Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. VI. *Pleurasiga* Schiller and *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre

Thomsen, Helge Abildhauge; Kamennaya, Nina; Zubkov, Mikhail V.; Østergaard, Jette Buch

Published in:
European Journal of Protistology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125717](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125717)

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Thomsen, H. A., Kamennaya, N., Zubkov, M. V., & Østergaard, J. B. (2020). Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. VI. *Pleurasiga* Schiller and *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre. *European Journal of Protistology*, 75, [125717]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125717>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Journal Pre-proof

Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. VI.
Pleurasiga Schiller and *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre

Helge Abildhauge Thomsen Nina Kamennaya Mikhail V. Zubkov
Jette Buch Østergaard

PII: S0932-4739(20)30047-X

DOI: <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125717>

Reference: EJOP 125717

To appear in: *European Journal of Protistology*

Received Date: 10 January 2020

Revised Date: 4 May 2020

Accepted Date: 15 May 2020

Please cite this article as: Thomsen, H.A., Kamennaya, N., Zubkov, M.V., Østergaard, J.B., Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. VI. *Pleurasiga* Schiller and *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre, *European Journal of Protistology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125717>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

Loricata choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. VI.
Pleurasiga Schiller and *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre

Helge Abildhauge Thomsen^{a,*}, Nina Kamennaya^{b,1}, Mikhail V. Zubkov^{b,2}, Jette Buch Østergaard^c

^aTechnical University of Denmark, National Institute of Aquatic Resources (DTU Aqua), Kemitorvet,
 Bygning 201, DK 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark / hat@aquat.dtu.dk

^bNational Oceanography Centre, Southampton SO14 3ZH, United Kingdom

^cNørrebrogade 52a 5th, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hat@aquat.dtu.dk (H.A. Thomsen)

Present address:

¹The George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 6997801, Israel

²The Scottish Association of Marine Sciences, Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, Argyll, PA37 1QA,
 United Kingdom

Abstract

The loricata choanoflagellate genera *Pleurasiga* and *Parvicorbicula* are taxonomically ambiguous. *Pleurasiga* because of the uncertainty that relates to the true identity of the type species, and *Parvicorbicula* because too many newly described species over time have been dumped here in lack of better options. While all species currently allocated to the genus *Pleurasiga* (with the exception of the type species) are observed in our samples from the global warm water belt, the genus *Parvicorbicula* is represented by just a few and mostly infrequently recorded taxa. Two new species, viz. *Pl. quadrangiella* sp. nov. and *Pl. minutissima* sp. nov., are described here. While the former is closely related to *Pl. echinocostata*, the latter is reminiscent of *Pl. minima*. Core species of *Pleurasiga* and *Parvicorbicula* deviate from the vast majority of loricata choanoflagellates in having both the anterior and the mid-lorica transverse costae located exterior to the longitudinal costae. In *Pl. quadrangiella* there is no mid-lorica transverse costa but rather a small posterior

transverse costa located inside the longitudinal costae. In *Pl. minutissima* the mid-lorica transverse costa has extensive costal strip overlaps which reveal patterns of costal strip junctions that deviate from the norm.

Keywords: Acanthoecida; Loricata choanoflagellates; *Pleurasiga*; *Parvicorbicula*; Warm water seas

Introduction

In an ongoing effort (Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a-e) to provide a first comprehensive overview of warm water loricata choanoflagellate diversity, based on a traditional microscopical approach, we here deal with species of *Pleurasiga* Schiller, 1925, and *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre, 1960. Both of these genera are taxonomically problematic, yet in markedly different ways. While the true identity of the *Pleurasiga* type species (*Pl. orculaeformis* Schiller, 1925) remains an enigma (Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a, d), the *Parvicorbicula* type species (*Pa. socialis* (Meunier, 1910) Deflandre, 1960) is well known (Manton et al. 1976). However, *Parvicorbicula* has over time become flooded with new species added that have seriously blurred the circumscription of the genus. The morphometric approach taken here will not resolve the taxonomical issues, but hopefully through a critical update on some of the previously described species, and also an addition of species new to science, pave the road for a future more definitive investigation, that can build on the species matrix presented here, while also using whatever sampling technique and molecular tool that might be available.

Material and Methods

The material that constitutes the background for this and a series of papers on warm water acanthoecid choanoflagellates was collected over a period of 35 years. The geographic origin of

57 samples is recorded in Fig. 1. See Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a) for information on each of the
 58 collection sites and sampling campaigns.

59 In order to substantiate morphological details highlighted below we have added material from
 60 Danish waters (Fig. 5a; the Sound, collected Sept. 2014 / Fig. 15a, b; western Kattegat, collected 18
 61 March 1976; 0m, 19 PSU, 0.7°C), New Zealand (Fig. 13a; courtesy of Ø. Moestrup, Univ. of
 62 Copenhagen), South Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 13b; collected 26 Oct. 2017; 42° 7.743' S, 30° 25.023' W;
 63 20m, 34.5 PSU, 10.8°C / Fig. 14e; collected 26 Oct. 2017; 42° 1.283' S, 30° 4.167' W, 20m, 34.5 PSU
 64 10.8° C) and South Pacific Ocean (Fig. 13c; collected 13 Jan. 2016; 36° 21.729' S, 132° 40.493' W;
 65 30m, 34.6 PSU, 16.3°C).

66 The general protocol for processing water samples for the light microscope (LM) and
 67 transmission electron microscope (TEM) was according to Moestrup and Thomsen (1980) and
 68 Thomsen (1982). For details on sample processing, preparational issues and microscopes used see
 69 Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a).

70 SEM images of cells from the Sound (Fig. 5a) originate from Au-coated coverslip preparations
 71 that were prepared in accordance with the routine described by Moestrup and Thomsen (1980)
 72 and examined in a FEI Quanta 200 ESEM FEG (property of DTU Cen).

73 SEM micrographs of specimens from the South Atlantic and South Pacific oceans (Fig. 13b, c,
 74 14e) originate from Au/Pd-coated polycarbonate filters with flow-sorted cells prepared as
 75 described by Kamennaya et al. (2018) and imaged with the high-resolution SEM UltraPlus
 76 instrument (Zeiss Gemini) at the Imaging and Analysis Centre of the Natural History Museum in
 77 London, UK.

78 The material examined here is dried, which means that the natural 3-D structures have
 79 collapsed to become 2-D structures leading to an artefactual expansion of in particular the lorica

width. While several structures can still be measured with confidence, e.g. lorica height and the length of spines and pedicels, it does imply that certain values such as lorica diameter, typically at the level of the transverse costa(e), cannot be measured directly but only calculated from measurements of the circumference. This approach has been taken in the species descriptions below.

Efforts are made to make use of a concise terminology when describing lorica features and we follow the standards that have developed in the course of dealing with these organisms; see e.g. Leadbeater (2015; loc. cit. chapter 4 and glossary p. 278) and Thomsen and Buck (1991). Some essential terms are explained in Fig. 2. Notice that while the term ‘mid-lorica transverse costa’ refers to the costa that separates longitudinal costal strips two and three (Fig. 2), the term ‘posterior transverse costa’ is used when referring to a transverse costa (viz. *Pl. quadrangiella*) that separates longitudinal costal strips one and two. Subsamples of species that from a morphological point of view form a fairly well-defined cluster, typically in association with the type species (viz. *Parvicorbicula*) but also occasionally otherwise (viz. *Pleurasiga*) are for the sake of simplicity referred to as a ‘core’ group of species.

The unfortunate existence of an electron microscope specific problem causing negatives to appear horizontally flipped, was discussed in Thomsen and Østergaard (2019d). The evidence in favour of dealing with this problem as a purely technical issue is overwhelming. Scans of the affected negatives have accordingly been flipped horizontally to produce non-reversed images. In the current publication this applies to: Fig. 4a, q; Fig. 5c; Fig. 11a, b, i, q; Fig. 12a-c; Fig. 14h.

Results

Pleurasiga Schiller, 1925

The genus *Pleurasiga* currently comprises only four mutually closely related species (Fig. 3b, d-f) in addition to the enigmatic and only light microscopically studied type species *Pl. orculaeformis* Schiller, 1925 (Fig. 3a). Efforts to recover material from the Adriatic Sea that convincingly mirrors the Schiller type material (Schiller 1925) have been unsuccessful (Leadbeater 1973). However, as previously discussed (Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a, d) there is a striking similarity between *Pl. orculaeformis* and species of *Polyfibula* Manton in Manton and Bremer, 1981, and also to *Campanoecca dilatata* Throndsen, 1974, a species which was described based on light microscopy only (Throndsen 1974). Species of *Pleurasiga* are thus clearly in a standby position to be permanently separated from *Pl. orculaeformis*, and positionally redefined within the loricate choanoflagellate morphospecies matrix.

The *Pleurasiga* lorica is of modest dimensions and constructed from ca. 30 costal strips typically arranged to form two transverse costae of approximately the same size, and seven longitudinal costae. One transverse costa closes the lorica anteriorly, while the second transverse costa (mid-lorica transverse costa) is shifted downwards corresponding to the length of one longitudinal costal strip. It is a characteristic feature of *Pl. minima*, *Pl. reynoldsii*, and *Pl. tricaudata*, that the transverse costae are nearly of the same size, giving the anterior lorica a quasi-cylindrical appearance. The transverse costae are exterior relative to the longitudinal costae. There are 'T-joints' anteriorly and '4-point' abutting joints at the level of the mid-lorica transverse costa. In species of *Pleurasiga* the costal strips comprising the longitudinal costae overlap each other from the posterior forwards. This is in general agreement with standard lorica features as outlined by

Leadbeater (2015). *Pleurasiga echinocostata* is considered a core member of the genus (Leadbeater 2015), despite the fact that it has only a single anteriorly located transverse costa which causes the lorica to be conical in outline. At present only two species, viz. *Pl. minima* and *Pl. reynoldsii* have been sequenced (Nitsche et al. 2017). Not surprisingly they were found to cluster close together. Species of *Pleurasiga* typically possess a flagellum that reaches far out of the lorica. This indicates that the flagellum does not merely serve the purpose of generating flow fields that aid the filtration of food items in a passively floating organism, but rather that species of *Pleurasiga* are true pelagic and actively swimming organisms.

