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Numerical investigations of PDIs into trapped waves in magnetized plasmas with a non-monotonic density background
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Parametric decay instabilities (PDIs) exciting daughter waves trapped inside a magnetized plasma with a non-monotonic density profile are investigated numerically. The investigation is motivated in particular by observations of low threshold PDI signatures during 2nd harmonic electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) experiments in magnetically confined fusion experiments. We use the particle-in-cell (PIC) code EPOCH to study conversion of a fast X-mode pump wave into a combination of half frequency X-mode and electron Bernstein waves (EBWs), and identify two regimes where PDIs can excite trapped electrostatic waves. Above the 2nd harmonic upper hybrid (UH) density, a PDI known also as a two plasmon decay (TPD) instability excites a pair of UH waves which we locate in frequency and wavenumber space. At lower densities, a PDI known as stimulated Raman scattering may produce one trapped and one returning X-mode daughter wave with a much slower growth rate than the TPD instability. In both cases, we show that the frequency separation of the daughter waves depends on the density in a predictable manner. With little loss from the decay region, the trapped daughter waves become unstable with respect to secondary parametric instabilities (PIs), leading to distinctly different phases of the UH spectrum. Unlike the primary instability, the secondary PIs are shown to depend on ion dynamics. Furthermore, we observe escaping waves near the 3/2 pump frequency resulting from tertiary PIs in agreement with recently proposed backscattering during magnetically confined fusion experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

Parametric instabilities (PIs) are nonlinear wave interaction instabilities of which three-wave interactions form a notable subgroup. These wave interactions occur when a strong pump wave is sent through a nonlinear medium such as a plasma, a fluid 1,2 or a nonlinear optical crystal 3. The pump wave may then decay into or combine with natural modes of the medium to produce other waves. A decay instability is typically referred to as a parametric decay instability (PDI). PDIs obey conservation of frequency and wave vector, leading to selection rules which in an inhomogeneous medium may only be satisfied locally. Early work on PDIs 4-9 in plasmas showed that the pump wave amplitude threshold in an inhomogeneous plasma could be significantly higher than in a homogeneous plasma due to convection of the daughter waves out of the decay region.

Signatures of PDIs are typically observed in plasmas as emission patterns stimulated by externally launched electromagnetic waves. Such stimulated emission spectra have been observed directly in studies of the ionosphere since the early 1980s 10 where high power radio waves were launched from the ground into the ionosphere and the obtained scattering spectra contained peaks shifted from the injected frequency by natural frequencies of the plasma. Since the waves involved in PDIs depend on the plasma parameters in the decay region, the scattering spectra produced by PDIs can be used to diagnose the ionosphere 11. Waves injected into the ionosphere or a laboratory plasma may not produce the observed signatures of PDI directly. Instead, the injected waves might have to undergo several conversion processes before the observed emission spectra appear. PDIs are more likely to occur in certain parts of the plasma, such as the resonant region known as the upper hybrid (UH) layer. Near the UH layer, both X-mode and electron Bernstein waves (EBWs) experience large changes in their wave vectors as well as wave amplification 12, which can facilitate PDIs at reduced pump power thresholds. Unlike X-mode waves, EBWs cannot be injected into a plasma from vacuum but are typically excited through linear conversion of X-mode to the UH layer in O-X-B 13 and X-B 14 conversion schemes. Amplification in the conversion region can lead to PDIs, which have been observed in low temperature laboratory plasmas 15, and the escaping signatures of the PDIs can be taken as an indication that a strong X-mode wave has made it to the UH layer and that linear conversion into EBWs therefore also has occurred 16. In magnetically confined fusion plasmas, signatures of PDIs near the UH layer were first observed in the Versator II tokamak during electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) 17. Later, observations have been made at a number of devices including during ECRH and EBW heating at the Wendelstein 7-AS 18,19 stellarator, the FT-1 20 tokamak, the TCA 21 tokamak, the MAST 22 tokamak, the TJ-K 23 stellarator and the LHD 24 stellarator; and during collective Thomson scattering (CTS) 25-27 at the ASDEX Upgrade tokamak. PDI has also been studied experimentally in other magnetically confined laboratory plasmas such as in tandem mirror machines 28 and linear devices 29,30.

Although wave amplification of X-mode waves at the UH layer may lead to PDIs at a reduced power threshold, the amplification is not a necessary condition for the nonlinear wave interactions to become unstable and there are other effects which may lower the PDI power threshold. In ionospheric
heating experiments, electromagnetic waves launched from the ground can give rise to density striation and excite waves that are trapped inside them. Because there is little convection out of the trapping region, the trapped waves may become unstable to cascades of PDIs which produce new waves escaping back to Earth where they are observed in rich frequency spectra. The build up of large amplitude electrostatic waves in striations has been studied numerically using Vlasov solvers and has been proposed as a means of plasma heating.

In inertial confinement fusion, the high power lasers used to compress fuel pellets may become unstable to a PDI known as a two plasmon decay (TPD) instability near the quarter critical surface where half the laser pump frequency corresponds to the plasma frequency. Because the quarter critical surface is close to the 2nd harmonic ULH layer, the injected wave experiences no significant amplification and is not trapped but the process still becomes unstable due to the high intensity of the lasers. This PDI was also observed experimentally in the 1980s and leads to strong electron heating which in turn deteriorates pellet detonation significantly. Signatures of PDIs have also been observed in tokamaks during 2nd harmonic ECRH where the fundamental ULH layer typically is not found inside the confined plasma and the gyrotron beam therefore is not significantly amplified anywhere. Although modern tokamaks and stellarators have installed multiple gyrotrons for heating, current drive and diagnostcs, each producing 1 MW beams, traditional estimates for PDI power thresholds during 2nd harmonic ECRH suggest a PDI power threshold on the order of 1 GW and thus far exceed what the gyrotrons are capable of delivering. Still, strong scattering was observed during 2nd harmonic ECRH on the TEXTOR tokamak at a much lower ~ 100 kW gyrotron power. The scattering was observed in the presence of a magnetic island and showed modulation at a frequency matching the island rotation. Similar observations have been made on ASDEX Upgrade in the presence of islands and edge localized modes. This has lead to the idea that there is a mechanism that lowers the PDI power threshold in a non-monotonic density profile caused by such structures.

