Metabolic and genetic basis for auxotrophies in Gram-negative species

Seif, Yara; Choudhary, Kumari Sonal; Hefner, Ying; Anand, Amitesh; Yang, Laurence; Palsson, Bernhard O.

Published in: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Link to article, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1910499117

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Metabolic and genetic basis for auxotrophies in Gram-negative species

Yara Seif1, Kumari Sonal Choudhary1, Ying Hefner*, Amitesh Anand*, Laurence Yang* and Bernhard O. Palsson**

1Systems Biology Research Group, Department of Bioengineering, University of California San Diego, CA 92122; 2Department of Chemical Engineering, Queen’s University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada; and 3Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark

Edited by Ralph R. Isberg, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, and approved February 5, 2020 (received for review June 18, 2019)

Auxotrophies constrain the interactions of bacteria with their environment, but are often difficult to identify. Here, we develop an algorithm (AuxoFind) using genome-scale metabolic reconstruction to predict auxotrophies and apply it to a series of available genome sequences of over 1,300 Gram-negative strains. We identify 54 auxotrophs, along with the corresponding metabolic and genetic basis, using a pangeneome approach, and highlight auxotrophies conferring a fitness advantage in vivo. We show that the metabolic basis of auxotrophy is species-dependent and varies with 1) pathway structure, 2) enzyme promiscuity, and 3) network redundancy. Various levels of complexity constitute the genetic basis, including 1) deleterious single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), in-frame indels, and deletions; 2) single/multigene deletion; and 3) movement of mobile genetic elements (including prophages) combined with genomic rearrangements. Fourteen out of 19 predictions agree with experimental evidence, with the remaining cases highlighting shortcomings of sequencing, assembly, annotation, and reconstruction that prevent predictions of auxotrophies. We thus develop a framework to identify the metabolic and genetic basis for auxotrophies in Gram-negatives.

Significance

Nutrient requirements play an important role in host–microbe interactions, and can heavily affect the composition of microbial communities by setting hard constraints on microbe–microbe interactions. The auxotrophic capabilities of strains and their underlying genetic and metabolic basis have rarely been studied on a large scale. This paper presents a computational approach using genome-scale models of metabolism and genomic sequences to predict auxotrophies in over 1,300 Gram-negative strains. Our network-based approach identifies auxotrophs, their nutrient requirements, and the corresponding causal genetic and metabolic basis, making it one of the most comprehensive efforts in large-scale strain-specific auxotrophy prediction.
mathematical problem and developed into a fully fledged algorithm that can be reused across the community. Here, we develop a custom algorithm (AuxoFind) using comparative genomics coupled with metabolic modeling to computationally predict auxotrophies and pinpoint the corresponding genetic basis.

**Results**

**AuxoFind Predicts Auxotrophy from Genomic Sequences Using GEMs.**

As a first step toward determining strain-specific auxotrophy, we collected available curated GEMs for Gram-negative bacteria from the Biochemically, Genetically and Genomically structured genome-scale metabolic network reconstructions (BIGG) database (15, 31, 35–37). We proceeded to download and quality check genomic sequences from the PATRIC database (38), including 408 *Escherichia*, 491 *Salmonella*, 91 *Yersinia*, 142 *Pseudomonas* (39), 267 *Klebsiella*, and 36 *Shigella* sequences (Fig. 1, Dataset S1, and SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods). For each of the six GEMs, one for each genus, we predicted CEGs for aerobic growth on minimal medium. CEGs differ from essentially essential genes in that their absence can be compensated for by the addition of an extracellular nutrient. In other words, if a strain is missing a CEG, it is auxotrophic for one or more nutrients. In contrast, a strain cannot survive without any of its essential genes, regardless of the nutritional background. We then homology mapped all of the modeled genes to other strains within the same genus to identify the strains which are lacking one or more CEG, and developed a custom algorithm (AuxoFind) which predicts nutrient requirements from a list of present and absent metabolic genes using flux balance analysis (SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods) (32). AuxoFind exploits the mechanistic link between enzymatic functions and prototrophy encoded in GEMs, taking into account metabolic and genetic redundancy, and using the genomic background of each strain as input. Applying AuxoFind allows the user the flexibility to choose a growth medium and a biomass objective function to take into full account the strain’s metabolic environment. This, in turn, allows for the analysis of auxotrophies as a result of changing nutrient sources, or biomass requirements. In addition, instead of returning a single solution, AuxoFind can be set to output multiple alternative solutions as well as suboptimal solutions. Applying AuxoFind to the strains collected from PATRIC, we predicted a total of 58 strains to be auxotrophic for at least one nutrient, 4 of which (*Salmonella enterica* serovar Bovismorbificans str. 3114, serovar Enteritidis str. EC20090884, serovar Ouakam, and serovar Paratyphi A strain A73-2) were subsequently selected out [see Experimental Validation of Auxotrophies Highlight Technological Shortcomings at Multiple Levels for filtering criteria through Basic nucleotide Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTn)]. The final results included 11 *Salmonella* strains, 18 *Yersinia* strains, 15 *Escherichia* strains, 5 *Pseudomonas putida*, and 5 *Klebsiella* strains. The predicted auxotrophies in these 54 strains are analyzed in detail below.

