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ABSTRACT: We describe the synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinolines and tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts together with their pharmacological properties at various nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. In general the compounds were α4β2 nAChR antagonists, with the tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts being more potent than the parent tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives. The most potent α4β2 antagonist 6c, exhibited submicromolar binding Kᵢ and functional IC₅₀ values and high selectivity for this receptor over the α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs. Whereas the (S)-6c enantiomer was essentially inactive at α4β2, (R)-6c was a slightly more potent antagonist than the reference β2-nAChR antagonist DHβE. The observation that the α4β2 activity resided exclusively in the (R)-enantiomer was in full agreement with docking studies. Several of tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts also displayed agonist activity at the α7 nAChR. Preliminary in vivo evaluation revealed antidepressant-like effects of both (R)-5c and (R)-6c in the mouse forced swim test supporting the therapeutic potential of α4β2 nAChR antagonists for this indication.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), a heterogeneous family of ligand-gated ion channels widely distributed throughout the peripheral and central nervous systems, are involved in a broad range of psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders such as schizophrenia, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, depression, Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases, pain and substance abuse.\(^1\)\(^–\)\(^4\) Considerable efforts have been put into the design of agonists (based on scaffolds such as nicotine, varenicline, cytisine and epibatidine) as well as positive allosteric modulators targeting the neuronal nAChRs.\(^5\)\(^–\)\(^9\) In comparison, antagonists are far less studied, despite their substantial therapeutic potential.\(^10\)\(^–\)\(^20\) Most of the available nAChR antagonists are natural products such as methyllycaconitine (MLA), \(\alpha\)-bungarotoxin, ibogaine, \(d\)-tubocurarine, \(\alpha\)-conotoxins and dihydro-\(\beta\)-erythroidine (DH\(\beta\)E), the latter being a widely used selective antagonist of \(\beta2\)-containing heteromeric nAChRs and a semisynthetic member of the *Erythrina* alkaloid family.\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^22\) We recently reported the design, synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of 21 analogs of aromatic *Erythrina* alkaloids as nAChR antagonists and found that the structurally simple tetrahydroisoquinoline 1 (also known as \(O\)-methylcorypalline)\(^23\)\(^,\)\(^24\) displayed submicromolar binding affinity at the \(\alpha4\beta2\) nAChR and more than 300-fold binding selectivity over the \(\alpha4\beta4\), \(\alpha3\beta4\) and \(\alpha7\) subtypes (see Figure 1A).\(^25\)

Ligands containing quaternary nitrogens have previously been shown to possess high activity at the nAChR.\(^27\)\(^–\)\(^30\) For example, several known nAChR antagonists and neuromuscular blocking agents are mono- and bis-quaternary ammonium derivatives,\(^31\) and Crooks and co-workers have investigated \(N\)-substituted nicotine analogs and bis-azaaromatic quaternary ammonium ligands at the \(\alpha4\beta2\) and \(\alpha7\) receptors.\(^32\)\(^–\)\(^36\) Furthermore, introduction of a methyl group in cytisine (which provides caulophylline) has been shown to dramatically reduce its affinity at the \(\alpha4\beta2\) receptor, while a second \(N\)-methylation
restores the affinity. Finally, the nAChR antagonism exhibited by a broad range of synthetic and natural quaternary derivatives of curare-like alkaloids has been described.

In view of the promising properties of O-methylcorypalline (1) in our previous study, we decided to pursue a series of quaternary ammonium salts (series 2) based on this scaffold where the length and the size of the N-substituent was varied. Based on their pharmacological properties, two subsequent series of tetrahydroisoquinolines 3 and 5 were targeted to provide N-methyl tetrahydroisoquinolinium iodides 4 and 6, respectively (see Figure 1B).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthetic chemistry. As depicted in Scheme 1, treatment of tetrahydroisoquinoline 1 with the appropriate alkyl or benzyl halides led to the corresponding quaternary ammonium salts 2a–e in 67–96% yield. N-Methyl tetrahydroisoquinolinium iodides 4a–f and 6a–g were obtained in 63–96% yield after reaction of the corresponding tetrahydroisoquinolines 3a–f and 5a–g with methyl iodide in dry acetone. Besides, racemic ligands 5c and 5d were obtained via a three-step protocol as depicted in Scheme 2. First, phenethylamines 7a–b were heated in neat γ-butyrolactone at 150 °C for 15 min under MW conditions providing amides 8a–b which cyclized upon treatment with POCl3 at 150 °C for 15 min once again under MW conditions. Subsequent reduction of the intermediate iminium salt with NaBH4 gave tetrahydroisoquinolines 5c and 5d in 39% and 21% overall yield, respectively.

The two enantiomerically pure compounds (R)-5d and (S)-5d which are also known as (R)-(+) -crispine A and (S)-(−)-crispine A, respectively, as well as (S)-5c and (R)-5c were resolved via separation on chiral HPLC. The absolute configurations of (S)-5c and (R)-5c (and consequently (S)-6c and (R)-6c) were established as detailed in the Supporting Information. As shown in Scheme 2, (S)-5c, (R)-5c, (S)-5d and (R)-5d were quaternized using methyl iodide in acetone to provide (S)-6c, (R)-6c, (S)-6d and (R)-6d in 60%, 54%, 42% and 44% yield, respectively.

