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Abstract—We describe calculation of eigenvalues of $2 \times 2$ or $3 \times 3$ Hermitian matrices as used in the analysis of multilook polarimetric SAR data. The eigenvalues are calculated as the roots of quadratic or cubic equations. We also describe pivot based calculation of the Loewner order for partial ordering of differences between such matrices. The methods are well suited for fast matrix oriented computer implementation and the speed-up over simpler calculations based on built-in eigenproblem solvers is enormous.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the analysis of multi-look polarimetric synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data described by Hermitian (variance-) covariance matrices, the complex Wishart distribution can be used for change detection between acquisitions at two time points [1]. Many authors have worked with this type of change detection, see for example [2]–[7]. In [3], [5] we specifically work with change between several time points.

Used in combination with a polarimetric change detector the Loewner order calculates whether the difference between two Hermitian covariance matrices is positive definite, negative definite or indefinite [8], [9]. This allows us to establish if the radar response increases, decreases or if it changes structure or nature between two time points in a way such that we cannot say whether it has increased or decreased. The Loewner order is thus concerned with the direction of detected change.

This paper deals with fast matrix based calculations of 1) eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices, and 2) the Loewner order, i.e., the definiteness of differences between Hermitian matrices. Specifically, for the eigenvalues, we compare (Matlab) computing times for the suggested matrix oriented calculations with a simple implementation with for loops over rows and columns and calls to the built-in eigenvalue/vector function eig. For the Loewner order, we compare computing times for eigenvalue based calculations with pivot based ones.

The data used here to illustrate the techniques come from the airborne EMISAR system [10], [11]. This is a computational paper with very little focus on actual change on the ground.

II. MULTILOOK POLARIMETRIC SAR DATA

In the covariance matrix formulation of multilook polarimetric SAR image data each pixel can be described by a complex $3 \times 3$ matrix

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{hh}S_{hh}^* & S_{hh}S_{hv}^* & S_{hh}S_{vw}^* \\
S_{hv}S_{hh}^* & S_{hv}S_{hv}^* & S_{hv}S_{vw}^* \\
S_{vw}S_{hh}^* & S_{vw}S_{hv}^* & S_{vw}S_{vw}^*
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

This matrix is Hermitian also known as self-adjoint, i.e., the matrix is equal to its own conjugate transpose. If we multiply by the number of looks, $n$, $Z = nC$ will follow a complex Wishart distribution (for fully developed speckle). This is the matrix that is used in the change detection methods described in [2], [3], [5], [7]. Below and for the methods in [9] we may work on $C$ or $Z$, here we’ll use $Z$.

III. EIGENVALUES

Let us use the notation

$$
Z = \begin{bmatrix}
k & a & \rho \\
a* & \xi & b \\
\rho* & b* & \zeta
\end{bmatrix}
$$

which has trace $\text{tr} \ Z = k + \xi + \zeta$ and determinant

$$
\text{det} Z = k\xi\zeta + ab\rho^* + a^*b^*\rho - |a|^2\zeta - |b|^2k - |\rho|^2\xi.
$$

The first, fourth, fifth and sixth terms are real. The second and third terms are complex and each others conjugate. Since the imaginary parts cancel out, the sum of the two terms is twice the real part. Both trace and determinant of $Z$ are real. Fast matrix inversion and fast determinant calculation are dealt with in [12].

The eigenvalues $\lambda$ of $Z$ are the roots of the cubic equation

$$
0 = (k - \lambda)(\xi - \lambda)(\zeta - \lambda) + ab\rho^* + a^*b^*\rho
- |a|^2(\xi - \lambda) - |b|^2(k - \lambda) - |\rho|^2(\zeta - \lambda)
- (A\lambda^3 + B\lambda^2 + C\lambda + D),
$$

where $A = 1$, $B = -\text{tr} \ Z$, $C = k\xi + k\zeta + \xi\zeta - |a|^2 - |b|^2 - |\rho|^2$, and $D = -\text{det} \ Z$. The eigenvalues are important for example in the Cloude-Pottier decomposition of polarimetric SAR data [13].

Below we give computational details. For completeness we start by giving the more well known solutions to the dual pol and azimuthal symmetry cases. All solutions given are well suited for fast matrix based computer implementation.

A. Dual pol case

For dual polarimetry we have, say, the upper left $2 \times 2$ matrix of $Z$ only

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
k & a \\
a^* & \xi
\end{bmatrix}.
$$
The trace is $k + \xi$, the determinant is $k\xi - |a|^2$, both are real. The eigenvalue problem

$$(k - \lambda)(\xi - \lambda) - |a|^2 = 0$$

can be written as

$$A\lambda^2 + B\lambda + C = 0$$

which has the well known solution

$$\lambda = -\frac{B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}.$$ 

Here $A = 1$, $B$ is minus the trace and $C$ the determinant so we get

$$\lambda = \frac{k + \xi \pm \sqrt{(k + \xi)^2 - 4(k\xi - |a|^2)}}{2} = \frac{k + \xi \pm \sqrt{(k - \xi)^2 + 4|a|^2}}{2}.$$ 

The discriminant $(k - \xi)^2 + 4|a|^2$ is always nonnegative so the eigenvalues are real.