The PDI signatures observed at TEXTOR were peaks shifted in frequency from the gyrotron line by approximately an integer times the lower hybrid (LH) frequency. It was first proposed that the non-monotonic plasma density perturbation caused by the rotating island would allow for ion Bernstein waves (IBWs) near the LH frequency to be trapped inside the island. Theoretical estimates showed that a PDI of the gyrotron into an IBW and a downshifted backscattered wave would have a significantly reduced power threshold whenever the gyrotron passed through the island. The model showed slightly different frequency shifts than what was observed experimentally. Later, more elaborate models were proposed involving an initial TPD instability into two trapped half frequency ULH waves which further decay through cascades of secondary PDIs into an IBW and an increasingly downshifted ULH wave. Secondary daughter waves could then build up and combine into escaping waves in tertiary PDIs, bringing better agreement with the experimental observations to the theoretical models. The models suggest a number of complications to gyrotron operation in non-monotonic plasma density profiles such as a substantial unintentional power deposition into the islands and excitation of potentially damaging escaping waves at different half-integer harmonics of the gyrotron frequency. The non-monotonic density profile can be caused by a number of mechanisms which means that such cascades of PDIs might be common during gyrotron operation across many machines without being noticed simply because no diagnostic is looking for them.

In this article, we use the particle-in-cell (PIC) code EPOCH to study PDIs into one or two trapped ULH waves due to a non-monotonic plasma density profile. Using X-mode and EBW dispersion relations, we investigate two processes: TPD and stimulated Raman scattering, which may produce the trapped waves from an X-mode pump wave. This is the necessary first step of the models explaining the PDI signatures during 2nd harmonic ECRH at tokamaks such as TEXTOR and ASDEX Upgrade. We show that trapped daughter waves of such PDIs can be excited numerically and locate them in frequency and wavenumber space. Examining spectra for different density profiles, we investigate how the frequency of the primary daughter waves depend on them and discuss growth rates for different instabilities. We compare simulations with mobile and immobile ions to confirm that the primary PDIs are independent of ion waves but that the secondary PDIs are not. We look into and confirm numerically that a backscattered wave is generated due to the plasma profile and the trapped waves within it. Lastly, we compare 1D and 2D simulations in terms of their growth rate and show that wave structures, which cannot be excited in 1D, appear in 2D.

II. THEORY OF TPD AND PIC CODES

PDIs can occur whenever a strong pump wave propagates through a medium that has a second order nonlinearity. Conservation of energy and momentum of the waves can be expressed as conservation of frequency, \( f \), and wave vector, \( \mathbf{k} \), leading to the selection rules for the daughter waves

\[
f_0 = f_1 + f_2, \quad \mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2,
\]

where \( f_j \) and \( \mathbf{k}_j \) are the frequency and wave vector of the jth wave, see figure 1. Index 0 refers to the pump wave while 1 and 2 refer to the daughter waves which for the TPD instability will be referred to as the primary daughter waves. The growth rates of the daughter waves depend on the amplitude of the pump wave and parametric decay becomes unstable if the growth rates exceed the losses of the daughter waves in the decay region where the selection rules are satisfied. This means that decay into waves other than natural modes, which are heavily damped, is unlikely to occur. In an inhomogeneous plasma, convection of the daughter waves out of the decay region can lead to significant losses and PDIs may then only become convectively unstable, simply amplifying the daughter waves as they pass through the decay region. Decay into waves that are somehow not lost from the decay region, on
the other hand, have a lowered pump amplitude threshold and the instabilities may become absolute such that the daughter waves grow exponentially in time. Although trapped waves may experience reduced losses from convection, they can still be dampened due to e.g. collisions and diffraction perpendicular to wave propagation.

In a plasma, electromagnetic and electrostatic waves cause mobile charges, i.e. electrons and ions, to be accelerated by the Lorentz force, generating a current. For large wave amplitudes, the induced current is not linear in the wave amplitude which can facilitate nonlinear wave interactions such as PDIs. A large amplitude ECRH beam will pass the 2nd harmonic UH layer before it reaches the 2nd harmonic electron cyclotron resonance. Here, thermally excited half frequency UH waves, more specifically slow X-mode and EBWs, may interact with the gyrotron beam if the selection rules in (1) are satisfied. In a monotonic density region, the primary daughter waves are converted and reflected at the UH layer but are free to propagate towards the plasma core. In a non-monotonic density profile caused by a magnetic island can allow for UH waves to become trapped locally. An ECRH gyrotron beam, aiming for the plasma core, may decay through TPD near the 2nd harmonic UH layer and excite two trapped half frequency waves inside the island. Linear conversion between X-mode and EBWs at the UH layer is the trapping mechanism. In the plasma core, waves can be convected away from the decay region.

