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ABSTRACT: The physical properties of two-dimensional (2D) materials depend strongly on the number of layers. Hence, methods for controlling their thickness with atomic layer precision are highly desirable, yet still too rare, and demonstrated for only a limited number of 2D materials. Here, we present a simple and scalable method for the continuous layer-by-layer thinning that works for a large class of 2D materials, notably layered germanium pnictides and chalcogenides. It is based on a simple oxidation/etching process, which selectively occurs on the topmost layers. Through a combination of atomic force microscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, and X-ray diffraction experiments we demonstrate the thinning method on germanium arsenide (GeAs), germanium sulfide (GeS), and germanium disulfide (GeS₂). We use first-principles simulation to provide insights into the oxidation mechanism. Our strategy, which could be applied to other classes of 2D materials upon proper choice of the oxidation/etching reagent, supports 2D material-based device applications, e.g., in electronics or optoelectronics, where a precise control over the number of layers (hence over the material's physical properties) is needed. Finally, we also show that when used in combination with lithography, our method can be used to make precise patterns in the 2D materials.
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Inspired by the successful isolation of graphene in 2004,¹ layered two-dimensional (2D) materials with their various fascinating properties have become one of the most popular research topics in materials science.² Although significant progress has been made during the past 16 years, the integration of these materials into functional devices is often limited by the lack of control over their thickness, i.e., the number of individual layers, which in turn has a crucial influence on the materials’ physical properties.³⁻⁷ Methods for controlling the thickness of 2D materials with atomic layer precision are therefore highly desirable.

These methods can be divided into bottom-up processes, where the thickness of a 2D material is controlled during its synthesis, and top-down approaches, where the thickness is instead controlled (i.e., reduced down to the chosen value) a posteriori. The latter strategy has the advantage that it could more easily produce junctions, patterns, or superlattices with a designed layer number (e.g., monolayer–bilayer junctions) when applied locally on selected areas. Thus far, only a few top-down methods have been reported, and they involve physical processes, such as plasma,⁸⁻⁹ focused ion beam,¹⁰ or laser etching.¹¹ These processes require costly equipment and are suitable to work only over limited areas. Furthermore, they can damage the 2D material by introducing defects such as vacancies, heteroatoms within the crystal lattice, or functional groups on the surface. Moreover, their efficacy has only been demonstrated so far for a limited number of 2D materials, namely MoS₂ or black phosphorus (BP). Therefore, it would be beneficial to find an alternative, scalable strategy, which could be applied to most 2D materials without inducing structural damage.

In this paper, we present a simple chemical approach that can be used to reduce the thickness of 2D materials with (sub)monolayer precision and is based on a scalable and controllable oxidation/etching process. The top layer(s) of a given 2D material—or part thereof—is first oxidized in air; the oxidized regions are then selectively removed upon immersion in a proper etchant. In a way, this process is very similar to what happens when a native oxide is etched away from the surface of conventional semiconductors, such as Ge and Si,
upon immersion in water or hydrochloric acid for Ge and hydrofluoric acid for Si. Here, we demonstrate that our thinning strategy works for several germanium-based 2D materials, namely, GeAs, GeS and GeS2. Previous literature indicates that the same strategy could also be extended to other 2D materials.12,13

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

GeAs is a layered material that has recently attracted intensive interest due to a bandgap in a technologically relevant energy region (0.5−2 eV, depending on the number of layers)14,15 and strongly anisotropic electrical and optical properties.16,17 As for the case of other 2D materials, for instance, BP18 and silicene,19 previous reports lead us to believe that GeAs suffers from degradation when exposed to ambient air.17 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) performed on GeAs flakes exfoliated on an Au/SiO2/Si substrate and then left in air for a photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) performed on GeAs flakes after being exposed to air at ambient conditions for a few days indeed confirms changes to the material (Figure 1). After air exposure, the Ge 3d core level features two contributions, one at 29.7 eV and one at around 32.8 eV (Figure 1b). The former is very close in energy to the peak found for GeAs2 at 29.5 eV20 and can therefore be attributed to the Ge−As bond. The latter is significantly shifted toward higher binding energies and is due to the formation of germanium oxide (GeO2).21−23. The ratio between these two peaks is 1.2, proving the high degree of oxidation of the material within the probed volume. Interestingly, the As 3d core level shows only one peak at around 41 eV, while other possible features at higher binding energies due to arsenic oxide are absent.24 Considering their sensitivity factors, the concentration of Ge 3d and As 3d due to GeAs bond is 51% and 49%, respectively. These findings suggest that the surface of GeAs after exposure to air is partially oxidized, with the possible oxidation mechanism involving the loss of As and the formation of germanium oxide. The oxidation mechanism will be discussed in more detail later on in the text.

