematic of the experimental setup. a) Gelatin hydrogel membrane (gray) in a 3D printed holder (black) was inflated as the pressure increases from a water column (light gray). b) Corresponding plot of pressure versus stretch ratio. By fitting the data to equation (8) the Young’s modulus can be extracted.

**Figure 2.** Immersed stretch of gelatin hydrogel membrane. a) Pressure as a function of stretch ratio for experiments with individual fits to equation (8). b) The corresponding Young’s modulus extracted from the immersed stretch experiments.

**Figure 3.** Characteristics of the stretchable gelatin hydrogel. a) Gelatin hydrogel membrane. b) Reversible expansion by addition and removal of 1 mL PBS on top of the gelatin membrane in a beaker with PBS. c) Gelatin hydrogel membrane expanded with 1 mL 70 % ethanol on top in a beaker with 70 % ethanol and membrane expanded by addition of air pressure from a syringe. d) Diffusion of blue food dye from 1 mL PBS food dye mixture thorugh the gelatin hydrogel into a beaker with PBS after 30 min and after 16 h.

**Figure 4.** Stretching of Caco-2 and CRL-2922 on gelatin hydrogel membrane. a) By removing medium from the outside of the inset with the membrane the membrane is stretch and by re-adding medium the membrane recontracts. b) Caco-2 cells on gelatin hydrogel membrane after 1 day incubation. Scale bar: 200 µm. c) Caco-2 cells on gelatin hydrogel membrane stretched by removing 600 µL medium basolaterally. Scale bar: 200 µm. d) Caco-2 cells on gelatin hydrogel membrane re-contracted by addition of 600 µL medium basolaterally. Scale bar: 200 µm. e) CRL-2922 cells on gelatin hydrogel membrane after 1 day incubation. Scale bar: 20 µm. f) CRL-2922 cells on gelatin hydrogel membrane stretched by removing 600 µL medium basolaterally. Scale bar:
20 \mu m. g) CRL-2922 cells on gelatin hydrogel membrane re-contracted by addition of 600 \mu L medium basolaterally. Scale bar: 20 \mu m.
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**Figure S1.** Technical drawings of 3D prints. a) Technical drawing of prints used for stretch with fluids. Measurements in mm. b) Technical drawing of prints used for stretch with air. Measurements in mm. c) Technical drawing of prints used for stretching of cell cultures. Measurements in mm.

**Figure S2.** Principle sketch of casting of gelatin hydrogel membrane. a) 200 µL gelatin and mTG mixture is pipetted onto a surface. b) 3D print for casting gelatin hydrogel membrane is dipped in the gelatin and mTG mixture. c) Resulting in a thin membrane in which seeding of cells is possible.

**Figure S3.** Casting of gelatin hydrogel membrane in other shapes and sizes. a) Gelatin hydrogel membrane casted in a circle of 18 mm in diameter. b) Stretch of gelatin hydrogel membrane in 18 mm diameter circle by 3 mL PBS in a beaker with PBS. c) Gelatin hydrogel membrane casted in a square with 16 mm sides. d) Stretch of gelatin hydrogel membrane in 16 mm sided square by PBS and food dye in a beaker with PBS. e) Stretch of gelatin hydrogel membrane in 16 mm sided square by PBS and food dye. f) Stretch of 2.5 % gelatin hydrogel membrane.

**Figure S4.** SEM of dried stretched hydrogels. a) Gelatin hydrogel membrane stretched with air pressure. b) Gelatin hydrogel membrane stretched with air pressure after 1 hour. c) Gelatin hydrogel membrane stretched with air pressure after 66 hours. d) SEM on dried stretched hydrogel. Scale bar: 500 µm. e) SEM on dried unstretched hydrogel. Scale bar: 500 µm

**Figure S5.** Circular fitting in ImageJ used for determining the surface area of the stretched gelatin hydrogel membrane.

**Figure S6.** a) Time course of storage modulus measurements with a rheometer. b) Pressure as a function of stretch area ratio (7.5 % gelatin: n=5 (red), 5 % gelatin: n=9 (black).