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Abstract:
Continuous hydrothermal flow synthesis (CHFS) of Ni-Fe Layered Double Hydroxide (LDH) leads to waterborne dispersions of 2D nanoplatelets in the range of 10-50 nm in lateral size. Conversion of the as-synthesized LDH nanoplatelet dispersion into inkjet printing inks results in high precision patterning and complete substrate coverage with low LDH loadings in the range of µg·cm⁻². The Ni-Fe LDHs’ anisotropy induces a preferential in-plane alignment to a glassy carbon substrate producing low porosity films. Thin Ni-Fe LDH films in the submicrometer range exhibit superior electrocatalytic activity for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), with an overpotential of 270 mV at 10 mA·cm⁻² and a Tafel slope of 32 mV·dec⁻¹. The particle alignment creates a compact film and induces a loading-independent electrochemical performance of the Ni-Fe LDH electrodes for loadings above 50 µg·cm⁻². The combination of CHFS and inkjet printing represents a promising hyphenation of large-scale synthesis and electrode production.
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Introduction

Nanostructured materials show unique properties with the potential for improving the performances of key energy conversion devices such as batteries, fuel cells, and electrolyzers. Among all the investigated nanostructures, 2D nanomaterials attracted considerable interest due to their outstanding properties. Compared to nanoparticles and nanowires, 2D materials expose a single lattice plane, so that a large part of their chemical properties depends directly on specific crystallographic orientations. This unique feature for controlling the material surface chemistry exhibits high potential for applications in catalysis and electrocatalysis.

In this context, layered double hydroxides (LDH) form one of the most studied groups of 2D catalysts for energy applications. This phase consists of 2D brucite-like layers with stoichiometry \([\text{M}^{\text{II1-xM}^{\text{III}x}(\text{OH})_2}]^{x+}\) intercalated by anions for compensating the positive charge excess. Ni-Fe-based LDHs show high catalytic activity towards the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) with remarkable stability. In addition, exfoliation, compositional tuning, and exchange of the intercalating anion make them a versatile material suitable for integration into various nanostructures and composites. Therefore, Ni-Fe LDHs represent promising candidates for replacing precious metals as active materials for water oxidation in energy applications.

Recently, also the combination of Ni-Fe LDH with other materials has been reported to enhance the OER activity avoiding the use of expensive and scarce elements. A few examples from literature are: Ni-Fe LDH deposited on Co, N-codoped carbon nanoframes, Ni-Fe LDH deposited on Au, the introduction of cation vacancies and synthesizing NiO/Ni-Fe LDH composites or hierarchical hollow (Co,Ni)Se@Ni-Fe LDH nanocages.

However, various issues still limit the exploitation of these and other nanomaterials for energy applications on a large scale. These limitations span from health and environmental issues to...
agglomeration\textsuperscript{18} and heterogeneous composition and morphology of the products\textsuperscript{19}. This last lack of uniformity mainly stems from the batch processes employed in nanomaterial synthesis. Hence, unleashing the application of nanomaterials requires novel synthetic and processing approaches that allow reducing the impact of nanomaterials’ production and increase product quality.

Continuous hydrothermal flow synthesis (CHFS) is emerging as an easily scalable technology for producing water dispersions of metal, metal oxide, and metal hydroxide nanoparticles\textsuperscript{20}. Compared to batch processes, CHFS ensures uniform reaction conditions. This method provides constant supersaturation conditions at the mixing stage, reducing the heterogeneity of produced nanomaterials. LDH 2D-nanoplatelets have been synthesized by CHFS, using a broad array of cations at both the divalent and trivalent sites\textsuperscript{21–24}. In Ni-Fe LDH, typical preparation approaches consist of hydro- or solvo-thermal batch processes with long synthesis times\textsuperscript{25–27}, but Ni-Fe LDH can also be prepared by electrochemical deposition\textsuperscript{28}. These synthetic routes support the heterogeneity mentioned above: in such slow processes the composition of the reaction environment evolves with time so that particles nucleated and grown at different stages experience a different chemical environment. The application of CHFS to the synthesis of Ni-Fe LDH would increase the control over the particles’ composition and morphology.

CHFS products represent an attractive starting point for liquid processing of nanomaterials by inkjet printing\textsuperscript{29,30}. The combination of these two technologies indeed prevents the handling of dried nanopowders, reducing the environmental impact, and agglomeration risks. In some cases, using nanodispersions produced by CHFS allowed the formulation of inks without organic binders or dispersants\textsuperscript{29,30}, which influence the surface properties of the deposited material. In addition, converting the CHFS products into inks makes it possible to control the deposition of picoliter-sized droplets with a spatial resolution of a few tens of microns. Due to its miniaturization and
customization capabilities, the inkjet technology can be used for printing patterns and films of an extensive range of nanomaterials \(^{31,32}\).

Inkjet printing is particularly interesting in the case of 2D nanomaterials, where the particles’ anisotropy govern the alignment of the printed particles \(^{33}\). 2D particles preferentially orient parallel to the substrate, enhancing inter-particle and substrate-particle interactions. The alignment may lead to a size-dependent coffee ring effect \(^{34}\), but also enhances the substrate adhesion and enables the fabrication of heterostructures \(^{35-40}\).

