

Evaluating impacts of bottom trawling and hypoxia on benthic communities at the local, habitat, and regional scale using a modelling approach

van Denderen, Pieter Daniël; Bolam, Stefan; Friedland, René; Hiddink, Jan Geert; Noren, Katarina; Rijnsdorp, Adriaan D; Sköld, Mattias; Törnroos, Anna; Virtanen, E. A.; Valanko, Sabastian

Published in: ICES Journal of Marine Science

Link to article, DOI: 10.1093/icesjms/fsz219

Publication date: 2020

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

van Denderen, P. D., Bolam, S., Friedland, R., Hiddink, J. G., Noren, K., Rijnsdorp, A. D., Sköld, M., Törnroos, A., Virtanen, E. A., & Valanko, S. (2020). Evaluating impacts of bottom trawling and hypoxia on benthic communities at the local, habitat, and regional scale using a modelling approach. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 77(1), 278-289. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz219

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- · You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

 and regional scale using a modelling approach PD van Denderen¹, SG Bolam², R Friedland³, JG Hiddink⁴, K Norén⁵, AD Rijnsdorp⁶, M Sköld⁵, A Törnroos⁷, EA Virtanen⁸ & S Valanko⁹ ¹ Centre for Ocean Life - Danish Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	1	Evaluating impacts of bottom trawling and hypoxia on benthic communities at the local, habitat
 PD van Denderen¹, SG Bolam², R Friedland³, JG Hiddink⁴, K Norén⁵, AD Rijnsdorp⁶, M Sköld⁵, A Törnroos⁷, EA Virtanen⁸ & S Valanko⁹ ¹ Centre for Ocean Life - Danish Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, Ilmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	2	and regional scale using a modelling approach
 PD van Denderen¹, SG Bolam², R Friedland³, JG Hiddink⁴, K Norén⁵, AD Rijnsdorp⁶, M Sköld⁵, A Törnroos⁷, EA Virtanen⁸ & S Valanko⁹ ¹ Centre for Ocean Life - Danish Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	3	
 5 Törnroos⁷, EA Virtanen⁸ & S Valanko⁹ ¹ Centre for Ocean Life - Danish Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield 9 Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 OHT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural 13 Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	4	PD van Denderen ¹ , SG Bolam ² , R Friedland ³ , JG Hiddink ⁴ , K Norén ⁵ , AD Rijnsdorp ⁶ , M Sköld ⁵ , A
 ⁶ ¹ Centre for Ocean Life - Danish Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	5	Törnroos ⁷ , EA Virtanen ⁸ & S Valanko ⁹
 ¹ Centre for Ocean Life - Danish Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	6	
 ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	7	¹ Centre for Ocean Life - Danish Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark
 Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	8	² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield
 ³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	9	Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK
 ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	10	³ Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany
 ⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	11	⁴ School of Ocean Sciences - Bangor University, Menai Bridge, United Kingdom
 Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	12	⁵ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural
 ⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	13	Sciences, Lysekil, Sweden
 ⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	14	⁶ Wageningen Marine Research - Wageningen University, IJmuiden, the Netherlands
 ⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	15	⁷ Environmental and Marine Biology - Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland
 ⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark 	16	⁸ Marine Research Centre - Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland
18	17	⁹ International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen, Denmark
	18	

- 19 **Corresponding author**: P. Daniël van Denderen, pdvd@aqua.dtu.dk, Centre for Ocean Life Danish
- 20 Technical University Aqua, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

21 Abstract

22 Bottom trawling disturbance and hypoxia are affecting marine benthic habitats worldwide. We present 23 an approach to predict their effects on benthic communities, and use the approach to estimate the state, 24 the biomass relative to carrying capacity, of the Baltic Sea at the local, habitat and regional scale. 25 Responses to both pressures are expected to depend on the longevity of fauna, which is predicted from 26 benthic data from 1558 locations. We find that communities in low-salinity regions mostly consist of 27 short-lived species, which are, in our model, more resilient than those of the saline areas. The model 28 predicts that in 14% of the Baltic Sea region benthic biomass is reduced by at least 50%, whereas an additional 8% of the region has reductions of 10-50%. The effects of hypoxia occur over larger spatial 29 scales and lead to a low state of especially deep habitats. The approach is based on a simple 30 31 characterization of the benthic community, which comes with high uncertainty, but allows for the identification of benthic habitats that are at greatest risk and prioritization of management actions at the 32 regional scale. This information supports the development of sustainable approaches to manage impact 33 of human activities on benthic ecosystems. 34

35 Keywords

36 benthic fauna, bottom fishing, ecosystem-based management, human pressures, impact assessment,

37 oxygen deficiency, seabed disturbance

38 Introduction

Bottom trawling disturbance and hypoxia cause widespread impacts on marine ecosystems, changing the 39 physical and physico-chemical characteristics of the seabed and their associated benthic invertebrate 40 communities (Gray and Wu, 2002; Sciberras et al., 2016; Hiddink et al., 2017). Bottom trawl fisheries 41 account for >25% of the global fisheries capture and have a large spatial footprint, especially in 42 43 continental shelves (Eigaard et al., 2017; Amoroso et al., 2018; Watson and Tidd, 2018). Hypoxia of sea bottom waters due to eutrophication is becoming increasingly widespread around the world and is 44 estimated to affect approximately 0.25 million square kilometres of shallow regional seas and coastal 45 habitats (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Breitburg et al., 2018). 46

Fishing vessels using bottom trawls physically disturb the seabed by towing a gear (e.g., trawls, dredges) over the seafloor that damages and/or kills benthic organisms, reducing species biomass, abundance and richness (de Groot, 1984; Collie *et al.*, 2000; Sciberras *et al.*, 2018). The effects of trawling are most pronounced in long-lived benthic organisms as these typically take longer to recover after a trawling event (Hiddink *et al.*, 2018; Kaiser *et al.*, 2018). Frequently trawled areas have been shown to exhibit reductions in the biomass of long-lived organisms (Tillin *et al.*, 2006; van Denderen *et al.*, 2015).

Eutrophication-induced hypoxia, oxygen deficiency, and anoxia, severe hypoxia, i.e. absence of dissolved oxygen, in bottom waters results from both natural processes and anthropogenic activity. The impact of oxygen deficiency in benthic species depends on the severity of hypoxia. Low, but non-lethal, oxygen concentrations result in various behavioural changes. Mobile organisms may move away from or avoid hypoxic areas, while behavioural responses of sessile species may manifest as alterations to feeding and bioturbation rates (Villnäs *et al.*, 2012). Further declines in oxygen concentrations lead to mortality depending on species-specific sensitivities and the magnitude and extent of hypoxia, with 60 complete faunal depletion being observed in very low oxygen concentrations (~0.5 ml $O_2 l^{-1}$) and anoxic 61 conditions (Diaz and Rosenberg, 1995). Low oxygen concentrations, from natural processes or 62 anthropogenic activity, can be episodic or seasonal in many estuaries, fjords or along open coasts, while 63 more-or-less permanent in some isolated basins and shallow seas (Levin *et al.*, 2009).

In an ecosystem such as the Baltic Sea, these pressures already act on a large spatial scale, potentially 64 affecting entire habitats and the ecosystem. Bottom trawling mainly occurs in the western and southern 65 parts of the Baltic Sea (ICES, 2017), where otter trawls target demersal fish such as cod, brill, turbot, 66 67 plaice and flounder. In such gears, the otter boards (trawl doors) penetrate the sediment, whereas the trawl sweeps and the trawl ground gear mainly impact the surface layers of the sediment (Eigaard *et al.*, 68 2015). Hypoxic and anoxic conditions are predominantly observed further north in the offshore waters 69 70 of the Baltic Sea. The area with low oxygen conditions has greatly expanded over the last decades due 71 to eutrophication (Conley et al., 2009; Carstensen et al., 2014). Even though bottom trawling and hypoxia are largely disconnected in space, an assessment of their overall impact is needed to identify benthic 72 73 habitats that are at greatest risk and to facilitate the development of joint management measures at a 74 regional scale (Korpinen et al., 2012).