**The Majority of Predicted Nutrient Requirements Were Specific.**

We classified the predicted nutrient auxotrophies into two categories: specific and nonspecific. Specific auxotrophies occur when the strain requires a specific nutrient to be added to minimal medium in order to grow, while a strain with a nonspecific auxotrophy can grow when any of a selection of nutrients is added to minimal medium. The requirement for amino acids was found to be predominantly specific (Fig. 2A), while requirements for nucleotides were nonspecific (Fig. 2B). The specificity of amino acid auxotrophy is due to the structure of the metabolic pathways, and the irreversibility of intermediate steps. In contrast, nucleotide biosynthesis can be achieved via multiple routes (including purine and pyrimidine biosynthesis), as well as nucleotide salvage and interconversion. In the latter subsystem, there are multiple redundant pathways, few of which are irreversible, resulting from the promiscuity of participating enzymes. Interestingly, multiple auxotrophies which were predicted across isolates involved nutrients known to be important in host–pathogen interactions, suggesting that these auxotrophies may give selective advantage during host–pathogen interactions. For example, specific auxotrophies for branched chain amino acids (BCAAs: l-isoleucine, l-leucine, and l-valine) were shared across 11 strains, 5 of which were isolated from human samples. Intracellular levels of BCAAs play a critical role in host–pathogen interactions, affecting both pathogenicity and immune activation (40, 41). Similarly, l-tryptophan (*n* = 2) constitutes a resource over which the host and the pathogen compete (42), niacin (*n* = 5) affects the pathogen’s virulence and its detection by the immune system (43), and tetrathionate (*n* = 1) is a gut inflammation by-product which is known to provide a respiratory electron acceptor in *Salmonella* (44). In total, 73% (107 out of 149) of predicted auxotrophies were specific, with some strains having multiple specific and/or nonspecific predicted requirements (Dataset S2). Notably, we observed a
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**Fig. 1.** Workflow chart: Genomes were downloaded from PATRIC (38) and quality controlled based on completeness, number of annotated coding DNA sequences, and percentage of unasigned nucleotide sequences. Manually curated GEMs were queried from BIGG (38), and used to identify CEGs in minimal medium. Each GEM was used across strains of the same genus, except for *P. aeruginosa*, which was used for both *Escherichia* and *Shigella* strains, *un*1411, which was only used for *P. putida*, and *iPAU1129*, which was only used for *P. aeruginosa*. Next, we identified the list of missing metabolic genes in each strain through comparative genomics using genomic sequences as an input. We used AuxoFind to predict auxotrophies and their genetic basis using, as input, the identified list of missing genes. Finally, when a missing gene was linked to a predicted auxotrophy, we verified its absence algorithmically using BLASTn.
specific l-lysine requirement due to the absence of \textit{argD} across 11 \textit{Yersinia pestis} strains, a specific biotin requirement (due to the absence of either \textit{bioAB}, \textit{fabH}, or \textit{fabI}) across 5 \textit{Escherichia coli} strains, multiple amino acid auxotrophies in \textit{Yersinia ruckeri} strains, and an l-leucine requirement in 3 \textit{E. coli} K-12 strains. To determine which strains were closely related, we constructed phylogenetic trees from the nucleotide polymorphism (single-nucleotide polymorphism [SNP]) count from the concatenation of all core genes using rapid core genome multialignment (ParSNP) (45). While the \textit{Y. pestis} l-lysine auxotrophs were not clustered together in a single subclade, the l-leucine \textit{E. coli} auxotrophs, \textit{Y. ruckeri} amino acid auxotrophs, and \textit{E. coli} K-12 strains were (SI Appendix, Figs. S1 and S2). Otherwise, predicted auxotrophs were generally spread across the phylogenetic tree, with many subclades containing only one auxotrophic strain.