Computational chemistry: A docking study of the aforementioned ligands was performed using Glide in extra precision mode based on the recently published X-ray structure of the human α4β2
nAChR with a critical water molecule modelled into the binding site. Figure 2 shows the spacial limitations of the binding pocket with bicyclic derivatives $2a-c$. Thus, the quaternization of the amine, responsible for important $\pi$-cation interactions, with substituents larger than a methyl backbone leads to serious steric clashes which are substantiated by the affinities of the abovementioned ligands. Interestingly, the chiral carbon atom next to the amine showed consistent difference as there was a clear tendency of ligands with a $R$-configuration to yield higher binding affinity originating from $\pi$-cation interactions between the charged ligand nitrogen and receptor residues as well as a hydrogen-bond between the moiety derived from a catechol function and the water molecule. The specific binding of the ligands was mediated by the two mentioned pharmacophores where the hydrogen-bond acceptor moiety was often the differentiator between the two enantiomers, as the ligands tended to twist which resulted in an increased hydrogen bond acceptor-donor distance. As shown in Figure 3A, the position of the amine moiety of (R)-$6c$ (colored in dark green) and (S)-$6c$ (colored in purple) seems to be regulated by the absolute configuration in order to obtain optimal fit into the binding site. This is confirmed by the poses of (R)-$6c$ and (S)-$6c$ depicted in Figure 3B. Although both ligands appear very uniform, small changes regarding the amine position are critical for the affinity and the number of interactions.

With a coefficient of determination of 0.58 between the docking scores and the in vitro data of the enantiopure ligands, the model correlates well with the experimentally determined affinities. This was further supported by re-docking nicotine into the binding site yielding a root-mean-square deviation value of 0.66 Å. The generated poses indicated that the position of the amine moiety was essential as it entailed 2-4 $\pi$-cation interactions to receptor residues depending on the compound as expected based on previous studies (for more details see the Supporting Information).

In vitro evaluation: The binding properties of the compounds were determined using membranes from the stable $\alpha3\beta4$, $\alpha4\beta4$- and $\alpha4\beta2$-HEK293 cell lines in a [$^3$H]epibatidine binding assay. The functional properties of the compounds were determined using the m$\alpha4\beta2$-HEK293T- and m$\alpha3\beta4$-HEK293-cell lines in the FLIPR Membrane Potential Blue (FMP) assay essentially as previously
described, whereas the functional characterization of selected ligands at the human α7 nAChR was performed at the stable hα7Ric-3/NACHO-HEK293 cell line in the Ca2+/Fluo-4 assay in the presence of the α7 nAChR PAM PNU-120596 (3 μM). (S)-Nicotine (EC70-EC90) was used as agonist in the antagonist experiments at mα4β2 and rα3β4 in the FMP assay, and acetylcholine (EC70-EC90) was used as agonist in the antagonist experiments at hα7 in the Ca2+/Fluo-4 assay. All ligands were tested both as agonists and antagonists.

Binding and functional properties of the analogs at the α4β2, α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs. The methyl tetrahydroisoquinolinium derivative 2a was found to be equipotent with the parent compound as an α4β2 nAChR antagonist. The N-ethylation of O-methylcorypalline (1) which provided derivative 2b was also well tolerated although its affinity at the α4β2 nAChR was about 10-fold lower when compared to the methyl derivative 2a. A further increase in the bulk on the nitrogen when growing through propyl, allyl and benzyl led to decreases in both the affinities and antagonistic potencies of the analogs at the α4β2 nAChR (Table 1) as suggested by the docking studies. All of these compounds displayed negligible binding affinities at the α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs. In view of this, we proceeded with the quaternization of structurally related scaffolds with N-methyl groups. Derivatives 3d and 4d displayed no significant binding affinity at any of the tested subtypes, suggesting that substitution on C-1 is detrimental to nAChR activity, at least when a rather bulky substituent is introduced in this position. In contrast, the binding affinities at α4β2 were increased for all bicyclic derivatives with the presence of the quaternary nitrogen. Overall, the tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts 4 exhibited ~5-fold higher binding affinities at α4β2 than the parent tetrahydroisoquinolines 3, with all of these analogs displaying negligible activity at the α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs (Table 1, Figure 4A). For example, 4c displayed a similar Ki value at the α4β2 nAChR (0.38 μM) as that displayed by DHβE and ~80- and ~130-fold binding selectivity for α4β2 over α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs, respectively. In contrast, the IC50 values displayed by the tetrahydroisoquinolinium compounds compared to their respective tetrahydroisoquinolines at the α4β2 nAChR in the FMP assay were largely comparable, and thus the
introduction of the methyl group on the nitrogen only seemed to slightly increase the functional inhibitory potencies of some of these analogs. We propose that this difference in the relative binding affinities and antagonist potencies of the bicyclic derivatives 3 and 4 could arise from the fact that the measurement of binding affinities and functional inhibitory potencies most likely are performed at different α4β2 nAChR conformations. In terms of understanding of the SAR of these compounds, no clear conclusions with respect to the substitution pattern on the phenyl ring of the tested bicyclic ligands could be extracted from these series.

