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Selective formic acid dehydrogenation at low temperature over RuO$_2$/COF pre-catalyst synthesized on the gram scale

Liliana P. L. Gonçalves,$^{a,b}$ David B. Christensen,$^a$ Maria Meledina,$^{a,c}$ Laura M. Salonen,$^a$ Dmitri Y. Petrovykh,$^a$ Enrique Carbó-Argibay,$^b$ Juliana P. S. Sousa,$^b$ O. Salomé G. P. Soares,$^b$ M. Fernando R. Pereira,$^b$ Søren Kegnæs,$^{a,}\dagger$ Yury V. Kolen’ko,$^{a,*}$

A pre-catalyst, consisting of RuO$_2$ nanoparticles and clusters anchored on TpBD-Me$_2$ COF, was synthesized. During catalytic formic acid dehydrogenation, RuO$_2$ undergoes in situ reduction to metallic Ru, forming an active and selective catalyst, which outperforms the commercial Ru-C catalyst. The chemical structure of COF supporting material is maintained during stability testing.

Formic acid (FA) is an important chemical that is used, for example, in silage and animal feed, leather and tanning industries, as well as in pharmaceutical and food industries. More interestingly, FA can be used as fuel for direct FA fuel cells (DFAFCE), which generate electrical energy. Alternatively, FA can be used as H$_2$ carrier via dehydrogenation to CO$_2$ and H$_2$, with the resultant H$_2$ used as fuel for hydrogen fuel cells.$^{1,2}$ Compared to other H$_2$ carriers, FA possesses high gravimetric and volumetric capacity ($4.4\ \text{wt}\%$ and $53.4\ \text{g} \text{L}^{-1}$), and is liquid under ambient conditions, which makes it a promising practical H$_2$ carrier. Furthermore, FA can be produced directly from biomass or CO$_2$, which allows a carbon-neutral cycle for the production and storage of renewable hydrogen.$^{1,2}$ In addition, FA could be used as a sustainable carbon monoxide source.$^3$

Depending on the catalyst and the reaction conditions, the decomposition of FA can produce H$_2$ and CO$_2$ through dehydrogenation or the alternative production of CO can occur.$^4$ Therefore, the selectivity of the catalyst is crucial in order to obtain the delivered product. Homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts have been proposed both in liquid$^{4-6}$ and gas$^{7-15}$ phase for FA dehydrogenation. Most of the catalysts, however, exhibit selectivities $<100\%$ in the gas phase. The most used catalysts for FA decomposition reaction are Pd$^{4,9-11}$, Au$^{7-9}$, and Pt-based$^{12,13}$ due to the high conversion and selectivity that they achieve. High activity and selectivity has been obtained also with Ru, which has been primarily investigated in the liquid phase as a homogeneous catalyst$^{5,6}$ and less extensively as a heterogeneous$^{13,15}$ or immobilized-complex$^{14}$ catalyst. In contrast, for gas-phase FA dehydrogenation, studies of Ru-based catalysts are scarce when compared to Pd-, Pt-, Au-, and Ni-based catalysts.$^{13,15}$ Hence, we were interested in investigating RuO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs) supported on TpBD-Me$_2$ (Figures S1a,b) covalent organic framework (COF) as a pre-catalyst for this reaction. We envisioned that such pre-catalyst would eventually provide an active and selective catalytic system due to in situ reduction of RuO$_2$ to Ru under reaction conditions.

COFs are crystalline porous materials with tunable pore structure. Owing to the high surface area, regular porosity, and structural uniformity, this class of materials is an interesting candidate to be used as catalyst support.$^{16-18}$ Specifically, COFs themselves have been used as organocatalysts$^{19}$ and as support for metals,$^{16-18}$ providing high dispersion of the active catalyst.$^{20}$ Unfortunately, the amount of COF that is synthesized per batch in a typical solvothermal synthesis is only in the order of tens to few hundreds of milligrams, which hinders their use in systematic studies and real applications. Furthermore, there is scarcity of using COFs for heterogeneous gas phase catalysis.$^{17}$

In the current study, we employed TpBD-Me$_2$ COF, hereafter referred to as COF, synthesized using a procedure$^{21}$ developed in our group that beneficially yields ca. 2 g of crystalline COF material in one batch. This specific COF was selected because of its high stability under harsh conditions$^{22}$ and high N-content that can be beneficial for the FA dehydrogenation reaction.$^{12,15}$ In the COF synthesis protocol, 1,3,5-triformylphloroglucinol (Tp)$^{23}$ self-assembles with o-tolidine (BD-Me$_2$) to yield...
TpBD-Me$_2$\textsuperscript{21} as an orange solid (Figure S1). We further anchored the RuO$_2$ NPs on the as-synthesized COF using a method reported elsewhere.\textsuperscript{24} Briefly, RuCl$_x$H$_2$O is used as precursor and the NPs are precipitated using NaOH.

