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Ion-solvating membranes as a new approach towards high rate alkaline electrolyzers

The ion-solvating membrane represents a third way in the pursuit of affordable, yet high performing alkaline electrolyzers. It is neither a porous diaphragm nor an anion-exchange membrane. The ion-solvating membrane attains its high conductivity by absorbing the alkaline electrolyte in the nonporous polymeric structure. PEM-like electrolyzer performance has been reached without the use of precious metals and without an ionomer in the electrodes.

As featured in:

Ion-solvating membranes as a new approach towards high rate alkaline electrolyzers†

Mikkel Rykær Kraglund, Marcelo Carmo, Günter Schiller, Syed Asif Ansar, David Aili, Erik Christensen and Jens Oluf Jensen*

Energy efficient and cost efficient water electrolysis is essential for the large scale implementation of renewable energy. The two commercial low temperature electrolyzer technologies each suffer from serious drawbacks. The proton exchange membrane (PEM) electrolyzers remain expensive and depend strongly on the scarce metal iridium. The alkaline electrolyzers suffer from a large footprint due to low rate capability. Here we present an approach to make an alkaline electrolyzer perform like a PEM electrolyzer by means of an ion-solvating membrane. A long lasting effort to replace the state-of-the-art thick porous diaphragm by an anion exchange membrane has not proven successful. The ion-solvating membrane represents a third way. Demonstration cells based on KOH doped polybenzimidazole membranes and nickel based electrodes exhibited 1700 mA cm⁻² at 1.8 V. This is far exceeding what has previously been achieved with membranes in alkaline environments without platinum group metal catalysts, and is comparable to state-of-the-art PEM electrolyzers.

The generation of hydrogen via water electrolysis is currently recognized as the only viable option to store multi gigawatt-levels of electrical energy from intermittent renewable energy sources such as wind and solar, and is essential for the decarbonisation of the transportation and industrial sectors.¹ Consequently, water electrolyzers will become increasingly important to the energy matrix, as they serve as a bridging technology between intermittent renewable electrical energy and chemical energy dependent sectors such as transportation and heavy industry.

Traditional electrolyzers based on alkaline electrolytes and porous diaphragms suffer from poor voltage efficiency, particularly at high current densities due to high internal resistance. Additionally, gas permeation through the porous diaphragms or even electrolyte blow-out is a concern. Such conventional systems are incapable of working with differential pressure and show slow transient response times in order to maintain balanced pressure. The overall system constraints result in a limited current density range (200–400 mA cm⁻²) and, consequently, the hydrogen production rate is low.²,³ On the other hand, proton exchange membrane (PEM) systems based on perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) membranes feature promising advantages due to their higher efficiency and wider current density window (~500–2000 mA cm⁻²). However, PEM electrolyzers remain expensive due to their use of expensive PFSA membranes and precious platinum group metal (PGM) catalysts, i.e. platinum for the cathode and iridium for the anode, as well as precious metal coated titanium-based components for porous transport layers and bipolar plates.²,⁴ Additionally, large scale implementation will only be possible to the limit given by the availability...
of iridium, as it is much more scarce than platinum. There is currently no flexibility when it comes to material choices, and the channel-forming nature of the PFSA membranes ultimately shows mediocre hydrogen barrier properties under pressurized operation due to diffusion, which further complicates the balance of plant and increases system costs.\(^4\)

An alkaline environment, on the other hand, allows for a cell construction completely free of PGMs and titanium based components. This has prompted substantial research on alkaline membranes for water electrolysis. A good OH\(^-\) -conducting membrane could bridge the two technologies by enabling a wide range of active, non-precious metal catalysts, in combination with the low ohmic resistances and gas separation properties of a solid polymer electrolyte. The realization of alkaline membranes with sufficient conductivity and satisfactory stability could potentially induce a technological paradigm shift in which, over time, conventional alkaline systems and PEM electrolyzers get superseded.

In the quest towards improving the rate capability of alkaline electrolyzers while maintaining a reasonable degree of efficiency, significant focus has been directed towards reducing ohmic losses by developing more advanced separators and electrolyte concepts. The classical approach revolved around improving porous diaphragms,\(^5\) whereas the more recent focus has been on developing anion exchange membranes (AEMs).\(^6\) These two concepts are conceptually different and schematically illustrated in Fig. 1a and b, respectively.