Interestingly, the increase in α4β2 binding affinity brought on by quaternization of the nitrogen with a methyl side chain was reproduced when moving from the bicyclic scaffold to the tricyclic ring system, albeit to a smaller extent than for the bicyclic analogs (Table 2). Thus, quaternization of 5a–g generally led to ligands (6a–g) exhibiting higher binding affinities at the α4β2 nAChR, and this was also generally accompanied by weak binding affinities to the α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs (Table 2, Figure 4A). For example, the tetrahydroisoquinolinium derivative 6c displayed a 5-fold lower Kᵢ value (0.14 µM) than DHβE at α4β2 and displayed the highest degree of binding selectivity for α4β2 over the α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs (360- and 210-fold, respectively) of the analogs in the series. Compounds 5e and 6e constituted interesting outliers from this overall α4β2 selectivity, as both ligands displayed comparable binding affinities to the α4β2 and α4β4 nAChRs and considerably weaker binding affinities to the α3β4 subtype. When tested at the α4β2 nAChR in the FMP assay, several of the tetrahydroisoquinolinium analogs displayed significantly higher antagonist potencies than the corresponding tetrahydroisoquinoline analogs, the IC₅₀ values of 6a, 6b, 6c and 6e at the α4β2 nAChR being 5-10 fold lower than those of 5a, 5b, 5c and 5e, respectively (Table 2, Figure 4A). With a functional IC₅₀ value of 0.52 µM, 6c was the most potent α4β2 nAChR antagonist emerging from this series, and just as the other derivatives in this study 6c displayed negligible activity at the α3β4 nAChR in the FMP assay.

Inspired by the findings in the computational chemistry investigation and in a previous study on a α4β2-selective bridged-nicotine antagonist,¹⁸ we next investigated whether the two sets of enantiomers of 5e
and 5d, i.e. ligands (S)-5c, (R)-5c, (S)-5d and (R)-5d and their corresponding quaternized analogs (S)-6c, (R)-6c, (S)-6d and (R)-6d, respectively, would exhibit different pharmacological properties at the nAChRs. Characterization of (S)-5d and (R)-5d revealed that the α4β2 activity resides in the (R)-enantiomer, (R)-5d displaying Ki values of 2.5, ~100 and ~100 µM at the α4β2, α4β4 and α3β4, respectively (Table 3). (S)-6d and (R)-6d displayed similar tendencies with (R)-6d exhibiting Ki values of 2.4, ~25 and ~25 µM at the α4β2, α4β4 and α3β4 receptors, respectively. As observed in Table 3, compounds (S)-5c and (R)-5c displayed higher affinity for the α4β2 receptor than (S)-5d and (R)-5d [(S)- and (R)-crispine-A, respectively]. Moreover, (R)-5c exhibited ~25-fold higher binding affinity (Ki = 0.17 µM) than (S)-5c at this subtype. The corresponding quaternary ammonium salts were also tested, and here the α4β2 activity was also found to reside in one enantiomer as (R)-6c displayed Ki values of 0.045, 2.7 and 11 µM at the α4β2, α4β4 and α3β4, respectively. Notably, (R)-6c exhibited ~10-fold higher binding affinities than DHβE itself at all of the three tested nAChRs (α4β2, α4β4 and α3β4) (Table 3, Figure 4B). These differences in the α4β2 activity between the (S)- and (R)-enantiomers were mirrored in the functional properties as (R)-5c, (R)-5d, (R)-6c and (R)-6d displayed ~23-, >6-, >450- and >14-fold lower IC50 values, respectively, than their respective (S)-enantiomers at the receptor in the FMP assay (Table 3). (R)-6c was the most potent α4β2 antagonist in the series, displaying an IC50 value of 0.22 µM and ~230 fold selectivity for this receptor over the α3β4 subtype (Table 3, Figure 4B).

The tricyclic derivative (R)-6c displayed significantly higher binding affinity and somewhat higher antagonist potency at the α4β2 nAChR than DHβE. Since (R)-6c also exhibited higher binding affinities at α4β4 and α3β4 nAChRs and also is a fairly potent α7 nAChR agonist, it cannot be claimed to be a more selective β2-nAChR antagonist than DHβE (Table 3, Figures 4B and 4C). However, considering its high antagonist potency at α4β2 and being a much more accessible scaffold for derivatization efforts than DHβE, we propose that this ligand could be an interesting lead structure for the future development of β2-nAChR selective antagonists. Alternatively, some of the several potent and truly selective α4β2 antagonists identified in this study (for example, analogs 3e–f and 5c) could be applied in such efforts.
**Functional properties of the analogs at the α7 nAChR.** The functional properties of the ligands at the α7 nAChR were investigated at a HEK293 cell line stably co-expressing the receptor with the Ric-3 and NACHO proteins in the Ca^{2+}/Fluo-4 assay.\textsuperscript{47,48} Agonist-induced responses through the α7 nAChR in these cells could not be detected in the assay unless the assay buffer was supplemented with PNU-120596 (3 μM), an α7 nAChR PAM that exerts its modulatory effects by dramatically slowing down the extremely fast desensitization of the receptor.\textsuperscript{49,50} Thus, the presence of PNU-120596 in the assay means that the functional properties of the ligands were determined at essentially non-desensitizing α7 receptors. Nevertheless, the functionalities as well as the rank orders of agonist and antagonist potencies exhibited by a selection of 8 reference agonists and 4 reference antagonists at the receptor in the assay were found to be in good agreement with the pharmacological properties reported for the ligands in the literature (see the Supporting Information for more details). Hence, while the presence of PNU-120596 in the assay certainly should be kept in mind and caution should be taken when it comes to the absolute values for potencies and efficacies displayed by the ligands in the assay, we propose that the basic functionalities exhibited by the ligands as well as the rank order of their potencies are likely to reflect their true pharmacological characteristics at the receptor.