\textbf{Amino Acid and Vitamin Auxotrophies Confer a Fitness Advantage In Vivo.} We next sought to identify the effect of metabolic requirements on the fitness of auxotrophic strains in their native environment. We evaluated published fitness profiles for \textit{E. coli} strains UT189 and EC958 and \textit{S. enterica} subsp. \textit{enterica} ser. Typhimurium str. SL1344 mutants across various in vivo and in vitro environments (including cattle, pig and chicken intestine, mouse spleen, human serum, and bladder cell infection model) (46–51). Briefly, fitness profiles are derived through transposon-directed insertion-site sequencing (TRADIS), and a fitness measure is calculated by comparing the number of reads across mutants between the inocula and output samples. We posit that a strain with a disrupted CEG (as defined in \textit{AuxoFind} Predicts Auxotrophy from Genomic Sequences Using GEMs) has an increased fitness, that is, when the gene’s function is dispensable. In this case, it is evident that the mutant’s auxotrophy is at least partially compensated for by a favorable nutritional background. Conversely, loss of function (and therefore nutrient dependence) resulting in reduced fitness indicates an unfavorable environment and/or insufficient access to important metabolites. Nutrient dependence was predicted in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions for each transposon mutant by \textit{AuxoFind}, by knocking out the disrupted gene in silico and simulating for auxotrophy (Datasets S3 and S4). TRADIS yields a fitness measure (log2 fold change) for each mutant, which we filtered for adjusted \textit{P} value smaller than 0.05. A total of 960 measured log2 fold changes passed these thresholds. We considered log2 fold changes in fitness smaller than −1 to be detrimental and those larger than 1 to be beneficial. Only 25 CEGs yielded increased fitness upon disruption in at least one condition, while 70 were detrimental (in \textit{≥}1 conditions) (Fig. 3).

At the gene level, 5 out of 15 in \textit{E. coli} and 6 out of 12 in \textit{S. enterica} of the CEGs whose disruption increased fitness were lost in one or more natural isolates (highlighted in bold in Fig. 3; Datasets S3 and S4).

Of note, the disruption of two out of five and five out of six CEGs in \textit{S. enterica} and \textit{E. coli}, respectively, yielded increased fitness in one condition but decreased fitness in another, suggesting that the conferred fitness advantage is niche-specific. For example, \textit{argH} and \textit{frdD} disruption in \textit{S. enterica} were beneficial in chicken intestine but detrimental in cattle intestine. Additionally, fitness change upon CEG disruption varied across phases of infection. For example, the disruption of \textit{bioH} in \textit{E. coli} was advantageous in the intracellular bacterial communities (IBC) phase but detrimental in later phases (dispersal and postdispersal phase). In contrast, \textit{leuA} disruption yielded decreased fitness in the dispersal phase but increased fitness in the reversal and postreversal phases. Some beneficial nutrient requirements carried over from one stage of infection to the next. For example, l-arginine and l-cysteine auxotrophic mutants exhibited elevated fitness in three consecutive phases including IBC, dispersal, and postdispersal phases. In addition, a thiamin requirement was beneficial in four out of the
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**Fig. 2.** In silico predictions of metabolic nutrient requirements across multiple Gram-negative species. (A) Nutrients for which a specific auxotrophy was predicted in at least one strain. (B) Top 15 metabolites for which a nonspecific auxotrophy was predicted (see Dataset S2 for the full results). Nutrient requirements were predicted for a total of 54 strains across \textit{Escherichia coli}, \textit{Salmonella}, \textit{Klebsiella}, and \textit{Yersinia}, with amino acids auxotrophies appearing with the highest frequency.
five tested phases of infection. Other auxotrophic mutants with increased fitness obtained biotin, 4-aminobenzyol glutamate, L-isoleucine and L-lysine, and L-lysine (49). In an intestinal infection of cattle with S. enterica, auxotrophic mutants with elevated fitness were auxotrophic for nicotinate (nadA), L-histidine (hisBP), L-cysteine (cysC), l-arginine (argBH), l-lysine (leuB), tetrathionate (frdD*, O2-), biotin (bioA) and biotin (bioH*). (Fig. 4A) Strains across all three genera have the capability to synthesize L-tryptophan from chorismate (via trpABCD), while only Salmonella and Escherichia strains are capable of indole transport and utilization, and only Escherichia strains can synthesize L-tryptophan via both nadA and trpAB pathways. As a result, loss of trpCDE confers a nonspecific auxotrophy in Escherichia and Salmonella but a specific auxotrophy in Yersinia, while the loss of trpAB confers a specific auxotrophy in Salmonella and Yersinia but is not conditionally essential in Escherichia. In our dataset, both E. coli str. D6 and Yersinia enterica ser. Newport str. 0307-213 were predicted to require an auxotrophy. For example, a shikimate auxotrophy was predicted for Klebsiella G5, Klebsiella michiganensis str. RC10, and Escherichia fergusonii str. ATCC35469 due to the absence of 3-dehydroquinase dehydratase (aroD). If shikimate is excluded from the set of acceptable suppleements, a requirement for multiple nutrients (including L-tyrosine, L-tryptophan, and L-phenylalanine) is predicted, making the shikimate requirement pseudospecific (Fig. 4D).