For the pump wave, a cold X-mode description will suffice far from the fundamental resonances. The dispersion relation is given by

$$k_0 = \frac{2\pi f_0}{c} \sqrt{1 - \frac{f_{\text{pe}}^2}{f_0^2} - \frac{f_{\text{UH}}^2}{f_0^2}},$$

(2)

where $k_0$ and $f_0$ are the pump wavenumber and frequency respectively, $f_{\text{UH}}^2 = f_{\text{pe}}^2 + f_{\text{c}}^2$ is the UH frequency, $f_{\text{pe}} = q_\sigma n_\sigma/(4\pi^2\epsilon_0 m_\sigma)$ and $f_{\text{c}} = q_\sigma B_0/(2\pi m_\sigma)$ are the plasma and cyclotron frequencies for species $\sigma$, above species $e$ refers to electrons, $q_\sigma, n_\sigma$ and $m_\sigma$ are the charge, density and mass of species $\sigma$ respectively, $c$ is the speed of light, $\epsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, and $B_0$ is the background magnetic field.

The primary daughter waves are either fast X-mode or EBWs, both satisfying the dispersion relation

$$k_j^\pm = \frac{1}{\ell_T} \left[ \frac{S}{2} \pm \frac{S}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi^2 f_j^2 (S^2 - D^2)}{c^2 S^2 \ell_T^2}} \right],$$

(3)
Spectral density

Particles are represented by a smaller number of clouds of computational demands of pushing every single particle around, with a relativistic particle pusher. To cut down on the confied grid, and propagating the particle positions and momenta second order split step routine, updating the electric and magnetic tensor elements as they are called in Stix notation. In the above equation, + refers to an EBW while - refers to X-mode. The two coincide at the UH layer and the X-mode solution becomes the cold X-mode solution when moving away from the UH layer. Typically, \( k^2 \) is real on one side of the UH layer and complex on the other, and as X-mode waves are forward propagating waves and EBWs are backward propagating waves for underdense and moderately overdense plasmas, one wave incident on the UH layer will convert into the other and reflect.

While the present article considers magnetically confined fusion plasmas, TPD may also produce daughter waves in an unmagnetized plasma such as in interstellar confinement fusion. This would instead produce a pair of Langmuir waves when \( 0.2 \leq f_c^2 \leq 0.25 \). However, the wave vector of the Langmuir daughter waves have nonzero components perpendicular to that of the pump\(^{36}\) and therefore need at least two spatial dimensions. TPD into X-mode and EBWs in a magnetized plasma does not require two spatial dimensions which means that it is possible to investigate the mechanism in 1D.

The PIC code we use in this article is EPOCH\(^{33}\). It uses a second order split step routine, updating the electric and magnetic field using discretized Maxwell’s equations over a specified grid, and propagating the particle positions and momenta with a relativistic particle pusher. To cut down on the computational demands of pushing every single particle around, particles are represented by a smaller number of clouds of real particles, also known as pseudo- or superparticles. The clouds are modeled using triangular distribution functions. EPOCH is capable of simulations featuring 1 to 3 spatial dimensions. Regardless of the number of spatial dimensions, all fields have 3 components. The remaining spatial dimensions are assumed homogeneous. PDIs have previously been observed in EPOCH simulations of EBW conversion schemes\(^{35}\) and of CTS experiments\(^{34}\).

III. EXCITATION OF TRAPPED DAUGHTER WAVES

Using EPOCH, 1D simulations are devised to investigate the excitation of trapped primary daughter waves of a TPD instability in a deuterium plasma with non-monotonic density profile. The plasma consists of two species, e for electrons and i for deuterons, both assumed to be at a temperature of \( T_e = T_i = 100 \) eV. We have chosen deuterium, a typical ion species in a magnetically confined fusion plasma such as ASDEX Upgrade, but the choice of ion species is not expected to affect the excitation of primary daughter waves. The ion species affects mainly the secondary PDIs which saturates the primary PDI. The EBWs and IBWs have very high wave numbers for low temperatures so the temperatures are chosen to lower the wavenumbers while being in a range relevant to experiments. Electron and ion temperatures need not be equal. The magnetic field is assumed to be constant over the entire domain and pointing perpendicular to the direction of inhomogeneity. \( B = B_y = 2.4 \) T. A fast X-mode wave is sent from vacuum through a symmetric density bump and out into vacuum again along the \( x \)-axis. Inside the density bump, parameters are chosen such that the pump passes the 2nd harmonic UH layer twice and half frequency UH waves excited through TPD are trapped. We choose the frequency of the injected fast
X-mode pump wave to be the common gyrotron frequency \( f_0 = 140 \text{ GHz} \) used in machines such as TEXTOR, ASDEX Upgrade and Wendelstein 7-X. The primary daughter waves might not both be exactly \( f_0/2 = 70 \text{ GHz} \), so combining equations (1-3), i.e. the selection rules and dispersion relations, and allowing for a daughter frequency mismatch, the possible decays are shown in figure 4. This figure shows that a continuum of X-mode and EBWs around 70 GHz may be excited by TPD. The aim of this article is to validate that decay into trapped waves occur in agreement with the theory that is distilled into figure 4.

