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Abstract – The purpose of this paper is to apply the rationale of Domain Theory (DT) with the taxonomy of the Resource-Based View (RBV) to explain how information technology (IT) creates value. Based on literature, a conceptual model was developed, which is tested in a case study. Findings demonstrate that both theories combined are a novel way to describe the IT value creation. Particularly, breaking down the IT value construct into three domains, each described by functions and properties, demonstrates the IT value creation. Describing the IT value creation by functions and properties enables practitioners to both highlight the impact of IT and derive meaningful measurements to prove the value of IT. This research contributes to literature by applying the rationale of DT to describe the IT value creation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Research on information technology business value (ITBV) has attracted researchers since over four decades. Initial findings showed no or even negative correlations between information technology (IT) and firm productivity (e.g., [1]–[3]). Later on, typically positive and significant associations between IT investments and both productivity and other operational performance measurements were reported (e.g., [4]–[7]). This has shifted the focus from whether IT creates value towards how and why IT creates value [8].

Most research on IT value creation lean on the Resource-Based View (RBV) (e.g., [9]–[12]), that views IT as a resource. IT combined with complementary resources creates value [9], [13], [14]. This infers that the RBV emphasizes under which conditions IT contributes towards value. However, the RBV falls short on means in order to describe the way IT creates value. This is coherent with the statement of Wiengarten et al. [9; 30], stating that “in-depth inquiry into ITBV is still needed.”

The authors of this paper pioneer by applying the rationale of Domain Theory (DT) – an application of Systems Theory – in the IT value creation context. DT is commonly used for designing and developing physical products and describes a product by three domains, namely activity, organ, and part domain [15]. To develop a successful product, first the customer needs are identified in DT before successively the activity, organ, and part domain can be described [16]. This approach reversed can be regarded as the value chain of a product starting from an individual part of a product, via the organs to the activity the product is used for in order to solve customers’ needs. Thus, the analytical break down structure of DT seems as a promising attempt to advance the field of IT value creation by combining the rationale of DT with the taxonomy of RBV.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

A. Domain Theory

DT is commonly used in the design area of physical products and describes a product on distinct domains. The domains allow representing a product on three system models (Fig. 1) [15], [16].

The first domain is the activity domain and outlines the way the product is used. The usage of the product is expressed by the technical activity. The user experience and the outcome of the technical activity should satisfy the user’s needs and hence, being perceived as valuable [16], [17]. The product together with the other operators, such as user and surroundings, will transform the operands, such as material, information, energy, into a desired outcome. The desired outcome is the result of the use function of a product [16], [18]. The second domain, the so-called organ domain, views the product as one or more organs, each carrying a certain function. If stimuli act on the organ, it delivers in turn its wirk function [16], [18]. The term wirk function comes from the German Wirkungsweise and details how a product works or operates. Put differently, the wirk function describes the effects a product will deliver to solve one or more tasks in the technical activity [18]. The third domain, the part domain, specifies the elementary material elements of the organs and hence, of the product. A part’s wirk function is described by the effect of the part in relation to its surroundings and other parts [16].

Consequently, DT enables to analytically disaggregate a product into three distinct systems, which are characterized by functions (i.e. use function, organ’s wirk function, and part’s wirk function) and properties. Functions are the behavior of a system and thus have an effect on the properties of a system. Properties are the characteristics of a product and are related to the quality of a function, therefore such properties are also referred to function properties [18]. This means that the effects of a function, for example an organ’s wirk function, are reflected on the properties of a product.
The RBV by Barney [13] views an organization as a system of firm resources. Firm resources are all assets, which are controlled by an organization. These are for example capabilities, information, knowledge, technology, human, etc. Grant [19] differentiate firm resources into resources and capabilities. Capabilities are a mental construct of the configuration and integration of various resources with the aim to contribute towards firm goals (Fig. 1) [19], [21]. Thus, the RBV views resources as the constituents of capabilities and when these are deployed, they create value.

