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Abstract—We show that the root-finding step in interpolation-based decoding of interleaved Gabidulin codes can be solved by finding a so-called minimal approximant basis of a matrix over a linearized polynomial ring. Based on existing fast algorithms for computing such bases over ordinary polynomial rings, we develop fast algorithms for computing them over linearized polynomials. As a result, root finding costs $O^\sim(\ell \cdot M(n))$ operations in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, where $\ell$ is the interleaving degree, $n$ the code length, $\mathbb{F}_q$ the base field of the code, $2 \leq \omega \leq 3$ the matrix multiplication exponent, and $M(n) \in O(n^{1.635})$ is the complexity of multiplying two linearized polynomials of degree at most $n$. This is an asymptotic improvement upon the previously fastest algorithm of complexity $O(\ell^2 n^2)$, in some cases $O(\ell^2 n^3)$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Rank-metric codes are sets of matrices whose pairwise distance is measured by the rank of their difference. These codes as well as the important subclass of Gabidulin codes were independently introduced in [1], [2], [3] and have found many applications, such as cross-error correction in memory chips, code-based cryptography, space-time codes for MIMO systems, network coding, low-rank matrix recovery, distributed storage systems, and digital watermarking.

In some of these applications it is possible to significantly increase the decoding radius of the decoder by considering $(\ell)$-interleaved Gabidulin codes, which are direct sums of $\ell$ Gabidulin codes of the same length $n$ and errors are assumed to occur in certain patterns. There are several known decoding algorithms for interleaved Gabidulin codes that all correct up to $\frac{n}{\ell+1}(n-\ell)$ errors, where $\ell := \frac{1}{2} \sum k_i$ is the mean of the dimensions $k_i$ of the constituent Gabidulin codes.

The first such decoder is due to Loidreau and Overbeck [4]. It is a partial unique decoder, which means that it either returns a unique codeword or a decoding failure for some errors beyond half the minimum distance. For random errors, [4] provides an upper bound on the failure probability of the decoder. The decoder is based on solving a linear system of equations and has complexity $O(n^3)$ in operations over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$.

A second decoder for interleaved Gabidulin codes was proposed by Sidorenko and Bossert [5] and is a syndrome-based partial unique decoder. The main computational task is to solve a key equation, which can be done in complexity $O((\ell n)^2)$ using the Berlekamp–Massey-like algorithm proposed in [6] or the demand-driven row reduction algorithm in [7]. There are also algorithms asymptotically faster in the code length $n$, e.g., the divide & conquer variant of the Berlekamp–Massey-like algorithm in [8] or the Alekhnovich-like algorithm in [9]. Both algorithms have complexity $O^\sim(\ell^3 M(n))$, where $O^\sim$ neglects logarithmic factors and $M(n)$ is the complexity of multiplying two linearized polynomials. The best known cost bounds on $M(n)$, for $n \leq m$, are $O(n^{\omega-2} m^2)$ [10] over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $O(n^{\min(\frac{6}{1+1.635})})$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ [11]. Wachtler-Zeh and Zeh introduced an interpolation-based decoding algorithm for interleaved Gabidulin codes in [12]. The algorithm can be interpreted in two ways: as a partial unique or a list decoder with exponential worst-case but small average-case list size. The latter interpretation is an advantage over the other known decoders. As any other interpolation-based decoder, the algorithm consists of two steps: The interpolation step can be implemented with complexity $O(\ell^2 n^2)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ using the algorithm in [13] or with $O^\sim(\ell^3 M(\ell n))$ using the Alekhnovich-like algorithm in [9] (see also [14] for more details). The current bottleneck of the decoder (in asymptotic dependence on $n$) is the root-finding step, for which there exist two algorithms: the method in [13] computes an affine basis of the root space in $O(\ell^2 n^2)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and the one in [15], [16] has complexity $O(\ell^2 n^3)$. The latter algorithm works only if there is a unique solution, which is a limitation.