Small-Scale Mutations Constitute the Genetic Basis for Auxotrophies in P. aeruginosa and Shigella. Among the species studied, none of the P. aeruginosa or Shigella species in our dataset were predicted to be auxotrophic, despite extensive reports for amino acid auxotrophy across P. aeruginosa strains isolated from cystic fibrosis patients and a predominant niacin auxotrophy in Shigella strains (3, 14). Instead, we found that CEGs were highly conserved. Niche adaptation through small-scale loss-offunction mutations has been observed in strains including P. aeruginosa and Shigella (52–54). This result emphasizes that, in order to study auxotrophy development in host-adapted strains, future efforts should expand our workflow for the prediction of bacterial nutrient requirements (which is currently limited to the identification of genetic lesions at the gene level) to account for smaller-scale deleterious mutations. Here, we do not attempt to predict pseudogenization events, as this would constitute an effort of its own. However, for proof of concept, we demonstrate one such analysis for the well-known case of niacin auxotrophy in Shigella, extending it to all strains in our dataset.

Causal loss of function mutations in nadB (including A28V, D218N, and G74E) and in nadA (including W299X, P291L, C128Y, C113A, C200A, C297A, and A111V) result in a niacin requirement in natural strains of E. coli, Shigella, and S. enterica (14, 43, 55). We searched our dataset for these mutations and found a total of 71 strains carrying at least one of the validated SNPs and/or an indel or deletion of more than 10 amino acids (which are likely to result in protein structural variations and which we assume to be deleterious) (56, 57). The affected species were Shigella flexneri, S. enterica, Shigella sonnei, E. coli, Shigella dysenteriae, Shigella boydii, Yersinia enterocolitica, Y. pestis, and Yersinia rohdeii, and the SNPs found included A111V, C128Y, and P219L (nadA) and A28V and D218N (nadB) (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, subsets of deleterious mutations were restricted to different species. For example, C128Y and A111V mutations were restricted to S. flexneri strains, D218N and P219L were restricted to S. dysenteriae strains, and A28V was restricted to E. coli strains (Dataset S5). While the A111V mutation was initially described in S. enterica serovar Dublin, we only identify it here in strains of S. flexneri (55). Additionally, we observe 16 S. enterica serovar Enteritidis strains to carry large deletions in either nadA or nadB (or both in the case of 5 strains). These results demonstrate that convergent evolution...
may have led to loss of the nicotinate biosynthesis capability across species. That the deleterious mutations were maintained across descendants indicates that a niacin requirement confers a selective advantage, an observation which is also supported in *Amino Acid and Vitamin Auxotrophies Confer a Fitness Advantage In Vivo*.