**B. Azimuthal symmetry case**

For the azimuthal symmetry case we force the elements $a$ and $b$ in matrix $Z$ to zero so we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & 0 & \rho \\ 0 & \xi & 0 \\ \rho^* & 0 & \zeta \end{bmatrix}$$

with trace $k + \xi + \zeta$ and determinant $\xi(k\xi - |\rho|^2)$, both are real. In this case the eigenvalue problem can be written as

$$(\xi - \lambda)(k - \lambda)(\lambda - \rho^2) = 0$$

so $\xi$ is an eigenvalue and the two other eigenvalues are found as in the dual pol case. Again, the eigenvalues are real.

**C. Quad/full pol case**

In this case we have the full matrix $Z$. The eigenvalues $\lambda$ of $Z$ are the roots of the cubic equation

$$A\lambda^3 + B\lambda^2 + C\lambda + D = 0,$$

where $A = 1$, $B = -\text{tr } Z$, $C = k\xi + k\zeta + \xi - |a|^2 - |b|^2 - |\rho|^2$, and $D = -\det Z$.

To find the inflection point $(\lambda_i, f(\lambda_i))$ of the cubic function

$$f(\lambda) = A\lambda^3 + B\lambda^2 + C\lambda + D$$

set the second derivative to zero leading to

$$\lambda_i = -\frac{B}{3A},$$

$$f(\lambda_i) = \frac{2}{27}B^3 + \frac{1}{3}BC + D.$$ 

To solve the cubic equation $f(\lambda) = 0$, divide by $A$ and introduce

$$\lambda = x - \frac{B}{3A},$$

which translates the inflection point along the x-axis so that its abscissa becomes zero to obtain $f(x) = 0$ or

$$x^3 + 3px + 2q = 0.$$ 

$f(x)$ is a so-called depressed cubic (with no quadratic term) where

$$3p = -\frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{B}{A} \right)^2 + \frac{C}{A}$$

$$2q = \frac{2}{27} \left( \frac{B}{A} \right)^3 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{BC}{A^2} + \frac{D}{A} = \frac{f(\lambda_i)}{A}.$$ 

At the inflection point, $x_i = 0$, so $f(x_i) = 2q$. Introduce $g(x) = f(x) - 2q = x^3 + 3px$ which translates the inflection point along the y-axis so its ordinate becomes zero to see that $g(-x) = -g(x)$. This shows that the cubic function is point symmetric (i.e., it has $180^\circ$ rotational symmetry) around the inflection point.

Inserting our $A, B$ and $C$ into the expression for $3p$ we get

$$3p = -\frac{1}{3} \left( k + \xi + \zeta \right)^2 + k\xi + k\zeta + \xi \zeta - |a|^2 - |b|^2 - |\rho|^2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{6} \left( (k - \xi)^2 + (k - \xi)^2 + (\xi - \zeta)^2 \right) - |a|^2 - |b|^2 - |\rho|^2,$$

so in our case $p \leq 0$ and $p = 0$ if and only if $k = \xi = \zeta$ and $|a|^2 = |b|^2 = |\rho|^2 = 0$, i.e., the matrix we are analyzing is proportional to the identity matrix in which case the triple eigenvalue is $k = \xi = \zeta$. For the critical points of $f(x)$ we have $f'(x_c) = 3x_c^2 + 3p = 0$, so $x_c = \pm \sqrt{-p}$. $p < 0$ means we have an inflection point and both a local minimum (at $x_c = \sqrt{-p}$) and a local maximum (at $x_c = -\sqrt{-p}$). For $p \geq 0$ we have an inflection point only, no local extrema.

To find the eigenvalues substitute

$$x = z - \frac{p}{z}, \ z \neq 0$$

(due to François Viète, late 1500s) into $x^3 + 3px + 2q = 0$ to get

$$z^3 - \left( \frac{p}{z} \right)^3 + 2q = 0$$

which leads to a quadratic equation for $z^3$

$$(z^3)^2 + 2q(z^3) - p^3 = 0.$$ 

The solution for $z^3$ is

$$z^3 = -q \pm \sqrt{q^2 + p^3}.$$

The solution depends on the sign of the discriminant $q^2 + p^3$ ($q^2 + p^3 < 0$ is referred to as the *casus irreducibilis*). Instead we may use another substitution (also due to Viète) for $x$ in $x^3 + 3px + 2q = 0$ namely $x = u \cos \theta$ leading to