The diaphragms (Fig. 1a) are separator materials that rely solely on the liquid phase of the electrolyte to establish percolating pathways throughout the porous structure. The diaphragm matrix is made from a stable inert polymer, and is often supported by a hydrophilic inorganic filler. Previously, asbestos cloth was used, but the current commercial state-of-the-art diaphragm is made from polysulfone-bonded ZrO\(_2\) and is often referred to by the trademark name Zirfon.\(^7,8\)

AEMs (Fig. 1b), on the other hand, have been investigated for fuel cell applications since early 2000,\(^9\) but have yet to achieve success in water electrolysis due to insufficient conductivity and stability. Such membranes are based on polymers with fixed cationic side groups paired with anions that become mobile upon dissociation in the presence of water. In that sense, AEMs are alkaline analogues to PFSA membranes, and an effective and stable ionomer phase is required in the electrode to establish a vast triple phase boundary and to conduct ions inside the catalyst layer.

We present a third alternative approach, which is based on ion-solvating membranes and a supporting electrolyte. Ion-solvating membranes, conceptually sketched in Fig. 1c, are polymeric membranes, which when imbibed with KOH swell and form a homogeneous ternary electrolyte system of polymer/water/KOH. Ion-solvating membranes utilize the uptake and presence of an aqueous alkaline electrolyte to achieve ionic conductivity, and are not necessarily intrinsic hydroxide conductors, but unlike diaphragms they are dense (non-porous) and can be prepared as thin as other polymeric membranes.

A key feature when using ion-solvating membranes is the supporting electrolyte. The electrolyte not only has profound effects on the membrane itself, it also affects all aspects of the electrolyzer cell, from the catalyst layers and electrodes to the balance of plant. For alkaline water electrolysis, a hydroxide electrolyte is of particular interest and hereinafter a supporting electrolyte refers to a hydroxide-based electrolyte. Screening the literature, it is evident that AEM-based cells operated with supporting electrolytes show significantly better performance than those without. This is in particular clear from publications that evaluate cell performance with pure water or carbonate feed and with supporting hydroxide electrolyte feed.\(^10-14\) An overview of published cell performance is presented in Fig. 2, with specific details available in the ESL.\(^\dagger\) The data is grouped by the electrolyte concentration; here delimited as concentrations of KOH or NaOH above or below 0.5 M (~ 0.1 S cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature), and by the presence or absence of PGM catalysts. Commonly, the used electrolyte concentrations are either equal to or less than 1 wt% or at 1 M or above. On this basis, the question arises: if a supporting

---

**Fig. 1** Three approaches towards hydroxide conducting separators. (a) The classical diaphragm consisting of a macroporous inactive material. The pores must form a percolating network. (b) The anion exchange membrane, where positive functional groups on pendant side chains mediate hydroxide conductivity, possibly by reorienting to form ionic channels. No aqueous electrolyte is required. (c) The ion-solvating membrane, which is imbibed with an alkaline electrolyte, commonly KOH. Significant swelling results in a homogeneous mixed phase of the polymer matrix and the electrolyte.
electrolyte is a requirement or otherwise desired for competitive cell performance, are there new avenues available to pursue instead of the conventional, functionalized pendant side-chain approach, which so far remains largely unsuccessful?

To demonstrate the perspectives of ion-solvating membranes, we have utilized KOH(aq)-doped poly(2,2′-(m-phenylene)-5,5′-bibenzimidazole) (m-PBI) as membrane. The KOH/m-PBI system was first described by Xing and Savadogo15 in 2000 for fuel cell applications, and we conducted the first demonstration for water electrolysis in 2013.16 The membranes achieve the highest specific conductivity when equilibrated in bulk electrolyte concentrations in the range of 20–25 wt% KOH17 and exhibit remarkable, though not complete stability, for up to six months in concentrated KOH solutions.20 However, the coatings are not complete stability, for up to six months in concentrated KOH solutions.20 However, the coatings are unstable and lead to mechanical failure. The process is likely accelerated under polarizing conditions due to changes in the local environment of the membranes and near the electrodes.