In this work, we explore the combination of CHFS and inkjet printing as a scalable strategy for the synthesis and assembling of 2D nanomaterials in thin film electrodes, taking advantage of the Ni-Fe LDH 2D morphology. In particular, the synthesis of Ni-Fe LDH by CHFS produced nanoplatelet dispersions that required a colloidal and rheological optimization to comply with the strict agglomeration, viscosity, and surface tension requirements for inkjet printing. The printing process employed the formulated inks to deposit thin Ni-Fe LDH films with precise particle orientation onto glassy carbon substrates. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported work on inkjet printing of pure LDH inks. The performance of the Ni-Fe LDH electrodes was analyzed for the OER.

**Experimental**

*Materials and chemicals*

Ni\((\text{NO}_3)_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}\), Fe\((\text{NO}_3)_3\cdot9\text{H}_2\text{O}\), KOH, K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), (all purchased from Sigma Aldrich) were used for the hydrothermal synthesis of Ni-Fe LDH. 1,2-propylene glycol (1,2-PG, Sigma Aldrich) and isopropanol (iPA, Sigma Aldrich) were employed for the preparation of the LDH inks. All compounds were of analytical grade and used as-received. An ink containing Ir/C as a reference
material was formulated starting from Ir nanoparticles supported on carbon black (Ir/C, 40 wt%, Fuel Cell Store).

A UV curable dielectric ink from SunChemical (EMD 6415 dielectric ink) was used as an insulating material to define precisely the active area of the electrodes for electrochemical characterization and material comparisons.

**Synthesis of Ni-Fe LDH and material characterization**

Continuous hydrothermal flow synthesis of Ni-Fe LDHs was carried out using a CHFS reactor, which was described elsewhere in detail. Briefly, a stream of water at 170 °C was mixed at a flow rate of 20 mL·min⁻¹ in a first mixing stage with an alkaline stream consisting of KOH 1 mol·L⁻¹ at room temperature and K₂CO₃ 0.2 mol·L⁻¹ at 10 mL·min⁻¹. The resulting flow was mixed in a second mixing stage with a stream of precursors containing 0.075 mol·L⁻¹ of Ni(NO₃)₂ and 0.025 mol·L⁻¹ Fe(NO₃)₃. The temperature after mixing was 80 °C. The mixed stream passed through a re-heater that was set at 80 °C, resulting in a residence time of the reactants at this temperature of 37 s. The pressure inside the reactor was controlled downstream and set at 200 bar, which is required for process flow stability in our setup. The products were eventually depressurized and cooled down at room temperature for collection. The obtained products were purified by centrifuging and re-dispersing the particles in clean DI water several times.

The obtained dispersion, referred to in the next sections as **CHFS dispersion**, had a pH of 9 and was used as the starting point for the formulation of the inkjet printable ink (**LDH ink**) (*vide infra*). After solvent evaporation, the dried powder from the dispersion was characterized by X-ray diffraction employing a Bruker D8 (Cu Kα radiation) measuring with a 0.025° / 2 s step size / step time. The particle morphology and composition were analyzed using a Jeol 3000F TEM microscope equipped with an Oxford Instrument EDX detector.
**Inkjet ink formulation and characterization**

The Ni-Fe LDH ink was formulated by mixing the aqueous CHFS dispersion with a) 1,2-PG to increase the viscosity ($\eta$) and to lower the evaporation rate and with b) iPA to decrease the surface tension ($\sigma$). The resulting mixture was processed in a Sonics Vibra Cell 505 in a “cup horn” configuration alternating 5 s bursts at 30% amplitude and 5 s of rest for a total processing time of 30 min. The ink had a final Ni-Fe LDH solid loading of 1.4% wt. The viscosity was measured with an Anton Paar MCR 302 rheometer in rotational mode (50 mm diameter cone-plate configuration), increasing the shear rate from 1 to 1000 s$^{-1}$. The surface tension was measured using a drop shape analyzer DSA-30 (Krüss). The dimensionless printability parameter $Z$ was used to predict the ink characteristics for printing and was defined as the inverse of the Ohnesorge number: $Z = (\sigma \cdot \rho \cdot a)^{1/2} / \eta^{43}$, where $a$ is the nozzle diameter and $\rho$ the density of the ink. For calculating $Z$, the value of viscosity at 1000 s$^{-1}$ was considered. Solvent ratios were adjusted to reach $1 < Z < 10$ and achieve thus optimal printing performance as suggested by Derby$^{43}$.

The colloidal stability of the Ni-Fe LDH inks and CHFS dispersions was analysed by using static multiple light scattering with a Turbiscan LAB (Formulaction). The dispersions and inks were monitored by scanning with a laser vertically, *i.e.*, from top to bottom, a sample containing vial. Every 40 $\mu$m, the laser intensity transmitted and backscattered by the sample were recorded, constructing an intensity profile along the sample height. Samples were scanned for 5 days with a scanning frequency of 30 s. The variation of these signals over time was a measure of dispersion stability by detecting vertical variations of the particle concentration in the CHFS dispersions and LDH inks.