**Genomic Basis of Auxotrophy.** Next, we proceeded to examine the genomic basis of the auxotrophies predicted from our workflow. To assess the genetic changes at the strain level, we compared the genomic region of each predicted auxotroph with a closely related strain (*SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*). We observed multiple cases in which a missing CEG constituted a part of a larger deleted genomic fragment in which multiple syntenic operons were lost simultaneously. We found that the number of missing syntenic genes surrounding an absent CEG varied from *n* = 1 to *n* = 251 open reading frames (ORFs) averaging 49 genes. *S. enterica* str. U288 was the only strain for which the missing chromosomal region contained only one CEG (hisD) (Fig. 5B). Conversely, *S. enterica* str. 0112-791 was missing two genes. *S. enterica* str. U288 was the only strain for which the missing chromosomal region contained only one CEG (hisD) (Fig. 5B). Conversely, *S. enterica* str. 0112-791 was missing two genes. *S. enterica* str. U288 was the only strain for which the missing chromosomal region contained only one CEG (hisD) (Fig. 5B). Conversely, *S. enterica* str. 0112-791 was missing two genes.

In the remaining instances, the genes which were located at the edges of the missing fragment were separated by multiple ORFs in the auxotroph. Interestingly, these ORFs constituted a prophage in four auxotrophs, suggesting that insertion of viral DNA may have mediated the deletion event (Fig. 5D). In particular, *E. coli* strain C321.DA, which is a genetically recoded organism lacking *bioAB* and *ybhB*, carried enterobacteriaphage *A* (containing 15 genes, predicted by PHAge Search Tool (PHAST) (58)) in the deletion locus. Similarly, *E. coli* strain S50 (isolated from forest soil) carried a prophage sharing three ORFs with phage Stx2 at the locus for 152 missing contiguous genes.

A slightly more complex sequence of events affected *S. enterica* ser. Newport strain 0211-109 which was isolated from a cow with deletion events (Fig. 5C). For example, the deleted region in *E. coli* strains DHB4, C3026, and DHI0B consisted of 21 genes, and the genes upstream and downstream of the deletion endpoints in *E. coli* strain MC4100 (rapA and fnuR) are adjacent in the three auxotrophs. Conversely, *K. pneumoniae* strain L201 is missing a total of 246 contiguous ORFs with respect to strain L491. One deletion edge was located at position 4,943,680, marking the end of the chromosomal GenBank file, while the other was located at position 371, marking the start of the sequence for plasmid p1-L201. We suspect that an assembly error may explain this observation.

In the remaining instances, the genes which were located at the edges of the missing fragment were separated by multiple ORFs in the auxotroph. Interestingly, these ORFs constituted a prophage in four auxotrophs, suggesting that insertion of viral DNA may have mediated the deletion event (Fig. 5D). In particular, *E. coli* strain C321.DA, which is a genetically recoded organism lacking *bioAB* and *ybhB*, carried enterobacteriaphage *A* (containing 15 genes, predicted by PHAge Search Tool (PHAST) (58)) in the deletion locus. Similarly, *E. coli* strain S50 (isolated from forest soil) carried a prophage sharing three ORFs with phage Stx2 at the locus for 152 missing contiguous genes.

A slightly more complex sequence of events affected *S. enterica* ser. Newport strain 0211-109 which was isolated from a cow with
gastroenteritis (Dataset S6 and Fig. 5E). At the locus of deletion (consisting of 252 genes), we found an insertion sequence cluster likely conferring beta-lactam resistance (18 ORFs, containing two copies of Class C beta-lactamase, three copies of small multidrug efflux transporters, and three copies of mobile element proteins). The auxotroph also carried a DNA internalization-related competence protein ComEC/Rec2 (involved in binding and uptake of transforming DNA) directly upstream of the insertion sequence cluster. Notably, the deletion region (spanning genes between pepN and potA) was located immediately downstream of prophage gifsy2 (with 56 ORFs) in a close relative (strain 0112-791), but was relocated elsewhere in strain 0211-109. We hypothesize that genomic rearrangement was mediated by prophages and insertion sequences. (D) Phage insertion and phage-mediated multigene deletion. (E) Multigene deletion coupled with homologous recombination mediated by prophages and insertion sequences. (F) Divergent and ancient evolutionary trajectory across species.

Fig. 5. The genetic basis for nutrient auxotrophy spans various levels of complexity. (A) Niacin auxotrophy due to known loss-of-function mutations in nadA and nadB as well as large in-frame deletions/insertions (>30 amino acids). (B) Single gene deletion of hisD in S. enterica strain T000240. (C) Simple multigene deletion with rejoining of deletion edges. (D) Phage insertion and phage-mediated multigene deletion. (E) Multigene deletion coupled with homologous recombination mediated by prophages and insertion sequences. (F) Divergent and ancient evolutionary trajectory across species.
streamlining is not a predominant phenomenon across the six genera.