We developed an assessment methodology that quantitatively predicts benthic community impact from trawling disturbance and low oxygen concentrations. Impact is predicted using a population dynamic growth model (Mazor *et al.*, 2017; Pitcher *et al.*, 2017) that assumes that mortality on benthic communities is pressure-specific, whereas the responses (recovery) of benthic communities to these pressures are dependent on faunal longevity (maximum lifespan) (Rijnsdorp *et al.*, 2016, 2018; Hiddink *et al.*, 2018). Using the model, we estimate the state of the Baltic Sea benthic macrofauna community as a whole and for two specific functional groups, i.e., suspension-feeders and sediment bioturbators. We validate part of the model predictions in two areas of the Baltic Sea by comparing benthic community
responses over gradients of trawling intensity. Finally we derive an overall state of the benthic habitat,
which is illustrated in a map and further summarized per habitat type.

85 Methods

86 <u>Method outline</u>

We examined how faunal longevity, defined as the longevity-biomass composition of the benthic 87 88 communities, varies in relation to different environmental variables, i.e. depth, wave exposure at the 89 seabed and bottom salinity. The analysis was undertaken for the whole community and for two subsets 90 of the benthic community responsible for filtering of the water column (suspension-feeders) and mixing 91 of sediment (bioturbators). Using the relationships between the longevity-biomass composition and the 92 environmental variables, we predicted a habitat-specific longevity composition for the whole community and the two functional groups across the Baltic Sea on a $0.05 \cdot 0.05$ degrees grid (~15 km², hereafter: grid 93 cells). This prediction was derived in the absence of trawling and hypoxia (and anoxia) to estimate a 94 95 reference state. We then calculated how both pressures have impacted the benthic community in all grid cells. Impact depends on the intensity of both pressures and the recovery rate, which decreases with 96 longevity (Hiddink et al., 2017, 2018; Pitcher et al., 2017). We estimated the uncertainty of the model 97 predictions and validated part of our model outputs using empirical data for Baltic Sea benthic 98 99 communities over two gradients of commercial bottom trawling effort (for overview Fig. 1).

100

101 <u>Benthic data across the Baltic Sea</u>

To estimate faunal longevity across the Baltic Sea, we used sampling data collated by Gogina et al. (2016). The dataset comprises 2268 5x5 km cells (hereafter: locations) with information on species biomass in wet weight, including calcareous structures, without tubes (Fig. 2). Each location contains one or multiple sampling events, taken in different years or at different periods in the year, which are aggregated to the 5x5 km cell and expressed as the average biomass in mg per m². As such, local variation in space and time in each location is ignored in this dataset, which means that our estimation of faunal longevity only captures large-scale spatial patterns. At all locations, benthic samples were collected with
either box-cores or grab-samplers that both provide a quantitative estimate of the smaller epi- and
infaunal part of the benthic community, for details on sampling etc. see Gogina *et al.* (2016). Following
Gogina *et al.* (2016), we assumed that the locations are quantitatively comparable across the Baltic Sea.

For each of the locations, benthic species were linked to a species-by-trait matrix with trait information 112 on longevity (maximum lifespan). Benthic trait information was derived from available literature 113 (Törnroos and Bonsdorff, 2012; Bolam et al., 2014, 2017). Longevity was subdivided into four trait 114 classes (<1 year, 1-3, 3-10 and >10 years). For each species-longevity combination, a score of one was 115 assigned to a single class when a species longevity matched a longevity class. Otherwise, fractional 116 scores that summed to one were assigned to multiple longevity classes, following Bolam et al., (2014). 117 118 From this species-by-longevity matrix, including in some cases higher taxonomic levels, a table of locations by biomass-weighted trait longevity classes was calculated by multiplying the total biomass 119 per species by the longevity score. These were then summed by longevity class and divided by the total 120 121 biomass of the location to produce a proportional biomass-weighted longevity table for all locations.

122 A biomass-weighted longevity table was also calculated for two functional groups of the benthic community that are responsible for key ecosystem processes. The first subset contains all suspension-123 feeders (e.g. Mya arenaria, Cerastoderma glaucum, Phoronis sp.), which account for 60% of the total 124 biomass in the dataset and filter the near-bed water, facilitating benthic-pelagic coupling processes. The 125 second subset contains all bioturbators, which account for 35% of the total biomass in the dataset and 126 mix the sediment, e.g. diffusive mixers and upward/downward conveyors (for terminology see Bolam et 127 al. 2017). When a taxon was only partly affiliated with the functional group, we proportionally allocated 128 129 its biomass to the subset.

130 Environmental variables

131 To examine how the longevity-biomass composition varies across the Baltic Sea, we obtained different 132 environmental variables. The Baltic Sea is a semi-enclosed sea with a large salinity gradient that strongly 133 affects the composition of benthic communities (Bonsdorff, 2006). Bottom salinity data were obtained 134 from the ICES data portal and interpolated to cover a Baltic-wide grid based on all data from 2005 to 135 2015. Depth was extracted from the Baltic Sea Hydrographic Commission (2013). Wave exposure at the seabed was derived following the principles of Bekkby et al. (2008) and was calculated for the whole 136 137 Baltic Sea. No continuous sediment data were available for the entire Baltic Sea, and fractions mud, gravel and sand were obtained for part of the region from the global dbSeabed database (Jenkins, 1997); 138 no data were available in the northern Baltic Sea. Sediment fractions had little effect on the statistical 139 140 model (not shown) and were left out of the analysis as the estimates are uncertain and limited in spatial extent. Wave exposure at the seabed and depth were both transformed to improve model fit as ln(x+1). 141 The different habitat conditions were weakly correlated between each other. The correlation coefficient 142 143 between wave exposure and depth is -0.46, depth and salinity is -0.03 and wave exposure and salinity is 144 -0.06.

145 Oxygen deficiency and bottom trawling

We obtained data on bottom oxygen concentrations and bottom trawling intensities for the Baltic Sea. Bottom oxygen concentrations (ml $O_2 l^{-1}$) were extracted as seasonal means over five years (2006-2010) from an ecosystem model simulation (Fig. 3a). This model setup, using the coupled hydrographical and biogeochemical system ERGOM-MOM (Schernewski *et al.*, 2015), covers the whole Baltic Sea with a horizontal grid of 1 to 3 nautical miles. Trawling intensity was calculated based on average annual estimates of the amount of seabed disturbed by mobile bottom-contacting fishing gears (surface abrasion) on a 0.05.0.05 degrees grid between 2012 and 2016 (ICES, 2017). Bottom trawling intensity was expressed as the ratio between the area of a grid cell that is trawled each year (on average) and the total area of the grid cell (Fig. 3b). There is a temporal mismatch between trawling intensity (2012-2016) and bottom oxygen concentrations (2006-2010) due to lack of bottom oxygen data covering the whole Baltic Sea in recent years.

157 Longevity-biomass composition of the benthic community

We estimated the longevity-biomass composition of the sampling locations across the Baltic Sea in 158 relation to different environmental variables. The estimation was done using only sampling locations that 159 are largely undisturbed by both pressures (but see discussion), in order to derive a reference state. For 160 trawling disturbance, Bolam et al. (2017) showed that it is possible to use both untrawled (i.e., a zero 161 162 fishing pressure estimate) locations and locations with low trawling intensity (up to ≈ 0.1 per year for the more sensitive habitats) to derive the reference state. For that reason, we used all sampling locations with 163 average trawling intensities <0.1 per year. We used all sampling locations with minimum oxygen 164 concentrations >3.2 ml $O_2 l^{-1}$, see below for rationale of the oxygen value. The relatively undisturbed 165 166 locations (n=1558) represent the range of habitat characteristics of the Baltic Sea, but with an 167 overrepresentation of nearshore regions (Appendix 1).