In this paper we present a fast root-finding algorithm based on a computer-algebraic tool called minimal approximant bases. For $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$-matrices, also known as order basis or σ-basis, it is a powerful tool that evolved in the 1990’s for solving generalizations of Padé approximations, with [17] introducing the iterative M-basis algorithm, with a complexity $O(n^3)$ on an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of degree $n$. The PM-basis algorithm of [18] applies divide & conquer methods for reaching a cost of $O^\sim(\ell^3 M(\ell n))$. These compute row-reduced matrices, a form of polynomial matrix introduced much earlier in control theory, see e.g. [19]. Row-reduced matrices possess two key properties: the predictable degree property, and the shifted-degree multiplicative property, see Lemmas 1 and 2. The former states that a row-reduced matrix consists of columns which are minimal in the column space of that matrix. The latter implies that the product of two such matrices is again a row-reduced matrix, which is key to the PM-basis algorithm. In the 2010’s, minimal approximant bases for non-square matrices were generalized [20], [21].

The weak Popov form [22] is a slightly stronger form compared to the row-reduced form and is applied to coding theory in e.g. [23], [7], [9]. Neiger introduced the ordered weak Popov form in [24] for a few additional properties. An even stronger form is the Popov form [25] which is canonical for a given column space but considerably more involved to compute efficiently, see [24], [26]. In this work we use the ordered weak Popov form as well as the notation set out by [21], [24]. Linearized polynomial rings $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ are isomorphic to special skew polynomial or Ore rings [27]. Ore rings over infinite rings such as $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ have interest in time-dependent
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systems and differential equations, and since the late 1990s the computer algebra community has developed algorithms for minimal approximants and row reductions of matrices over Ore rings, see e.g. [28], [29] and references therein, but with a focus on handling the coefficient growth of infinite rings. For the finite case, in particular $\mathbb{L}_m[x]$, faster methods are available, e.g. [7], [9]. This paper continues that line of work by observing that the fast PM-basis algorithm carries over to the Ore ring case, though we only consider $\mathbb{L}_m[x]$.

The adaption of such algorithms to the Ore case, or $\mathbb{L}_m[x]$, as made in [7], [9] and the present paper quite straightforward: minor amendments are necessary to accommodate the non-commutativity of the ring, but the bulk of the definitions and arguments carry over. The main contribution of this work is therefore the observation that these notions carry over and that they apply well to the coding theoretic applications.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Linearized Polynomials

Let $\mathbb{F}_q^n/\mathbb{F}_q$ be a field extension. Linearized polynomials [30] over $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ are polynomials of the form

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{d} g_i \cdot x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{d} g_i \cdot x^{[i]}$$

where $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and we write $[i] := q^i$. The degree (sometimes called $q$-degree) of a linearized polynomial is defined as $\text{deg} g := \max \{ i : g_i \neq 0 \}$ if $f \neq 0$ and $\text{deg} f := -\infty$ otherwise. Using ordinary addition and composition of polynomials as multiplication, the polynomials form a non-commutative domain denoted by $\mathbb{L}_q[x]$. Furthermore, the ring is left and right Euclidean, i.e., there is a left and right division algorithm. We say that two linearized polynomials $a, b \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]$ are congruent left-modulo $c \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]$, written $a \equiv b \mod c$ if $a - b$ is divisible by $c$ from the left, i.e. $a - b = cd$ for some $d \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]$.

B. Modules and Matrices over Linearized Polynomial Rings

In the following, we consider free right modules, vectors, and matrices over linearized polynomial rings. We use a similar notation as in [24], where all discussed notions were introduced over ordinary polynomial rings.

For a matrix $V \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^n \otimes \mathbb{S}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define the $s$-shifted column degree of $V$ to be the vector

$$c\text{deg}_s(V) = [d_1, \ldots, d_\ell] \in (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\})^\ell$$

where $d_j$ is the maximal shifted degree in the $j$-th column, i.e., $d_j := \max_{i=1,\ldots,a} \{ \text{deg} V_{ij} + s_i \}$. We write $c\text{deg}(V) := c\text{deg}_0(V)$, where $0 := [0, \ldots, 0]$. The maximal degree appearing in a matrix is denoted by $\text{deg} V := \max_{i,j} \{ \text{deg} V_{ij} \}$. Let $V \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $s = [s_1, \ldots, s_\ell] \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We define the $s$-pivot index of $V$ to be the largest index $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ such that $\text{deg} v_i + s_i = c\text{deg}_s(v)$. If $a \geq b$, then we say that $V$ is in (column) $s$-ordered weak Popov form if the $s$-pivot indices of its columns are distinct and non-decreasing in the column index.