In concordance with the SAR displayed by compounds 1–6 at the α4β2 nAChR, the tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts (2a–e, 4a–f, 6a–g) were consistently more potent ligands at the α7 nAChR than their corresponding tetrahydroisoquinolines (1, 3a–f, 5c–g) (Tables 1–3). In fact, the differences in the activities exhibited by the respective analogs at the α7 receptor were even more pronounced than at the α4β2 nAChR. With the exception of 5a–b that displayed weak but significant α7 antagonism, all tetrahydroisoquinolines (1, 3a–f, 5c–g) displayed negligible activity at the α7 nAChR. In contrast, the tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts (2a–e, 4a–f, 6a–g) were not only more potent α7 ligands but displayed a wide range of receptor functionalities, ranging from being moderately potent antagonists (2e, IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.0 μM) over fairly potent agonists (for example 4e and 6e with EC\textsubscript{50} values of 0.99 μM and 1.2 μM, respectively) to other apparently potent agonists that displayed notable biphasic concentration-
response curves (**4a–b, 6a–b**). The most potent agonists in the series displayed EC\textsubscript{50} values comparable to those exhibited by ACh, (S)-nicotine and (–)-cytisine at the receptor, while not being nearly as potent as other reference nAChR agonists such as (±)-epibatidine and varenicline or as the α7-selective agonists TC-1698 and PNU-282987 (see the Supporting Information for more details).

As described above, quaternization of the tetrahydroisoquinoline scaffold yielded compounds with increased α7 nAChR activity. As an example of this, the inactivity of **1** at the receptor (both as agonist and antagonist) was contrasted by the pronounced agonist activity displayed by the corresponding tetrahydroisoquinolinium salt **2a** (EC\textsubscript{50} value of 9.0 µM). The introduction of an ethyl, propyl or allyl group on the nitrogen completely eliminated the α7 activity (**2b–d**), which could be a reflection of steric clashes between these bigger aliphatic substituents and some of the residues forming the orthosteric binding site. However, the benzyl-substituted analog **2e** displayed potent antagonist activity at the receptor (IC\textsubscript{50} value of 2.0 µM). Thus, the aromatic substituent is either able to fit into the binding pocket or alternatively protrudes into a vestibule adjacent to the orthosteric site. Whichever way **2e** accommodates binding to α7, it is clearly not able to trigger channel gating in the receptor, in contrast to the methyl analog **2a**. Furthermore, judging from the negligible activity displayed by **2e** at α4β2 and the other heteromeric nAChRs, this analog could be an interesting lead compound for future development of selective α7 nAChR antagonists. In this respect, it is interesting to note that ligands **4c** and **4e** (the 6,7-methylenedioxy and 7-hydroxy-6-methoxy analogs of **2a**, respectively) are considerabl y more potent α7 agonists than **2a** with EC\textsubscript{50} values of 2.6 and 0.99 µM, respectively. Thus, introduction of a benzyl group on the nitrogen in this series could potentially yield more potent antagonists.

In **4a–f**, the 6- and 7-substituents on the tetrahydroisoquinolinium scaffold were varied compared to the 6,7-dimethoxy analog **2a**. As mentioned above, the 7-hydroxy-6-methoxy **4e** and 6,7-methylenedioxy **4c** analogs were both considerably more potent α7 agonists than **2a**, whereas 6-methoxy **4f** essentially was equipotent with **2a** at the receptor (Table 1). Analogously to the inactivity of **4d** at the heteromeric nAChRs, introduction of a dMBn group in the C1–position also almost completely eliminated its α7
activity. Interestingly, introduction of benzyloxy substituents in either the 6-position or the 7-position of the tetrahydroisoquinolinium scaffold resulted in derivatives 4a–b that while displaying agonist activities in the same concentration ranges as ligands 4c and 4e also displayed distinctly biphasic concentration-response curves. It is tempting to ascribe the decreased agonist responses observed at higher concentrations of these analogs to increased degrees of receptor desensitization, despite the presence of PNU-120596 in the assay and even though the other agonists in the series did not exhibit this characteristic. However, in view of the rather coarse measurement of α7 nAChR signaling provided by this assay, solid conclusions on the underlying basis for these signaling characteristics will have to await electrophysiology studies. Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that 6a–b, the tricyclic derivatives corresponding to 4a–b, display very similar biphasic concentration-response curves and thus this signaling phenotype was exclusively observed for derivatives comprising a benzyloxy substituent.

The agonist properties displayed by the tricyclic analogs 6a–g were comparable to those exhibited by the bicyclic derivatives 4a–f with similar substituents on the catechol moiety (Tables 1–2). Thus, 6c–g displayed low-micromolar EC\textsubscript{50} values as α7 nAChR agonists and 6a–b displayed similar biphasic concentration-response curves at the receptors as the bicyclic analogs 4a–b.

**In vivo evaluation.** Since the compounds (R)-5c and (R)-6c displayed the highest antagonist potencies at the α4β2 nAChR in vitro, and given the fact that a similar ligand has been found to possess antidepressant-like activity,\textsuperscript{25} these two analogs were selected for preliminary in vivo evaluations (Figure 5). (R)-5c and (R)-6c were tested in the mouse forced swim test,\textsuperscript{51} showing that both compounds significantly increased swimming activity. Ligand (R)-5c showed the most pronounced effect (ANOVA: significant main effect of treatment (F\textsubscript{3,32}=5.98; p<0.01)), showing a dose-dependent increase in swimming behavior. Pairwise comparisons revealed a near-significant effect of 1 (p=0.08) and 3 mg/kg (p=0.06) and a significant effect of 10 mg/kg (p<0.001). For compound (R)-6c, there was no significant main effect of treatment (F\textsubscript{3,32}=1.87; p=0.154), but pairwise comparisons revealed that swimming was significantly increased by 10 mg/kg (p<0.05). Compound 1, previously shown to exhibit...
antidepressant-like properties in the mFST, albeit at higher doses, is included in Fig. 5 for comparison (Crestey et al., 2013).