To statistically estimate the longevity composition in relation to environmental drivers, we converted the biomass by longevity to a cumulative biomass by calculating the biomass proportion with longevity that is smaller than or equal to 1, 3 and 10 years in each location. We assumed, following Rijnsdorp *et al.* (2018), that the shape of this cumulative biomass proportions - longevity relationship is a sigmoidal (logistic) function, which starts at 0 and approaches 1 when longevity becomes large (Fig. 4). The cumulative proportions in each sample are not independent of each other. The dependence may have an effect on the standard error estimation but will not affect the estimated relationships between the longevity composition and the environmental drivers (which can therefore be used to predict the longevity-biomass composition for the Baltic Sea). We estimated the biomass-longevity composition using a statistical model, with the cumulative biomass proportions (*Cb*) as the response variable and longevity (*l*) and environmental conditions (*Hab*) as the predictor variables. We used a logistic mixed effect model with a random intercept per location (Rijnsdorp *et al.* 2018):

180
$$Cb \sim \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(l) + \beta_2 Hab + \beta_3 \ln(l) \cdot Hab + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$$
 (eq. 1)

181 where longevity (*l*) is ln transformed, the first error term (ε_1) has a binomial distribution and the second 182 normally distributed error term (ε_2) represents the random effect on the intercept per sampling location. 183 The random effect had a variance component that was (very close to) zero in all analyses, but was retained 184 in the model to incorporate the dependency of the cumulative biomass proportions per location.

We examined main effects and two-way interaction terms in all statistical procedures with model fits being evaluated using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The best candidate model, i.e. lowest AIC, yet with a difference of less than 2 AIC units, the model with the fewest parameters was chosen, was used to extrapolate the longevity distribution and to calculate seabed sensitivity on a Baltic-wide scale $(0.05 \cdot 0.05 \text{ degrees grid})$ using the environmental conditions.

190 The longevity composition of the two different functional groups was estimated using a similar method 191 as described above, except only these two functional groups were selected from the benthic community 192 for each sampling location.

193 <u>Seabed state and impact</u>

For bottom trawling, Pitcher et al. (2017) derived a method to assess the relative state of the benthic community, the biomass relative to its carrying capacity, per grid cell by solving the logistic growth model:

197 Community state =
$$B/K = 1 - F\frac{a}{r}$$
 (eq. 2)

where *B* is the community biomass, *K* the carrying capacity, *r* the intrinsic growth rate per year of the benthic community, *F* bottom trawling intensity per year and *d* the proportional decline of benthic biomass caused by a single trawl pass.

The effects of oxygen deficiency on benthic communities substantially differ from those of trawling as oxygen concentrations have an effect that is dependent on both the severity and duration of oxygen deficiency (Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte, 2008). For that reason, we formulated a temporal dynamic benthic model following van Denderen et al. (2015) to study the effects of both pressures:

205
$$B(t+1) = B(t) + r_d B(t) \left(\frac{K - B(t)}{K}\right) - (df(t) + O_{def}(t))B(t)$$
(eq. 3)

where t is time in days, *f* defines whether there is a trawling event (*f*=1) or no event (*f*=0) at a particular day, *d* shows the decline of benthic biomass (as a fraction) caused by a single trawl pass (similar to eq. 2), r_d the intrinsic growth rate per day and O_{def} describes mortality from oxygen deficiency at each day (detailed below). In the temporal model, trawling events were randomly distributed over the year based on the annual trawling frequency *F* at each grid cell. The temporal model was run for 100 years through the 1-year period to derive an average state (see further below).

The trawling mortality parameter d is derived from a global meta-analysis (Hiddink *et al.*, 2017), where *d* is shown to be dependent on the penetration depth of the gear. Since essentially all bottom fishing vessels in the Baltic Sea use otter trawls (ICES, 2017), we use *d* estimates derived in the meta-analysis for otter trawling (d = 0.06). An analysis of model uncertainty for parameter *d* is described below (see model uncertainty).

217 Similar syntheses of benthic community mortality from oxygen deficiency do not exist due to the difficulties of controlling for both the severity of oxygen deficiency and its duration. Studies of oxygen 218 deficiency typically suggest that mass mortality occurs with seasonal concentrations around 0.5 ml O₂ l⁻ 219 ¹ (Diaz and Rosenberg, 1995). Oxygen concentrations above which species do not suffer any mortality 220 are highly species dependent. Concentrations of less than 1.4 ml O₂ l⁻¹ are defined as hypoxic waters, but 221 sensitive species experience lethal effects from oxygen deficiency at these concentrations (Vaquer-222 Sunyer and Duarte, 2008). Concentrations above 3.2 ml O₂ l⁻¹ cause notably less mortality (Vaquer-223 Sunyer and Duarte, 2008) so a concentration of 3.2 ml O₂ l⁻¹ was adopted as the seasonal oxygen 224 concentration where the biomass of a healthy community is not declining. 225

We chose a function that defined mortality from oxygen deficiency (O_{def}) in such a way that a healthy community will experience *i*) mass mortality in a season where oxygen concentrations are around 0.5 ml O₂ l⁻¹ (O_{crit}), *ii*) little mortality in a season with oxygen concentrations ≥ 1.4 ml O₂ l⁻¹, and *iii*) no mortality in a season with oxygen concentrations ≥ 3.2 ml O₂ l⁻¹. The above assumptions resulted in the following oxygen deficiency mortality function:

231
$$O_{def} = d_0 (1 + O_{conc} - O_{crit})^{-6}$$
 (eq. 4)

where O_{conc} is the daily concentration (where the daily concentration is the same for all days in a season; 92 days in winter and 91 days in the other seasons) and d_0 a factor that scales the degree of daily mortality, parameterized as 0.01 (see Appendix 2 for examples of seasonal mortality from oxygen deficiency). Similar to bottom trawling, mortality induced by oxygen deficiency affects all longevities equally. The exact parameterization of O_{crit} and d_0 , in combination with the intrinsic growth rate r of the community, will determine the benthic community state. An analysis of model uncertainty is described below (see model uncertainty).

We used an intrinsic growth rate of the community r that is independent of the type of disturbance, either 239 from bottom trawling, oxygen deficiency or both, because the mechanism of recovery, i.e. recruitment 240 and growth following mortality, is assumed to be the same. Recovery rates are derived from field 241 estimates of a global meta-analysis of recovery after trawling disturbance, where recovery is shown to 242 243 be dependent on longevity (recovery rate per year = r = H/longevity, with H = 5.31, Hiddink *et al.* 2018). We used this formula to calculate the recovery rate and we divided r in the temporal dynamic model by 244 365 to get an intrinsic growth rate per day r_d . Uncertainty in H and the predicted longevity distribution 245 246 for the grid cells is evaluated (see model uncertainty). The approach is based on a simple characterization of recovery; other important factors such as larval strategy and mobility are considered in the discussion 247 248 section.

We discretized the longevity distribution into 15 longevity-classes (1-14 and \geq 15), and hence 15 249 recovery rates (r = H/longevity, with longevity from 1 to 15), to run the temporal dynamic model in eq. 250 3. The model was run with 15 r_d values and the final B/K values, bounded between 0.001 and 1, were 251 multiplied with the 15 longevity-class biomass fractions and summed to estimate the community state. 252 We ran the model for each grid cell that is affected by oxygen deficiency and/or bottom trawling for 100 253 254 years through the 1-year period, where trawling events each year are randomly distributed and oxygen 255 deficiency follows a seasonal pattern. After the simulation, we calculated the average B/K from the final 256 50 years to derive the average state of the benthic community.