Pleurasiga echinocostata Espeland in Espeland and Throndsen, 1986 (Figs. 3f, 4, 5)

The *P. echinocostata* lorica is constructed from approximately 28 costal strips organized as seven longitudinal costae and a single anteriorly positioned transverse costa (Fig. 4a) where the anterior longitudinal costal strips attach to the inside of costal strips from the transverse ring. The exact number of posterior longitudinal costal strips appears to be variable. The lorica illustrated in Fig. 4a has five costal strips while there are only four in Fig. 5q. An examination of the light micrographs (Fig. 4b-p) also hints at that the number of longitudinal costal strips converging at the posterior lorica end is typically less than seven. However, it remains a possibility that the reduced number of posterior longitudinal costal strips is simply caused by loss of strips during preparation or elsewhere. The conical lorica measures 7.5-10.0 μm in length, while the anterior diameter is 5.0-8.3 μm (Espeland and Throndsen 1986). The warm water specimens examined here (Fig. 4a-l) are slightly larger than those comprising the Norwegian type material (i.e. lorica height: $10.5 \pm 0.64 \mu\text{m}$, range: 9.4-11.7 μm ; transverse costa diameter: $8.5 \pm 0.52 \mu\text{m}$, range: 7.6-9.4 μm ; $n = 28$). However, with reference to morphological details there is complete agreement between the type

material and specimens examined here (e.g. Fig. 4a). More specifically this includes features such as (1) the occurrence of unilateral spines on anterior transverse costal strips, (2) the flattened and slightly forked tip of each anterior longitudinal costa (Fig. 5a, b), which provides structural strength to the positioning of the anterior transverse costal strip ('T'-junctions), and (3) the overlap between the middle and posterior longitudinal costal strips (Fig. 4a, q), bringing about anchor points for the organic membrane that envelopes the protoplast.

In material examined here (Fig. 4a, 5a, b), the spine is always at the right-hand end of a transverse costal strip when viewing the lorica from the outside. This appears to be a shared feature across material sampled from many parts of the world (Leadbeater 1973, loc. cit. Pl. 16e (referred to as *Pleurasiga reynoldsii* aff.); Espeland and Thronsdén 1986, loc. cit. Fig. 32; Booth 1990, loc. cit. Fig. 20; Hoepfner and Haas 1990, loc. cit. Fig. 41; Thomsen et al. 1991, loc. cit. Fig. 35; Hara et al. 1997, loc. cit. Fig. 20; Leadbeater 2015, loc. cit. Fig. 4.64; Thomsen et al. 2016, loc. cit. Fig. 8B). The actual shape of the unilateral costal tip elaboration is that of an asymmetrical fork (Fig. 5a, b) where the subterminal upwardly pointing part of the bifurcation is sharply pointed and more or less perpendicular to the main axis of the costal strip. The other part of the fork, representing the termination of the costal strip, is short and with a rounded tip, and deviates only slightly (25-35°) from the overall curvature of the costal strip. The left-hand end of the transverse costal strip (when viewed from the outside) is obliquely cut off (Fig. 5b) to produce a surface area that snugly fits along the lower terminal fork of the adjacent costal strip.

The region-specific size variability is negligible as appears from Fig. 6 (circular symbols).

Pleurasiga echinocostata is easily recognized from LM (Fig. 4b-l). Even the existence of anterior spines is evident from some micrographs (e.g. Fig. 4d, e).

While examining material in particular from West Australia, an aberrant form of *P. echinocostata* (henceforth referred to as form A) was recognized (Fig. 3g; 4m-p). This form is larger (Fig. 6; square symbols) and has a barrel-shaped, rather than a strictly conical lorica. There are still seven longitudinal costae and a characteristic overlap between the middle and posterior longitudinal costal strips. There is a significant morphological and dimensional similarity between the West Australian material (Fig. 4m-p) and a single specimen observed in samples from the Andaman Sea (Fig. 4q; Fig. 6). Notice that the Andaman Sea specimen (Fig. 4q) has unilateral spines similar to *P. echinocostata* sensu stricto, and that these are also at the right-hand end of the transverse costal strip when viewed from the outside of the lorica.

A spine-less variety (henceforth referred to as form B) of *P. echinocostata* (Fig. 3h; Fig. 5c-e) was observed in samples from the Andaman Sea and the equatorial Pacific Ocean. These specimens all fall within the size ranges (lorica height: 9.5-11.2 μm ; anterior diameter: 7.8-8.2 μm) that are typical for *P. echinocostata* sensu stricto (Fig. 6). In addition to the absence of anterior spines, these deviant specimens also lack the characteristic major overlap between the middle and the posterior longitudinal costal strips, that in *P. echinocostata* sensu stricto produces distinct anchor points to the organic membrane encasing the protoplast. Hara et al. (1997) illustrates (loc. cit. Fig. 21) and comments on the presence in the same Taiwanese water sample of *P. echinocostata* sensu stricto and the spine-less form.

Apart from drawing attention to the two morphologically deviant forms (here labelled form A and B respectively) of *P. echinocostata*, it is premature to taxonomically separating them from *P. echinocostata* sensu stricto. Molecular tools and evidence will obviously be needed to support a more confirmative decision with reference to the phylogenetic relationship between these morphotypes. From a purely morphological point of view it appears likely that the form B, which

differs from *P. echinocostata* sensu stricto with reference to two major characteristics, i.e. the lack of anterior spines and the absence of a major costal strip overlap in the middle part of the lorica, will eventually be singled out as a separate taxon. It is in our opinion more unclear whether the size difference and variability in overall lorica shape, as noticed between *P. echinocostata* sensu stricto and form A, will be sufficient to similarly support the description of a new taxon.

Distribution: *Pleurasiga echinocostata* sensu stricto has previously been recorded from the Adriatic Sea (Leadbeater 1973; as *Pleurasiga reynoldsii* aff); Kilsfjorden, Norway (Espeland and Throndsen 1986); the North Pacific Central Gyre (Hoepfner and Haas 1990); the Subarctic North Pacific (Booth 1990); central Californian waters (Thomsen et al. 1991); the equatorial Pacific Ocean (Vørs et al. 1995); Japanese and Taiwanese coastal waters (Hara et al. 1997); Sydney Harbour, Australia (Tong et al. 1998); north-west of South Georgia, Southern Ocean (Leakey et al. 2002); Danish coastal waters (Thomsen et al. 2016); and the Beagle Channel, S. America (Thomsen, unpublished). The findings reported here are summarized in Table 1. Despite extensive collection work reported from both polar regions (e.g. Thomsen and Østergaard 2017; Thomsen et al. 1997) it is worth pointing out that *Pl. echinocostata* has so far not been recorded at latitudes >60° in either hemisphere.

Pleurasiga quadrangiella sp. nov. (Figs. 3i, j, 7, 8)

Diagnosis: Conical lorica (9-10 µm) comprising seven longitudinal costae and two transverse costae, i.e. an anterior ring (seven costal strips; diam. 7.5-8.5 µm) where 'T-junctions' unite transverse and longitudinal costal strips, and a posterior transverse costa (four costal strips; diam. 3-4 µm) located at the level of the junctions between the middle and posterior longitudinal costal strips. Anterior transverse costal strips are without unilateral spines. The anterior tip of a

longitudinal costa is flattened and slightly biforked. The protoplast is located posteriorly and secured by a membrane suspended from the free posterior tips of the middle layer longitudinal costal strips. The flagellum is conspicuous and reaches far outside the lorica.

Holotype: The specimen illustrated in Fig. 7a of the present work is fixed as holotype (ICZN 1999, Article 73.1.4).

Type locality: Surface water sample collected 14 Sept. 1981 from the pier at the Phuket Marine Biological Center (PMBC), Andaman Sea, Thailand (28°C; 35 PSU).

Etymology: The species-group name is chosen to emphasize the small quadrangular posterior transverse costa; from 'quadrangulus' and '-ella' diminutive.

The main distinguishing feature between *Pl. echinocostata* and *Pl. quadrangiella* is the presence of a posterior transverse costa in the latter.

The posterior transverse costa is in *Pl. quadrangiella* inside the longitudinal costae. This is particularly evident from Fig. 7c, 8a, b. In Fig. 8b an arrow points to costal strip junctions where the longitudinal element is evidently exterior to the transverse costal strips. The arrowhead (Fig. 8b) points to a transverse costal strip that is sandwiched between longitudinal costae. The possibility that the posterior transverse costa is nothing but randomly re-positioned longitudinal costal strips is highly unlikely, considering the regularity in appearance of the costa (Fig. 7c, d), and the fact that the transverse costal strips forming this costa are morphologically differing in e.g. thickness from the neighbouring longitudinal costal strips. There is no evidence supporting that the internal posterior transverse costa of *Pl. quadrangiella* should be homologous with the external mid-lorica transverse costa of other species of *Pleurasiga*. Apart from being internal and external to the longitudinal costae respectively, it can be added that in *Pl. quadrangiella* the transverse costa is at the base of the middle longitudinal costal strip, whereas in other species of

239 *Pleurasiga* the transverse costa is at the level between the upper and middle longitudinal costal
 240 strip. A further difference is that while in other species of *Pleurasiga* the mid-lorica transverse
 241 costa has the same number of costal strips as the anterior transverse costa, it applies to *Pl.*
 242 *quadrangiella* that the posterior transverse costa in this species comprises fewer costal strips.