C. Rank-Metric and Interleaved Gabidulin Codes

Rank-metric codes were independently introduced in [1], [2], [3]. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector over an extension field $\mathbb{F}_q$. By fixing a basis of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ we can expand elements in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ into a vector in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. Hence, we can represent $c$ as a matrix $C \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. The distance of two codewords $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with matrix representations $C_1, C_2$, respectively, is measured by the rank of their difference, i.e., $\text{rk}(c_1, c_2) = \text{rk}(c_1 - c_2) := \text{rk}(C_1 - C_2)$. A linear rank-metric code $C$ with parameters $[n, k, d]$ over the field $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ with minimum rank distance $d := \min_{c_1, c_2 \in C, c_1 \neq c_2} \text{rk}(c_1 - c_2)$.

Gabidulin codes [1], [2], [3] are rank-metric codes defined by evaluating degree-bounded linearized polynomials at fixed $\mathbb{F}_q$-linearly independent evaluation points $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$:

$$C_{\text{Gab}}[n, k] = \{ \{ f(\alpha_1), \ldots, f(\alpha_n) \} : f \in \mathbb{L}_q[x], \deg f < k \}.$$

$C_{\text{Gab}}[n, k]$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear and has minimum rank distance $d = n - k + 1$, which achieves the Singleton-like bound in the rank metric with equality (see e.g. [2]).

An $r$-interleaved Gabidulin code is a direct sum of $r$ Gabidulin codes $C_{\text{Gab}}[n, k_1], \ldots, C_{\text{Gab}}[n, k_\ell]$ with the same evaluation points, i.e.,

$$T_{\text{Gab}} := \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_\ell \end{array} \right] : c_i \in C_{\text{Gab}}[n, k_i] \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{\ell \times n}.$$
Definition 1 only makes sense if the set of approximants of $Q$ of order $d$ is a right $\mathbb{L}_q[x]$-module of rank $b$. However, it is obvious that this set is closed under addition and under right-multiplication by elements of $\mathbb{L}_q[x]$. Further, the vector $[0,\ldots,0,x^d,0,\ldots,0]^T$ is clearly an approximant of $Q$ of order $d$, so the module of all approximants must contain a module of rank $b$, hence itself be of rank $b$ (since it cannot be greater than $b$).

The following is a variant of the “predictable degree property”, see [19], which is central to row-reduced matrices such as those in weak Popov form. An analogous result holds for singular rank or non-square matrices, but we will need it only for square ones.

**Lemma 1.** Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}^b$, let $F \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{b \times b}$ be full rank and in $s$-ordered weak Popov form. Let $t = [t_1,\ldots,t_b] = \text{cdeg}_s(F)$, and let $p = [p_1,\ldots,p_b] \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{b \times 1}$ be a non-zero vector in the column space of $F$ with $\lambda = [\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_i]^T \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{b \times 1}$ the unique vector such that $p = F\lambda$. Then, $\text{cdeg}_s p = \mu := \max_{j=1,\ldots,b}\{\deg \lambda_j + t_j\}$ and the $s$-pivot index of $p$ is $h = \max\{j : \mu = \deg \lambda_j + t_j\}$.

**Proof.** Since $p = F\lambda$, then $p_i = \max_{j=1,\ldots,b}\{\deg F_{ij} + \deg \lambda_j\}$ $\leq \max_{j=1,\ldots,b}\{t_j - s_i + \deg \lambda_j\}$, and so $\text{cdeg}_s p \leq \mu$. Let $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{b \times 1}$ be the vector whose $i$-th entry is $x^{\mu - s_i}$. Let $M_q(F)$ be the $s$-leading matrix of $F$ whose $(i,j)$-th entry is the $x^{t_i - s_j}$-coefficient of $F_{ij}$, defined as 0 if $\deg F_{ij} < t_j - s_i$. Similarly, define $l_i$ to be the $x^{\mu - t_i}$-coefficient of $\lambda_i$. Then, by the definition of linearized polynomial multiplication, $u_i$ is the inner product of the $i$-th row of $M_q(F)$ and the vector $l_i := [l_i^{t_i - s_1}, \ldots, l_i^{t_b - s_b}]^T$.