257 <u>Model uncertainty</u>

258 We estimated model uncertainty for the depletion d and recovery H parameters and for the predicted 259 biomass-longevity composition at each grid cell. The estimation of uncertainty was done by calculating 260 benthic state 1500 times at each grid cell based on a resampling of the density distributions of the 261 parameters. The value of d had a logit-normal distribution with $\mu = \text{logit}(0.06)$ and $\sigma = 0.68$ (Hiddink et 262 al., 2017), the value of H a log-normal distribution with mean = log(5.31) and standard deviation = 0.39 263 (Hiddink et al., 2018) and the biomass-longevity model estimates were assumed to be normally distributed. Uncertainty in benthic state was afterwards expressed as the difference between the 75th and 264 25th percentile. We also examined uncertainty in the oxygen deficiency parameters. Since these 265 parameters are unquantified, we did not include them in the main uncertainty analysis, but tested oxygen 266 267 deficiency separately in two scenarios where no fauna will occur on a location when oxygen concentrations in one season are either below 0.3 or 0.5 ml O₂ l⁻¹, whereas all other grid cells are 268 unaffected, *i.e.* on-off response. 269

270 Model validation of bottom trawling

271 We validated the impact assessment with empirical data of Baltic Sea benthic communities over two 272 gradients of commercial bottom trawling effort in the Kattegat and Gotland Basin. In both areas, benthic 273 sampling has been conducted in locations with relative constant environmental conditions and along a 274 trawling gradient (see Appendix 3 for further information). We first compared biomass proportions per longevity class for all undisturbed sampling stations (fishing intensity <0.1 y⁻¹) with predicted 275 276 proportions based on the model for the same locations. To allow a direct comparison, we used depth from the gradient studies as input parameter in the model, whereas salinity and wave exposure at the seabed 277 278 were taken from the Baltic-wide dataset. Afterwards, we examined the effects of fishing on total

- community biomass in the gradient studies and compared the empirical outcomes with the predicted
- impact from the model. We did not validate the effects of hypoxia (see further discussion).

281 <u>Data accessibility</u>

- Output and code of the assessment model and benthic trait information are available on Github with DOI:
- 283 http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3346899.

284 **Results**

285 <u>Longevity composition of the benthic community</u>

286 Table 1 shows an overview of the statistical models that fitted the data best for both the total benthic 287 community and the specific functional groups. The cumulative biomass proportions across longevity of 288 the overall benthic community are best described by salinity, longevity and depth and their interactions 289 (with a greater longevity in deep and high-salinity areas), while wave stress at the seabed has a negative 290 effect. Using the statistical model outcome, the longevity composition of the benthic community is 291 predicted across the Baltic Sea (Fig. 5a) based on the underlying environmental conditions (Fig. 3d-f). 292 The prediction shows a large spatial variation in the longevity composition that is largely driven by salinity and the interactive effects between salinity and depth. Low salinity and depth correlate with 293 294 communities whose biomass is dominated by short-lived taxa, e.g. Monoporeia affinis (amphipod), Marenzelleria spp. (polychaete) and Saduria entomon (isopod), whereas those of the relatively high-295 salinity Kattegat are dominated by long-lived species such as Amphiura spp. (brittle stars) and Arctica 296 islandica (bivalve). 297

The best candidate model for the longevity composition of suspension-feeders is similar to the statistical model for the whole benthic community (Table 1) and results in a similar spatial pattern with somewhat longer lived fauna (Fig. 5b). The best candidate model for bioturbators does not include an interaction between longevity and salinity (Table 1). The median longevity of bioturbators is lower than that for suspension-feeders in areas with high salinity, while in the remaining parts of the Baltic Sea median longevities are generally comparable (Fig. 5c).

304 <u>Predicting the state of the benthic community</u>

For all three faunal groups, the low salinity in most regions of the Baltic Sea correlates with a longevity 305 306 distribution of mostly short-lived species. These communities are, in our model, predicted to be more resilient to the effects of both pressures than the high-salinity communities in the Kattegat. The predicted 307 state of the benthic community in response to both pressures is shown in Fig. 6a. In 22% of the Baltic 308 Sea, the state of benthic habitats is below 0.90 (a state of 1 is un-impacted) and the state is below 0.50 in 309 14% of the Baltic Sea (Fig. 7). Impact is summarized in Table 2 per main habitat type (see Fig. 3c for a 310 map of the main habitats). This shows that deep mud is most affected, with on average a community 311 state of 0.42. Deep mud is spatially extensive and covers 16% of the seabed, predominantly in the middle 312 part of the Baltic Sea where oxygen deficiency is greater. Another relatively deep benthic habitat, deep 313 314 mixed sediment that covers 6% of the Baltic Sea, also displays a low community state (state = 0.48). Mixed sediment, the most spatially dominant habitat, has a relatively unimpacted state of 0.94, whereas 315 shallow mud has a state of 0.84. Suspension-feeders and bioturbators have a similar state as the whole 316 317 community in all habitat types (Table 2).

Results of the uncertainty analysis show that the predicted impacts are most uncertain in areas with a high predicted faunal longevity and high bottom fishing intensity, *i.e.* Kattegat (Fig. 6b). The uncertainty in oxygen deficiency is low in the middle part of the Baltic Sea and hence limitedly affected by uncertainty in faunal longevity or parameter *H*. The impact of oxygen deficiency mainly depends on the oxygen concentrations that cause mass mortality (Appendix 4). The community state of the main habitats varies maximally ± 0.1 from the main result based on the 25th and 75th percentile (Appendix 4). In 6% of the Baltic Sea region benthic biomass is reduced by at least 10% due to the combined impact of bottom trawling and oxygen deficiency. The average state of these areas is 0.59 (Table 3). In our model specification, we have assumed that the effects of bottom trawling and oxygen deficiency on benthic ecosystems are additive, resulting in a combined impact as illustrated in Fig. 8. The combined impact always results in a lower benthic state than the effect of a single pressure. Changes in impact are non-linear because low oxygen concentrations, or high bottom trawling intensities, shift the community to a younger mean age by disproportionally removing long-living biota.

331 <u>Model validation</u>

The longevity composition of the benthic community differs markedly between the Kattegat and Gotland 332 333 Basin (Fig. 9a, b). Most organisms in the Gotland Basin have longevities of 3-10 years, whereas longerlived (>10 years) organisms are predominant in the Kattegat. These distributions of longevity are also 334 predicted with the model, despite an underestimation of the proportion of long-lived organisms in the 335 336 Kattegat (Fig. 9a, b). Both the model and the data show a decline in community biomass in the Gotland Basin with increased trawling, although the decline is stronger in the data (Fig. 9c). There is no clear 337 biomass change, and large variability, in the Kattegat in response to trawling, whereas the model predicts 338 a reduction (Fig. 9d). The large variability in biomass is not captured in the model as we assumed a 339 carrying capacity K that is calculated as the average community biomass of all undisturbed stations (see 340 341 further Appendix 3).