243 Posterior longitudinal costal strips are markedly reduced in number in *Pl. quadrangiella*. The
 244 lorica illustrated in Fig. 7c has two posterior longitudinal costal strips, while there are three
 245 longitudinal costal strips adjoining posteriorly in Fig. 7a. This becomes obvious only when the
 246 image is digitally manipulated. In the lorica depicted in Fig. 8a, b there is only a single posterior
 247 longitudinal costal strip discernable. In some of the light micrographs (Fig. 7d, g, h) there are no
 248 posterior longitudinal costal strips visible. It thus appears that a noticeable reduction in the
 249 number of posterior longitudinal costal strips is an innate lorica feature in *Pl. quadrangiella* in
 250 parallel with observations discussed above with reference to *Pl. echinocostata*.

251 Recognition of this taxon in the light microscope is easy when examining an empty lorica (Fig.
 252 7d) and possible in most cases also when a protoplast is present (Fig. 7e-i).

253 In addition to the Andaman Sea, Thailand, this species is also observed in samples from the
 254 equatorial Pacific Ocean, and West Australia (Table 1).

255

256 *Pleurasiga minima* Thronsen, 1970 (Figs. 3b, 9, 16)

257 The *Pl. minima* lorica invariably comprises seven longitudinal costae each consisting of three
 258 costal strips. Overlaps between longitudinal costal strip one and two produce distinct anchoring
 259 points (Fig. 9a) for the membrane that envelopes the posteriorly positioned protoplast and part of
 260 the collar. All seven longitudinal costae adjoin posteriorly in an undamaged lorica (see e.g. Fig. 9a,
 261 e, f). There are two transverse costae separated by the tier of anterior longitudinal costal strips. In

the anterior ring the longitudinal costae attach midway along each of the transverse costal strips, forming 'T-joints' (Fig. 9a). Costal strips from the mid-lorica transverse costa join with longitudinal costal strips in '4-point' abutting joints mostly with short overlaps. Both transverse costae are exterior relative to the longitudinal costae (Fig. 9a).

The lorica height is in our material $15.6 \pm 1.4 \mu\text{m}$ (range: 12.1-19.8 μm ; $n = 68$). The diameter of the anterior transverse costa is $12.3 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{m}$ (range: 9.8-15.3 μm ; $n = 68$), and thus marginally smaller than the mid-lorica transverse costa ($12.7 \pm 1.1 \mu\text{m}$; range: 9.5-16.6 μm ; $n = 68$). There are no obvious regional size differences across the specimens examined (Fig. 10a) except for the occurrence of two unusually large specimens from West Australia. The flagellum protrudes far beyond the lorica (Fig. 9c).

The anterior tips of longitudinal costae have bifurcations (Fig. 9j) that greatly enlarges the contact area between adjoining costal strips. Anterior transverse costal strips often have unilateral spines (Fig. 9j) much similar to those described above from *Pl. echinocostata* (Fig. 5a, b). The spine is, as was the case also with reference to *Pl. echinocostata*, located at the right-hand end of a costal strip when viewed from the outside of the lorica (Fig. 9a, j). A literature search confirms the generality of this lorica feature (see e.g. Leadbeater 1973, loc. cit. Pl. 16b (identified as *Pl. reynoldsii* aff.); Booth 1990, loc. cit. Fig. 21 (identified as *Pl. cf. minima*); Tong 1997a, loc. cit. Fig. 5a, d). It is unclear whether the presence (Fig. 9a) or absence (Fig. 16b) of spines on anterior transverse costal strips in specimens of *Pl. minima* is in fact signaling that we are dealing with two separate taxa rather than e.g. temperature induced morphotypes within a single species. Cells with spines are most frequently reported from warm water habitats and virtually absent in *Pl. minima* specimens from high latitude regions (i.e. $> 60^\circ$ North and South).

The recognition of this taxon from light microscopy is straightforward (Fig. 9b-i, k-p).

285

286 **Distribution:** *Pleurasiga minima* has a genuine cosmopolitan distribution being reported in most
 287 loricate choanoflagellate surveys from all biogeographical provinces of the oceans, perhaps with
 288 the exception of brackish water sites, such as the innermost parts of the Baltic Sea with salinities
 289 below 10 PSU (Thomsen, unpublished results).

290

291 *Pleurasiga minutissima* sp. nov. (Figs. 3c, 11-13)

292 **Diagnosis:** Lorica $10.6 \pm 1.3 \mu\text{m}$ long (range: 8.2-13.0 μm ; n = 67) comprising seven longitudinal
 293 and two transverse costae. One costa forms an anterior ring (diam. $8.9 \pm 0.85 \mu\text{m}$; range: 7.3-10.6
 294 μm ; n = 67) where transverse and longitudinal costal strips form 'T-joints'. The mid-lorica
 295 transverse costa is located at the junctions between the anterior and middle longitudinal costal
 296 strips. This ring is smaller ($6.9 \pm 0.85 \mu\text{m}$; range: 5.2-8.8 μm ; n = 67) and with pronounced overlaps
 297 between neighbouring strips. Both transverse costae are located exterior to the longitudinal
 298 costae. The anterior tip of a longitudinal costa is flattened and slightly biforked. Anterior
 299 transverse costal strips are furnished with unilateral spines. The protoplast is located posteriorly
 300 and surrounded by a membranous sheet. The flagellum is 2-3 times longer than the lorica.

301

302 **Holotype:** The specimen illustrated in Fig. 11a of the present work is fixed as holotype (ICZN 1999,
 303 Article 73.1.4).

304 **Type locality:** Water sample (15m depth) collected 24 March 1996 at #31 (9.14.31° N / 97.21.12°
 305 W), Andaman Sea, Thailand. The maximum depth at the sampling site is 200 m.

306 **Etymology:** The species-group name chosen highlights the fact that this species is similar to *Pl.*
 307 *minima*, yet significantly smaller and with a reduced mid-lorica transverse costa diameter.

308 *Pleurasiga minutissima* is on a superficial view much similar to *Pl. minima*. The two species
 309 share basic morphometric features such as the presence of seven longitudinal costae (each costa
 310 comprising three costal strips), and two transverse costae (seven costal strips each) forming an
 311 anterior ring and a second ring located posteriorly at a distance of one longitudinal costal strip.
 312 Transverse costae are in both species exterior to the longitudinal costae. There are in both species
 313 'T-joints' anteriorly and variations on the '4-point' abutting overlap theme posteriorly. Flattened
 314 and biforked anterior tips on longitudinal costae and unilateral spines on anterior transverse
 315 costal strips (right hand end of the strip when viewed from the outside) are further shared
 316 features.

317 The most conspicuous differences between the two species refer to (1) lorica height (Fig. 10c)
 318 where *Pl. minutissima* is distinctly smaller (10.6 μm in *Pl. minutissima* vs. 15.6 μm in *Pl. minima*)
 319 and (2) transverse costae diameter (Fig. 10d). Those of *Pl. minutissima* are generally smaller (8.9
 320 μm vs. 10.3 μm) and also displaying a noticeable mutual size difference, with the mid-lorica
 321 transverse costa being significantly smaller (6.9 μm). In *Pl. minima* the two costae are almost of
 322 the same size, yet with the mid-lorica transverse costa being marginally larger. The *Pl. minima*
 323 lorica chamber is thus almost parallel sided, whereas cone-shaped in *Pl. minutissima*. There are no
 324 obvious regional size differences across the specimens examined (Fig. 10b).

325 The *Pl. minutissima* lorica is sometimes terminated by a posterior spine in the shape of a single
 326 costal strip that protrudes fully or partially beyond the point where the longitudinal costae adjoin
 327 (Fig. 11n-p, 13b). Whereas *Pl. minima* is characterized by a posterior amalgamation of all seven
 328 longitudinal costae there appears to be in *Pl. minutissima* a certain reduction in the number of
 329 posterior longitudinal costal strips (Fig. 11a: 4; Fig. 11b: 5).

330 It has been verified from all TEM and SEM micrographs available to us, that the mid-lorica
 331 transverse costa is exteriorly located relative to the longitudinal costae. It is similarly evident in
 332 undamaged specimens that the flattened and biforked anterior tips of the longitudinal costae
 333 attach to the inside of anterior transverse costal strips.

334 Patterns of costal strip junctions have been intensively discussed by Thomsen and Østergaard
 335 (2019d) referring back to observations summarized by Leadbeater (2015) which lead to the
 336 formulation of rules of lorica assembly of likely universal validity across major parts of the loricate
 337 choanoflagellate species matrix. The lorica assembly model as outlined by Leadbeater (2015) is as
 338 follows when focusing in particular on the mid-lorica transverse costa. In this costa, when seen
 339 from the outer surface and in a clockwise direction the left-hand end of a costal strip overlaps the
 340 right-hand end of the adjacent costal strip. When the junction interacts with a longitudinal costa
 341 the triangle is on the right-hand side of the longitudinal costa. When the transverse costae are
 342 seen from the inner surface of the lorica the respective triangles are located on the left-hand side
 343 of a longitudinal costa. It should be noted that the small triangles referred to are artefactual but
 344 are, nevertheless, very consistent in location.