Since oxidation is often seen as being detrimental to the properties of materials and devices (e.g., it could introduce scattering sources that reduce the carrier mobility), the exfoliated GeAs flakes were immersed in deionized (DI) water to remove the “native” oxide. This is a procedure commonly used for Ge structures25−27 and takes advantage of the water solubility of GeO2.28 As shown in Figure 1c, after immersion in deionized water for 3 h, the Ge 3d peak corresponding to GeO2 is significantly reduced to about 10% of the intensity of Ge coordinated to As. In addition, a new signal is found at 31.8 eV stemming from the presence of Ge suboxides (GeO2−x),21,24 which is probably due to an incomplete removal of GeO2 by water. Furthermore, the intensity ratio between the Ge 3d component due to Ge−As bond and the As 3d core level is unchanged. Taken together, these results indicate that water can effectively remove the oxidized areas on top of the GeAs flakes.

In order to probe how the oxidation/etching process could affect the morphology of GeAs, the process was repeated four times for a given flake while at the end of every etching step atomic force microscopy (AFM) was used for inspection. In the experiment reported in Figure 2, the oxidation step consists of 4 h exposure in air at ambient conditions, whereas the etching is carried out through 20 h immersion in O2-depleted water. More experimental details are given in the Methods. Figure 2a shows the AFM images taken immediately after exfoliation, and then again after each etching step. First, it can be noticed that the lateral morphology of the flake remains unchanged throughout the whole experiment and no features due to degradation, for instance, pits or blisters, can be observed on the surface. Instead, a gradual and continuous thinning of the flake is observed. To quantitatively evaluate the thinning, cross section profiles are taken along the dashed lines in the AFM images in Figure 2a. From the profiles reported in Figure 2b, two pieces of information can be inferred: first, the reduction in thickness after each oxidation/etching cycle appears to be constant, and second, the roughness of the flake appears to be unchanged as well. To visualize the reduction in thickness for the entire flake (and not only along one line), we report in Figure 2c the height distributions extracted from the AFM images in Figure 2a, with the peaks for the SiO2 substrate being centered at zero. By fitting the height distribution with Gaussian peaks, we can evaluate the thickness of the flake. The evolution of the mean thickness determined from these Gaussians is shown in Figure 2d. It is clear that the thickness of the whole flake is indeed reduced by nearly the same amount after each oxidation/etching cycle, proving that the process is quite reliable, repeatable, and independent of the previous etching history of the flake. The average thickness

Figure 1. Chemical evolution of GeAs flakes upon exposure to air and subsequent immersion in water. (a) XPS spectrum collected in an area of the substrate without GeAs flakes. (b) XPS spectrum of GeAs flakes after being exposed to air at ambient conditions for a few days. (c) XPS spectrum of GeAs flakes after 3 h immersion in water. All spectra are normalized with respect to the intensity of C 1s peak.
reduction per cycle amounts to 0.66 nm, which coincides with the interlayer distance in GeAs.\textsuperscript{16,17} This shows that, under the conditions used in this experiment, the oxidation/etching method produces a layer-by-layer thinning of the GeAs flake.

Moreover, considering that the root-of-mean-square (RMS) roughness is mathematically equivalent to the standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution, the nearly constant width of the Gaussian peaks for the flake in Figure 2c implies that the surface roughness is not affected by the oxidation/etching cycle. To further evaluate the surface roughness, we...
performed additional AFM measurements with higher resolution. Figure 2e displays AFM images taken on the same region of the GeAs flake after successive oxidation/etching cycles. The main features of the flake after exfoliation remain visible throughout the experiment. This is also highlighted by the cross section profiles taken across such features (displayed in Figure 2f), which show that the surface fluctuations (peak-to-valley distance in the height profiles) are also unchanged. These results indicate that the oxidation/etching cycles do not degrade the flake surface, but reduce homogeneously the flake thickness with atomic layer precision (i.e., layer-by-layer). The fluctuations observed on the flake surface are likely due to the roughness of the underlying SiO$_2$ substrate, as (i) such features are also observed on the bare substrate (see Supporting Information Figure S2) and (ii) they exist already on the as-exfoliated flake and remain unchanged throughout the experiment. In Figure 2g, the RMS roughness of the whole GeAs flake surface is plotted in comparison with that of the substrate. No increase of the roughness is detectable after the oxidation/etching processes and the roughness of the flake remains low (less than 0.15 nm), comparable to that of the substrate.