The density profile for the first simulation is chosen to be

\[
n(x) = \begin{cases} 
n_0, & |x| \leq \delta \\
n_0 \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\delta}ight), & \delta \leq |x| \leq \delta + \ell \\
0, & \delta + \ell \leq |x| \leq w \end{cases}
\]

where \( n_0(x) = n_i(x) = n(x) \), \( n_0 = 5.1 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3} \) is the maximum density inside a homogeneous region of width \( 2\delta = 10 \text{ mm} \) at the center, \( \ell = 4 \text{ mm} \) is the gradient length on either side of the domain over which the density drops linearly from \( n_0 \) to vacuum, and \( 2w = 20 \text{ mm} \) is the total width of the numerical domain. A 1 MW Gaussian gyrotron beam of width 20 mm corresponds to an intensity of \( I_{\text{pump}} \approx 1 \text{ kW/mm}^2 \) around the center of the beam, which is a more convenient quantity to work with in 1D simulations. The pump is excited as an X-mode wave at the left \( x = -10 \text{ mm} \) boundary and is allowed to flow out of the right \( x = 10 \text{ mm} \) boundary. The pump wave amplitude is ramped up using the amplitude envelope function

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\tau_p} \right), \quad \text{where } \tau_p = 0.2 \text{ ns}
\]

Particles leaving through the boundary are replaced by identical thermal particles entering the same boundary. This setup is run with \( n_x = 1600 \) grid points and a total of \( n_{\text{pair}} = 3 \times 10^4 n_x \) particles. The number of grid points is chosen such that there are 20 points per wavelength of a wave of \( k = 25 \text{ mm}^{-1} \).

Figure 5 shows the density profile along with the wave number for UH waves at half the pump frequency. As is required for wave trapping, the X-mode and EBW lines form a closed loop, meeting at the UH layers, which means that a forward propagating X-mode wave reaching one end of the loop will be converted linearly into a backward propagating EBW and vice versa, preventing either from escaping. Due to the homogeneous center region, waves that satisfy the selection rules for \( n_e = 5.1 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3} \) are expected to have the highest growth rate as they are found in their decay region for longer. This means that a pair of EBWs, 0.04 GHz apart, and a pair of X-mode waves, 0.11 GHz apart, will be dominating the \( k \)- and \( f \)- power spectrum near 70 GHz.

With the simulation parameters described above and a pump intensity of \( I_{\text{pump}} = 1 \text{ kW/mm}^2 \), EPOCH is run. Whilst EBWs are almost entirely longitudinal, the trapped slow X-mode waves also have a transverse component but near the UH layer, they are mostly longitudinal, making the longitudinal component the component of immediate interest. The simulation produces the time evolution of the longitudinal electric field shown in figure 6a. The temporal evolution of the longitudinal electric field in the homogeneous region, i.e. \( |x| \leq 5 \text{ mm} \), can be separated into three distinct phases. Phase A is the starting phase where plasma noise dominates. Phase B starts roughly at \( t \approx 20 \text{ ns} \) where simple wave patterns appear and grow to a level greater than thermally excited longitudinal waves. Finally, phase C starts at about \( t \approx 40 \text{ ns} \) when the excited waves turn into more complicated patterns. The phases are indicated by the blue, green and teal labels and lines in figure 6a. It is noted that the excited waves in phase B seem to stay roughly inside the indicated trapping region of 70 GHz waves but in phase C, waves start propagating further out. The waves in phase B are expected to be the trapped primary daughter waves whereas the escaping waves in phase C could be daughter waves of secondary decay processes and will be referred to as secondary daughter waves. The waves will be discussed in greater detail in the next paragraphs. Other noticeable features of the longitudinal field are the slow waves and fields near the boundaries. The frequency is found to be \( 250 \pm 30 \text{ MHz} \approx f_{\text{ps}} \) for \( x = 7 \text{ mm} \) and \( 2 \text{ ns} < t < 22 \text{ ns} \) and the oscillations are therefore likely to be ion plasma waves from the ions that are able to travel further out than the electrons. These slow waves, however, are independent of the pump wave intensity and are therefore not the focus of this investigation. Inspecting instead the transverse component, little is seen other than the pump wave and this figure is therefore omitted.

Along the \( x = 0 \text{ mm} \) center line, a continuous wavelet transform (CWT) into frequency is applied to the longitudinal electric field and is shown in figure 6b. At the pump frequency, the level is found to be fairly stable until \( t \approx 30 \text{ ns} \). At half the frequency, the level is seen to build up several orders of magnitude until the same \( t \approx 30 \text{ ns} \) after which a correlated slow
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FIG. 6: The longitudinal electric field component resulting from a simulation of the profile shown in figure 5 and a pump intensity of $I_{\text{pump}} = 1$ kW/mm$^2$.

(a) Longitudinal electric field component in time and space. Colored dashed horizontal lines mark the beginning of the phase as labeled above it, used for reference in the text. Dotted vertical purple lines mark the UH layer for 70 GHz UH waves. The slow oscillations outside the homogeneous region are ion waves, see the text.

(b) A CWT of figure 6a at the $x = 0$ mm center line. Dotted horizontal blue lines mark frequencies as labeled above them. Dashed vertical lines indicate phases as shown by corresponding colors in figure 6a.

oscillatory behavior starts at both pump and half pump frequency. This supports the expectation that the waves in phase B of figure 6a are the primary daughter waves, excited by the pump.

Applying fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) to the longitudinal electric field from $x, t$ into $k, f$, waves near the UH frequency throughout the homogeneous region are investigated in the phases A, B and C. In phase A, figure 7a, the thermally excited X and EBW dispersion lines are observed. The dispersion relation in equation (3) are also shown in figure 7a. These thermally excited lines are independent of pump intensity.