345 However, when scrutinizing images of *Pl. minutissima* as depicted here (in particular Fig. 11a, b,
 346 12a, 13a-c) it is evident, when seen from the outer surface and in a clockwise direction, that the
 347 left-hand end of a costal strip underlaps the right-hand end of the adjacent strip, and further that
 348 the 'triangles' are located to the left of a longitudinal costa. This deviation in terms of symmetry is
 349 unexpected and obviously in need of further confirmation. When carefully examining some of the
 350 light micrographs of this species (Fig. 11k, l, n; encircled) it is possible to observe costal strip
 351 patterns that support the evidence extracted from e.g. Fig. 11a. The most convincing of these is
 352 Fig. 11n where it appears completely obvious that the costal strip junction encircled is located in

the proximal part of the lorica. The fortunate finding of cells of *Pl. minutissima* examined in a scanning electron microscope (Fig. 13b, c) convincingly corroborates the fact that there is in *Pl. minutissima* a reversal of the costal strip pattern with reference to the mid-lorica transverse costa. While the arrowhead (Fig. 13b) points to a junction in the proximal part of the lorica and thus seen from the outside, the arrows (Fig. 13b) similarly point to junctions seen from the inside of the lorica. We have added (Fig. 13c) a South Pacific specimen of *Pl. minutissima* that occurs immediately next to a specimen of *Cosmoeca ventricosa* form B (Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d). The *Pl. minutissima* specimen displays (Fig. 13c; circles) the exact same variant costal strip pattern as described above, while the *C. ventricosa* specimen (Fig. 13c; squares) adheres to the principles as detailed by Leadbeater (2015). Further evidence can finally be extracted from a New Zealand image (Fig. 13a; courtesy of Dr. Øjvind Moestrup) where costal strip junctions, when observed from the outside, are encircled using a heavy line, while junctions as observed from the inside of the lorica are encircled using a thin line (Fig. 13a). In both cases the patterns are identical to those described above.

It is important to emphasize that the mere identification of the new species from any kind of microscopy is not dependent on that the mid-lorica transverse costal strip pattern is fully resolved. Overall size differences between this species and *Pl. minima* in combination with the much reduced mid-lorica transverse costa diameter in *Pl. minutissima* are sufficient diagnostic features.

It is obvious from any TEM and SEM image of *Pl. minutissima* that this species shares with *Pl. echinocostata*, and *Pl. minima* the fact that the spine is placed at the right-hand end of a transverse costal strip when viewed from outside the lorica.

Pleurasiga minutissima is widespread across the global warm water belt (Table 1) and additionally observed in samples from the Pettaquamscutt river estuary, Rhode Island, USA

(Menezes 2005; loc. cit. Pl. VIII A identified as *Pl. minima*), New Zealand (Fig. 13a), as well as the South Atlantic (Fig. 13b) and South Pacific Oceans (Fig. 13c).

Pleurasiga reynoldsii Throndsen, 1970 (Fig. 3d, 14a-e)

This species was infrequently observed in samples from the warm water habitats visited. The specimens collected are marginally smaller than those from the Norwegian type material (Throndsen 1970). The lorica height in our material ranges from 19.5 to 22.0 μm (type material: 23 μm). It is a characteristic feature of *P. reynoldsii* that the two transverse costae are almost of equal size, but also that the mid-lorica transverse costa is consistently larger than the anterior transverse costa (18.0-19.5 versus 14.5-16.0 μm in our material). Throndsen (1970) simply states that the maximum diameter is 23 μm . *Pleurasiga reynoldsii* is distinguished from *P. minima* based on overall size, the differently sized transverse costae in *P. reynoldsii*, and the amalgamation into pairs of six out of seven longitudinal costae at the posterior lorica end.

Distribution: *Pleurasiga reynoldsii* has a cosmopolitan distribution being previously observed in samples from Bear Island and Nordåsvatnet, Norway (Throndsen 1970, 1974), Resolute Bay, Canada and Disko Bay, Greenland (Manton et al. 1976), Western Baltic Sea, Denmark (Thomsen 1976; Thomsen et al. 2016), Kaikoura, New Zealand (Moestrup 1979), Prydz Bay and Weddell Sea, Antarctica (Marchant 1985; Thomsen and Larsen 1992), central Californian waters, USA (Thomsen et al. 1991), Igloolik, Canada (Daugbjerg and Vørs 1994), Southampton, UK (Tong 1997b), Darwin, Shark Bay and Sydney Harbour, Australia (Lee et al. 2003; Tong 1997a; Tong et al. 1998), St. Lawrence, Canada (Bérard-Therriault et al. 1999), Bering Sea (Sukhanova 2001), NEW, NE Greenland (Thomsen and Østergaard 2017), and Beagle Channel, Patagonia (Thomsen,

398 unpublished results). Here reported from the Gulf of California, the equatorial Pacific Ocean, West
399 Australia and the Sargasso Sea (Table 1).

400

401 *Pleurasiga tricaudata* Booth, 1990 (Fig. 3e, 14e-h)

402 *Pleurasiga tricaudata* is similar to *Pl. minima* in all basic morphometric features. The
403 distinguishing feature is the compound pedicel that consists of typically three costal strips that
404 flare out like a tripod (Fig. 14e, h). The species is easily recognized from LM (Fig. 14f, g). In *Pl.*
405 *tricaudata* the anterior tip of middle layer longitudinal costal strips is also bifurcated (Fig. 14e, h).
406 This also occasionally applies to posterior longitudinal costal strips (Fig. 14h; arrow). Both the
407 anterior and the mid-lorica transverse costa are exterior relative to the longitudinal costae (Fig.
408 14e). There are '4-point' abutting joints at the level of the mid-lorica transverse costae. However,
409 these are slightly more elaborate than just abutting which reveals that the joint pattern is similar
410 to that described above for *Pl. minutissima*. The left-hand end of a transverse costal strip, when
411 viewed in a clockwise direction, clearly underlaps the neighboring strip (Fig. 14e; arrows). The
412 posterior longitudinal costal strips are tapering from the posterior towards the anterior end (Fig.
413 14e). The costal strips forming the compound pedicel are exactly similar to these, yet turned
414 upside down. It is tempting to speculate that the cell, when assembling its lorica, has at its
415 disposal a total of seven posterior longitudinal costal strips, which in this species equals the
416 common denominator for lorica components. The seven costal strips are typically distributed with
417 two or three costal strips entering the compound pedicel, and the remaining four or five costal
418 strips forming the posterior lorica chamber. While the distribution was 3:4 in the type material
419 (Booth 1990), we have encountered mostly specimens with a 2:5 distribution (Fig. 14e, h). Notice

that in Fig. 14e one posterior longitudinal costal strip is doubled. No anterior unilateral spines have so far been reported for *Pl. tricaudata*.

Distribution: Previously recorded from the subarctic Pacific Ocean (Booth 1990), central Californian waters, USA (Thomsen et al. 1991), Sydney Harbour, Australia (Tong et al. 1998), St. Lawrence, Canada (Bérard-Therriault et al. 1999), New Zealand (Moestrup, unpublished results), and Beagle Channel, Patagonia (Thomsen, unpublished results). Here we report findings of *Pl. tricaudata* from the Gulf of California (Table 1).

Parvicorbicula Deflandre, 1960

Within the genus *Parvicorbicula* (replacement name for *Corbicula* Meunier, 1910), the situation is quite the opposite of what applies to *Pleurasiga*. We are here confronted with a well-defined type species, *P. socialis* (Meunier, 1910) Deflandre, 1960 (Fig. 3k), that despite being first described using light microscopy only (Meunier 1910), has been convincingly redefined using electron microscopical techniques (e.g. Buck 1981; Manton et al. 1976; Thomsen 1973). It is additionally possible to select a handful of species of *Parvicorbicula* (i.e. *P. quadricostata* Throndsen, 1970 (Fig. 3l); *P. circularis* Thomsen, 1976 (Fig. 3m); *P. corynocostata* Thomsen, Garrison and Kosman, 1997 (Fig. 3n), and possibly also *P. manubriata* Tong, 1997 (Fig. 3o)) that, from a morphological point of view, form a fairly well-defined cluster (core group of species) in association with the type species. In these species there are 4-10 longitudinal costae and two transverse costae, one of which closes the lorica anteriorly.

The genus additionally comprises (Fig. 3p-x) eight species (i.e. *Pa. pedicellata* Leadbeater, 1973 (Fig. 3p); *Pa. serrulata* Leadbeater in Manton et al., 1975 (Fig. 3q); *Pa. pedunculata* Leadbeater,

1980 (Fig. 3r); *Pa. ongulensis* Takahashi, 1981 (Fig. 3s); *Pa. superpositus* Booth, 1990 (Fig. 3t); *Pa. zigzag* Thomsen in Thomsen et al., 1991 (Fig. 3u); *Pa. pachycostata* Thomsen in Thomsen et al., 1997 (Fig. 3v), and *Pa. aculeatus* Tong, 1997 (Fig. 3x)) that have been placed here in the lack of better choices and also in consideration of not establishing too many monotypic genera while in the process of describing basic loricate choanoflagellate diversity. A redefinition of the genus *Parvicorbicula* focusing in particular on the core group of species will thus leave us with a large contingent of species that will have to be moved elsewhere. Molecular data only exist for *Pa. pedunculata* (Nitsche et al. 2011) and show that this species is phylogenetically far removed from both *Pl. minima* and *Pl. reynoldsii* (Nitsche et al. 2017). It currently clusters with species of *Acanthocorbis* and *Stephanoeca* which is not completely unexpected based on elements of similarity in certain lorica features.

