Investigation on acceptable reverberation time at various frequency bands in halls that present amplified music

Adelman-Larsen, Niels Werner; Jeong, Cheol-Ho; Støfringsdal, Bård

Published in:
Applied Acoustics

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.apacoust.2017.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2017.07.005)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Adelman-Larsen, N. W., Jeong, C-H., & Støfringsdal, B. (2018). Investigation on acceptable reverberation time at various frequency bands in halls that present amplified music. *Applied Acoustics*, 129, 104–107.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2017.07.005>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*An article submitted to **Applied Acoustics***

Investigation on acceptable reverberation time at various frequency bands in halls that present reinforced music

Short title: Reverberation times for amplified music

Niels Werner Adelman-Larsen ^{a)}

Flex Acoustics, Ny Carlsbergvej 27, 1760 Copenhagen V, Denmark

Cheol-Ho Jeong

*Acoustic Technology, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark
chj@elektro.dtu.dk*

Bård Støfringsdal

*COWI, Hafstadvegen 15, 6800 Førde, Norway
bst@cowi.com*

^{a)} Corresponding author: *nwl@flexac.com*

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Subjective ratings from 25 professional musicians and sound engineers were obtained to assess two
3 Danish rock venues of similar size and similar low frequency reverberation, but different high frequency
4 reverberation. The musicians judged one hall significantly better than the other, confirming a hypothesis
5 that rock venues can have a longer reverberation time at mid to high frequencies. A fairly long
6 reverberation time in the 63 Hz octave band is found to be acceptable, so the 125 Hz octave band is
7 probably the single most important band to control for reinforced music.

8 © 2017 Applied Acoustics

9

10 **Keywords:** reinforced music, reverberation time, bass clarity, concert hall rating, amplified music

11

12 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-
13 for-profit sectors.

1. Introduction

Part of the perceived sound quality at any given indoor, reinforced concert stems from the quality, extent, and tuning of the sound system, whereas another part stems from the acoustics of the hall. There has been limited scientific research effort published with the attempt to find recommendations for acoustics for amplified music concerts. A paper examined 20 Danish pop and rock venues, of which the acoustic conditions were measured and rated by musicians and sound engineers for halls of volume from approximately 1000 m³ to 7000 m³ [1]. From the study, the recommended reverberation time, expressed as $T_{30(63-2k)}$ for a given venue size, was suggested on the basis of sound engineers' and musicians' preference as one group. It was also found that the group of sound engineers in that particular survey preferred more dampened acoustics than the musicians, giving preference to the hall that had lowest reverberation time in the study.

It was further substantiated that what separates the best from the not so well liked venues is a shorter T_{30} in the 63 Hz, 125 Hz and 250 Hz octave bands. This finding is important, but actually not surprising, since bass levels are amplified to very high levels at pop and rock concerts, typically app. 100 dB(A) [2,9]. One paper [8] examined the sound pressure levels at various frequency bands for a large sample of popular recordings and found that the loudest level is found in the 125 Hz octave band. The level in the 125 Hz band was found to be about 5 dB(A) louder than the 63 Hz band. Similarly in [2] for actual live pop/rock concerts, it was found that the sound pressure level in the 125 Hz octave band was about 4 dB(A) louder than in the 63 Hz band while an other survey [9] found the 125 Hz level to be in average 9 dB(A) louder than the 63 Hz level. Many instruments in a pop/rock band, including male vocal, play notes within the 125 Hz octave band with often quite syncopated rhythms. One sound is

thus difficult to differentiate from another if the reverberation in this band is too long. At loud sound levels, upward masking from this reverberant bass sound is quite broad [3].

This study first and foremost investigates whether it is plausible that the value of T_{30} in certain octave bands can differ from the suggested frequency independent values set forth in Ref. [1], with a particular interest in the 63 Hz band and the mid to high frequency range. This idea has already been postulated in a conference article [4]. Hence this present study is a continuation of the research carried out in [1] and [4], and the same methodology that was used in [1], collecting the subjective ratings on a 7-scale, is applied here.

2. Hypotheses

This article seeks to substantiate or reject the hypotheses presented below. All of these have previously been proposed in Refs. [2] and [4].