The Ir/C ink was formulated by first adding Ir/C powder to a water:iPA (1:1) mixture, which was then sonicated for 15 min at 30% amplitude with on/off cycles of 5 s using the Sonics Vibra Cell
505 in the “cup horn” arrangement. The Ir/C inkjet ink contained 3 mg·mL⁻¹ of Ir/C and 0.6 mg·mL⁻¹ of Nafion and was stirred in a vortex agitator for full homogenization.

**Inkjet printing**

A Fujifilm Dimatix DMP-2850 drop-on-demand (DOD), piezoelectric inkjet printer was used for depositing the Ni-Fe LDH and Ir/C inks. The catalysts were printed onto polished glassy carbon (GC, Sigradur K, HTW) rods and plates as conductive supports for rotating disc and static electrochemical measurements, respectively. An X-Serie Ceraprinter from Ceradrop was employed for masking the plate samples with the UV curable dielectric ink, leaving a 3×3 mm² window on the electrode material for the electrochemical characterization. UV photo-polymerization was achieved simultaneously to inkjet printing with a UV LED (FireEdge FE300 380–420nm, Phoseon Technology), which was installed next to the printhead. Both inkjet printers were equipped with disposable, piezoelectric-based cartridges (DMC-11610, Dimatix) containing 16 individually addressable nozzles with an orifice of ~21 μm in diameter.

Ni-Fe LDH inks were jetted using an optimized sigmoidal waveform and jetting frequency for the piezoelectric actuation inside the nozzles of the cartridges. The droplet spacing was optimized, resulting in a value of 35 μm at a printing bed temperature of 42 °C. These conditions were used for all fabrications reported herein. Two different material loadings, *i.e.*, 50 μg·cm⁻² and 150 μg·cm⁻², were prepared on the GC plates for analysing the OER activity. GC rods were loaded with 60 μg·cm⁻² for the chronopotentiometric analysis. The loadings were calculated based on the accurately known concentration of the material in the ink, droplet volume, and the number of deposited droplets per substrate area. The droplet volume was calculated by the analysis of the camera recordings during the jetting tests using ImageJ. The same approach was used for measuring the splat diameter of the as-printed droplets on the GC substrate. The average and
standard deviation of the droplet size on the substrate were estimated by measuring the diameter of 60 splats.

The microstructure of the printed Ni-Fe LDH films was characterized via SEM using a Zeiss Merlin microscope. The final thickness of the films was measured with the same instrument from the sample cross section that was prepared by cutting the coated substrates with a diamond saw and polishing the cut side after embedding the substrate in epoxy resin.

Ir/C electrodes were inkjet-printed utilizing the DMP-2850 printer with optimized printing parameters (e.g., waveform and jetting frequency) on GC plates, which were kept at room temperature during printing. The number of printed layers and droplet spacing were calculated in order to achieve an Ir loading corresponding to the Ni-Fe LDH loading, generally 50 µg·cm⁻² and 150 µg·cm⁻².

**Electrochemical characterization**

The OER activity of the printed samples was measured using a custom-made electrochemical cell with a three-electrode configuration. A glassy carbon substrate coated with the Ni-Fe LDHs or Ir/C served as working electrode (WE), which was mounted on the bottom of the cell. A Pt mesh, or Pt coil, and a Ag/AgCl/1M KCl electrode were used as counter electrode (CE) and reference electrode (RE), respectively. The rods, coated with the inkjet-printed films, were mounted on a rotating shaft for operating the system as a rotating disc electrode (RDE). All measurements were conducted in 0.1 M KOH and the potential of the RE was calibrated for each measurement with a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) HydroFlex (Gaskatel). All potentials herein are referred to the RHE. An Autolab PGSTAT204 potentiostat with a frequency response analyser module FRA32M (Metrohm) was used for all measurements. The uncompensated resistance was measured using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and used for iR-drop correction.
The measuring protocol consisted of a single cycle cyclic voltammogram (CV) between 1.07 – 1.67 V at a scan rate of 40 mV·s⁻¹, followed by recording a polarization curve at 5 mV·s⁻¹. The samples were then cycled for 50 times at 40 mV·s⁻¹. This step was followed by a CV at 40 mV·s⁻¹ and polarization curve at 5 mV·s⁻¹. The polarization curves before and after the aging/activating were compared.

Results and discussion

A waterborne dispersion of Ni-Fe LDH nanoplatelets was produced by a continuous hydrothermal flow synthesis (CHFS) process with a high volumetric production rate of 2.4 L·h⁻¹, corresponding to ca. 3.4 g·h⁻¹ of LDH (with the measured reaction yield of 57%) The peculiar short residence time of this process was the key to synthesize nanosized Ni-Fe LDH platelets. From the BF-TEM images shown in Fig. 1a, it can be concluded that the residence time of 37 s limited the particle growth to nanoplatelets with lateral size <50 nm. This small platelet size was essential for the aim of producing LDH-coated electrodes by using inkjet printing (vide infra). The BF-TEM analysis indicated further the formation of strongly anisotropic 2D particles with a hexagonal crystalline habit (Fig. 1a). An additional BF-TEM micrograph is provided in the supplementary information (Fig. S1), giving a more complete picture of the particles’ size and morphology.
Figure 1. a) BF-TEM image of the as-produced Ni-Fe LDH nanoplatelets (white lines around 10 exemplary nanoplates were added as guides to the eye), b) STEM image with EDX mapping shows Ni and Fe distribution in the insets, c) powder XRD pattern and d) model of the structure based on the data collected. A\textsuperscript{\textit{n}} represents the intercalating anions for compensating the positive charge of the hydroxide layers. Due to the precursor composition, A\textsuperscript{\textit{n}} consists of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} and CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}.