Parvicorbicula zigzag is the only *Parvicorbicula* species that is abundantly present in warm water habitats. This species deviates markedly from the core species of *Parvicorbicula*. It is, however, from a lorica constructional point of view almost identical to *Stephanacantha parvula* Thomsen in Thomsen and Boonruang, 1983. Despite the fact that *Stephanacantha* as presently circumscribed (Thomsen and Boonruang 1983) comprises only species with flattened and elaborate costal strips, it is likely that in a phylogenetic perspective lorica constructional details will be more decisive than the actual elaboration of the individual costal strip. A formal transfer of *Pa. zigzag* to the genus *Stephanacantha* is planned for the next following publication in this series.

Two further species, viz. *Pa. pedicellata* (Fig. 3p) and *Pa. superpositus* (Fig. 3t), were commonly observed but are better dealt with in a later publication that focuses on taxa with free anterior spines.

466 *Parvicorbicula socialis* (Meunier, 1910) Deflandre, 1960 (Fig. 3k, 15a)

467 This is the type species of the choanoflagellate genus *Corbicula* Meunier, 1910. However, due
 468 to the fact that the genus name was preoccupied (*Corbicula* Mergerle, 1811), Deflandre (1960)
 469 later provided a substitute name (*Parvicorbicula* Deflandre, 1960) for the loricate choanoflagellate
 470 taxon.

471 The funnel-shaped lorica comprises 10 longitudinal costae and two transverse costae. Only
 472 solitary specimens were observed.

473 **Distribution:** Very frequently reported at latitudes >40° North and South. *Parvicorbicula socialis*
 474 becomes a community dominant species at high latitudes in both hemispheres and is often
 475 forming huge colonies (Escalera et al. 2019). The number of previous recordings from warm water
 476 habitats are extremely sparse comprising only Lyons, Mediterranean Sea (Pavillard 1917), the
 477 Cape Town region, S. Africa (Manton et al. 1976), and the Red Sea (Thomsen 1978). Here we
 478 report the species from West Australia only (Table 1).

480 *Parvicorbicula circularis* Thomsen, 1976 (Fig. 3m, 15b-e)

481 *Parvicorbicula circularis* is closely related to *Pa. quadricostata* Thomsen, 1970. However, in
 482 this species the mid-lorica transverse costa is square and comprising only four costal strips
 483 (Thomsen 1970). In *Pa. circularis* the mid-lorica transverse costa is circular comprising 6-8
 484 transverse costal strips (Thomsen 1976; Thomsen et al. 1990). The specimens reported on here all
 485 possess two equally large transverse costae (Fig. 15b-e).

486 **Distribution:** Previously recorded from Danish coastal waters (Thomsen 1976; Thomsen et al.
 487 2016): the Baltic Sea proper (Thomsen 1979); Lützw-Holm Bay, Prydz Bay, Davis, King George
 488 Island, Weddell Sea, Antarctica (Buck and Garrison 1988; Chen 1994; Marchant 1985; Marchant

489 and Perrin 1990; Takahashi 1981; Thomsen et al. 1991); the Subarctic North Pacific (Booth 1990);
 490 central Californian waters (Thomsen et al. 1991); Disko Bay, North East Water, Greenland
 491 (Thomsen and Østergaard 2017; Thomsen et al. 1995); the equatorial Pacific Ocean (Vørs et al.
 492 1995); Southampton, UK (Tong 1997b); Newfoundland, Canada (McKenzie et al. 1997); Shark Bay
 493 and Sydney Harbour, Australia (Tong 1997a; Tong et al. 1998); Beagle Channel, Patagonia
 494 (Thomsen, unpublished). Here we report findings of *Pa. circularis* from five out of seven regions
 495 sampled (Table 1).

496 Discussion

497 It is evident from the above that the current taxonomy of both *Pleurasiga* and *Parvicorbicula* is
 498 confused and in need of revision. In an attempt to circumscribe morphologically, and hence also
 499 phylogenetically, well-defined core group species selected from both genera, Leadbeater (2015)
 500 listed the following taxa: *Parvicorbicula socialis*, *Pa. quadricostata*, *Pa. circularis*, *Pa.*
 501 *corynocostata*, *Pleurasiga minima*, *Pl. reynoldsii*, and *Pl. tricaudata* as being distinct from the
 502 majority of other tectiform species based on that they have both the anterior and, more
 503 significantly, the lower transverse costa on the outer surface of the lorica. This cluster of species
 504 shares additional lorica features, i.e. longitudinal costae composed of three costal strips, two
 505 transverse costae (an anterior ring and a second transverse costa at the join between the second
 506 and third longitudinal costal strip), a membrane enveloping the protoplast and suspended from
 507 the free posterior tips of the middle layer longitudinal costal strips, anterior ‘T-junctions’ between
 508 longitudinal and transverse costal strips, and a flattened and slightly biforked termination of the
 509 tip of the anterior longitudinal costal strips. Leadbeater (2015) further adds *Pl. echinocostata* to
 510 the core group of species listed above, despite the absence of a mid-lorica transverse costa in this

511 species, but with reference to a great overall similarity with *Pl. minima*. Also *Pa. corynocostata* and
 512 *Pa. manubriata* are identified as potential members of this clustering despite minor differences in
 513 lorica features.

514 There is little doubt that the grouping of species as suggested by Leadbeater (2015), although
 515 likely with minor modifications, will eventually also be supported by molecular evidence. Moving
 516 all species of *Pleurasiga*, with the exception of the type species *Pl. orculaeformis*, to the genus
 517 *Parvicorbicula* will solve the *Pleurasiga* enigma by leaving this as a monotypic genus. However, a
 518 redefinition of the genus *Parvicorbicula* as indicated above, to accommodate only a small selection
 519 of species from both genera, will as previously pointed out, leave many additional species in
 520 jeopardy. Despite basically agreeing with the view put forward by Leadbeater (2015) we are
 521 inclined to take a conservative approach here while awaiting (1) further morphological analyses of
 522 any single species from the cluster of outskirt species of *Parvicorbicula* (to enable qualified
 523 decisions about their future positioning in the morphospecies matrix), and (2) molecular evidence
 524 that can support the choice of classification scheme.

525 The finding of reversed patterns of costal strip junctions in *Pl. minutissima* sp. nov., when
 526 comparing with the generalized picture as outlined by Leadbeater (2015), is exceptional and
 527 unexpected. We are painfully aware of that we have in the past had to deal with mirror-image
 528 issues in part of the material collected from warm water habitats and examined using different
 529 microscopes (Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d) resulting in a decision to flip images from one
 530 particular microscope horizontally. While this produced images of e.g. *Cosmoeca* that from a
 531 constructional point of view confirmed previous observations, and thus also corroborated the
 532 general picture as outlined by Leadbeater (2015), it did at the same time produce images of *Pl.*
 533 *minutissima* that clearly have a reversed symmetry. Fortunately, we have been able to verify,

534 based on SEM and TEM images from alternative sources (Fig. 13a-c), that this is in fact the general
535 picture in this species. It is tempting to speculate that the reversed pattern is somehow connected
536 to the fact that the mid-lorica transverse costa is exterior relative to the longitudinal costae. Other
537 species that share this feature with *Pl. minutissima*, i.e. the external positioning of both transverse
538 costae, have '4-point' abutting joints at the level of the mid-lorica transverse costa. This means
539 that a transverse costal strip stretches from one longitudinal costa to the next without much
540 overlap, and without leaving many options for a detailed study of any repetitiveness in costal strip
541 joint patterns. From a purely morphological point of view *Pl. minutissima* is much similar to *Pl.*
542 *minima*. A search for images of *Pl. minima* (also from outside the warm water habitats) that would
543 at least allow for a preliminary inter-specific comparison of costal strip patterns at the level of the
544 mid-lorica transverse costa, has resulted in the finding of a few specimens, in which the '4-point'
545 abutting joints are slightly more elaborate than just abutting. The pattern observed is illustrated
546 here based on material from Danish coastal waters (Fig. 16). The enlarged lorica segment (Fig.
547 16a) and the schematic drawing (Fig. 16c), show the lorica joint patterns as seen from both the
548 outside and the inside of the lorica. The mid-lorica transverse costa is obviously external relative
549 to the longitudinal costa, and the left-hand end of a transverse costal strip, when viewed in a
550 clockwise direction, clearly underlaps the neighboring strip and also projects beyond the
551 longitudinal costal strip indicating that this is where the artificial triangle would form in case the
552 costal strip overlaps had been any larger. This is thus basically the same pattern as observed in *Pl.*
553 *minutissima*. It is unclear to us how profound this difference in symmetry of costal strip patterns
554 is, and also what sort of consequences this will have with reference to e.g. our understanding of
555 lorica formation principles and hence also our morphospecies based loricate choanoflagellate

classification schemes. An essential additional question that needs to be addressed is what are the possible relative benefits of a transverse costa being inside or outside the lorica chamber.

Costal strips comprising the longitudinal costae of *Pleurasiga* species overlap each other from the posterior forwards and thus confirms to the general pattern as detailed by Leadbeater (2015). The overlap between longitudinal costal strip one and two is particularly evident in most species and morphotypes (except in *Pl. echinocostata* form B) and provides points of attachment for the membranous sheath that surrounds the protoplast and part of the collar.

A final major point to address here is the finding that a right-hand positioning of the anterior spine on anterior transverse costal strips (when viewed from the outside of the lorica) appears to be a universal feature of species of *Pleurasiga* (here documented for *Pl. echinocostata*, *Pl. minima* and *Pl. minutissima*). A literature search has already confirmed the generality of this lorica feature in *Pl. echinocostata* and *Pl. minima* (see above). Manton et al. (1976; loc. cit. Figs 61, 62) documented that also in *Pl. reynoldsii* the anterior transverse costal strips may occasionally carry minute spines at the right-hand of a costal strip, when viewed from the outside of the lorica.