Since $F$ is full-rank and in $s$-ordered weak Popov form, the $s$-pivot index of its $j$-th column is $j$ and $M_q(F)$ is in upper triangular form with only non-zero entries on its diagonal. Moreover, $l_i$ is non-zero since at least one $\lambda_j$ fulfills $\deg \lambda_j + t_j = \mu$, and $\lambda$ as defined above is the greatest non-zero index of $l_i$ (independent of $i$). Thus, $u$ is non-zero and $h$ is also the greatest non-zero index of $u$, which proves the claim.

**Lemma 2.** Let $F \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{b \times b}$ be in $s$-ordered weak Popov form and $G \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{b \times b}$ be in $t$-ordered weak Popov form, where $t = [t_1,\ldots,t_b] := \text{cdeg}_s(G)$. Then, $FG$ is in $s$-ordered weak Popov form.

**Proof.** Let $u = [u_1,\ldots,u_b] = \text{cdeg}_t(G)$. Let $h_i$ be the $i$-th column of $FG$. By Lemma 1 then $\text{cdeg}_s h_i = \max_{j=1,\ldots,b}\{\deg G_{ij} + t_i\} = u_i$, and further that the $s$-pivot index of $h_i$ is $\max\{i : \deg G_{ij} + t_i = u_j\}$ which is exactly the $t$-pivot index of the $j$-th column of $G$. Since these are all in strictly increasing order, so must the $s$-pivots of $h_1,\ldots,h_b$. Hence $FG$ is in ordered weak Popov form.

**IV. Root Finding by Minimal Approximant Basis**

In the following, we show that the root-finding step of the Wachter-Zeh–Zeh interpolation-based decoder can be solved by finding a minimal approximant basis of a suitable matrix. We solve the following formal problem.

**Problem 1 (Root-Finding Problem).** Let $Q^{(1)},\ldots,Q^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{b \times (\ell+1)} \setminus \{0\}$ be $\ell' \leq \ell$ non-zero linearized polynomial vectors. Furthermore, let $k_1,\ldots,k_b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be positive integers. Find a basis of the affine $\mathbb{F}_q^m$-space

$$\mathcal{R} := \{[x_1,\ldots,x_b] \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^b : Q^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^\ell Q^{(j)} f_j = 0 \forall i, \deg f_j < k_j \forall j\}.$$ 

**Theorem 1.** Consider an instance of Problem 1, with $\hat{k} := \max\{k_i\}$, and choose

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} Q^{(1)} & \cdots & Q^{(\ell)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q^{(\ell')}_0 & \cdots & Q^{(\ell')}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{b \times (\ell+1)}$$

$$s := [\hat{k} - k_1 - 1 \ldots \hat{k} - k_{\ell'} + 1] \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

$$d := \max_{i,j} \{\deg Q^{(i)}(j)\} + \hat{k}.$$ 

Let $F$ be a right $s$-minimal approximant basis of $Q$ of order $d$. Then,

$$\mathcal{R} = \{Fv : \text{cdeg}_s v \leq \hat{k} \text{ and } [Fv]_1 = x\} \quad (1)$$

where $t = \text{cdeg}_s(F)$ and $[Fv]_1$ is the first entry of $Fv$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 1 then for any $v \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{(\ell+1)\times 1}$, we have $\text{cdeg}_s(Fv) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,\ell+1}\{\deg(v_i) + t_i\} = \text{cdeg}_s v$.

Note that $\mathcal{R}$ consists of those vectors of the right-kernel of $Q$ having $s$-degree at most $\hat{k}$ and first element being $x$. Any such kernel vector $Fv$ of $Q$ is in the column space of $F$ by definition of minimal approximant basis, so let $v$ be such that $Fv = 0$. But then we have $\text{cdeg}_s v = \text{cdeg}_s(Fv) \leq \hat{k}$.

Let $v \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{(\ell+1)}$ with $\text{cdeg}_s(v) \leq \hat{k}$. Then $\text{cdeg}_s(Fv) \leq \hat{k}$, i.e. $\text{cdeg}_s(Fv) \leq \hat{k} - \min(s) < \hat{k}$. Since $F$ is a minimal approximation basis of $Q$, then $QFv = 0 \mod x^{\hat{d}}$.