342 **Discussion**

Our results show that the low salinity in most regions of the Baltic Sea correlates with a community 343 344 biomass-longevity distribution that is heavily biased towards short-lived species. In our model, these communities are more resilient to the effects of hypoxia and bottom trawling than those of the highly 345 saline areas where biomass is comprised of relatively long-lived species. We find that in 14% of the 346 Baltic Sea region benthic biomass is reduced by at least 50% (state <0.5), whereas 8% of the region has 347 reductions of 10-50%. About one quarter of these impacted areas is affected by both pressures, 348 corresponding to 6% of the Baltic Sea region with cumulative impacts. The effects of hypoxia cover 349 larger areas and lead to a low habitat state of deep mud and deep mixed sediment. 350

351 Longevity distribution of the Baltic Sea benthic community

For the estimated reference conditions, the longevity-biomass distribution of the benthic community 352 differed substantially between habitats. Changes in longevity are primarily driven by the salinity gradient 353 from the Kattegat towards the Gotland Basin and the Bothnian Sea, which has been shown to strongly 354 influence the benthic community (Bonsdorff, 2006; Törnroos et al., 2015). In the high-salinity waters of 355 the Kattegat, the longevity distribution is comparable to that predicted for the neighbouring North Sea 356 (Rijnsdorp et al., 2018), and in both regions suspension-feeders consist of a higher fraction of longer-357 lived species than the bioturbators. The longevity of all groups declines notably in the low-salinity waters 358 of the Baltic Sea. 359

The longevity distribution of the benthic community is used in the present study as a proxy of benthic sensitivity to trawling and oxygen deficiency. The use of this proxy is based on the findings by Hiddink et al. (2018) who showed that the intrinsic rate of population growth r, which drives the recovery rate, is

363 proportional to the reciprocal of longevity. It is also supported by other studies that show shifts towards 364 shorter-lived species in response to trawling (Tillin et al., 2006; van Denderen et al., 2015; Rijnsdorp et 365 al., 2018) and hypoxia (Diaz and Rosenberg, 1995). Although longevity is a key trait that correlates with 366 habitat sensitivity and recovery, estimating the longevity of benthic taxa is uncertain because benthic 367 taxa cannot be aged and other factors, besides longevity, affect benthic sensitivity. Large variations in 368 recovery rates within longevity classes were observed in the meta-analysis of Hiddink et al. (2018), 369 highlighting uncertainty in using longevity to estimate benthic recovery. Uncertainty is also shown in 370 our model validation analysis, where the model underestimates the effect of fishing in the Gotland Basin, where short-lived fauna dominate, and overestimates the fishing effect on long-lived organisms in the 371 Kattegat (Fig. 9). For the Kattegat, long-lived organisms seem to be largely insensitive to trawling, which 372 373 is contradictory to the meta-analysis by Hiddink et al. (2018). The limited effect of fishing on long-lived organisms in the Kattegat is most likely due to an increase in the long-living and abundant brittle stars 374 (Amphiura spp.) under intermediate trawling disturbance (Sköld et al., 2018). Hence, further work is 375 needed to elucidate when responses of the benthic community are not (solely) dependent on the longevity 376 377 of fauna. Studying the response of biological-trait groupings to various pressures (Villnäs et al., 2017) 378 or incorporating the food-web dynamics and the possibility of having non-linear and non-negative 379 responses to pressures, see for example for trawling van Denderen et al., (2013) and Sköld et al., (2018), offer two potential approaches in this respect. Nevertheless, from our model validation we are unable to 380 381 determine whether the response of Baltic Sea benthic communities systematically differs from the results 382 of the global meta-analysis (Hiddink et al., 2018), i.e. the recovery rate is proportional to the reciprocal of longevity. 383

The longevity composition of the benthic community was derived using only sampling locations that 384 385 were assumed to be largely undisturbed by the pressures. It is likely that most undisturbed sampling 386 locations are not in 'pristine' condition, e.g. sampling locations might have been at a state of recovery 387 from historic fishing, hypoxia or are impacted by other pressures. The sampling locations used to predict 388 the longevity are also predominantly shallow, limiting the accuracy of our longevity prediction in deeper 389 areas. In our estimation of the reference condition, we also did not include that a minor part of the Baltic 390 Sea seafloor is naturally, and historically, disturbed by hypoxia (Carstensen et al., 2014). Furthermore, 391 our predictions are uncertain by a lack of continuous sediment data, as sediment conditions will likely affect faunal longevity. The reference state is hence based on the best condition currently available and 392 may be updated when new information becomes available. 393

394 <u>The effects of bottom trawling and hypoxia</u>

Our results show that 6% of the Baltic Sea region (approx. 30000 km²) is currently impacted by both 395 396 bottom trawling and oxygen deficiency. We have assumed that the effects of bottom trawling and oxygen 397 deficiency on benthic ecosystems are additive and both shift the community to a younger mean age. Evidence also suggests potential synergistic effects through other mechanisms, as oxygen deficiency can 398 induce burrowing organisms to migrate to the sediment surface (Baden et al., 1990; Hansson et al., 2000) 399 making them potentially more vulnerable to trawling impacts. To date, however, no targeted study has 400 401 been conducted to determine the nature of any cumulative impacts of these two pressures. Synergistic 402 effects, if shown to occur, could be included in the assessment model and are likely to result in a reduced benthic habitat state in areas with both pressures, compared to our model predictions. Understanding the 403 404 interactive effects is important as hypoxic conditions are predicted to expand in the Baltic Sea (Bendtsen and Hansen, 2013) and in coastal areas in general (Breitburg *et al.*, 2018) with climate warming,
potentially increasing the area that is affected by both pressures.

407 <u>Model parametrization</u>

The effects of oxygen deficiency in the model are largely based on the assumption of mass mortality at 408 $0.5 \text{ ml O}_2 \text{ l}^{-1}$ and changes in this parameter affect the average state of especially deep offshore habitats 409 (Appendix 4). Part of these deep offshore habitats have oxygen concentrations close to or even zero and 410 these areas are, even in a best-possible scenario, highly impacted. The response of benthic communities 411 at higher oxygen concentrations (>1 ml O_2 l⁻¹) is assumed to cause little mortality to the benthic 412 community (Appendix 2). A proper validation of these model results across regions and benthic 413 communities is needed, both in relation to the concentration and duration of oxygen deficiency. The 414 415 oxygen concentrations used to predict impact are now also based on seasonal averages, whereas a few hours/days of anoxic conditions may already result in mass mortality (Villnäs et al., 2012). A finer 416 temporal resolution of the simulated bottom oxygen conditions, in combination with an improved 417 418 parametrization of oxygen deficiency, will refine our modelling results.

419 The intrinsic growth rate of the community r, which drives the recovery rate, is independent of the type of disturbance in our model. Recovery rates are likely to differ between trawling and hypoxia as the 420 physical and physico-chemical conditions and the spatial scale of these disturbances differ. Trawling is 421 patchy in space and time (Rijnsdorp et al., 1998; Van Denderen et al., 2015) which enhances the potential 422 for local, less disturbed communities to facilitate recolonization through larval dispersal and post-423 424 settlement movement. In contrast, the relatively continuous and spatially-extensive nature of severely 425 hypoxic areas hinders such recolonization processes (Whitlatch *et al.*, 1998). The severe hypoxic areas 426 are also characterized by mud and mud may harbour a specific benthic community, further limiting 427 successful recolonization from neighbouring (non-muddy) habitats. Our models may, therefore, be 428 improved by allowing recovery rates to be dependent on the community (dis)continuity, or degree of 429 patchiness. Additionally, larval dispersal mechanisms by the benthos are limited due to the lack of tidal 430 currents in the Baltic Sea (Valanko *et al.*, 2010) and recovery rates may potentially differ from those of 431 other regions.