- 1) Acousticians normally measure empty halls, whereas sound engineers and musicians experience occupied conditions. Due to a 4-6 times higher absorption coefficient by audience, seated or standing, at higher frequencies than at low frequencies [1], it is possible that a higher T_{30} at higher frequencies in the empty hall can be accepted. This leads to a more even T_{30} over frequency in the occupied hall.
- 2) Due to the higher directivity of loudspeakers at higher frequencies compared to low, it is further possible that an increasing T_{30} with increasing frequencies might be acceptable or even desirable. This hypothesis was exemplified in Ref. [5] from the perspective of the critical distance, and its dependency of the directivity Q . The equation for critical distance r_{cr} , as

1 stated in [10], is shown in (1) below, where V is the volume of the hall, T , the reverberation
 2 time, and α' is the average absorption coefficient of the room.

$$r, cr = \sqrt{\frac{QV}{100\pi T(1 - \alpha')}} \quad (1)$$

3 Since Q can easily be a factor of 5 higher at mid-high frequencies compared to low, for the
 4 critical distance to be constant at various frequencies, the reverberation time would have to be
 5 higher at mid-high frequencies compared to low. Evidently, such a space with increased T_{30} at
 6 higher frequencies will sound more live and the audience will be louder. It will also be easier
 7 for the musician to express dynamics [11]. In smaller clubs where the musicians' own
 8 instruments and open monitors, and not the PA sound system, is the main source
 9 of amplification, this broader tolerance of T_{30} does not apply.

- 10 3) Due to the more densely spaced equal-loudness contours in the 63 Hz octave band compared to
 11 the 125 Hz band [3], it is possible that reverberation in the 63 Hz band can be longer and still
 12 acceptable. Perceptually, reverberation in the 63 Hz band disappears faster than in the 125 Hz
 13 band.
- 14 4) A main conclusion in Ref. [2] is that probably the most important octave band to control for pop
 15 and rock concerts is the 125 Hz band. Sound in this band emerges omni-directionally from the
 16 speakers, thereby reaching many surfaces in the room, adding up reverberation. It is amplified
 17 to high levels, and therefore has a significant spectral masking effect, especially towards higher
 18 frequencies. The human ear is less sensitive in the 63 Hz band than in the 125 Hz band [3].
 19 Sound in the 250 Hz band is more directive and is better absorbed by the audience [2]. Further,
 20 according to [2] and [8], sound levels are louder in the 125 Hz octave band than in the 63 Hz
 21 band, wherefore reverberation in the 125 Hz band will mask more the in 63 Hz band.

The main aim of this article is to find answers to the hypotheses one and two, and loosely discuss the results in relation to the hypotheses three and four.

3. Method

25 musicians and sound engineers rated two Danish halls of similar volume: *Skråen* and *Magasinet*. The two halls have very similar low frequency T_{30} , while T_{30} at higher frequencies is significantly higher in *Magasinet* than in *Skråen*, as shown in Figure 1, measured in empty state.

Figure 1. T_{30} of the two venues, *Magasinet* and *Skråen*, in the empty condition.

3.1 The two halls

To the best knowledge of the authors, there is only one hall in Denmark, *Magasinet* of 2700 m³, which has a controlled T_{30} in the 125 Hz octave band and a relatively high T_{30} at mid- and high frequencies. In this hall, reverberation is controlled exclusively by means of membrane absorption, placed in the entire

ceiling area and on a big wall area behind the stage. The hall has little high frequency absorption, limited to scarcely distributed textiles on stage and upholstered chairs on the balcony level.

The other venue, *Skråen* of 4000 m³, has porous absorption in the entire ceiling and on the back wall, by means of suspended wooden fiber elements. *Magasinet* was renovated in 2008, while *Skråen* moved to new premises in the same year.

3.2 Subjective survey

The administrators of the two halls informed the authors which bands had regularly performed in their venues within the last 5-6 years. A link to an on-line questionnaire was e-mailed to the relevant musicians and sound engineers it was possible to contact. Of the 25 people who answered the survey, 11 were sound engineers and 14 were musicians. The choice to make the questionnaire anonymous was made so as to render as much freedom to the test persons as possible. By coincidence, none of the musicians who participated in the previous survey [1] were involved in this present survey. It is uncertain whether any of the eleven sound engineers had also participated in the survey presented in Ref. [1].

The on-line survey started with a group of general questions: *what monitoring do you use?*, *how important is the acoustics in the venue for you? (rating 1: not at all/rating 7: very important)*, *do you sometimes chose not to play certain venues on the account of the acoustics?* These questions could not be left unanswered in the survey. Then, each of the two halls could be rated on four different parameters on a seven-scale: *Clarity bass (rating 1: muddy/ rating 7: clear)*, *Clarity mid/treble (rating 1: muddy/ rating 7: clear)*, *Reverberation mid/treble (rating 1: too dead/rating 7: too lively – rating 4: optimal)*, and *General rating (rating 1: very poor, rating 4: reasonable, rating 7: excellent)*. At the end

1 of the questionnaire, the test person was asked to write whatever he/she deemed of importance
2 regarding acoustics for amplified music.