STEM/EDX and XRD provide further chemical and structural information of the as-produced material. The elemental mapping in Fig. 1b reveals that the sample consisted of particles containing Ni and Fe, which were both incorporated in the same structure. In addition, the quantification of the EDX data resulted in an elemental composition of 76:24 Ni:Fe at\%, which was very close to the stoichiometry of the metal precursor solution for the CHFS, i.e., 75:25. The XRD pattern in Fig. 1c confirmed the hydrotalcite-like structure exhibited by LDH materials with typical (003) and (006) basal peaks \textsuperscript{42,45}. The combination of these results led to the univocal determination of the phase as Ni-Fe LDH (Fig. 1d). The different broadening of the diffractogram
peaks provided additional structural information. Broadening was more pronounced in peaks with \( l \neq 0 \), while (110) was the sharpest reflection. Therefore, the material showed an anisotropic disorder along the c direction resulting from the nanometric thickness and the stacking disorder of the hydroxide layers. Non-uniform stacking might result from the intercalation of different anions available in the reaction environment, \( i.e., \) \( \text{NO}_3^- \) and \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \), which induced different interlayer spacing. Additionally, the CHFS process was carried out in a kinetic regime with short residence times that could prevent the formation of highly ordered phases at a temperature as low as 80 °C. The presence of defects in the crystalline structure is also evidenced by the presence of a shoulder at the 2θ angle of ca. 65°, which is not associated to a perfectly ordered NiFe LDH structure.

Besides peak broadening, the XRD pattern showed (003) and (006) reflections with extremely low intensity compared to many examples in literature \(^{46-48}\). Literature reports an intensity reduction of these peaks after decreasing the particle thickness \( \text{via exfoliation} \) \(^{49,50}\). Therefore, we believe that thin platelets consisting of a few stacked layers were synthesized by CHFS, resulting in weaker diffraction from the basal planes. The low intensity of (003) and (006) observed herein along with the weak image contrast in the BF-TEM analysis (\textbf{Fig 1(a)} and \textbf{S1}), suggest the formation of extremely thin nanoplatelets with pronounced 2D morphology.

Obtaining an inkjet printable LDH ink required the conversion of the CHFS dispersion into a stable colloid with proper viscosity and surface tension. The as-produced aqueous \textbf{CHFS dispersion} exhibited a high surface tension of 71 mN-m\(^{-1}\) and low viscosity of 1.9 mPa s at 1000-s\(^{-1}\) (see viscosity analysis in \textbf{Fig. 2a}). This combination resulted in a printability value of 20, mainly because the surface tension value exceeded the jetting capability of the used cartridge (\(~30\) mN-m\(^{-1}\) is the ideal value).
Fig. 2a also shows a non-Newtonian behaviour of the CHFS dispersion, which represents a limiting aspect for the jetting performance of a fluid. In order to achieve inkjet printability, iPA ($\sigma = \approx 22 \text{ mN}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$) and 1,2-PG ($\eta = \approx 40 \text{ mPa}\cdot\text{s}$) were added to the CHFS dispersion to lower the surface tension and to increase the viscosity, respectively. The LDH ink formulation led to a $Z$ value of 5.1 and converted the shear thinning behaviour of the CHFS dispersion in a quasi-Newtonian one (Fig. 2a). Ink stability was analysed to evaluate the degradation of the jetting performance with time. Storing the fresh LDH ink for three days decreased its $Z$ value from 5.1 to 3.3 (Fig. 2a), which then remained stable. A more pronounced shear thinning was detected and
affected inkjet printing (*vide infra*), suggesting that the application of fresh ink, obtained by taking the CHFS dispersion directly from the reactor and mixing it with the ink solvents, is recommended. Sedimentation analysis of both the CHFS dispersion and LDH ink (kept without agitation) provided a more accurate description of the colloids' evolution in time. As first analysed by eye, the CHFS dispersion showed the precipitation of a sediment after 6 days, while no sedimentation occurred in the LDH ink (Fig. 2b). The sedimentation process was then quantitatively analysed by static light scattering measurements during 120 h (Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d). The CHFS dispersion exhibited the typical evolution of a precipitating colloid: at the top of the sample, the backscattering intensity decreased due to the reduction of the particle content (clarification). At the bottom, the intensity increased due to the settling of material (sedimentation). On the opposite, the LDH ink displayed an overall increase of the backscattered intensity, particularly marked near the bottom of the test vial. This effect resulted most likely from the formation of particle aggregates with diameters smaller than the incident laser wavelength, *i.e.*, 880 nm. The aggregation explained the appearance of a shear thinning behaviour of the LDH ink, but its higher viscosity compared to the CHFS dispersion prevented sedimentation.