432 <u>Conclusion</u>

We have applied a method for assessing the combined effects of trawling disturbance and hypoxia on 433 benthic communities. The approach is based on a simple characterization of the benthic community. 434 With the exception of the estimation of faunal longevity, the parameterization of depletion and recovery 435 rates are derived from meta-analyses outside the Baltic Sea. The approach comes as such with high 436 437 uncertainty. The approach has been used to identify regions that are most at risk, so as to prioritize 438 management actions. The approach can be used to monitor changes over time by evaluating benthic state 439 with temporal pressure data. The assessment methodology can be transferred to other regions where the 440 benthic longevity-biomass composition can be estimated and where high-resolution pressure data are 441 available. The method allows to aggregate results from a local scale ($\sim 15 \text{ km}^2$) to the main habitats (and potentially any other assessment unit), as well as to the regional scale (Baltic Sea; ~450000 km²). We 442 443 expect our findings and the assessment method to be of relevance to managers as it objectively highlights 444 how bottom trawling and oxygen deficiency act on benthic habitats. Within a regional policy context, 445 such an assessment method is required to evaluate benthic seafloor integrity and how changes may be 446 occurring over time. Information on benthic seafloor integrity will facilitate the development of sustainable management strategies and assist in the assessment of trade-offs between human activities 447 448 and their environmental impact on the seabed.

449 **Supplementary information**

The following supplementary material is available at *ICESJMS*: a comparison of environmental variables between sampling locations and the Baltic Sea region (appendix 1), the effects of oxygen deficiency in the model (appendix 2), information on empirical data in the Kattegat and Gotland Basin used for validation (appendix 3) and model uncertainty predictions (appendix 4).

454 Acknowledgements

We thank three reviewers for their valuable comments that have improved the manuscript. PDvD, MS, 455 KN and SV were partly supported by BalticBOOST a HELCOM project co-financed by the EU (No. 456 11.0661/2015/712632/SUB/ENVC.2). MS and KR were also co-financed by the Swedish agency for 457 marine and water management (Dnr 74915). Data used in this article along local trawling gradients were 458 459 collected within Swedish National and monitoring programmes and financed by the Swedish agency for marine and water management, SwAM. Maps of seabed habitats have been derived from data that is 460 made available under the European Marine Observation Data Network (EMODnet) Seabed Habitats 461 462 project (http://www.emodnet-seabedhabitats.eu/), funded by the European Commission's Directorate-463 General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (DG MARE). We thank F Bastardie and P Jonsson for 464 trawling intensities for the Gotland data, K Cooper for commenting the manuscript and C Jenkins for 465 making the dbSeabed database available. JGH and AR were partly supported by BENTHIS EU-FP7 312088; the paper has benefitted from discussions within BENTHIS and Trawling Best Practice groups. 466 EAV was partly supported by the Academy of Finland Strategic Research Council (project SmartSea, 467 468 Grant No. 314225). AT was supported by the Åbo Akademi University profiling area "The Sea" and the BIO-C3 project, which received funding from BONUS, the joint Baltic Sea research and development 469

- 470 programme (Art 185) and national funding agencies. PDvD conducted the work within the Centre for
- 471 Ocean Life, a VKR Centre of excellence supported by the Villum Foundation.

472 Author contributions

- PDvD, JGH, ADR, MS, AT and SV conceived the ideas. AT and SGB collated benthic trait data. RF and
 EAV derived environmental modeled data. KN and MS collated benthic validation data. PDvD
- 475 performed the analyses with support of JGH, ADR, KN and MS. PDvD and SV led the writing and all
- 476 authors contributed critically to the drafts.

477 **References**

- 478 Amoroso, R. O., Pitcher, C. R., Rijnsdorp, A. D., McConnaughey, R. A., Parma, A. M., Suuronen, P.,
- 479 Eigaard, O. R., *et al.* 2018. Bottom trawl fishing footprints on the world's continental shelves.
- 480 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115: E10275–E10282.
- 481 Baden, S. P., Loo, L.-O., Pihl, L., and Rosenberg, R. 1990. Effects of eutrophication on benthic
- 482 communities including fish: Swedish west coast. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment,
 483 19: 113–122.
- 484 Baltic Sea Hydrographic Commission. 2013. Baltic Sea Bathymetry Database version 0.9.3.
- 485 Downloaded from http://data.bshc.pro/ on 21-02-2017.
- Bekkby, T., Isachsen, P. E., Isæus, M., and Bakkestuen, V. 2008. GIS Modeling of Wave Exposure at
 the Seabed: A Depth-attenuated Wave Exposure Model. Marine Geodesy, 31: 117–127.
- Bendtsen, J., and Hansen, J. L. S. 2013. Effects of global warming on hypoxia in the Baltic Sea–North
 Sea transition zone. Ecological Modelling, 264: 17–26.
- Bolam, S. G., Coggan, R. C., Eggleton, J., Diesing, M., and Stephens, D. 2014. Sensitivity of
- 491 macrobenthic secondary production to trawling in the English sector of the Greater North Sea: A
 492 biological trait approach. Journal of Sea Research, 85: 162–177.
- Bolam, S. G., Garcia, C., Eggleton, J., Kenny, A. J., Buhl-Mortensen, L., Gonzalez-Mirelis, G., van
- 494 Kooten, T., *et al.* 2017. Differences in biological traits composition of benthic assemblages
- between unimpacted habitats. Marine Environmental Research, 126: 1–13.
- 496 Bonsdorff, E. 2006. Zoobenthic diversity-gradients in the Baltic Sea: Continuous post-glacial
- 497 succession in a stressed ecosystem. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 330:
 498 383–391.
- 499 Breitburg, D., Levin, L. A., Oschlies, A., Grégoire, M., Chavez, F. P., Conley, D. J., Garçon, V., et al.

500	2018. Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. Science, 359: eaam/240.

- 501 Carstensen, J., Andersen, J. H., Gustafsson, B. G., and Conley, D. J. 2014. Deoxygenation of the Baltic
- 502 Sea during the last century. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111: 5628–5633.
- Collie, J. S., Hall, S. J., Kaiser, M. J., and Poiner, I. R. 2000. A quantitative analysis of fishing impacts
 on shelf-sea benthos. Journal of Animal Ecology, 69: 785–798.
- Conley, D. J., Bjorck, S., Bonsdorff, E., Carstensen, J., Destouni, G., Gustafsson, B. G., Hietanen, S., *et al.* 2009. Hypoxia-related processes in the Baltic Sea. Environmental Science & Technology, 43:
- 507 3412–3420.
- de Groot, S. J. 1984. The impact of bottom trawling on benthic fauna of the North Sea. Ocean
 Management, 9: 177–190.
- Diaz, R. J., and Rosenberg, R. 1995. Marine benthic hypoxia: a review of its ecological effects and the
 behavioural responses of benthic macrofauna. Oceanography and marine biology. An annual
 review, 33: 203–245.
- 513 Diaz, R. J., and Rosenberg, R. 2008. Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems.
 514 Science, 321: 926–929.
- 515 Eigaard, O. R., Bastardie, F., Breen, M., Dinesen, G. E., Hintzen, N. T., Laffargue, P., Mortensen, L.
- 516 O., *et al.* 2015. Estimating seabed pressure from demersal trawls, seines, and dredges based on
 517 gear design and dimensions. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73: i27–i43.
- 518 Eigaard, O. R., Bastardie, F., Hintzen, N. T., Buhl-Mortensen, L., Buhl-Mortensen, P., Catarino, R.,
- 519 Dinesen, G. E., *et al.* 2017. The footprint of bottom trawling in European waters: distribution,
- 520 intensity, and seabed integrity. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 74: 847–865.
- 521 Gogina, M., Nygård, H., Blomqvist, M., Daunys, D., Josefson, A. B., Kotta, J., Maximov, A., et al.
- 522 2016. The Baltic Sea scale inventory of benthic faunal communities. ICES Journal of Marine