We began by investigating the crystallinity of the obtained materials. The distances derived from the small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) pattern of COF (Figure 1a) correspond well to those reported in the literature for TpBD-Me$_2$.\textsuperscript{25} Importantly, the crystallinity of the COF was maintained after anchoring the RuO$_2$ NPs (Figure 1a). Inductively coupled plasma–optical emission spectroscopy (ICP–OES) shows that the Ru loading is 2.4%. The specific surface area ($S_{BET}$) of COF was estimated to be 520 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Figure S2a, Table S1), consistent with the literature.\textsuperscript{21,25} After precipitating RuO$_2$ onto TpBD-Me$_2$, $S_{BET}$ increases to 630 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ due to anchoring of high surface area RuO$_2$ NPs, which results in augmentation of the overall texture of Ru-COF. We further characterized the surface chemistry of the as-synthesized materials using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Figure 1b, S3 and Table S2). In the Ru-COF, the main Ru 3p$_{3/2}$ component is at the binding energy (BE) of 462.8 eV (Figure 1b), which matches the value reported for hydrated RuO$_2$ catalyst prepared via an analogous procedure (further information in the ESI).\textsuperscript{26–29}

We used annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (ADF–STEM) imaging coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM–EDX) mapping to investigate the morphology and the chemical composition of the synthesized COF and Ru-COF.\textsuperscript{30–32} Figure 2a shows ADF–STEM overview of agglomerated ≈10–50 nm COF particles. An ordered honeycomb structure is clearly visualized in Figure 2b, confirming the crystalline state of COF with a pore size of ≈6.1 Å. ADF–STEM image of Ru-COF pre-catalyst is shown in Figure 2c. The bright contrast features correspond to Ru-rich NPs of ≈1.2 nm in diameter (Figure 2d) anchored on the COF, along with single Ru atoms and clusters of few-atoms spread within the COF support (Figure 2c). Together with this, some of Ru-rich NPs form agglomerates of ≈10 nm in size (Figure S4). Considering the estimated particle size, the dispersion of the NPs is ≈100% (ESI), suggesting that all the Ru should be available for the catalytic reaction.

Figure S5 shows an ADF–STEM image of Ru-COF pre-catalyst together with corresponding STEM–EDX maps evidencing the bright contrast particles to be Ru-rich. Having confirmed the presence of ≈1.2 nm RuO$_2$ NPs anchored on the crystalline COF, we tested the Ru-COF pre-catalyst in a light-off gas-phase FA dehydrogenation experiment and compared it with a Ru-C commercial catalyst with a particle size ≲2 nm (ESI). The yield to H$_2$ ($Y_{H2}$) is presented in Figure 3a while the conversion of FA (X) and selectivity to H$_2$ ($S_{H2}$) are summarized in Figures S7a,b. In the first cycle, the catalyst exhibits high activity towards FA dehydrogenation from 120 °C onwards, reaching 50% conversion at 155 °C (Figure S7a) and a maximum $Y_{H2}$ of 97% at 200 °C (Figure 3a). Importantly, the catalyst outperforms the commercial Ru-C control catalyst, showing higher X at a lower temperature and higher maximum $Y_{H2}$. The $S_{H2}$ remained nearly constant (=98%) during the first cycle across the full range of the tested temperatures (Figure S7b). In a negative control, no activity for FA dehydrogenation was observed when COF was used as catalyst (data not shown), confirming that the active phase is Ru-based. In the second catalytic run, a loss of ≈40% in activity was observed for both our Ru-COF catalyst and Ru-C, however, for our catalyst the $S_{H2}$ increased to ≈100% (Figure 3a, S7).

Next, we performed a long time-on-stream stability test. Since reasonable deactivation was observed at temperatures above 120 °C (Figure S7c), the stability tests were carried out at this temperature (Figure 3b). During the first hour after reaching 120 °C, the catalyst achieves ≈24% $Y_{H2}$. Afterwards, the $Y_{H2}$ shows a slight decrease over the following 23 h, becoming stable at ≈11%, with the amount of CO generated (data not shown) being much lower than that at higher temperatures and
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close to the detection limit, marking the selectivity of our catalyst. The reference Ru-C catalyst exhibited a similar behaviour, however, the Y_H2 was generally about half of that of our catalyst. Notably, as the reactions are conducted at low temperatures, the S_H2 is most likely determined by the water-gas shift equilibrium, leading to the low amount of CO observed, and consequently, to high selectivity towards hydrogen.