In contrast to the membranes, RANEY®-type electrodes prepared by vacuum plasma spraying (VPS) have previously shown stability of more than 10 000 h.22 However, the coatings are sensitive to the detailed preparation specifics and it is possible that the more encapsulated environment when pressed against the dense membranes result in a larger degree of pressure build-up in the pores of the coating leading to increased spallation and catalyst loss, in particular at the high current densities reached during the recording of polarization curves. Furthermore, anodic oxidation and hydroxide–oxyhydroxide formation of the nickel catalyst at the anode lead to structural expansion and possibly a pore collapse and corresponding loss of surface area, which is supported by BET data (Table S3, ESI†). Despite this and the all inadequate membrane stability, good performance is nonetheless achieved after a 12 h break-in as illustrated in Fig. 3, and...
the cells demonstrate what can be achieved using suitable electrodes and ion-solvating membranes.

One benefit of working with a supporting electrolyte is the option to omit the ionomer in the electrodes, since the aqueous electrolyte provides the necessary ionic pathways. This enables a wide range of electrode concepts to be employed, such as coated nickel foams, often labelled 3D electrodes or, as in this study, RANEY®-type nickel electrodes. The RANEY®-type nickel electrodes utilized in this work were not based on recent developments, but are well-proven and described in the literature.22–24 Prior to cell assembly, the electrodes were activated by alkaline leaching to remove the majority of their aluminum, resulting in a highly porous material with a very large, accessible internal surface area. Additional electrode details are available in the ESI† (Fig. S6 and Table S5). The pores are accessible to the aqueous electrolyte, but are unlikely to display the same activity if an ionomer is required, as effective pore infiltration without blocking is challenging. Hence these electrodes are best suited for conventional alkaline electrolysis or in membrane-based alkaline concepts including a supporting electrolyte. Although the cell system resembles classical alkaline electrolysis, the dense polymeric membrane can be effectively prepared much thinner than conventional diaphragms, and can correspondingly display much lower ohmic resistance. As we have demonstrated, a lower ohmic resistance enables the cells to operate at higher current densities, approaching or matching those of PEM electrolyzers. Using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), the series resistance of cells using Zirfon was measured in the range of 0.24–0.30 Ω cm², whereas cells with 40–80 μm thick m-PBI membranes had values of 0.04–0.08 Ω cm² (Fig. S7, ESI†). For comparison, the reported series resistance of PEM electrolyzer cells using Nafion 115 (127 μm) as a membrane is 0.10–0.13 Ω cm².25 This indicates a comparable conductivity, considering the difference in thickness. Naturally, the effective cell resistance is not solely a result of the membrane, but also consists of other contributions such as the ionic phase in the electrode or catalyst layer.

While a supporting electrolyte is a necessity for ion-solvating membranes, cells based on AEMs have repeatedly demonstrated increased performance when a supporting electrolyte is introduced or when the concentration is increased.10–14 Unfortunately, the large majority of AEMs developed so far are chemically unstable in an alkaline environment and can only operate satisfactorily for a few, to a few hundred, hours.5,6,11 The instability is primarily due to the hydroxide ions, which readily react with and degrade the anion exchange groups through nucleophilic displacement and elimination reactions.26 Another obstacle with the AEMs is their high CO₂ affinity, resulting in the rapid formation of carbonates and bicarbonates and therefore a dramatic conductivity drop when exposed to ambient air.27 This makes the application of the membranes in their fully hydroxide-exchange form challenging. In this perspective, the presence of KOH(aq) is beneficial, but only so far as the stability of the anion exchange groups or polymer backbone is not critically compromised. In fact, the most impressive polymerization behaviour published so far is based on a tetramethyl-imidazolium functionalized styrene AEM operated in 1 M KOH.28