The as-prepared LDH ink with $Z = 5.1$ showed an optimal jetting behaviour, *i.e.*, stable spherical droplets with a straight falling direction (Fig. 3a). This result is particularly notable considering the simple, dispersant-free formulation of the LDH ink. Fig. 3a indicates the formation of spherical droplets from parallel nozzles with a droplet volume of $\sim 7$ pL, jetted at 1 kHz jetting frequency. After 3 days of storing, jetting of the LDH ink became unstable despite a calculated $Z$ value of 3.1. This failure was most likely the result of nozzle clogging due to a combination of the presence of larger nanoplatelet aggregates and the appearance of non-ideal Newtonian behaviour (*vide supra*). Indeed, the size of the dispersed particulate that can be jetted
is limited, as a rule of thumb, to 1/50\textsuperscript{th} of the nozzle diameter for optimal printing\textsuperscript{52}. Therefore, in this work, aggregates larger than 400 nm could spoil or even hinder the printing process. In literature, only very few approaches to print LDH materials can be found, which is an indication of the practical issues that are faced to make a printable ink. So far, to the best of our knowledge, only Wei \textit{et al.} reported a Co-Al LDH/silver nanowire hybrid ink for the production of bending sensors\textsuperscript{53}.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure3.png}
\caption{Jetting and printing behaviour of the Ni-Fe LDH ink. a) Droplet ejection and formation from four exemplary adjacent nozzles (up: droplets being just expelled from the nozzles, thus, showing a tail; down: spherical, tail-free droplets during flight), b) printed droplet array onto glassy carbon, c) SEM image of separated splats (\textit{i.e.}, LDH ink droplets on the substrate) after solvent evaporation. d) An exemplary grid pattern of printed LDH ink onto glassy carbon, e) full coverage film printed onto glassy carbon with a particle loading of 70 \(\mu\text{g}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\). Note: the dark spots in (b) and (e) are features from the GC surface. In all cases, the printing direction was from left to right.}
\end{figure}
The printing performance of the fresh **LDH ink** was investigated first by depositing droplet arrays and patterns onto a glassy carbon surface. **Fig. 3b** and **Fig. 3c** show the repeatable formation of single splats with a mean diameter of \((44 \pm 4) \mu m (N = 60)\), representing the size of the smallest printable feature for this process using the DMP inkjet printer. As highlighted by these images, a remarkable accuracy of the droplet placing was achieved in \(x\)- and \(y\)-directions. SEM analysis of the splats after solvent evaporation indicated a rather homogeneous solid deposit with a minor coffee ring, *i.e.*, a slightly larger abundance of material is observed at the rim of the splats (**Fig. 3c**). The accuracy and high resolution of the printing process allowed the deposition of well-defined micro-sized patterns (**Fig. 3d**, track width 100 \(\mu m\)) as well as defect-free, homogeneous films (**Fig. 3e**). In particular, the construction of the grid pattern in **Fig. 3d** demonstrates the advantage of using inkjet printing. Indeed, LDH materials are currently considered as candidates for charge storing materials in supercapacitors with micropatterned electrodes \(^{54-56}\). Micropatterned LDH films found recently also interesting applications in microresistor fabrication \(^{57}\) and drug testing on live cell cultures \(^{58}\). Screening libraries of micro-sized spots of various LDH compositions is attractive when a large amount of materials and parameters need to be investigated in parallel \(^{59}\). Furthermore, it is recently discussed in literature that micropatterned catalyst layers could affect the wetting of the electrodes facilitating the detachment of generated gas bubbles that can temporarily block the active electrode surface area \(^{60}\). Micropatterned OER electrocatalyst films could further be of interest in miniaturized and portable devices \(^{61}\). The present approach could, therefore, be relevant not only in the field of energy research.
Figure 4. a) Exemplary printed electrode for electrochemical analysis with inkjet-printed Ni-Fe LDH pattern (dashed line), masking area (red shadowed area with solid lines) and working electrode area (greenish appearing square in the centre). b) SEM image of the microstructure of the as-printed Ni-Fe LDH nanoplatelets. Cross-sections of the printed Ni-Fe LDH films on glassy carbon plates with 50 \( \mu \text{g cm}^{-2} \) loading (c) and 150 \( \mu \text{g cm}^{-2} \) (d).

The optimized inkjet printing process was then employed for the deposition of continuous films over square millimeter-sized areas as electrode coating for electrochemical applications and analysis. Fig. 4a shows the typical test electrode configuration for static electrochemical measurements (unstirred solution) after all printing steps (further details in Supporting Information SI-2). The square with the dashed line highlights the area of the printed Ni-Fe LDH film ("printed film"). The reddish frame emphasizes the polymeric insulating "mask" printed to define a 3\( \times \)3 mm\(^2\) window of the LDH/GC electrode ("exposed material for testing"). Please note
that the colour appearances are the result of optical effects caused by light reflection and substrate angle. The small patterns on the left of the substrate originate from printing tests usually performed before each new printing process to control and possibly slightly adjust the printing parameters ("printing tests"). These patterns were insulated by the polymeric mask and therefore inactive during all electrode characterizations.