Raman spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction (XRD) were employed to examine the structural integrity of the GeAs flakes after the oxidation/etching process. The Raman spectra of an individual flake after successive oxidation/etching cycles are reported in Figure 3a (upper curves) after normalization with respect to the Si peak at 521 cm$^{-1}$. As a comparison, we also show in the same figure the Raman spectra of as-exfoliated GeAs flakes with similar thickness (bottom curves). Both sets of spectra show the characteristic modes of GeAs.$^{15,17}$ Moreover, it is evident that regardless of the way the thinning is carried out (i.e., exfoliation or oxidation/etching) the intensity of the peaks decreases approximately linearly as the thickness of the flake decreases. Figure 3b shows that the intensity of the two representative peaks at 147 and 276 cm$^{-1}$ in both sets of spectra exhibits similar thickness-dependence. The XRD measurements (Figure 3c) performed on a sample with a large number of GeAs flakes deposited on a Au/SiO$_2$/Si substrate also confirms that the crystal structure of GeAs$^{15}$ remains unchanged after the oxidation/etching treatment. Furthermore, we can use the XRD spectrum to confirm the interlayer spacing, which is 0.66 nm, consistent with previous reports.$^{15,17}$

To gain further insights into the thinning mechanism, we carried out a more detailed study by systematically varying the key parameters in this process, namely the oxidation time, the etching time and the oxidation temperature. Five sets of experiments were conducted with different oxidation/etching time combinations and for each set of experiment, five flakes with different initial thicknesses were examined. For these five sets of experiments, the samples were oxidized in air at room temperature. Similar to the previous experiment, we used AFM to follow the thickness evolution of the flakes. The results of the experiment with 2 h/24 h oxidation/etching time are plotted in Figure 4a as an example, while those from the other sets of experiments are given in Supporting Information Figure S3. First, in each experiment all five flakes show a gradual thinning after every oxidation/etching cycle, just as observed in Figure 2. Moreover, the reduction in thickness is comparable for all the five flakes in each experiment. To allow for a comparative analysis, we calculated the average thickness reduction per oxidation/etching cycle of the five flakes in each experiment, which can be considered as the thinning rate. The results are summarized in Figure 4b. First, we focus on the experiments performed with the shortest oxidation time, that is 2 h. For an immersion time in water of 5 min, the thinning rate is 0.32 ± 0.03 nm/cycle; the same value is found if the immersion time is brought to 1 h (0.36 ± 0.06 nm/cycle), whereas it increases only slightly to 0.43 ± 0.03 nm/cycle when the immersion time is as long as 24 h. Clearly, the thickness reduction is limited by the short oxidation time used in this set of experiments and, interestingly, it is still smaller than the interlayer distance in GeAs. Thus, less than one full GeAs layer is removed at each cycle. Here, it is worth noting that also in this case, i.e., in the case of incomplete oxidation of the topmost layer, the surface roughness of the GeAs flakes is still constant throughout the experiment (Supporting Information Figures S4 and S5). In other words, we did not detect any area of changing thickness (holes or islands). This implies that the thinning occurs homogeneously over the entire surface and not in a “reversed island growth” mode. Consequently, the etched areas (i.e., the areas of the top layer removed upon immersion in water) are small, and in this case smaller than 10 nm, which is the lateral resolution of our AFM setup as given by the radius of the probe. On the other hand, if the oxidation time is increased to 4 h, with each etching step the thickness of the flake is reduced by exactly one layer (the horizontal red line in Figure 4b represents the

Figure 4. Effects of oxidation time, etching time and oxidation temperature. (a) Thickness evolution of five GeAs flakes measured after (1) exfoliation, (2) the first, (3) the second, (4) the third, and (5) the fourth cycle. The oxidation/etching times are 2 h/24 h, and the average of the five slopes is taken as the thinning rate for the corresponding oxidation/etching time. (b) Thinning rates with different oxidation/etching time combinations; the horizontal red line indicates the interlayer distance of GeAs. (c) Thickness evolution of a GeAs flake upon oxidation/etching processing with increasing oxidation temperatures.
measured interlayer distance in GeAs, namely 0.66 nm). Since the same thickness reduction is achieved when the oxidation time is further increased to 24 h, we can conclude that the oxidation of GeAs is a self-limiting process, in the sense that once the topmost layer becomes fully oxidized, further oxidation of the layers underneath is prevented, or at least significantly slowed down. The top oxide layer acts as a passivating film, protecting the “bulk”, as it happens for the native oxides in the cases of Ge or Si, for instance. We further explored if this self-limiting nature of the oxidation/thinning process still remains at higher oxidation temperatures. In Figure 4c, the samples were oxidized at increasing temperatures (from room temperature to 200 °C) for 4 h, followed by 20 h etching in oxygen-depleted water. The thickness reduction per cycle still amounts to one layer, regardless of the oxidation temperature that was used.