These findings are in line with several previous studies showing antidepressant-like effects in mice following antagonism of nAChRs, and the antidepressant-like effect of mecamylamine was revealed to depend on both β2- and α7- subunit containing nAChRs. Female NMRI mice similar to those used in the present study have previously shown antidepressant-like responses to the non-selective nAChR antagonist mecamylamine as well as α4β2- and α7-selective nAChR antagonists. Although the α7 nAChR agonist PNU-282987 by itself did not affect swimming in the mFST, it enhanced the effects mediated by the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor citalopram and of the selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor reboxetine. Therefore, it is possible that α7 nAChR agonism counteracts the antidepressant-like effect of α4β2 nAChR antagonism, causing the combined α4β2 antagonism/α7 agonism profile of (R)-6c to be less efficacious than the more selective α4β2 nAChR antagonist (R)-5c. Another explanation could be that the fixed positive charge on (R)-6c inhibits transport across the blood-brain barrier.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have investigated the effects of quaternizing several series of tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives in the search of new nAChR ligands. We found that the N-methylation of O-methylcorypalline (1) was well tolerated whereas quaternization with larger substituents led to reduced activity at the α4β2 nAChR. Subsequent quaternization of similar ligands with methyl iodide provided compounds displaying increased binding affinities and antagonist potencies at the α4β2 nAChR. The most potent compound (6c) was resolved and we found that the pharmacological activity at the α4β2 nAChR resides solely in the (R)-enantiomer. The in vitro data at the α4β2 nAChR were in good agreement with the results arising from the docking studies, providing an excellent starting point for the design and synthesis of new ligands. Preliminary in vivo evaluations indicated antidepressant-like effect of (R)-5c.
and (R)-6c in the mouse forced swim test which were consistent with previous reports of antidepressant action of nAChR antagonists.

The 40 ligands investigated in this study revealed new compounds with interesting profiles at the nAChRs. We identified potent and selective α4β2 nAChR antagonists displaying negligible activities at the other major neuronal nAChRs and several dual α4β2/α7 nAChR ligands displaying potent α4β2 antagonism and potent α7 agonism. With α4β2 being the only β2-containing nAChRs included in this study, it remains to be clarified whether the compounds, analogously to DHβE, also possess activity at other β2-containing subtypes.
EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry – Material and Methods. Reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purifications. Syringes which were used to transfer anhydrous solvents or reagents were purged with nitrogen prior to use. Other solvents were analytical or HPLC grade and were used as received. Yields refer to isolated compounds estimated to be > 95 % pure as determined by HPLC and LC-MS. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on silica gel 60 F254 plates from Merck (Germany). Visualization was accomplished under UV lamp (254 nm). Flash column chromatography was performed on chromatography grade, silica gel 60 Å particle size 35–70 micron from Fisher Scientific using the solvent system as stated. Microwave-assisted synthesis was carried out in a Biotage Initiator apparatus operating in single mode; the microwave cavity producing controlled irradiation at 2.45 GHz (Biotage AB, Uppsala, Sweden). The reactions were run in sealed vessels. These experiments were performed by employing magnetic stirring and a fixed hold time using variable power to reach (during 1–2 min) and then maintain the desired temperature in the vessel for the programmed time period. The temperature was monitored by an IR sensor focused on a point on the reactor vial glass. The IR sensor was calibrated to internal solution reaction temperature by the manufacturer. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian 300 (Mercury and Gemini instruments) or on Bruker (400 and 600 MHz) instruments, using CDCl3 or DMSO-d6 as deuterated solvents and with the residual solvent as the internal reference. For all NMR experiments the deuterated solvent signal was used as the internal lock. Coupling constants (J values) are given in Hertz (Hz). Multiplicities of 1H NMR signals are reported as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; dt, doublet of triplets; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad signal. Melting points (mp) were determined using a MPA100 Optimelt melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using a Bruker Daltonics MicroTOF instrument.

Synthesis and Analytical Data of Representative Compounds.

6,7-Methylenedioxy-2,2-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-2-ium iodide (4c). To a solution of 6,7-methylenedioxy-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 3c (191 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry
acetone (3 mL) was added methyl iodide (623 µL, 10 mmol, 10 equiv) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 12 h in the dark and then filtered. The resulting solid was washed with dry acetone to lead to pure 4c as a white solid (297 mg, 96%); dec 216 °C; 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.00 (s, 2H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 3.57–3.68 (m, 2H), 3.12 (s, 6H), 2.98–3.08 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 147.9, 147.1, 123.5, 120.5, 109.1, 107.2, 102.0, 63.0, 59.0, 51.3 (2C), 24.2; HRMS (APPI): M⁺ found 206.1176. C₁₂H₁₆NO₂ requires 206.1182.