The research from Saldanha, Mithas and Krishnan [22] is used to demonstrate the RBV by an example. The capability in this case is the so-called analytical information processing capability, which is the capability of an organization to analyze business and customer data. The use value of this capability is to better understand its business and market for decision-making [23]. The resources of the analytical information processing capability are business analytics technologies, business and customer data, staff, processes, etc. Those resources combined and deployed create value. This in turn means that IT by itself does not create value, only in combination with complementary resources [8], [9], [13], [14], [20], [24]. However, the RBV only describes the constituents of capabilities and under which conditions IT creates value. Nevertheless, the RBV does not explicitly express how the resources create value as DT achieves it through functions and function properties (Fig. 1).

III. CONCEPTUAL MODEL

Since DT is one way to describe the value chain of a product, this rationale of DT will be adopted as an attempt to describe the IT value creation. However, the taxonomy of the RBV will be incorporated in the conceptual model to be aligned with the taxonomy used in Strategic Management and IT Management literature.

The first domain, the activity domain in DT describes the use of the product by the technical activities. The general use of IT is to support or execute a process [25]. Thus, the activity domain and technical activity in DT is referred to process domain and business process, respectively. The use function in DT is the combination of technical activity, operands, and operators and translates into capability in RBV-terms. This translation is reasonable as a capability is defined as being the configuration of resources [19] and resources are all assets of a company, such as processes, information, technology, and humans [13].

The second domain in DT is the organ domain, where the product is divided into organs. Organs carry functions, so called wirk functions [16]. In Information Systems (IS) literature, IT or being precise IS are divided into modules (e.g., [26], [27]). Each module carry a certain function [28]. Thus, this domain is referred to module domain, where each module contains a wirk function.
The third domain in DT describes the parts, which are needed to build the organs. Each part has a *wirk function*. Parts in DT can be associated with units in IS literature, where each unit has a function. Therefore, the third domain will be called *units domain* and is described by the unit’s *wirk function*.

**IV. METHODOLOGY**

Case research was applied to provide empirical arguments proving the applicability of the proposed conceptual model. This means that case research is used to test whether the conceptual model is applicable to describe IT value creation in a real-world setting [29]. The case study was conducted at one of the world’s largest transportation and logistics service provider, which is called *Transport Firm* for confidentiality reasons. *Transport Firm* is considered representative in order to proof the applicability of the model, as it is a service provider with an IT-intense business model. Thus, IT plays a significant role in the value-creation.

The data were collected within the *Road* division, offering cargo transport by truck. The researchers selected the core capability, namely ‘Route planning’, out of the operating model of *Road* to provide proof-of-concept. The methods for data collection included observations, document reviews, and various meetings (TABLE 1).

**V. CASE STUDY**

The proposed conceptual model (Fig. 2) adopted the rationale of DT. The level of analysis of this paper is on process and capability level due to two reasons: (1) this paper does not aim to provide a model for IT/IS design and engineering and (2) the case company pursues a vendor-based IS-strategy, meaning that off-the-shelf IT/IS is sourced. Thus, the focus of IT/IS selection lays on module-level and how these modules can support the given *business process*.

To assess the *wirk function* of the modules, which support one or more process steps, the *business process* for ‘Route planning’ needs to be illustrated (Fig. 3).

Hereafter, the modules and their *wirk functions* will be mapped into to the process map. In this case, the given process is supported by only one IS. Fig. 3 indicates that the *business process* ‘Route planning’ is supported by three IS modules, namely ‘Order sequence planning’, ‘Vehicle planning’, and ‘Route planning’. The *wirk functions* of each module are ‘Data processing’, for example processing ‘customer pick-up & delivery data’ to determine the *sequence of collection*.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Step 1: Business process mapping | - Internal document review on *business process*  
- Observations and meetings with Freight forwarders and Enterprise architects about *business process* execution |
| Step 2: IS module mapping | - Internal document review on IS description and vendor description  
- Meetings with Freight forwarders and Enterprise architects about IT/IS support |
| Step 3: Wirk function mapping | - Meetings with Freight forwarders and Enterprise architects to derive the *wirk function* of both the modules and the IS |
| Step 4: Capability properties mapping | - Meetings with Freight forwarders and Enterprise architects to determine the *capability properties* of ‘Route planning’ |

