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Correction: Understanding cation effects in electrochemical CO₂ reduction

Stefan Ringe,†*ab Ezra L. Clark,†cd Joaquin Resasco,e Amber Walton,c Brian Seger,d Alexis T. Bellc and Karen Chan*f


In the original version of the manuscript, the fit function presented in the caption of Fig. 7 for the hydrated ions was wrong. The correct function was \(-2.2x + 7.2\) (change highlighted in bold). Therefore the x-axis positions of the different cations in Fig. S17 which were obtained from this correlation function were also wrong. The correct Figure is as follows:

In addition, Fig. 6a used the wrong cation sizes for the organic cation data points. The updated Figure is given below:

Previous studies electrodeposited a Ag monolayer on Pt or Au and found the PZC to be up to 0.25 V more positive compared to Ag(111).²
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**Fig. 6** (a) Double layer capacitance at the Au(111) single-crystal electrode using a 0.05 M KHCO$_3$ or NaHCO$_3$ electrolyte. (a) Potential-dependence of the double layer capacitance obtained from fitting a RC circuit to the impedance data. Filled circles denote the data points, the solid gray line the difference in surface charge density between both experiments under the assumption of the same PZC of 0.97 V vs. RHE.$^1$