8,9-Methylenedioxy-1,2,3,5,6,10b-hexahydropyrrolo[2,1-a]isoquinoline (5c).²⁵ To a MW vial were successively added compound 8a (1.51 g, 6.01 mmol, 1 equiv), acetonitrile (13.2 mL) and POCl₃ (4.61 g, 30.05 mmol, 5 equiv) at room temperature. The MW vial was sealed and heated under MW conditions for 15 min at 150 °C. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting material was dissolved in an AcOH–MeOH (1:12, 13 mL) mixture prior to addition of NaBH₄ (0.91 g, 24.04 mmol, 4 equiv) portionwise at 0 °C with resulting gas evolution. Once the effervescence vanished, the resulting mixture was transferred into a new MW vial which was sealed and heated under MW conditions for 15 min at 90 °C. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (25 mL) and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (2 x 40 mL) then the combined organic layers were successively washed with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc–MeOH–TEA (40:10:1) as eluent to provide 5c as a pale yellow oil which slowly solidified (0.68 g, 52%); 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.58 (s, 1H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 5.88 (s, 2H), 3.34 (br t, J = 8.4, 1H), 3.14–3.18 (m, 1H), 3.06–3.10 (m, 1H), 2.97–3.04 (m, 1H), 2.72 (br dt, J = 16.3 and J = 3.8, 1H), 2.52 (q, J = 8.7, 1H), 2.25–2.32 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.97 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.65–1.73 (m, 1H).

In Vivo Pharmacology – Methods and Data Analysis.

Methods: Mice (n = 9–10) were individually placed in a beaker (16 cm in diameter) filled to a height of 20 cm of water maintained at 23.5–24.5 °C. Total swim distance during the 6 min test period was
automatically recorded by a camera mounted above the cylinders and stored on a computer equipped with Ethovision (Noldus, The Netherlands). Twenty-four hours prior to drug testing a pre-test was performed to establish baseline swim distance for each mouse. Compounds \((R)-5c\) and \((R)-6c\) were dissolved in saline (0.9% NaCl) and given subcutaneously 15 min prior to testing in an injection volume of 10 mL/kg. \textbf{Data analysis:} The first minute was omitted from the data before statistical analysis. This is because animals generally swim extensively for the first minute, irrespective of treatment; hence, any true treatment effect only becomes apparent after one minute. Swim distance was analyzed using a one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) and followed by pairwise comparisons of the predicted means using the Planned Comparisons procedure. To ensure variance homogeneity and normality, data were log-transformed before statistical analysis. Differences were considered significant for \(p < 0.05\).
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ABBREVIATIONS USED

HPLC: high-performance liquid chromatography; MW: microwave; DCM: dichloromethane; AcOH: acetic acid; MeOH: methanol; TEA: triethylamine; EtOAc: ethyl acetate; Bn: benzyl; dMBn: 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl; ee: enantiomeric excess; DHβE: dihydro-β-erythroidine; nAChR: nicotinic acetylcholine receptor; MLA: methyllycaconitine; HEK: human embryonic kidney; PAM: positive allosteric modulator; SAR: structure-activity relationship; FMP: FLIPR Membrane Potential Blue; S.E.M.: standard error of the mean.
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(31) For example, pancuronium bromide (trademarked as Pavulon) and atracurium besilate (trademarked as Tracrium) are still used for euthanasia and anaesthesia, respectively. Moreover, vecuronium bromide (trademarked as Norcuron), doxacurium chloride and mivacurium chloride are used as skeletal muscle relaxation during surgery. Hexamethonium chloride, used to treat chronic hypertension, inhibits the ganglionic nicotinic receptors.


Performing the reduction with NaBH₄ (4 equiv) at room temperature in a mixture MeOH–AcOH (12:1) overnight provided tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives 5c and 5d within the same range of yields (or even higher) than when the reduction was performed under MW conditions.

The ee of (S)-5d after chiral HPLC separation was 95.9% while the ee of (R)-5d was 99.3%. See the Supporting Information for more details regarding the chiral HPLC separation as well as the optical rotation measurements in order to confirm the absolute configuration of the two enantiomers.

The absolute configuration of the two enantiomers (S)-5c and (R)-5c was correctly assigned throughout the whole article although at the time of the study the absolute configuration was not formally yet established. The ee of (S)-5c after chiral HPLC separation was 97.0% while the ee of (R)-5c was 99.1%. See the Supporting Information for more details.


(51) In this behavioral test, a mouse which has received the tested compound is place in an inescapable tank which is filled with water; the distance swum by the animal is measured. An increase in the swimming distance is interpreted as an antidepressant-like effect of the tested compound. For more details, see: Slattery, D. A.; Cryan, J. F. Using the Rat Forced Swim Test to Assess Antidepressant-like Activity in Rodents. *Nature Protoc.* **2012**, *7*, 1009–1014.


FIGURES, SCHEMES AND TABLES TITLES

Scheme 1. Synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinolinium derivatives 2a–e, 4a–f and 6a–g. Reagents and conditions: (i) RX (10 equiv), acetone, rt or 35 °C, 12 h, 67–96% for 2a–e, 65–96% for 4a–f, 63–81% for 6a–g. dMBn = 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl; Bn = benzyl.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of racemic derivatives 5c and 5d and tetrahydroisoquinolinium derivatives (S)-6c, (R)-6c, (S)-6d and (R)-6d. Reagents and conditions: (i) γ-butyrolactone (1.1 equiv), MW, 150 °C, 15 min, 76% for 8a, 70% for 8b; (ii) a) POCl₃ (5 equiv), CH₃CN, MW, 150 °C, 15 min; b) NaBH₄ (4 equiv), MeOH–AcOH (12:1), MW, 90 °C, 15 min, 52% for 5c, 30% for 5d (within 2 steps); (iii) CH₃I (15 equiv), acetone, rt, 2 h, 60% for (S)-6c, 54% for (R)-6c, 42% for (S)-6d, 44% for (R)-6d.

Table 1. Pharmacological properties of bicyclic compounds 1, 2, 3 and 4 at nAChRs.