But $\text{cdeg}(QFv) \leq \max_{i,j}(\deg Q^{(i)}(j)) + \text{cdeg}(Fv) < d$, and hence we can conclude $QFv = 0$. In other words, $Fv$ is a right kernel vector of $Q$. Since it also has $s$-degree at most $\hat{k}$, it must be in $\mathcal{R}$ as long as its first component is $x$.

Theorem 1 shows that $F$ is an implicit, compact representation of a basis of the affine space solving Problem 1: it is easy to tabulate the vectors $v$ of $\text{cdeg}_s v \leq \hat{k}$. The requirement that $[Fv]_1 = x$ is also easy: any column of $F$ with $s$-degree at most $\hat{k}$ has the first entry of the form $ax$ with $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$. Only those columns of $F$ with $s$-degree at most $\hat{k}$ will have corresponding non-zero entries in $v$ in the set $\mathcal{R}$ in (1).

**Remark 1.** Without loss of generality, we can assume that $Q$ has full rank since we can neglect dependent solutions of the interpolation step as they do not contribute to finding roots.

Due to the degree restrictions in the interpolation step of the algorithm in [12], we have $d \in O(n)$.

**V. Fast Computation of Minimal Approximant Bases over Linearized Polynomial Rings**

In this section, we adapt the (left) PM-basis algorithm [18], [24] over ordinary polynomial rings to compute a (right) minimal approximant basis over linearized polynomials.

**A. Base Case: Approximant Bases of Degree 1**

Given a matrix $Q \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{a \times b}$ with $\text{deg}(Q) < 1$ and a shift vector $s \in \mathbb{Z}^b$, we want to construct a right $s$-minimal approximant basis $F \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{a \times (s+1)}$ of $Q$ of degree $d = 1$ with

$$QF \equiv 0 \mod x^{[s]}.$$ 

(2)

In the ordinary $\mathbb{F}_q^m[x]$ base case this can be done efficiently using $\mathbb{F}_q^m$-linear algebra since any matrix from $\mathbb{F}_q^m[x]^{a \times b}$ of
degree less than 1 is a matrix over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) (see [18], [24]). We will show how to solve the base case for linearized polynomials in (2) efficiently using similar \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-linear algebra.

Any matrix \( Q \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{m \times b} \) with \( \deg(Q) < 1 \) can be decomposed as \( Q = A \cdot I_b \) with \( A \in \mathbb{L}_q^{m \times q} \) and \( I_b \) being the diagonal matrix from \( \mathbb{L}_q^{m \times b} \) with \( x = x^0 \) as each diagonal entry.

The algorithm for solving the base case for linearized polynomials in (2) is given in Algorithm 1.

**Theorem 2.** Algorithm 1 is correct and has complexity \( O(\rho \omega^{-2} ab) \leq O(\omega^{-2} b) \) in operations over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) where \( \rho \leq a \) is the rank of \( Q \).

**Proof.** In step 1 the columns of \( Q \) are ordered such that \( s \overset{\text{def}}{=} s \pi \) is non-decreasing. This allows to compute an \( \tilde{s} \)-minimal approximant basis of \( \hat{Q} \overset{\text{def}}{=} Q \pi \) of order \( d = 1 \). Define \( \hat{A} \overset{\text{def}}{=} A \pi \) and

\[
\hat{F} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \pi^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x^1 I_\rho \quad -A_1^{-1} A_2 I_{b-\rho} \end{bmatrix} \pi
\]

We now show that \( \hat{F} \) is an \( \tilde{s} \)-minimal approximant basis of \( \hat{Q} \) and order \( d = 1 \).

Since \( [\ldots b]^{0 \leq 1} = [j_1 \ldots j_p \ k_1 \ldots k_{b-\rho}] \) we have that

\[
\hat{Q}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} I_b
\]

Hence the columns of \( \hat{F} \) are approximants of \( \hat{Q} \) of degree \( d = 1 \). The matrix \( \hat{F} \) is upper triangular, where all non-diagonal entries have a lower degree than the diagonal entries in the same row. Hence, the \( \hat{s} \)-pivot indices are distinct and non-decreasing in the column index implying that \( \hat{F} \) is in \( \hat{s} \)-ordered weak Popov form. Finally, the matrix \( \pi_q \hat{F} \pi_q^{-1} \) is in \( \hat{s} \)-ordered weak Popov form since \( \pi_q \) performs a stable sort on \( s \) and thus on the rows of \( \hat{F} \).