FIG. 7: FFTs into $k$- and $f$-space of the longitudinal electric field in the homogeneous region shown in figure 6a during different phases as indicated in the subcaptions. Cyan dotted lines show positive solutions to equation (3). Bold blue letters are labels for nearby peaks mentioned in the text.
In phase B, figure 7b, four strong peaks have appeared along the dispersion lines, all with peaks values at 70.00 ± 0.05 GHz. The peaks are labeled $a$, $a'$, $b$, and $b'$. Referring to figures 4 and 5, TPD in the homogeneous should produce a pair of EBWs at 69.98 GHz and 70.02 GHz which is inside the error of the observed EBW peaks labeled $a$ and $a'$. TPD could also produce a pair of X-mode waves at 69.94 GHz and 70.06 GHz which is just outside the error margin for the peaks labeled $b$ and $b'$ and therefore not in agreement with theory. The X-mode peaks may simply be the EBW daughter waves which have been linearly converted at the UH layers. However, visually inspecting the spectra, the X-mode peaks seem slightly shifted in frequency compared to the EBWs which they should not be if they were simply linearly converted. Perhaps the growth rate of the EBWs is greater and this shifts the observed maxima of the X-mode peaks towards 70 GHz. A better resolution might have revealed separate peaks but the rapid evolution of the electric field sets a limit on the resolution that can be attained in these spectra.

In phase C, figure 7c, the general level along the X and EBW branches has increased such that it is at the same level as the primary daughter waves in phase C which do not appear to have further increased in intensity. This, along with the figures 6, suggests that the TPD daughter waves have exceeded the threshold of secondary wave interactions, saturating the level of the primary daughter waves. The secondary daughter waves at lower frequencies are able to travel further out of the density bump before it meets the UH layers and this agrees well with observations in figure 6a. The secondary wave interactions might be PDIs involving ion modes which ideally produce distinct peaks all along the UH branches. However, due to the low frequency resolution attainable with such rapid evolution of the spectrum, individual peaks are not observed but rather a general lift of the level throughout the dispersion lines can be seen in this figure. We note that the EBW branches no longer follow the dispersion relation based on initial parameters, possibly because the excited waves modify the electron distribution function. Averaging the electron temperature in the homogeneous region as provided by EPOCH does indicate an increase which produces a slightly better fitting dispersion line. Electron heating due to high amplitude UH waves has previously been reported in simulations. Comparing FFTs of the transverse electric field in the outer homogeneous side regions, i.e. for $|x| \geq 9$ mm in figure 5, the transmitted pump intensity drops by about 10% during the primary instability, suggesting that a significant amount of energy might be absorbed in such a non-monotonic density profile.

To support the idea that the waves discussed above are excited through a TPD instability, a simulation at half density is run. The density profile is shown in figure 8a, but $f_0/2 = 70$ GHz X-mode and EBWs now do not propagate in homogeneous region. This is because the UH frequency for this profile is 68.6 GHz in the homogeneous region and it is therefore not possible for the pump to decay through TPD into two trapped UH waves. Looking at figure 4, decay into one trapped UH wave and one escaping electromagnetic wave is possible. This type of parametric decay is also known as stimulated Raman scattering and is expected to have a lower growth rate since one of the daughter waves is convected away from the decay region, similar to Ref. 51. At the pump intensity used for the previous simulations, there were indications of a trapped daughter wave but its growth rate was rather small and would require a very long simulation to be run. Therefore the simulation was run again with the pump intensity increased by a factor of ten to $I_{\text{pump}} = 10 \text{ kW/mm}^2$ and an FFT spectrum at a relatively late 55 ns $< t < 65$ ns is shown in figure 8b. As the density has been decreased, the UH layer...
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(a) Density profile with the red and blue lines being the + and - respectively of equation (3) for \( f_j = f_0/2 = 70 \) GHz. The UH layers are indicated by the vertical dotted lines.

(b) \( k \)- and \( f \)-space for \( I_{\text{pump}} = 1 \) kW/\( \text{mm}^2 \) and \( 25 < t < 35 \) ns like in figure 7b. Bold blue letters are labels for nearby peaks mentioned in the text.

FIG. 9: Density profile and FFT spectrum of the longitudinal electric field for a simulation with a higher density setup further from the 2nd harmonic UH layer, compare with figure 5.

is now found at a lower frequency and the dispersion lines are found lower in the plot compared to the previous simulation. The spectrum shows a peak on the positive slow X-mode branch at 68.45 ± 0.05 GHz, labeled e, and one fast X-mode peak at 71.55 ± 0.05 GHz, labeled e'. From figure 4, decay in the homogeneous center region produces the same types of waves at frequencies 68.47 GHz and 71.53 GHz, which agrees well with observations. We note that the scale in figure 8b is different from figure 7c in spite of a greater pump intensity, confirming that this decay has a much lower growth rate. However, 70 ns is a very short window of time assuming that the non-monotonic density profile is caused by MHD modes.

Raising the density, on the other hand, should still allow for TPD to take place as indicated by figure 9a where the density has been doubled. The resulting spectrum in figure 9b now shows a situation where the UH layer is at higher frequency. Once again, there are two X-mode and two EBW peaks near 70 GHz. This time equations (1-3) predict a pair of EBWs at 69.88 GHz and 70.12 GHz and that the low frequency wave has positive \( k \), which agrees with the observed 69.90 ± 0.05 GHz, \( d \), and 70.10 ± 0.05 GHz, \( d' \), with the lower frequency wave corresponding to positive \( k \). However, the pump may also decay into two X-mode waves at 67.44 GHz and 72.56 GHz, the high frequency one having positive \( k \), which are not observed, possibly due to a lower growth rate. The X-mode peaks that are observed, \( e \) and \( e' \), lie at the same frequencies as the observed EBW peaks, suggesting that these are simply linearly converted from the EBWs.