Table 2. Pharmacological properties of tricyclic compounds 5 and 6 at nAChRs.

Table 3. Pharmacological properties of enantiopure tricyclic compounds 5 and 6 at nAChRs.

Figure 1. (A) Structures of DHβE,²⁶ erysodine,¹² erysotrine¹² and O-methylcorypalline (1).²⁵ In parentheses are the Kᵢ values of the compounds at α4β2 nAChR subtype determined in a [³H]epibatidine (for DHβE and 1) or [³H]cytisine (for erysotrine and erysodine) binding assay. (B) Retrosynthetic strategy towards tetrahydrosioquinolinium salts 2, 4 and 6 from derivatives 1, 3 and 5, respectively.

Figure 2. GlideXP docking of ligands 2a (green), 2b (orange) and 2c (red) explaining the order of affinity of the three ligands as a consequence of the limited space in the binding pocket. Docking experiments are based on the X-ray structure of the human α4β2 nicotinic receptors (PDB ID: 5KXI).⁴⁴

Figure 3. GlideXP docking of (R)-6c (green) and (S)-6c (purple) seen from two different perspectives: (A) Here the interactions with three residues in the binding pocket are highlighted. Hydrogen-bonds are shown in yellow dashed line while π-cation interactions are shown in green dashed lines. (B) Here the interactions with the water molecule and the size of the binding pocket are highlighted. Hydrogen-bonds
are shown in yellow dashed line while \( \pi \)-cation interactions are shown in green dashed lines. Docking experiments are based on the X-ray structure of the human \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 \) nicotinic receptors (PDB ID: 5KXI).\(^4\)

**Figure 4.** In vitro pharmacological properties of tetrahydroisoquinolines and tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts at the nAChRs. (A) Pharmacological properties of the tetrahydroisoquinolines and tetrahydroisoquinolinium derivatives at the \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 \) nAChR in the \(^3\)H]epibatidine binding and FMP assays. *Left and middle:* Comparison between the binding affinities (pK\(_i\) ± S.E.M., left) and antagonist potencies (pIC\(_{50}\) ± S.E.M., middle) displayed by the tetrahydroisoquinolines 1, 3a–f, 5a–g, (R)-5c, (S)-5c, (R)-5d and (S)-5d and the corresponding tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts 2a, 4a–f, 6a–g, (R)-6c, (S)-6c, (R)-6d and (S)-6d at the \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 \) nAChR. *Right:* Correlation between the binding affinities (pK\(_i\) ± S.E.M.) and antagonist potencies (pIC\(_{50}\) ± S.E.M.) displayed by all active tetrahydroisoquinolines and tetrahydroisoquinolinium salts the \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 \) nAChR. (B) Binding properties of DH\( \beta \)E, (R)-5c and (R)-6c at nAChRs. Concentration-inhibition curves for DH\( \beta \)E, (R)-5c and (R)-6c at \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 , \alpha 3 \beta 4 \) and \( \alpha 4 \beta 4 \) in the \(^3\)H]epibatidine binding assay. (C) Functional properties of DH\( \beta \)E, (R)-5c and (R)-6c at nAChRs. *Left:* Concentration-inhibition curves for DH\( \beta \)E, (R)-5c and (R)-6c at \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 \) and \( \alpha 3 \beta 4 \) in the FMP assay and for DH\( \beta \)E at \( \alpha 7 \) in the Ca\(^{2+}\)/Fluo-4 assay. *Right:* Concentration-response curves for ACh, (R)-5c and (R)-6c at \( \alpha 7 \) in the Ca\(^{2+}\)/Fluo-4 assay (obtained in the presence of 3 \( \mu \)M PNU-120596). Figures B and C depict data from single representative experiment performed as described in the Supporting Information and error bars are omitted for clarity.

**Figure 5.** Effects of (R)-5c (A) and (R)-6c (B) in the mouse forced swim test. The effect of the previously published\(^2\) compound 1 is included for comparison (C). *\( p<0.05; ***p<0.001 \) (n=9-10).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Binding Kᵢ (µM)</th>
<th>Functional (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α4β2</td>
<td>α4β4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHβE</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>~25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>~300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>~50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>~300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>~300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>~300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>~50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3e</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>~100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3f</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>~25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>~50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The binding properties of the compounds were determined with membranes from the stable rα3β4-, rα4β4- and rα4β2-HEK293 cell lines in a [3H]epibatidine binding assay, while the functional properties of the compounds were determined using the mα4β2-HEK293T- and rα3β4-HEK293-cell lines in the FMP assay. The functional characterization of selected ligands at the human α7 nAChR was performed at the stable hα7Ric-3/NACHO-HEK293 cell line in the Ca2+/Fluo-4 assay in the presence of 3 µM PNU-120596. The data were the means of 3–5 individual experiments performed in duplicate. The complete data set for this table (i.e., K_i [pK_i ± S.E.M.] values from the binding experiments, IC_{50} [pIC_{50} ± S.E.M.], EC_{50} [pEC_{50} ± S.E.M.] and R_{max} ± S.E.M. values from the functional experiments are given in the Supporting information.