**Experimental Validation of Auxotrophies Highlight Technological Shortcomings at Multiple Levels.** We proceeded to validate our predictions experimentally by evaluating growth requirements of the predicted auxotrophs. We were able to obtain six *E. coli* (61–64), three *Yersinia* (65), three *Salmonella* (66, 67), three *Shigella* (68, 69), and one *Klebsiella* (70) strain (Fig. 6). Out of 16 strains that we experimentally tested, 8 (4 *E. coli*, 2 *Y. ruckeri*, 1 *S. flexneri*, and 1 *S. sonnei*) grew only when glucose + M9 media was supplemented with the predicted essential nutrient(s). The confirmed auxotrophies included 1) an L-proline requirement in *E. coli* strain HST04; 2) an L-leucine requirement in *E. coli* strains DBH4 and DH10B; 3) a niacin requirement in *E. coli* strain SF-173, *S. flexneri* strain 2457T, and *S. sonnei* strain 2015C-3794; and 4) an L-valine, l-isoleucine, and l-arginine requirement in *Y. ruckeri* strains YRB and NHV_3758. In addition, we found literature evidence for a biotin requirement in *E. coli* strain C321.ΔA and its two genomically recoded derivatives (CP006698.1, CP010455.1, and CP010456.1) (71). Three predicted auxotrophs could grow neither in minimal medium nor in minimal medium supplemented with the corresponding predicted nutrient. For example, *E. coli* strain RR1 is a predicted L-proline auxotroph, *S. dysenteriae* strain BU53M1 is a predicted niacin auxotroph, and *Y. enterocolitica* strain 159 was predicted to have multiple auxotrophies. However, neither exhibited any growth upon supplementation, suggesting that they may have additional nutrient requirements.

Notably, we tested growth of two *Y. ruckeri* strains on a reduced chemically defined medium. While there is no precedent for such an effort for this species, a chemically defined medium was nonetheless derived for multiple clinical *Y. enterocolitica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic basis</th>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Missing gene/SNPs</th>
<th>Essential nutrients</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Follow-up results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudogenes</strong></td>
<td>E. coli strain SF-173</td>
<td>nadB (A28V)</td>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. flexneri 2a str. 2457T</td>
<td>nadA (A111V)</td>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. sonnei 2015C-3794</td>
<td>nadA (Deletion (bp = 31))</td>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. dysenteriae strain BU53M1</td>
<td>nadA (P219L)</td>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Additional auxotrophies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single gene loss</strong></td>
<td>K. pneumoniae strain KP11</td>
<td>argl,purA</td>
<td>Arginine AND Adenine</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Gene found through PCR primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y. ruckeri strain NHV_3758</td>
<td>argG</td>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y. ruckeri YRB</td>
<td>argG</td>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K. pneumoniae strain KP11</td>
<td>pyr1,pyrB</td>
<td>Orotate CH5N2O4</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Gene found through PCR primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y. ruckeri strain NHV_3758</td>
<td>ilvB, YPO4089</td>
<td>L-Valine AND L-Isoleucine</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y. ruckeri YRB</td>
<td>ilvB, YPO4089</td>
<td>L-Valine AND L-Isoleucine</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partial operon loss</strong></td>
<td>Y. aleskiaeae strain 159</td>
<td>pyF, prsA</td>
<td>Cytosine AND Cytidine AND Deoxyinosine AND NADH and L-Histidine AND NMN AND L-Tryptophan</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Additional auxotrophies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. enterica serovar Enteritidis str. EC20100101</td>
<td>thrB</td>
<td>L-Threonine</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Gene found through PCR primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. enterica serovar Enteritidis str. SA20694177</td>
<td>nadA</td>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Gene found through PCR primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full operon loss</strong></td>
<td>E. coli K-12 strain K-12 C3O26</td>
<td>leuACD</td>
<td>L-Leucine</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. coli K-12 strain K-12 DBH4</td>
<td>leuACD</td>
<td>L-Leucine</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. coli strain K-12 substr. DH10B</td>
<td>leuACD</td>
<td>L-Leucine</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. coli strain HST04</td>
<td>proAB</td>
<td>L-proline</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. coli strain RR1</td>
<td>proAB</td>
<td>L-proline</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Additional auxotrophies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y. aleskiaeae strain 159</td>
<td>tptA,tptB,tptC, tptD,tplE,tplB, ilvN</td>
<td>Uridine AND L-Valine AND L-Isoleucine AND L-Tryptophan AND NMN AND Guanosine AND L-Histidine AND 2'-3'-Cyclic CMP</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Additional auxotrophies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. enterica serovar Bovismorbificans str. 3114 purDH</td>
<td>Hypoxanthine AND Adenosine AND L-Histidine</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>Gene found through blastn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Results of in-house experimental validations for nutrient requirements across 16 Gram-negative strains and outcome of follow-up experiments and analysis for failure cases. Growth curves, PCR primers, and details regarding the list of strains can be found in SI Appendix. An asterisk (*) denotes that these strains couldn't grow upon predicted nutrient supplementation, suggesting that they have additional nutrient requirements. Additionally, we found literature evidence for a biotin requirement in *E. coli* strain C321.ΔA and its two genomically recoded derivatives. Note that one strain may have multiple genetic basis of auxotrophy, for example, *Yersinia* strains (71).
(which included L-methionine, L-glutamate, glycine, and L-histidine) (72), and another for Y. pestis strains (with 12 amino acids, 3 vitamins, and citrate) (73, 74). Our strain-specific models predicted an auxotrophy for six amino acids: L-phenylalanine, L-methionine, L-cysteine, L-arginine, L-valine, and L-proline, with the first three carrying over from the reference reconstruction for Y. pestis strain CO92. However, the supplementation of M9 with all six nutrients alone could not support growth unless R-pantothenate was also added. This result came as a surprise, since both strains seem to carry an intact R-pantothenate biosynthetic pathway. Consequently, we found severe growth limitations to arise in both strains in the absence of L-methionine, R-pantothenate, and L-isoleucine, with intermediate growth obtained in the absence of L-valine, L-cysteine, or L-arginine. These validated modeling predictions confirm that the approach suggested by D’Souza et al. (8) may miss a few cases due to conservative thresholding (SI Appendix, SI Text).