3

4. Results and discussion

4.1 First part of the questionnaire

14 musicians answered the questionnaire: two lead singers, five guitarists, one keyboard player, three bass players, and three drummers. In response to the question: “*how important is the acoustics in the venue for you?*”, the average of eleven sound engineers’ ratings was 6.6 out of 7, while the average of 14 musicians’ answers was 5.9. It is unknown how many musicians got the questionnaire and chose not to answer it. It cannot be ruled out that the musicians who do not deem acoustics so important are not eager to answer this type of questionnaire. Of the 14 musicians, seven use in-ear monitors. Six of those who use in-ear monitoring also use open monitor speakers. Both lead singers reported that they sometimes chose not to attend venues on account of the acoustics. Only three musicians and four sound engineers answered positively to this question. It is plausible that the lead singer often takes such decisions when booking the tour. On the question regarding to what extent in-ear monitors can help mitigate the possible bad effects of a hall’s acoustics, the musicians’ average answer was 4.6 out of 7, whereas the sound engineers’ average response to this question was 5.5. These results are in agreement with the findings in Ref. [1].

4.2 Analysis of the ratings

In order to find evidence for the hypotheses mentioned, a linear mixed model and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) are attempted to explain the subjective ratings S_{ijk} in Table 1:

$$S_{ijk} = A + \alpha_i + Bj + Ck + D\delta_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, \quad (1)$$

where i is the subject, j is the concert hall ($j=0$ for *Magasinet*, and $j=1$ for *Skråen*), and k is the occupation of the respondent ($k=0$ for musicians and $k=1$ for sound engineer) [6,7]

The fixed effects are written with upper case Latin characters (A, B, C and D) and the random effects are written with Greek characters (here only α_i). δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta function. The random effect is regarded as a normally distributed stochastic variable with zero mean and standard deviation, σ_α . The residual or unexplained variation, ε_{ijk} , is also assumed to be normally distributed with zero mean. The choice of the mixed model is based on the assumption that a considerable amount of the variance in the observations is dependent on inter-subject differences, so the subject is regarded as a random effect. However, this inter-subject effect is found to be much smaller than the residual term, so the final model is constructed without the α_i term. Significant differences are found only for the general rating (GR) and the bass clarity (BC), and their final models are found to be

$$GR_{ijk} = 5.55 - 1.55j - 1.21k + 1.41\delta_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}. \quad (2)$$

$$BC_{ijk} = 5.45 - 1.03j - 1.01k + 0.38\delta_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}. \quad (3)$$

From Eq. (2), we can see that the musicians' general rating is averaged to 5.55 for *Magasinet* ($j=0$), and sound engineers' rating is 4.0 for *Skråen* ($j=1$). Similarly, the bass clarity is 5.45 for *Magasinet* and 4.42 for *Skråen*. For these two ratings, the sound engineers' responses are lower than the musicians' by about 1, on average.

For the general rating, a 2-way ANOVA, which does not take into account the respondents as a random effect, gives a significant variation using the hall (*Magasinet* or *Skråen*) as the factor (*significance,

1 $p = 0.044$). However, there was no significant variation depending on the occupation (musician or
2 sound engineer) as the factor ($p = 0.31$), or including the interaction between hall and occupation
3 condition ($p = 0.12$). Only using the musicians' responses, an ANOVA analysis shows a p -value of
4 0.0066 (** significance), which indicates that the musicians rated *Magasinet* significantly better than
5 *Skråen* in the overall rating. This result supports hypothesis one and two. Both lead-singers have rated
6 *Magasinet* "7", while rated *Skråen* "1" and "3". One of them commented that he would recommend
7 future halls to be acoustically similar to *Magasinet*, supporting hypotheses 3 and 4. More lively mid-
8 high acoustics with more vivacity makes the performer hear the audience better, whereby the
9 communication and the exchange of energy between band and audience are enhanced. This is
10 particularly important for the lead-singer who forms a "bridge" between the band and the audience.
11 Further, the musician can express dynamics easier. Only using the sound engineers' overall rating, the
12 two halls are not significantly different ($p=0.915$).

13 The bass clarity is found to be significantly different depending on the hall and occupation with p -
14 values of 0.025 and 0.031, respectively. This is surprising since the actual reverberation curves for the
15 63 Hz and 125 Hz regions are almost identical, which may indicate that mid to high frequency
16 reverberation could possibly mask low frequency reverberation.