$$u^3 \cos^3 \theta + 3pu \cos \theta + 2q = 0.$$ 

In order to take advantage of the trigonometric identity

$$4 \cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta - \cos(3\theta) = 0$$

$$u^3 \cos^3 \theta + 3pu \cos \theta + 2q = 0.$$ 

In order to take advantage of the trigonometric identity
we divide by \( u^3/4 \) (\( u \neq 0 \)) leading to
\[
4 \cos^3 \theta + \frac{12p}{u^2} \cos \theta + \frac{8q}{u^3} = 0
\]
and choose \( u \) such that \( 12p/u^2 = -3 \), i.e., \( u^2 = -4p \) and \( u = 2\sqrt{-p} \) which gives
\[
4 \cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta - \frac{q}{p\sqrt{-p}} = 0, \quad p \neq 0
\]
or
\[
\cos(3\theta) = \frac{q}{p\sqrt{-p}}.
\]
This gives (arccos is the inverse cosine sometimes written as \( \cos^{-1} \))
\[
3\theta_k = \arccos\left(\frac{q}{p\sqrt{-p}}\right) - (k-1)2\pi, \quad k = 1, 2, 3
\]
\[
x_k = 2\sqrt{-p} \cos \theta_k,
\]
and finally
\[
\lambda_k = x_k - \frac{B}{3A} = x_k + \frac{k + \xi + \zeta}{3}.
\]
We see that
\[
\cos \theta_2 = \cos(\theta_1 - 2\pi/3) = -\cos(\theta_1 + \pi/3)
\]
\[
\cos \theta_3 = \cos(\theta_1 - 4\pi/3) = -\cos(\theta_1 - \pi/3),
\]
and that the three cosines, \( \cos \theta_k \), sum to 0, so \( x_3 = -x_1 - x_2 \). Since \( \arccos \) gives angles in \([0, \pi]\), i.e., \( 0 \leq 3\theta_1 \leq \pi \), we have
\[
0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \pi/3
\]
\[
-2\pi/3 \leq \theta_2 \leq -\pi/3
\]
\[
-4\pi/3 \leq \theta_3 \leq -\pi,
\]
and hence \( x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \).

Note, that with the cosine substitution we easily see that for \( p < 0 \) the eigenvalues are real (non-complex) irrespective of the sign of the discriminant \( q^2 + p^3 \). If \( q^2 + p^3 < 0 \) the magnitude and cosine of the argument of \( z^3 = -q + i\sqrt{-q^2 - p^3} \) are \( -p\sqrt{-p} \) and \( q/(p\sqrt{-p}) \), respectively, leading to the same solution as immediately above, see [14].

Under the heading "Cubic equation" Wikipedia has a good description of the problem and a great illustration of the above trigonometric solution to the cubic equation. This illustration is reproduced in Figure 1. Another useful reference is for example [15].

IV. THE LOEWNER ORDER

For scalar quantities it is easy to establish whether one quantity is larger than another, for example, we can check whether the difference between them is positive, negative or zero. For matrices this is a more complicated matter. The Loewner (or Löwner) order provides a partial ordering of matrices [3, 5]. Here in our context it gives a direction of change: does the radar response \( X \) at time point one and \( Y \) at time point two increase or decrease (or does it possibly change structure or nature) between the two time points? To establish the Loewner order we calculate the definiteness of the difference \( Z = X - Y \). If \( X - Y \) is positive definite, we write \( Y <_L X \), if \( X - Y \) is negative definite, we write \( X <_L Y \).

A simple example with a Hermitian matrix is
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
To find the pivots, perform elimination: to replace \( 2 \) in the second row, first column, with 0 multiply the first row by \( 2 \) and subtract the resulting row from the second row and get
\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
The pivots are the diagonal elements of \( B \), 1 and \( -1 \). Since the second pivot is negative, \( A \) is not positive definite. Since the first pivot is positive, \( A \) is not negative definite either, it is indefinite.

A simple example with a Hermitian matrix is
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 + i \\ 2 - i & 6 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
To eliminate $2 - i$ in the second row, first column, multiply the first row with $2 - i$ and subtract it from the second row to obtain
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 + i \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]
both pivots are positive and the matrix is positive definite.

In general for a $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrix
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
k & a \\
a^* & \xi
\end{bmatrix},
\]
to eliminate $a^*$ in the second row, first column, multiply the first row with $a^*/k$ and subtract the resulting row from the second row to obtain
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k & a \\
0 & \xi - a^2/k
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
The pivots are $k$ and $\xi - |a|^2/k$. The second pivot is the determinant divided by $k$, the first pivot.