To gain insight into the possible active catalytic phase, we analysed our catalyst after stability testing by SAXS, ADF–STEM, and XPS. The SAXS pattern shows that COF crystallinity is lost after long time FA dehydrogenation (Figure 1a). At the same time, XPS analysis of the sample after the catalytic testing (Figure 1b, S3) indicates that the chemical structure of the COF support remains largely unchanged (ESI). These results suggest that the loss of crystallinity is due to delamination of 2D COF into 1D COF sheets, rather than a modification of its chemical structure (ESI). XPS also confirms that the RuO2 NPs are reduced to metallic Ru under reaction conditions (ESI), however, some of the RuO2, likely in the core of the particles, is not fully reduced. Notably, ADF–STEM suggests sintering of the Ru NPs and clusters during catalytic testing, leading to ~2.4 nm average size (Figure S6).

The complementary characterisation techniques provide consistent insights into the catalytic process: we believe that the ultrasmall RuO2 NPs are rapidly reduced to metallic Ru under the reaction conditions, and the metallic Ru further acts as active site for the dehydrogenation of FA, as we were envisioning originally. The consequent decrease in activity during the catalytic reaction (Figure 3b) is most likely related to sintering of the active Ru NPs (Figure S6). The loss of crystallinity and the associated delamination of the COF (ESI) under the same conditions suggest this as one of the mechanisms that can promote the mobility and sintering of Ru. We observe a similar deactivation in the commercial Ru-C catalyst also likely associated with the increased size of Ru NPs.

The selectivity values determined for our catalyst at conversions of 5%, 20%, 50%, and 95% (125, 140, 155, and 200 °C, respectively) are presented in Figure 3c as a function of conversion and compared to state-of-the-art catalysts used for gas phase FA dehydrogenation. In all range of conversions, our catalyst exhibits selectivity values similar to those reported for high performance Ru-, Au-, Pd-, and Pt-based catalysts, and higher selectivity than that reported for Ru-C and Au-SiO2 catalysts. Significantly, our Ru-COF catalyst exhibits higher Y_H2 = 50% at 150 °C, and S_H2 = 98% at X = 50%, than those reported by Solymosi et al. for Ru–C catalyst (Y_H2 = 15% at 150 °C, and S_H2 = 75% at X = 66%).

By Zacharska and co-workers for Ru supported on N-doped C nanofibers (Y_H2 = 15% at 150 °C, and S_H2 = 95.1% at X = 50%), as well as higher yield and similar selectivity as by Jia et al. for Pt supported on N-doped C nanofibers (Y_H2 = 30% at 150 °C, and S_H2 = 99.5% at X = 50%). Notably, the aforementioned reports employ lower FA feed concentration and in the case of the aforementioned work of Solymosi et al., higher catalyst amount. The high N content of our COF support (9.9 wt%, ESI) likely contributes to the high activity of the catalyst via two mechanisms. First, N-doping of C nanofiber support has been reported to increase the activity of the Ru catalyst. In a second effect, reported for Pt catalyst on a similar N-doped C nanofiber support, the improved activity of the catalyst in FA dehydrogenation reaction was associated with the presence of sub-nm Pt clusters, the formation of which was promoted by the N-doping. While the analogous formation of sub-nm Ru clusters has not been observed for Ru supported on N-doped C nanofibers, the combination of our method for the formation of Ru catalyst and of the N-containing COF support does produce sub-nm Ru clusters with high dispersion over COF. Accordingly, we believe that both of the previously reported beneficial effects of N in the C support contribute to the high selectivity observed for our catalyst. The exact influence of the N-content in the COF support on the catalyst performance is the subject of the ongoing research efforts.

In summary, TpBD-Me2 COF was successfully synthesized, and used as support for RuO2 NPs. The COF presents a high surface area, well-defined porosity with a honeycomb structure as shown by ADF–STEM, and a high N-content of 9.9 wt% from constituent organic building blocks. These features allowed the precipitation of well-dispersed RuO2 NPs as well as small RuO2 clusters and single Ru atoms on the COF support, which were found to provide a good Ru-COF pre-catalyst for the in situ formation of an active and selective Ru catalyst for the FA dehydrogenation reaction. The ruthenium phase in Ru-COF pre-catalyst was confirmed to
be hydrated RuO$_2$ by XPS analysis, which was further reduced to metallic Ru during initial stage of catalytic testing, thus forming the active sites for the dehydrogenation of FA. COF support maintained its chemical structure after 25 h of catalytic testing at 120 °C, as confirmed by XPS, although a loss of crystallinity of the support and some degree of sintering of the Ru NPs were observed.

The results obtained in this investigation provide an ambitious benchmark for further studies for the development of COF-supported catalysts for FA dehydrogenation reaction, as well as for other gas-phase dehydrogenation reactions at low temperatures. A particularly promising direction for future work indicated by our results is the selective synthesis of single Ru atom catalysts supported on COF for (de)hydrogenation.
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