17 Significant differences between the two halls for the Clarity mid/treble rating, are not found. It should
18 be noted that once the audience enters a hall like *Magasinet*, mid/treble reverberation decreases
19 significantly, so that such a venue probably is perceived with a suitable, high degree of clarity in the
20 mid to high frequency domain.

21

1

	Magasinet				Skråen			
	Clarity bass	Clarity mid/treble	Reverb mid/treble	General rating	Clarity bass	Clarity mid/treble	Reverb mid/treble	General rating
SE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
SE	5	3	6	3	3	1	6	2
SE	3	5	4	4	3	4	4	4
SE	3	5	5	4	5	4	4	5
SE	5	3	3	5	4	6	4	7
SE	7	7	4	7	1	5	4	1
SE	6	5	3	5	4	4	5	3
SE	3	5	6	3	4	4	5	4
SE	-	-	-	-	4	5	5	-
SE	3	3	5	3	6	6	4	6
SE	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
Average	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.2
Guitar	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Guitar	5	5	4	6	4	4	3	3
Guitar	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
Guitar	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Guitar	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	4
Drums	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	-
Drums	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
Drums	5	6	-	5	4	6	4	4
Bass & gtr.	6	6	4	6	6	6	1	2
Bass	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	4
Bass	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6
Keyboards	-	-	-	-	5	5	4	5
Vocal	7	7	7	7	2	2	1	1
Vocal	6	6	4	7	3	3	2	3
Average	5.5	5.5	4.9	5.6	4.4	4.7	3.8	4.0

2 Table 1. Individual ratings of the two halls. SE means a sound engineer.

3

4 5. Conclusion

5 Among two pop/rock music venues with a similar T_{30} at low frequencies yet quite different T_{30} at high
6 frequencies, *Magasinet* with the higher T_{30} at mid-high frequency, has been rated significantly better
7 among the musicians than *Skråen*. This is an indication that mid-high frequency reverberation can be
8 longer than the reverberation in the low frequency bands in the empty hall. The fact that the bass clarity
9 at *Magasinet* is rated decent, despite a very high T_{30} in the 63 Hz band, could indicate a high tolerance
10 toward a high T_{30} in the 63 Hz band as long as T_{30} in the 125 Hz band is controlled. Therefore, it is

- 1 likely that the 125 Hz octave band is the most important frequency band to control for reinforced music.
- 2 Furthermore, the difference in the rating of the bass clarity between the two halls, despite their similar
- 3 T_{30} in the 63 and 125 Hz bands, indicates that long reverberation at mid-high frequencies can mask
- 4 excessive low frequency reverberation.

References

- [1] N.W. Adelman-Larsen, E.R. Thompson, A.C. Gade. Suitable reverberation times for halls for rock and pop music. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 2010; 127:247-255.
- [2] N. W. Adelman-Larsen. Rock and pop venues, acoustic and architectural design. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag; 2014, p. 39.
- [3] E. Zwicker, H. Fastl. *Psychoacoustics – Facts and Models*. 2nd ed. New York: Springer Verlag; 1999, p. 64-65, 168-169, 204.
- [4] N.W. Adelman-Larsen, E.R. Thompson, J.J. Dammerud. On a variable broadband absorption product and acceptable tolerances of reverberation times in halls for amplified music. *Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics*. 2012;14(1):015002.
- [5] B. Støfringsdal. Desired room acoustical response for amplified music. *International Symposium on Room Acoustics*; 2013 June 9-11; Toronto, Canada; 2013.
- [6] D. Hedeker, Generalized linear mixed models – In: *Encyclopedia of Statistics in Behavioral Science*. 2nd ed. B. Everitt, D. Howell (eds.). New York: John Wiley and Sons, 2005.
- [7] J. Brunskog, H. D. Hwang, C.-H. Jeong. Subjective response to foot-fall noise, including localization of the source position. *Acta Acustica United with Acustica*. 2011; 97:904-908.
- [8] P. Pestana et al. Spectral characteristics of popular commercial recordings 1950-2010. AES, 135th convention 2013, New York, Convention paper 8960.
- [9] JET Griffiths. A survey of sound levels at pop concerts. *Health & Safety Executive Report No. 35/1991*. Travers Morgan Environment, East Grinstead, West Sussex.
- [10] A. C. Gade: Tentative lecture notes for Course 31240, chapters 7 and 8, Technical University of Denmark, April 2003.

[11] J. Pätynen et al., Concert halls with strong lateral reflections enhance musical dynamics,
proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America, 2014.

1 **Figure captions**

2 Figure 1. T_{30} of the two venues, *Magasinet* and *Skråen*, in the empty condition.

3 **Table captions**

4 Table 1. Individual ratings of the two halls. SE means a sound engineer.

5