The data reported so far demonstrate that the thickness of GeAs can be controlled with (sub-) monolayer precision by a simple process involving oxidation in air and subsequent immersion in oxygen-depleted water. To reach a certain final thickness, one can adjust the number of oxidation/etching cycles to reduce the thickness by a desired number of layers. As shown in Figure 5a, for instance, after five successive oxidation/etching cycles, the GeAs flake under study was thinned from 4.2 nm down to 0.7 nm, thus achieving single-layer thickness. Here, it is worth noting that to the best of our knowledge no group has so far been able to obtain GeAs flakes with single-layer thickness. Thus, our method can indeed be

Figure 5. Approaching the single layer limit and lateral patterning of the GeAs surface. (a) From left to right: optical image of an as-exfoliated GeAs flake; AFM image of the selected area obtained after exfoliation; AFM image taken in the same area after five oxidation/etching cycles. (b) Cross section profiles along the dashed lines labeled as A and A’ in (a). (c) AFM image of the “GeAs” pattern on a GeAs flake. (d) Cross section profiles along the dashed lines labeled as B and C in (c).

Figure 6. Thinning of layered GeS2 and GeS. AFM images of the (a) GeS2 and (b) GeS flakes that were taken after (1) exfoliation, (2) the first, (3) the second, (4) the third, and (5) the fourth oxidation/etching (4 h/20 h) cycle. The scale bar is 2 μm in (a) and 2.5 μm in (b). (c) Cross section profiles along the dashed lines in (a). (d) Thickness evolution of the GeS2 flake shown in (a). (e) Cross section profiles along the dashed lines in (b). (f) Thickness evolution of the GeS flake shown in (b).
used to provide single-layer flakes for a number of those 2D layered materials that are otherwise extremely challenging to obtain via, for instance, standard exfoliation technique.

Furthermore, this method when coupled with lithographic patterning could even be used to define patterns of different thicknesses on the 2D material’s surface. In Figure 5c, we demonstrate such a pattern with depth of around 1.1 nm that was fabricated on a GeAs flake using the oxidation/etching method in combination with electron-beam lithography (EBL).

Now, it would be remarkable if the same process could be successfully applied to other layered materials. In this context, since the crucial (and limiting) step in the proposed approach is the formation of “native” germanium oxide, we have performed experiments similar to the ones described above on other Ge-based layered materials, namely on GeS and GeS₂. Here, it is worth mentioning that GeAs, GeS, and GeS₂ are three very different compounds with different crystal structures. The only similarity is the fact that they all contain Ge, although with different oxidation states. As shown in Figure 6, also for the case of GeS₂ and GeS the thickness of the flakes constantly decreases after every oxidation/etching cycle, while no significant change of the lateral morphology can be observed. The integrity of the flakes after the oxidation/etching process is confirmed by Raman spectroscopy (see Supporting Information Figure S6). Interestingly, there is a remarkable difference between GeS and GeS₂ that deserves further attention. Namely, while in the former case the flakes show a thinning rate of around 0.61 ± 0.12 nm/cycle, which implied the removal of one layer (0.57 nm being the interlayer distance in GeS₂) in the latter the thinning rate is as high as 5.30 nm/cycle, even though the oxidation and etching time for GeS and GeS₂ were the same. Moreover, the roughness of GeS surface increases during the oxidation/etching process, as shown by the line profiles in Figure 6e. Apparently, within our experimental conditions, GeS oxidizes at a much higher rate than GeAs and GeS₂, and while the oxidation of GeAs and GeS₂ is a self-limiting process, the same does not apply to GeS. Supporting Information Figure S7 shows that the thinning rate of GeS can be reduced by using shorter oxidation times, confirming the degree of tunability of the approach reported here.