IV. EFFECT OF IONS ON FURTHER DECAY PROCESSES

The TPD instability involves only electron waves and therefore should not depend on ion motion. The secondary PDIs, which were seen to populate the lower frequency parts of the UH spectrum shown in figure 7c, are expected to be an interaction between UH waves and ion waves, such as LH or IBWs, which gradually downshift the trapped waves. Without ion dynamics, the secondary PDIs therefore should not take place. To confirm this, the simulation presented in figures 5 - 7 is rerun but with the ions taken out of the particle pusher algorithm, making them immobile. As a result, ion waves will not be able to propagate and parametric instabilities involving ion wave dynamics will not be observed. Looking at the temporal and spatial evolution of the longitudinal electric field in figure 10a, the slow oscillations near the boundaries that were seen in figure 6a have now disappeared, confirming that they were ion waves. The TPD daughter waves are still excited as they should since they are three wave interactions between electron waves. The third phase, where other wave interactions come into play and the waves start spreading out, is not seen in this simulation, confirming that it is PDIs involving both electron and ion waves that cause the cascading of energy to lower frequency. Comparing figures 7c with 10b, it is seen that without the ion dynamics, the waves excited from TPD still clearly stand out and their peaks have continued to grow as the saturation mechanism provided by interactions with ion waves is no longer possible.

V. DENSITY PROFILE HAS LITTLE EFFECT ON GROWTH

Up until this point, it has been assumed that TPD was taking place in the homogeneous region because this is where the pump intensity threshold is lowest. In the following, we compare the hard density profile from before to a number of inhomogeneous profiles seen in figure 11 to investigate the effect of the density profile on the decay rate. Furthermore, the size of the trapping region is varied by changing the profile...
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(a) Evolution of the longitudinal electric field for immobile ions in time and space, compare with figure 6a where plasma parameters are the same but ions are mobile.

(b) $k$- and $f$-space for $50 \text{ ns} < t < 60 \text{ ns}$, compare with figure 7c where plasma parameters are the same but ions are mobile.

FIG. 10: Resulting longitudinal electric field from a simulation with immobile ions. Parameters are otherwise identical to those in figure 5.

To see if that has any influence on the temporal evolution of the trapped waves. All the inhomogeneous profiles are on the form $n(x) = \exp(-|x/\ell_n|^\gamma)$ where $\ell_n$ and $\gamma$ are listed in the table I.

The super-Gaussian profile is very similar to the hard profile, being essentially homogeneous in the center. Comparing figures 12a, which is a cropped duplicate of 6a, and 12b, the dynamics are also very similar albeit the TPD waves are confined to a narrower region as the UH layers of $\sim 70 \text{ GHz}$ waves are closer than in the hard profile. For the Gaussian profile in figure 12c, the center can no longer be considered homogeneous over a $70 \text{ GHz}$ X-mode wave but the TPD daughter waves still build up to the same level, on the same time scale and with the same three phases placed roughly at the same times. As the bounce time of the TPD daughter waves is greatly reduced by going from the hard profile to the Gaussian, this suggests that the growth rate of TPD daughter waves inside the density bump is largely independent on the homogeneous region and on the size of the density bump. The TPD theory that is believed to explain the strong scattering during 2nd harmonic ERCH is based on excitation of eigenmodes of the profile which satisfy conditions on the wave vector similar to Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization. A trapped wave should obtain an integer multiple of $2\pi$ phase change as it performs a roundtrip with two conversions in the trapping region to interfere constructively with itself. By choosing a profile such as figure 5, we have made decay into the homogeneous region more favorable, i.e. primary daughter waves which might not be eigenmodes. However, the time evolution of the longitudinal field in the Gaussian profile, where one would expect decay into eigenmodes to dominate, does not show any sign of a reduced growth rate. Finally, for the exponential profile in figure 12d, the peak density does exceed that of the UH layer for $70 \text{ GHz}$ waves but it would appear that the UH layers are so close that the daughter waves are not supported inside density bump and no build up of waves is observed.

FIG. 11: Different density profiles to be compared. The hard profile refers to the simulation based on figure 5. The remaining profiles are of the form $n(x) = \exp(-|x/\ell_n|^\gamma)$ with the relevant parameters shown in table I. Resulting longitudinal fields using an intensity of $I_{\text{pump}} = 1 \text{ kW/mm}^2$ are shown in figure 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\ell_n$ [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Super-Gaussian</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Parameters for the density profiles in figure 11 which are on the form $n(x) \propto \exp(-|x/\ell_n|^\gamma)$. Resulting longitudinal fields using an intensity of $I_{\text{pump}} = 1 \text{ kW/mm}^2$ are shown in figure 12.
VI. PUMP INTENSITY COMPARISON

By scanning the pump intensity of the density profile shown in figure 5, the growth rate of the first TPD daughter waves is investigated. Averaging the square longitudinal electric field over the homogeneous region, the time evolution of the resulting signal is assumed to first be dominated by a background level due to plasma fluctuations followed by a phase where an absolute instability gives rise to an exponential growth. Eventually, other processes become important but only the growth rate of the initial TPD instability is sought so a function of the form $\phi(t) = \langle E_0^2 \rangle + a \exp(2\gamma_{\text{TPD}} t)$ is fitted to the mean squared longitudinal electric field up until it reaches a level of $10^{10} \, (\text{V/m})^2$. Figure 13a shows a number of signals for different pump intensities with $\phi$ fits. As a general feature, the squared mean fields start at a constant level which is seen to vary with pump intensity. An exponential growth takes over next, growing several orders of magnitude. Lastly, the field overshoots a threshold level and saturates at a lower level, arguably because threshold levels of secondary PDIs saturate the growth inside the homogeneous region. The overshoots also depend on pump intensity but they appear to relax, following the same asymptotic curve. Plotting the fitted growth rates against pump intensities in figure 13b, they are found to go as $2\gamma_{\text{TPD}} \propto I_{\text{pump}}^{0.5}$. This agrees with Ref. 50 if the pump is assumed to be of infinite width but finite intensity. A similar approach applied to the low density simulation presented in figure 8, where Raman scattering was observed instead of TPD, gives a growth rate of $\gamma_{\text{TPD}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \, \text{ns}^{-1} = 5.7 \times 10^{-5} \,(2\pi f_0)$ at an increased pump intensity of $I_{\text{pump}} = 10 \, \text{kW/mm}^2$. 