Inkjet printing and solvent drying resulted in a preferential orientation of the Ni-Fe LDH nanoplatelets onto the GC surface, as it can be seen in the SEM micrograph in Fig. 4b. The LDH nanoplatelets covered the substrate mostly by exposing the crystallographic basal plane of the nanoplatelets, despite few tilted and perpendicularly oriented nanoplatelets. Notably, typically applied post-printing processing, such as heat treatments, were not required to obtain well-adhered LDH films. In addition, the ink was binder- and dispersant-free, thus avoiding the presence of high molecular weight organics from the nanoplatelet synthesis to inkjet printing, which leads to direct inter-particle and particle-substrate interactions.

The adhesion of the LDH film was further investigated through the scotch tape test. Scotch tape was pressed on the LDH film and removed. Only a very weak detachment of the printed particles was observed confirming the strong interaction of the material with the substrate, likely enhanced by the preferential orientation of the nanoplatelets onto the glassy carbon when deposited as picoliter droplets of ink (Fig. S3). Therefore, the possibility to use the coated electrodes directly as-printed represents another advantage of the developed fabrication protocol.

Adjustable catalyst loadings and thus different film thicknesses were accurately realized by modifying the number of printed ink layers. For instance, extremely low loadings, such as 0.9 µg·cm⁻² resulted in partial coverage of the GC substrate, while loadings above 11 µg·cm⁻² resulted in only a few uncovered spots (Fig.S3(a) and (b)). Loadings above 50 µg·cm⁻² resulted in compact
LDH films with a preferentially aligned morphology, in which porosity cannot be seen from the SEM analysis (Fig. S4(c), Fig. 4c and vide infra). The cross-sectional analysis of the films with loadings of 50 and 150 µg-cm$^{-2}$ confirmed continuous and uniform film thicknesses of 140 and 450 nm, respectively (Fig. 4c and Fig. 4d). The measured ratio of 3.2 between the two thicknesses is in good agreement with the ratio of 3 between the two catalyst loadings (i.e., 50 µg-cm$^{-2}$ and 150 µg-cm$^{-2}$). Indeed, the possibility to cut, polish and observe the cross-section of the deposited LDH films on GC highlights further the strong interparticle and film adhesion to the GC substrate, despite the absence of binders and thermal treatments.
Figure 5. a) Cyclic voltammogram (40 mV·s⁻¹) of the 50 µg·cm⁻² NiFe LDH sample. b) Polarization curves (5 mV·s⁻¹) of the printed NiFe LDH- and Ir/C-coated electrodes with 50 and 150 µg·cm⁻² loadings, before and after activation/ageing. c) Tafel plot from the curves in (b). Data in (b) are iR-corrected, while data in (a) are reported without iR-correction.
Thereafter, electrochemical characterization of the printed 2D Ni-Fe LDH nanoplatelet films was performed with these two catalyst loadings (50 µg·cm⁻² or 150 µg·cm⁻²). The 2D planar structure of the inkjet-printed LDH films allowed characterizing the material electrochemically under consideration of the geometric surface area. With vertically aligned LDH-based electrodes or mesoporous structures, such as metal foam supported LDHs as often reported, the comparative analysis of materials requires the accurate determination of the electrochemically active surface area (ECSA). This can be challenging and often subject to errors. In contrast, the present fabrication method provides, therefore, a basis for facile and comparable characterization of flat and reproducible electrodes made of various LDH materials. **Fig. 5a** shows six exemplary cycles from the 50-cycle based CV of the 50 µg·cm⁻² sample in 0.1 M KOH. The plot shows the typical shape of Ni-Fe LDH CVs, which is a steep increase of the current corresponding to the OER partially overlapping with the oxidation peak of Ni²⁺ to Ni³⁺ at ~1.55 V. The electrochemical reduction from Ni³⁺ to Ni²⁺ can be seen clearly from a cathodic peak with onset at around 1.35 V. Notably, both the oxidation peak for Ni²⁺ and the reduction peak for Ni³⁺ increased during cycling suggesting that a larger number of Ni²⁺ sites became accessible for the oxidation during cycling until a steady state was obtained. A similar behaviour is observed for Ni electrodes, where an increase in the Ni²⁺/³⁺ oxidation peak is attributed to the growing thickness of the nickel oxide surface layer ⁶².

The onset potential for the OER was estimated by extrapolation and was between 1.43 V and 1.48 V (**Fig. 5b**). Due to its poor activity for the OER, the contribution from the GC support for the OER is negligible, as proven by measuring a GC electrode alone (**Fig. 5b**, black line). The comparison of the polarization curves (5 mV·s⁻¹) of Ni-Fe LDH films with the two different catalyst loadings (50 µg·cm⁻² or 150 µg·cm⁻²) showed a remarkable overlap of the curves (**Fig. **
This indicates that the Ni-Fe LDH films (Fig. 4b) exhibited a full coverage of the substrate with nanoplatelets having a preferential orientation parallel to the substrate and low porosity and roughness. The alignment induced by the platelet morphology formed a closed microstructure for these two loadings, which suggests that the most superficial layers of the differently loaded films took equally part in the electrochemical reaction. Consequently, the electrodes with the two loadings behaved as a Ni-Fe LDH bulk 2D surface with activity that appeared independent from the film thickness. Inkjet printing of more films of the two loadings resulted in overlapping polarization curves demonstrating the repeatability of the printing process and film formation (two additional curves are provided in the supplementary information (Fig. S5)). Therefore, higher loadings could behave in the same way, as long as the film formation proceeds as compact as presented for the two loadings herein.

