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ABSTRACT: Reefs are structurally complex habitats that are degraded in numerous coastal areas. Structural complexity is often associated with elevated fish abundance, and recent studies have indicated that such structural complexity (e.g. reefs) not only acts as a fish aggregator, but also increases fish production. The objective of this study was to advance this knowledge by investigating if an underlying mechanism of the observed productivity is related to reduced metabolic rates (proxy for energy use) of fish in reef habitats. Using juvenile Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*, we tested the hypothesis that fish energy use differs between fish occupying stone reef and sand bottom habitats. Metabolic rate (MO$_2$) was estimated using intermittent flow respirometry in simulated stone reef and sand bottom habitats over 24 h. Results revealed that *G. morhua* in the stone reef habitat exhibited significantly reduced accumulated MO$_2$ compared to *G. morhua* in the sand bottom habitat. Likewise, there was a tendency for lower mean standard metabolic rates of the fish in stone reefs, although this pattern was not statistically significant. There are many mechanisms that may underpin elevated productivity in structurally complex habitats such as reefs, including better access to shelter and increased food availability. Our study adds to these mechanisms by showing that *G. morhua* save energy when occupying stone reefs as compared to sandy bottoms, energy which may be allocated to somatic and gonadal growth.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic impacts on coastal habitats are a global concern. Structurally complex habitats are degrading across many marine environments (Airoldi et al. 2008), which is caused by several factors, including trawl fisheries, resource extractions, coastal constructions and climate change (Thrush & Dayton 2002, Lotze et al. 2006, De’ath et al. 2012). Reefs are considered habitats that provide structural complexity and are therefore specifically protected in many regions, including the European Union (The Council of the European Communities 2013) and Australia (Australian Government 1975). In addition, reefs are increasingly restored and used as management tools worldwide (Bohnsack & Sutherland 1985, Nakamura 1985, Kristensen et al. 2017).

Based on the observation that artificial reefs, and habitats with structural complexity in general, attract and concentrate fish (Bohnsack & Sutherland 1985, Ambrose & Swarbrick 1989, Demartini et al. 1994), the ‘attraction versus production’ debate was initiated in the 1980s, addressing the question whether reefs act merely as fish aggregators, or if such complex habitats may also facilitate increased fish production (Bohnsack & Sutherland 1985, Polovina 1989). It is increasingly becoming clear that reefs (both artificial and natural) contribute to overall fish production
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(Granneman & Steele 2014, Smith et al. 2016, Roa-Ureta et al. 2019), yet the extent of the production increase and its underlying mechanisms remain unclear. A key question is whether specific habitats influence fish energy use and thereby the potential for investment into somatic growth or gonad production (Grossman et al. 1997).

Stone reefs provide high biodiversity (Lundsteen et al. 2008), and in temperate waters, these complex habitats often host gadoids, including Atlantic cod Gadus morhua (Dahl et al. 2016). Mature fish gather in areas with stone reefs before migrating to spawning grounds and use reefs as shelter (Dahl et al. 2016). Maturing G. morhua often respond favorably to stone reef restoration, further highlighting the importance of stone reefs to relatively large G. morhua (Kristensen et al. 2017). Smaller conspecifics, however, also take advantage of structurally complex habitats such as reefs (Gotceitas & Brown 1993, Tupper & Boutillier 1995). Juvenile G. morhua are frequently preyed upon by larger fish and marine mammals, including harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena, harp seal Pagophilus groenlandicus and grey seal Halichoerus grypus (Savenkoff et al. 2006, Ross et al. 2016). To avoid predation, G. morhua shelter within complex habitats, especially those consisting of boulders or cobble (Gotceitas & Brown 1993, Gotceitas et al. 1995).

Fish metabolic rate is affected by various endogenous and exogenous factors, including shelter availability (Millidine et al. 2006). As such, measurements of fish metabolic rate provide a useful tool to understand the importance of various habitats (Norin et al. 2018). For most animals, oxygen consumption rate (MO2) can be used as a proxy for aerobic metabolic rate and thus energy use (Nelson 2016). The basic maintenance requirement is measured as the minimum MO2 of a non-digesting, unstressed animal at maintenance requirement is measured as the minimum rate and thus energy use (Nelson 2016). The basic question is whether specific habitats influence fish energy use and thereby the potential for investment into somatic growth or gonad production (Grossman et al. 1997).