FIG. 12: Evolution of the longitudinal electric field in time and space for four density profiles shown in figure 11. The marked phases refer to the phases observed in figure 6a whilst the purple lines conform to the individual plots, showing the confines of the trapping region for 70 GHz waves.

(a) The hard profile; a cropped duplicate of figure 6a.
(b) The super-Gaussian profile with $\gamma = 4$ and $\ell_n = 7 \, \text{mm}$.
(c) The Gaussian profile with $\gamma = 2$ and $\ell_n = 3 \, \text{mm}$.
(d) The exponential profile with $\gamma = 1$ and $\ell_n = 1 \, \text{mm}$. 

FIG. 13: Growth rates of different pump intensities with $\phi$ fits. As a general feature, the squared mean fields start at a constant level which is seen to vary with pump intensity. An exponential growth takes over next, growing several orders of magnitude. Lastly, the field overshoots a threshold level and saturates at a lower level, arguably because threshold levels of secondary PDIs saturate the growth inside the homogeneous region. The overshoots also depend on pump intensity but they appear to relax, following the same asymptotic curve. Plotting the fitted growth rates against pump intensities in figure 13b, they are found to go as $2\gamma_{\text{TPD}} \propto I_{\text{pump}}^{0.5}$. This agrees with Ref. 50 if the pump is assumed to be of infinite width but finite intensity. A similar approach applied to the low density simulation presented in figure 8, where Raman scattering was observed instead of TPD, gives a growth rate of $\gamma_{\text{TPD}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \, \text{ns}^{-1} = 5.7 \times 10^{-5} \,(2\pi f_0)$ at an increased pump intensity of $I_{\text{pump}} = 10 \, \text{kW/mm}^2$. 

FIG. 12: Evolution of the longitudinal electric field in time and space for four density profiles shown in figure 11. The marked phases refer to the phases observed in figure 6a whilst the purple lines conform to the individual plots, showing the confines of the trapping region for 70 GHz waves.
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(a) Mean squared longitudinal electric field averaged over the homogeneous center of the domain for a number of pump intensities. The plotted curves are averaged over 50 time steps. The dotted black lines are fits of the form $\phi(t) = \langle E_0^2 \rangle + a \exp(2\gamma_{TPD} t)$.

(b) A plot of the fitted growth rates in figure 13a against pump intensity shown with orange crosses. The dotted blue line is the fit indicated above the plot.

FIG. 13: A scan of pump intensity for the profile shown in figure 5. The for each simulation, the growth rate of the primary decay instability is determined and a power law is fitted to the found growth rate plotted against the pump intensity.

VII. ESCAPING WAVES

Once the primary daughter waves have been excited, they can interact with other waves in the plasma. One of the simplest wave interactions to observe is recombination with the pump wave. The resulting wave would be an escaping X-mode wave at approximately $3/2f_0 = 210$ GHz. The possibility of observing the $3f_0/2$ signal due to trapping of TPD daughter waves in a magnetically confined plasma has also been proposed analytically. On one hand, the escaping $3f_0/2$ waves provide a diagnostic opportunity as indications of trapped waves may be observed without having to look near the pump frequency where stray radiation from the gyrotrons can damage sensors. While backscattered waves in the pump frequency range is the result of tertiary PDIs, the $3f_0/2$ waves are the result of just 2 PDIs, both involving the known pump wave, and may therefore provide a more direct indication of trapped UH waves. On the other hand, the escaping waves pose a risk for detectors that happen to be sensitive to the particular frequency range, but might not be taking radiation due to PDIs into account.

(a) A plot of the necessary $k$-match condition for left and right propagating $\sim 210$ GHz waves in the homogeneous region of the profile shown in figure 5. The y-axis shows $\Delta k = k_0 + k_1 - k_{210}$ and $\Delta k = 0$ means that the selection rules are satisfied, this is marked by the horizontal dotted black line and an orange cross shows the intersection with the left propagating blue line.

(b) The $f$-spectrum at the boundaries of figure 5 for $25 \text{ ns} < t < 60 \text{ ns}$ near the $3/2f_0$ frequency. A much higher peak is present on the left boundary, corresponding to back scattering.

FIG. 14: Justification for and observation of $3/2f_0$ frequency backscattering for the profile shown in figure 5 and the simulation shown in figure 6a.
The difference in $k$ for such a process, $\Delta k = k_0 + k_1 - k_{210}$, can be seen in figure 14a for left and right propagating escaping waves as a function of TPD daughter frequency. A match, i.e. $\Delta k = 0$, is only possible for a left propagating wave, escaping back where the pump came from. Figure 14b shows a comparison of the $f$-spectrum near 210 GHz at the boundaries of the domain. Both spectra display similar trends except in the immediate vicinity of 210 GHz where a large peak is present in the spectrum at the left boundary, agreeing with the $k$-match analysis.