Left is to prove that the column space \( \hat{F} \) contains all right approximants of \( Q \) of order \( 1 \), or equivalently that the column space of \( \pi \hat{F} \) contains all right approximants of \( \pi^{-1} \).

Assume oppositely that \( f = [f_1, \ldots, f_b]^T \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{1 \times b} \) is a non-zero approximant of \( \pi^{-1} \) of order \( 1 \) not in the column space of \( \pi \hat{F} \), and assume under this constraint that \( f \) is chosen so the index \( h \) of the last non-zero element is minimal, i.e., \( f_h \neq 0 \) while \( f_{h+1} = \ldots = f_b = 0 \). If \( h > \rho \), then \( f = F_h f_h \), where \( F_h \) is the \( h \)-th column of \( \hat{F} \), is also an approximant, and it will have last non-zero entry at an index less than \( h \), which is a contradiction. Therefore \( h \leq \rho \), so by canceling terms of \( f \) of degree \( \geq 1 \) with the first \( \rho \) columns of \( \pi \hat{F} \) we can assume \( \deg f = 0 \), i.e., \( f = f \cdot x \) with \( f \in \mathbb{L}_q^{b \times 1} \).

Hence \( \deg(Q^{-1} f) = 0 \) so since \( f \) is an approximant of degree \( 1 \), then \( \pi \hat{F} f = 0 \). But since \( \text{rk} A = \rho \), then \( \pi_q \hat{A} \) is equivalent under \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-row operations to a matrix \( \begin{bmatrix} I_\rho & B \end{bmatrix} \)

where \( B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times (b-\rho)} \). If \( \hat{A} \hat{F} f = 0 \), then \( [I_\rho \ B] \hat{F} f = 0 \), which is impossible since \( f \) is only non-zero on the first \( \rho \) entries.

The main computational task is to compute the row and column rank profile of the matrix \( A \in \mathbb{L}_q^{m \times b} \) of rank \( \rho \) which requires \( O(\rho \omega^{-2} ab) \) operations in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) [31, Thm. 2.10].

**B. Recursive Algorithm: PM-Basis**

To use the base case in Algorithm 1 to construct minimal approximant bases of order \( d > 1 \) we need the following.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, Q \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{m \times b} \) of degree less than \( d \), and shifts \( s \in \mathbb{Z}^b \). Let \( d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_0 \) be such that \( d_1 + d_2 = d \). Let \( F_1 \) be a right \( s \)-minimal approximant basis of \( Q \) mod \( x^{d_1} \) of degree \( d_1 \) and \( t := \text{deg}(F_1) \). Let \( F_2 \) be a right \( t \)-minimal approximant basis of \( x^{d_1-d_2} Q F_1 \) mod \( x^{d_2-d_1} \) of degree \( d_2 \). Then, \( F_1 F_2 \) is a right \( s \)-minimal approximant basis of \( Q \).

**Proof:** We show that all approximants of \( Q \) of order \( d \) are \( \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{m \times b} \)-linear combinations of the columns of \( F_1 F_2 \). First we show that all approximants of \( Q \) of order \( d \) are approximants of \( Q \) mod \( x^{d_1} \) of order \( d_1 \). Let \( f \) be an approximant of \( Q \) of order \( d \) and decompose \( Q \) as \( Q \equiv \text{mod}_1 x^{d_1} + Q \).