Stone reefs provide high biodiversity (Lundsteen et al. 2008), and in temperate waters, these complex habitats often host gadoids, including Atlantic cod Gadus morhua (Dahl et al. 2016). Mature fish gather in areas with stone reefs before migrating to spawning grounds and use reefs as shelter (Dahl et al. 2016). Maturing G. morhua often respond favorably to stone reef restoration, further highlighting the importance of stone reefs to relatively large G. morhua (Kristensen et al. 2017). Smaller conspecifics, however, also take advantage of structurally complex habitats such as reefs (Gotceitas & Brown 1993, Tupper & Boutillier 1995). Juvenile G. morhua are frequently preyed upon by larger fish and marine mammals, including harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena, harp seal Pagophilus groenlandicus and grey seal Halichoerus grypus (Savenkoff et al. 2006, Ross et al. 2016). To avoid predation, G. morhua shelter within complex habitats, especially those consisting of boulders or cobble (Gotceitas & Brown 1993, Gotceitas et al. 1995).

Fish metabolic rate is affected by various endogenous factors, including shelter availability (Millidine et al. 2006). As such, measurements of fish metabolic rate provide a useful tool to understand the importance of various habitats (Norin et al. 2018). For most animals, oxygen consumption rate (MO2) can be used as a proxy for aerobic metabolic rate and thus energy use (Nelson 2016). The basic maintenance requirement is measured as the minimum MO2 of a non-digesting, unstressed animal at rest and is known as the standard metabolic rate (SMR) (Priede 1985). Thus, other energy demands, including those related to swimming, digestion, reproduction and stress, are additional to SMR (Priede 1985). The presence of shelter is known to reduce stress, vigilance and alertness because shelters serve as protection from predators (Millidine et al. 2006). Thus, shelter in reefs could provide a metabolic benefit, but the hypothesis remains largely untested. If fish save energy when associated with reefs, the saved energy may be available for somatic growth and investment into reproduction.

Using juvenile G. morhua, we examined whether energy use is influenced by reef availability. Specifically, we used respirometry to test the hypothesis that energy use differs between G. morhua in simulated stone reef and sand bottom habitats. The findings are important for understanding the mechanisms that underpin the increased production of fish observed on reefs (Grossman et al. 1997, Baine 2001, Brickhill et al. 2005).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eleven juvenile Atlantic cod Gadus morhua (total length 24.7 ± 0.9 cm; body mass 251.2 ± 20.5 g; means ± SE) were caught using gillnets in the Isefjord in Denmark in March 2018. All fish were caught at the same location. Fish were held at the Technical University of Denmark (DTU Aqua) for a minimum of 1 mo prior to experimentation. Fish were tagged with individual PIT-tags and kept under the ambient light regime (May) in an aerated flow-through tank (1 × 2 m; height × diameter) supplied with artificial saltwater (temperature: 10 ± 0.2°C; salinity: 10 ± 1 psu). Fish were fed every other day with commercial pellets (Biomar, Efico Sigma 870).

The experimental set-up consisted of 2 similar grey experimental tanks (52 × 58 × 94 cm; height × width × length), each containing an identical respirometry chamber (60 × 11 cm; length × diameter) made of transparent acrylic glass tubing (Fig. 1). The 2 experimental tanks were situated in a room with restricted access, and the tanks were further shielded from the surroundings using curtains to minimize any disturbance.

Each experimental tank contained 270 l of flow-through water from the same source as used for the holding tank (temperature: 10 ± 0.2°C; salinity: 10 ± 1 psu). In both tanks, bottom and walls were covered using light grey tarpaulin. Each tank was equipped with either a stone reef habitat or a sand bottom habitat. Across the study period, each fish was tested twice, once in each habitat. The simulated habitat in each experimental tank alternated between the stone reef habitat and the sand bottom habitat. In both tanks, the respirometry chamber was placed on the bottom in the central part of the tank. The stone reef habitat was created around the respirometry chamber using rocks collected at nearby beaches. Individual rocks were 20–30 cm in diameter and covered the respirometry chamber in 1 layer, creating a cavernous reef often preferred by G. morhua (Tupper & Boutillier 1997, Kristensen et al. 2017). For the sand bottom habitat, no rocks were added to the experimental tank to mimic an environment with limited benthic complexity.

Prior to respirometry, individual fish were fasted for 48 h to minimize the post-absorptive state and
metabolic effects of digestion. Fish were then transferred individually to the respirometry chambers. Each chamber was connected to an intermittent flow respirometry system (Fig. 1) controlled by the Loligo Systems software AutoResp that calculated \( \text{MO}_2 \) (mg O\(_2\) kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)). Two optical dipping probe oxygen mini sensors (Loligo Systems) were used, one for each respirometry chamber and located in the recirculating loop, in connection with a Witrox 4 oxygen meter (Loligo Systems).