Author contribution statement

Helge A. Thomsen (HAT) has undertaken a major part of the sampling activities and the subsequent microscopical analyses. HAT is further responsible for compiling and writing the paper. Jette B. Østergaard (JBO) has been much involved in the Andaman Sea and the Pacific Ocean sampling. JBO has also carried out part of the transmission electron microscopical examination of these samples. Nina A. Kamennaya (NAK) and Mikhail V. Zubkov (MVZ) have provided SEM images of *Pl. minutissima* and commented on the drafts of the manuscript.

580 Acknowledgements

581
 582 Crew and scientists on board the research vessels ('Dana', 'Vaedderen', 'Chakratong Tongyia',
 583 'Malcolm Baldrige', 'Sonne', 'Discovery') are acknowledged for good collaborative spirit and for
 584 providing excellent facilities for collecting and processing our samples. Dr. Gunni Ærtebjerg and
 585 Steffen Mariager Pedersen are acknowledged for providing the samples from outside the
 586 Alexandria Harbour. We are grateful to Dr. Sergio Sanudo-Wilhelmy and Kurt Buck for organizing
 587 the trip to Gulf of California. Carol Kosman is warmly acknowledged for her meticulous
 588 contribution to this paper. Thanks are due to Dr. Ø. Moestrup (Univ. of Copenhagen) for letting us
 589 use his New Zealand specimen of *Pl. minutissima*. We thank Drs. Tomasz Goral and Alex Ball from
 590 the Natural History Museum, London for support with the electron microscopy imaging. Thanks
 591 are due to an anonymous reviewer for providing valuable comments on major parts of the
 592 manuscript. Main funding sources, i.e. the Independent Research Fund Denmark, the Carlsberg
 593 Foundation, Danida (Denmark's development cooperation), the Højgaard Foundation, and the
 594 Danish Centre for Marine Research are acknowledged for their generous contributions to our
 595 research. The present work was carried out as part of the Galathea3 expedition under the auspices
 596 of the Danish Expedition Foundation (Galathea3 contribution no. P130), as part of the Sargasso-Eel
 597 2014 Expedition, as part of the UltraPac Expedition on board Research Vessel Sonne (SO-245), and
 598 as part of the Transatlantic cruise on board the Royal Research Ship Discovery (DY084). The
 599 research on the cruises SO-245 and DY084 was supported by the UK Natural Environment
 600 Research Council through Research grant NE/M014363/1.

601 References

602

- 603 Bérard-Therriault, L., Poulin, M., Bossé, L., 1999 Guide d'identification du phytoplancton marin de
604 l'estuaire et du Golfe du Saint-Laurent incluant également certains protozoaires. Publ. spec. sci.
605 halieut. Aquat. 128, 1-387.
- 606 Booth, B.C., 1990. Choanoflagellates from the subarctic North Pacific Ocean, with description of
607 two new species. Can. J. Zool. 68, 2393-2402.
- 608 Buck, K., 1981. A study of choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae) from the Weddell Sea, including a
609 description of *Diaphanoeca multiannulata* n. sp. J. Protozool. 28, 47-54.
- 610 Buck, K.R., Garrison, D.L., 1988. Distribution and abundance of choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae)
611 across the ice-edge zone in the Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Marine Biol. 98, 263-269.
- 612 Chen, B., 1994. Distribution and abundance of choanoflagellates in Great-Wall Bay, King George
613 Island, Antarctica in austral summer. Proc. NIPR Symp. Polar Biol. 7, 32-42.
- 614 Daugbjerg, N., Vørs, N., 1994. Preliminary results from a small scale survey of marine protists from
615 Northern Foxe basin in the vicinity of Igloolik Island June 1992. In: Sørensen, B., Jensen, D.,
616 Schurmann, H., Steffensen, J.F., Curtis, M.A., Vørs, N., Daugbjerg, N., Bushnell, P. (Eds.)
617 Research on Arctic biology Igloolik Northwest territories Canada. University of Copenhagen.
- 618 Deflandre, G., 1960. Sur la présence de *Parvicorbicula* n. g. *socialis* (Meunier) dans le plankton de
619 l'Antarctique (Terre Adélie). Revue Algologique, N.S., 5, 183-188,
- 620 Escalera, L., Mangoni, O., Bolinesi, F., Saggiomo, M., 2019. Austral summer bloom of loricate
621 choanoflagellates in the Central Ross Sea Polynya. J. Euk. Microbiol. 66, 849-852.
- 622 Espeland, G., Throndsen, J., 1986. Flagellates from Kilsfjorden, southern Norway, with description
623 of two new species of Choanoflagellida. Sarsia 71, 209-226.

- 624 Hara, S., Sheu, J., Chen, Y.L., Takahashi, E., 1997. Choanoflagellates (Sarcomastigophora, Protozoa)
 625 from the coastal waters of Taiwan and Japan (II): Species composition and biogeography. Zool.
 626 Stud. 36, 98-110.
- 627 Hoepffner, H., Haas, L.W., 1990. Electron microscopy of nanoplankton from the North Pacific
 628 central gyre. J. Phycol. 26, 421-439.
- 629 ICZN 1999. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Fourth Edition: The International Trust
 630 for Zoological Nomenclature, London, UK, 306 pp.
- 631 Kamennaya, N.A., Kennaway, G., Fuchs, B.M., Zubkov, M.V., 2018. "Pomacytosis" – Semi-
 632 extracellular phagocytosis of cyanobacteria by the smallest marine algae. PLOS Biol. 16,
 633 e2003502, doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2003502.
- 634 Leadbeater, B.S.C., 1973. External morphology of some marine choanoflagellates from the coast of
 635 Jugoslavia. Arch. Protistenk. 115, 234-252.
- 636 Leadbeater, B.S.C., 2015. The Choanoflagellates: Evolution, biology and ecology. Cambridge
 637 University Press.
- 638 Leahey, R.J.G., Leadbeater, B.S.C., Mitchell, E., McCready, S.M.M., Murray, A.W.A., 2002. The
 639 abundance and biomass of choanoflagellates and other nanoflagellates in waters of contrasting
 640 temperature to the north-west of South Georgia in the Southern Ocean. Eur. J. Protistol. 38,
 641 333-350.
- 642 Lee, W.J., Brandt, S.M., Vørs, N., Patterson, D.J., 2003. Darwin's heterotrophic flagellates. Ophelia
 643 57, 63-98.
- 644 Manton, I., Sutherland, J., Leadbeater, B.S.C., 1976. Further observations on the fine structure of
 645 marine collared flagellates (Choanoflagellata) from arctic Canada and west Greenland: species
 646 of *Parvicorbicula* and *Pleurasiga*. Can. J. Bot. 54, 1932-1955.

- 647 Marchant, H.J. 1985. Choanoflagellates in the Antarctic marine food chain. In: Siegfried, W.R.,
 648 Condry, P.R., Laws, R.M. (Eds.), Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs. Springer-Verlag Berlin
 649 Heidelberg, pp. 271-276.
- 650 Marchant, H.J., Perrin, R., 1990. Seasonal variation in abundance and species composition of
 651 choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae) at Antarctic coastal sites. Polar Biol. 10, 499-505.
- 652 McKenzie, C.H., Deibel, D., Thompson, R.J., MacDonald, B.A., Penney, R.W., 1997. Distribution and
 653 abundance of choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae) in the coastal cold ocean of Newfoundland,
 654 Canada. Mar. Biol. 129, 407-416.
- 655 Menezes, S., 2005. Nanoplankton biodiversity in the Pettaquamscutt river estuary, Rhode Island,
 656 U.S.A. PhD thesis, Univ. Rhode Island, 319pp.
- 657 Meunier, A., 1910. Mikroplankton des Mers de Barents et de Kara. Duc d'Orleans: Campagne
 658 Arctique de 1907. Bruxelles.
- 659 Moestrup, Ø., 1979. Identification by electron microscopy of marine nanoplankton from New
 660 Zealand, including the description of four new species. New Zeal. J. Bot. 17, 61-95.
- 661 Moestrup, Ø., Thomsen, H.A., 1980. Preparation of shadow-cast whole mounts. In: Gantt, E. (Ed.),
 662 Handbook of Phycological methods. Vol. III. pp. 385-390 (Cambridge).
- 663 Nitsche, F., Carr, M., Arndt, H., Leadbeater, B.S.C., 2011. Higher level taxonomy and molecular
 664 phylogenetics of the Choanoflagellata. J. Eukaryotic Microbiol. 58, 452-462.
- 665 Nitsche, F., Thomsen, H.A., Richter, D.J., 2017. Bridging the gap between morphological species
 666 and molecular barcodes – exemplified by loricate choanoflagellates. Eur. J. Protistol. 57, 26-37.
- 667 Pavillard, M.J., 1917. Protistes nouveaux ou peu connus du plankton mediterraneen. C.R. Hebd.
 668 Seanc. Acad. Sci. Paris 164, 925-928.