The *capability* of the *business process* depicted in Fig. 3, is ‘Route planning’. The difference between *capability* and *business process* is that the former describes what a company does, whereas the latter expresses how a given *capability* is implemented. This distinction is important as ‘Route planning’ can be differently implemented per region or country. ‘Route planning’ produces as an outcome a ‘Route plan’ (Fig. 4). For that, the *business process* is executed in a combined manner by the Freight forwarder and IT. Whereas the Freight forwarder operates the IT system as well as executes the manual operations along the *business process*, the IT system determines the *order sequence*, *vehicle availability*, and *route and distance* (Fig. 3). IT/IS creates value due to its *wirk function*, in this case due to ‘Data processing’. In other words, IT/IS processes data faster and better, especially with multiple constraints and parameters such as in determining the optimal route. This means that the value of IT are the performance gains due to IT/IS deployment. These performance gains can be assessed at the *capability properties*, which are for example speed, quality, accuracy, consistency, etc. Therefore, performance gains in speed and quality, meaning faster and better ‘Route planning’, are the value contribution due to IT/IS deployment, which is achieved by the *wirk function* ‘Data processing’ (Fig. 4).
IV. DISCUSSION

DT combined with RBV in form of the conceptual model is a novel way to describe the IT value creation. The conceptualized model applies the rationale of DT by describing each domain by its functions and properties [16]. Applying the rationale of DT is novel in two ways as the functions describe the value creation and the properties reflect the impact of IT. The actual impact produced by IT can be considered as the value of IT. For example, the wirk function of IT/IS in the given case study is ‘Data processing’. The capability ‘Route planning’ can be characterized by the capability properties ‘speed’, ‘quality’, and so forth. Depending on the resource or operator mix, speed and quality of ‘Route planning’ differs. Assuming the given business process is completely manually executed; this will be ultimately reflected in a lower speed and quality of ‘Route planning’ in such an information-intense environment. Therefore, the IT/IS in this case contributes towards value through its wirk function ‘Data processing’ and consequently, affects the capability properties. Since IT can both process the sheer amount of data faster and determine consistently the optimal route, IT contributes towards ‘increased speed’ and ‘better quality’. Consequently, the increased speed and quality is regarded as the value of IT. Thus, this research contributes to the IT Management literature, in particular to IT value creation, by providing one way to describe the value creation of IT and hence, increases the understanding on how IT creates value [9], [20].

Furthermore, practitioners can use the proposed conceptual model to illustrate the IT contribution on business process and capability level. The use cases of the conceptual model are two folded: (1) to assess the current value-contribution of IT and (2) to apply a repeatable and rigid framework for business cases of future IT investments. Assessing the value contribution of IT can be done by successive understanding the wirk function of current or future IT and the impact of the wirk function on the capability properties. Since the conceptual model enables practitioners to describe how IT contributes towards value by their wirk function and its impact, KPIs contingent to functions and properties of IT can be derived. This objective is in line with the Chief Information Officer (CIO) of the case company as he expresses the need for meaningful measurements of the contribution of IT on overall firm performance. Therefore, this model can be a step forward to equip IT managers with an effective tool proving the contribution and value of IT.

This research gives rise for future research in three directions. First, future research is needed to validate the applicability of the proposed model. Second, through an extensive case study or a literature review additional wirk functions of IT could be determined. This is promising as the wirk function is an abstracted, generic characteristic of IT, which describes the value creation of IT. Determining additional wirk functions would contribute to an increased understanding of the different ways of value creation of IT. Third, since the wirk function of IT impacts capability properties, it could be interesting whether additional wirk functions might impact such properties differently. Such insights could give rise to meaningful, differential measurements for IT and would be in line with former research agendas (e.g., [30]–[32]).

V. CONCLUSION

This research is a pioneer by applying DT in the context of IT value creation. The authors conclude that DT adapted by the taxonomy of RBV is applicable to describe the IT value creation. In particular, it advances the current body of knowledge by describing the resource IT with its wirk function and the impact on the respective capability properties. In other words, the way IT contributes to value can be expressed by both its wirk function and its impact on the capability properties, which reflects the value of IT. Hence, DT is a novel way to express the IT value creation and therefore, enables practitioners to demonstrate the value of IT.

This research, however, does not claim to be flawless. The conceptual model needs further testing through additional case studies from various industries as this study only demonstrates the applicability of the model. Nevertheless, the authors of this paper hope that DT and its rationale applied in the IT value creation context will inspire future research.