- 523 Science, 73: 1196–1213.
- Gray, J. S., and Wu, R. S. 2002. Effects of hypoxia and organic enrichment on the coastal marine
 environment . Marine Ecology Progress Series, 238: 249–279.
- 526 Hansson, M., Lindegarth, M., Valentinsson, D., and Ulmestrand, M. 2000. Effects of shrimp-trawling
- 527 on abundance of benthic macrofauna in Gullmarsfjorden, Sweden. Marine Ecology-Progress
 528 Series, 198: 191–201.
- 529 Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., Sciberras, M., Szostek, C. L., Hughes, K. M., Ellis, N., Rijnsdorp, A. D., et
- 530 *al.* 2017. Global analysis of depletion and recovery of seabed biota after bottom trawling
- disturbance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114: 8301–8306.
- Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., Sciberras, M., Bolam, S. G., Cambiè, G., McConnaughey, R. A., Mazor,
- 533 T., *et al.* 2018. Assessing bottom-trawling impacts based on the longevity of benthic invertebrates.
- Journal of Applied Ecology, 56: 1075–1084.
- 535 ICES. 2017. Spatial data layers of fishing intensity/pressure per gear type for surface and subsurface
- abrasion, for the years 2009 and 2016 in the HELCOM area.
- 537 https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.2860.
- Jenkins, C. 1997. Building offshore soils databases. Sea Technology, 38: 25–28.
- 539 Kaiser, M. J., Hormbrey, S., Booth, J. R., Hinz, H., and Hiddink, J. G. 2018. Recovery linked to life
- history of sessile epifauna following exclusion of towed mobile fishing gear. Journal of Applied
 Ecology, 55: 1060–1070.
- Korpinen, S., Meski, L., Andersen, J. H., and Laamanen, M. 2012. Human pressures and their potential
 impact on the Baltic Sea ecosystem. Ecological Indicators, 15: 105–114.
- Levin, L. A., Ekau, W., Gooday, A. J., Jorissen, F., Middelburg, J. J., Naqvi, S. W. A., Neira, C., et al.
- 545 2009. Effects of natural and human-induced hypoxia on coastal benthos. Biogeosciences, 6: 2063–

546 2098.

547	Mazor, T. K., Pitcher, C. R., Ellis, N., Rochester, W., Jennings, S., Hiddink, J. G., McConnaughey, R.
548	A., et al. 2017. Trawl exposure and protection of seabed fauna at large spatial scales. Diversity
549	and Distributions, 23: 1280–1291.
550	Pitcher, C. R., Ellis, N., Jennings, S., Hiddink, J. G., Mazor, T., Kaiser, M. J., Kangas, M. I., et al.
551	2017. Estimating the sustainability of towed fishing-gear impacts on seabed habitats: a simple
552	quantitative risk assessment method applicable to data-limited fisheries. Methods in Ecology and
553	Evolution, 8: 472–480.
554	Rijnsdorp, A. D., Buys, A. M., Storbeck, F., and Visser, E. G. 1998. Micro-scale distribution of beam
555	trawl effort in the southern North Sea between 1993 and 1996 in relation to the trawling frequency
556	of the sea bed and the impact on benthic organisms. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 55: 403–
557	419.
558	Rijnsdorp, A. D., Bastardie, F., Bolam, S. G., Buhl-Mortensen, L., Eigaard, O. R., Hamon, K. G.,
559	Hiddink, J. G., et al. 2016. Towards a framework for the quantitative assessment of trawling
560	impact on the seabed and benthic ecosystem. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73: i127-i138.
561	Rijnsdorp, A. D., Bolam, S. G., Garcia, C., Hiddink, J. G., Hintzen, N. T., van Denderen, D. P., and
562	Van Kooten, T. 2018. Estimating sensitivity of seabed habitats to disturbance by bottom trawling
563	based on the longevity of benthic fauna. Ecological Applications, 28: 1302–1312.
564	Schernewski, G., Friedland, R., Carstens, M., Hirt, U., Leujak, W., Nausch, G., Neumann, T., et al.
565	2015. Implementation of European marine policy: New water quality targets for German Baltic
566	waters. Marine Policy, 51: 305–321.
567	Sciberras, M., Parker, R., Powell, C., Robertson, C., Kröger, S., Bolam, S., and Hiddink, J. G. 2016.
568	Impacts of bottom fishing on the sediment infaunal community and biogeochemistry of cohesive

569	and non- cohesive sediments. Limnology and Oceanography, 61: 2076–2089.
570	Sciberras, M., Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., Szostek, C. L., Hughes, K. M., Kneafsey, B., Clarke, L. J.,
571	et al. 2018. Response of benthic fauna to experimental bottom fishing: A global meta-analysis.
572	Fish and Fisheries, 19: 698–715.
573	Sköld, M., Göransson, P., Jonsson, P., Bastardie, F., Blomqvist, M., Agrenius, S., JG, H., et al. 2018.
574	Effects of chronic bottom trawling on soft-seafloor macrofauna in the Kattegat . Marine Ecology
575	Progress Series, 586: 41–55.
576	Tillin, H. M., Hiddink, J. G., Jennings, S., and Kaiser, M. J. 2006. Chronic bottom trawling alters the
577	functional composition of benthic invertebrate communities on a sea-basin scale. Marine Ecology
578	Progress Series, 318: 31–45.
579	Törnroos, A., and Bonsdorff, E. 2012. Developing the multitrait concept for functional diversity:
580	lessons from a system rich in functions but poor in species. Ecological Applications, 22: 2221-
581	2236.
582	Törnroos, A., Bonsdorff, E., Bremner, J., Blomqvist, M., Josefson, A. B., Garcia, C., and Warzocha, J.
583	2015. Marine benthic ecological functioning over decreasing taxonomic richness. Journal of Sea
584	Research, 98: 49–56.
585	Valanko, S., Norkko, A., and Norkko, J. 2010. Strategies of post-larval dispersal in non-tidal soft-
586	sediment communities. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 384: 51-60.
587	van Denderen, P. D., van Kooten, T., and Rijnsdorp, A. D. 2013. When does fishing lead to more fish?
588	Community consequences of bottom trawl fisheries in demersal food webs. Proceedings of the
589	Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 280: 20131883.
590	van Denderen, P. D., Bolam, S., Hiddink, J., Jennings, S., Kenny, A., Rijnsdorp, A., and van Kooten,
591	T. 2015. Similar effects of bottom trawling and natural disturbance on composition and function

592	of benthic	communities	across	habitats.	Marine	Ecology	Progress	Series,	541: 3	31–43	Ϊ.

- 593 Van Denderen, P. D., Hintzen, N. T., Van Kooten, T., and Rijnsdorp, A. D. 2015. Temporal
- aggregation of bottom trawling and its implication for the impact on the benthic ecosystem. ICES
- Journal of Marine Science, 72: 952–961.
- ⁵⁹⁶ Vaquer-Sunyer, R., and Duarte, C. M. 2008. Thresholds of hypoxia for marine biodiversity.
- 597 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences , 105: 15452–15457.
- 598 Villnäs, A., Norkko, J., Lukkari, K., Hewitt, J., and Norkko, A. 2012. Consequences of increasing
- 599 hypoxic disturbance on benthic communities and ecosystem functioning. PLOS ONE, 7: e44920.
- 600 Villnäs, A., Hewitt, J., Snickars, M., Westerborn, M., and Norkko, A. 2017. Template for using
- biological trait groupings when exploring large-scale variation in seafloor multifunctionality.
 Ecological Applications, 28: 78–94. Wiley-Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1630.
- Watson, R. A., and Tidd, A. 2018. Mapping nearly a century and a half of global marine fishing: 1869–
 2015. Marine Policy, 93: 171–177.
- 605 Whitlatch, R. B., Lohrer, A. M., Thrush, S. F., Pridmore, R. D., Hewitt, J. E., Cummings, V. J., and
- Zajac, R. N. 1998. Scale-dependent benthic recolonization dynamics: life stage-based dispersal
- and demographic consequences. Hydrobiologia, 375: 217–226.