This can be extended to test whether a $p \times p$ matrix is positive definite by looking at the $k \times k$ upper left determinants, $k = 1, \ldots, p$. The first pivot is the element in the first row, first column. The $k$th pivot ($k = 2, \ldots, p$) is
\[
\frac{\det A_k}{\det A_{k-1}}.
\]
All pivots are positive if and only if $\det A_k$ is positive for all $k$. In the real case above, $\det A_1 = 1$ and $\det A_2 = -1$, so $A$ is not positive definite, it is indefinite. In the complex case above, $\det A_1 = 1$ and $\det A_2 = 1$, so $A$ is positive definite.

The Loewner order case, looking at $Z = X - Y$ to determine if $Z$ is positive definite, we must examine whether
\[
d_1 = k_X - k_Y \\
d_2 = \det \begin{bmatrix}
k_X - k_Y & a_X - a_Y \\
a_X^* - a_Y^* & \xi_X - \xi_Y
\end{bmatrix} \\
d_3 = \det \begin{bmatrix}
k_X - k_Y & a_X - a_Y & \rho_X - \rho_Y \\
a_X^* - a_Y^* & \xi_X - \xi_Y & b_X - b_Y \\
\rho_X^* - \rho_Y^* & b_X^* - b_Y^* & \xi_X - \xi_Y
\end{bmatrix}
\]
are all positive. If $d_1$ and $d_3$ are negative and $d_2$ is positive, $Z$ is negative definite (remember, that $\det -Z = (-1)^p \det Z$, where $p$ is the dimensionality, 3 for quad/full pol and 2 for dual pol).

Of course, for dual polarimetry we check $d_1$ and $d_2$ only.

**B. Why use the Loewner order...**

... and not for example comparisons between trace and determinant before and after? Here is a simple example with large differences between $X$ and $Y$
\[
X, Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X - Y = \begin{bmatrix} -9 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
$\text{tr } X = \text{tr } Y = 11$ and $\det X = \det Y = 10$, i.e., no difference is detected whereas the Loewner order gives an indefinite difference matrix with eigenvalues 9 and −9.

Another example with a large difference is (here with no change on the diagonal)
\[
X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + i \\ 1 - i & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - i \\ 1 + i & 3 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
X - Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2i \\ -2i & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
$\text{tr } X = \text{tr } Y = 4$ and $\det X = \det Y = 1$, again no difference is detected whereas the Loewner order gives an indefinite difference matrix with eigenvalues 2 and −2.

In both these simple, constructed examples, checking trace and determinant before and after gives no indication of change whereas application of the Loewner order successfully does.

**V. DATA EXAMPLE**

The data chosen for illustration are the last 512 rows of the 1024 rows by 1024 columns data that were used in our original 2003 work [1], namely airborne EMISAR [10], [11] data from 17 April and 20 May covering an agricultural test site near Foulum, Denmark, see Figure 2. The gray band across the two images in the top row is a wooded area which, as opposed to most of the surrounding agricultural fields, shows no change. Specifically, the bottom-right image shows significant change between the two time points colour coded for direction of change, see caption.

Change and direction of change can be determined at patch or segment level also [5].

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The speed-up factors (all approximate and for a few tests on 1024 by 1024 pixel images) for fast matrix based computer implementation based on the above eigenvalue calculations compared with a simple implementation based on calls to Matlab’s built-in eigenvalue solver eig in for loops over rows and columns, is 350 for dual pol, 275 for azimuthal symmetry and 175 for quad/full pol, all enormous speed-ups. The largest absolute value of the difference between the eigenvalues obtained from the two methods is less than $10^{-11}$.

Calculating the Loewner order by means of pivots instead of eigenvalues speeds up these calculations further by a factor of around two.

Matlab code covering quad/full pol as well as azimuthal symmetry and dual pol including diagonal only data for change detection in poISAR data (with support functions), for calculating eigenvalues and derived quantities (entropy etc.) and the Loewner order is available on the author’s homepage.

The methods described here can be used in the analysis of Sentinel-1 and Radarsat-2 data also, as well as in other contexts, for example in the analysis of real symmetric variance-covariance matrices from RGB imagery.
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Fig. 2. Polarimetric airborne EMISAR data (13 looks) for the two time points 17 April (X) and 20 May (Y), 512 rows by 1024 columns 5m pixels, somewhat untraditionally we show the logarithms of the descending eigenvalues as RGB (top row, where the gray band across these two images is a wooded area exhibiting no change), the test statistic \(-2\ln Q\), high values, i.e., bright pixels indicate change, low values, i.e., dark pixels indicate no change) for change detected between the two time points as described in [1], the associated p-value (the change probability) thresholded at 99% (middle row right), positive definite matrix difference in red (i.e., \(Y <_L X\)), negative definite matrix difference in green (i.e., \(X <_L Y\)), indefinite matrix difference in yellow (bottom row left), and the same combination where the p-values in the Wishart based test are larger than 99% (bottom row right). The background grey scale image in the no-change regions is the temporal mean of the leading eigenvalues at the two time points.