VIII. 2D SIMULATIONS

The presented simulations have until now been 1D. Besides the concern that homogeneous regions would lead to excitation of primary daughter waves which were not eigenmodes, PDIs were restricted to the $k$-match condition $|k_0| = |k_1 \pm k_2|$. Through simple geometric arguments, it can be shown that if the inequalities $|k_0| \leq |k_1| + |k_2|$ and $|k_0| \geq ||k_1| - |k_2||$ both are satisfied, it will be possible to find a set of angles in 2D such that $k$-match is possible. This means that TPD can lead to wave propagation at a continuum of angles, perhaps allowing for other decay into eigenmodes to take place in the presence of a homogeneous region. A 2D simulation is devised to investigate if new wave dynamics arise with an additional dimension to propagate in. For this simulation, an initially entirely homogeneous $y$-direction has been added. The domain width along the $y$-direction is $w_y = 1.0375$ mm with $n_y = 83$ grid points and periodic boundary conditions. The domain width along the $y$-direction is chosen such that the slightly shorter 69.98 GHz daughter wave from the simulation outlined in figure 5 can fit 4 wavelengths if it was to propagate parallel to the $y$-direction. This is to avoid strong destructive interference of trapped waves with a nonzero $y$-component of the wave vector. The number of grid points is chosen such that the grid spacing in the $y$-direction is equal to that of the $x$-direction. The direction of the magnetic field is changed to point in the $z$-direction, i.e. $B = B_z$, so that both the $x$- and $y$-directions are perpendicular to the magnetic field. The pump wave has to be transverse so its electric field is now polarized in the $y$-direction. Parameters along the $x$-direction are kept mostly unchanged with the exception of the number superparticles now given by $n_{\text{part}} = 3 \times 10^4 n_x n_y$. For an intensity of $I_{\text{pump}} = 1$ kW/mm$^2$, the growth rate is estimated in the same manner as was discussed in section VI, averaging now not only over $-5 \text{ mm} < x < 5 \text{ mm}$ but also along the entire $y$-axis. Unlike 1D, which used all available data points, the 2D fit is applied to a signal sampled with a time step of 0.05 ns due to the increase in data size but this time step should be sufficient for the targeted time scale. As is seen in figure 15a, allowing waves to propagate at an angle to the pump does not change the growth rate significantly. Figure 15b, however, shows that waves with wave vector components in the $y$-direction are also excited. These waves might not contribute much to the estimated growth rate if they are longitudinal. It is, nevertheless, more challenging to include them in the growth rate as the presence of the pump wave elevates the background level significantly, making the growth of an instability less apparent. As suggested by figure 15a, a more stochastic saturated phase similar to phase C in figure 6a and 7c follows at greater $t$, suggesting that 1D simulations capture the primary and secondary PIs of this 2D simulation to a fair degree.

We note that the 2D simulation presented here was purposely made very similar to the 1D simulations in order to...
better compare what difference it makes to allow for wave propagation in other directions. Future 2D simulations should explore the effect of a dimension parallel to the magnetic field. This should not affect the primary instability much as the implicated waves are transverse and longitudinal, however, secondary daughter waves may benefit from being able to propagate at an oblique angle to the magnetic field. Similarly, pump waves incident at an angle, and finite beam and bump size should be explored. A finite pump beam size might affect growth rates and thresholds of the TPD instability.48–50.

IX. CONCLUSION

Using the PIC code EPOCH, we have demonstrated that an X-mode pump wave can excite half frequency trapped daughter waves through TPD, provided the UH frequency exceeds the daughter wave frequencies. Even when the UH frequency is just below half the pump frequency, stimulated Raman scattering may excite trapped daughter waves, albeit at a much lower growth rate. Trapping implies that the daughter waves can build up and become unstable at low pump intensities as they are not convected out of the decay region. In particular 2nd harmonic X-mode ECRH is at risk of exciting trapped TPD女儿 waves if the gyrotron beam passes a non-monotonic region, which may be due to islands, filaments or other mechanisms.

For the first time, we have numerically validated the recent hypothesis that non-monotonic fusion plasmas may facilitate PDIs at a reduced gyrotron power thresholds using fully kinetic simulations. A comparison of shapes and sizes of density profiles showed unexpectedly that absorption of gyrotron power into trapped waves can occur even in structures smaller than 1 cm with little effect on the growth rate of the primary daughter waves. Furthermore, the addition of a homogeneous region also had little effect on the growth rate. We found that the transmission of the pump intensity dropped by about 10% during the primary instability. For a 1 MW gyrotron, this could potentially account for a significant waste of energy which is deposited in the wrong parts of the plasma. We characterized the growth rate of the primary instability versus pump intensity in 1D and found an approximate square-root dependence. There was no sign of a low pump intensity threshold but this is likely due to the lack of loss mechanisms in 1D such as diffraction of the trapped waves along the magnetic field lines.

Extending to 2D with another dimension perpendicular to the magnetic field did not affect the growth rate significantly. For gyrotron power levels, the simulations show a fast initial TPD growth time scale on the order of 10 ns. The primary instability saturates on the order of 100 ns due to secondary PDIs. The secondary PDIs depend on ion dynamics, coupling to IBWs to populate UH waves at various frequencies.

We have shown that the primary daughter waves are able to recombine with the pump into waves at $\sim 3f_0/2$ which are not trapped but instead travel back where the pump wave came from. This may pose a problem for microwave sensitive equipment not shielded against such waves but could also provide an opportunity to diagnose the trapped waves without having to measure in the frequency range of the pump, where stray radiation from multiple gyrotrons makes the task challenging. The emitted $3f_0/2$ waves are also easier to interpret compared to the signals near the pump frequency since they are the result of direct scattering off the trapped waves.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that TPD of ECRH beams can occur in small scale density perturbation of magnetically confined fusion plasmas at power levels typically applied in present day experiments. This can potentially modify power depositions profiles and hamper microwave diagnostics. In the light of the present article, PIC codes provide a tool to assess such concerns in fusion experiments.
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