Another important aspect is the conductivity of the LDH films, as a low conductivity would influence negatively the electrochemical electrode performance creating large $iR$-drops. Increasing the thickness of the LDH film by a factor of three did not change the electrochemical response, indicating the absence or a minor contribution of a resistance in the LDH film, at least in the covered thickness range of hundreds of nanometers. For fresh samples, the overpotential at 10 mA·cm$^{-2}$ (geometric electrode area) corresponded to 280 mV, while after potential cycling the overpotential was reduced to 270 mV indicating a modification of the Ni-Fe LDH film. Also after cycling, the polarization curves for both Ni-Fe LDH loadings overlapped closely, indicating further the thickness-independent activity of the films with different thicknesses. The recorded overpotentials are in good agreement with previous measurements of Ni-Fe LDH coated electrodes reported in the literature (Table S1), ranging between 197 and 350 mV at a current density of 10
mA·cm$^{-2}$. Generally, such comparisons must consider the different stoichiometries for the Ni-Fe LDH phases and varying catalyst supports.

The measured overpotentials of the herein presented Ni-Fe LDH films were higher than both the bare glassy carbon support and reference films of Ir/C-Nafion, which were inkjet-printed on GC with the same loadings as the Ni-Fe LDH samples. Nafion was used as a dispersion stabilizer for stable inkjet printing and as a binder to provide adhesion of the Ir/C-Nafion-film to the glassy carbon surface. The onset potential for the OER of the Ir/C-Nafion-coated electrodes was ~1.48 V and therefore comparable with the inkjet-printed Ni-Fe LDH films. The Ir/C-Nafion films showed a significant dependence on the catalyst loading. Due to the mesoporosity induced by the presence of Nafion, the number of accessible active sites per area increased with each printed layer. Further, the Ir surface can be partially blocked by Nafion leading to particle-to-particle resistances and lowering possibly the Ir/C-Nafion film conductivity. Due to these facts, the overpotentials of both materials can herein not accurately be compared and 10 mA·cm$^{-2}$ was not reached for Ir/C-Nafion in the applied potential range. However, it is important to point out that the Ir/C-Nafion catalyst films did not show a significant change in the shape and position of the polarization curves during the potential cycling process, compared to the Ni-Fe LDH films, which were subjected to a more impacting material change.

Fig. 5c reports the Tafel slopes calculated from the $iR$-corrected data in Fig. 5b. The two Ni-Fe LDH loadings gave low Tafel slopes of 31-33 mV·dec$^{-1}$ before potential cycling and increased Tafel slopes of 53-55 mV·dec$^{-1}$ after cycling. On the contrary, Ir/C-Nafion did not show such a significant increase of the Tafel slope upon cycling, indicating again that the Ni-Fe LDH film was subject to a change of the material properties. As the change of the Tafel slope is generally correlated with a change in the limiting step of the OER, these changes influence the electrode
kinetics and thus the rate limiting steps \(^63\). The slopes of non-activated Ni-Fe LDH samples are in agreement with previous experimental data from the literature (\textbf{Table S1}). These values rank among the lowest slopes reported. Microkinetic calculations \(^64\) related such a high catalytic activity to a reaction mechanism having a rate determining step corresponding to the first single electron transfer of the OER. This reaction model, indeed, displays a theoretical Tafel slope of 30 mV·dec\(^{-1}\).

An evaluation of the durability of the Ni-Fe LDH films was performed via a chronopotentiometric test for 3 h at a current density of 10 mA·cm\(^{-2}\) (\textbf{Fig. S6}). The potential presented an increase of ca. 30 mV during the first 30 min, followed by a decay rate of \~7 mV·h\(^{-1}\) during the remaining 2.5 hours (\textbf{Fig. S6}). This result indicates the presence of a degradation mechanism that requires further investigation in regard of the presented material synthesis and thin film deposition as OER electrocatalyst layer. Despite this observation, after the durability test, the compact LDH film was still on the GC surface and the nanoplatelet film appeared unchanged demonstrating the mechanical stability of the printed film (\textbf{Fig. S7}).

The electrochemical data clearly demonstrated the accurate production of low-loaded Ni-Fe LDH/GC electrodes with good and load-independent electrochemical performance. Potential cycling of the Ni-Fe LDH-based films reduced the overpotentials and increased the Tafel slopes. A similar behaviour was recently reported in literature by aging Ni-Fe LDH in strong alkaline solutions \(^65\). In that work the authors could show that a higher overpotential at large current densities and higher Tafel slope was correlated with a structural change of the LDH material (formation of Ni(OH)\(_2\) and FeOOH). For OER in devices such as electrolysers, catalyst layers are usually mesoporous reaching high ECSAs. Such mesoporous films take advantage of a larger number of active sides per geometric electrode area and can be envisaged by printing the LDH
ink on mesoporous, conductive supports, such as common metal foams or structures of carbon nanofibers \cite{66} or graphene \cite{55}.