Follow-up analyses and experiments highlighted links between the remaining four erroneous predictions and technological shortcomings at multiple levels, including 1) wrong sequence annotation (which was corrected by running BLASTn directly on the assembly, S. enterica ser. Bovismorbificans strain 3114), 2) localized low sequencing quality (identified by gene-specific primers, K. pneumoniae strain KP11, S. enterica strains EC20100101 and SA20094177), 3) erroneous assemblies (verified through manual analysis of the deletion regions in S. enterica ser. Bovismorbificans strain 3114), 4) truncated assembly with genes missing at the origin of sequencing (e.g., verified through manual analysis of the deletion regions, S. enterica ser. Bovismorbificans strain 3114), and 5) potential reconstruction knowledge gaps (experimental trial and error and intermediate growth observed for Y. ruckeri strains). In particular, while S. enterica strain 3114 had a high-quality assembly, genes that should have been located near the origin of sequencing were absent, and could only be found via BLASTn. As a result of these observations, we subsequently added one quality control check consisting of a search for the missing CEG in the assembly file via BLASTn. The results are shown in Dataset S2.

Discussion

In this study, we devise an algorithm (AuxoFind) which bypasses user-defined thresholds and pathway definitions using reconstructed genome-scale networks of metabolism and L,305 quality controlled/quality assured publicly available complete genomic sequences to 1) computationally predict auxotrophies, 2) identify the corresponding metabolic basis, and 3) explore the underlying genetic basis. We further verify 16 of our predictions experimentally and identify the basis for inconsistencies between predictions and observations.