To identify the possible reasons behind the different behavior observed in GeS and GeS₂, we have performed ab initio calculations on their quadrilayer (4L) structures (see Supporting Information Figure N1). Such structures are more similar than monolayers to the relatively thick flakes that we have used as starting points in our experiments. Within our framework, we have expanded previous theoretical studies performed on binary group-IV monochalcogenide monolayers (among which GeS) showing that substitutional oxygen (\(O_\text{s}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}\)) forms spontaneously at the chalcogen sites in the presence of chalcogen vacancies (\(V_\text{ch}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}\)) and oxygen. Experimentally, the assumption that this is the preferential pathway for oxidation is also supported by the fact that the same occurs in other chalcogen-containing 2D materials, for instance, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs). (Moreover, we observe the same to occur in GeAs, as we do not see any sign of As oxides in XPS; see Figure 1.) Finally, to account for possible cooperative effects, we compare systems in which there are two substitutional oxygens rather than only one. The results are summarized in Table 1 (details of the calculations can be found in Supporting Information Note 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>(V_\text{ch}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}) (eV)</th>
<th>(O_\text{s}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}) (eV)</th>
<th>(O_\text{s}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}) (eV)</th>
<th>(V_\text{ch}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GeS</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>−2.86</td>
<td>−2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeS₂</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>−2.52</td>
<td>−2.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Calculated formation energy \(E_\text{f}\) of two \(V_\text{ch}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}\) and two \(O_\text{s}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}\) in 4 L of GeS and 4 L of GeS₂.**

The first consideration is that although the vacancy formation is always an endothermic process (formation energy, \(E_\text{f} > 0\)), the second \(O_\text{s}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}\) thermodynamically costs almost the same in both 4L systems, with the second vacancy in the uppermost layer (\(V_\text{ch}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}\)) being more easily formed in the case of GeS (0.98 eV) than in GeS₂ (1.19 eV). Second, in regard to the formation of the second \(O_\text{s}^\text{e}_{\text{v}}\) we notice that this is always a highly exothermic process (\(E_\text{f} < 0\)).

On the basis of these \(E_\text{f}\) values, we can conclude that thermodynamically the introduction of multiple substitutional oxygens in GeS is equally favored to occur both in the external and internal layer, which confirms the strong tendency of GeS (bulk) toward oxidation. In the case of GeS₂, instead, the \(E_\text{f}\) of the second oxygen defect in the first layer is less favored (i.e., lower affinity toward oxidation), which may help explain the experimental observation of a slower oxidation process. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that these theoretical results, although already useful to interpret the experimental observation, describe only the very initial stage(s) of the oxidation process, and cannot therefore be considered as exhaustive. For instance, while so far we have considered only the substitution of two oxygen atoms, oxidation might in fact proceed through the formation of substoichiometric oxides and the self-limiting process observed for GeS and GeAs but not for GeS could be related to a larger strain occurring in the former cases with respect to the latter (see Supporting Information Note 2). The presence of a largely strained interface has been indeed invoked to explain the self-limiting oxidation of silicon nanostructures. (At this regard, we suggest that ab initio molecular dynamics simulations, which will be the focus of future investigation, could provide more satisfactory answers on the presence of substoichiometric oxides as well as on the role of kinetic and thermal effects.

**CONCLUSION**

To conclude, we have identified a method to reduce the thickness of layered materials with (sub)monolayer precision. This method, based on the formation of a surface oxide layer and its selective removal by a suitable etchant, is highly controlled, scalable, and does not require the use of costly and specialized instrumentation. The efficacy of this approach has been demonstrated for a number of germanium-based layered materials, namely GeAs, GeS, and GeS₂. For most of the considered layered crystals (with exception of GeS), the oxidation is self-limiting to the outermost layer, which accounts for the ability to controllably reduce the thickness layer by layer. Beyond materials with Ge as a cation, it is reasonable to suppose that the same strategy can be applied to other layered materials. In this regard, it is important to discuss the case of BP. As shown elsewhere, BP is highly sensitive to oxygen, and air exposure leads to oxidation of P to PO⁺−. Similar to GeO₂, phosphorus oxides are also soluble in water; this indicates that our strategy demonstrated here for several Ge-
based layered materials can be applied to BP as well. A similar discussion may be made about other oxygen-sensitive layered materials, whose cation species form surface oxides, for instance GaSe, given that a proper etchant can be found.