The declining oxygen partial pressure (kPa) inside the respirometer chamber was used to calculate \( \text{MO}_2 \) (mg O\(_2\) kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) using the equation:

\[
\text{MO}_2 = \frac{K \cdot V \cdot \beta}{M}
\]

where \( K \) is the rate of decline (kPa h\(^{-1}\)) in oxygen content over time (h) inside the respirometer, \( V \) is the volume of the respirometer (l) corrected for the volume of fish, \( \beta \) is the solubility of oxygen in the water (mg O\(_2\) l\(^{-1}\) kPa\(^{-1}\)), and \( M \) is body mass of the fish (kg).

Intermittent flow respirometry was used to sample \( \text{MO}_2 \) for a 35 h period: 11 h of acclimation to the respirometer chamber followed by 24 h of data collection (Fig. 1) (Steffensen 1989, Chabot et al. 2016). The repeated respirometric loops consisted of an 8 min flush period, allowing the total respirometer volume to be flushed 6 times, followed by a 2 min wait period and a 6 min measuring period (i.e. 16 min per loop). Durations of the 3 periods were determined during preliminary testing to ensure (1) that the coefficient of determination (\( R^2 \)) always exceeded 0.95 similar to previous studies (Svendsen et al. 2012, Plambech et al. 2013) and (2) that oxygen levels were always >80% air saturation inside the respirometry chamber. Microbial respiration was measured before and after each experiment and subtracted from the \( \text{MO}_2 \) (Rodgers et al. 2016).

Juvenile \( G. \text{morhua} \) are frequently preyed upon by marine mammals and fish. Hence, during the 24 h data collection, at 15 h, a fusiform simulated predator was pulled once through the water in the experimental tanks. Similar to previous studies (Killen et al. 2012), this was done to simulate a brief presence of a predator. All experiments were performed according to the national guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals as stated by the Danish Animal Ethics Committee.

Using a paired design, individual fish were tested once in each simulated habitat (stone reef and sand bottom) in the same experimental tank. The order of the tested habitats and fish was randomized. For the individual fish, time between testing in the 2 habitats varied between 4 and 15 d.

For the individual fish, \( \text{MO}_2 \) data were summed across the entire 24 h period that followed the acclimation period (11 h). The compiled data were used to compare total metabolic rates over 24 h (mg O\(_2\) kg\(^{-1}\) [24 h]\(^{-1}\)) between the 2 habitat types (i.e. stone reef and sand bottom). Moreover, for each fish, SMR was calculated using the 10% method, which provides an SMR estimate based on the average of the lowest 10% of the measurements, after removal of outliers (i.e. the 5 lowest measurements) as described by Chabot et al. (2016).

Shapiro tests showed that data did not deviate significantly from normal distributions. Hence, paired \( t \)-tests were applied to test for significant differences in the metabolic rates between the 2 habitats. Specifically, accumulated metabolic rates over 24 h (mg O\(_2\) kg\(^{-1}\) [24 h]\(^{-1}\)) and SMR (mg O\(_2\) kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) were compared between stone reef and sand bottom habitats. Likewise, differences in metabolic responses to predator exposure were evaluated for the 2 habitats by comparing the accumulated metabolic rates over 2 h after the predator exposure. Accumulated metabolic rates over 24 h, accumulated metabolic rates over 2 h...
following the predator exposure, and SMR data are presented in Table 1.

The free statistical software R (R 3.5, The R Foundation for Statistical Computing) was used for data analyses. We used a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, and all values are reported as means ± SE unless noted otherwise.

### 3. RESULTS

Across the majority (97.8%) of the 24 h sampling period, fish in the stone reef habitat had, on average, reduced metabolic rates (Fig. 2). This pattern was also revealed when comparing the accumulated $\text{MO}_2$ values of fish (mg O$_2$ kg$^{-1}$ [24 h]$^{-1}$) in stone reef and sand bottom habitats, where the accumulated $\text{MO}_2$ was significantly lower for fish in stone reef ($p = 0.03$; Fig. 3). Specifically, the mean accumulated $\text{MO}_2$ over 24 h was 2766.7 ± 352.4 and 3317.0 ± 272.8 mg O$_2$ kg$^{-1}$ [24 h]$^{-1}$ for fish in the stone reef and sand bottom habitat, respectively, showing that fish in the stone reef habitat spent on average 20% less energy compared to fish in the sand bottom habitat. The SMR data followed the same trend as the accumulated $\text{MO}_2$, although statistical analysis revealed no significant differences in mean SMR between fish in the 2 habitats ($p = 0.61$; Fig. 4). Furthermore, when comparing the accumulated metabolic rate over 2 h after predator exposure, fish in the sand bottom habitat had significantly higher metabolic response compared to fish in reef habitat ($p = 0.013$).
4. DISCUSSION