We predict auxotrophies for several amino acids, nucleotides, and vitamins, distinguishing specific from nonspecific nutrient dependencies. Surprisingly, only 38% of predicted auxotrophies were nonspecific. Nonspecific auxotrophs should have a more relaxed flexibility in their ability to grow across nutritional environments with respect to specific auxotrophs while still relying on external nutrient sources. However, such a view does not take into account the strain’s phylogeny which indicates that the strain’s ancestor was prototrophic, and that auxotrophy likely developed as a result of selection pressure directed toward the utilization of a key nutrient in its immediate niche. Indeed, we predict specific auxotrophies for multiple nutrients previously found to be involved in host–pathogen interactions (including BCAAs, L-tryptophan, niacin, and tetrathionate), or which seem to provide a fitness advantage in various niches in vivo (including L-histidine, L-cysteine/tetrathionate, L-tryptophan, niacin, L-glutamine, L-arginine, and L-leucine). Strikingly, we observe that auxotrophies that are beneficial in one environment are detrimental in another. In addition, while the fitness benefits of some auxotrophies carries over multiple stages of bladder infection (such as L-arginine, L-cysteine, and thiamin), that of others (L-leucine and biotin) varies across stages. We hypothesize that these variations reflect differences in nutritional availability between niches and suggest that the context-specific nutritional background likely plays a role in auxotrophy development.

We found that the metabolic basis (including specificity/nonspecificity and multiplicity) of auxotrophies depends on 1) the entire structure of the metabolic pathway, 2) the promiscuity of a protein’s enzymatic activity, and 3) functional or pathway redundancy, and therefore varied in a strain-specific fashion. CEGs carrying out the same function in two different strains can confer a specific auxotrophy in one species but a nonspecific auxotrophy in the other. Additionally, two CEGs participating in the same biosynthetic pathways confer different simulated specificity upon deletion, depending on the position of alternative pathways with respect to that of the CEG. We therefore suggest that selective pressures for auxotrophy development leading to loss of function may affect paralogs differently across strains and vary across CEGs participating in the same pathway as a function of a strain’s full reactome.

We observed a continuity in the complexity of the genetic basis for auxotrophy, ranging from single nucleotide polymorphism causing a loss of function mutation to large multigene and multioperon deletions coupled with extensive homologous recombination events. Interestingly, the only case of a single gene deletion event affected hisD, a gene which was observed to have the largest number of alleles in a pangenome analysis of E. coli strains (36). There were multiple instances in which the loss of CEGs was likely mediated and/or accompanied by prophage insertion and/or insertion sequence movement across the genome, with one strain losing four CEGs due to the insertion of a cluster of genes conferring beta-lactam resistance. In particular, 6 of the 54 predicted auxotrophs had significantly smaller genomes, suggesting that they are niche adapted; this is indeed the case for both S. enterica serovar Newport strain 0112-791 and serovar Paratyphi A strain 9-65. Overall, auxotrophs arising from large-scale deletions (one or more ORFs) are rare (3.8%) in our dataset. They could perhaps be reversed under the right conditions when their genetic basis constitutes small variations such as SNPs (75). However, major events such as full gene deletion and full operon removal are likely to be more permanent and highly constrain the strain’s colonization space and bacterial social network.

Finally, we experimentally verified our predictions for nutrient requirements in 16 strains and observed that 11 strains were auxotrophic, but that minimal media could support growth of 5 mutants. The latter strains served to highlight technological shortcomings at multiple levels. The challenges behind calling genes/functions absent from a genomic sequence became apparent, and the identification of deletions/missing genes is hampered, even in complete sequences, by 1) uneven sequencing quality across the genome, 2) incorrect genome assembly, and 3) erroneous genome annotation. We observed that pan genome alignment (at the ORF level) of closely related prototrophs can be used to overcome these technological shortcomings and distinguish between true and false positives. Knowledge gaps in amino acid biosynthesis of Y. ruckeri, and the presence of unknown in-frame loss of function mutations affecting three strains, constituted additional sources of inconsistency between in silico predictions and experimental observations. These contradictions generate testable hypotheses for follow-up studies (76).

Altogether, our results constitute the most comprehensive systems biology effort aimed at predicting and understanding nutrient auxotrophies using mechanistic models of
metabolism. The approach developed can be applied to quickly and systematically predict nutrient requirements from genomic sequences.

Materials and Methods
The specific procedure of data collection and quality control, prediction of CEGs, and homologous gene identification is described in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods. The detailed workflow for prediction of nutrient auxotrophy is described in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods. Determination of gene neighborhood and synergy is described in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods. Sixteen strains were tested as a part of this study. The experimental validation methods and conditions are described in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods.

Data Availability. All data generated in this study are included in this published article (and in SI Appendix and Datasets 1–56). AuxiFind is available on Github, with an example notebook. All genomic sequences analyzed in this study are publicly available on PATRIC (38), and accession numbers are available in Dataset 51.
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