- 669 Schiller, J., 1925. Die planktonischen Vegetationen des Adriatischen Meeres. B. Chrysomonadina,
 670 Heterokontae, Cryptomonadina, Eugleninae, Volvocales. I. Systematischer Teil. Arch.
 671 Protistenk. 53, 59-123.
- 672 Sukhanova, I.N., 2001. Choanoflagellida on the southeastern Bering sea shelf. Oceanology 41, 227-
 673 231.
- 674 Takahashi, E., 1981. Loricata and scale-bearing protists from Lützow-Holm Bay, Antarctica I.
 675 Species of the Acanthoecidae and the Centrohelida found at a site selected on the fast ice.
 676 Antarctic Record 73, 1-22.
- 677 Thomsen, H.A., 1973. Studies on marine choanoflagellates I. Silicified choanoflagellates of the
 678 Isefjord (Denmark). Ophelia 12, 1-26.
- 679 Thomsen, H.A., 1976. Studies on marine choanoflagellates. II. Fine structural observations on
 680 some silicified choanoflagellates from the Isefjord (Denmark), including the description of two
 681 new species. Norw. J. Bot. 23, 33-51.
- 682 Thomsen, H.A., 1978. Nanoplankton from the Gulf of Elat (= Gulf of Aquaba), with particular
 683 emphasis on the choanoflagellates. Isr. J. Zool. 27, 34-44.
- 684 Thomsen, H.A., 1979. Electron microscopical observations on brackish-water nanoplankton from
 685 the Tvärminne area, S.W. Coast of Finland. Acta Bot. Fenn. 110, 11-37.
- 686 Thomsen, H.A., 1982. Planktonic choanoflagellates from Disko Bugt, West Greenland, with a
 687 survey of the marine nanoplankton of the area. Meddr. Grønland, Bioscience 8, 1-35.
- 688 Thomsen, H.A., Boonruang, P., 1983. A microscopical study of marine collared flagellates
 689 (Choanoflagellida) from the Andaman Sea, SW Thailand: Species of *Stephanacantha* gen. nov.
 690 and *Platypleura* gen. nov. Protistologica 19, 193-214.

- 691 Thomsen, H.A., Buck, K.R., 1991. Choanoflagellate diversity with particular emphasis on the Acan-
 692 thoecidae. In: Patterson, D.J., Larsen, J. (Eds.), Free-living heterotrophic flagellates. Clarendon
 693 Press, Oxford, pp. 259-284.
- 694 Thomsen, H.A., Larsen, J., 1992. Loricata choanoflagellates of the Southern Ocean with new
 695 observations on cell division in *Bicosta spinifera* (Thronksen, 1970) from Antarctica and *Saroeca*
 696 *attenuata* Thomsen, 1979, from the Baltic Sea. Polar Biol. 12, 53-63.
- 697 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2017. Acanthoecid choanoflagellates from the Atlantic Arctic
 698 region – a baseline study. Heliyon 3 (2017) e00345 doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2017. e00345
- 699 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019a. Loricata choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm
 700 water seas. I. *Conioeca* gen. nov. and *Nannoeca* Thomsen. Eur. J. Protistol. 67, 77-88.
- 701 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019b. Loricata choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm
 702 water seas. II. *Bicosta*, *Apheloecion*, *Campyloacantha* and *Saroeca*. Eur. J. Protistol. 67, 114-131.
- 703 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019c. Loricata choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm
 704 water seas. III. *Acanthocorbis* Hara and *Takahashi* and *Stephanoeca* Ellis. Eur. J. Protistol. 69,
 705 52-69.
- 706 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019d. Loricata choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm
 707 water seas. IV. *Cosmoeca* Thomsen. Eur. J. Protistol. 71, article 125632.
- 708 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019e. Loricata choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm
 709 water seas. V. *Thomsenella* Özdikmen (= *Platypleura* Thomsen). Eur. J. Protistol. 71, article
 710 125633.
- 711 Thomsen, H.A., Buck, K.R., Coale, S.L., Garrison, D.L., Gowing, M.M., 1990. Loricata
 712 choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae, Choanoflagellida) from the Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Zool.
 713 Scr. 19, 367-387.

- 714 Thomsen, H.A., Buck, K.R., Chavez, F.P., 1991. Choanoflagellates of the central California waters:
715 Taxonomy, morphology and species assemblages. *Ophelia* 33, 131-164.
- 716 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., Hansen, L.E., 1995. Loricata choanoflagellates from West
717 Greenland (August 1988) including the description of *Spinoeca buckii* gen. et sp. nov. *Eur. J.*
718 *Protistol.* 31, 38-44.
- 719 Thomsen, H.A., Garrison, D.L., Kosman, C., 1997. Choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae,
720 Choanoflagellida) from the Weddell Sea, Antarctica, taxonomy and community structure with
721 particular emphasis on the ice biota; with preliminary remarks on choanoflagellates from Arctic
722 sea ice (Northeast Water Polynya, Greenland). *Arch. f. Protistenk.* 148, 77-114.
- 723 Thomsen, H.A., Nitsche, F., Richter, D.J., 2016. Seasonal occurrence of loricate choanoflagellates in
724 Danish inner waters. *Protist* 167, 622-638.
- 725 Throndsen, J., 1970. Marine planktonic Acanthoecaceans (Craspedophyceae) from Arctic waters.
726 *Nytt Mag. Bot.* 17, 103-111.
- 727 Throndsen, J., 1974. Planktonic choanoflagellates from North Atlantic waters. *Sarsia* 56, 95-122.
- 728 Tong, S.M., 1997a. Heterotrophic flagellates from the water column in Shark Bay, Western
729 Australia. *Mar. Biol.* 128, 517-536.
- 730 Tong, S.M., 1997b. Choanoflagellates in Southampton Water including the description of three
731 new species. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.* 77, 929-958.
- 732 Tong, S.M., Nygaard, K., Bernard, C., Vørs, N., Patterson, D.J., 1998. Heterotrophic flagellates from
733 the water column in Port Jackson, Sydney, Australia. *Eur. J. Protistol.* 34, 162-194.
- 734 Vørs, N., Buck, K.R., Chavez, F.P., Eikrem, W., Hansen, L.E., Østergaard, J.B., Thomsen, H.A., 1995.
735 Nanoplankton of the equatorial Pacific with emphasis on the heterotrophic protists. *Deep-Sea*
736 *Research* 42, 585-602.

Legends

Fig. 1. Map showing the approximate sampling sites for material reported here and MODIS sea surface temperatures (2003-2011 average). A circular dot refers to a single spot sampling, while a line or square indicates that samples were collected along extended transects (for further information see the materials and methods section in Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a)).

Fig. 2. *Pleurasiga minima* TEM whole mount labelled to introduce loricate choanoflagellate terminology. Micrograph from central Californian waters (RV 'Point Sur' cruise; see Thomsen et al. 1991).

Fig. 3.a-x. Drawings to approximate scale of *Pleurasiga* and *Parvicorbicula* species. **(a)** *Pleurasiga orculaeformis* (type species); **(b)** *Pl. minima*; **(c)** *Pl. minutissima* sp. nov.; **(d)** *Pl. reynoldsii*; **(e)** *Pl. tricaudata*; **(f)** *Pl. echinocostata*; **(g)** *Pl. echinocostata* form A; **(h)** *Pl. echinocostata* form B; **(i, j)** *Pl. quadrangiella* sp. nov.; **(k)** *Pa. socialis* (type species); **(l)** *Pa. quadricostata*; **(m)** *Pa. circularis*; **(n)** *Pa. corynocostata*; **(o)** *Pa. manubriata*; **(p)** *Pa. pedicellata*; **(q)** *Pa. serrulata*; **(r)** *Pa. pedunculata*; **(s)** *Pa. ongulensis*; **(t)** *Pa. superpositus*; **(u)** *Pa. zigzag*; **(v)** *Pa. pachycostata*; **(x)** *Pa. aculeatus*.

Fig. 4.a-q. *Pleurasiga echinocostata* TEM (a) and LM (b-l; phase contrast, except h (NIC)) and *P. echinocostata* form A TEM (q) and LM (m-p) whole mounts from the Gulf of California (a-c), the Sargasso Sea (d-f), the equatorial Pacific Ocean (g), the Andaman Sea (h, q), West Australia (i-k, m-p), and the Caribbean Sea (l). **(a)** Empty lorica (reversed printing) showing basic lorica features; notice the posterior membrane which is suspended by the protruding tips of longitudinal costal strips; **(b-l)** Selected light micrographs to illustrate the diversity encountered when examining

specimens from a wide range of localities under low magnification; **(m-p)** Aberrant forms (*P. echinocostata* form A) encountered in samples from West Australia; **(q)** Complete lorica of form A specimen; notice the anterior spines and the overlap between middle lorica longitudinal costal strips. The scale bar (h) applies to all LM images.

Fig. 5.a-e. *Pleurasiga echinocostata* (a, b) and *P. echinocostata* form B (c-e) SEM (a) and TEM (b-e) whole mounts from Danish coastal waters (a), the Andaman Sea, Thailand (b, d, e) and the equatorial Pacific Ocean (c). **(a)** Anterior transverse costa showing details of spines and the attachment between transverse and longitudinal costal strips; **(b)** High magnification (reversed printing) of a single transverse costal strip viewed from the outside of the lorica; **(c-e)** Complete form B loricae; notice the absence of both spines and extended costal strip overlaps in the lower mid lorica region; reversed printing (d).

Fig. 6. Graph illustrating the relationship between lorica height and the diameter of the anterior transverse costa, as well as differences between geographic regions sampled in *Pleurasiga echinocostata* sensu stricto (circular markers) and *Pl. echinocostata* form A (square markers).

Fig. 7.a-i. *Pleurasiga quadrangiella* TEM (a, c) and LM (b, d-i; phase contrast except b (NIC)) whole mounts from the Andaman Sea (a-c), West Australia (d-g), and the Equatorial Pacific Ocean (h, i). **(a)** Complete cell (holotype) with protoplast, collar and flagellum; **(b, d-i)** Selected specimens to show the morphological variability encountered; **(c)** Empty lorica showing costal strip details; notice the membrane that envelopes the protoplast; costal strips terminating the lorica posteriorly are limited in number (2). The scale bar (i) applies to all light micrographs.