- 608 Tables
- Table 1. Model selection for the cumulative biomass proportions in relation to longevity and habitat
- 610 conditions for the total community and different functional groups. L = ln(longevity), S = salinity, D =
- 611 $\ln(\text{depth}+1)$, W= ln(wave exposure at the bottom+1).

Total community (n = 1558)			
Model	df	AIC	Formula
$L{+}S{+}D{+}W{+}L{\cdot}S{+}S{\cdot}D{+}L{\cdot}D$	9	2525	$Y = -4.2 + 3.7 L + 0.1 S + 0.2 D - 0.1 W - 0.1 L \cdot S - 0.04 S \cdot D + 0.3 L \cdot D$
$L\!+\!S\!+\!D\!+\!W\!+\!L\!\cdot S\!+\!L\!\cdot D$	8	2530	•
$L{+}S{+}D{+}L{\cdot}S{+}S{\cdot}D{+}L{\cdot}D$	8	2560	•
L+S+D+W	6	2600	
Suspension-feeders (n = 148	7)		
Model	df	AIC	Formula
$L{+}S{+}D{+}W{+}L{\cdot}S{+}S{\cdot}D{+}L{\cdot}D$	9	2457	$Y = -4.5 + 3.3 L + 0.2 S - 0.1 D - 0.1 W - 0.1 L \cdot S - 0.05 S \cdot D + 0.5 L \cdot D$
$L{+}S{+}D{+}W{+}L{\cdot}S{+}L{\cdot}D$	8	2503	•
$L + S + D + L : S + S \cdot D + L \cdot D$	8	2474	•
L+S+D+W	6	2598	-
Bioturbators (n = 1536)			
Model	df	AIC	Formula
$L{+}S{+}D{+}W{+}S{\cdot}D{+}L{\cdot}D$	8	2577	$Y = -1.9 + 2.1 L + 0.001 S - 0.3 D - 0.18 W - 0.03 S \cdot D + 0.5 L \cdot D$
$L+S+D+W+L\cdot D$	7	2589	•
$L\!+\!S\!+\!D\!+\!W\!+\!L\!\cdot S\!+\!L\!\cdot D$	8	2590	•
L+S+D+W	6	2617	

Table 2. Overview of impact (presented as the average grid cell state) by both pressures for the total community and for different functional groups in the most common habitat types (cl is circalittoral, il is infralittoral, ocl is offshore circalittoral). Fraction of area is the surface area of a habitat type divided by the total assessed area.

Habitats	State (community)	State (susp feeders)	State (bioturbators)	Fraction of area
Baltic Sea region	0.85	0.84	0.85	1
Mixed sediment (cl)	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.27
Mud (cl)	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.19
Deep mud (ocl)	0.42	0.41	0.43	0.16
Sand (cl)	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.09
Shallow mixed sediment (il)	1	1	1	0.06
Deep mixed sediment (ocl)	0.48	0.47	0.48	0.06
Others	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.17

Table 3. Overview of the average state and areal extent of grid cells where benthic biomass is reduced

- by at least 10% (state <0.90) due to bottom trawling, oxygen deficiency or both. The total area of the
- 620 Baltic Sea with a state <0.9 is 22% (see Fig. 7). F is bottom trawling intensity, O is the minimum

621 seasonal oxygen concentration in ml $O_2 l^{-1}$.

Pressure	Average state	Fraction of the total Baltic Sea region		
Bottom trawling (F >0.1, O \ge 3.2)	0.71	0.03		
Oxygen deficiency (F ≤ 0.1 , O < 3.2)	0.11	0.13		
Both (F > 0.1, O < 3.2)	0.59	0.06		

623 Figures



Figure 1. Outline of the method and results.



Figure 2. Sampling locations (based on aggregated benthic data) collated by Gogina et al. (2016). All
black dots (n=1558) were used in the analysis to predict fauna longevity. All grey dots (n=710) were
not used as pressure levels were too high.



630

Figure 3. Map of (a) oxygen concentrations, here presented as the lowest seasonal concentration in the year, (b) trawling intensities, (c) the Marine Strategy Framework Directive Benthic Broad Habitat Types for the Baltic Sea region as downloaded from http://www.emodnet-seabedhabitats.eu/, (d) depth, (e) salinity and (f) wave exposure at the seabed (unitless). See data sources and explanation in main text.



Figure 4. Illustration of five sampling stations with similar environmental conditions and their biomasslongevity compositions. Dots show cumulative biomass at longevities of 1, 3 and 10 based on benthic data (each station is a different colour and symbol). Line is fitted (for illustration) with a binomial model where longevity is ln transformed. Salinity 25-27 ppt, depth 10-13 m, wave exposure $3 \cdot 10^5 - 5 \cdot 10^5$, fishing intensity <0.1 per year and minimum seasonal oxygen concentration >4 ml O₂ per litre.



Figure 5. Predicted longevity distribution of the (a) benthic community, (b) suspension-feeders and (c)
sediment bioturbators across the Baltic Sea, presented as the median longevity, the longevity in years
where the cumulative biomass proportion is 0.5.



Figure 6. (a) Predicted state of the benthic community impacted by fishing disturbance and oxygen deficiency (a state of 1 is un-impacted) and (b) model uncertainty measured as the difference in state between 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentile based on uncertainty in the depletion *d* and recovery *H* parameters and the statistical model predictions of the biomass-longevity composition at each grid cell.



Figure 7. The benthic state per grid cell sorted from high to low and the corresponding fraction of the
Baltic Sea area above or equal to that state. The figure shows that 22% (1-0.78=0.22) of the Baltic Sea
region has a state <0.9 and 14% (1-0.86=0.14) has a state <0.5.



Figure 8. Illustration of the combined effects of bottom trawling and oxygen deficiency on benthic 655 community state. The lines show different summer oxygen concentrations, whereas the oxygen 656 concentrations in the other seasons are 4 ml O₂ per litre; note that similar results are obtained when 657 oxygen concentrations are low in another season. The longevity composition of the benthic community, 658 used to predict impact and state, is estimated from the statistical model (Table 1) based on chosen 659 environmental conditions (salinity 26 ppt, depth 12 m, wave exposure at the bottom 3.10⁵, representing 660 a location in the Kattegat). The combined effects are largely similar for other regions, but the non-linear 661 effect becomes smaller in areas with short-lived fauna. 662



664 Figure 9. Model predictions of longevity are compared with data observations at 4 unfished stations in 665 the Gotland Basin (a) and 3 unfished stations in the Kattegat (b). The bars show the average biomass proportion per longevity class and the error bars show the standard error. Model predictions of fishing 666 impact are compared with data in panel c and d. The black dots show the observed community biomass 667 668 at each sampling station and the red lines show the predicted biomass as a function of trawling intensity. 669 Changes in biomass in response to trawling intensity are derived in the model by calculating impact from the predicted longevity composition in panel a and b, from fishing intensity and by assuming a carrying 670 capacity (K) that is the average community biomass in all undisturbed stations. Model uncertainty (grey 671 dashed lines) is estimated by resampling the depletion rate from trawling d and the longevity-specific 672 recovery rate H 1500 times. Other sources of uncertainty are not included. The benthic data and fishing 673

- 674 intensities for each sampling station are averaged for all years of sampling. Panel d shows the y-axis on
- 675 a \log_{10} scale.