In addition, we consider the case of TMDs. Although in most cases they are more stable in air than the layered materials mentioned earlier, a few studies have shown that it is possible to grow a homogeneous oxide film on top of them via, for instance, thermal oxidation, exposure to ozone, or to a focused laser. Also in this case, the oxidation mechanism involves the loss of the chalcogen atoms, and the formation of transition metal oxides, MOₓ with M being the metal ion of the TMDs under consideration. In fact, since chalcogen vacancies are very common in TMDs, oxidation seems to occur more often than previously expected, given that oxygen replacing a chalcogenide vacancy is in most cases an exothermic process. Therefore, it is plausible that if a selective etchant for MOₓ can be found, our strategy could be applied to TMDs as well. We note here that W and Mo suboxides, for instance, can indeed be etched by water. Ultimately, in comparison to the methods reported thus far for controlled thinning of 2D materials, such as Ar⁺ plasma and laser pruning, the strategy proposed here is scalable, self-limiting and highly tunable. As controlling the thickness of 2D materials means controlling their electrical, optical and electronic properties, our findings can provide an important contribution to promoting the integration of 2D materials in a variety of technological fields.

**METHODS**

**Sample Preparation.** GeAs, GeS, and GeS₂ (2D Semiconductors Inc.) flakes were exfoliated using thermal release tape (EPL BT-150EK) onto Si wafer chips with 300 nm oxide layer. After exfoliating the crystals using the tape for multiple times, the flakes-loaded tape was applied onto the Si chip, which was then transferred onto a hot plate. The tape was released from the Si chip after heating at 75 °C for 5 min. The samples were used for experiments without further cleaning. To avoid charging issues while performing the XPS experiments, some SiO₂/Si substrates were coated with an Au film with nominal thickness of 2 nm prior to depositing the flakes via exfoliation. Flakes with appropriate size and thickness for AFM characterization were identified using an optical microscope (Nikon L200ND).

**Oxidation/Etching Process.** The oxidation process was performed in air at room temperature unless otherwise specified. The oxidized layers were removed by immersing the samples in DI water with N₂ bubbling (N₂ purity: 99.999%). Here, we emphasize the necessity of using O₂-depleted water (corrosion of the GeAs surface was observed if using DI water without N₂ bubbling, as shown in Supporting Information Figure S8). To obtain properly deoxygenated water, the N₂ bubbling process was started at least 1 h before immersing the samples. For the experiments with increasing oxidation temperatures, the samples were heated on a hot plate in air.

**Lateral Engineering of GeAs.** The lateral engineering of GeAs was realized using our oxidation/etching method in combination with standard EBL process. First, the Si chips with on top the exfoliated GeAs flakes were spin-coated with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA, dissolved in anisole, 4%). After EBL exposure (LEO SEM system equipped with a hemispherical analyzer using a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (λ = 1486.6 eV)), the measurements were performed on the samples right after the introduction into the vacuum chamber, without any annealing. All reported binding energy (BE) data have been calibrated using the Au 4f½ peak, centered at a BE of 84.0 eV. The intensity of the peaks has been normalized with respect to the intensity of the C 1s signal of adventitious carbon. All core levels have been fitted with Gaussian functions, following removal of a Shirley background. The XRD characterization was performed using a Bruker D8000 diffractometer with Cu Kα (λ = 1.548 Å) radiation in Bragg–Brentano geometry.

**Theoretical Model.** Calculations were performed by density functional theory as implemented in the VASP code. We employed the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) flavor of the GGA exchange correlation functional along with the projector-augmented wave (PAW) potentials. Considering the layered nature of the systems investigated here, we included the zero damping DFT-D3 (Becke–Johnson) method of Grimme to take into account the long-range interactions. Residual forces after optimization were below 0.01 eV/Å. Two supercells were considered as replica of the pristine monolayer (ML) obtained from the bulk optimization of both GeS and GeS₂. In particular, a 1 × 4 × 4 supercell has been considered for GeS (b = 14.71 Å; c = 17.42 Å) and a 2 × 1 × 1 supercell (a = 13.45 Å; b = 16.13 Å) for GeS₂ with a sufficiently thick vacuum (>20 Å) added to avoid spurious interactions among replicas along the nonperiodic direction (a for GeS, c for GeS₂). Moving from the ML supercell, a quadrilayer (4L) has been assembled and optimized to investigate the processes involved in the oxidation of the two systems. Concerning the sampling of the Brillouin zone, we have adopted a 1 × 6 × 4 × 1 Γ-centered mesh for the supercells of GeS and GeS₂, respectively, samplings that ensure an optimal convergence.
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