785

786 **Fig. 8.a-b.** *Pleurasiga quadrangiella* TEM micrographs from the Andaman Sea, Thailand. **(a)**

787 Complete cell with protoplast, collar and a well-defined posterior transverse costa; **(b)** Detail from
 788 a (reversed printing); the arrow points to costal strip junction where the transverse costal strips
 789 are unmistakably inside the longitudinal costae; the arrowhead marks a transverse costal strip
 790 located between a proximal (to the right) and a distal (to the left) longitudinal costa.

791

792 **Fig. 9.a-p.** *Pleurasiga minima* TEM (a), SEM (j) and LM (b-i, k-p; phase contrast except b (NIC))

793 whole mounts from the Andaman Sea, Thailand (a, b, j), the Sargasso Sea (c, e), the Gulf of
 794 California, Mexico (d), West Australia (f-h), the Caribbean Sea (i, k), and the equatorial Pacific
 795 Ocean (l-p). **(a)** Details of lorica; notice that the seven longitudinal costae meet posteriorly; **(b-i, k-**
 796 **p)** Selected specimens to show the morphological variability encountered; **(j)** Detail of anterior
 797 transverse and longitudinal costal strips viewed from the inside of the lorica. The scale bar (g)
 798 applies to all light micrographs.

799

800

801 **Fig. 10.a-d.** Diagrams illustrating **(a)** the *Pl. minima* site-specific relationship between the diameter

802 of the anterior transverse costa (x-axis) and the mid-lorica transverse costa (y-axis); **(b)** the *Pl.*

803 *minutissima* site-specific relationship between the diameter of the anterior transverse costa (x-

804 axis) and the mid-lorica transverse costa (y-axis); **(c)** lorica height in *Pl. minima* and *Pl.*

805 *minutissima*; **(d)** a comparison between *Pl. minima* and *Pl. minutissima* (diameter of anterior

806 transverse costa versus diameter of mid-lorica transverse costa); notice the well-defined slopes of

807 the trend lines calculated.

808

809 **Fig. 11.a-q.** *Pleurasiga minutissima* TEM (a, b, i, q) and LM whole mounts (c-h, j-p; phase contrast)
 810 from the Andaman Sea (a), the Gulf of California (b, h, i, m), the Sargasso Sea (c, f, g), West
 811 Australia (d, j-l, n-p), the Caribbean Sea (e), and the equatorial Pacific Ocean (q). **(a, b, i)** Complete
 812 cells showing details of lorica construction; the encircled areas (a) show costal strip junctions as
 813 seen from the outside of the lorica; arrows (b) show costal strip junctions as seen from the inside
 814 of the lorica; **(c-h, j-p)** Selected micrographs to show the morphological variability encountered;
 815 **(q)** High magnification of anterior costal strips to show the spines on transverse strips and the
 816 bifurcated termination of the longitudinal strip. Notice that one transverse costal strip quite
 817 unusually has been completely turned around so that two spines appear together. The scale bar
 818 (c) applies to all light micrographs.

819
 820 **Fig. 12.a-i** *Pleurasiga minutissima* TEM (a-c) and LM whole mounts (d-i; phase contrast) from the
 821 equatorial Pacific Ocean. **(a-c)** Complete cells documenting costal strip features; **(d-i)** Selection of
 822 micrographs showing the variability encountered. The scale bar (i) applies to all light micrographs.

823
 824 **Fig. 13.a-c.** *Pleurasiga minutissima* TEM (a) and SEM (b, c) micrographs from New Zealand (a;
 825 courtesy of Øjvind Moestrup) and the South Atlantic (b) and South Pacific (c) oceans; **(a)** Reverse
 826 printing of a complete cell; the arrowheads point to proximal longitudinal costae, and the arrows
 827 to distal longitudinal costae; costal strip junctions as seen from the outside (thick line) and inside
 828 of the lorica (thin line) are encircled; see text for further explanation; **(b)** Complete lorica with
 829 intact protoplast; the arrows point to costal strip junctions in the mid-lorica transverse costa
 830 viewed from the inside of the lorica; the arrowhead points to an intact costal strip junction viewed
 831 from the outside of the lorica; notice also the short posterior pedicel and the external position of

the mid-lorica transverse costa relative to the longitudinal costae; **(c)** Complete cells of *Pl. minutissima* (right) and *Cosmoeca ventricosa* form B (left); costal strip junctions in the mid-lorica transverse costa as viewed from the outside of the lorica are mirror images and encircled (*Pl. minutissima*) or framed by rectangles (*C. ventricosa* form B).

Fig. 14.a-h. *Pleurasiga reynoldsii* (a-d) and *Pl. tricaudata* (e-h), LM (a-d, f, g; phase contrast), SEM (e), and TEM (h) whole mounts from the Gulf of California (a, f-h), West Australia (b), the Sargasso Sea (c), the equatorial Pacific Ocean (d), and the South Atlantic Ocean (e); **(a-d)** Selection of *Pl. reynoldsii* LM images to show the variability encountered; notice the pronounced size variability; **(e)** Cell documenting basic costal strip and lorica features; notice the exterior positioning of both transverse costae and the costal strip junctions (arrows); **(f, g)** Light microscopical images of *Pl. tricaudata*; **(h)** Cell with protoplast documenting basic lorica features; the arrow points to a biforked tip on a posterior lorica chamber costal strip. The scale bar (c) applies to all LM micrographs.

Fig. 15. a-e. *Parvicorbicula socialis* (a) and *Pa. circularis* (b-e) LM whole mounts (phase contrast) from West Australia (a, e), the Caribbean Sea (b), and the Gulf of California (c, d). **(a)** Single specimen documenting the rare occurrence of *Pa. socialis* in warm water habitats; **(b-e)** Differently sized specimens of *Pa. circularis*. The scale bar (c) applies to all LM micrographs.

Fig. 16.a-c. *Pleurasiga minima* TEM whole mounts from Danish coastal waters; **(a)** High magnification of lorica details from **(b)** to show costal strip junctions as appearing from both the outside and the inside of the lorica; **(c)** Schematic drawings of the junctions between transverse

855 and longitudinal costal strips; the labelling is identical to that used by Leadbeater (2015) where (a)
856 is the transverse costal strip that terminates at the longitudinal costa, (b) denotes the overlapping
857 transverse costal strip, and (c, d) the longitudinal costal strips.

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879 **Table 1.** Occurrence pattern of species discussed here and in Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a-e).
 880 New species described in Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a-e) are marked with *.

	Andaman Sea, Thailand	West Australia	Sargasso Sea	Caribbean Sea	Equatorial Pacific Ocean	Gulf of California, Mexico	Mediterranean Sea, Alexandria
<i>Acanthocorbis</i>	x						
<i>apoda</i>							
<i>A. camarensis</i>	x						
<i>A. campanula</i>	x	x	x				
<i>A. conicella</i> *		x	x			x	x
<i>A. gladiella</i> *	x	x	x	x	x		
<i>A. haurakiana</i>	x	x	x				
<i>Apheloecion</i>	x	x					
<i>articulatum</i>							x
<i>A. eqpacia</i> *	x	x			x		
<i>A. pentacanthum</i>	x	x			x	x	x
<i>A. quadrispinum</i>	x	x	x	x	x		x
<i>Bicosta minor</i> (form A)	x	x		x	x		
<i>B. spinifera</i>						x	
<i>Campyloacantha</i>	x	x	x	x	x		
<i>imbricata</i>							
<i>C. spinifera</i>	x	x	x			x	x
<i>Calliacantha</i>	x	x		x	x		
<i>magna</i> *							
<i>C. natans</i>						x	
<i>C. simplex</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>Conioeca</i>	x	x		x	x		
<i>boonruangii</i> *							
<i>Cosmoeca</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>ceratophora</i>							
<i>C. norvegica</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>C. phuketensis</i>	x	x	x	x	x		x
<i>C. subulata</i>	x	x			x		
<i>C. ventricosa</i> (incl. form A)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>C. ventricosa</i> (form B)	x	x	x		x	x	x
<i>C. ventricosa</i> (form C)	x	x	x	x	x		
<i>Crucispina</i>	x	x	x			x	x
<i>cruciformis</i>							
<i>Nannoeca</i>		x				x	
<i>mexicana</i> *							
<i>N. minuta</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>N. minuta</i> (form A)	x				x		
<i>Parvicorbicula</i>		x	x	x		x	x
<i>circularis</i>							
<i>P. socialis</i>		x					
<i>Pleurasiga</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>echinocostata</i>							
<i>P. echinocostata</i>	x	x					
form A							
<i>P. echinocostata</i>	x				x		
form B							
<i>P. minima</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	
<i>P. minutissima</i> *	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

<i>P. quadrangiella</i> *	x	x			x		
<i>P. reynoldsii</i>		x	x		x	x	
<i>P. tricaudata</i>						x	
<i>Saroecca attenuata</i>			x		(x)		
<i>S. paucicostata</i>	x	x	x			x	x
<i>Stephanoeca</i>	x	x					
<i>andemanica</i> *							
<i>S. apheles</i>	x	x					
<i>S. broomia</i> *	x	x					
<i>S. diplocostata</i> var.		x					
<i>paucicostata</i>							
<i>S. naja</i> *	x	x	x		x	x	
<i>S. supracostata</i>	x						
<i>Thomsenella acuta</i>	x	x	x	x	x		x
<i>T. cercophora</i>	x	x			x		x
<i>T. infundibuliformis</i>			x		x	x	x
<i>T. perforata</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

881

Figure

Figure

Credit author statement

Helge Abildhauge Thomsen: Conceptualization, Methodology, Analysis, Investigation, Resources, Writing - Original Draft, Funding acquisition; **Nina Kamennaya:** Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mikhail V. Zubkov:** Resources, Funding acquisition; **Jette Buch Østergaard:** Investigation, Resources.

Journal Pre-proof