Then

\[
(Q \equiv \text{mod}_1 x^{d_1} + Q) f \equiv 0 \equiv \text{mod}_1 x^{d_1}
\]

since no entry of \( Q \) has non-zero coefficients of index smaller than \( d_1 \). Hence, \( f \) can be written as \( f = F_1 \lambda \) for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{e \times 1} \). On the other hand, any approximant \( p \) of \( x^{d_2-d_1} Q F_1 \equiv \text{mod}_1 x^{d_2-d_1} \) of order \( d_2 \) satisfies

\[
(x^{d_2-d_1} Q F_1 \equiv \text{mod}_1 x^{d_2-d_1}) p \equiv 0 \equiv \text{mod}_1 x^{d_2-d_1}
\]

The last line shows that all approximants \( f \) of \( Q \) can be written as \( f = F_1 \lambda \) where \( \lambda \) is an approximant of \( x^{d_2-d_1} Q F_1 \equiv \text{mod}_1 x^{d_2-d_1} \) of order \( d_2 \). Hence, there exists a \( \mu \in \mathbb{L}_q[x]^{e \times 1} \) such that \( f = \mu F_1 F_2 \).

By Lemma 2, \( F_1 F_2 \) is in \( s \)-ordered weak Popov form and the statement follows.
Using Lemma 3 with $d_1 = 1$ (i.e., the base case) and $d_2 = d - 1$ in an iterative manner results in a right linearized variant of [18, M-Basis] where the order of $F$ is increased by one in each iteration. Due to space restrictions we omit the presentation of the right linearized variant of M-Basis.

Algorithm 2 is a fast divide & conquer algorithm for constructing (right) minimal approximant bases over linearized polynomial rings. The algorithm uses the result of Lemma 3 with $d_1 = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ and $d_2 = d - d_1$ recursively and is a fast right linearized variant of [18, PM-Basis].

**Algorithm 2: RightLinPM-Basis**

**Input:**
- positive integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,
- matrix $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]^{n \times k}$ of maximal degree $< d$,
- shifts $s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

**Output:** a right $s$-minimal approximant basis of $Q$ of degree $d$

1. if $d = 1$ then
   2. return $\text{RightLinBaseCase}(Q, s)$
3. else
   4. $d_1 \leftarrow \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$, $d_2 \leftarrow d - d_1$
   5. $F_1 \leftarrow \text{RightLinPM-Basis}((d_1, Q \mod x^{d_1}[, s])$
   6. $G \leftarrow \left(\left[x^{-d_2} x^{-d_1} Q F_1\right] \mod x^{d_2}[; t] \Leftarrow \text{deg}_x(F_1)$
   7. $F_2 \leftarrow \text{RightLinPM-Basis}((d_2, G, t))$
   8. return $F_1, F_2$

**Theorem 3.** Algorithm 2 is correct and has complexity $O((\max\{a, b\} \omega) M(d))$.

**Proof.** Correctness follows from Lemma 3 and the fact that Algorithm 1 returns a right $s$-minimal approximant basis of $Q$ of order $d = 1$.

As for the complexity, the algorithm calls itself twice with input size $\approx d/2$. The any other costful operation is the matrix multiplication in Line 6. Since we multiply two matrices $Q$ and $F_1$ with maximal degree at most $d_1$ and $d_1$, respectively, the cost of this line is $O((\max\{a, b\} \omega) M(d))$. The base case, Algorithm 1, costs $O(\omega^{-1} b)$. Hence, we obtain the claimed complexity by the master theorem.

Theorem 3 together with Theorem 1 imply the main statement of this paper, which is given in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** The root-finding step of interpolation-based decoding of an $\ell$-interleaved Gabidulin code of length $n$ can be implemented with complexity $O^*(\ell^{\omega} M(n))$.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

We have presented a fast algorithm for root finding in interpolation-based decoding of interleaved Gabidulin codes, which is based on computing minimal approximant bases over linearized polynomials and has complexity $O^*(\ell^{\omega} M(n))$.

The methodology can also be applied to other decoding problems: for instance, we expect that a left variant of the algorithms can speed up the interpolation step of the Wachtter-Zeh-Zeh decoder, thereby reducing its cost from $O^*(\ell^{\omega} M(tr))$ to $O^*(\ell^{\omega-1} M(n))$. For $M(n) \in \Theta(n^{1.635})$ and $\omega = 2.37$ (Coppersmith–Winograd matrix multiplication), this would be a speed-up from $\ell^{0.645} n^{1.635}$ to $\ell^{2.37} n^{1.635}$.

Furthermore, the results can be used for interpolation-based decoding of interleaved subspace codes [15], [16].
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