This study shows that the energy use of Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* is affected by the surrounding habitat. Specifically, the accumulated MO$_2$ data revealed that *G. morhua* save energy (about 20%) when occupying a stone reef habitat compared to a sand bottom habitat. Accordingly, fish using a sand bottom habitat have an energetic disadvantage that may result in decreased growth rates. The results also suggest that predator encounters are energetically less costly in reef habitats. Although a similar pattern was observed in SMR (i.e. fish in the sand habitat showed a tendency towards higher SMR than fish in the reef habitat), differences were not statistically significant between the 2 habitats (p = 0.61). Box plot parameters as in Fig. 3.

The mechanisms underpinning increased fish production in reef habitats remain widely debated, including suggestions of enhanced provision of food on reefs, availability of shelters leading to reduced predation, reduced fishing mortality, in addition to the provision of zones with reduced water motion and current speed, where fish can stay and hence reduce energy related to swimming (Emery et al. 2006, Claireaux et al. 2014, Champion et al. 2015). Here, we show that the energy demand of *G. morhua* is reduced when the fish spend time in reef habitats, thus providing an important new understanding of the mechanisms underpinning the increased productivity observed on reefs. More specifically, our results demonstrate that the fish in reef habitats on average exhibited a 20% reduction in their daily energy budget as compared to fish in sandy, open habitats. The higher energy use in the less structurally complex habitat may reflect that the fish need to be more attentive to minimize the higher predation risk (Gotceitas & Brown 1993, Tupper & Boutilier 1995), potentially including elevated spontaneous activity and excitement (Chabot et al. 2016). Likewise, our results suggest that predator encounters are energetically more costly in less structurally complex habitats. A lower daily energy demand on reefs may allow the individual fish to allocate more resources to somatic or gonadal growth. Importantly, fish in better condition generally have higher reproductive output (Kjesbu et al. 1991, Rätz & Lloret 2003), indicating potential for sustaining a higher exploitation rate (Rätz & Lloret 2003). Juvenile *G. morhua* furthermore benefit in terms of higher survival in structurally complex habitats, as these habitats provide shelter availability against predation as compared to sandy habitats (Gotceitas & Brown 1993, Tupper & Boutilier 1995, Lindholm et al. 1999). Notably, however, production and attraction are ends of a spectrum on which most reefs operate (Cresson et al. 2019). In other words, there will be variable contributions of fish aggregations and new production to observed changes in biomass of fish, depending on the functional position of the species at the reef and the lifestyle of the fish, e.g. whether it is a sedentary benthic or highly mobile species (Reubens et al. 2013, Cresson et al. 2019, Roa-Ureta et al. 2019). Although the present study has revealed that energy savings at reefs may promote increased production of *G. morhua*, it is also known that boulder reef restoration results in higher site fidelity and prolonged residence time in the area for this species (Kristensen et al. 2017), pointing towards the aggregation end of the spectrum. Collectively, these findings highlight the
importance of preserving temperate reef habitats to support fish populations.

In many parts of the world, reefs support millions of people who depend on natural resources as a source of food and income (White et al. 2000, Cesar et al. 2003). However, degradation of reefs continues, and structurally complex habitats are becoming rarer across many marine environments (Pandolfi et al. 2003, Airoldi et al. 2008). Irrespective of the position of a particular reef on the aggregation–production spectrum, its degradation is likely to have profound ecological, social and economic impacts. This is also highlighted by the fact that reefs are some of the most diverse marine ecosystems (Roberts et al. 2002), and their degradation may hence cause loss of diversity (Jones et al. 2004). Consequently, protection of reefs is important to maintain productive marine ecosystems (Pratchett et al. 2014). The present results show a link between habitat complexity (stone reef habitat versus sand habitat) and energetic demands of G. morhua, supporting that stone reefs facilitate increased production of this species. However, fish community composition varies among reefs, affected by several factors including architectural complexity, reef size, abiotic conditions and fish dispersal abilities (Charbonnel et al. 2000, Champion et al. 2015, Cresson et al. 2019). This highlights the importance in future research of considering species-specific responses to habitat complexity to accurately describe the functioning of reefs, both natural and artificial.
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