**Conclusions**

To conclude, Ni-Fe LDH 2D nanoplatelets were reproducibly synthesized at large scale by CHFS and then accurately deposited with low loadings on large scale electrodes as highly efficient OER electrocatalyst. The scalable synthetic route limited the particle growth to \(~50\) nm leading to waterborne dispersions with high potential for their conversion into inks for inkjet printing. The addition of iPA and 1,2-PG did not only adjust the viscosity and surface tension of the produced inks to reach optimal printability, it also increased the stability of the nanoplatelet colloid. The CHFS of the Ni-Fe LDH produced large amounts of materials in short time that, after minor adjustment of the carrier liquid, can be immediately printed while standard chemical methods for growing LDH on supports can take many hours.

Inkjet printing showed remarkable performances in the deposition of Ni-Fe LDH films with the capability of printing patterns with features as small as 44 \(\mu\)m and continuous films as thin as 140 nm, with material loadings in the \(\mu\)m\(\cdot\)cm\(^{-2}\) range. Furthermore, the combination of inkjet printing and 2D particles led to an accurate assembling of the printed nanoplatelets, which oriented preferentially parallel to the substrate. This microstructure resulted, during electrochemical analysis, in a planar bulk LDH film behaviour of inkjet printed electrodes with two different loadings above 50 \(\mu\)m\(\cdot\)cm\(^{-2}\). In particular, the electrochemical performances of the inkjet-printed LDH nanoplatelet layers demonstrated an independency of the catalyst loading on the polarization curves suggesting that only the outmost layer of particles contributed to the OER. The performance of the Ni-Fe LDH films was superior to Ir/C-Nafion-coated electrodes that were printed with
identical catalyst loadings, but long term film stability during OER operation should be further addressed. Major advantages of inkjet printing, besides achieving accurately ultralow material loadings and homogeneous coatings, can be attributed to the possibility of creating ultrathin micropatterned structures, which is of interest for material screening and miniaturized electrodes in portable devices.

The future possibility of combining the CHFS reactor directly with inkjet printing by implementing an intermediate ink formulation unit makes the presented approach very attractive for the large-scale production of coated electrodes. It can also be envisaged to apply the CHFS/inkjet printing concept to a much broader range of LDH materials and their composites.

Supporting Information

Supplementary material contains additional TEM images, the design of the printed electrode patterns, details on the scotch tape test, additional polarization curves, other tested ultra-low LDH loadings on GC, a comparison of the OER activity of the inkjet-printed Ni-Fe LDH electrode with other recently reported Ni-Fe LDH materials from literature, and details on the durability test of the inkjet-printed NiFe LDH/GC electrode.
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Figure 1. a) BF-TEM image of the as-produced Ni-Fe LDH nanoplatelets (white lines around 10 exemplary nanoplates were added as guides to the eye), b) STEM image with EDX mapping shows Ni and Fe distribution in the insets, c) powder XRD pattern and d) model of the structure based on the data collected. $A^{n-}$ represents the intercalating anions for compensating the positive charge of the hydroxide layers. Due to the precursor composition, $A^{n-}$ consists of $\text{NO}_3^-$ and $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$.

$[\text{Ni}_{0.75} \text{Fe}_{0.25}(\text{OH})_2]^{n-0.25}$
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Figure 2. a) Flow curves of the CHFS dispersion (black, dashed), the just-prepared LDH ink (black, solid) and the LDH ink after 3 and 6 days on the shelf (grey scale). b) Photographs of the CHFS dispersion (left panels) and LDH ink (right panels) as-prepared (upper panels) and after 6 days on the shelf (lower panels). Colloidal stability analysis by static light scattering of the CHFS dispersion (c) and LDH ink (d).
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Figure 3. Jetting and printing behaviour of the Ni-Fe LDH ink. a) Droplet ejection and formation from four exemplary adjacent nozzles (up: droplets being just expelled from the nozzles, thus, showing a tail; down: spherical, tail-free droplets during flight), b) printed droplet array onto glassy carbon, c) SEM image of separated splats (i.e., LDH ink droplets on the substrate) after solvent evaporation. d) An exemplary grid pattern of printed LDH ink onto glassy carbon, e) full coverage film printed onto glassy carbon with a particle loading of 70 μg·cm⁻². Note: the dark spots in (b) and (e) are features from the GC surface. In all cases, the printing direction was from left to right.
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Figure 4. a) Exemplary printed electrode for electrochemical analysis with inkjet-printed Ni-Fe LDH pattern (dashed line), masking area (red shadowed area with solid lines) and working electrode area (greenish appearing square in the centre). b) SEM image of the microstructure of the as-printed Ni-Fe LDH nanoplatelets. Cross-sections of the printed Ni-Fe LDH films on glassy carbon plates with 50 µg·cm\(^{-2}\) loading (c) and 150 µg·cm\(^{-2}\) (d).
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**Figure 5.** a) Cyclic voltammogram (40 mV·s$^{-1}$) of the 50 µg·cm$^{-2}$ NiFe LDH sample. b) Polarization curves (5 mV·s$^{-1}$) of the printed NiFe LDH- and Ir/C-coated electrodes with 50 and 150 µg·cm$^{-2}$ loadings, before and after activation/ageing. c) Tafel plot from the curves in (b). Data in (b) are iR-corrected, while data in (a) are reported without iR-correction.