A key property of membranes or diaphragms is their ability to hinder gas crossover and limit the mixing of evolved hydrogen and oxygen. This may be particularly critical when pressurized cells are operated at part load as the flushing of permeated hydrogen with the produced oxygen is reduced. Crossover is not only important from a safety point of view, but also in terms of efficiency. However, this is often a somewhat neglected aspect. General statements are frequently made, that rightfully address the porous nature of diaphragms as a problem, while proceeding to mention the dense properties of ion exchange membranes as a solution. What is rarely addressed are the differences in dominant crossover mechanisms, which were recently investigated in detail for both alkaline diaphragms and acidic Nafion membranes.29–33 In short, crossover issues through porous diaphragms primarily stem from convective transport due to differential pressure and the related movement of electrolyte with dissolved gases. For this reason, the rapid ramping of current density can be problematic, as the absolute pressure on each side of the diaphragm must be kept in close proximity. On the contrary, convective crossover is negligible in Nafion-based PEM cells, whereas crossover induced by diffusion through the hydrated microchannel structures of the membrane constitutes a significant effect, with the hydrogen diffusivity in Nafion being more than an order of magnitude larger than in KOH(aq) flooded Zirfon.31 The diffusive crossover is particularly severe under pressurized operation, as it is proportional to the concentration gradient of dissolved hydrogen, which is in turn predominantly proportional to the partial pressure, in accordance with Henry's law. Because of this, solubility in the aqueous phase is important, and both hydrogen and oxygen have a larger solubility in pure water than in aqueous KOH. There is almost an order of magnitude of difference between 0 wt% and 30 wt% aqueous KOH.31,33 This speaks positively for operating with a concentrated supporting electrolyte and lower hydrogen crossover can be expected from ion-solvating membranes in concentrated KOH(aq) from than from PEMs or AEMs with hydrated ionic channels. Low crossover values in the proximity of the resolution limit of the detector were observed. For 80 μm cells operated at 100 and 1000 mA cm⁻², the H₂-concentrations were in the range of 0.10–0.37% and 0.00–0.03%, corresponding to a specific permeability in the vicinity of 3–12 × 10⁻¹² mol s⁻¹ cm⁻¹ bar⁻¹. This is about a factor of 5 or more lower than Nafion at 80 °C (ca. 5 × 10⁻¹¹ mol s⁻¹ cm⁻¹ bar⁻¹),30,34 and similar to the Tokuyama A201 AEM at 50 °C (5.6 × 10⁻¹² mol s⁻¹ cm⁻¹ bar⁻¹ at 50 °C),35 and at least indicative of good gas separation properties.

There are other differences at a cell and system level, which change when a supporting electrolyte is employed. For instance, viscosity and surface tension differ from that of pure water, which may in turn affect the bubble dislocation and gas coverage properties of the electrodes. Operating with water or an aqueous electrolyte on only one side of the membrane is perhaps less feasible and balance of plant aspects related to corrosion and catalyst dissolution and re-deposition could be more challenging.
Stability-wise, the use of a supporting electrolyte is not an insurmountable challenge when it comes to materials for electrodes, cell hardware and system auxiliary units. The main barrier in the development of this technology is the lack of a chemically-stable and mechanically-robust ion-solvating polymer membrane that can support high ion conductivity. Membranes based on polybenzimidazole indeed exhibit good performance and demonstrate the potential of the cell concept, but are intrinsically unstable in the strongly alkaline environment. Steric protection or enhancement of the electron density around the vulnerable sites are degradation mitigation strategies that have proven particularly successful in this context. A recently published study by Mohanthy et al. suggests a correlation between the presence of heteroatoms in the polymer backbone and poor alkali resistance. A rational strategy in alkaline ion-solvating polymer electrolyte membrane development is therefore to shift the focus towards stable backbone chemistries with enhanced electrolyte uptake.

Conclusions

In this paper we present a third and alternative way towards efficient alkaline electrolyte membranes for water electrolysis, by means of ion-solvating membranes. This is in contrast to conventional porous diaphragms or anion exchange membranes, which are conceptually different. Ion-solvating membranes require the presence of an aqueous hydroxide electrolyte similar to conventional systems, but are free of pendant anion exchange groups susceptible to degradation by hydroxide ions in a supporting electrolyte. A key benefit of this concept is the option to omit the ionomer in electrodes, which are commonly a weak point of AEM water electrolyzers. With 24 wt% KOH as the supporting electrolyte and thin polybenzimidazole membranes, we demonstrate polarization behaviour comparable to PEM electrolyzers, without any PGM catalysts or components. The high rate capability of 1700 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 1.8 V at 80 °C demonstrates the potential of this concept, with the prospect of combining the polarization performance of PEM electrolyzers with cheap materials associated with alkaline electrolyzers. In a broader perspective, the envisioned electrolyzer system based on abundant raw materials is not only a matter of reduced cost. Equally importantly, and in contrast to the PEM electrolyzer technology, it is scalable beyond the multi GW level since it is not limited by the availability of PGMs, in particular iridium.
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