Agonist-concentration response curves not complete within the tested concentration range. Significant agonist responses observed at concentrations of 30 µM or 10 µM. Agonist-concentration response curves were biphasic. The compounds elicited significant and concentration-dependent agonist responses at lower concentrations, whereas higher concentrations elicited smaller responses. Significant agonist responses that increased with increasing concentrations were observed for 1 and 3 µM (compound 4a) and for 0.3, 1 and 3 µM (compound 4b). At 10 µM and higher concentrations, the agonist-induced responses decreased substantially.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Binding $K_i$ (µM)</th>
<th>Functional (µM)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha 4\beta 2$</td>
<td>$\alpha 4\beta 4$</td>
<td>$\alpha 3\beta 4$</td>
<td>$\alpha 4\beta 2$ (IC$_{50}$)</td>
<td>$\alpha 3\beta 4$ (IC$_{50}$)</td>
<td>$\alpha 7$ (IC$_{50}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHβE</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>~100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5f</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5g</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>~100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6g</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The binding properties of the compounds were determined with membranes from the stable rα3β4-, rα4β4- and rα4β2-
HEK293 cell lines in a [3H]epibatidine binding assay while the functional properties of the compounds were determined
using the mα4β2-HEK293T- and rα3β4-HEK293-cell lines in the FMP assay. The functional characterization of selected
ligands at the human α7 nAChR was performed at the stable hα7Ric-3NACHO-HEK293 cell line in the Ca2+/Fluo-4 assay in the
presence of 3 μM PNU-120596. The data were the means of 3–5 individual experiments performed in duplicate. The
complete data set for this table (i.e., Ki [pKi ± S.E.M.] values from the binding experiments, IC50 [pIC50 ± S.E.M.], EC50
[pEC50 ± S.E.M.] and Rmax ± S.E.M. values from the functional experiments are given in the Supporting information. a
Agonist-concentration response curves not complete within the tested concentration range. Significant agonist responses
observed at concentrations of 30 μM. Agonist-concentration response curves were biphasic. The compounds elicited
significant and concentration-dependent agonist responses at lower concentrations, whereas higher concentrations elicited
smaller responses. Significant agonist responses that increased with increasing concentrations were observed for 0.3, 1 and 3
μM. At 10 μM and higher concentrations, the agonist-induced responses decreased substantially.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Binding $K_i$ (µM)</th>
<th>Functional (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_4\beta_2$</td>
<td>$\alpha_4\beta_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHβE</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>~25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-5c</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>~100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-5c</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-5d</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-5d</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>~100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-6c</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>~25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-6c</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-6d</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-6d</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>~25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The binding properties of the compounds were determined with membranes from the stable $\alpha_3\beta_4$-, $\alpha_4\beta_4$- and $\alpha_4\beta_2$-HEK293 cell lines in a [³H]epibatidine binding assay while the functional properties of the compounds were determined using the $\alpha_4\beta_2$-HEK293T- and $\alpha_3\beta_4$-HEK293-cell lines in the FMP assay. The functional characterization of selected ligands at the human $\alpha_7$ nAChR was performed at the stable h$\alpha_7$Ric-3NACHO-HEK293 cell line in the Ca²⁺/Fluo-4 assay in the presence of 3 µM PNU-120596. The data were the means of 3–5 individual experiments performed in duplicate. The complete data set for this table (i.e., $K_i$ [pK$_i$ ± S.E.M.] values from the binding experiments, IC$_{50}$ [pIC$_{50}$ ± S.E.M.], EC$_{50}$ [pEC$_{50}$ ± S.E.M.] and $R_{max}$ ± S.E.M. values from the functional experiments are given in the Supporting information. $^b,c$ Agonist-concentration response curves not complete within the tested concentration range. Significant agonist responses observed at concentrations of 30 µM$^b$ or 10 µM$^c$. 


Scheme 1

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{2a} \\
R = \text{Methyl, } X = \text{I} & \\
R = \text{Ethyl, } X = \text{I} & \\
R = \text{n-Propyl, } X = \text{I} & \\
R = \text{Allyl, } X = \text{Br} & \\
R = \text{Benzyl, } X = \text{Br} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
R' = \text{H, } R = \text{OBn, } R'' = \text{OCH}_3 & \quad \text{3a} \\
R' = \text{H, } R = \text{OCH}_3, R'' = \text{OBn} & \quad \text{3b} \\
R' = \text{H, } R = \text{OCH}_3, R'' = \text{OCH}_2\text{O} & \quad \text{3c} \\
R' = \text{dMBn, } R = \text{R''} = \text{OCH}_3 & \quad \text{3d} \\
R' = \text{H, } R = \text{OCH}_3, R'' = \text{OH} & \quad \text{3e} \\
R' = \text{H, } R = \text{OCH}_3, R'' = \text{H} & \quad \text{3f} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 2

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{7a} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{8a} \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{-CH}_2^- & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{5a} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{6a} \\
R = \text{OBn, } R' = \text{OCH}_3 & \\
R = \text{OCH}_3, R' = \text{OBn} & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{-OCH}_2\text{O} & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{OCH}_3 & \\
R = \text{H, } R' = \text{OCH}_3 & \\
R = \text{OCH}_3, R'' = \text{H} & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{H} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{7b} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{8b} \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{5c} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{6c} \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{5d} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{6d} \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{5e} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{6e} \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{5f} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{6f} \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{5g} & \quad \text{\textit{i}} \quad \text{6g} \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
R = \text{R''} = \text{CH}_3 & \\
\end{align*}
\]
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A

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DHßE (0.82 µM)} & \\
\text{Erysotine (0.60 µM)} & \\
\text{Erysoine (0.05 µM)} & \\
\text{O-Methylcorypalline (0.87 µM)} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

B

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{quaternization} & \\
\text{1.3.5} & \rightarrow \text{2.4.6} & \\
\end{align*}
\]
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(R)$_6c$